



HAL
open science

Actions of groups of homeomorphisms on one-manifolds

Emmanuel Militon

► **To cite this version:**

Emmanuel Militon. Actions of groups of homeomorphisms on one-manifolds. Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics, 2016, 10 (1). hal-00788721

HAL Id: hal-00788721

<https://hal.science/hal-00788721v1>

Submitted on 15 Feb 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Actions of groups of homeomorphisms on one-manifolds

Emmanuel Militon *

15 février 2013

Abstract

In this article, we describe all the group morphisms from the group of compactly-supported homeomorphisms isotopic to the identity of a manifold to the group of homeomorphisms of the real line or of the circle.

MSC: 37C85.

1 Introduction

Fix a connected manifold M (without boundary). For an integer $r \geq 0$, we denote by $\text{Diff}^r(M)$ the group of C^r -diffeomorphisms of M . When $r = 0$, this group will also be denoted by $\text{Homeo}(M)$. For a homeomorphism f of M , the *support* of f is the closure of the set:

$$\{x \in M, f(x) \neq x\}.$$

We denote by $\text{Diff}_0^r(M)$ ($\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ if $r = 0$) the identity component of the group of compactly supported C^r -diffeomorphisms of M (for the strong topology). If $r \neq \dim(M) + 1$, these groups are simple by a well-known and difficult theorem (see [1], [2], [4], [9], [10]).

In [6], Étienne Ghys asked whether the following statement was true: if M and N are two closed manifolds and if there exists a non-trivial morphism $\text{Diff}_0^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^\infty(N)$, then $\dim(M) \geq \dim(N)$. In [8], Kathryn Mann proved the following theorem. Take a connected manifold M of dimension greater than 1 and a one-dimensional connected manifold N . Then any morphism $\text{Diff}_0^\infty(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^\infty(N)$ is trivial: she answers Ghys's question in the case where the manifold N is one-dimensional. Mann also describes all the group morphisms $\text{Diff}_0^r(M) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0^r(N)$

*supported by the Fondation Mathématique Jacques Hadamard. Centre de mathématiques Laurent Schwartz. École Polytechnique. 91128 Palaiseau cedex. e-mail : emmanuel.militon@math.polytechnique.fr

for $r \geq 3$ when M as well as N are one-dimensional. The techniques involved in the proofs of these theorems are Kopell's lemma (see [15] Theorem 4.1.1) and Szekeres's theorem (see [15] Theorem 4.1.11). These theorems are valid only for a regularity at least C^2 . In this article, we prove similar results in the case of a C^0 regularity. The techniques used are different.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a connected manifold of dimension greater than 2 and let N be a connected one-manifold. Then any group morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ is trivial.*

The case where the manifold M is one-dimensional is also well-understood.

Theorem 1.2. *Let N be a connected one-manifold. For any group morphism $\varphi : \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$, there exists a closed set $K \subset N$ such that:*

1. *The set K is pointwise fixed under any homeomorphism in $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}))$.*
2. *For any connected component I of $N - K$, there exists a homeomorphism $h_I : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow I$ such that:*

$$\forall f \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}), \varphi(f)|_I = h_I f h_I^{-1}.$$

Remark: By a theorem by Matsumoto (see [12] Theorem 5.3), every group morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is a conjugation by a homeomorphism of the circle. Moreover, any group morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial. Indeed, as the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is simple, such a group morphism is either one-to-one or trivial. However, the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ contains torsion elements whereas the group $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ does not: such a morphism cannot be one-to-one.

2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Fix an integer $d \geq 1$. For a point p in \mathbb{R}^d , we denote by G_p^d the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d - \{p\})$. This group is seen as a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of homeomorphisms which pointwise fix a neighbourhood of the point p . We will call embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball of \mathbb{R}^d the image of the closed unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^{d-1} = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ under a homeomorphism of \mathbb{R}^d . For an embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (which is a single point if $d = 1$), we denote by H_D^d the subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of homeomorphisms which pointwise fix a neighbourhood of the embedded ball D . Finally, if G denotes a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be fixed under the group G if it is fixed under all the elements of this group. We denote by $\text{Fix}(G)$ the (closed) set of fixed points of G .

The theorems will be deduced from the following propositions. The two first propositions will be proved respectively in Sections 3 and 4.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\varphi : \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ be a group morphism. Suppose that no point of the real line is fixed under the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then, for any embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the group $\varphi(H_D^d)$ admits at most one fixed point.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\varphi : \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ be a group morphism. Then, for any point p in \mathbb{R}^d , the group $\varphi(G_p^d)$ admits at least one fixed point.*

Proposition 2.3. *For any group morphism $\psi : \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, the group $\psi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ has a fixed point.*

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Recall that the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is infinite and simple and that the group $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1)/\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence any morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1)/\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is trivial. Therefore, the image of a morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is contained in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

For some background about the bounded cohomology of groups and the bounded Euler class of a group acting on a circle, see Section 6 in [5]. By [11] and [13]:

$$H_b^2(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}.$$

Therefore, the bounded Euler class of a morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ vanishes: this action has a fixed point. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $d = \dim(M)$. The theorem will be deduced from the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Any group morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial.*

Let us see why this lemma implies the theorem. Consider a morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(M) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_0(N)$. Take an open set $U \subset M$ homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^d and let us denote by $\text{Homeo}_0(U)$ the subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ consisting of homeomorphisms supported in U . By Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.3, the restriction of this morphism to the subgroup $\text{Homeo}_0(U)$ is trivial. Moreover, as the group $\text{Homeo}_0(M)$ is simple, such a group morphism is either one-to-one or trivial: it is necessarily trivial in this case. \square

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Take a group morphism $\varphi : \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose by contradiction that this morphism is nontrivial. Replacing if necessary \mathbb{R} with a connected component of the complement of the closed set $\text{Fix}(\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)))$, we can suppose that the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ has no fixed points.

Let us prove that, for any points $p_1 \neq p_2$ in \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_{p_1}^d)) \cap \text{Fix}(\varphi(G_{p_2}^d)) = \emptyset.$$

The proof of this fact requires the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $d' \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $p_1 \neq p_2$ be two distinct points in $\mathbb{R}^{d'}$. Then, for any homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^{d'})$, there exist homeomorphisms f_1, f_3 in $G_{p_1}^{d'}$ and f_2 in $G_{p_2}^{d'}$ such that:*

$$f = f_1 f_2 f_3.$$

Proof. Take a homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^{d'})$. Let f_1 be a homeomorphism in $G_{p_1}^{d'}$ such that f_1^{-1} sends the point $f(p_1)$ to a point which lies in the same connected component of $\mathbb{R}^{d'} - \{p_2\}$ as the point p_1 . Let f_2 be a homeomorphism in $G_{p_2}^{d'}$ which is equal to $f_1^{-1}f$ in a neighbourhood of the point p_1 . The existence of the homeomorphism f_2 is easy to prove when $d' = 1$, is a consequence of the Schönflies Theorem when $d' = 2$ and of the annulus theorem by Kirby and Quinn when $d' \geq 3$ (see [7] and [16]). Changing if necessary the homeomorphism f_2 into the composition of the homeomorphism f_2 with a homeomorphism supported in a small neighbourhood of the point p_1 , the homeomorphism $f_3 = f_2^{-1}f_1^{-1}f$ belongs to $G_{p_1}^{d'}$. \square

Take two points p_1 and p_2 in \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose by contradiction that $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_{p_1}^d)) \cap \text{Fix}(\varphi(G_{p_2}^d)) \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.5, a point in this set is a fixed point of the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$, a contradiction.

By Proposition 2.2, the sets $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_p^d))$, for $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are nonempty. We just saw that they are pairwise disjoint. Recall that, for any embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball D , the set $\text{Fix}(\varphi(H_D^d))$ contains the union of the sets $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_p^d))$ over the points p in the closed set D . Hence, this set has infinitely many points as $d \geq 2$, a contradiction with Proposition 2.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\varphi : \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(N)$ be a nontrivial group morphism. By Proposition 2.3, we can suppose that the manifold N is the real line \mathbb{R} . Replacing \mathbb{R} with a connected component of the complement of the closed set $\text{Fix}(\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})))$ if necessary, we can suppose that the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}))$ has no fixed point. Recall that the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$ is simple. Hence any morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is trivial. Thus, any element of the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}))$ preserves the orientation of \mathbb{R} .

By Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, for any real number x , the group $\varphi(G_x^1)$ has a unique fixed point $h(x)$. Take a homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$ which sends a point x in \mathbb{R} to a point y in \mathbb{R} . Then $fG_x^1f^{-1} = G_y^1$ and, taking the image under φ , $\varphi(f)\varphi(G_x^1)\varphi(f)^{-1} = \varphi(G_y^1)$. Hence $\varphi(f)(\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_x^1))) = \text{Fix}(\varphi(G_y^1))$. Therefore, for any homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi(f)h = hf$.

Let us prove that the map h is one-to-one. Suppose by contradiction that there exist real numbers $x \neq y$ such that $h(x) = h(y)$. The point $h(x)$ is fixed under the groups $\varphi(G_x^1)$ and $\varphi(G_y^1)$. However, the groups G_x^1 and G_y^1 generate the group

$\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$ by Lemma 2.5. Therefore, the point $h(x)$ is fixed under the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}))$, a contradiction.

Now we prove that the map h is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing. Fix two points $x_0 < y_0$ of the real line. For any two points $x < y$ of the real line, let us consider a homeomorphism $f_{x,y}$ in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_{x,y}(x_0) = x$ and $f_{x,y}(y_0) = y$. As $\varphi(f_{x,y})h = hf_{x,y}$, the homeomorphism $\varphi(f_{x,y})$ sends the ordered pair $(h(x_0), h(y_0))$ to the ordered pair $(h(x), h(y))$. As the homeomorphism $\varphi(f_{x,y})$ is strictly increasing:

$$h(x) < h(y) \Leftrightarrow h(x_0) < h(y_0)$$

and

$$h(x) > h(y) \Leftrightarrow h(x_0) > h(y_0).$$

Hence the map h is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing.

Now, it remains to prove that the map h is onto to complete the proof. Suppose by contradiction that the map h is not onto. Notice that the set $h(\mathbb{R})$ is preserved under the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}))$. If this set had a lower bound or an upper bound, then the supremum of this set or the infimum of this set would provide a fixed point for the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}))$, a contradiction. This set has neither upper bound nor lower bound. Let C be a connected component of the complement of the set $h(\mathbb{R})$. To simplify the exposition of the proof, we suppose that the map h is increasing. Let us denote by x_0 the supremum of the set of points x such that the real number $h(x)$ is lower than any point in the interval C . Then the point $h(x_0)$ is necessarily in the closure of C : otherwise, there would exist an interval in the complementary of $h(\mathbb{R})$ which strictly contains the interval C . We suppose for instance that the point $h(x_0)$ is the supremum of the interval C . Choose, for each couple (z_1, z_2) of real numbers, a homeomorphism g_{z_1, z_2} in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$ which sends the point z_1 to the point z_2 . Then the sets $g_{x_0, x}(C)$, for x in \mathbb{R} , are pairwise disjoint: they are pairwise distinct as their suprema are pairwise distinct (the supremum of the set $g_{x_0, x}(C)$ is the point $h(x)$). Moreover, those sets do not contain any point of $h(\mathbb{R})$ and the infima of those sets are accumulated by points in $h(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, these sets are pairwise disjoint. Then the set C has necessarily an empty interior as the topological space \mathbb{R} is second-countable. Therefore $C = \{h(x_0)\}$, which is not possible. \square

3 Proof of Proposition 2.1

The proof of this proposition is similar to the proofs of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 in [14]. We need the following lemma. The proof of this lemma is almost identical to the proof of Lemma 2.5 and is omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *Take two disjoint embedded $(d - 1)$ -dimensional balls D and D' in \mathbb{R}^d . For any homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exist homeomorphisms $h_1,$*

h_3 in H_D^d and h_2 in $H_{D'}^d$, such that

$$h = h_1 h_2 h_3.$$

For such an embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball D , let $F_D = \text{Fix}(\varphi(H_D^d))$. Let us prove that these sets are pairwise homeomorphic. Take two embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional balls D and D' and take a homeomorphism h in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which sends the set D onto D' . Observe that $hH_D^d h^{-1} = H_{D'}^d$, and that $\varphi(h)\varphi(H_D^d)\varphi(h)^{-1} = \varphi(H_{D'}^d)$. Therefore: $\varphi(h)(F_D) = F_{D'}$.

In the case where these sets are all empty, there is nothing to prove. We suppose in what follows that they are not empty.

Given two disjoint embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional balls D and D' , Lemma 3.1 implies, as in the proof of Lemma 2.4:

$$F_D \cap F_{D'} = \emptyset.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Fix an embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball D_0 of \mathbb{R}^d . Then any connected component C of the complement of F_{D_0} meets one of the sets F_D , where D is an embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball disjoint from D_0 .*

Proof. Let (a_1, a_2) be a connected component of the complement of F_{D_0} . It is possible that either $a_1 = -\infty$ or $a_2 = +\infty$. Consider a homeomorphism $e : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $e(B^{d-1} \times \{0\}) = D_0$, where B^{d-1} denotes the unit closed ball in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} . For any real number x , let $D_x = e(B^{d-1} \times \{x\})$. Given two real $x \neq y$, take a homeomorphism $\eta_{x,y}$ in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R})$ which sends the point x to the point y . Consider a homeomorphism $h_{x,y}$ such that the following property is satisfied. The restriction of $eh_{x,y}e^{-1}$ to $B^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is equal to the map:

$$\begin{aligned} B^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R} \\ (p, z) &\mapsto (p, \eta_{x,y}(z)) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for any real numbers x and y , $h_{x,y}(D_x) = D_y$

Let us prove by contradiction that there exists a real number $x \neq 0$ such that $F_{D_x} \cap (a_1, a_2) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that, for any such embedded ball D_x , $F_{D_x} \cap (a_1, a_2) = \emptyset$. We claim that the open sets $\varphi(h_{0,x})((a_1, a_2))$ are pairwise disjoint. It is not possible as there would be uncountably many pairwise disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} .

Indeed, suppose by contradiction that there exists real numbers $x \neq y$ such that $\varphi(h_{0,x})((a_1, a_2)) \cap \varphi(h_{0,y})((a_1, a_2)) \neq \emptyset$. Notice that the homeomorphism $h_{0,x}^{-1}h_{0,y}$ and $h_{0,y}^{-1}h_{0,x}$ send respectively the set D_0 to sets of the form D_z and $D_{z'}$, where $z, z' \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, for $i = 1, 2$, the homeomorphisms $\varphi(h_{0,x}^{-1}h_{0,y})$ (respectively $\varphi(h_{0,y}^{-1}h_{0,x})$) sends the point $a_i \in F_{D_0}$ to a point in F_{D_z} (respectively in $F_{D_{z'}}$). By

hypothesis, these points do not belong to (a_1, a_2) . Therefore

$$\varphi(h_{0,y}^{-1}h_{0,x})(a_1, a_2) = (a_1, a_2)$$

or

$$\varphi(h_{0,x})(a_1, a_2) = \varphi(h_{0,y})(a_1, a_2).$$

But this last equality cannot hold as the real endpoints of the interval on the left-hand side belong to F_{D_x} and the real endpoints point of the interval on the right-hand side belongs to F_{D_y} . Moreover, we saw that these two closed sets were disjoint, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Each set F_D contains only one point.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists an embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball D such that the set F_D contains two points $p_1 < p_2$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists an embedded $(d-1)$ -dimensional ball D' disjoint from D such that the set $F_{D'}$ has a common point with the open interval (p_1, p_2) . Take a real number $r < p_1$. Then, for any homeomorphisms g_1 in G_D , g_2 in $G_{D'}$ and g_3 in G_D ,

$$\varphi(g_1) \circ \varphi(g_2) \circ \varphi(g_3)(r) < p_2.$$

By Lemma 3.1, this implies that the following inclusion holds:

$$\{\varphi(g)(r), g \in \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\} \subset (-\infty, p_2].$$

The supremum of the left-hand set provides a fixed point for the action φ , a contradiction. \square

4 Proof of Proposition 2.2

This proof uses the following lemmas. For a subgroup G of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the support $\text{Supp}(G)$ of G as the closure of the set:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \exists g \in G, gx \neq x\}.$$

Let $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R}), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x+1) = f(x) + 1\}$.

To prove Proposition 2.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G and G' be subgroups of the group $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the circle. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied.*

1. *The groups G and G' are isomorphic to the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$.*
2. *The subgroups G and G' of $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ commute: $\forall g \in G, g' \in G', gg' = g'g$.*

Then $\text{Supp}(G) \subset \text{Fix}(G')$ and $\text{Supp}(G') \subset \text{Fix}(G)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Take any nonempty open subset U of \mathbb{R}^d . Then there exists a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ isomorphic to $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ which is supported in U .*

Lemma 4.1 will be proved in the next section. We now provide a proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Take a closed ball B contained in U . Changing coordinates if necessary, we can suppose that B is the unit closed ball in \mathbb{R}^d . Take an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-1, 1)$. For any orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the homeomorphism $\lambda_h(f) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ in the following way.

1. The homeomorphism $\lambda_h(f)$ is equal to the identity outside the interior of the ball B .
2. For any $(x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \cap \text{int}(B)$:

$$\lambda_h(f)(x_1, x') = (\sqrt{1 - \|x'\|^2} h \circ f \circ h^{-1}(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1 - \|x'\|^2}}), x').$$

The map λ_h defines an embedding of the group $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ into the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The image under λ_h of the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ is a subgroup of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is supported in U . \square

Let us complete now the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Fix a point p in \mathbb{R}^d . Take a closed ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ which is centered at p . Let G_B^d be the subgroup of G_p^d consisting of homeomorphisms which pointwise fix a neighbourhood of the ball B . Let us prove that $\text{Fix}(G_B^d) \neq \emptyset$.

Take a subgroup G_1 of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ and supported in B . Such a subgroup exists by Lemma 4.2. This subgroup commutes with any subgroup G_2 of $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ and supported outside B .

If the group $\varphi(\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ admits a fixed point, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that this group has no fixed point. As the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is simple, the morphism φ is one-to-one. Moreover, any morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is trivial: the morphism φ takes values in $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$. Hence the subgroups $\varphi(G_1)$ and $\varphi(G_2)$ of $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1. By this lemma:

$$\emptyset \neq \text{Supp}(\varphi(G_1)) \subset \text{Fix}(\varphi(G_2)).$$

We claim that the group G_B^d is generated by the union of its subgroups isomorphic to $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$. This claim implies that

$$\emptyset \neq \text{Supp}(\varphi(G_1)) \subset \text{Fix}(\varphi(G_B^d)).$$

For $d \geq 2$, the claim is a direct consequence of the simplicity of the group G_B^d . In the case where $d = 1$, denote by $[a, b]$ the compact interval B . The inclusions of the groups $\text{Homeo}_0((-\infty, a))$ and $\text{Homeo}_0((b, +\infty))$ induce an isomorphism $\text{Homeo}_0((-\infty, a)) \times \text{Homeo}_0((b, +\infty)) \rightarrow G_B^d$. The simplicity of each factor of this decomposition implies the claim.

Now, let us prove that the set $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_B^d))$ is compact. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers in $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_B^d))$ which tends to $+\infty$ (if we suppose that it tends to $-\infty$, we obtain of course an analogous contradiction). Let us choose a closed ball $B' \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ which is disjoint from B . Observe that the subgroups G_B^d and $G_{B'}^d$ are conjugate in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by a homeomorphism which sends the ball B to the ball B' . Then the subgroups $\varphi(G_B^d)$ and $\varphi(G_{B'}^d)$ are conjugate in the group $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$. Hence the sets $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_B^d))$ and $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_{B'}^d))$ are homeomorphic: there exists a sequence $(b_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in $\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_{B'}^d))$ which tends to $+\infty$. Take positive integers n_1, n_2 and n_3 such that $a_{n_1} < b_{n_2} < a_{n_3}$. Fix $x_0 < a_{n_1}$. We notice then that for any homeomorphisms $g_1 \in G_B^d$, $g_2 \in G_{B'}^d$ and $g_3 \in G_B^d$, the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\varphi(g_1)\varphi(g_2)\varphi(g_3)(x_0) < a_{n_3}.$$

However, any element g in $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be written as a product

$$g = g_1 g_2 g_3,$$

where g_1 and g_3 belong to G_B^d and g_2 belongs to $G_{B'}^d$. The proof of this fact is similar to that of Lemma 2.5. Therefore:

$$\overline{\{\varphi(g)(x_0), g \in \text{Homeo}_c(\mathbb{R})\}} \subset (-\infty, a_{n_3}].$$

The greatest element of the left-hand set is a fixed point of the image of φ : this is not possible as this image was supposed to have no fixed point.

Observe that the group $\varphi(G_p^d)$ is the union of its subgroup of the form $\varphi(G_{B'}^d)$, with B' varying over the set \mathcal{B}_p of closed balls centered at the point p . By compactness, the set

$$\text{Fix}(\varphi(G_p^d)) = \bigcap_{B' \in \mathcal{B}_p} \text{Fix}(G_{B'}^d)$$

is nonempty. Proposition 2.2 is proved. \square

5 Proof of Lemma 4.1

We need the following lemmas. The first one will be proved afterwards.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\psi : \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ be a group morphism. Then there exists a closed set $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

1. The set F is pointwise fixed under any element in $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$.
2. For any connected component K of the complement of F , there exists a homeomorphism $h_K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K$ such that:

$$\forall f \in \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}), \forall x \in K, \psi(f)(x) = h_K f h_K^{-1}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Any group morphism $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is trivial.*

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Actually, any element in $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ can be written as a product of commutators, *i.e.* elements of the form $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$, with $a, b \in \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$. For an explicit construction of such a decomposition, see Section 2 in [3]. \square

Observe that the center of the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the subgroup generated by the translation $x \mapsto x + 1$. Let α (respectively α') be a generator of the center of G (respectively of G'). Let $A_\alpha = \mathbb{R} - \text{Fix}(\alpha)$ and $A_{\alpha'} = \mathbb{R} - \text{Fix}(\alpha')$.

As the homeomorphisms α and α' commute:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha'(A_\alpha) = A_\alpha \\ \alpha(A_{\alpha'}) = A_{\alpha'} \end{cases}.$$

Take any connected component I of A_α and any connected component I' of $A_{\alpha'}$. Then, either I is contained in I' , or I' is contained in I , or I and I' are disjoint.

We now prove that only the latter case can occur. Suppose by contradiction that the interval I is strictly contained in the interval I' . Let \sim be the equivalence relation defined on I' by

$$x \sim y \Leftrightarrow (\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, x = \alpha'^k(y)).$$

The topological space I'/\sim is homeomorphic to a circle. By Lemma 5.1, the group G' preserves the interval I' . Notice that the group $G'/\langle \alpha' \rangle \simeq \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ acts on the circle I'/\sim . As the group G' commutes with the homeomorphism α , this action preserves the nonempty set $(A_\alpha \cap I')/\sim$. As $\alpha'(A_\alpha) = A_\alpha$, the endpoints of the interval I are sent to points in the complement of A_α under the iterates of the homeomorphism α' . Hence the set $(A_\alpha \cap I')/\sim$ is not equal to the whole circle I'/\sim . However, by Theorem 5.3 in [12] (see the remark below Theorem 1.2), any non-trivial action of the group $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ on a circle is transitive. Hence, the group $G'/\langle \alpha' \rangle$ acts trivially on the circle I'/\sim : for any element β' of G' , and any point $x \in I'$, there exists an integer $k(x, \beta') \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta'(x) = \alpha'^{k(x, \beta')}(x)$. Fixing such a point x , we see that the map

$$\begin{aligned} G' &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ \beta' &\mapsto k(x, \beta') \end{aligned}$$

is a group morphism. Such a group morphism is trivial by Lemma 5.2. Therefore, the group G' acts trivially on the interval I' , a contradiction.

Of course, the case where the interval I' is strictly contained in I is symmetric and cannot occur.

Suppose now that $I = I'$. Take any element β' in G' . As the homeomorphism β' commutes with α , by Lemma 5.1, the homeomorphism β' is equal to some element of G on I . As the homeomorphism β' commutes with any element of G , there exists a unique integer $k(\beta')$ such that $\beta'|_I = \alpha|_I^{k(\beta')}$. The map $k : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a nontrivial group morphism. But such a map cannot exist by Lemma 5.2. Lemma 4.1 is proved.

It remains to prove Lemma 5.1.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Denote by t a generator of the center of the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Claim 1. The connected components of the complement of $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$ are each preserved by the group $\psi(\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}))$. Moreover

$$\text{Fix}(\psi(\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}))) = \text{Fix}(\psi(t)).$$

Claim 2. Any action of the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R} without fixed points is conjugate to the standard action.

It is clear that these two claims imply Lemma 5.1.

First, let us prove Claim 1. The set $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$ is preserved under any element in $\psi(\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}))$, because any element of this group commutes with the homeomorphism $\psi(t)$. Moreover, any element in $\psi(\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}))$ preserves the orientation. Hence any connected component of the complement of $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$ with infinite length is preserved under the action of the group $\psi(\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}))$. We suppose now that any connected component of the complement of $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$ has a finite length. Let us denote by \equiv the equivalence relation on \mathbb{R} such that $x \equiv y$ if and only if the points x and y belong to the same connected component of $\text{Supp}(\psi(t))$. The morphism ψ induces an action of the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) / \langle t \rangle \approx \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ on the quotient topological space \mathbb{R} / \equiv which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} if the set $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$ has a nonempty interior. However, such an action is trivial by the remark below Theorem 1.2. Hence, any connected component of $\text{Supp}(\psi(t))$ is preserved by the action. Restricting to one of these connected components if necessary, we can suppose that the closed set $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$ contains only isolated points. If this set is empty, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let

$$\text{Fix}(\psi(t)) = \{x_i, i \in A\},$$

where A is a set contained in \mathbb{Z} and contains 0 and where the sequence $(x_i)_{i \in A}$ is strictly increasing. Then, for any element f in $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists an

integer $i(f)$ such that $\psi(f)(x_0) = x_{i(f)}$. The map $i : \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a group morphism: it is trivial by Lemma 5.2. Claim 1 is proved.

By Claim 1, restricting if necessary the action on a connected component of the complement of $\text{Fix}(\psi(t))$, we can suppose that the homeomorphism $\psi(t)$ has no fixed point. Changing coordinates if necessary, we can suppose that the homeomorphism $\psi(t)$ is the translation $x \mapsto x+1$. The morphism ψ induces an action $\hat{\psi}$ of the group $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) / \langle t \rangle \approx \text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ on the circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . This action is nontrivial: otherwise, there would exist a nontrivial group morphism $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. By the remark below Theorem 1.2, there exists a homeomorphism h of the circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} such that, for any homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) / \langle t \rangle$ (which can be canonically identified with $\text{Homeo}_0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$):

$$\hat{\psi}(f) = hfh^{-1}.$$

Take a lift $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of h . For any integer n , denote by $T_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the translation $x \mapsto x+n$. For any homeomorphism f in $\text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists an integer $n(f)$ such that

$$\psi(f) = T_{n(f)}\tilde{h}f\tilde{h}^{-1}.$$

However, the map $n : \text{Homeo}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a group morphism: it is trivial by Lemma 5.2. This completes the proof of Claim 2. \square

References

- [1] A. Banyaga, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [2] A. Bounemoura *Simplicité des groupes de transformations de surface*, Ensaos Matematicos, vol. 14 (2008), 1-143.
- [3] D. Eisenbud, U. Hirsch, W. Neumann, *Transverse foliations of Seifert bundles and self-homeomorphisms of the circle*, Comment. Math. Helv. 56 (1981), 638-660.
- [4] G.M. Fischer, *On the group of all homeomorphisms of a manifold*, Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 193-212.
- [5] É. Ghys, *Groups acting on the circle*, L'Enseignement Mathématique, vol.47 (2001), 329-407.
- [6] É. Ghys, *Prolongements des difféomorphismes de la sphère*, L'Enseignement Mathématique (2) vol.37 (1991), no. 1-2, 45-59.
- [7] R.C. Kirby, *Stable homeomorphisms and the annulus conjecture*, Ann. of Math. 2nd Ser. 89 (3) (1969), 575-582.
- [8] K. Mann, *Homomorphisms between diffeomorphism groups*, preprint available on arXiv:1206.1196.
- [9] J.N. Mather, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms I*, Comment. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 512-528.

- [10] J.N. Mather, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms II*, Comment. Math. Helv. 50 (1975), 33-40.
- [11] J.N. Mather, *The vanishing of the homology of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Topology 10 (1971), 297-298.
- [12] S. Matsumoto, *Numerical invariants for semiconjugacy of homeomorphisms of the circle*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 98 (1986), no 1, 163-168.
- [13] S. Matsumoto, S. Morita, *Bounded cohomology of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94 (1985), no 3, 539-544.
- [14] E. Militon, *Continuous actions of the group of homeomorphisms of the circle on surfaces*, preprint available on arXiv:1211.0846.
- [15] A. Navas, *Groups of Circle Diffeomorphisms*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics Series, The University of Chicago Press.
- [16] F. Quinn, *Ends of maps III : Dimensions 4 and 5*, J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), 503-521.