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## 1 Proof of Lemma 8.3

Lemma 1.1. Suppose assumption (A2) holds and $d \geq 3$. Then, for all $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}_{d}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{16 R^{2}}{n^{2 / d}}\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)^{4 / d}
$$

Proof - Fix $\varphi \in \mathscr{F}_{d}$ and denote $\mu_{\varphi}$ the distribution of $X^{\varphi}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} S(\varphi) \leq d$, one may consider that $X^{\varphi}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{d}$-valued and that $\mu_{\varphi}$ is of support in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Hence, for all $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|>\varepsilon \mid X\right)\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{1}^{\varphi}-X^{\varphi}\right\|>\varepsilon \mid X\right)^{n}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(1-\mu_{\varphi}\left(B_{d}\left(X^{\varphi}, \varepsilon\right)\right)\right)^{n}\right] \\
& =\int_{\|u\|_{d} \leq R}\left(1-\mu_{\varphi}\left(B_{d}(u, \varepsilon)\right)\right)^{n} \mu_{\varphi}(\mathrm{d} u)
\end{aligned}
$$

since, under assumption ( $\mathbf{A 3}$ ), the variable $X^{\varphi}$ is $B_{d}(0, R)$-valued. Now, for all $\varepsilon>0$, the $\varepsilon$-covering number of $B_{d}(0, R)$ satisfies

$$
N(\varepsilon):=N\left(B_{d}(0, R), \varepsilon\right) \leq\left(\frac{4 R}{\varepsilon}\right)^{d}
$$

(see e.g. Proposition 5 in Cucker and Smale, 2001). Thus, given $\varepsilon>0$, one may find a finite collection of Euclidian balls $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N(\varepsilon)}$ of radius at most $\varepsilon$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that

$$
B_{d}(0, R) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} B_{i} .
$$

We can notice that for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N(\varepsilon)\}$, we have $u \in B_{i} \Rightarrow B_{i} \subset B_{d}(u, \varepsilon)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) & =\int_{\|u\|_{d} \leq R}\left(1-\mu_{\varphi}\left(B_{d}(u, \varepsilon)\right)\right)^{n} \mu_{\varphi}(\mathrm{d} u) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} \int_{B_{i}}\left(1-\mu_{\varphi}\left(B_{i}\right)\right)^{n} \mu_{\varphi}(\mathrm{d} u) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N(\varepsilon)} \mu_{\varphi}\left(B_{i}\right)\left(1-\mu_{\varphi}\left(B_{i}\right)\right)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, since for all $t \in[0,1]$ we have $t(1-t)^{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$, it follows that for all $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \leq \frac{N(\varepsilon)}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{4 R}{\varepsilon}\right)^{d}
$$

Now write $C_{n}:=\frac{(4 R)^{d}}{n}$. Using the fact that $d \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|X_{(1)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\|>\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \min \left(1, \frac{C_{n}}{\varepsilon^{d / 2}}\right) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \\
& \leq \inf _{\delta>0}\left(\int_{0}^{\delta} \mathrm{d} \varepsilon+C_{n} \int_{\delta}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} \varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{d / 2}}\right) \\
& =\inf _{\delta>0}\left(\delta+C_{n}\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right) \delta^{1-d / 2}\right) \\
& =C_{n}^{2 / d}\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)^{4 / d} \\
& =\frac{16 R^{2}}{n^{2 / d}}\left(\frac{d}{2}-1\right)^{4 / d}
\end{aligned}
$$

which concludes the proof.

Proof of Lemma 8.3 - Fix $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \rho>0$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{F}_{d}(\rho)$ such that $\| \varphi$ $\varphi^{*} \|_{\infty} \leq \rho$. To ease notations we set

$$
\hat{r}_{\varphi}:=\hat{r}_{\varphi}[k] \quad \text { and } \quad W_{i}(\varphi, .):=W_{i}[k](\varphi, .), i=n+1, \ldots, 2 n .
$$

First we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r(X)-\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E} & {\left[\left(r(X)-r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] } \\
+ & 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r=r_{\varphi^{*}} \circ \varphi^{*}$ and $r_{\varphi^{*}} \in \mathscr{L}$, we have under assumption (A4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r(X)-r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] \leq & 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi^{*}}\left(\varphi^{*}(X)\right)-r_{\varphi^{*}}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi^{*}}(\varphi(X))-r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] \\
\leq & 8 L^{2}\left\|\varphi-\varphi^{*}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \\
\leq & 8 L^{2} \rho^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r(X)-\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] \leq 8 L^{2} \rho^{2}+2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Next, denoting $\mathscr{S}=\left\{X_{n+1}, \ldots, X_{2 n}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2} \mid X\right]= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right)^{2} \mid X\right] \\
& +\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right)^{2} \mid X\right] \\
= & E_{1}+E_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we have used the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right)\left(\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right) \mid X\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right)\left(\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right] \mid X\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right] \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right] \mid X\right] \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we bound the term $E_{1}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X)) Y_{i} \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X)) \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i} \mid X_{i}\right] \\
& =\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X)) r\left(X_{i}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X)) \mid X, \mathscr{S}\right]\right)^{2} \mid X\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X))\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-r_{\varphi^{\star}}\left(\varphi^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)\right)^{2} \mid X\right]\right. \\
\leq & 3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X))\left(r_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))-r_{\varphi^{\star}}(\varphi(X))\right)\right)^{2} \mid X\right] \\
& +3 \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X))\left(r_{\varphi^{\star}}(\varphi(X))-r_{\varphi^{\star}}\left(\varphi\left(X_{i}\right)\right)\right)\right)^{2} \mid X\right] \\
=: & J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r=r_{\varphi^{*} \circ} \varphi^{*}$ and $r_{\varphi^{*}} \in \mathscr{L}$, assumption (A4) leads to

$$
J_{i} \leq 3 L^{2} \rho^{2}
$$

for $i=1$ and $i=3$. The fact that $r_{\varphi^{*}}$ is $L$-Lipschitz leads to

$$
J_{2} \leq 3 L^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left.\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|X^{\varphi}-X_{(i)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)\right\|\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, X\right]
$$

Now let $\tilde{n}=\lfloor n / k\rfloor$. We split the sample $\left\{X_{n+1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, X_{n+k \tilde{n}}^{\varphi}\right\}$ into $k$ subsamples of size $\tilde{n}$ :

$$
Z_{j}=\left\{X_{n+(j-1) \tilde{n}+1}^{\varphi}, \ldots, X_{n+j \tilde{n}}^{\varphi}\right\}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k
$$

and denote by $Z_{j}^{(1)}$ the closest element of $Z_{j}$ from $X^{\varphi}$. Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|X_{(j)}^{\varphi}\left(X^{\varphi}\right)-X^{\varphi}\right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left\|Z_{j}^{(1)}-X^{\varphi}\right\|
$$

Therefore, by Jensen's inequality and lemma 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[E_{1}\right] & \leq \frac{3 L^{2}}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}\left\|Z_{j}^{(1)}-X^{\varphi}\right\|^{2}+6 L^{2} \rho^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{48 L^{2} R^{2}}{\tilde{n}^{2 / d}}\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{4 / d}+6 L^{2} \rho^{2} \\
& \leq 48 L^{2} R^{2} 4^{1 / d}\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{4 / d}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2 / d}+6 L^{2} \rho^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality holds provided $\frac{k}{n}\lfloor n / k\rfloor \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
Now we turn to bounding the term $E_{2}$. According to (1.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2} & =\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X))\left(Y_{i}-r\left(X_{i}\right)\right)\right)^{2} \mid X\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X))^{2}\left(Y_{i}-r\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2} \mid X\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that if $i \neq j \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[W_{i}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}(X))\left(Y_{i}-r\left(X_{i}\right)\right) W_{j}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}(X))\left(Y_{j}-r\left(X_{j}\right)\right) \mid X\right]=0 .
$$

Using the properties $\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X))=1, W_{i}(\varphi, \varphi(X)) \leq \frac{1}{k}$ and $\left|Y_{i}-r\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \leq$ $B$, for all $i \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 n\}$, we conclude that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[E_{2}\right] \leq \frac{B^{2}}{k}
$$

To complete the proof, write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(r(X)-\hat{r}_{\varphi}(\varphi(X))\right)^{2}\right] & \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[E_{1}\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[E_{2}\right]+8 L^{2} \rho^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{2 B^{2}}{k}+96 L^{2} R^{2} 4^{1 / d}\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{4 / d}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2 / d}+20 L^{2} \rho^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\{\frac{1}{k}+\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2 / d}\right\}+C \rho^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C:=\max \left\{2 B^{2} ; 20 L^{2} ; 96 L^{2} R^{2} 4^{1 / d}\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{4 / d}\right\}$.
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