

Which notion of energy for bilinear quantum systems

Nabile Boussaïd Marco Caponigro Thomas Chambrion



IFAC HLMNLC, August 27–29, 2012

Bilinear quantum systems

- A quantum system evolving on a manifold Ω .
- The state is described by the *wave function*, a point in some Hilbert space H (usually $L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{C})$).
- Every physical quantity is associated with a linear operator on H .
- Dynamics given by the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta + V(x))\psi$$

Bilinear quantum systems

- A quantum system evolving on a manifold Ω .
- The state is described by the *wave function*, a point in some Hilbert space H (usually $L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{C})$).
- Every physical quantity is associated with a linear operator on H .
- Dynamics given by the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta + V(x))\psi + u(t)W(x)\psi$$

Bilinear quantum systems

- A quantum system evolving on a manifold Ω .
- The state is described by the *wave function*, a point in some Hilbert space H (usually $L^2(\Omega, \mathbf{C})$).
- Every physical quantity is associated with a linear operator on H .
- Dynamics given by the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta + V(x))\psi + u(t)W(x)\psi$$

which can be rewritten as $\frac{d}{dt}\psi = A\psi + u(t)B\psi$
 A and B are skew-adjoint operators (not necessarily bounded).

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi = A\psi + u(t)B\psi$$

- A skew-adjoint with domain $D(A)$, with eigenvalues $(i\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$
- for every u in \mathbf{R} , $A + uB$ skew-adjoint (not necessarily on $D(A)$)
- solutions are well defined for piecewise constant functions

Control of bilinear quantum systems

- Practically finished for finite dimensional H ;
- Very badly understood for infinite dimensional H ;
- Only one example in infinite dimension for which the attainable set is known (Beauchard, Coron, Laurent)
- All the other results deal with approximate controllability

Energy of quantum systems

Energy of a the system in state ψ

$$E(\psi) = \langle A|\psi, \psi \rangle := \|\psi\|_{1/2}.$$

Energy growth

$$\frac{dE(\psi)}{dt} = ?? \langle \rangle$$

Question

Is it possible to compute (bound...) the change of energy knowing only the “size” of u ?

Finite dimension

- Many theoretical tools
- Optimization methods
- “Easy” numerics (ODE)

Finite dimension

- Many theoretical tools
- Optimization methods
- “Easy” numerics (ODE)

Infinite dimension

- Few theoretical tools
- (In)efficient controls?
- Hard numerics (PDE)

Finite dimension

- Many theoretical tools
- Optimization methods
- “Easy” numerics (ODE)

Infinite dimension

- Few theoretical tools
- (In)efficient controls?
- Hard numerics (PDE)

Recent (spectacular) advances for infinite dimensional bilinear systems:
Beauchard '05 '10, Mirrahimi '08, Boscain '09 '11, Nersessyan '09, ...
but these difficult results are hardly applicable in practice.

Finite dimension

- Many theoretical tools
- Optimization methods
- “Easy” numerics (ODE)

Infinite dimension

- Few theoretical tools
- (In)efficient controls?
- Hard numerics (PDE)

Finite dimensional approximations are necessary.

The underlying Hilbert space is very often infinite dimensional.

Finite dimension

- Many theoretical tools
- Optimization methods
- “Easy” numerics (ODE)

Infinite dimension

- Few theoretical tools
- (In)efficient controls?
- Hard numerics (PDE)

Finite dimensional approximations are necessary.

The underlying Hilbert space is very often infinite dimensional.

Question

How can we ensure that the finite dimensional approximations of a bilinear quantum systems actually reflect the behavior of the original infinite dimensional system?

Weakly-coupled systems

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi = (A + u(t)B)\psi$$

Definition

(A, B) is weakly-coupled if

Weakly-coupled systems

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi = (A + u(t)B)\psi$$

Definition

(A, B) is weakly-coupled if

- For every u , $A + uB$ is skew-adjoint with domain $D(A)$;

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi = (A + u(t)B)\psi$$

Definition

(A, B) is weakly-coupled if

- For every u , $A + uB$ is skew-adjoint with domain $D(A)$;
- A is skew adjoint with discrete spectrum $(i\lambda_n)_n$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$;

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi = (A + u(t)B)\psi$$

Definition

(A, B) is weakly-coupled if

- For every u , $A + uB$ is skew-adjoint with domain $D(A)$;
 - A is skew adjoint with discrete spectrum $(i\lambda_n)_n$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$;
 - There exists $k (< 1/2)$ such that $\|B\psi\| \leq d\|A\|^k\|\psi\|$ for ψ in $D(A)$;
-
- B can be bounded or unbounded (dominated by some A^k , $k \in \mathbf{N}$).

$$\frac{d}{dt}\psi = (A + u(t)B)\psi$$

Definition

(A, B) is weakly-coupled if

- For every u , $A + uB$ is skew-adjoint with domain $D(A)$;
 - A is skew adjoint with discrete spectrum $(i\lambda_n)_n$ and $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$;
 - There exists $k (< 1/2)$ such that $\|B\psi\| \leq d\| |A|^k \psi \|$ for ψ in $D(A)$;
 - There exists $C > 0$ s. t. $|\Im\langle A\psi, B\psi \rangle| \leq C|\langle A\psi, \psi \rangle|$ for ψ in $D(A)$.
-
- B can be bounded or unbounded (dominated by some A^k , $k \in \mathbf{N}$).
 - All the systems with discrete spectrum we have encountered in the physics literature are weakly-coupled. (Do you have a counter-example?)

Growth of energy

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle| \leq 2|u(t)| |\Im \langle A\psi(t), B\psi(t) \rangle| \leq 2C|u(t)| |\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle|$$

Growth of energy

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle| \leq 2|u(t)| |\Im \langle A\psi(t), B\psi(t) \rangle| \leq 2C|u(t)| |\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle|$$

Energy growth

If (A, B) is weakly-coupled, then, for every control u , for every time t ,

$$|\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle| \leq e^{2C \int_0^t |u(s)| ds} |\langle A\psi(0), \psi(0) \rangle|.$$

The bound on the energy is uniform with respect to u and t , as long as the L^1 norm of u is in some ball of $L^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$.

Growth of energy

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle| \leq 2|u(t)| \|\Im \langle A\psi(t), B\psi(t) \rangle\| \leq 2C|u(t)| |\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle|$$

Energy growth

If (A, B) is weakly-coupled, then, for every control u , for every time t ,

$$|\langle A\psi(t), \psi(t) \rangle| \leq e^{2C \int_0^t |u(s)| ds} |\langle A\psi(0), \psi(0) \rangle|.$$

The bound on the energy is uniform with respect to u and t , as long as the L^1 norm of u is in some ball of $L^1(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$.

No large tails

$$\|B(1 - \pi_N)\psi(t)\| \leq \frac{de^{C \int_0^t |u(s)| ds} |\langle A\psi(0), \psi(0) \rangle|}{\lambda_N^{1/2-k}}.$$

Good Galerkin approximation

Compressions of operators

$$A^{(N)} = \pi_N A \pi_N \quad B^{(N)} = \pi_N B \pi_N$$

Good Galerkin approximation

Compressions of operators

$$A^{(N)} = \pi_N A \pi_N \quad B^{(N)} = \pi_N B \pi_N$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,N} & b_{1,N+1} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ b_{N,1} & \cdots & b_{N,N} & b_{N,N+1} & \cdots \\ b_{N+1,1} & & \vdots & b_{N+1,N+1} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^{(N)} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,N} & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \hline b_{N,1} & \cdots & b_{N,N} & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \end{array} \right)$$

Good Galerkin approximation

Compressions of operators

$$A^{(N)} = \pi_N A \pi_N \quad B^{(N)} = \pi_N B \pi_N$$

$t \mapsto X^{(N)}(t, 0)x(0)$ is the solution of $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = (A^{(N)} + u(t)B^{(N)})x(t)$.

Good Galerkin approximation

Compressions of operators

$$A^{(N)} = \pi_N A \pi_N \quad B^{(N)} = \pi_N B \pi_N$$

$t \mapsto X^{(N)}(t, 0)x(0)$ is the solution of $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = (A^{(N)} + u(t)B^{(N)})x(t)$.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\pi_N\psi(t) = A^{(N)}\pi_N\psi(t) + u(t)B^{(N)}\pi_N\psi(t) + u(t)\pi_N B(1 - \pi_N)\psi(t)$$

Good Galerkin approximation

Compressions of operators

$$A^{(N)} = \pi_N A \pi_N \quad B^{(N)} = \pi_N B \pi_N$$

$t \mapsto X^{(N)}(t, 0)x(0)$ is the solution of $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = (A^{(N)} + u(t)B^{(N)})x(t)$.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\pi_N\psi(t) = A^{(N)}\pi_N\psi(t) + u(t)B^{(N)}\pi_N\psi(t) + u(t)\pi_N B(1 - \pi_N)\psi(t)$$

$$\pi_N\psi(t) = X^{(N)}(t, 0)\pi_N\psi(0) + \int_0^t X^{(N)}(t, s)\pi_N B(1 - \pi_N)\psi(s)u(s)ds$$

Good Galerkin approximation

Compressions of operators

$$A^{(N)} = \pi_N A \pi_N \quad B^{(N)} = \pi_N B \pi_N$$

$t \mapsto X^{(N)}(t, 0)x(0)$ is the solution of $\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = (A^{(N)} + u(t)B^{(N)})x(t)$.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\pi_N\psi(t) = A^{(N)}\pi_N\psi(t) + u(t)B^{(N)}\pi_N\psi(t) + u(t)\pi_N B(1 - \pi_N)\psi(t)$$

$$\pi_N\psi(t) = X^{(N)}(t, 0)\pi_N\psi(0) + \int_0^t X^{(N)}(t, s)\pi_N B(1 - \pi_N)\psi(s)u(s)ds$$

Good Galerkin approximation

If (A, B) is weakly-coupled, then, for every $\varepsilon, K > 0$, there exists N such that

$$\|u\|_{L^1} < K \implies \|\psi(t) - X^{(N)}(t, 0)\pi_N\psi(0)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Examples

General explicit formula (can be improved in most of the examples)

$$\sqrt{\lambda_{N+1}} > \frac{Kde^{CK} |\langle A\psi(0), \psi(0) \rangle|}{\varepsilon}$$

Rotation of a planar molecule

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \psi(\theta, t) + u(t) \cos \theta \psi(\theta, t) \quad \theta \in SO(2)$$

For $\psi(0) =$ ground state, $K = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$, $N = 14$.

Harmonic oscillator

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta + x^2) \psi(x, t) + u(t) x \cdot \psi(x, t) \quad x \in \mathbf{R}$$

For $\psi(0) =$ ground state, $K = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 10^{-4}$, $N = 420$.

Application: RWA is valid for infinite dimensional spaces

Assume that $(1, 2)$ non degenerate transition of (A, B)

Application: RWA is valid for infinite dimensional spaces

Assume that $(1, 2)$ non degenerate transition of (A, B)

- $\lambda_j - \lambda_k \neq \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ if $(j, k) \neq (1, 2)$;
- $b_{1,2} \neq 0$.

Application: RWA is valid for infinite dimensional spaces

Assume that $(1, 2)$ non degenerate transition of (A, B) and $u(t) = \cos(|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|t)$. Define $u_n = u/n$ and $T^* = \pi/2$.

Application: RWA is valid for infinite dimensional spaces

Assume that $(1, 2)$ non degenerate transition of (A, B) and $u(t) = \cos(|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|t)$. Define $u_n = u/n$ and $T^* = \pi/2$.

Finite dimensional Rotating Wave Approximation

If $\psi_n(0) = \phi_1$, then $|\langle \psi_n(nT^*), \phi_2 \rangle|$ tends to one as n tends to infinity.

Application: RWA is valid for infinite dimensional spaces

Assume that $(1, 2)$ non degenerate transition of (A, B) and $u(t) = \cos(|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|t)$. Define $u_n = u/n$ and $T^* = \pi/2$.

Finite dimensional Rotating Wave Approximation

If $\psi_n(0) = \phi_1$, then $|\langle \psi_n(nT^*), \phi_2 \rangle|$ tends to one as n tends to infinity.

Infinite dimensional Rotating Wave Approximation

If (A, B) is weakly coupled and $\psi_n(0) = \phi_1$, then $|\langle \psi_n(nT^*), \phi_2 \rangle|$ tends to one as n tends to infinity.

Application: RWA is valid for infinite dimensional spaces

Assume that $(1, 2)$ non degenerate transition of (A, B) and $u(t) = \cos(|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|t)$. Define $u_n = u/n$ and $T^* = \pi/2$.

Finite dimensional Rotating Wave Approximation

If $\psi_n(0) = \phi_1$, then $|\langle \psi_n(nT^*), \phi_2 \rangle|$ tends to one as n tends to infinity.

Infinite dimensional Rotating Wave Approximation

If (A, B) is weakly coupled and $\psi_n(0) = \phi_1$, then $|\langle \psi_n(nT^*), \phi_2 \rangle|$ tends to one as n tends to infinity.

This is not the best way to justify infinite dimensional RWA!

Much more general proofs are available.

Conclusion

- Approximation procedure with an error bound depending only upon the L^1 norm of the control.
- Valid for most (all?) of the physical systems with discrete spectrum.
- May be used for numerical or theoretical investigations.

Future works

- Generalization to systems with mixed spectrum (done for bounded B).
- Generalization to open systems.
- What is the smallest time needed to steer a system to given target?