

## A study to constrain the geometry of an active fault in southern Italy through borehole breakouts and downhole logs.

Simona Pierdominici, Maria Teresa Mariucci, Paola Montone

#### ► To cite this version:

Simona Pierdominici, Maria Teresa Mariucci, Paola Montone. A study to constrain the geometry of an active fault in southern Italy through borehole breakouts and downhole logs.. Journal of Geodynamics, 2011, 52 (3-4), pp.279. 10.1016/j.jog.2011.02.006 . hal-00780027

### HAL Id: hal-00780027 https://hal.science/hal-00780027

Submitted on 23 Jan 2013  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Accepted Manuscript

Title: A study to constrain the geometry of an active fault in southern Italy through borehole breakouts and downhole logs.

Authors: Simona Pierdominici, Maria Teresa Mariucci, Paola Montone

PII:	S0264-3707(11)00042-1
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.jog.2011.02.006
Reference:	GEOD 1049
To appear in:	Journal of Geodynamics
Received date:	27-9-2010
Revised date:	15-2-2011
Accepted date:	19-2-2011



Please cite this article as: Pierdominici, S., Mariucci, M.T., Montone, P., A study to constrain the geometry of an active fault in southern Italy through borehole breakouts and downhole logs., *Journal of Geodynamics* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.jog.2011.02.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# A study to constrain the geometry of an active fault in southern Italy through borehole breakouts and downhole logs.

- 3
- 4 Simona Pierdominici<sup>1</sup>, Maria Teresa Mariucci<sup>1</sup> and Paola Montone<sup>1</sup>
- 5
- 6 <sup>1</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Sismologia e Tettonofisica, via di
- 7 Vigna Murata, 605, Rome, Italy

8

9 Submitted to: Journal of Geodynamics

10

11

- 12 <u>Corresponding author:</u>
- 13 Simona Pierdominici
- 14 Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia
- 15 Via di Vigna Murata 605
- 16 00143 Rome, ITALY
- 17 Tel: +39-06-51860576
- 18 Fax: +39-06-51860507
- 19 E-mail address: simona.pierdominici@ingv.it

#### 20 Abstract

21 Identification of an active fault and the local versus regional present-day stress field in the 22 Irpinia region (southern Apennines) have been performed along a 5900m deep well (San Gregorio 23 Magno 1) by a detailed breakout and geophysical log analysis. The selected area is characterized by 24 diffuse low magnitude seismicity, although in historical times moderate to large earthquakes have repeatedly struck it. On 23<sup>rd</sup> November 1980 a strong earthquake (M=6.9) nucleated on a 38km-long 25 26 normal fault, named Irpinia fault, producing the first unequivocal historical surface faulting ever 27 documented in Italy. The analysis of stress-induced wellbore breakouts shows a direction of 28 minimum horizontal stress N18°±24°, fairly consistent with the regional stress trend (N44°±20°). 29 The small discrepancy between our result and the regional stress orientation might be related to the 30 influence of local stress sources such as variations of the Irpinia fault plane orientation and the 31 presence of differently oriented active shear zones. This paper shows for the first time a detailed 32 analysis on the present-day stress along a well to identify the Irpinia fault at depth and constrain its 33 geometry.

34

Key words: Borehole breakout, geophysical log, present-day stress field, seismogenic fault,
southern Apennines, Italy.

37

#### 38 **1. Introduction**

This paper is mainly devoted to the present-day stress field study performed in a high seismic hazard area of southern Italy (Cinti et al., 2004; Faenza and Pierdominici, 2007) in order to assess a methodology to identify and constrain active faults at depth along deep wells.

The present-day stress state can be assessed by different techniques, including the analysis of: (i) borehole breakouts, (ii) focal mechanism solutions, (iii) exposed active fault segments, (iv) well cores, and (v) crustal deformation and differential strain (Ding and Zhang, 1991; Zoback, 1992; Seto et al., 1998). Breakouts are used as indicators of the direction of maximum and minimum

46 horizontal stress ( $S_{Hmax}$  and  $S_{hmin}$ , respectively) and correspond to observation points along deep 47 wells (Bell and Gough, 1979; Zoback et al., 1985). Many studies have shown that the present-day 48 stress orientation in a region is quite homogeneous and independent of the stratigraphy and depth 49 (e.g. Plumb and Cox, 1987; Castillo and Zoback, 1994), whereas along a borehole the breakout 50 orientations can change due to the presence of active faults (Barton and Zoback, 1994; Wu et al., 51 2007). As reported by several authors stress perturbations have been associated to open fractures 52 and to active faults which have slipped recently (Bell et al., 1992; Shamir and Zoback, 1992; Barton 53 and Zoback, 1994; Mariucci et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2007). Moreover, shear zones at depth usually 54 show physical properties different from the nearby undamaged rock and downhole logs can record 55 these features.

56 In this paper we have analysed the present-day stress along and around a deep well and tried to 57 identify at depth some shear zones and the seismogenic fault, named Irpinia, located in the southern Apennines. This fault is related to the 1980 Ms=6.9 Irpinia earthquake, and represents the first 58 59 unequivocal surface faulting ever documented in Italy. Its geometry is not well constrained by 60 geophysical exploration and aftershock data analysis because this tectonic structure is relatively a 61 young fault that has not yet developed enough cumulated vertical slip to be clearly resolved by 62 seismic reflection profiles (Pantosti and Valensise, 1993; Improta et al., 2003; Cippitelli, 2007; 63 Patacca, 2007; Figure 1). This paper shows for the first time a detailed analysis on the present-day 64 stress nearby the seismogenic fault in the context of the overall setting. The Irpinia fault trace is 65 located ~1.3km westward from the well San Gregorio Magno 1 (herein named SGM1), and 66 according to its geometry, the well should cut off it. If this is true, the main observation of Barton 67 and Zoback, (1994) that stresses reorient close to faults should be verified. The idea is to study the 68 present-day stress in the SGM1 well to identify the possible stress perturbations close to the Irpinia 69 fault. Then, borehole breakouts, downhole log data and tectonic structures along the deep well 70 SGM1 have been analysed.

#### 72 **2.** Geological-structural setting and regional seismicity

73 The southern Apennine belt is part of the Alpine orogens of the Mediterranean area, 74 developing from the interaction between the converging Africa-Apulian and European plates since 75 Late Cretaceous time, (e.g. D'Argenio et al., 1973; Dewey et al., 1989; Mazzoli and Helman, 1994 76 and references therein; Butler et al., 2004). The southern Apennines are a NE-verging fold-and-77 thrust belt that began to grow mainly since the lower Miocene (Bonardi et al., 1988; Patacca et al., 78 1990) due to deformation of the Adriatic subducting margin. The thrust belt is characterized by 79 allochthonous units derived from both carbonate platform and pelagic basin successions (Apenninic 80 Platform and Lagonegro Basin, respectively), which are stratigraphically overlain by Neogene 81 foredeep and wedge-basin deposits (Miocene and Pliocene successions). These units are completely 82 detached from their original substratum and transported onto the foreland sequence of the Apulian 83 carbonate Platform (e.g. Doglioni et al., 1996; Mazzoli et al., 2004).

84 In the early Pliocene (Scandone et al., 2003), the entire pile of nappes overthrusts the Apulian 85 carbonate platform giving rise to a complex duplex system (e.g., Mostardini and Merlini, 1996; 86 Cello et al., 1987, 1989; Casero et al., 1988; Ascione et al., 2003; Patacca and Scandone, 2007). In 87 this tectonic context, the thrust sheet emplacement moved following the opening of the Tyrrhenian 88 back-arc basin (Patacca et al., 1990) as a consequence of the roll-back of the subducting Adriatic 89 plate (Malinverno and Ryan, 1986; Doglioni, 1991). Only in the middle-late Pliocene, the Apulia 90 Platform underwent shortening processes that created duplexing in the deep-seated carbonates and 91 displacement of the overlying allochthonous sheets (Patacca and Scandone, 2007). The processes of 92 thrusting and Adriatic-verging nappe transport have been active on the eastern side until the lower 93 part of middle Pleistocene (Casero et al., 1988; Patacca et al., 1990; Roure et al., 1991; Cinque et 94 al., 1993; Pieri et al., 1997; Patacca and Scandone, 2001), when the flexure-hinge retreat in the 95 Adriatic plate suddenly stopped (Patacca and Scandone, 2007).

For further information on the geological and structural setting, the reader is referred to the wide
existing literature (e.g., Casero et al., 1991; Patacca and Scandone, 1989; 2004; Lavecchia, 1988;
Pescatore et al., 1999; Galadini et al., 2000; Giano et al., 2000; Lentini et al., 2002; Valensise and
Pantosti, 2001; Schiattarella et al., 2003; Scrocca et al., 2007).

Concerning the seismicity, the southern Apennines are characterized by recent low magnitude seismic events, punctuated by large historical earthquakes that shook the area in the past (CPTI Working Group, 2004) (Figure 2). Strong historical events (Io=IX-X) have been well documented since 1500: 1561-Vallo di Diano; 1694-Irpinia; 1826-Tito; 1831-Rivello; 1836-Lagonegro; 1851-Basilicata; 1857-Val d'Agri; 1930-Irpinia; 1980-Irpinia (Esposito et al., 1988; Marturano et al., 1988; Porfido et al., 1988; CPTI Working Group, 2004).

106 The instrumental seismicity, recorded by the Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia 107 (INGV) since 1981 is characterized by magnitudes generally lower than 4.0 (Castello et al., 2008). 108 Only a few isolated seismic sequences hit the southern Apennines in the last years (Figure 2, Table 109 1). In 1990-1991 the Potenza area was shaken by a seismic sequence (Mw=5.7 and Mw=5.2) which 110 occurred from about 2 to 25km depth with the mainshocks showing E-W dextral strike-slip 111 mechanism (Azzara et al., 1993; Ekström, 1994; CPTI Working Group, 2004; Di Luccio et al., 112 2005); in 1996 the Irpinia area was hit by a seismic sequence characterized by normal faulting 113 mechanisms with a mainshock (Mw=5.1) at 8km depth (Cocco et al., 1999; Cucci et al., 2004); in 114 1998 normal faulting earthquakes occurred in the north Pollino area with Mw=5.6 (Michetti et al., 115 2000; Pondrelli et al., 2002) and in 2002 the Melandro-Pergola basin was affected by Mw=4.1 116 earthquake at a depth of 9km (Cucci et al., 2004; Frepoli et al., 2005). The seismicity is mainly 117 placed along the axial part of the belt, within the shallow crust, showing NE-SW T-axes with 118 prevailing normal faulting focal mechanisms (Gasparini et al., 1985; Frepoli and Amato, 2000; 119 Pondrelli et al., 2006; Maggi et al., 2009 among many others). As mentioned above, this trend is 120 also coherent with the active stress field (Figure 2) inferred from geological and borehole breakout 121 data (e.g., Amato et al., 1995; Montone et al., 2004).

122

#### 123 **2.1 The 1980 M6.9 Irpinia earthquake**

124 In the last century, the most important and largest seismic event in the southern Apennines was 125 the Irpinia earthquake that occurred on November 23, 1980 with Ms=6.9 (Boschi et al., 1993). It 126 was one of the most disastrous events in Italy, causing the death of about 3000 people and the total 127 destruction of 15 towns within a radius of nearly 50km. This earthquake nucleated at a depth of 10-128 12km (Westaway and Jackson, 1987) with a focal mechanism characterized by NW-SE normal faulting planes and by a moment tensor of  $2.4*10^{19}$ Nm (Giardini, 1993) (Figure 3a). The aftershock 129 distribution indicated that the area of the earthquake source was about 14×40km<sup>2</sup> providing also 130 131 information on source geometry (Deschamps and King, 1980; Amato and Selvaggi, 1993) and on 132 the relationship between crustal structure and faulting mechanism (Chiarabba and Amato, 1994; 133 Improta et al., 2003). However, the 3D locations from local earthquake tomography did not allow 134 constraining the fault geometry at depth accurately (Deschamps and King, 1984).

135 The Irpinia earthquake consists of three subevents, at 0s (the mainshock), at ~20s and ~40s from 136 the mainshock (Bernard and Zollo, 1989). Associated to the 0s and 20s events, a 38km long rupture 137 at the surface was partially recognized by Westaway and Jackson (1984) and then totally defined by 138 Pantosti and Valensise (1990). Its mean strike is N308°, with a 60°-70° dip to the northeast with an 139 average value of normal slip of 61cm along the fault (Pantosti et al., 1993) and a total vertical throw 140 of about 50m in the last 150krs (Ascione et al., 2003; Improta et al., 2003). Pantosti and Valensise 141 (1990, 1993) divided the 1980-surface rupture into 3 main segments on the basis of geological and 142 geomorphological observations of the scarp, which is clearly linked to the coseismic deformation at 143 depth. These 3 scarp strands are, from northwest to southeast: the Cervialto, the Marzano-Carpineta 144 and the San Gregorio Magno (Figure 3a), separated by two surface faulting gaps (the Sele and the 145 San Gregorio Magno gap). The first shock (at 0 sec) is associated both to the Marzano-Carpineta 146 segment and to the Cervialto scarp (approximately 8-10 km long). The second event happened after 147 20s along a 8km San Gregorio Magno segment. Considering only seismological data, the last event

(40s) is supposed to be located on an antithetic, SW-dipping branch fault (Ofanto fault segment)
(Improta et al., 2003) in front of the Cervialto and Marzano-Carpineta structures (Figure 3a); no
evidence of surface rupture exists for this event.

151

#### 152 **3. San Gregorio Magno 1 well**

153

#### 3.1 Stratigraphy and structural outline

The SGM1 well is located at 797m elevation near the Marzano-Carpineta fault scarp (Figure 3b). It was drilled by British Gas RIMI in 1996-1997 down to a depth of 5900m with the goal of reaching a structural high in the Apulia carbonate Platform, which is generally a target of the oil industry in the southern Apennines, imaged by commercial and deep reflection profiles (Patacca and Scandone, 2007)) and gravity data modelling (Improta et al., 2003). The SGM1 well (Patacca, 2007) crossed three main tectonic units bounded by two thrust faults at 2280 m and 3377 m and different lithological units (Figure 4a).

161 According to Patacca (2007), the well penetrates 2280m of the Mesozoic carbonates of the 162 Alburno-Cervati Unit (part of the Apenninic carbonate Platform succession), ~1100m of the 163 Lagonegro Unit II (part of Lagonegro basinal succession) and ~2600m of the Apulian carbonate 164 Platform. The first Unit is composed of: i) "lime breccias" (early Cretaceous-Jurassic), typical of 165 slope environments and characterized by calcirudites and calcarenites, and ii) Dolomia Principale 166 Formation (late Triassic), deposited in a tidal flat environment and characterized by dolomites and 167 dolomitic limestones. The underlying Lagonegro Unit II, deposited in a deep-marine basin, consists 168 of: i) the Galestri Formation (early Cretaceous), that is mainly formed of claystones and shales and 169 subordinate calcilutites and calcarenites; ii) the Scisti Silicei Formation (Jurassic to Rhaetian), 170 containing calcarenites and radiolarites and subordinate siliceous shales (2735-3070m), and in the 171 lower part claystones and shales with subordinate calcarenites and calcilutites (3070-3200m); 172 finally iii) the M. Facito Formation (early-middle Triassic), formed by shales, sandstones, siltstones 173 and siliciclastic calcarenites, subordinate radiolarites and limestones. The deepest tectonic unit, the

174 Apulia, includes: "wildflysch" (middle Miocene to early Pliocene), siciliclastic flysch deposits 175 derived from the Apennines nappes deposited in foredeep basin; Gessoso Solfifera Formation 176 (Messinian) characterized by anhydrites and evaporitic limestones, typical of evaporitic carbonate 177 ramp; "lime breccias" (upper Cretaceous) typical of slope environment consisting of calcarenites, 178 calcilutites and calcirudites; "shallow-water carbonates" (Dogger-upper Cretaceous) deposited in a 179 shelf environment. Besides the two thrusts, following the reconstruction of Patacca (2007), the well 180 also encountered a system of SW-dipping normal faults at 440m and 3198m (Figure 4a). As the 181 surface rupture of the Irpinia fault is located ~1.3km westward from the well, according to its 182 geometry (N308° striking, 60°-70° northeast dipping), the borehole should intersect the fault within 183 the depth range  $\sim 2300-3800$  m (Figure 4a). As a matter of fact, the fault has not been unequivocally 184 recognized from the available data, either on stratigraphic logs or on seismic profiles. As mentioned 185 in the introduction, one possible explanation is that the relatively young fault has not yet developed 186 enough cumulated vertical slip to be clearly resolved by seismic reflection profiles (Pantosti and 187 Valensise, 1993; Improta et al., 2003; Cippitelli, 2007; Patacca, 2007). For this reason we have 188 jointly used different methods, including borehole breakouts and downhole logs, to identify the 189 possible active shear zones and the Irpinia fault.

190

191 **3.2 Borehole breakout data** 

192 Method

Borehole breakout analysis is an important tool for the evaluation of stress patterns in the uppermost crust (Bell and Gough, 1979; Zoback and Zoback, 1980, 1991; Zoback et al., 1985, 1989; Plumb and Cox, 1987). Breakouts fill the gap between data from earthquake focal mechanisms and surface measurements (e.g. active faults, extensional fractures and strain release measurements): they are the result of localized conjugate compressive shear failures that develop along deep wells in an anisotropic stress field with a triangular shape in cross-section. The shear planes are tangential to the circumference of the borehole and identify breakout zones parallel to the

direction of the  $S_{hmin}$ . The first breakout develops in the borehole very soon after the passage of the drill bit and continues to propagate along the axis of the borehole and into the formation (Bell and Gough, 1983). Mechanisms that explain the formation of these features have been extensively examined in the literature (Babcock, 1978; Bell and Gough, 1979; Zoback et al., 1985; Haimson and Heirrick, 1986; Zheng et al., 1989; Amadei and Stephansson, 1997).

205 Borehole breakouts are identified from four-arm caliper readings collected routinely with 206 conventional dipmeter logging tools. Different borehole geometries are defined as: i) "in-gauge", if 207 the hole has the dimensions of the drill bit called bit size; ii) "breakout zone", when one diameter is 208 elongated and the orthogonal one has the bit size dimension; iii) "key seat", when the drill-string 209 wear causes a pear-shaped borehole with an artificial elongation at the low side of the well. It 210 occurs when the borehole is highly deviated from vertical; iv) "washout", an enlargement of the 211 borehole in an "over-gauge hole" (Plumb and Hickmann, 1985). To obtain the average direction and 212 standard deviation of the least horizontal stress in the well, the statistical method from Mardia 213 (1972) has been applied in this study. Results are shown as rose diagrams scaled by length, and a 214 quality factor is assigned to each well (from A=highest quality to E=lowest quality) according to the 215 criteria used for the World Stress Map (Heidbach et al., 2008), first suggested by Zoback (1992).

216

217 Analysis

The breakout analysis of SGM1 well has been performed by four-arm caliper log data from 1200m to 5900m depth with two gaps (2645-2945m and 4775-4950m). The results of the investigation showed: a) key seats between 1200 and 2000 m; b) no breakout between 5585 and 5900 and c) breakouts between 2000 and 5585m.

The result of the entire well shows a cumulative breakout length of 675m with an average S<sub>hmin</sub> orientation of N18° and a standard deviation of 24° (Figure 4h), with a data quality C (according to

224 World Stress Map criteria; Heidbach et al., 2008).

225 A more detailed breakout analysis, considering all the data quality, has been performed for each 226 lithological and tectonic unit to understand the scattering of breakout data (Figure 4d, f, g and Table 227 2). We have identified a breakout zone from 2000 to 2075m in the Dolomia Principale (belonging to 228 the Alburno-Cervati tectonic unit) N348° oriented for a 75m total length. The Lagonegro II tectonic 229 unit is characterized by a  $S_{hmin}$  orientation N38°±33° and a length of 365m with five breakout zones related to: the Galestri Fm. with Shmin N310° oriented and a total length of 125m; the Scisti Silicei 230 231 Fm. N41° and a total length of 165m, and the M. Facito Unit with S<sub>hmin</sub> N33° trending and 75m long. 232 The Apulia tectonic unit is characterized by a  $S_{hmin}$  orientation N7°±28° for a total length of 607m: 233 in the Wildflysch S<sub>hmin</sub> is N325° oriented (total length of 245m) and in the Shallow-water carbonate 234 unit is N15° (total length of 362m) (Table 2). No breakouts have been found in the Gessoso Solfifera

and Lime Breccias units.

As the regional stress field is well constrained in the area (S<sub>hmin</sub> N44°±20°) (Montone et al., 1997; 236 Montone et al., 2004), we believe it is possible to distinguish, along the well, anomalous stress 237 238 directions departing from the regional trend and associate them with shear zones intersected by the 239 drilling (Shamir and Zoback, 1992; Barton and Zoback, 1994; Wu et al., 2007). Barton and Zoback 240 (1994) point out that the stress field rotates in proximity to an active fault due to small slip 241 increments on the fault, possibly induced by the tectonic movement or due to an increase of pore 242 pressure on the fault when drilling through it. With the purpose of identifying the Irpinia fault, the 243 stress field detected from SGM1 has been compared to the regional stress orientation. Any 244 deviation from the regional value has been considered as a local rotation of the stress field - if the 245 breakout orientation deviates from the regional one more than 15° - and is shown as clockwise or 246 anticlockwise rotation (Figure 4e). Along the SGM1 well, anomalously oriented breakouts occur in 247 five intervals: 2000m-2650m, 3450m-3855m, 4350-4560m, around 4800m and around 5500m 248 (Figure 4d-e).

As observed in other deep wells, when the breakout trend abruptly changes it is possible to identify faults and/or fracture zones otherwise difficult to recognize by seismic reflection data and drill

cutting analysis (Bell et al., 1992). We have distinguished four zones that separate different
breakout orientations (Figure 4): from 2650m to 2950m (zone 1), 3275m to 3450m (zone 2), 3855m
to 4320m (zone 3), and 5057m to 5460m (zone 4).

254 Considering the uncertainty of the fault geometry, three of the anomalous zones (zone 1, 2 and 255 3) are located around the area where the well is supposed to crosscut the Irpinia fault: in fact 256 according to most authors (e.g., Boschi et al., 1981), the Irpinia fault has a dip between 60° to 70° 257 corresponding to a depth of intersection with SGM1 approximately between 2300m to 3800m 258 (Figure 4).

259

#### 260 4. Breakout versus downhole geophysical data

The stress field along the SGM1 well has been studied using two approaches. First, we have analysed the borehole breakout data to obtain information on the present-day stress field. Second, we have used these data and the downhole logs to identify possible shear zones and the Irpinia fault itself. Shear zones at depth usually show physical properties different from the nearby undamaged rock and these features can be recorded by downhole logs. As by now observed in other drilled active faults (Wu et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2008) the shear zones correspond to the most significant changes in sonic and resistivity curves with respect to their average trend at depth.

Assuming the SGM1 well crossed the Irpinia fault we have analyzed three downhole curves (gamma ray, resistivity and sonic; Figure 5) in order to detect their anomalous physical properties with respect to overall value characterizing each lithology. For our analysis we have used an extremely detailed stratigraphic log (courtesy of Etta Patacca and Paolo Scandone) that allowed us to recognize and discard the anomalies related to the small-scale lithological variations and to the identified faults. In fact, according to this interpretation those faults are westward dipping, whereas the Irpinia fault dips toward east.

The remaining sectors showing significant changes in downhole logs are located at the following depths (Figure 5): around 2350m (sector A), around 3100m (sector B) with two small

277 areas, around 3800m (sector C), around 4300m (sector D) and around 4700m (sector E). Considering 278 the Irpinia fault geometry (60°-70° dipping) we can exclude the two deepest sectors (D and E). 279 Particularly, in the sector A the sonic curve shows a decrease with respect its mean value (Figure 5) 280 while the other curves do not seem to show any anomaly; in sector B we have identified two small 281 zones showing anomalous peaks in all the curves (a decrease in gamma ray and sonic logs and an 282 increase in resistivity, Figure 5). Finally, in sector C we have identified a well defined peak showing 283 an increase in the sonic curve and a decrease in the resistivity curve trend (Figure 5). All these 284 sectors correspond to washout zones (Figure 5b) that also support the presence of shear zones. In 285 fact, when a borehole crosses a highly fractured area, the stress concentration enhances the effect of 286 natural fractures that are mechanically weaker, and can easily produce collapse of the wellbore wall, 287 detected as enlargements of the borehole size. Only sectors A and C are located within the 288 previously identified five anomalous breakout intervals, whereas sector B is located where breakouts 289 are consistent with the regional S<sub>hmin</sub> orientation. As mentioned before, abrupt breakout rotations 290 indicate the presence of active shear zones. For this reason we suggest that the Irpinia fault is located 291 around 2350m (sector A) or around 3800m (sector C). In both zones we have more confident data 292 revealing not only abrupt breakout rotations (~NW-SE oriented) but also washout presence, 293 geophysical log anomalies not directly connected to the lithology, and consistency with the fault dip 294 deduced from focal mechanisms and surface data.

295

#### 296 **5. Discussion and conclusion**

The stress analysis has allowed us to constrain the  $S_{hmin}$  orientation along the well (N18°±24°), suggesting that it is moderately consistent with the neighbouring regions (Figure 6). The slight difference between the regional stress field ( $S_{hmin}$ = N44°±20°) and the achieved SGM1  $S_{hmin}$  is due to the presence of ~N-S  $S_{hmin}$  orientations in the deepest part of the well, mainly within the Apulia tectonic unit (Figure 4g and Figure 6). In Figure 6 breakouts are shown as rose diagrams instead of average  $S_{hmin}$  orientations; in fact we believe that the average  $S_{hmin}$  orientations are more appropriate

for regional tectonic reconstructions, whereas for local tectonic interpretations it is preferable to consider rose diagrams, where it is easier to resolve the contribution of possible different orientations within the same well.

306 Two main directions are observable not only in SGM1 but also in other breakout solutions (even 307 of higher quality, A or B) along the belt and the foredeep. A ~N-S orientation has been recognized 308 also in another deep well located in the northern part of the Irpinia area (Figure 6) and could be 309 linked to the presence of ~E-W tectonic structures or to the changing trend of the NW-oriented 310 faults. Actually, it is a common feature of a fault plane showing variable orientations that can 311 correspond to slight deviations in the local principal stress orientations. The inferred S<sub>hmin</sub> orientation 312 is not exactly at 90° with respect to the N308° Irpinia fault mean direction, but it is fairly consistent 313 with the "zig-zagging" trend of the fault (Pantosti and Valensise, 1993) and with the local tectonic 314 structures displaying ~E-W directions (Caiazzo et al., 1992; Ascione et al., 2003). In detail, in this 315 area there is interference between the N150° and N110°-100° trends relative to the Marzano-316 Carpineta structure and Mt. Ogna fault respectively, both reactivated during the late Quaternary 317 (Ascione et al., 2003).

Concerning seismological data, in correspondence of the Irpinia area a lot of earthquake focal mechanisms (Cocco et al., 1999; Cucci et al., 2004; Frepoli et al., 2005; Maggi et al., 2009) exhibit both normal faulting and strike slip mechanisms (Figure 6). Particularly, the fault plane solutions closer to the well clearly show ~N-S oriented T-axis,on ~E-W nodal planes (Figure 6 and Table 1, solutions from 20 to 23), which fit the deepest results of the breakout analysis.

Then, we hypothesize that the localized stress rotations along the SGM1 well probably result from slip on nearby faults indicating active deformation in the crust. Also the geophysical logs show significant anomalies in the physical properties in correspondence of two hypothesized major active shear zones: at 2350m (sector A) and around 3800m (sector C), depths where we suppose the Irpinia fault is located.

328 Then, we propose some possible hypotheses in terms of general geometry of the Irpinia fault, 329 especially concerning its dip, segmentation or continuity (Figure 7). Starting from the information 330 that the fault dips 60° at 10-12km depth, as inferred from the focal mechanism solution of the 1980 331 Irpinia earthquake, and taking into account the lower and upper bounds of the surface fault dip (60° 332 and  $70^{\circ}$ ) we obtain four different options. If the well crosses the fault in the sector A (i.e. the fault 333 dip is around 60°) we can suppose: 1) a straight fault plane 60° dipping from surface to focal depth 334 (red line in Figure 7); or 2) a fault plane changing dip from  $70^{\circ}$  at surface to  $60^{\circ}$  immediately below 335 (blue line in Figure 7). Whereas, if sector C is the true hole-fault intersection (i.e. fault dip around 336 70°) we can have: 3) an irregular fault plane trend (like a "vertical segmentation") with two dip 337 changes, from  $60^{\circ}$  to  $70^{\circ}$  at few kilometres from the surface and from  $70^{\circ}$  to  $60^{\circ}$  around 10 km; or 4) 338 a 70° dipping fault changing to 60° down to focal depth (yellow line in Figure 7). The first two 339 hypotheses (numbers 1 and 2) differ only for the dip at surface and then can be considered as one 340 hypothesis. Among these different hypotheses we believe that the number 3 is the less reliable 341 considering its geometry and also it is very similar to the hypothesis number 4 at depth. Then we 342 concentrate on the last hypothesis that agrees with the fault geometry proposed by Amato and 343 Selvaggi (1993) based on the seismicity distribution and earthquake focal mechanisms. Occurrence 344 of the fault in sector C is also consistent with the idea of stress decoupling due to the Irpinia fault, as 345 supported by breakout orientations along the well that show a prevailing ~NNE-SSW direction 346 (immediately below sector C) and ~NS in the deepest part of the well (4300-5600m; Figure 4, rose 347 plot of column f). We believe that this sector has a slightly higher degree of probability, with respect 348 to sector A, to be the real location of Irpinia fault along SGM1 well and then, the yellow line the 349 most probable geometry of the fault (Figure 7).

The identification of fault geometry is an important factor for the characterization of the seismic behaviour and therefore the seismic hazard assessment of an area. The seismic behaviour of a fault can be considered both in space and in time. In the first case, the location and the geometry of the active faults influence the interactions with the surrounding faults that may accumulate stress or

354 initiate. This observation is consistent with substantial release of accumulated strain energy during

355 earthquakes and a redistribution of stress in adjacent areas (e.g., Marzocchi et al., 2009). In the

356 second case, the seismic behaviour of a fault is studied by examining the historical seismicity and

357 the paleoseismological records to estimate recurrence intervals along different fault segments.

- 358 In this paper, the most significant result is that using downhole log and borehole breakout data is
- 359 possible to constrain the most likely location of an active fault at depth.

360

361

#### 362 Acknowledgement

This work was partially funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research in the framework of Project FIRB "Research and Development of New Technologies for Protection and Defense of Territory from Natural Risks", W.P. C3 "Crustal Imaging in Italy", coordinated by P. Montone. Many thanks are due to the reviewers for their precious suggestions which greatly improved the paper.

368

#### 369 **References**

Amadei, B., Stephansson, O., 1997. Rock stress and its measurement. Chapman and Hall, London, p.
490.

Amato, A., Selvaggi, G., 1993. Aftershock location and P-velocity structure in the epicentral region
of the 1980 Irpinia earthquake. Ann. Geofis. 36, 3-15.

Amato, A., Montone, P., Cesaro, M., 1995. State of stress in southern Italy from borehole breakout
and focal mechanisms data. Geophys. Res. Lett. 22, 3119-3122.

Ascione, A., Cinque, A., Improta, L., Villani, F., 2003. Late Quaternary faulting within the Southern
Apennines seismic belt: new data from Mt. Marzano area (Southern Italy). Quaternary International
101–102, 27–41.

- Azzara, R., Basili, A., Beranzoli., L., Chiarabba, C., Di Giovambattista, R., Selvaggi, G., 1993. The
  seismic sequence of Potenza (May 1990). Ann. Geofis. 36 (1), 237-243.
- Babcock, E.A., 1978. Measurement of subsurface fractures from dipmeter logs. AAPG Bulletin 62 (7), 1111-1126. Reprinted in 1990, in Foster, N.H., and Beaumont, E.A., eds., Formation evaluation
- 383 II-log-interpretazion: AAPG Treatise of Petroleum Geology Reprint Series n. 17, pp. 457-472.

- Barton, C.A., Zoback, M.D., 1994. Stress perturbations associated with active stress faults penetrated by boreholes: Possible evidence for near-complete stress drop and the a new technique for stress magnitude measurements. J. Geophys. Res. 99 (B5), 9373-9390.
- Bell, J.S., Gough, D.I., 1979. Northeast-southwest compressive stress in Alberta: evidence from oil
  wells. Earth and Plan. Sc. Lett. 45, 475-482.
- Bell, J.S., Gough, D.I., 1983. The use of borehole breakout in the study of crustal stress, in
  Hydraulic fracturing stress measurements. Edit by D.C., National Academy press, Washington.
- Bell, J.S., Caillet, G., Adams, J., 1992. Attempts to detect open fractures and non-sealing faults with
  dipmeter logs. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 65, 211-220,
  doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1992.065.01.16.
- Bernard, P., Zollo, A., 1989. The Irpinia (Italy) 1980 Earthquake: Detailed Analysis of a Complex
  Normal Faulting. J. Geophys. Res. 94, 1631-1648.
- Bonardi, G., D'Argenio, B., Perrone, V., 1988. Carta geologica dell'Appennino meridionale alla
  scala 1:250.000. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 41, 1341, 1 tav.
- Boschi, E., Mulargia, F., Mantovani, E., Bonafede, M., Dziewonski, A.M., Woodhouse, J.H., 1981.
  The Irpinia earthquake of Novembre 23, 1980. Eos Trans. AGU 6, p. 330.
- Boschi, E., Pantosti, D., Slejko, D., Stucchi, M., Valensise, G., 1993. Special issue on the meeting
  "Irpinia Dieci annAnni i Dopo", Sorrento 19-24 November 1990. Ann. Geofis. 36 (1), 353 pp,
  Editrice Compositori.
- Butler, R.W.H., Mazzoli, S., Corrado, S., De Donatis, S., Di Bucci, D., Gambini, R., Naso, G.,
  Nicolai, C., Scrocca, D., Shiner, P., Zucconi, V., 2004. Applying thick-skinned tectonic models to
  the Apennine thrust belt of Italy—Limitations and implications, in: K. R. McClay (Ed.), Thrust
  tectonics and hydrocarbon systems. AAPG Memoir 82, 647–667.
- 407 Caiazzo, C., Giovine, G., Ortolani, F., Pagliuca, S., Schiattarella, M., Vitale, C., 1992. Genesi ed
  408 evoluzione strutturale della depressione tettonica dell'Alta Valle del Sele (Appennino Campano409 Lucano). Studi Geologici Camerti 1, 245–255.
- 410 Casero, P., Roure, F., Endignoux, L., Moretti, C., Sage, L., Vially, R., 1988. Neogene geodynamic 411 evolution of the Southern Apennines. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 41 (1), 109-120.
- Casero, P., Roure, F., Vially R., 1991. Tectonic framework and petroleum potential of the southern
  Apennines, In Generation, accumulation and production of Europe's hydrocarbons, edited by
  Spencer A.M., Spec. Publ. European Assoc. Petroleum Geosci. 1, 381-387.
- 415 Castello, B., Moschillo, R., Pignone, M., Vinci, S., Doumaz, F., Nostro, C., Selvaggi, G., 2008.
- 416 Carta della sismicità in Italia 2000-2007. http://csi.rm.ingv.it/, 2008.

- 417 Castillo, D.A., Zoback, M.D., 1994. Systematic variations in stress state in the southern San Joaquin
- Valley: inferences based on well-bore data and contemporary seismicity. Am. Ass. Petrol. Geol.
  Bull. 78 (8), 1257-1275.
- 420 Cello, G., Paltrinieri, W., Tortrici, L., 1987. Caratterizzazione strutturale delle zone esterne 421 dell'Appennino molisano. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 38, 155-161.
- 422 Cello, G., Martini, N., Paltrinieri, W., Tortorici, L., 1989. Structural styles in the frontal zones of the 423 Southern Apennines, Italy: an example from the Molise district. Tectonics 8, 753–768.
- Chiarabba, C., Amato, A., 1994. From tomographic images to fault heterogeneities. Ann. Geofis. 37
  (6), 1481-1494.
- 426 Cinque, A., Patacca, E., Scandone, P., Tozzi, M., 1993. Quaternary kinematic evolution of the 427 Southern Apennines. Relationships between surface geological features and deep lithospheric 428 structures. Ann. Geofis. 36 (2), 249-260.
- Cinti, F.R., Faenza, L., Marzocchi, W., Montone, P., 2004. Probability map of the next M≥5.5
  earthquakes in Italy. Geochem. Geophys. and Geosystems 5 (11), doi:10.1029/2004GC000724.
- Cippitelli, G., 2007. The Crop-04 seismic profile. Interpretation and structural setting of the
  Agropoli-Barletta Geotraverse, in: Mazzotti, A., Patacca, E., Scandone, P. (Eds), Results of the
  CROP Project sub-project CROP04 southern Apennines (Italy), Boll. Soc. Geol. It., special issue 7,
  267-281.
- Cocco, M., Chiarabba, C., Di Bona, M., Selvaggi, G., Margheriti, L., Frepoli, A., Lucente, F.P.,
  Basili, A., Jongmans, D., Campillo, M., 1999. The April 1996 Irpinia seismic sequence: evidence for
  fault interaction. J. of Seism. 3, 105-117.
- 438 CPTI Working Group, Catalogo parametrico dei terremoti Italiani, version 2004 (CPTI04), Istituto 439 Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Bologna, 2004. (Available at 440 http://emidius.mi.ingv.it/CPTI).
- 441 Cucci, L., Pondrelli, S., Frepoli, A., Mariucci, M.T., Moro, M., 2004. A multidisciplinary approach 442 for the characterization of the seismogenic sources in seismic gap areas: first results from the 443 Pergola-Melandro basin and the Agri valley (Southern Italy). Geophys. J. Int. 156, 575-583, 444 doi:10.1111/j.1365-246X.2004.02161.x.
- D'Argenio, B., Pescatore, T., Scandone, P., 1973. Schema geologico dell'Appennino meridionale
  (Campania e Lucania). Atti Acc. Naz. Lincei, Quad. 183, 49-73.
- Deschamps, A., King, G.C.P., 1980. The Campania Lucania (southern Italy) earthquake of
  November 23, 1980. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 62, 296-304.
- Deschamps, A., King, G.C.P., 1984. Aftershocks of the Campania-Lucania (Italy) earthquake of 23
  November 1980. Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am. 74, 2483-2517.

- 451 Dewey, J.F., Helman, M.L., Turco, E., Hutton, D.H.W., Knott, S.D., 1989. Kinematics of the
- 452 western Mediterranean, in: Coward, M.P., Dietrich, D., Park, R.G. (Eds.), Alpine Tectonics,
- 453 Geological Society Special Publication 45, 265-283.
- 454 Di Luccio, F., Piscini, A., Pino, N.A., Ventura, G., 2005. Reactivation of deep faults beneath 455 southern Apennines: evidence from the 1990-1991 Potenza seismic sequences. Terra Nova 17, 586-456 590.
- 457 Ding, Y.C., Zhang, D.L., 1991. Application of rock acoustic emission in crustal stress measurement.
  458 J. of Rock Mechanics and Engineering 10 (4), 326–331.
- 459 Doglioni, C., 1991. A proposal of kinematic modelling for W-dipping subductions possible 460 applications to the Tyrrhenian–Apennines system. Terra Nova 3, 423-434.
- 461 Doglioni, C., Harabaglia, P., Martinelli, G., Mongelli, F., Zito, G., 1996. A geodynamic model of the
  462 Southern Apennines accretionary wedge. Terra Nova 8, 540-547.
- Ekström, G., 1994. Teleseismic analysis of the 1990 and 1991 earthquakes near Potenza. Ann.Geofis. 37, 1591-1599.
- Esposito, E., Luongo, G., Maturano, A., Porfido, S., 1988. I terremoti recenti dal 1980 al 1986
  nell'Appennino meridionale. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 41 (2), 1117-1128.
- Faenza, L., Pierdominici, S., 2007. Statistical occurrence analysis and spatio-temporal distribution
  of earthquakes in the Apennines (Italy). Tectonophysics 439, 13-31,
  doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2007.02.019.
- 470 Frepoli, A., Amato, A., 2000. Fault-plane solutions of crustal earthquakes in southern Italy (1988471 1995): seismotectonic implications. Ann. Geofis. 43, 437-467.
- Frepoli, A., Cinti, F.R., Amicucci, L., Cimini, G.B., De Gori, P., Pierdominici, S., 2005. Pattern of
  seismicity in the Lucanian Apennines and foredeep (Southern Italy) from recording by SAPTEX
  temporary array. Ann. Geophys 48 (6), 1035-1054.
- Galadini, F., Meletti, C., Vittori, E.A., 2000. Stato delle conoscenze sulle faglie attive in Italia:
  elementi geologici di superficie: Risultati del Progetto 5.1.2 "Inventario delle faglie attive e dei
  terremoti ad esse associabili, in Le ricerche del GNDT nel campo della pericolosità sismica (19961999), in: Galadini, F., Meletti, C., Rebez, A. (Eds), pp. 107-136, CNR- Gruppo Nazionale per la
  Difesa dai Terremoti, Roma.
- Gasparini, P., Iannaccone, G., Scarpa, R., 1985. Fault-plane solutions and seismicity of the Italian
  peninsula. Tectonophysics 117, 59-78.
- Giano, S., Maschio, L., Alessio, M., Ferranti, L., Improta, L., Schiattarella, M., 2000. Radiocarbon
  dating of active faulting in the High Agri Valley, southern Italy. J. of Geodyn. 29, 371-386.

484 Giardini, D., 1993. Teleseismic observation of the November 23 1980, Irpinia earthquake. Ann.
485 Geofis. 36 (1), 17-25.

Haimson, B.C., Heirrick, C.G., 1986. Borehole breakouts- a new tool for estimating in situ stress? in:
O. Stephansson (Ed), Proceedings International Symposium on Rock Stress and Rock Stress
Measurements, pp. 271-280, Stockholm.

- Heidbach, O., Tingay, M., Barth, A., Reinecker, J., Kurfeß, D., Müller, B., 2008. The 2008 release
  of the World Stress Map. (available online at www.world-stress-map.org)
- Improta, L., Bonagura, M., Capuano, P., Iannaccone, G., 2003. An integrated geophysical
  investigation of the upper crust in the epicentral area of the 1980, Ms=6.9, Irpinia earthquake
  (Southern Italy). Tectonophysics 361, 139-169.
- 494 INGV-CNT Seismic Bulletin http://csi.rm.ingv.it
- Lavecchia, G., 1988. The Tyrrhenian Apennines system: structural setting and seismotectogenesis.
  Tectonophysics 147, 263-296.
- 497 Lentini, F., Carbone, S., Di Stefano, A., Guarnieri, P., 2002. Stratigraphical and structural constraints
- 498 in the Lucanian Apennines (southern Italy): tools for reconstructing the geological evolution. J. of
- 499 Geodyn. 34 (1), 141-158.
- 500 Maggi, C., Frepoli, A., Cimini, G.B., Console, R., Chiappini, M., 2009. Recent seismicity and 501 crustal stress field in the Lucanian Apennines and surrounding areas (Southern Italy): seismotectonic
- 502 implications. Tectonophysics 463, 130–144.
- 503 Malinverno, A., Ryan, W.B.F., 1986. Extension in the Tyrrhenian Sea and shortening in the 504 Apennines as a result of arc migration driven by sinking of the lithosphere. Tectonics 5, 227–245.
- 505 Mardia, K.V., 1972. Statistics of directional data, Academic Press, San Diego, California, pp. 357.
- Mariucci, M.T., Amato, A., Gambini, R., Giorgioni, M., Montone, P., 2002. Along-depth stress
  rotations and active faults: An example in a 5-km deep well of southern Italy. Tectonics 21 (4),
  1021, 10.1029/2001TC001338.
- Marturano, A., Esposito, E., Porfido, S., Luongo, G., 1988. Il terremoto del 4 ottobre 1983
  (Pozzuoli); attenuazione dell'intensita con la distanza e relazione magnitudino-intensita; zonazione della Città di Napoli. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 41 (2), 941-948.
- Marzocchi, W., Selva, J., Cinti, F.R., Montone, P., Pierdominici, S., Schivardi, R., Boschi, E., 2009.
  On the occurrence of large earthquakes: New insights from a model based on interacting faults
  embedded in a realistic tectonic setting. J. of Geophys. Res. 114 (B01307),
  doi:10.1029/2008JB005822.

- 516 Mazzoli, S., Helman, M., 1994. Neogene patterns of relative plate motion for Africa-Europe: some 517 implications for recent central Mediterranean tectonics. Geologische Rundschau 83, 464-468.
- Mazzoli, S., Invernizzi, C., Marchegiani, L., Mattioni, L., Cello, G., 2004. Brittle-ductile shear zone
  evolution and fault initiation in limestones, Monte Cugnone (Lucania), southern Apennines, Italy,
  in: Alsop, I., Holdsworth, R.E. (Eds.), Transport and Flow Processes in Shear Zones, Geological
  Society, London, Special Publications 224, 353-373.
- 522 Michetti, A.M., Ferrelli, L., Esposito, E., Porfido, E., Blumetti, A.M., Vittori, E., Serva, L., Roberts
- 523 G.P., 2000. Ground effects during the 9 september 1998, Mw=5.6, Lauria earthquake and the
- seismic potential of the "aseismic" Pollino region in Southern Italy. Seism. Res. Let. 41 (1), 31-46.
- 525 Montone, P., Amato, A., Frepoli, A., Mariucci, M.T., Cesaro, M., 1997. Crustal stress regime in 526 Italy. Ann. Geofis. 40 (3), 741-757.
- 527 Montone, P., Mariucci, M.T., Pondrelli, S., Amato, A., 2004. An improved stress map for Italy and 528 surrounding regions (central Mediterranean). J. Geophys. Res. 109(B10410), 529 doi:10.1029/2003JB002703.
- 530 Mostardini, F., Merlini, S., 1986. Appennino centro-meridionale. Sezioni geologiche e proposta di 531 modello strutturale. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 35, 177-202.
- Pantosti, D., Valensise, G., 1990. Faulting mechanism and complexity of the 23 November, 1980,
  Campania-Lucania earthquake inferred form surface observations. J. Geophys. Res. 95 (B10),
  15319-15341.
- Pantosti, D., Valensise, G., 1993. Source geometry and long-term behavior of the 1980, Irpinia
  earthquake fault based on field geologic observations. Ann. Geofis. 36 (1), 41-49.
- 537 Pantosti, D., Schwartz, D.P., Valensise, G., 1993. Paleoseismology along the 1980 surface rupture of
- the Irpinia fault: implications for earthquake recurrence in the southern Apennines, Italy. J. Geophys.
   Res. 98 (B4), 6561-6577.
- Patacca, E., 2007. Stratigraphic constraints on the CROP-04 seismic line interpretation, in: Mazzotti,
  A., Patacca, E., Scandone, P. (Eds), Results of the CROP Project sub-project CROP04 southern
  Anonpines (Italy) Poll See Geol It, special issue 7, 185, 230
- 542 Apennines (Italy), Boll. Soc. Geol. It., special issue, 7, 185-239.
- Patacca, E., Scandone, P., 1989. Post-Tortonian mountain building in the Apennines: The role of the
  passive sinking of a relic lithosphere slab, in Boriani, A. et al. (Eds), The lithosphere in Italy,
  Advances in Earth Science Research 80, pp. 157-176, Acc. Naz. dei Lincei, Rome.
- Advances in Earth Science Research 80, , pp. 157-176, Acc. Naz. dei Lincei, Rome.
- 546 Patacca, E., Scandone, P., 2001. Late thrust propagation and sedimentary response in the thrust-belt-
- 547 foredeep system of the southern Apennines (Pliocene-Pleistocene), in: Vai, G.B., Martini, I.P. (Eds),
- 548 Anatomy of an Orogen: the Apennines and adjacent Mediterranean Basins, pp. 401-440, Kluwer
- 549 Academic Publishers.

- 550 Patacca, E., Scandone, P., 2004. The Plio-Pleistocene thrust belt-foredeep system in the southern 551 Apennines and Sicily (Southern Apenninic Arc, Italy), in: Crescenti, U., D'offizi, S., Merlini, S.,
- 552 Sacchi, R. (Eds), Geology of Italy, spec. vol. Ital. Geol. Soc. IGC 32 Florence 2004, 93-129.

Patacca, E., Scandone, P., 2007. Constraints on interpretation of the CROP-04 seismic line derived
from Plio-Pleistocene foredeep and thrust-sheet-top deposits, in: Mazzotti, A., Patacca, E.,
Scandone, P. (Eds), Results of the CROP Project sub-project CROP04 southern Apennines (Italy),
Boll. Soc. Geol. It., special issue 7, 241-256.

- 557 Patacca, E., Scandone, P., Sartori, R., 1990. Tyrrhenian basin and Apenninic arcs: kinematic 558 relations since Late Tortonian times. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 45, 425-451.
- 559 Pescatore, T., Renda, P., Schiattarella, M., Tramutoli, M., 1999. Stratigraphic and structural
- relationships between Meso-Cenozoic Lagonegro basin and coeval carbonate platforms in Southern Apennines, Italy. Tectonophysics 315 (1-4), 269-286.
- Pieri, P., Vitale, G., Beneduce, P., Doglioni, C., Gallicchio, S., Giano, S.I., Loizzo, R., Moretti, M.,
  Prosser, G., Sabato, L., Schiattarella, M., Tramutoli M., Tropeano, M., 1997. Tettonica quaternaria
- nell'area bradanico-ionica. Il Quaternario 10, 535-542.
- Plumb, R.A., Hickman, S.H., 1985. Stress-induced borehole elongation: a comparison between the
  four-arm dipmeter and the borehole televiewer in the Auburn geothermal well. J. Geophys. Res. 90
  (B7), 5513-5521.
- Plumb, R.A., Cox, J.W., 1987. Stress directions in eastern North America determined to 4.5 km from
  borehole elongation measurements. J. Geophys. Res. 92, 4805-4816.
- Pondrelli, S., Morelli, A., Ekström, G., Mazza, S., Boschi, E., Dziewonski, A.M., 2002. EuropeanMediterranean regional centroid-moment tensors; 1997-2000. Phys. Earth Planet. Int. 130 (1-2), 71101.
- Pondrelli, S., Salimbeni, S., Ekström, G., Morelli, A., Gasperini, P., Vannucci G., 2006. The Italian
  CMT dataset from 1977 to the present. Phys. Earth Planet. Int. 159 (3-4), 286-303,
  doi:10.1016/j.pepi.2006.07.008, available at <u>www.ingv.it/seismoglo/RCMT</u>.
- 576 Porfido, S., Esposito, E., Luongo, G., Maturano, A., 1988. I terremoti del XIX secolo dell'Appennino
  577 Campano-Lucano. Mem. Soc. Geol. It. 41 (2), 1105-1116.
- Roure, F., Casero, P., Vially, R., 1991. Growth processes and melange formation in the southern
  Aoennines accretionary wedge. Earth Plan. Sci. Lett. 102, 395-412.
- 580 Scandone, P., Mazzotti, A., Fradelizio, G.L., Patacca, E., Stucchi, E., Tozzi, M., Zanzi, L., 2003.
- 581 Line CROP 04: Southern Apennines, in: Scrocca, D., Doglioni, C., Innocenti, F., Manetti, P.,
- 582 Mazzotti, A., Bertelli, L., Burbi, L., D'Offizi, S. (Eds), Crop Atlas Seismic reflection profiles of 583 the italian crust, Mem. Desc. della Carta Geol. d'It. 62, 155-165.

Schiattarella, M., Di Leo, P., Beneduce, P., Giano, I.S., 2003. Quaternary uplift vs tectonic loading:
a case study from the Lucanian Apennine, southern Italy. Quaternary Int. 101-102, 239-251.

Scrocca, D., Sciamanna, S., Di Luzio, E., Tozzi, M., Nicolai, C., Gambini, R., 2007. Structural
setting along the CROP-04 deep seismic profile (Southern Apennines – Italy), in: Mazzotti, A.,
Patacca, E., Scandone, P. (Eds), Results of the CROP Project sub-project CROP04 southern
Apennines (Italy), Boll. Soc. Geol. It., special issue, 7, 283-296.

- 590 Seto, M., Utagawa, M., Katsuyama, K., Kiyama, T., 1998. In situ stress determination using AE and 591 DRA techniques. Int. J. Rock Mech. and Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abs. 35 (4-5), 458-459.
- 592 Shamir, G., Zoback, M.D., 1992. Stress orientation profile to 3.5km depth near the San Andreas 593 Fault at Cajon Pass, California. J. Geophys. Res. 97 (B4), 5059-5080.
- Valensise, G., Pantosti, D., 2001. The investigation of potential earthquake sources in peninsular
  Italy: a review. J. of Seismol. 5, 287-306.
- 596 Westaway, R., Jackson, J., 1984. Surface faulting in the southern Italian Campania-Basilicata 597 earthquake of 23 November 1980. Nature 312, 436-438.
- 598 Westaway, R., Jackson, J., 1987. The earthquake of 1980 November 23 in Campania-Basilicata 599 (southern Italy). Geophys, J. R. Astr. Soc. 90, 375-443.
- Wu, H.Y., Ma, K.F., Zoback, M.D., Boness, N., Ito, H., Hung, J.H., Hickman, S., 2007. Stress
  orientations of Taiwan Chelungpu-Fault Drilling Project (TCDP) hole-A as observed from
  geophysical logs. Geophys. Res. Let. 34, L01303, doi:10.1029/2006GL028050.
- Wu, Y.H., Yeh, E.C., Dong, J.J., Kuo, L.W., Hsu, J.Y., Hung, J.H., 2008. Core-log integration studies in hole-A of Taiwan Chelungpu-fault Drilling Project. Geophys. J. Int. 174, 949-965.
- Zheng, Z., Kemeny, J., Cook, N.G., 1989. Analysis of borehole breakout. J. Geophys. Res. 94, 7171-7182.
- Zoback, M.L., 1992. First- and second-order patterns of stress in the lithosphere: the world stress
  map project. J. Geophys. Res. 97 (B8), 703-728.
- Zoback, M.L., Zoback, M.D., 1980. State of stress in the conterminous United States. J. Geophys.
  Res. 85 (B11), 6113-6156.
- 611 Zoback, M.D., Zoback, M.L., 1991. Tectonic stress field of North America and relative plate
- 612 motions, in: Slemmons, D.B., Engdahl, E.R., Zoback, M.D., Blackwell, D.D., The Geology of North
- 613 America. Neotectonics of North America, Geol. Soc. Am. 339-366.
- Coback, M.D., Moos, D., Mastin, L., Anderson, R.N., 1985. Well bore breakouts and in situ stress. J.
- 615 Geophys. Res. 90 (B7), 5523-5530.

Zoback, M.L., Zoback, M.D., Adams, J., Assumpçao, M., Bell, S., Bergman, E.A., Blumling, P.,
Brereton, N.R., Denham, D., Ding, J., Fuchs, K., Gay, N., Gregersen, S., Gupta, H.K., Gvishiani, A.,
Jacob, K., Klein, R., Knoll, P., Magee, M., Mercier, J.L., Müller, B.C., Paquin, C., Rajendran, K.,
Stephansson, O., Suarez, G., Suter, M., Udias, A., Xu, Z.H., Zhizhin, M., 1989. Global patterns of
tectonic stress. Nature 341, 291-298.

#### 621 **Figure Captions**

622 Figure 1. Above: schematic structural map (modified after Scrocca et al., 2007): 1. Main out of 623 sequence thrust; 2. Buried Apenninic thrust front; 3. CROP-04 seismic line; 4. Wells. Below: part of 624 CROP-04 cross-section (section A-A'; modified after Cippitelli, 2007 according to the stratigraphic 625 description of Patacca, 2007 from c. to e. deposits). Legend: a. Castelgrande Sandstone (Miocene); b. Cilento Flysch and Liguride-Sicilide complex (Cretaceous-Paleogene); c. Apenninic carbonate 626 627 Platform (Alburno-Cervati; late Triassic); d. Lagonegro II unit (early Triassic-early Cretaceous) e. 628 Apulian carbonate Platform (Dogger-early Pliocene); f. Permo-Triassic substratum; g. Normal fault; 629 h. Reverse fault-overthrust plane; i. Unconformity.

630

**Figure 2.** Regional overview of present-day stress data and seismicity. The black arrows indicate the Shmin average orientation obtained by all the stress indicators. Historical seismicity from 217 B.C. to 1980 (M $\geq$ 5.5; CPTI Working Group, 2004); instrumental seismicity from 1981 to present (INGV-CNT Seismic Bulletin http://csi.rm.ingv.it); fault plane solutions of the largest earthquakes (see Table 1 for references).

636

Figure 3. a) Map of the Irpinia Fault System and its segments breaking at 0s, 20s and 40s with the
associated focal mechanism solutions. The stars represent the earthquake epicenters (modified from
Pantosti and Valensise, 1990); b) zoom of the Marzano-Carpineta fault segment with the location of
the San Gregorio Magno 1 well.

641

642 Figure 4. Breakout data along the San Gregorio Magno 1 well: a) synthetic stratigraphic log of the 643 main lithological and tectonic units (Patacca, 2007); b) available data interval; c) breakout zones: the 644 size of the black rectangle represents the length of the breakout data with the same direction; d) individual breakout azimuths; e) clockwise and anticlockwise breakout rotations with respect to the 645 regional trend; f) and g) rose diagrams of breakout results for lithological and tectonic unit; h) total 646 647 rose diagram. The light grey box represents the interval in which the borehole should intersect the Irpinia fault hypothesizing different fault dips (from 60° to 75°). Legend of a): 1. stratigraphic 648 649 discontinuity; 2. normal fault; 3. thrust fault.

650

**Figure 5.** Geological and geophysical data of the San Gregorio Magno 1 well. a) Synthetic stratigraphic log of the main lithological and tectonic units (Patacca, 2007); b) washout zones; c) downhole logs; d) breakout azimuths; e) five boxes showing the magnification of the five selected sectors (in red the anomalous trend). The light grey boxes indicate possible shear zones (A, B, C, D and E sectors). See text for the explanation of zone 1, 2, 3 and 4.

656

**Figure 6.** Present-day stress data in southern Apennines. Breakouts are relative to SGM1 and wells from Montone et al., 2004. Focal mechanisms of main earthquakes and seismic sequences are shown (the numbers refer to Table 1, see References therein). Colours correspond to different types of focal solutions: brown, normal faulting with NE–SW extension; yellow, strike-slip faulting; orange, normal faulting with strike slip component and NE–SW to E–W extension; pink, normal faulting with strike slip component and N-S to NNE-SSW extension; violet, normal faulting with strike slip component and NW-SE extension.

- **Figure 7.** The cross-section (modified from Cippitelli, 2007) shows the three hypotheses of the
- 666 Irpinia fault trend. If the SGM1 well crosses the Irpinia fault at 2350m: i) a straight fault plane 60°
- dipping from surface to focal depth (red fault); ii) a fault plane changing dip from 70° at surface to
- 668 60° in the upper kilometer (blue fault). If the SGM1 well crosses the Irpinia fault at 3800m: iii) a
- 669 70° dipping fault changing to 60° down to focal depth (yellow fault). The red star represents the
- 670 mainshock at 0s and the grey area around it indicates the associated error in vertical and horizontal
- 671 location of about 3km and 1km respectively (Bernard and Zollo, 1989). Legend: a. Castelgrande
- Sandstone (Miocene); b. Cilento Flysch and Liguride-Sicilide complex (Cretaceous-Paleogene); c.
   Apenninic carbonate Platform (Alburno-Cervati; late Triassic); d. Lagonegro II unit; (early Triassic-
- Apenninic carbonate Platform (Alburno-Cervati; late Triassic); d. Lagonegro II unit; (early Tri
- 674 early Cretaceous) e. Apulian carbonate Platform (Dogger-early Pliocene); f. Permo-Triassic
   675 substratum; g. Normal fault; h. Reverse fault-overthrust plane; i. Unconformity.
- 676

676 677	Resear	rch highlights
678	•	Horizontal stress orientations in the well are consistent with the regional trend.
679	•	Anomalous stress orientations reveal local stress sources
680	•	faults cause log anomalies in a well
681	•	fault-well intersection at depth can be defined
682	•	downhole logs help in defining fault geometry at depth
683		



Figure 1

Reed

Figure 2









Figure 3

```
Figure 4
```



Figure 4

#### Figure 5





Figure 6



Figure 7

Earthquake focal mechanism data (the events are ordered according to figure 6).										
Event Number	Event Date (yyyy/mm/dd)	Origin Time	Lat, deg	Lon, deg	Depth (km)	Magnitude Strike		Dip	Rake	References
1	19801123	18:34	40.724	15.373	10-12	6.9	135	60	-80	а
2	20020102	02:17	40.779	15.417	15.4	2.8	140	75	-80	b
3	20060314	03:15	40.818	15.326	10.5	2.7	155	50	-60	b
4	20060915	17:55	40.766	15.384	16.2	2.4	70	85	-170	b
5	20040224	05:21	40.715	15.407	16.5	3.8	135	25	-90	b
6	20020504	09:41	40.611	15.537	15.8	2.3	160	45	-50	b
7	20061201	15:38	40.775	15.460	15.0	2.7	130	40	-120	b
8	20060717	16:56	40.774	15.490	8.4	2.5	125	40	-90	b
9	20060926	16:29	40.722	15.455	7.8	3.0	80	75	-110	b
10	19900505	07:21	40.775	15.766	15.0	5.7	184	73	13	с
11	19910526	12:26	40.730	15.765	11.0	5.2	183	71	-9	с
12	20020505	06:40	40.373	15.373	21.6	1.9	155	50	-150	b
13	19980909	11:28	40.150	15.947	9.0	5.6	139	29	-83	d
14	20020419	18:06	40.595	15.568	6.0	4.1	170	50	-50	b
15	20020418	21:00	40.588	15.569	10.3	3.0	200	75	-10	b
16	20020418	21:36	40.583	15.573	9.6	2.2	200	80	-10	b
17	20020418	22:58	40.583	15.571	9.5	2.7	100	80	150	b
18	20020526	10:19	40.549	15.534	11.4	2.6	140	45	-70	b
19	19960304	13:04	40.670	15,420	10.0	4.9	111	15	-126	f

Table 1	
Earthquake focal mechanism data (the events are ordered according to figure 6).	

References: a) Boschi et al., 1981; b) Maggi et al., 2009; c) Ekström, 1994; d) Pondrelli et al., 2002; e) Cocco et al., 1999; f) Cucci et al., 2004.

8.0

10.5

10.0

12.2

16.6

5.1

3.5

3.0

3.4

3.3

297

35

115

45

130

-70

-140

-40

-130

-80

e

f

 $\mathbf{f}$ f

b

74

55

45

45

20

15.420

15.400

15.390

15.380

15.287

20

21

22

23

24

19960304

19960717

19960822

19960716

20011209

13:04

09:45

06:47

12:46

12:15

40.670

40.670

40.670

40.670

40.795

Tectonic Unit	Lithological Unit	Depth Units		Breakout Interval		Shmin	standard deviation	Breakout length	Breakout zone
		Тор	Bottom	Тор	Bottom	(°)	(°)	(m)	number
Alburno- Cervati		40	2280	2000	2075	348	0	75	1
	Dolomia Principale	440	2280	2000	2075	348	0	75	1
Lagonegro II		2280	3377	2325	3275	038	33	365	5
	Galestri	2280	2735	2325	2650	310	14	125	2
	Scisti Silicei	2735	3198	2960	3198	041	7	165	2
	Monte Facito	3198	3377	3200	3275	033	0	75	1
Apulia		3377	5901	3450	5580	007	28	607	14
	Wildflysch	3377	3977	3450	3990	325	15	245	4
	Shallow-Water Carbonates	4085	5901	4090	5580	015	21	362	10

#### Table 2

Summary of breakout data results of the SGM1 well for each lithological and tectonic unit