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#### Abstract

In this work, we focus on the mathematical analysis of the model of chemostat with enzymatic degradation of a substrate (organic matter) that can partly be under a solid form Simeonov and Stoyanov (2003). The study of this 3 -step model is derived from a smaller order sub-model since some variables can be decoupled from the others. We study the existence and the stability of equilibrium points of the sub-model considering both Monod or Haldane growth rates and distinct dilution rates. In the classical chemostat model with monotonic kinetics, it is well known that only one equilibrium point attracts all solutions and that bistability never occurs Smith and Waltman (1995). In the present study, although (i) only monotonic growth rates are considered and (ii) the concentrations of input substrate concentration is less than the break-even concentration, it is shown that the considered sub-model may exhibit bistability. Hence, the importance of hydrolysis in the appearance of positive equilibrium points and the bistability is pointed out. If a non monotonic growth rate is considered, depending on the input substrate concentration, it is shown that at most four positive equilibrium points exist. Furthermore, for any positive initial condition, the solution converges towards one of the positive equilibrium points for which the washout is unstable. Finally, we study the case where the growth rate is density-dependent, such as the Contois kinetics, which may be of interest if we consider that we work in a non homogeneous environment Lobry and Harmand (2006). Depending on the input substrate concentration, we show that the system can exhibit either a bistability or the global stability of the positive equilibrium point or of the washout.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Anaerobic digestion is a biological process in which organic matter is transformed into methane and carbon dioxide (biogas) by microorganisms in the absence of oxygen. The search for models simple enough to be used for control design is of prior importance today to optimize fermentation processes and solve important problems such as the development of renewable energy from waste. Within the studies of microbiology, biochemistry and technology, the anaerobic digestion is generally considered as a three step process: hydrolysis and liquefaction of the large, insoluble organic molecules by extracellular enzymes, acid production by an acidogenic microbial consortium and a methane production stage realized by a methanogenic
ecosystem. Several mathematical models describing these phenomena have been proposed in the literature. However, they are usually too complex to be used for control synthesis Simeonov and Stoyanov (2003); B. Benyahia and Harmand (2010a,b); Bastin and Dochain (1991). The chemical reactions of anaerobic digestion which converts the substrate into biomass is :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0} \xrightarrow{r_{0}=\mu_{0} X_{0}} k_{0} S_{1} \\
& k_{1} S_{1} \xrightarrow[r_{1}=\mu_{1} X_{1}]{r_{1}} X_{1}+k_{2} S_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \\
& k_{3} S_{2} \xrightarrow{r_{2}=\mu_{2} X_{2}} X_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r_{i}=\mu_{i} X_{i}, i=0 \cdots 2$, denotes the reaction rate, respectively, $\mu_{0}$ is the specific growth rate of $X_{1}$ on $X_{0}$ and $\mu_{i}$ is the specific growth rate of $X_{i}$ on $S_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.
$k_{i}, i=0 \ldots 3$, denote the pseudo-stochiometric coefficients associated to the chemical reactions,


Fig. 1. Chemostat.

We consider a continuous culture, i.e the input flow rate $Q_{1}$ is equal to the output flow rate. For low concentrations of substrate, the biomass residence time is greater than the substrate one, then the output flow rate of biomass and substrate in the form macromolecules is $Q_{1}-Q_{2}$. The three step model Simeonov and Stoyanov (2003) is :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{X}_{0}=D X_{0 i n}-\alpha D X_{0}-\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{1}  \tag{1}\\
\dot{S}_{1}=D\left(S_{1 i n}-S_{1}\right)+k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{1}-k_{1} \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) X_{1} \\
\dot{X}_{1}=\left(\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)-\alpha D\right) X_{1} \\
\dot{S}_{2}=D\left(S_{2 i n}-S_{2}\right)+k_{2} \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) X_{1}-k_{3} \mu_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) X_{2} \\
\dot{X}_{2}=\left(\mu_{2}\left(S_{2}\right)-\alpha D\right) X_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
D=\frac{Q_{1}}{V} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{Q_{1}-Q_{2}}{V}=\alpha D
$$

$D$ denotes the dilution rate of the chemostat and $\alpha \in$ $[0,1]$ represents the fraction of the biomass leaving the reactor. $V$ denotes the volume of the bioreactor, $X_{0}(t)$ the concentration of the substrate in the form macromolecules at time $t$, with $X_{0 i n}$ the concentration of the input nutriment. $S_{j}(t)$ denote the concentration of the substrates in the effluent, $j=1,2$, at time $t$; with $S_{j i n}$ the input substrate concentrations $j$. $X_{i}(t)$ denote the concentration of the $i$ th population of microorganisms, $i=1,2$, at time $t$.
According to the principle of conservation of matter within the reaction scheme we have
$\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{1} V d \tau \geqslant \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{1} V d \tau \quad$ i.e $\quad 1 \geqslant k_{0}$, which means that, the quantity of $X_{0}$ degraded is greater than or equal to the quantity of $S_{1}$ produced. Similarly, we have

$$
k_{1} \geqslant 1+k_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{3} \geqslant 1
$$

which means that, the quantity of $S_{1}$ degraded is greater than or equal to the quantity of $X_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ produced. The quantity of $S_{2}$ degraded is greater than or equal to the quantity of $X_{2}$ produced.

In the following, we focus on the study of the sub-model given by the first three equations of system (1), the last two equations being decoupled since the first three equations are independent of variables $X_{2}$ and $S_{2}$. Thus, we study the existence and stability of equilibrium points of the following sub-model :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{X}_{0}=D\left(X_{0 i n}-\alpha X_{0}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{1}  \tag{2}\\
\dot{S}_{1}=D\left(S_{1 i n}-S_{1}\right)+k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right) X_{1}-k_{1} \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) X_{1} \\
\dot{X}_{1}=\left[\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)-\alpha D\right] X_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

First, we establish the following result :
Proposition 1.1.
(1) For any non-negative initial condition, the solutions of system (2) stay positive at any time and are bounded when $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
(2) The set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega=\left\{\left(X_{0}, S_{1}, X_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{3}: Z\right. & =k_{0} X_{0}+S_{1}+k_{1} X_{1} \\
& \left.\leqslant \max \left(Z(0), \frac{S_{i n}}{\alpha D}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is positively invariant and attractor of all solutions of (2), with $S_{i n}=D\left(k_{0} X_{0 i n}+S_{1 i n}\right)$.

## 2. STUDY OF THE SUB-MODEL

The washout equilibrium $E_{0}=\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}, S_{1 i n}, 0\right)$, always exists. To look for positive equilibria, we consider the function

$$
\xi\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{D\left(X_{0 i n}-\alpha X_{0}\right)}{\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)}
$$

We assume that
H0: The function $\mu_{0}(\cdot)$ is increasing, $\mu_{0}(0)=0$ and $\mu_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{0}\right) \leqslant 0$ for all $\left.X_{0} \in\right] 0, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}[$.
Lemma 2.1. Under assumption $\mathbf{H 0}$, the function $\xi(\cdot)$ vanishes on $\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}$, is decreasing and convex.
In the case where the function $\mu_{0}(\cdot)$ is linear or of Monod type, the assumption H0 is satisfied.
2.1 Study of the sub-model with monotonic growth rate $\mu_{1}(\cdot)$

In this section, we study the existence of equilibrium points of system (2) under the following assumption

H1: $\mu_{1}(0)=0$ and $\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(S_{1}\right)>0$ for all $S_{1} \geqslant 0$.
H2: The equation $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)=\alpha D$ has a finite solution $\lambda_{1}=\mu_{1}^{-1}(\alpha D)$.
Let $\Delta$ the line of equation :

$$
X_{1}=\delta\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{k_{1} \alpha}\left[\left(S_{1 i n}-\lambda_{1}\right)+k_{0}\left(X_{0 i n}-\alpha X_{0}\right)\right]
$$

Lemma 2.2. The equation $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$ has a unique solution $\left.\bar{X}_{0} \in\right] 0, \frac{X_{0 \text { in }}}{\alpha}[$ if and only if

$$
\xi^{\prime}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\xi^{\prime}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)>k_{1} \alpha D
$$

If $\xi^{\prime}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, the intersection of the line $\Delta$ with the curve of the function $\xi$, has at most two points. Let us denote by $E_{1}^{*}=\left(X_{0}^{*}, \lambda_{1}, X_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $E_{1}^{* *}=\left(X_{0}^{* *}, \lambda_{1}, X_{1}^{* *}\right)$ (see Fig. 2). By Lemma 2.2, there exists a unique solution $\left.\bar{X}_{0} \in\right] 0, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\left[\right.$ of equation $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. Thus, there is a
limit value $X_{1}^{\text {Min }}$ for which the curve $\xi$ is tangent to the line $\Delta$ and who satisfied

$$
X_{1}^{M i n}=\bar{X}_{1}+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}} \bar{X}_{0}
$$

with $\bar{E}_{1}=\left(\bar{X}_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \bar{X}_{1}\right)$ an equilibrium of (2) (see case 4 of the Fig. 3). At this limite value $X_{1}^{M i n}$, we associate $S_{1 i n}^{M i n}$ which satisfied

$$
X_{1}^{M i n}=\delta(0)=\frac{1}{k_{1} \alpha}\left[\left(S_{1 i n}^{M i n}-\lambda_{1}\right)+k_{0} X_{0 i n}\right]
$$

In the generic case where $S_{1 i n}^{M i n}>0$, we have shown the following result :
Proposition 2.1.

- If $\lambda_{1} \leqslant S_{1 i n}$, there exists a unique positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}=\left(X_{0}^{*}, \lambda_{1}, X_{1}^{*}\right)$.
- If $S_{1}^{\text {Min }}<S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{1}$, there exist two positive equilibria $E_{1}^{*}$ et $E_{1}^{* *}$.
- If $S_{1 i n}=S_{1}^{\text {Min }}$, there exists a unique positive equilibrium $\bar{E}_{1}=\left(\bar{X}_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \bar{X}_{1}\right)$.
- If $S_{1 i n}<S_{1}^{M i n}$, there is no positive equilibrium.


Fig. 2. Case 1: $\lambda_{1}<S_{1 \text { in }}=5.5$, case 2: $S_{1 \text { in }}=4.5=\lambda_{1}$, case 3: $S_{1}^{\text {Min }}<S_{1 \text { in }}=2<\lambda_{1}$.


Fig. 3. Case 4: $S_{1 i n}=0.75=S_{1}^{M i n}$, case 5: $S_{1 \text { in }}=0.2<$ $S_{1}^{\text {Min }}$.

In the following, we show the asymptotic behavior of equilibrium points. We choose the red color for Locally Asymptotically Stable (LAS) equilibrium, the green color for saddle node equilibrium and blue color for unstable equilibrium.

## Proposition 2.2.

- $E_{0}$ is LAS if and only if $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1 \text { in }}\right)<\alpha D$.
- If $E_{1}^{*}$ exists, then it is LAS (stable node).
- If $E_{1}^{* *}$ exists, then it is unstable (saddle point).

Proof. At washout $E_{0}=\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}, S_{1 i n}, 0\right)$, the Jacobian matrix of (2) is

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{0}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\alpha D & 0 & -\mu_{0}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right) \\
0 & -D & k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)-k_{1} \mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right) \\
0 & 0 & \mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right)-\alpha D
\end{array}\right]
$$

The eigenvalues are $-\alpha D,-D$ and $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right)-\alpha D$. Then, the equilibrium $E_{0}$ is LAS if and only if $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1 \text { in }}\right)<\alpha D$.

At a positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}=\left(X_{0}^{*}, \lambda_{1}, X_{1}^{*}\right)$, the Jacobian matrix is

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-m_{11} & 0 & -m_{13} \\
m_{21} & -m_{22} & \theta \\
0 & m_{32} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{11}=\alpha D+\mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}, \quad m_{13}=\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right), \\
m_{21}=k_{0} \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}, \quad m_{22}=D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*} \\
\theta=k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)-k_{1} \alpha D, \quad m_{32}=\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $m_{11}, m_{13}, m_{21}, m_{22}$ and $m_{32}$ are positive. The characteristic polynomial of $J_{1}$ is given by

$$
P_{J_{1}}(\lambda)=a_{0} \lambda^{3}+a_{1} \lambda^{2}+a_{2} \lambda+a_{3}
$$

with
$a_{0}=-1, \quad a_{1}=-\left(m_{11}+m_{22}\right), \quad a_{2}=-m_{11} m_{22}+\theta m_{32}$,

$$
a_{3}=-m_{32}\left(m_{21} m_{13}-\theta m_{11}\right)
$$

According to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, $E_{1}^{*}$ is LAS if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{i}<0, \\
a_{1} a_{2}-a_{0} a_{3}>0 .
\end{array} \quad i=0 \cdots 3\right.
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} & =\left[k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)-k_{1} \alpha D-k_{1} \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}\right] \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*} \\
& -\left[D \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}+\alpha D\left(D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}=\frac{-k_{1} \alpha D-k_{1} \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}+k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)}{k_{1} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)}
$$

then we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} & =\left[\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*} \\
& -\left[D \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}+\alpha D\left(D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, if $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, then $a_{2}<0$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3} & =m_{32} \alpha D\left[k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)-k_{1} \alpha D-k_{1} \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}\right] \\
& =m_{32} \alpha D\left[\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is negative if and only if $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} a_{2}-a_{0} a_{3} & =-\left[\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{* 2} \\
& +P
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$P=D m_{11}^{2}+\left((\alpha D)^{2}+\alpha D \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right) X_{1}^{*}\right) k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*}-m_{22} a_{2}$ is positive if $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. Since $E_{1}^{*}$ satisfies $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<$ $-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, then it is LAS and $E_{1}^{* *}$ satisfies $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{* *}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, then it is unstable.
The simulations shown in Fig. 4 where obtained for the following Monod functions

$$
\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{2.5 X_{0}}{1.5+X_{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{1}(S)=\frac{2 S}{1.5+S}
$$

and the following values of the parameters
$X_{0 i n}=3, \quad D=1, \quad \alpha=0.75, \quad k_{0}=1 \quad$ and $\quad k_{1}=1.2$
The value of the break-even concentration is $\lambda_{1}=0.9$. We illustrate the case of bistability for $S_{1 i n}=0.7$ such as

$$
\xi^{\prime}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)=-0.412>-0.833=-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}
$$

In this case, the equation $\xi\left(X_{0}\right)=\delta\left(X_{0}\right)$ admits two solutions (see Fig. 4 on the left) and the system (2) has a washout equilibrium

$$
E_{0}=(4,0.5,0)
$$

and two positive equilibria

$$
E_{1}^{*}=(1.201,0.9,1.887), \quad E_{1}^{* *}=(2.808,0.9,0.548)
$$

The Fig. 4 in the middle shows the convergence to the positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}$ for the initial condition

$$
X_{0}(0)=4.5, \quad S_{1}(0)=2 \quad \text { and } \quad X_{1}(0)=0.368
$$

and the washout for the initial condition (see Fig. 4 on the right)

$$
X_{0}(0)=4.5, \quad S_{1}(0)=2 \quad \text { and } \quad X_{1}(0)=0.367
$$

$X_{1}$


Fig. 4. Existence of two positive equilibria and bistability for $S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{1}$.
2.2 Study of the sub-model with non-monotonic growth rate $\mu_{1}(\cdot)$

In the following, we study the existence of equilibrium points of system (2) under the following assumption

H3: The function $\mu_{1}(\cdot)$ is non monotonic and is such that the equation $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)=\alpha D$ admits two solutions $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ with $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$.

Let $\Delta_{i}$ the line of equation :
$X_{1}=\delta_{i}\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{k_{1} \alpha}\left[\left(S_{1 i n}-\lambda_{i}\right)+k_{0}\left(X_{0 i n}-\alpha X_{0}\right)\right], i=1,2$. The positive equilibrium $E_{i}^{*}=\left(X_{0 i}^{*}, \lambda_{i}, X_{1 i}^{*}\right)$ of system (2) is a solution of the equation

$$
X_{1 i}^{*}=\delta_{i}\left(X_{0 i}^{*}\right)=\xi\left(X_{0 i}^{*}\right), \quad i=1,2 .
$$

When the intersection of the curve $\xi$ and the line $\Delta_{i}$ is formed by two points, let us denote them by $E_{i}^{*}=\left(X_{0 i}^{*}, \lambda_{i}, X_{1 i}^{*}\right)$ if $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0 i}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$ and by $E_{i}^{* *}=$ $\left(X_{0 i}^{* *}, \lambda_{i}, X_{1 i}^{* *}\right)$, otherwise (see Fig. 5 and Fig. 6). In the case $\xi^{\prime}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, there exists a unique solution $\left.\bar{X}_{0} \in\right] 0, \frac{X_{0 \text { in }}}{\alpha}\left[\right.$ of the equation $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. As the two lines $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are parallel, then there exists for $i=1,2$ a limit value $X_{1}^{\text {Min }}$ for which the curve of $\xi$ is tangent to the line $\Delta_{i}$ and which satisfies

$$
X_{1}^{M i n}=\bar{X}_{1}+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}} \bar{X}_{0}
$$

with $\bar{E}_{i}=\left(\bar{X}_{0}, \lambda_{i}, \bar{X}_{1}\right)$ an equilibrium of (2) for $i=1,2$. At this limit value $X_{1}^{M i n}$, we associate $S_{1 i}^{M i n}$ such that

$$
X_{1}^{M i n}=\delta(0)=\frac{1}{k_{1} \alpha}\left[S_{1 i}^{M i n}-\lambda_{i}+k_{0} X_{0 i n}\right]
$$

In the generic case where $S_{1 i}^{M i n}>0$ for $i=1,2$, the cases $S_{12}^{M i n}<\lambda_{1}$ and $S_{12}^{M i n}>\lambda_{1}$ have to be distinguished. When $S_{12}^{M}$ in $<\lambda_{1}$, we have shown the following result :

## Proposition 2.3.

- If $S_{1 i n} \geqslant \lambda_{2}>\lambda_{1}$, there exist two positive equilibrium $E_{i}^{*}, i=1,2$.
- If $\lambda_{1} \leqslant S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{2}$, there exist three positive equilibrium $E_{i}^{*}$ and $E_{2}^{* *}$.
- If $S_{12}^{M i n}<S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{1}$, there exist four positive equilibrium $E_{i}^{*}$ and $E_{i}^{* *}$.
- If $S_{12}^{M i n}=S_{1 i n}$, there exist three positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}, E_{1}^{* *}$ and $\bar{E}_{2}$.
- If $S_{11}^{M i n}<S_{1 i n}<S_{12}^{M i n}$, there exist two positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}$ and $E_{1}^{* *}$.
- If $S_{11}^{M i n}=S_{1 i n}$, there exists a unique positive equilibrium $\bar{E}_{1}$.
- If $S_{1 i n}<S_{11}^{M i n}$, there is no positive equilibrium.

In the case where $S_{12}^{M i n}>\lambda_{1}$, we can prove, similarly, that if $S_{1 i n} \geqslant S_{11}^{M i n}$, we have one, two, three or four positive equilibria, depending on the position of $S_{1 i n}$.


Fig. 5. Case 1: $S_{1 \text { in }}=8>\lambda_{2}=7$, case 2: $\lambda_{1}=4.5^{\alpha}<$ $S_{1 \text { in }}=5.75<\lambda_{2}$, case $3: S_{12}^{M i n}<S_{1 \text { in }}=3.7<\lambda_{1}$.


Fig. 6. Case 4: $S_{1 i n}=3.125=S_{12}^{M i n}$, case 5: $S_{11}^{M i n}=$ $0.62<S_{1 i n}=1.8<S_{12}^{M i n}$, case 6: $S_{1 i n}=0.1<S_{11}^{M i n}$.

We study now the local stability properties of the equilibria. For $E_{0}, E_{1}^{*}$ and $E_{1}^{* *}$, we have the same results as in Proposition 2.2. Moreover, we can prove :
Proposition 2.4.

- If $E_{2}^{*}$ exists, then it is unstable.
- If $E_{2}^{* *}$ exists and $D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{* *}>0$, then it is LAS.

Proof. The Jacobian of the system (2) at $E_{2}^{*}$ is

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-m_{11} & 0 & -m_{13} \\
m_{21} & \beta & \theta \\
0 & -m_{32} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{11}=\alpha D+\mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right) X_{12}^{*}, \quad m_{13}=\mu_{0}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right), \\
m_{21}=k_{0} \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right) X_{12}^{*}, \quad \beta=-\left(D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{*}\right), \\
\theta=k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right)-k_{1} \alpha D, \quad m_{32}=-\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{*}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $m_{11}, m_{13}, m_{21}$ and $m_{32}$ are positive. The characteristic polynomial of $J_{2}$ is given by

$$
P_{J_{2}}(\lambda)=a_{0} \lambda^{3}+a_{1} \lambda^{2}+a_{2} \lambda+a_{3}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=-1, \quad a_{1}=\beta-m_{11}, \quad a_{2}=m_{11} \beta-\theta m_{32}, \\
a_{3}=m_{32}\left(m_{21} m_{13}-\theta m_{11}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} & =\left[\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \mu_{0}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right) \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{*} \\
& -\left[D \mu_{0}^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right) X_{12}^{*}+\alpha D\left(D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{*}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{*}>0$ and $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, then $a_{2}<0$. It is easy to check that

$$
a_{3}=-m_{32} \alpha D\left[\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \mu_{0}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right)
$$

who is negative if and only $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{02}^{*}\right)>-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. Thus, $E_{2}^{*}$ is unstable. One can readily check that

$$
a_{1} a_{2}-a_{0} a_{3}=m_{11} \beta^{2}-m_{11}^{2} \beta+m_{32}\left(m_{21} m_{13}-\theta \beta\right)
$$

and
$\theta X_{12}^{* *}=k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{02}^{* *}\right) X_{12}^{* *}-k_{1} \mu_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{* *}=-D\left(S_{1 \text { in }}-\lambda_{2}\right)$.
If $E_{2}^{* *}$ exists then $S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{2}$, thus $\theta>0$. We conclude that according to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, if $E_{2}^{* *}$ exists and

$$
D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) X_{12}^{* *}>0,
$$

then it is LAS.

## 3. STUDY OF CONTOIS MODEL

In this section we study the case where the growth rate $\mu_{0}($.$) depends on X_{0}$ and also on $X_{1}$. Models with such growth rates may be of interest if we consider that we work in a non homogeneous environment Lobry and Harmand (2006). The Contois function is an exemple of these growth rates. We consider the model
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\dot{X}_{0}=D\left(X_{0 \text { in }}-\alpha X_{0}\right)-\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) X_{1}, \\ \dot{S}_{1}=D\left(S_{1 \text { in }}-S_{1}\right)+k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) X_{1}-k_{1} \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) X_{1(3)} \\ \dot{X}_{1}=\left[\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)-\alpha D\right] X_{1} .\end{array}\right.$
Let us denote by

$$
f\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) X_{1}-D\left(X_{0 i n}-\alpha X_{0}\right)
$$

We assume that
H4: $\mu_{0}\left(0, X_{1}\right)=0$ and $\mu_{0}\left(0, X_{1}\right)>0$ for all $X_{0}>0$ and all $X_{1} \geqslant 0$.
H5: $\frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{0}}>0$ and $\frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{1}}<0$ for all $X_{0}>0$ and all $X_{1} \geqslant 0$.
H6: $\mu_{1}(0)=0, \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(S_{1}\right)>0$ for all $S_{1} \geqslant 0$ and the equation $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)=\alpha D$ has a unique solution $\lambda_{1}$.
H7: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{1}}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)+\frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{1}} X_{1}>0$ for all $X_{0}>0$ and all $X_{1} \geqslant 0$.
H8: There exist $a \in] 0, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}$ [ such that

$$
\lim _{\substack{X_{0} \rightarrow a \\ X_{1} \rightarrow+\infty}} f\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=0 .
$$

We consider, now, the existence of positive equilibria. We first prove :
Lemma 3.1. Assume that H4-H8 hold. The equation $f\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=0$ defines a decreasing function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.F:] a, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
X_{0} & \longrightarrow F\left(X_{0}\right)=X_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<a<\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}$ and such that
$\lim _{X_{0} \rightarrow a} F\left(X_{0}\right)=+\infty, \quad F\left(\frac{X_{0 \text { in }}}{\alpha}\right)=0 \quad$ and $\quad F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)<0$.
Then we state the following result :
Proposition 3.1.

- For $S_{1 i n}>\lambda_{1}$, if $F^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{0}\right)>0$ for all $\left.\left.X_{0} \in\right] a, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right]$, then there exists a unique positive equilibrium. If $F^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{0}\right)$ changes sign for $\left.\left.X_{0} \in\right] a, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right]$, then there exists at least one positive equilibrium. Generically one has an odd number of positive equilibria (see Fig. 7).
- For $S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{1}$, if $F^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{0}\right)>0$ for all $\left.\left.X_{0} \in\right] a, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right]$, then there exist at most two positive equilibria. If $F^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{0}\right)$ changes sign for $\left.\left.X_{0} \in\right] a, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}\right]$, then the system has generically no positive equilibria or an even number of positive equilibria (see Fig. 8).


Fig. 7. Null-clines $X_{1}=F\left(X_{0}\right)$ and $X_{1}=\delta\left(X_{0}\right)$ for $S_{1 \text { in }}>\lambda_{1}$. On the left, $F(\cdot)$ concave. On the right, $F^{\prime \prime}(\cdot)$ changes sign.


Fig. 8. Null-clines $X_{1}=F\left(X_{0}\right)$ and $X_{1}=\delta\left(X_{0}\right)$ for $S_{1 i n}<\lambda_{1}$. On the left, $F(\cdot)$ concave. On the right, $F^{\prime \prime}(\cdot)$ changes sign.

Example We consider the following function of Contois

$$
\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\frac{m_{0} X_{0}}{X_{0}+a_{0} X_{1}}
$$

where $m_{0}>0$ and $a_{0}>0$. We have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{1}}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=\frac{m_{0} X_{0}^{2}}{\left(X_{0}+a_{0} X_{1}\right)^{2}}>0
$$

thus Assumption H7 is satisfied. Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{X_{1} \rightarrow+\infty} f\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=0 \\
\Longrightarrow \quad X_{0}=\frac{D X_{0 i n}}{\frac{m_{0}}{a_{0}}+\alpha D}=a
\end{gathered}
$$

where $0<a<\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}$. Therefore, there exists $\left.a \in\right] 0, \frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}[$ such that $\lim _{\substack{X_{0} \rightarrow a \\ X_{1} \rightarrow+\infty}} \stackrel{\alpha}{f}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right)=0$ i.e, $\lim _{X_{0} \rightarrow a} F\left(X_{0}\right)=+\infty$.
By the implicit function theorem, we have

$$
F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=-\frac{m_{0} a_{0} X_{1}^{2}+\alpha D\left(X_{0}+a_{0} X_{1}\right)^{2}}{m_{0} X_{0}^{2}}<0
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{2 a_{0} a_{0} X_{1}\left[m_{0} X_{1}+\alpha D\left(X_{0}+a_{0} X_{1}\right)\right]}{m_{0} X_{0}^{3}}>0
$$



Fig. 9. Cas 1: $S_{1 \text { in }}=2>\lambda_{1}=1.5$, cas 2: $S_{1 i n}=0.7<\lambda_{1}$, cas 3: $S_{1 \text { in }}=0.2$.

The stability results we obtain in this case are given by :

## Proposition 3.2.

- The washout equilibrium $E_{0}$ is LAS if and only if $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right)<\alpha D$.
- If the positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}$ exists, then it is LAS (stable node).
- If the positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{* *}$ exists, then it is unstable (saddle point).

Proof. At washout $E_{0}=\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}, S_{1 i n}, 0\right)$, the Jacobian matrix is

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{0}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-\alpha D & 0 & -\mu_{0}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}, 0\right) \\
0 & -D & k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(\frac{X_{0 i n}}{\alpha}, 0\right)-k_{1} \mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right) \\
0 & 0 & \mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right)-\alpha D
\end{array}\right]
$$

The eigenvalues are $-\alpha D,-D$ and $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1 i n}\right)-\alpha D$. Then, the equilibrium $E_{0}$ is LAS if and only if $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1 \text { in }}\right)<\alpha D$.
At a positive equilibrium $E_{1}^{*}=\left(X_{0}^{*}, \lambda_{1}, X_{1}^{*}\right)$, the Jacobian matrix is

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{1}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-m_{11} & 0 & -m_{13} \\
m_{21} & -m_{22} & \theta \\
0 & m_{32} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{11}=\alpha D+\frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{0}} X_{1}^{*}, \quad m_{13}=\mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}, X_{1}^{*}\right)+\frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{1}} X_{1}^{*} \\
m_{21}=k_{0} \frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{0}} X_{1}^{*}, \quad m_{22}=D+k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*} \\
\theta=k_{0} \frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{1}} X_{1}^{*}+k_{0} \mu_{0}\left(X_{0}^{*}, X_{1}^{*}\right)-k_{1} \alpha D, \quad m_{32}=\mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*},
\end{gathered}
$$ with $m_{11}, m_{13}, m_{21}, m_{22}$ and $m_{32}$ are positive. The characteristic polynomial of $J_{1}$ is given by

$$
P_{J_{1}}(\lambda)=a_{0} \lambda^{3}+a_{1} \lambda^{2}+a_{2} \lambda+a_{3}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=-1, \quad a_{1}=-\left(m_{11}+m_{22}\right), \quad a_{2}=-m_{11} m_{22}+\theta m_{32} \\
a_{3}=-m_{32}\left(m_{21} m_{13}-\theta m_{11}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

One can easily check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{2} & =\left[F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{1}} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*} \\
& -\left(D \frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{0}} X_{1}^{*}+\alpha D m_{22}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if $F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, then $a_{2}<0$. One can also check that

$$
a_{3}=m_{32} \alpha D\left[F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{1}}
$$

is negative if and only if $F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. So, $E_{1}^{* *}$ is unstable. Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{1} a_{2}-a_{0} a_{3} & =-\left[F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)+\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}\right] k_{1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{1}} \frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{0}} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{* 2} \\
& +P
\end{aligned}
$$

where
$P=D m_{11}^{2}+\left[(\alpha D)^{2}+\alpha D \frac{\partial \mu_{0}}{\partial X_{0}} X_{1}^{*}\right] k_{1} \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) X_{1}^{*}-m_{22} a_{2}$ is positive if $F^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$. Since $E_{1}^{*}$ satisfy $\xi^{\prime}\left(X_{0}^{*}\right)<$ $-\frac{k_{0}}{k_{1}}$, then according to the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, it is LAS.

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have analyzed a model of the chemostat with enzymatic degradation of a substrate that can partly be under a solid form. The sub-model studied with monotonic growth rates may exhibit a bi-stable behavior, while it may only occur in the classical chemostat model when the growth rate is non monotonic. We also studied the case where the growth rate is density-dependent. Depending on the input substrate concentration, it was shown that the system can exhibit either a bistability or the global stability of the positive equilibrium point or of the washout.
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