

The ethylene pathway contributes to root hair elongation induced by the beneficial bacteria Phyllobacterium brassicacearum STM196.

Marc Galland, Lydia Gamet, Fabrice Varoquaux, Brigitte Touraine, Bruno Touraine, Guilhem Desbrosses

▶ To cite this version:

Marc Galland, Lydia Gamet, Fabrice Varoquaux, Brigitte Touraine, Bruno Touraine, et al.. The ethylene pathway contributes to root hair elongation induced by the beneficial bacteria Phyllobacterium brassicacearum STM196.. Plant Science, 2012, 190, pp.74-81. 10.1016/j.plantsci.2012.03.008 . hal-00776558

HAL Id: hal-00776558 https://hal.science/hal-00776558v1

Submitted on 10 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Plant Science



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/plantsci

The ethylene pathway contributes to root hair elongation induced by the beneficial bacteria *Phyllobacterium brassicacearum* STM196

Marc Galland^{a,1}, Lydia Gamet^a, Fabrice Varoquaux^a, Brigitte Touraine^b, Bruno Touraine^a, Guilhem Desbrosses^{a,*}

^a Laboratory of Tropical Symbiosis (UMR113), Université Montpellier 2, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Cirad, Montpellier SupAgro, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Université de Montpellier, CC002, Place E. Bataillon, F34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

^b Biochemistry and Plant Molecular Physiology (UMR5004), Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Université Montpellier 2, Montpellier SupAgro, IBIP, 2 place Pierre Viala, F34060 Montpellier Cedex 2, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 January 2012 Accepted 25 March 2012 Available online 1 April 2012

Keywords: Arabidopsis Root hairs Ethylene Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria

ABSTRACT

In Arabidopsis roots, some epidermal cells differentiate into root hair cells. Auxin regulates root hair positioning, while ethylene controls cell elongation. *Phyllobacterium brassicacearum* STM196, a beneficial strain of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) isolated from the roots of field-grown oilseed rape, stimulates root hair elongation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings. We investigated the role of ethylene in the response of root hair cells to STM196 inoculation. While we could not detect a significant increase in ethylene biosynthesis, we could detect a slight activation of the ethylene signalling pathway. Consistent with this, an exhaustive survey of the root hair elongation response of mutants and transgenic lines affected in the ethylene pathway showed contrasting root hair sensitivities to STM196. We propose that local ethylene emission contributes to STM196-induceed root hair elongation.

© 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria can be achieved by several mechanisms [1]. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can improve resistance to pathogens by triggering an induced systemic resistance (ISR)[2], stimulate plant mineral nutrition [3,4], and finally, elicit changes in root architecture and root hair development (for reviews, see [4–7]).

The root development of inoculated plants can be modified by the presence of large amounts of auxin produced by bacteria such as *Azospirillum brasilense*, *Pseudomonas putida* UW4 or *Pseudomonas fluorescens* HP72 [8–11]. Alternatively, root development can also be modified when PGPR affect the host's hormonal balance. For example, the genomes of many PGPR strains contain the ACC deaminase gene (*acdS*) [12,13], the activity of which modifies the ethylene balance in the root thereby affecting root development [13–15]. Root hair development is also affected by PGPR inoculation in oilseed rape [3], *Arabidopsis thaliana* [13,16], tomato [17] and wheat [18,19]. Root hair development in response to PGPR involves ethylene, as shown by tomato root hair elongation in response to *Azospirillum* sp. [17]. However, this may not be the general rule because Arabidopsis root hair elongation promoted by *Bacillus megaterium* sp. appears to occur independent of ethylene signalling [16]. Root hair development may also involve auxin, as inoculation of wheat plants with an auxin-deficient mutant of the beneficial *A. brasilense* Sp245 strain results in significantly less root hair elongation than that which was observed upon inoculation with the wild type strain [19].

Auxin plays an essential role in root hair development. The positioning of the emerging tip on hair-forming cells, referred to as planar polarity, is dependent on the local accumulation of auxin [20]. Auxin is locally synthesized and transported in non-hair-forming cells [21,22]. This auxin gradient also aids in maintaining root hair elongation [23]. Consistent with this, some auxin-resistant or -insensitive mutants are impaired in root hair elongation [23-26]. In addition to auxin, ethylene also stimulate root hair elongation [25]. Additionally, ethylene plays a more important role in controlling root hair elongation compared to auxin. Indeed, ten times more NAA is required to restore a wild type root hair length in the *ein2* mutant compared to the *aux1-17* and aux1-22 mutants [26]. That primacy can also be extended to root hair positioning, as an ethylene signalling intermediate (*i.e.*, CTR1) controls auxin-dependent planar polarity [21]. Ethylene also plays a key role during beneficial plant-microbe interactions, particularly with respect to root development and the induction of systemic resistance (ISR) to pathogens [17,27–30].



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 4 67 14 93 53.

E-mail address: guilhem.desbrosses@univ-montp2.fr (G. Desbrosses).

¹ Current address²: IJPB, INRA Centre Versailles Grignon, Route de St Cyr, F-78026 Versailles Cedex, France.

^{0168-9452/\$ –} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2012.03.008

Several rhizobacteria strains have been isolated from the rhizosphere of field-grown oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) [3,31]. One of these strains is a novel subspecies of *Phyllobacterium*, namely *Phyllobacterium brassicacearum* STM196 (STM196) [32]. The STM196 strain is beneficial to the growth of both oilseed rape and Arabidopsis [31,33]. Upon inoculation, STM196 also stimulates Arabidopsis root hair elongation [13]. In this work, we focus on the implication of ethylene in the promotion of root hair elongation in Arabidopsis seedlings via the inoculation of the beneficial strain *P. brassicacearum* STM196. Here, we report that STM196 affects Arabidopsis root hair elongation in a dose-dependent manner. We propose that the underlying mechanism would not depend on a measurable increase in ethylene biosynthesis but would instead result in the activation of the ethylene signalling pathway, which would in turn contribute to root hair elongation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and growth conditions

A. thaliana seeds of wild type Col-0 and ethylene mutants (*etr1-1*, *ein3-1*, *ebf2-3*, *wei2wei7*) were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC). Seeds of the *ein2*, *eto1* and *ctr1* mutants were kindly provided by Dr. Liam Dolan (John Innes Centre, Norwich, UK). Seeds of the *ein3eil1* double mutant were kindly provided by Dr. Brad Binder (University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA) Seeds of the *35S::EIN3-FLAG* and the *35S::EBF1* transgenic lines were generous gifts of Dr. Jen Sheen (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA) and Dr. T. Potuschak and Dr. P. Genschick (IBMP, Strasbourg, France), respectively. All lines used in this study are of the Col-0 genetic background.

The Arabidopsis seeds were surface-sterilised by immersion in 2% bleach supplemented with 0.1% Tween 20 for 15 min. Seeds were subsequently washed five times with sterile distilled water and sown onto solid 1% (w/v) agar (A1296, Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) mineral medium [33]. Plates were sealed with micropore tape $(3M^{TM}, St Paul, MN, USA)$. After 2 days in the cold room $(4 \circ C)$ in the dark, plates were placed in a nearly vertical position to allow the primary roots to grow on the surface of the agar medium. The growth chamber light and environmental conditions were identical to those previously described [33].

2.2. Bacterial growth conditions and plant inoculation

The PGPR bacterial strain used in this study was *P. brassicacearum* strain STM196 [32]. The strain was regularly streaked from the glycerol stock on solid E' medium and allowed to grow for 3 days at $27 \circ C$ [33].

The STM196 inoculum was prepared from a liquid culture in exponential growth phase. Bacteria were pelleted by centrifugation at 7000 rpm for 5 min. Pellets were resuspended in sterile liquid plant medium. The amount of bacteria present in the inoculum was determined by the measurement of the optical density at 595 nm (WPA UV 1101, Biotech Photometer, Cambridge, UK). Using a previously established correlation between optical density and CFU per ml (Mantelin, unpublished data), the exact volume of inoculum was added to melted sterile plant media kept at 52 °C to obtain the required final bacterial concentration. After inoculation, the media was immediately poured and allowed to solidify. Typically, sevento ten-day-old seedlings that were uniform in appearance were aseptically transferred onto the inoculated solid plant medium. After transfer, plates were sealed with micropore tape $(3M^{TM}, St-$ Paul, MN, USA) and again laid vertically in the growth chamber. Plantlets were allowed to grow for a week before being either measured or harvested.

2.3. Root hair length analysis

The length of fully elongated root hairs was assessed using a binocular microscope (Olympus SZH10, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a CCD camera (JVC TK-C1381, JVC, Yokohama, Japan). Images of elongated root hairs were digitalised, and the length was measured using AnalySiS Pro 3.00 software (Soft Imaging System GmbH, Münster, Germany). Typically, 30 root hairs were measured per plant on the primary root between the end of the elongation zone and the site of emergence of the first lateral root.

To calculate the sensitivity of root hairs to STM196 inoculation for the various genotypes, we first calculated the average increase in root hair length in response to STM196 using Col-0 (STM196 effect). Next, we added this average to the average root hair length measured in each independent experiment for each genotype grown under control conditions. The resulting value corresponds to the expected root hair length in each experiment for each genotype. Finally, the root hair sensitivity to STM196 in each experiment and for each genotype was obtained by subtracting the expected root hair length from the observed root hair length.

2.4. Measurement of ethylene emission

To assay ethylene emission, the various wild type and mutant lines were sown on mineral media in square Petri dishes. After a cold treatment (2 days at 4 °C in he dark), plates were moved to the growth chamber. Two days after germination, two plantlets were transferred into a small sterile gas chromatograph (GC) vial (VAG M549/551, Chromacol, Welwyn Garden City, UK) containing 500 µl of solid plant media. The glass vials were closed with micropore tape and the vials containing the seedlings were placed in the growth chamber. After a week, the vials were then closed hermetically using a screw cap fitted with a septum. The plantlets were left to grow for an additional week. For ethylene measurements, 600 µl of air was taken from the vial with a syringe and injected into a GC (6850 series GC system equipped with a capillary column HP-AL/S, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) coupled to a flame ionisation detector. Ethylene measurement was performed at least 3 times independently per condition. Results were given as peak area per fresh weight and expressed relative to the wild type under control conditions.

2.5. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real-time quantitative PCR

For quantitative real-time RT-PCR amplification, total RNA was isolated from 14-day-old plants inoculated with STM196 for seven days and uninoculated controls. Roots and shoots were harvested separately, frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at -80 °C. For each treatment, about 100 mg of frozen tissue was collected in 2 ml Eppendorf tubes. The frozen plant material was ground in a mixing mill (Retsch MM200, Haan, Germany) using a 5 mm stainless steel bead. Total RNA was isolated from crushed plant tissue using the SV Total RNA isolation system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). DNAse I treatment was performed during the extraction according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of the recovered total RNA was assayed by UV spectrophotometry with a Nanodrop (ND1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA). 500 ng of RNA were used to synthesise first strand cDNA using SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA), and a quality control was done as previously described [33].

Real-time PCR was performed on the Mx3005P QPCR System using Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (Agilent-Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX, USA). Each QPCR reaction is a mix of 0.1 μ l cDNA, 4.9 μ l H₂O, 10 μ l Brilliant II SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix and 5 μ l primers at 1 μ M. The reactions were incubated at 95 °C for 10 min,

А

Control

followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min. At the end of the 40 cycles, all reactions were heated to 95 °C for 1 min, cooled to 60 °C and then warmed up regularly from 60 °C to 95 °C for determination of melting temperature.

The expression level of a gene of interest was standardised to the *ubiquitin11* (At4g05320) and *actin2* (At3g18780) reference genes [34] using the following formula: $\Delta Ct = Ct_x - Ct_{ref}$. The efficiency of amplification for a cDNA template was evaluated by a dilution series using the *ubiquitin11* and *actin2* primers. QPCRs were performed with cDNA templates characterised by similar amplification efficiency. Because the difference in expression levels calculated using the two reference genes was minimal, we present only results obtained using *actin2* as a reference. All results are expressed as $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ against a logarithmic scale.

A biological repeat is defined as RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and finally gene expression level measurement from a unique plant tissue sample harvested on the same date from eight plants growing simultaneously. The expression level for each gene was determined at least three times.

2.6. Plant soluble protein extraction, protein electrophoresis and western blotting

Seven days after inoculation, wild type and 35S::EIN3::FLAG seedlings were harvested. Soluble proteins were extracted from whole plants (1 g fresh weight) ground in 1 ml of a protein extraction buffer (Saccharose 0.5 M, EDTA-Na² 10 mM, EGTA-Na² 10 mM, DTT 10 mM, Ascorbate 5 mM, PMSF 1 mM, Tris-MES 0.2 M pH 8.0) using a Potter device. Extracts were stored on ice and later centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at 15,000 rpm. Supernatants were carefully collected, aliguoted and stored at -20°C.

Protein amounts were determined using the Bradford method [35] (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). BSA (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used as a protein standard.

Prior to electrophoresis, identical amounts of soluble proteins were pipetted and mixed in Laemmli denaturation buffer and subsequently boiled for 1 min [36]. Soluble proteins were then separated on a SDS electrophoresis gel (4% stacking; 10% running [36]) in a mini proteanll electrophoresis system (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) using a current field of 15 mA per gel. We always ran a gel for western blotting as well as a gel for coomassie staining simultaneously in order to verify the amount of protein loaded onto the gel (EZBlueTM Gel Staining Reagent, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA).

For western blotting, separated proteins were electroblotted onto PVDF membrane (Hybond-P, Amersham, Little Chalfort, HP, UK) according to the manufacturers' recommendations. For immunodetection, the membrane was washed in PBS buffer and blocked overnight at 4° C in blocking buffer (1× PBS, 1% (w/v) BSA, 0.1% Tween 20). The anti-flag antibody (F-3165, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was diluted 15,000 times in blocking buffer and incubated with the membrane for 1.5 h. The membrane was then extensively washed using fresh blocking buffer and incubated with the secondary antibody (anti-mouse alkaline phosphatase labelled, A3562, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 1 h. Finally, the membrane was thoroughly washed again in fresh blocking buffer.

Prior to detection, the membrane was successively rinsed first in $1 \times$ PBS followed by alkaline phosphatase buffer (1 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM Tris, pH 9.8). Alkaline phosphatase activity was monitored by chemiluminescence (Immobilon Western chemiluminescence AP substrate, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) using a LAS3000 imaging system (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturers' recommendations.

2.7. Statistical analysis

ANOVA tests were performed with the Statistica 6.0 software (StatSoft, Maisons-Alfort, France) using the Fisher Least Significant



STM196

Fig. 1. Inoctuation with SIM 196 increases col-0 seeding root hair length in a dosedependent manner. (A) Images of representative Col-0 root hairs inoculated with STM196 at 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated controls. The scale bars represent 500 μ m. (B) Each bar represents the mean (+SD) root hair length of Col-0 seedlings inoculated with STM196 at 10⁶, 10⁷, 10⁸ or 10⁹ CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated controls. Means were calculated from 224 to 770 measurements taken from at least three biological replicates. Different letters indicate significantly different means according to Fisher's LSD test (*P*=0.05).

Difference test at the significance threshold indicated in the figure legends.

3. Results

3.1. P. brassicacearum STM196 promotes Arabidopsis root hair elongation without a significant increase in ethylene emission

One of the most notable effects of STM196 inoculation on Arabidopsis is the stimulation of root hair elongation [13]. Indeed, seven days after inoculation, the average root hair length of inoculated seedlings was two to five times longer compared to control plants (Fig. 1A and B). Additionally, the resulting root hair length increased in parallel with increasing STM196 inoculum concentrations (Fig. 1B).

Given the key role of ethylene in root hair elongation, we measured ethylene emission in two-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings inoculated with STM196 at various concentrations (Fig. 2A). At the whole plant level, STM196 inoculation did not significantly alter ethylene emission. We further tested the implication of ethylene biosynthesis by measuring the transcript levels of the functional *aminocyclopropane synthase* (ACS) gene family members in the roots and shoots of Arabidopsis seedlings inoculated with STM196 at 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹. We observed that the accumulation of subtype III ACS transcripts (ACS7 and ACS11) increased in the roots of inoculated plants as compared to non-inoculated plants (Fig. 2B).

3.2. STM196 inoculation would stabilise the EIN3 protein

Although we observed transcript accumulation of subtype III ACS genes in inoculated plants, we failed to detect significant changes in ethylene emission. However, the ethylene signalling



Fig. 2. STM196 does not increase Arabidopsis ethylene emission but does increase subtype 3 transcript abundance. (A) Each bar represents the mean (+SD) ethylene emission of Col-0 seedlings inoculated with STM196 at 10⁶, 10⁷, 10⁸ or 10⁹ CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated controls. No statistical differences could be observed based on Fisher's LSD test (*P*=0.05). (B) Each bar corresponds to the average expression level (+SD) of the *ACC synthase* genes (*ACS*) in the roots presented by ACS subtype (type I: *ACS2* and *ACS6*; type II: *ACS4*, *ACS5*, *ACS8*, *ACS9*; type III: *ACS7* and *ACS11*). The expression level of the *ACS* gene family members relative to the *actin2* gene was measured in the root of two-week-old Arabidopsis Col-0 seedlings inoculated with STM196 at 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated controls. For each biological repeat (*n* = 3), the expression levels of *ACS* genes belonging to the same subtype were added together.

pathway could be activated in the presence of STM196, thereby promoting root hair elongation.

Treatment with ethylene reduced the EIN3 and EIN3::FLAG chimeric protein turnover, and these proteins subsequently accumulated [37]. To measure EIN3 abundance in the presence of STM196, we monitored the abundance of the EIN3::FLAG polypeptide. We observed a small but reproducible increase in the abundance of the EIN3::FLAG protein in the shoots of inoculated seedlings (Fig. 3A), but this was not observable in the roots (Fig. 3B). The increase in abundance of EIN3::FLAG in the presence of STM196 in the Arabidopsis transgenic line suggests that STM196 could stabilise EIN3 in the wild type line.

EIN3 encodes a transcription factor that regulates the expression of *EBF2*, and to a lesser extent, *EBF1* [38]. The increased abundance of the *EBF2* transcript could reflect an increase in EIN3 protein abundance. We measured the *EBF2* and *EBF1* transcript levels in the roots and shoots of control and inoculated seedlings, and we recorded a small but significant (3 fold) increase in *EBF1* and *EBF2* transcript levels in both the roots and the shoots of inoculated seedlings (Fig. 3C and D).

Taken together, these results suggest that STM196 could stabilise a small but significant portion of the EIN3 transcription factor pool in the shoot; this would in turn increase transcription of *EBF1* and *EBF2*.

3.3. Root hair elongation in ethylene signalling mutants is sensitive to STM196 inoculation

If ethylene is involved in the root hair response to STM196 inoculation, we would expect ethylene signalling mutants to be affected in response to the bacteria. We first tested the root hair response of the *etr1* and *ein2* mutants [39] together with the *ctr1* mutant [40]. Under non-inoculated conditions, the *etr1* and *ein2* mutants have shorter root hairs than the wild type, while the *ctr1* mutant has longer root hairs (Fig. 4A). Upon inoculation with STM196, the root hairs of *etr1* and *ein2* mutants were longer than in control conditions (1.9 and 2.5 fold, respectively) but remained shorter than the wild type root hairs under the same conditions, which were 3.7 fold longer (Fig. 4A). Similarly, the root hairs of the *ctr1* mutant were 1.6 fold longer than in control conditions and remained longer than the wild type root hairs under the same conditions (Fig. 4A).

We then measured the root hair lengths of *EIN3* mutants and transgenic lines. In the absence of STM196, the root hair length of *ein3* was slightly shorter than the wild type, whereas that of *eil1* and, more surprisingly, of *ein3eil1* was not different from the wild type (Fig. 4A). Upon inoculation with STM196, the *ein3*, *eil1* and *ein3eil1* mutants all exhibited longer root hairs (3, 3.4 and 3.3 fold increases, respectively) (Fig. 4A). The root hair length of *35S::EIN3::FLAG* seedlings also increased 2.6 fold after STM196 inoculation (Fig. 4A).

Finally, we measured the root hair response of *EBF1* and *EBF2* mutants and transgenic lines. We observed longer root hairs in the *ebf1* mutant compared to the wild type under control conditions, while the *ebf2* mutant had a similar root hair length (Fig. 4A). Upon inoculation, the *ebf1* and *ebf2* root hair lengths increased; however, the *ebf1* fold increase was smaller than that of *ebf2* (2.5 vs. 4.7, respectively) (Fig. 4A). Under control conditions, *35S:EBF1* seedlings have shorter root hairs compared to the wild type (Fig. 4A). Upon inoculation, the *35S::EBF1* line showed a 3.3 fold increase in root hair length (3.3 fold) (Fig. 4A).

Taken together, our data indicate that the root hairs of all ethylene mutants and transgenic lines tested are still able to elongate to various degrees in response to STM196. Thus, the ethylene signalling pathway is not directly required for this response.

3.4. Genotypes affected in ethylene signalling show contrasting root hair elongation types in response to STM196

Interestingly, some ethylene mutants show differential fold increases in root hair length. This could indicate an interaction between the genotype and STM196 that affects root hair elongation. To gain further insight into this issue, we calculated an expected root hair length for each genotype upon inoculation and evaluated how similar the expected value was to the actual (measured) value (Fig. 4B). It was apparent that the root hairs of *etr1*, *ctr1*, *ein2* and *ein3* mutants, as well as the 35S::*EBF1* transgenic lines, have reduced sensitivities to STM196 compared to the wild type. However, the *ebf2* mutant showed an increased sensitivity. This indicates that the ethylene signalling pathway (ETR1, CTR1, EIN2 and EIN3) and some of its negative regulators (EBF1) might contribute to the regulation of root hair elongation in response to STM196.

3.5. Local auxin biosynthesis is unlikely to contribute to root hair elongation by STM196

Previous work conducted in our laboratory showed that STM196 inoculation (i) affects auxin distribution in the root tip with



Fig. 3. STM196 inoculation stabilises EIN3 and slightly induces *EBF1* and *EBF2* transcript accumulation. (A and B) The amount of EIN3::FLAG protein was determined by western blotting followed by immunodetection with a monoclonal anti-FLAG antibody. Total soluble proteins were extracted from the shoot (A) or root (B) of transgenic *355::EIN3::FLAG* seedlings inoculated with STM196 10^8 CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated controls. Total soluble proteins separated on a 12% SDS-PAGE (Western blot with anti-FLAG antibody, upper panel; Coomassie staining of total proteins, lower panel). These data represent two biologically independent experiments. (C and D) The relative transcript levels of *EBF1* and *EBF2* were measured in the roots (C) and in the shoots (D) of two-week-old Arabidopsis Col-0 seedlings inoculated with STM196 at 10^8 CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated from at least three biological replicates and normalised relative to the transcript level of the *actin2* gene. Statistically different means were tested at ***P*=0.05 and **P*=0.1 with the Student's *t*-test.

increased accumulation in the vasculature and, at the same time, (ii) increases the transcript abundance of two key auxin biosynthesis genes (ASA1 and ASB1) [41]. These two genes encode different subunits of the anthranilate synthase enzyme, which catalyses the rate-limiting conversion of chorismate into anthranilate in the tryptophan pathway, which in turn serves as a precursor for auxin biosynthesis [42,43]. Auxin is also known to increase the expression of ethylene biosynthesis genes such as ASC4 and ACS11 [44,45]. It is possible that STM196 triggers local auxin production, which consequently promotes local ethylene biosynthesis. We obtained a double loss-of-function mutant affected simultaneously in ASA1 (wei2) and ASB1 (wei7) [43]. Under control conditions, the wei2wei7 double mutant showed a slight increase in root hair length compared to the wild type (Fig. 5). However, upon inoculation, the root hair length was almost indistinguishable from the wild type (Fig. 5). This indicates that ASA1 and ASB1 do not contribute to STM196-dependent root hair elongation.

4. Discussion

Inoculation with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) remarkably alters root and root hair development as reported for oilseed rape [3], *A. thaliana* [13,16], tomato [17] and wheat [18,19]. This involves multiple complex mechanisms and eventually results in growth promotion [1]. To understand how a beneficial rhizobacteria is able to modify plant development, we focused mainly on root hair elongation induced by bacteria. Root hairs are single epidermal cells [46], and the relative simplicity of this cellular model is likely to enhance studies of the beneficial effects of PGPR.

The ethylene emission level remained unchanged after treatment with inocula of STM196 at various concentrations (Fig. 2A). Nevertheless, we observed a small but significant accumulation of both EBF1 and EBF2 transcripts (Fig. 3C and D). This could result from a decreased activity of the XRN4 ribonuclease that negatively regulates EBF1 and EBF2 transcript levels [47,48]. However, upon inoculation, the XRN4 transcript abundance remained unaffected (data not shown). This may suggest that EBF1 and EBF2 transcript accumulation does not result from a decrease in XRN4 activity. The two F-box proteins EBF1 and EBF2 negatively regulate EIN3 abundance [49-51], while EIN3 and EIL1 positively regulate EBF1 and EBF2 transcription [38]. The observed increases in EBF1 and EBF2 transcripts may thus result from the increase in the abundance of the EIN3 polypeptide. We have indirectly estimated the effect of STM196 inoculation on EIN3 abundance by measuring the abundance of the EIN3::FLAG chimeric protein (Fig. 3A and B) [37]. Upon inoculation, EIN3::FLAG appeared more abundant in the shoot. This suggests that STM196 increases EIN3 abundance. As the EIN3 transcript level is not affected by STM196 (data not shown), this would indicate that the rhizobacteria stabilises EIN3. This is consistent with the activation of the ethylene pathway and the accumulation of EBF1 and EBF2 transcripts. Interestingly, recent transcriptomic studies on model legume plants also showed transcript accumulation for some Arabidopsis EBF1 and EBF2 homologues during the early and late stages of nodulation [52,53].

We analysed the root hair response of ethylene signalling mutants (*ein2*, *ein3*, *etr1*, *ctr1*, *eil1*, *ein3eil1*) [39,40,47,54–58] as well as mutants and transgenic plants affected in their ethylene signalling regulation (*ebf1*, *ebf2*, 355::*EIN3*::*FLAG*, and 355::*EBF1*) [37,47,50]. These various mutants and transgenic lines have



Fig. 4. The ethylene signalling pathway indirectly regulates STM196-triggered root hair elongation. (A) The mean (+SD) root hair length of Col0, *etr1*, *etr1*, *ein2*, *ein3*, *eil1*, *ebf1*, *ebf2*, *35S:EIN3*, and *35S:EBF1* seedlings inoculated with STM196 at 10⁸ CFU ml⁻¹ along with uninoculated controls are indicated. Means are the result of at least 243 measurements obtained from three biological replicates. (B) Root hair sensitivity to STM196 inoculation in various ethylene signalling mutants. The difference between the expected root hair length and the observed root hair length for each genotype including the wild type were calculated as describe in Section 2.

alterations in the ethylene signalling pathway (*etr1*, *ctr1*, *ein2*, *ein3*; 355::*EIN3*::*FLAG*) and the mechanism that controls the ethylene signalling pathway (*ebf1*, *ebf2*, and 355::*EBF1*). For each genotype tested, we were still able to observe root hair elongation upon STM196 inoculation (Fig. 4A). The ethylene signalling pathway is therefore not directly involved in this response. However, root hairs of *etr1*, *ctr1*, *ein2 ein3* and 355::*EBF1* were less sensitive to STM196 whereas root hairs of *ebf2* were more sensitive (Fig. 4B). The *etr1*, *ctr1*, *ein2* and *ein3* mutants are impaired in the direct ethylene signalling pathway whereas the *ebf2* mutant is impaired in the negative regulation of the ethylene signalling pathway. This indicates that the ethylene signalling pathway is contributing to root hair elongation in response to STM196. We propose that



Fig. 5. The double mutation *wei2wei7*, which affects auxin biosynthesis in the root tip, does not interfere with the root hair elongation triggered by STM196. Root hair length (mean + SD, four independent biological repeats) of Col-0 wild type plants and the *wei2wei7* double mutant was determined as described in Section 2.

inoculation with STM196 causes minimal ethylene emission, the amount of which is too low to be recorded by standard gas chromatography. This ethylene emission could stabilise EIN3 at the whole plant level and consequently would result in *EBF2* transcript accumulation. The resulting activation of the ethylene pathway could participate in the regulation of root hair elongation. Although in a different biological system, *i.e.* nitrogen fixing endosymbiosis, our model is consistent with ethylene emission in response to rhizobia inoculation as observed in *Medicago truncatula* [59] and soybean [60].

One possible explanation for the low level of ethylene emission could be that ethylene emission happens at a very local scale and would involve too few root cells. However, this does not imply a minimal impact on overall plant root development. In legumes for example, only the root elongation zone is susceptible to inoculation by rhizobacteria that will result in nodule development and other broader changes in root architecture [61]. Alternatively, the involvement of ethylene could be secondary to the activation of another hormonal pathway such as the jasmonate or auxin pathways.

During plant/microbe interactions, there is crosstalk between the ethylene and jasmonic acid signalling pathways. Both hormones act synergistically to promote the expression of the transcription factor *ERF1* that in turn activates the expression of defence genes such as *PDF1.2* [62,63]. Jasmonate is also a positive regulator of root hair development as increasing jasmonate concentrations progressively increase root hair density [64]. However, this effect is almost completely abolished in *etr1* mutants and in the *ein3eil1* double mutant [64,65]. Therefore, at the root hair elongation level, the ethylene signalling pathway is likely to play a more important role than jasmonate.

There are well-established connections between the auxin and ethylene pathways in the root (for a review, see [66]). In vitro inoculation of Arabidopsis with STM196 modifies the DR5::GUS staining pattern in the root tip, indicating that auxin accumulates more strongly along the vasculature upon inoculation [41]. We have observed an accumulation of AS7 and of ACS11 transcript in the root (Fig. 2B). In addition, it is well known that auxin strongly stimulates ACS11 expression [45]. This suggests that local auxin accumulation resulting from STM196 inoculation could activate the root ACS11 gene expression in the root expansion zone. This would promote local ethylene biosynthesis in the root tip that may be too small to be detectable by standard gas chromatography. However, the sensitivity of the wei2wei7 double mutant root hairs (i.e. the difference between expected root hair length and observed root hair length as described in Fig. 4B) is indistinguishable from the wild type (data not shown). This indicates that α and β anthranilate synthase subunits, and by extension, local auxin biosynthesis do not contribute to root hair elongation. Local ethylene production could be triggered by a mechanism independent of local auxin biosynthesis.

Our results indicate that the ethylene pathway contributes to the STM196 root hair elongation response, although it is not a central player. Additionally, activation does not depend on local auxin biosynthesis. It is possible that ethylene biosynthesis would result from the local accumulation of auxin that results from impaired auxin transport as opposed to auxin biosynthesis. However, an AUX1 loss-of-function mutant retains the ability to elongate root hairs in response to STM196 inoculation [67].

The inoculation of Arabidopsis by STM196 affects root hair elongation. This has also been observed when Arabidopsis is inoculated by other beneficial rhizobacteria such as A. brasilense [17,68] and B. megaterium [13,16]. This indicates that different PGPR have similar effects on root hair elongation, suggesting that this phenotype is a typical response to beneficial rhizobacteria. However conflicting results on the implication of the ethylene signalling pathway into this response have been reported [16,17]. That contradiction would only be apparent if the various bacterial strains have different ability to trigger local ethylene emission. Alternatively, we could be looking at several mechanisms resulting in the same phenotype. The activation of one of these mechanisms may be specific to a bacterial strain. While some of these mechanisms may depend on local ethylene emission, some other may depend on molecules secreted by bacteria, such as N-acyl-L-homoserine lactones (AHL) or small cyclopeptides [69,70]. They could as suspected for the small cyclopeptides, interfere with the plant auxin pathway resulting in modification of root development [69,70]. However, it remains unclear whether bacteria will produce AHL or small peptides while colonising the root surface in quantities large enough to affect root-hair elongation. New information regarding this process could emerge from studies of model legumes during nitrogen fixation symbiosis. A transcription factor controlling root hair elongation in the model legume Lotus japonicus has been identified [71]. There are three homologues of these genes in Arabidopsis, and the transcript level of one of these is affected by STM196 (Desbrosses, unpublished result). This raises the possibility that root hair elongation in response to bacteria could be controlled by a subclass of transcription factors. Insight into the mechanism involved in STM196promoted root hair elongation can be obtained by simultaneously studying the response of loss-of-function mutants in these genes together with the regulation of their expression by phytohormones.

Acknowledgments

M.G. was supported by a grant from the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche (MESR). We are

grateful to Dr Solange Desagher (IGMM, Montpellier, France) for providing us with access to quantitative PCR machines, Dr Gilles Béna and Dr Gisèle Laguerre (LSTM, Montpellier, France) for help with the statistical analysis and Dr Yves Prin (LSTM, Montpellier, France) for assistance with microscopy.

References

- B.J. Lugtenberg, F. Kamilova, Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria, Annual Review of Microbiology 63 (2009) 541–556.
- [2] S.C. Van Wees, S. Van der Ent, C.M. Pieterse, Plant immune responses triggered by beneficial microbes, Current Opinion in Plant Biology 11 (2008) 443–448.
- [3] H. Bertrand, C. Plassard, X. Pinochet, B. Touraine, P. Normand, J.C. Cleyet-Marel, Stimulation of the ionic transport system in *Brassica napus* by a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (*Achromobacter* sp.), Canadian Journal of Microbiology 46 (2000) 229–236.
- [4] J.K. Vessey, Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers, Plant Soil 255 (2003) 571–586.
- [5] S. Mantelin, B. Touraine, Plant growth-promoting bacteria and nitrate availability: impacts on root development and nitrate uptake, Journal of Experimental Botany 55 (2004) 27–34.
- [6] M. Lucy, E. Reed, B.R. Glick, Applications of free living plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology 86 (2004) 1–25.
- [7] A. Gupta, M. Gopal, K.V. Tilak, Mechanism of plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria, Indian Journal of Experimental Biology 38 (2000) 856–862.
- [8] P. Barbieri, E. Galli, Effect on wheat root development of inoculation with an *Azospirillum brasilense* mutant with altered indole-3-acetic acid production, Research in Microbiology 144 (1993) 69–75.
- [9] M. Malhotra, S. Srivastava, An ipdC gene knock-out of Azospirillum brasilense strain SM and its implications on indole-3-acetic acid biosynthesis and plant growth promotion, Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology 93 (2008) 425–433.
- [10] C.L. Patten, B.R. Glick, Role of *Pseudomonas putida* indoleacetic acid in development of the host plant root system, Applied and Environment Microbiology 68 (2002) 3795–3801.
- [11] S. Suzuki, Y. He, H. Oyaizu, Indole-3-acetic acid production in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* HP72 and its association with suppression of creeping bentgrass brown patch, Current Microbiology 47 (2003) 138–143.
- [12] D. Blaha, C. Prigent-Combaret, M.S. Mirza, Y. Moenne-Loccoz, Phylogeny of the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase-encoding gene acdS in phytobeneficial and pathogenic Proteobacteria and relation with strain biogeography, FEMS Microbiology Ecology 56 (2006) 455–470.
- [13] C. Contesto, G. Desbrosses, C. Lefoulon, G. Bena, F. Borel, M. Galland, L. Gamet, F. Varoquaux, B. Touraine, Effects of rhizobacterial ACC deaminase activity on Arabidopsis indicate that ethylene mediates local root responses to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, Plant Science 1/2 (2008) 178–189.
- [14] S. Okazaki, N. Nukui, M. Sugawara, K. Minamisawa, Rhizobial strategies to enhanced symbiotic interaction: rhizobitoxine and 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate deaminase, Microbes and Environments 19 (2004) 99–111.
- [15] B.R. Glick, Modulation of plant ethylene levels by the bacterial enzyme ACC deaminase, FEMS Microbiology Letters 251 (2005) 1–7.
- [16] J. Lopez-Bucio, J.C. Campos-Cuevas, E. Hernandez-Calderon, C. Velasquez-Becerra, R. Farias-Rodriguez, Ll. Macias-Rodriguez, E. Valencia-Cantero, *Bacillus megaterium* rhizobacteria promote growth and alter root-system architecture through an auxin- and ethylene-independent signaling mechanism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 20 (2007) 207–217.
- [17] C.M. Ribaudo, E. Krumpholz, F.D. Cassan, R. Bottini, M. Cantoren, J. Cura, Azospirillum sp. promotes root hair development in tomato plants through a mechanism that involves ethylene, Journal of Plant Growth Regulation 24 (2006) 175–185.
- [18] Y. Kapulnik, Y. Okon, Y. Henis, Changes in root morphology of wheat caused by Azospirillum inoculation, Canadian Journal of Microbiology 31 (1985) 881–887.
- [19] S. Dobbelaere, A. Croonenborghs, A. Thys, A. Vande Broek, J. Vanderleyden, Phytostimulatory effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* wild type and mutant strains altered in IAA production on wheat, Plant Soil 212 (1999) 153–162.
- [20] M. Grebe, J. Friml, R. Swarup, K. Ljung, G. Sandberg, M. Terlou, K. Palme, M.J. Bennett, B. Scheres, Cell polarity signaling in Arabidopsis involves a BFA-sensitive auxin influx pathway, Current Biology 12 (2002) 329–334.
- [21] Y. Ikeda, S. Men, U. Fischer, A.N. Stepanova, J.M. Alonso, K. Ljung, M. Grebe, Local auxin biosynthesis modulates gradient-directed planar polarity in Arabidopsis, Nature Cell Biology 11 (2009) 731–738.
- [22] A.R. Jones, E.M. Kramer, K. Knox, R. Swarup, M.J. Bennett, C.M. Lazarus, H.M. Leyser, C.S. Grierson, Auxin transport through non-hair cells sustains root-hair development, Nature Cell Biology 11 (2009) 78–84.
- [23] K. Knox, C.S. Grierson, O. Leyser, AXR3 and SHY2 interact to regulate root-hair development, Development 130 (2003) 5769–5777.
- [24] J.D. Masucci, J.W. Schiefelbein, Hormones act downstream of TTG and GL2 to promote root-hair outgrowth during epidermis development in the Arabidopsis root, Plant Cell 8 (1996) 1505–1517.
- [25] R.J. Pitts, A. Cernac, M. Estelle, Auxin and ethylene promote root-hair elongation in Arabidopsis, Plant Journal 16 (1998) 553–560.

- [26] A. Rahman, S. Hosokawa, Y. Oono, T. Amakawa, N. Goto, S. Tsurumi, Auxin and ethylene response interactions during Arabidopsis root-hair development dissected by auxin influx modulators, Plant Physiology 130 (2002) 1908–1917.
- [27] K.M. Leon-Kloosterziel, B.W. Verhagen, J.J. Keurentjes, J.A. VanPelt, M. Rep, L.C. VanLoon, C.M. Pieterse, Colonization of the Arabidopsis rhizosphere by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. activates a root-specific, ethylene-responsive *PR-5* gene in the vascular bundle, Plant Molecular Biology 57 (2005) 731–748.
- [28] J. Li, D.H. Ovakim, T.C. Charles, B.R. Glick, An ACC deaminase minus mutant of Enterobacter cloacae UW4 no longer promotes root elongation, Current Microbiology 41 (2000) 101–105.
- [29] M. Knoester, C.M. Pieterse, J.F. Bol, L.C. Van Loon, Systemic resistance in Arabidopsis induced by rhizobacteria requires ethylene-dependent signalling at the site of application, Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 12 (1999) 720–727.
- [30] C.M. Pieterse, S.C. van Wees, J.A. van Pelt, M. Knoester, R. Laan, H. Gerrits, P.J. Weisbeek, L.C. van Loon, A novel signalling pathway controlling induced systemic resistance in Arabidopsis, Plant Cell 10 (1998) 1571–1580.
- [31] H. Bertrand, R. Nalin, R. Bally, J.C. Cleyet-Marel, Isolation and identification of the most efficient plant growth-promoting bacteria associated with canola (*Brassica napus*), Biology and Fertility of Soils 33 (2001) 152–156.
- [32] S. Mantelin, M.F. Saux, F. Zakhia, G. Bena, S. Bonneau, H. Jeder, P. de Lajudie, J.C. Cleyet-Marel, Emended description of the genus Phyllobacterium and description of four novel species associated with plant roots: *Phyllobacterium bourgognense* sp. nov., *Phyllobacterium ifriqiyense* sp. nov., *Phyllobacterium leguminum* sp. nov. and *Phyllobacterium brassicacearum* sp. nov, International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 56 (2006) 827–839.
- [33] S. Mantelin, G. Desbrosses, M. Larcher, T.J. Tranbarger, J.C. Cleyet-Marel, B. Touraine, Nitrate-dependent control of root architecture and N nutrition are altered by a plant growth-promoting *Phyllobacterium* sp., Planta 223 (2006) 591–603.
- [34] T. Czechowski, M. Stitt, T. Altmann, M.K. Udvardi, W.R. Scheible, Genome-wide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript normalization in Arabidopsis, Plant Physiology 139 (2005) 5–17.
- [35] M.M. Bradford, A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding, Analytical Biochemistry 72 (1976) 248–254.
- [36] U.K. Laemmli, Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4, Nature 227 (1970) 680–685.
- [37] S. Yanagisawa, S.D. Yoo, J. Sheen, Differential regulation of EIN3 stability by glucose and ethylene signalling in plants, Nature 425 (2003) 521–525.
- [38] M. Konishi, S. Yanagisawa, Ethylene signalling in Arabidopsis involves feedback regulation via the elaborate control of *EBF2* expression by EIN3, Plant Journal 55 (2008) 821–831.
- [39] P. Guzman, J.R. Ecker, Exploiting the triple response of Arabidopsis to identify ethylene-related mutants, Plant Cell 2 (1990) 513–523.
- [40] J.J. Kieber, M. Rothenberg, G. Roman, K.A. Feldmann, J.R. Ecker, CTR1, a negative regulator of the ethylene response pathway in Arabidopsis, encodes a member of the Raf family of protein kinases, Cell 72 (1993) 427–441.
 [41] C. Contesto, S. Milesi, S. Mantelin, A. Zancarini, G. Desbrosses, F. Varoquaux, C.
- [41] C. Contesto, S. Milesi, S. Mantelin, A. Zancarini, G. Desbrosses, F. Varoquaux, C. Bellini, M. Kowalczyk, B. Touraine, The auxin-signaling pathway is required for the lateral root response of Arabidopsis to the rhizobacterium *Phyllobacterium brassicacearum*, Planta 232 (2010) 1455–1470.
- [42] J. Normanly, B. Bartel, Redundancy as a way of life–IAA metabolism, Current Opinion in Plant Biology 2 (1999) 207–213.
- [43] A.N. Stepanova, J.M. Hoyt, A.A. Hamilton, J.M. Alonso, A link between ethylene and auxin uncovered by the characterization of two root-specific ethyleneinsensitive mutants in Arabidopsis, Plant Cell 17 (2005) 2230–2242.
- [44] S. Abel, M.D. Nguyen, W. Chow, A. Theologis, ACS4, a primary indoleacetic acidresponsive gene encoding 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase in Arabidopsis thaliana. Structural characterization, expression in Escherichia coli, and expression characteristics in response to auxin, Journal of Biological Chemistry 270 (1995) 19093–19099.
- [45] A. Tsuchisaka, A. Theologis, Unique and overlapping expression patterns among the Arabidopsis 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate synthase gene family members, Plant Physiology 136 (2004) 2982–3000.
- [46] L. Dolan, K. Janmaat, V. Willemsen, P. Linstead, S. Poethig, K. Roberts, B. Scheres, Cellular organisation of the Arabidopsis thaliana root, Development 119 (1993) 71–84.
- [47] T. Potuschak, A. Vansiri, B.M. Binder, E. Lechner, R.D. Vierstra, P. Genschik, The exoribonuclease XRN4 is a component of the ethylene response pathway in Arabidopsis, Plant Cell 18 (2006) 3047–3057.
- [48] G. Olmedo, H. Guo, B.D. Gregory, S.D. Nourizadeh, L. Aguilar-Henonin, H. Li, F. An, P. Guzman, J.R. Ecker, ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE5 encodes a 5'→3' exoribonuclease required for regulation of the EIN3-targeting F-box proteins EBF1/2, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103 (2006) 13286–13293.
- [49] J.M. Gagne, J. Smalle, D.J. Gingerich, J.M. Walker, S.D. Yoo, S. Yanagisawa, R.D. Vierstra, Arabidopsis EIN3-binding F-box 1 and 2 form ubiquitin-protein ligases

that repress ethylene action and promote growth by directing EIN3 degradation, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101 (2004) 6803–6808.

- [50] H. Guo, J.R. Ecker, Plant responses to ethylene gas are mediated by SCF(EBF1/EBF2)-dependent proteolysis of EIN3 transcription factor, Cell 115 (2003) 667–677.
- [51] T. Potuschak, E. Lechner, Y. Parmentier, S. Yanagisawa, S. Grava, C. Koncz, P. Genschik, EIN3-dependent regulation of plant ethylene hormone signalling by two Arabidopsis F box proteins: EBF1 and EBF2, Cell 115 (2003) 679–689.
- [52] J. He, V.A. Benedito, M. Wang, J.D. Murray, P.X. Zhao, Y. Tang, M.K. Udvardi, The Medicago truncatula gene expression atlas web server, BMC Bioinformatics 10 (2009) 441.
- [53] N. Høgslund, S. Radutoiu, L. Krusell, V. Voroshilova, M.A. Hannah, N. Goffard, D.H. Sanchez, F. Lippold, T. Ott, S. Sato, S. Tabata, P. Liboriussen, G.V. Lohmann, L. Schauser, G.F. Weiller, M.K. Udvardi, J. Stougaard, Dissection of symbiosis and organ development by integrated transcriptome analysis of *Lotus japonicus* mutant and wild-type plants, PLoS One 4 (2009) e6556.
- [54] J.M. Alonso, T. Hirayama, G. Roman, S. Nourizadeh, J.R. Ecker, EIN2 a bifunctional transducer of ethylene and stress responses in Arabidopsis, Science 284 (1999) 2148–2152.
- [55] Q.M. Chao, M. Rothenberg, R. Solano, G. Roman, W. Terzaghi, J.R. Ecker, Activation of the ethylene gas response pathway in Arabidopsis by the nuclear protein ETHYLENE-INSENSITIVE3 and related proteins, Cell 89 (1997) 1133–1144.
- [56] C. Chang, S.F. Kwok, A.B. Bleecker, E.M. Meyerowitz, Arabidopsis ethyleneresponse gene *ETR1*: similarity of product to two-component regulators, Science 262 (1993) 539–544.
- [57] J.M. Alonso, A.N. Stepanova, R. Solano, E. Wisman, S. Ferrari, F.M. Ausubel, J.R. Ecker, Five components of the ethylene-response pathway identified in a screen for weak ethylene-insensitive mutants in Arabidopsis, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100 (2003) 2992–2997.
- [58] B.M. Binder, L.A. Mortimore, A.N. Stepanova, J.R. Ecker, A.B. Bleecker, Shortterm growth responses to ethylene in Arabidopsis seedlings are EIN3/EIL1 independent, Plant Physiology 136 (2004) 2921–2927.
- [59] R.V. Penmetsa, P. Uribe, J. Anderson, J. Lichtenzveig, J.C. Gish, Y.W. Nam, E. Engstrom, K. Xu, G. Sckisel, M. Pereira, J.M. Baek, M. Lopez-Meyer, S.R. Long, M.J. Harrison, K.B. Singh, G.B. Kiss, D.R. Cook, The *Medicago truncatula* ortholog of *Arabidopsis* ElN2, sickle, is a negative regulator of symbiotic and pathogenic microbial associations, Plant Journal 55 (2008) 580–595.
- [60] W.J. Hunter, Ethylene production by root nodules and effect of ethylene on nodulation in *Clycine max*, Applied and Environment Microbiology 59 (1993) 1947–1950.
- [61] G.J. Desbrosses, J. Stougaard, Root nodulation: a paradigm for how plantmicrobe symbiosis influences host developmental pathways, Cell Host Microbe 10 (2011) 348–358.
- [62] X. Dong, SA, JA, ethylene, and disease resistance in plants, Current Opinion in Plant Biology 1 (1998) 316–323.
- [63] W.F. Broekaert, S.L. Delaure, M.F. De Bolle, B.P. Cammue, The role of ethylene in host-pathogen interactions, Annual Review of Phytopathology 44 (2006) 393–416.
- [64] C. Zhu, L. Gan, Z. Shen, K. Xia, Interactions between jasmonates and ethylene in the regulation of root-hair development in Arabidopsis, Journal of Experimental Botany 57 (2006) 1299–1308.
- [65] Z. Zhu, F. An, Y. Feng, P. Li, L. Xue, M.A.Z. Jiang, J.M. Kim, T.K. To, W. Li, X. Zhang, Q. Yu, Z. Dong, W.Q. Chen, M. Seki, J.M. Zhou, H. Guo, Derepression of ethylenestabilized transcription factors (EIN3/EIL1) mediates jasmonate and ethylene signalling synergy in Arabidopsis, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108 (2011) 12539–12544.
- [66] A.N. Stepanova, J.M. Alonso, Ethylene signalling and response: where different regulatory modules meet, Current Opinion in Plant Biology 12 (2009) 548–555.
- [67] G. Desbrosses, C. Contesto, F. Varoquaux, M. Galland, B. Touraine, PGPR-Arabidopsis interactions is a useful system to study signalling pathways involved in plant developmental control, Plant Signaling & Behavior 4 (2009) 321–323.
- [68] J.G. Dubrovsky, M. Esther Puente, Y. Bashan, Arabidopsis thaliana as a model system for the study of the effect of inoculation by Azospirillum brasilense SP-245 on root-hair growth, Soil Biology and Biochemistry 26 (1994) 1657–1664.
- [69] R. Ortiz-Castro, C. Diaz-Perez, M. Martinez-Trujillo, R.E. del Rió, J. Campos-Garcia, J. Lopez-Bucio, Transkingdom signaling based on bacterial cyclodipeptides with auxin activity in plants, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108 (2011) 7253–7258.
- [70] R. Ortiz-Castro, M. Martinez-Trujillo, J. Lopez-Bucio, N-acyl-L-homoserine lactones: a class of bacterial quorum-sensing signals alter post-embryonic root development in Arabidopsis thaliana, Plant Cell Environ 31 (2008) 1497–1509.
- [71] B. Karas, L. Amyot, C. Johansen, S. Sato, S. Tabata, M. Kawaguchi, K. Szczyglowski, Conservation of lotus and Arabidopsis basic helix-loop-helix proteins reveals new players in root-hair development, Plant Physiology 151 (2009) 1175–1185.