

# Analyzing lateral root development: how to move forward.

Ive de Smet, Philip J White, a Glyn Bengough, Lionel Dupuy, Boris Parizot, Ilda Casimiro, Renze Heidstra, Marta Laskowski, Marc Lepetit, Frank Hochholdinger, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Ive de Smet, Philip J White, a Glyn Bengough, Lionel Dupuy, Boris Parizot, et al.. Analyzing lateral root development: how to move forward.. The Plant cell, 2012, 24 (1), pp.15-20. 10.1105/tpc.111.094292. hal-00776223

## HAL Id: hal-00776223 https://hal.science/hal-00776223

Submitted on 29 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### Analyzing Lateral Root Development: How to Move Forward

Ive De Smet, Philip J. White, A. Glyn Bengough, Lionel Dupuy, Boris Parizot, Ilda Casimiro, Renze Heidstra, Marta Laskowski, Marc Lepetit, Frank Hochholdinger, Xavier Draye, Hanma Zhang, Martin R. Broadley, Benjamin Péret, John P. Hammond, Hidehiro Fukaki, Sacha Mooney, Jonathan P. Lynch, Phillipe Nacry, Ulrich Schurr, Laurent Laplaze, Philip Benfey, Tom Beeckman and Malcolm Bennett *Plant Cell* 2012;24;15-20; originally published online January 6, 2012; DOI 10.1105/tpc.111.094292

This information is current as of October 3, 2013

References	This article cites 62 articles, 23 of which can be accessed free at: http://www.plantcell.org/content/24/1/15.full.html#ref-list-1
Permissions	https://www.copyright.com/ccc/openurl.do?sid=pd_hw1532298X&issn=1532298X&WT.mc_id=pd_hw1532298X
eTOCs	Sign up for eTOCs at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
CiteTrack Alerts	Sign up for CiteTrack Alerts at: http://www.plantcell.org/cgi/alerts/ctmain
Subscription Information	Subscription Information for <i>The Plant Cell</i> and <i>Plant Physiology</i> is available at: http://www.aspb.org/publications/subscriptions.cfm

## **Analyzing Lateral Root Development: How to Move Forward**

Ive De Smet,<sup>a,1</sup> Philip J. White,<sup>b</sup> A. Glyn Bengough,<sup>b,c</sup> Lionel Dupuy,<sup>b</sup> Boris Parizot,<sup>d,e</sup> Ilda Casimiro,<sup>f</sup> Renze Heidstra,<sup>g</sup> Marta Laskowski,<sup>h</sup> Marc Lepetit,<sup>i</sup> Frank Hochholdinger,<sup>j</sup> Xavier Draye,<sup>k</sup> Hanma Zhang,<sup>I</sup> Martin R. Broadley,<sup>a</sup> Benjamin Péret,<sup>m</sup> John P. Hammond,<sup>a</sup> Hidehiro Fukaki,<sup>n</sup> Sacha Mooney,<sup>o,p</sup> Jonathan P. Lynch,<sup>q</sup> Phillipe Nacry,<sup>r</sup> Ulrich Schurr,<sup>s</sup> Laurent Laplaze,<sup>t,u</sup> Philip Benfey,<sup>v</sup> Tom Beeckman,<sup>d,e</sup> and Malcolm Bennett<sup>a,o</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Plant and Crop Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup>James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup>Division of Civil Engineering, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, United Kingdom

<sup>d</sup>Department of Plant Systems Biology, VIB, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium

<sup>e</sup>Department of Plant Biotechnology and Genetics, Ghent University, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium

<sup>f</sup>Universidad de Extremadura, Facultad de Ciencias, 06071 Badajoz, Spain

<sup>9</sup>Molecular Genetics, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands <sup>h</sup>Biology Department, Oberlin College, Oberlin, Ohio 44074

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire des Symbioses Tropicales et Méditerranéennes, USC 1242 Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Unité Mixte de Recherche 113, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement-Centre de coopération internationale en recherche agronomique pour le développement-SupAgro-Université Montpellier 2, Campus de Baillarguet, F-34398 Montpellier cedex 5, France

<sup>I</sup>Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation, Chair for Crop Functional Genomics, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany <sup>k</sup>Earth and Life Institute, Université Catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Plant Sciences, Institute of Integrative and Comparative Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

<sup>m</sup>Unité Mixte de Recherche 6191, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Laboratoire de Biologie du Développement des Plantes, Université d'Aix-Marseille, 13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

<sup>n</sup>Department of Biology, Kobe University, 657-8501, Kobe, Japan

°Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

<sup>p</sup>Division of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Loughborough, Leicestershire LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

<sup>a</sup>Department of Horticulture, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

<sup>r</sup>Laboratoire de Biochimie et Physiologie Moleculaire des Plantes, Unité Mixte de Recherche 5004 (Agro-M/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique/UM II), 34060 Montpellier, France

<sup>s</sup>Forschungszentrum Jülich, Institute of Bio- and Geosciences-2: Plant Sciences, 52425 Juelich, Germany

<sup>t</sup>Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Unité Mixte de Recherche Diversité adaptation et développement des plantes (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement/Université Montpellier 2), F-34394 Montpellier, France

<sup>u</sup>Laboratoire Commun de Microbiologie (Institut de Recherche pour le Développement/Institut Sénégalais de Recherches Agricoles/ Université Cheikh Anta Diop), Centre de Recherche de Bel-Air, CP 18524 Dakar, Senegal

<sup>v</sup>Department of Biology and Institute for Genome Science and Policy Center for Systems Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708

Roots are important to plants for a wide variety of processes, including nutrient and water uptake, anchoring and mechanical support, storage functions, and as the major interface between the plant and various biotic and abiotic factors in the soil environment. Therefore, understanding the development and architecture of roots holds potential for the manipulation of root traits to improve the productivity and sustainability of agricultural systems and to better understand and manage natural ecosystems. While lateral root development is a traceable process along the primary root and different stages can be found along this longitudinal axis of time and development, root system architecture is complex and difficult to quantify. Here, we comment on assays to describe lateral root phenotypes and propose ways to move forward regarding the description of root system architecture, also considering crops and the environment.

Root system architecture is a key determinant of nutrient and water use efficiency

<sup>1</sup>Address correspondence to lve.De\_Smet@nottingham. ac.uk.

www.plantcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1105/tpc.111.094292

and describes, on a macro scale, the organization of the primary root and root- and stemderived branches where they are present in monocots and dicots (Hochholdinger et al., 2004; De Smet et al., 2006; Hochholdinger and Zimmermann, 2008; Péret et al., 2009; Coudert et al., 2010). Overall root architecture of dicots, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana*, and monocots, such as maize (*Zea mays*) and rice (*Oryza sativa*), differs. The dependence on the

embryonically derived system of a primary root and its lateral roots is a feature typical of dicots (taproot system). Perennial dicot species, for example, Brassica, usually have a broadly similar root system in terms of development and morphological layout to Arabidopsis, though their comparatively longer life cycles usually result in a larger and denser root system. In maize and rice, the postembryonic shoot-borne root system with many different types of root branches builds the majority of the root system (fibrous root system). On a micro scale, root system architecture includes root hairs that increase the surface area (Gilroy and Jones, 2000; Tominaga-Wada et al., 2011). In addition, adaptive root structures, such as cluster roots (Neumann and Martinoia, 2002; Lambers et al., 2006), nitrogen-fixing nodules (Crespi and Frugier. 2008; Oldroyd and Downie, 2008), and mycorrhizae (Bonfante and Requena, 2011; Smith and Smith, 2011), can improve water and nutrient uptake by the plant root system. Here, we will focus on lateral roots.

In the past, various approaches have been used for lateral root phenotyping, ranging from total numbers of (emerged) lateral roots, (emerged) lateral root density, lateral root index, etc. (Dubrovsky et al., 2009; Dubrovsky and Forde, 2011). Dubrovsky and Forde (2011) suggested a set of methods to analyze lateral root development quantitatively in Arabidopsis. While Dubrovsky and Forde (2011) offer useful points for consideration to reduce mistakes describing root branching phenotypes, their solution is restricted in scope to Arabidopsis grown on agar. In addition, notwithstanding their parameters can have value for in-depth analyses of Arabidopsis root architecture, these approaches should not become the sole standard in phenotyping root branching. Also, with the growing importance of studying root system architecture in crops, including cereals, we require a wider portfolio of assays to address different aspects of root systems. With respect to (cereal) crop species, there are other features, such as volume, surface area, length distribution, etc., that are straightforward to measure and can be taken into account (lyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2010). Here, we further highlight novel and original approaches that move from two- to three-dimensional (2D to 3D) image analyses, and we phrase the importance for wider adoption of imaging approaches capturing the dynamic nature of roots, such as root branching kinetics and interactions with the environment. Moreover, we stress the future need to look at lateral root development in more ecologically and agronomically realistic contexts, such as when grown in soil or even under field conditions. All these aspects are important for both small-scale and highthroughput approaches, with the latter also being tackled in several phenotyping facilities.

#### WHAT MEASUREMENTS CAN WE USE?

Simple measurements of lateral root density (lateral root primordia and/or lateral roots per unit of total primary root length or of branching zone) remain useful statistics when interpreted appropriately and should be sufficient to characterize root branching phenotypes in the first instance. In addition, the Arabidopsis model system can be used to gain further insight into the developmental basis for an altered number of emerged lateral roots in given mutant backgrounds, and the total number and distribution of stages of lateral root primordia can be determined in wild-type versus mutant roots (Swarup et al., 2008). Nevertheless, such analyses are commonly performed in a static way (i.e., only taking one time point into account). While single time point assays can provide informative answers, the age of the plant is important as emergence of lateral roots varies considerably with time as suggested by the variable densities reported (Dubrovsky and Forde, 2011). In Arabidopsis. lateral root primordia emerge at  $\sim$ 7 d after germination, soon after the first pairs of leaves are visible as they represent the source of auxin to promote root outgrowth (Bhalerao et al., 2002). Hence, small delays in (leaf) development can provoke major temporal differences in lateral root density that disappear in older plants. In addition, a naturally heterogenous environment affects root system architecture at a specific point in time and space. As such, the root system also reflects previous local environmental situations (Füllner et al., 2011). Therefore, we should be mindful that time

is an important parameter when considering development of primordia and root systems.

In addition, the importance of events occurring before the stage I primordia are visible has lately become more apparent in Arabidopsis (De Smet et al., 2007; Moreno-Risueno et al., 2010). In this respect, the production of prebranch sites and founder cells are features that could also be taken into account when describing lateral root phenotypes. In Arabidopsis, this can be addressed using available markers, such as pDR5:LUCIFERASE (Moreno-Risueno et al., 2010), pDR5:β-glucuronidase (De Smet et al., 2007), pDR5rev:green fluorescent protein (Dubrovsky et al., 2008), and pGA-TA23:nuclear localization signal:green fluorescent protein (De Rybel et al., 2010). Besides how many lateral roots are being produced, it is also important to determine how fast they grow and how they are positioned along the primary root axis.

As current approaches neglect the fact that lateral root density changes as a function of the rate of root growth (Dubrovsky and Forde, 2011) and to avoid drawing incorrect conclusions based on variation in lateral root densities at one time point, we need to monitor the dynamics of lateral root development. To zoom in on a potential alteration of the process of lateral development and/or emergence, focused, reliable, and reproducible quantitative phenotyping based on manually curved roots (J-hooks) (Laskowski et al., 2008) or the gravistimulation of lateral roots in a well-defined zone where the root apex has been reorientating its growth toward the new gravity vector are useful approaches (Lucas et al., 2008; Guyomarc'h et al., 2012). In the latter approach, subsequent stages of lateral root development occur at regular time points following gravistimulation, making this an ideal system to record specific deviations from the normal lateral root organogenesis process and for analyzing the timing of gene expression (De Smet et al., 2010).

#### WHICH GROWTH MEDIA AND CONDITIONS TO STUDY ROOTS?

To study roots, we need ways to culture and observe them in the lab. There is

a large diversity of growth media reported in the literature, and Dubrovsky and Forde (2011) highlighted that this as a serious limitation to the robustness and repeatability of results across laboratories. However, when significant differences are reported on lateral root densities, and both mutants and the wild type were properly compared on the same medium, there should not be a problem interpreting the data. In many cases, the medium has little interaction with root responses to experimental treatments, and many mutants conserve their phenotypes across a range of environmental conditions. It is difficult to imagine how the scientific community could benefit from a standardization of growth media that would hinder our understanding of root plasticity. Especially since growth conditions are quite different between labs (temperature, light intensity, photoperiod, humidity, etc.) and since standardizing media would not be sufficient to create homogenous conditions. However, as also suggested by Dubrovsky and Forde (2011). a greater level of detail about growth conditions employed in a research article would certainly aid attempts to replicate experiments.

Adaptation of root system architecture to variable environments is highly plastic, and exploring the diversity of these developmental adaptations is one of our key challenges for the future. Root developmental strategies strongly depend on both local concentrations of nutrients as well as on the nutrient status of the whole plant (Gojon et al., 2009) and on soil temperatures (Füllner et al., 2011). We believe that the scientific community might not necessarily profit from the general use of one single growth medium, given that substantial progress has been realized using different media and different growth conditions, instead of one standardized medium. We cannot understand roots without knowing how they adapt to their environment. Experimental studies must therefore expose roots to heterogeneous physical or chemical environments. Split root systems (Remans et al., 2006; Girin et al., 2010; Ruffel et al., 2011) or artificial granular media (Bengough et al., 2010) are examples of systems available to understand the effects of environments on root development. However, ensuring concentrations of nutrients in growth media are within the levels recorded in soil would help to guarantee that root responses observed are physiologically relevant.

#### HOW TO IMAGE ROOT SYSTEMS?

The 2D agar-based culture of *Arabidopsis* discussed by Dubrovsky and Forde (2011) is an appealing simple solution to obtain descriptions of the root system at low cost and high throughput. In this respect, aspects of root system phenotypes (root elongation, root angular spread, numbers of root axes, etc.) measured for crop seedlings in simplified 2D systems have been shown to be closely correlated with root properties in 3D and in 2D for mature plants (Liao et al., 2001; Manschadi et al., 2006; Hargreaves et al., 2009).

Nevertheless, several other valuable methods have been developed for describing root architecture of crop species in 2D and 3D. These include growth on moistened germination paper rolls or pouches, sand rhizotrons, rhizoboxes, in compost followed by washing, soil columns and gelbased systems where phenotypic effects can be imaged using flatbed scanners, digital cameras, lasers, or even x-ray computed tomography (CT) (Hetz et al., 1996; Whiting et al., 2000; Bengough et al., 2004; Fang et al., 2009; French et al., 2009; Gregory et al., 2009; Hammond et al., 2009; lyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2010; Trachsel et al., 2010; Tracy et al., 2010, 2011; Chapman et al., 2011; Lobet et al., 2011; Lucas et al., 2011). Magnetic resonance imaging (for noninvasive analysis of root structures) and positron emission tomography (for analysis of carbon transport and accumulation) can be combined to study the dynamics of structure-function relationships of roots in real soils in a noninvasive manner (Jahnke et al., 2009). In all these techniques, a compromise must be made between the disturbance required to allow observations and the resolution and throughput provided by the imaging device. To obtain relevant high-throughput phenotyping data, new (automated) methods and combinations are still required to provide more natural and nondestructive environmental conditions (Nagel et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2011).

Rice root system architecture can be imaged in gel columns in 3D using optical projection tomography or laser scanning (Fang et al., 2009; lyer-Pascuzzi et al., 2010). For plants grown in soil, x-ray CT techniques and magnetic resonance imaging (Heeraman et al., 1997; Nagel et al., 2009; Tracy et al., 2010; Lucas et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2011) have recently increased our capabilities to visualize root system architecture in situ nondestructively. For example, the x-ray CT technique can be used to study root architectures under varying nutrient, moisture, temperature, and soil density conditions in a physiologically relevant way over time. Drawbacks of the system, such as imaging time, imaging area, and a 3D image reconstruction approach, are being overcome with improvements in instrumentation (scan time and image guality) and development of new software (Mairhofer et al., 2011; Mooney et al., 2011).

At present, there are various methods for imaging root system architecture as described above, and each approach has its own merit. A transition from 2D to 3D is essential to fully grasp how root system architecture colonizes its environment, but this involves a large increase in expense and data analysis that needs to be taken into account.

## ROOT SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE IN THE SOIL

Some biological questions can be sufficiently addressed using agar-based approaches. However, with the importance of the root system contributing to a new green revolution (Lynch, 2007; Den Herder et al., 2010), we should not neglect the key ecological and agronomical aspects.

The study of root architecture has always been compromised by the inherent difficulties in studying a system that necessarily operates in an opaque belowground environment. Some approaches cover Petri dishes with foil, cloth, or wavelength selective filters; the latter allows infrared imaging

of root growth (Wells et al., 2012). However, the majority of the root phenotyping assays at present are plate based and expose the roots to light, implying artificial conditions in any case. Nevertheless, this has allowed substantial progress over the years. However, depending on the biological question, we need to validate any apparent differences on the level of lateral root density, root angle, etc., by studying root system phenotypes not just in soil, but across a range of varying soil types in terms of texture, structure, and organic matter.

Root architecture is influenced by many soil properties, including mechanical strength and dry bulk density of the soil, the presence and connectivity of air and water-filled pores, soil pH and temperature, and a variety of nutrient and biotic interaction factors that cannot be easily reproduced in highly artificial growth systems. Mechanical impedance in particular is a major physical limitation to root growth that is very difficult to simulate using a gel system, even for the roots of rice (Clark et al., 1998).

Extracting an entire root system from soil, while maintaining its integrity and avoiding damage to the finer elements of the root system, is an almost impossible challenge. Some promising results have been generated via "shovelomics," a semiquantitative method of excavating, washing, and phenotyping roots, but these have focused mainly on crown root systems (Trachsel et al., 2010; Lynch, 2011). In addition, there are conventional soil coring and underground observation chambers (Neill, 1992; LeCain et al., 2006). As mentioned above, this can be downscaled using x-ray CT, although the low-throughput and sample size:resolution trade-off remain a constraint (Mooney et al., 2011). Less extensively, magnetic resonance imaging has been used in a similar way to study root architecture in situ, as has neutron tomography (Heeraman et al., 1997). In all these approaches, however, cost, effort, the limited throughput, and accessibility (e.g., limited availability of synchrotron beam time for neutron tomography) are still major drawbacks. However, recent technical developments promise increased throughput in the near future.

# USING MATHEMATICAL SIMULATION AND MODELING

Despite recent advances in imaging, there are still many processes that cannot be observed experimentally. For these reasons, lateral root research is making increasing use of simulation and mathematical models to understand the way roots function (Lynch, 2007; Laskowski et al., 2008; Lucas et al., 2008). Simple models are useful tools to interpret experiments. Models can test and validate biological hypotheses, and models can be inverted to determine the hidden parameters of a system (Dupuy et al., 2010). Models can also integrate complex environmental and developmental variables, including, for example, response to water and nutrient supply (Dunbabin et al., 2002; Draye et al., 2010), various phosphorus concentrations (Fang et al., 2009), root adaptation to low nitrogen soil under carbon flux modifications (Brun et al., 2010), and the formation of root cortical aerenchyma in response to soil nutrient status (Postma and Lynch, 2011). Software packages are also available to facilitate the (re)construction of root systems, such as SimRoot (Lynch et al., 1997). Recent progress in elucidating the biological, chemical, and physical processes affecting root growth in soil allows models to be constructed that integrate fundamental regulatory mechanisms into powerful mathematical frameworks incorporating both variability and plasticity (de Dorlodot et al., 2007; Draye et al., 2010). Taken together, these tools will help understanding the system and knowledge gaps and/or capture and predict the relevant properties of a root system.

#### CONCLUSION

We agree with Dubrovsky and Forde (2011) that the use of standardized definitions and standard protocols can help to compare data in the scientific community. However, we also think it is important to avoid uniform rules and growth conditions that may be unnecessarily restrictive. To investigate root branching, we should take advantage of the variation that provides unexpected insights. In addition, the value of a screening platform is completely

dependent on the trait of interest, and as such, there is no single ideal platform for all root characteristics. Using several approaches to uncover the hidden half of plants is the best way to move forward. These approaches, as described here and by Dubrovsky and Forde (2011), will, when put together, balance out the weaknesses any single approach has.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jocelyn Malamy for useful suggestions. This work was supported by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) David Phillips Fellowship (BB\_BB/H022457/1 to I.D.S.), a Marie Curie European Reintegration Grant (PERG06-GA-2009-256354 to I.D.S.), the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO09/PDO/064 A 4/5 SDS to I.D.S.), Communauté française de Belgique (ARC 11/16-036 to X.D.), a European Molecular Biology Organization Long-Term Fellowship (ALTF 503-2010 to B.P.), National Science Foundation ISO-0950866 (M.L.), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft HO2249/8-1 (F.H.), BBSRC BB/G013969/1 (M.R.B. and J.H.), National Science Foundation Plant Genome Research Program grant DBI 0820624 (J.P.L.), U.K. Department for the environment, food and rural affairs project WU0128 (J.H.), European Union FP7 Grant 289300, a Plant KBBE2009 grant named ROOT, a grant from the Agropolis Foundation Montpellier France ("Rhizopolis" Federative Grant) (P.N., L.L., and M.L.), grants from the Interuniversity Attraction Poles Programme (IUAP VI/33), initiated by the Belgian State, Science Policy Office (T.B.). Work at The James Hutton Institute was supported by the Rural and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division of the Scottish Government (2011–2016). Work in the Benfey lab in this area is funded by grants from the National Science Foundation, National Institutes of Health, and Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. I.C. acknowledges the Comunidad de Extremadura Research Council for a research grant (GR10084/18.F6.03). H.F. is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No. 19060006) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. R.H. was supported by a Netherlands Genomics Initiative Horizon grant (050-71-054) from The Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research. B.P. is supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (grant 3G002911), L.L. is supported by the Institut de recherche pour le développement and the

Région Languedoc-Roussillon (grant "Chercheur d'Avenir"). M.J.B. acknowledges the support of the BBSRC and Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council funding to the Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, BBSRC Professorial Research Fellowship funding, and Belgian Scientific policy (BELSPO contract BARN).

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed equally to this article.

Received December 2, 2011; revised December 15, 2011; accepted December 15, 2011; published January 6, 2012.

#### REFERENCES

- Bengough, A.G., Gordon, D.C., Al-Menaie, H., Ellis, R.P., Allan, D., Keith, R., Thomas, W.T.B., and Forster, B.P. (2004). Gel observation chamber for rapid screening of root traits in cereal seedlings. Plant Soil 262: 63–70.
- Bengough, A.G., Hans, J., Bransby, M.F., and Valentine, T.A. (2010). PIV as a method for quantifying root cell growth and particle displacement in confocal images. Microsc. Res. Tech. 73: 27–36.
- Bhalerao, R.P., Eklöf, J., Ljung, K., Marchant, A., Bennett, M., and Sandberg, G. (2002). Shoot-derived auxin is essential for early lateral root emergence in Arabidopsis seedlings. Plant J. 29: 325–332.
- Bonfante, P., and Requena, N. (2011). Dating in the dark: How roots respond to fungal signals to establish arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **14:** 451–457.
- Brun, F., Richard-Molard, C., Pagès, L., Chelle, M., and Ney, B. (2010). To what extent may changes in the root system architecture of *Arabidopsis thaliana* grown under contrasted homogenous nitrogen regimes be explained by changes in carbon supply? A modelling approach. J. Exp. Bot. 61: 2157–2169.
- Chapman, N., Whalley, W.R., Lindsey, K., and Miller, A.J. (2011). Water supply and not nitrate concentration determines primary root growth in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Environ. 34: 1630–1638.
- Clark, L.J., Whalley, W.R., Leigh, R.A., Dexter, A.R., and Barraclough, P.B. (1998). Evaluation of agar and agarose gels for studying mechanical impedance in rice roots. Plant Soil 207: 37–43.
- Coudert, Y., Périn, C., Courtois, B., Khong, N.G., and Gantet, P. (2010). Genetic control

of root development in rice, the model cereal. Trends Plant Sci. **15:** 219–226.

- Crespi, M., and Frugier, F. (2008). De novo organ formation from differentiated cells: Root nodule organogenesis. Sci. Signal. 1: re11.
- de Dorlodot, S., Forster, B., Pagès, L., Price, A., Tuberosa, R., and Draye, X. (2007). Root system architecture: opportunities and constraints for genetic improvement of crops. Trends Plant Sci. **12:** 474–481.
- **De Rybel, B., et al.** (2010). A novel aux/IAA28 signaling cascade activates GATA23-dependent specification of lateral root founder cell identity. Curr. Biol. **20:** 1697–1706.
- De Smet, I., et al. (2010). Bimodular auxin response controls organogenesis in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107: 2705–2710.
- De Smet, I., et al. (2007). Auxin-dependent regulation of lateral root positioning in the basal meristem of Arabidopsis. Development 134: 681–690.
- De Smet, I., Vanneste, S., Inzé, D., and Beeckman, T. (2006). Lateral root initiation or the birth of a new meristem. Plant Mol. Biol. 60: 871–887.
- Den Herder, G., Van Isterdael, G., Beeckman, T., and De Smet, I. (2010). The roots of a new green revolution. Trends Plant Sci. 15: 600–607.
- Draye, X., Kim, Y., Lobet, G., and Javaux, M. (2010). Model-assisted integration of physiological and environmental constraints affecting the dynamic and spatial patterns of root water uptake from soils. J. Exp. Bot. 61: 2145– 2155.
- Dubrovsky, J.G., and Forde, B.G. (2012). Quantitative analysis of lateral root development: Pitfalls and how to avoid them. Plant Cell **24:** 4–14.
- Dubrovsky, J.G., Sauer, M., Napsucialy-Mendivil, S., Ivanchenko, M.G., Friml, J., Shishkova, S., Celenza, J., and Benková, E. (2008). Auxin acts as a local morphogenetic trigger to specify lateral root founder cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105: 8790–8794.
- Dubrovsky, J.G., Soukup, A., Napsucialy-Mendivil, S., Jeknic, Z., and Ivanchenko, M.G. (2009). The lateral root initiation index: an integrative measure of primordium formation. Ann. Bot. (Lond.) 103: 807–817.
- Dunbabin, V.M., Diggle, A.J., Rengel, Z., and van Hugten, R. (2002). Modelling the interactions between water and nutrient uptake and root growth. Plant Soil 239: 19–38.
- Dupuy, L., Gregory, P.J., and Bengough, A.G. (2010). Root growth models: Towards a new generation of continuous approaches. J. Exp. Bot. 61: 2131–2143.
- Fang, S., Yan, X., and Liao, H. (2009). 3D reconstruction and dynamic modeling of root

architecture in situ and its application to crop phosphorus research. Plant J. 60: 1096–1108.

- French, A., Ubeda-Tomás, S., Holman, T.J., Bennett, M.J., and Pridmore, T. (2009). Highthroughput quantification of root growth using a novel image-analysis tool. Plant Physiol. 150: 1784–1795.
- Füllner, K., Temperton, V.M., Rascher, U., Jahnke, S., Rist, R., Schurr, U., and Kuhn, A.J. (November 9, 2011). Vertical gradient in soil temperature stimulates development and increases biomass accumulation in barley. Plant Cell Environ. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-3040.2011.02460.x.
- Gilroy, S., and Jones, D.L. (2000). Through form to function: Root hair development and nutrient uptake. Trends Plant Sci. 5: 56–60.
- Girin, T., El-Kafafi, S., Widiez, T., Erban, A., Hubberten, H.M., Kopka, J., Hoefgen, R., Gojon, A., and Lepetit, M. (2010). Identification of Arabidopsis mutants impaired in the systemic regulation of root nitrate uptake by the nitrogen status of the plant. Plant Physiol. 153: 1250–1260.
- Gojon, A., Nacry, P., and Davidian, J.C. (2009). Root uptake regulation: A central process for NPS homeostasis in plants. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 12: 328–338.
- Gregory, P.J., Bengough, A.G., Grinev, D., Schmidt, S., Thomas, W.T.B., Wojciechowski, T., and Young, I.M. (2009). Root phenomics of crops: Opportunities and challenges. Funct. Plant Biol. 36: 922–929.
- Guyomarc'h, S., Léran, S., Auzon-Cape, M., Perrine-Walker, F., Lucas, M., and Laplaze, L. (2012). Early development and gravitropic response of lateral roots in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, in press.
- Hammond, J.P., Broadley, M.R., White, P.J., King, G.J., Bowen, H.C., Hayden, R., Meacham, M.C., Mead, A., Overs, T., Spracklen, W.P., and Greenwood, D.J. (2009). Shoot yield drives phosphorus use efficiency in Brassica oleracea and correlates with root architecture traits. J. Exp. Bot. 60: 1953–1968.
- Hargreaves, C.E., Gregory, P.J., and Bengough, A.G. (2009). Measuring root traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp *vulgare* and ssp *spontaneum*) seedlings using gel chambers, soil sacs and X-ray microtomography. Plant Soil **316**: 285–297.
- Heeraman, D.A., Hopmans, J.W., and Clausnitzer, V. (1997). Three-dimensional imaging of plant roots in situ with X-ray computed tomography. Plant Soil 189: 167– 179.
- Hetz, W., Hochholdinger, F., Schwall, M., and Feix, G. (1996). Isolation and characterisation

of *rtcs*, a mutant deficient in the formation of nodal roots. Plant J. **10:** 845–857.

- Hochholdinger, F., Park, W.J., Sauer, M., and Woll, K. (2004). From weeds to crops: Genetic analysis of root development in cereals. Trends Plant Sci. 9: 42–48.
- Hochholdinger, F., and Zimmermann, R. (2008). Conserved and diverse mechanisms in root development. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. **11:** 70–74.
- Iyer-Pascuzzi, A.S., Symonova, O., Mileyko, Y., Hao, Y., Belcher, H., Harer, J., Weitz, J.S., and Benfey, P.N. (2010). Imaging and analysis platform for automatic phenotyping and trait ranking of plant root systems. Plant Physiol. 152: 1148–1157.
- Jahnke, S., et al. (2009). Combined MRI-PET dissects dynamic changes in plant structures and functions. Plant J. **59:** 634–644.
- Lambers, H., Shane, M.W., Cramer, M.D., Pearse, S.J., and Veneklaas, E.J. (2006). Root structure and functioning for efficient acquisition of phosphorus: Matching morphological and physiological traits. Ann. Bot. (Lond.) 98: 693–713.
- Laskowski, M., Grieneisen, V.A., Hofhuis, H., Hove, C.A., Hogeweg, P., Marée, A.F., and Scheres, B. (2008). Root system architecture from coupling cell shape to auxin transport. PLoS Biol. 6: e307.
- LeCain, D.R., Morgan, J.A., Milchunas, D.G., Mosier, A.R., Nelson, J.A., and Smith, D.P. (2006). Root biomass of individual species, and root characteristics after five years of CO2 enrichment on native shortgrass steppe. Plant Soil **279:** 219–228.
- Liao, H., Rubio, G., Yan, X., Cao, A., Brown, K.M., and Lynch, J.P. (2001). Effect of phosphorus availability on basal root shallowness in common bean. Plant Soil 232: 69–79.
- Lobet, G., Pagès, L., and Draye, X. (2011). A novel image-analysis toolbox enabling quantitative analysis of root system architecture. Plant Physiol. **157**: 29–39.
- Lucas, M., Godin, C., Jay-Allemand, C., and Laplaze, L. (2008). Auxin fluxes in the root apex co-regulate gravitropism and lateral root initiation. J. Exp. Bot. 59: 55–66.
- Lucas, M., et al. (2011). Short-Root regulates primary, lateral, and adventitious root development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 155: 384–398.
- Lynch, J.P. (2007). Roots of the second green revolution. Aust. J. Bot. 55: 493–512.
- Lynch, J.P. (2011). Root phenes for enhanced soil exploration and phosphorus acquisition: Tools for future crops. Plant Physiol. 156: 1041–1049.

- Lynch, J.P., Nielsen, K.L., Davis, R.D., and Jablokow, A.G. (1997). SimRoot: Modelling and visualization of root systems. Plant Soil 188: 139–151.
- Mairhofer, S., Zappala, S., Tracy, S.R., Sturrock, C.J., Bennett, M., Mooney, S.J., and Pridmore, T. (December 21, 2011). RooTrak: Automated recovery of 3D plant root architecture in soil from x-ray micro computed tomography using visual tracking. Plant Physiol. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.186221.
- Manschadi, A.M., Christopher, J., Devoil, P., and Hammer, G.L. (2006). The role of root architectural traits in adaptation of wheat to water-limited environments. Funct. Plant Biol. 33: 823–837.
- Mooney, S.J., Pridmore, T.P., Helliwell, J., and Bennett, M.J. (October 14, 2011). Marschner Review: Developing X-ray CT to image root architecture in soil. Plant Soil http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s11104-011-1039-9.
- Moreno-Risueno, M.A., Van Norman, J.M., Moreno, A., Zhang, J., Ahnert, S.E., and Benfey, P.N. (2010). Oscillating gene expression determines competence for periodic Arabidopsis root branching. Science **329**: 1306–1311.
- Nagel, K.A., Kastenholz, B., Jahnke, S., van Dusschoten, D., Aach, T., Mühlich, M., Truhn, D., Scharr, H., Terjung, S., Walter, A., and Schurr, U. (2009). Temperature responses of roots: Impact on growth, root system architecture and implications for phenotyping. Funct. Plant Biol. 36: 947–959.
- Neill, C. (1992). Comparison of soil coring and ingrowth methods for measuring belowground production. Ecology 73: 1918–1921.
- Neumann, G., and Martinoia, E. (2002). Cluster roots—An underground adaptation for survival in extreme environments. Trends Plant Sci. 7: 162–167.
- Oldroyd, G.E., and Downie, J.A. (2008). Coordinating nodule morphogenesis with rhizobial infection in legumes. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 59: 519–546.
- Péret, B., De Rybel, B., Casimiro, I., Benková, E., Swarup, R., Laplaze, L., Beeckman, T., and Bennett, M.J. (2009). Arabidopsis lateral root development: An emerging story. Trends Plant Sci. 14: 399–408.
- Postma, J.A., and Lynch, J.P. (2011). Root cortical aerenchyma enhances the growth of maize on soils with suboptimal availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Plant Physiol. **156**: 1190–1201.
- Remans, T., Nacry, P., Pervent, M., Filleur, S., Diatloff, E., Mounier, E., Tillard, P., Forde, B.G., and Gojon, A. (2006). The Arabidopsis NRT1.1 transporter participates in the signal-

ing pathway triggering root colonization of nitrate-rich patches. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **103**: 19206–19211.

- Ruffel, S., Krouk, G., Ristova, D., Shasha, D., Birnbaum, K.D., and Coruzzi, G.M. (2011). Nitrogen economics of root foraging: transitive closure of the nitrate-cytokinin relay and distinct systemic signaling for N supply vs. demand. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 18524–18529.
- Smith, S.E., and Smith, F.A. (2011). Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant nutrition and growth: New paradigms from cellular to ecosystem scales. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 62: 227–250.
- Swarup, K., et al. (2008). The auxin influx carrier LAX3 promotes lateral root emergence. Nat. Cell Biol. 10: 946–954.
- Tominaga-Wada, R., Ishida, T., and Wada, T. (2011). New insights into the mechanism of development of Arabidopsis root hairs and trichomes. Int. Rev. Cell. Mol. Biol. 286: 67–106.
- Trachsel, S., Kaeppler, S.M., Brown, K.M., and Lynch, J.P. (2010). Shovelomics: High throughput phenotyping of maize (*Zea mays* L.) root architecture in the field. Plant Soil **341**: 75–87.
- Tracy, S.R., Roberts, J.A., Black, C.R., McNeill, A., Davidson, R., and Mooney, S.J. (2010). The X-factor: Visualizing undisturbed root architecture in soils using X-ray computed tomography. J. Exp. Bot. 61: 311–313.
- Tracy, S.R., Roberts, J.A., Black, C.R., McNeill, A., Davidson, R., Tester, M., Samec, M., Korosak, D., and Mooney, S.J. (October 6, 2011). Quantifying the effect of soil compaction on three varieties of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) using x-ray micro computed tomography (CT). Plant Soil http:// dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-1022-5.
- Wells, D.M., French, A.P., Naeem, A., Ishaq, O., Traini, R., Hijazi, H., Bennett, M.J., and Pridmore, T.P. (2012). Recovering the dynamics of root growth and development using novel image acquisition and analysis methods. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B, in press.
- Whiting, S.N., Leake, J.R., McGrath, S.P., and Baker, A.J.M. (2000). Positive responses to Zn and Cd by roots of the Zn and Cd hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens*. New Phytol. **145**: 199–210.
- Zhu, J., Ingram, P.A., Benfey, P.N., and Elich,
  T. (2011). From lab to field, new approaches to phenotyping root system architecture. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 14: 310–317.