

# Only the first term of some series counts Aurel Spătaru

## ▶ To cite this version:

Aurel Spătaru. Only the first term of some series counts. Statistics and Probability Letters, 2011, 81 (10), pp.1547. 10.1016/j.spl.2011.05.012 . hal-00770345

## HAL Id: hal-00770345 https://hal.science/hal-00770345

Submitted on 5 Jan 2013  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **Accepted Manuscript**

Only the first term of some series counts

Aurel Spătaru

PII:S0167-7152(11)00187-8DOI:10.1016/j.spl.2011.05.012Reference:STAPRO 6009

To appear in: Statistics and Probability Letters

Received date:28 November 2010Revised date:25 May 2011Accepted date:25 May 2011



Please cite this article as: Spătaru, A., Only the first term of some series counts. *Statistics and Probability Letters* (2011), doi:10.1016/j.spl.2011.05.012

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Only the first term of some series counts Aurel Spătaru<sup>1</sup>

Institute of Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics, Romanian Academy, Calea 13 Septembrie, nr 13, 76100 Bucharest 5, Romania

#### Abstract

Let  $X, X_1, X_2, ...$  be i.i.d. random variables, and set  $S_n = X_1 + ... + X_n$ . We prove that for three important distributions of X, namely normal, exponential and geometric, series of the type  $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(|S_n| \geq xb_n)$  or  $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(S_n \geq xb_n)$  behave like their first term as  $x \to \infty$ .

*Keywords*: Tail probabilities of sums of i.i.d. random variables, normal distribution, exponential distribution, geometric distribution.

#### 1. Introduction

Let X,  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with partial sums  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, n \ge 1$ . Several authors considered series of the type

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n P(|S_n| \ge xb_n), \quad x > 0,$$
(1.1)

or, in case  $X \ge 0$ ,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a_n P(S_n \ge x b_n), \quad x > 0,$$
(1.2)

where  $a_n, b_n > 0$ . If  $\sum_{n \ge 1} a_n = \infty$ , the primary focus was to obtain necessary and sufficient moment conditions for the convergence of these series, i.e. to find a threshold  $\alpha$  such that  $f(x) = \infty$  for  $x < \alpha$ , while  $f(x) < \infty$  for  $x > \alpha$ . Then it was sensible to look for a normalizing  $\frac{1}{1}$  Tel.: + 40217716559;

E-mail address: aspataru@rdslink.ro

elementary function g(x),  $x > \alpha$ , such that the ratio f(x)/g(x) have a nontrivial limit as  $x \searrow \alpha$ . The first result in this direction was due to Heyde (1975) who proved that

$$\lim_{x \searrow 0} x^2 \sum_{n \ge 1} P(S_n \ge xn) = EX^2$$

whenever  $EX^2 < \infty$  and EX = 0. (Hsu and Robbins (1947) and Erdős (1949,1950) showed that  $\sum_{n\geq 1} P(S_n \geq xn) < \infty, x > 0$ , if and only if  $EX^2 < \infty$  and EX = 0.) References to the rich literature on this so-called precise asymptotics problem are given in Spătaru (2010).

The purpose of this paper is to deal with a dual problem motivated also by insurance applications. Namely, if  $f(x_0) < \infty$  for some  $x_0 > 0$ , then  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$  by dominated convergence. Then it is interesting to determine a normalizing simple function  $g(x), x \ge x_0$ , such that f(x)/g(x) have a nondegenerate limit as  $x \to \infty$ . It turns out that in three important cases presented below this limit is 1, and g(x) equals the first term of the series. This phenomenon might be more general. Actually, if  $EX^2 < \infty$  and EX = 0, Pruss (1997) proved that there are absolute constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that

$$C_1 x^{-2} E[X^2 I\{|X| \ge x\}] \le \sum_{n \ge 1} P(|S_n| \ge xn) \le C_2 x^{-2} E[X^2 I\{|X| \ge x\}], \quad x > 0.$$
(1.3)

Since  $P(|S_1| \ge x) = P(|X| \ge x) \le x^{-2} E[X^2 I\{|X| \ge x\}], x > 0, (1.3)$  may suggest that  $\sum_{n\ge 1} P(|S_n| \ge xn) \sim P(|S_1| \ge x)$  as  $x \to \infty$  whenever the series is positive for all x > 0.

The three cases refers to the normal distribution and series (1.1), where the assumption that  $X_1, X_2, ...$  have a common distribution is dropped, and to the exponential and geometric distributions and series (1.2).

#### 2. Normal distribution

For  $n \ge 1$ , we assume that  $X_n$  has a normal distribution with zero mean and  $\sigma_n^2$  variance, and set  $B_n^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \ldots + \sigma_n^2$ . **Lemma 1.** Let  $\Phi$  denote the standard normal distribution function, and put  $\Psi(x) = 1 - \Phi(x) + \Phi(-x)$ ,  $x \ge 0$ . For c > 1, the following assertions hold:

- (i) the function  $\Psi(cx)/\Psi(x)$ ,  $x \ge 0$ , is decreasing;
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \to \infty} \Psi(cx) / \Psi(x) = 0.$

**Proof.** To verify (i), it suffices to show that the function

$$R(x) = (\int_{cx}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy) / \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy, \quad x \ge 0,$$

is decreasing. For  $0 \le x \le y$ , we have

$$\frac{x^2}{2}(c^2-1) \le \frac{y^2}{2}(c^2-1) \implies -\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{c^2y^2}{2} \le -\frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{c^2x^2}{2} \implies e^{-x^2/2}e^{-c^2y^2/2} \le e^{-y^2/2}e^{-c^2x^2/2}.$$

Therefore, for  $x \ge 0$ ,

$$ce^{-x^{2}/2} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-c^{2}y^{2}/2} dy \le ce^{-c^{2}x^{2}/2} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-y^{2}/2} dy \implies e^{-x^{2}/2} \int_{cx}^{\infty} e^{-y^{2}/2} dy \le ce^{-c^{2}x^{2}/2} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-y^{2}/2} dy \implies R'(x) \le 0.$$

Next, as  $\Psi(x) \sim \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{x} e^{-x^2/2}$  as  $x \to \infty$  (see, e.g., Corollary 2, p. 49 of Chow and Teicher (1978)), we obtain

$$\frac{\Psi(cx)}{\Psi(x)} \sim \left(\frac{e^{-c^2 x^2/2}}{cx}\right) \frac{x}{e^{-x^2/2}} = \frac{1}{c} e^{-(c^2 - 1)x^2/2} \to 0 \text{ as } x \to \infty. \ \Box$$

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(|S_n| \geq x_0 b_n)$  converges for some  $x_0 > 0$ , where  $b_n/b_1 > B_n/B_1$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} a_n P(|S_n| \ge xb_n) \sim a_1 P(|S_1| \ge xb_1) = a_1 \Psi(xb_1/\sigma_1) \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
(2.1)

**Proof.** Write

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(|S_n| \geq xb_n) = a_1 P(|S_1| \geq xb_1) + \sum_{n\geq 2} a_n P(|S_n| \geq xb_n), \quad x > 0.$$
(2.2)

For  $x \ge x_0$ , applying Lemma 1.i with  $c = \frac{b_n}{B_n} \cdot \frac{B_1}{b_1}$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , we get

$$\sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n P(|S_n| \geq xb_n)}{a_1 P(|S_1| \geq xb_1)} = \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n \Psi(x\frac{b_n}{B_n})}{a_1 \Psi(x\frac{b_1}{B_1})} = \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n \Psi(x\frac{b_1}{B_1} \cdot \frac{b_n}{B_n} \cdot \frac{B_1}{b_1})}{a_1 \Psi(x\frac{b_1}{B_1})}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n \Psi(x_0\frac{b_1}{B_1} \cdot \frac{b_n}{B_n} \cdot \frac{B_1}{b_1})}{a_1 \Psi(x_0\frac{b_1}{B_1})} = \frac{1}{a_1 \Psi(x_0\frac{b_1}{B_1})} \sum_{n\geq 2} a_n P(|S_n| \geq x_0b_n) < \infty.$$

Consequently, by dominated convergence and Lemma 1.ii, we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{a_n P(|S_n| \ge xb_n)}{a_1 P(|S_1| \ge xb_1)} = \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{a_n}{a_1} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(xb_1b_n/b_1\sqrt{n})}{\Psi(xb_1)} = 0.$$
(2.3)

Now (2.1) follows from (2.2) and (2.3).  $\Box$ 

#### 3. Exponential distribution

In this section we assume that X has an exponential distribution with rate parameter  $\lambda$ . We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.** For  $n \ge 1$ , define

$$h_n(x) = e^{-xb_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(xb_n)^k}{k!}, \quad x \ge 0.$$

where  $b_n > 0$  and  $b_n - b_1 \ge C^{-1}(n-1)$  for some C > 0. Then, for  $n \ge 2$ , we have:

- (i) the function  $h_n(x)/h_1(x)$ ,  $x \ge 0$ , is decreasing for x > C;
- (ii)  $\lim_{x \to \infty} h_n(x) / h_1(x) = 0.$

**Proof.** For  $n \ge 2$  and  $1 \le k \le n-1$ , the derivative of the function  $e^{-x(b_n-b_1)}x^k$ ,  $x \ge 0$ , is negative whenever  $x > k/(b_n - b_1)$ . This entails that the derivative of the function  $h_n(x)/h_1(x), x \ge 0$ , is negative whenever  $x > C \ge (n-1)/(b_n - b_1)$ . Thus (i) holds, and (ii) is obvious.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** Assume that  $b_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , are as in Lemma 2 and  $\sum_{n\ge 1} a_n P(S_n \ge x_0 b_n) < \infty$  for some  $x_0 > 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(S_n \geq xb_n) \sim a_1 P(S_1 \geq xb_1) = a_1 e^{-\lambda b_1 x} \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$
(3.1)

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\lambda = 1$ . Then  $S_n$  has an Erlang distribution with shape parameter n and rate parameter 1, and so  $P(S_n \ge x) = e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^k}{k!}, n \ge 1, x \ge 0$ . We have

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} a_n P(S_n \ge xb_n) = a_1 P(S_1 \ge xb_1) + \sum_{n \ge 2} a_n P(S_n \ge xb_n), \quad x > 0.$$
(3.2)

For  $x \ge x_0 \lor C$ , on account of Lemma 2.i, we obtain

$$\sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n P(S_n \ge xb_n)}{a_1 P(S_1 \ge xb_1)} = \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n h_n(x)}{a_1 h_1(x)} \le \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n h_n(x_0 \lor C)}{a_1 h_1(x_0 \lor C)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{a_1 h_1(x_0 \lor C)} \sum_{n\geq 2} a_n P(S_n \ge (x_0 \lor C)b_n) < \infty.$$

Therefore, by dominated convergence and Lemma 2.ii, we get

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{a_n P(S_n \ge x b_n)}{a_1 P(S_1 \ge x b_1)} = \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{a_n}{a_1} \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{h_n(x)}{h_1(x)} = 0.$$
(3.3)

By (3.2) and (3.3), (3.1) obtains.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Geometric distribution

Now we assume that X is geometrically distributed with parameter p and taking values in the set  $\{0, 1, ...\}$ . Then  $S_n$  follows a negative binomial distribution with parameters n and p expressed in terms of the regularized incomplete beta function  $I_p$ , namely we have

$$P(S_n \ge k) = I_p(k, n) = \sum_{j=k}^{k+n-1} \binom{k+n-1}{j} p^j q^{k+n-1-j}, \quad n, k \ge 1,$$
(4.1)

where q = 1 - p.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $0 . For <math>n \ge 2$ , the following assertions hold:

- (i) the sequence  $\{I_p(mn,n)/I_p(m,1): m \ge -1/\log p\}$  is decreasing;
- (ii)  $\lim_{m \to \infty} I_p(mn, n) / I_p(m, 1) = 0.$

**Proof.** By (10), we get  $I_p(m, 1) = P(S_1 \ge m) = p^m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ . For  $n \ge 2$  and  $m \ge 1$ , on account of (10), we have

$$\begin{split} I_p(mn,n) &= \binom{mn+n-1}{n-1} p^{mn} q^{n-1} + \binom{mn+n-1}{n-2} p^{mn+1} q^{n-2} \\ &+ \dots + \binom{mn+n-1}{1} p^{mn+n-2} q + p^{mn+n-1} \\ &= \frac{(mn+n-1)(mn+n-2)\dots(mn+1)}{(n-1)!} p^{mn} q^{n-1} \\ &+ \frac{(mn+n-1)(mn+n-2)\dots(mn+2)}{(n-2)!} p^{mn+1} q^{n-2} \\ &+ \dots + (mn+n-1) p^{mn+n-2} q + p^{mn+n-1}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{I_p(mn,n)}{I_p(m,1)} = \frac{(mn+n-1)(mn+n-2)\dots(mn+1)}{(n-1)!}p^{m(n-1)}q^{n-1} \\
+ \frac{(mn+n-1)(mn+n-2)\dots(mn+2)}{(n-2)!}p^{m(n-1)+1}q^{n-2} \\
+ \dots + (mn+n-1)p^{m(n-1)+n-2}q + p^{m(n-1)+n-1} \\
= \frac{(mp^m n + (n-1)p^m)(mp^m n + (n-2)p^m)\dots(mp^m n + p^m)}{(n-1)!}q^{n-1} \\
+ \frac{(mp^m n + (n-1)p^m)(mp^m n + (n-2)p^m)\dots(mp^m n + 2p^m)}{(n-2)!}p^{m+1}q^{n-2} \\
+ \dots + (mp^m n + (n-1)p^m)p^{(m+1)(n-2)}q + p^{(m+1)(n-1)}.$$
(4.2)

As the function  $xp^x$ ,  $x \ge 1$ , is decreasing for  $x \ge -1/\log p$ , (4.2) shows that (i) holds. Since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} mp^m = \lim_{m \to \infty} p^m = \lim_{m \to \infty} p^{(m+1)l} = 0$ , (ii) follows as well.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that 
$$\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(S_n \geq m_0 n) < \infty$$
 for some  $m_0 \geq 1$ . Then  

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} a_n P(S_n \geq mn) \sim a_1 P(S_1 \geq m) = a_1 p^m \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$
(4.3)

**Proof.** Notice that  $\lim_{m\to\infty}\sum_{n\geq 1}a_nP(S_n\geq mn)=0$  by dominated convergence. Write

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} a_n P(S_n \ge mn) = a_1 P(S_1 \ge m) + \sum_{n \ge 2} a_n P(S_n \ge mn), \quad m \ge 1.$$
(4.4)

Let  $m_1 \ge -1/\log p$ . Then, for  $m \ge m_0 \lor m_1$ , in view of Lemma 3.i, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n P(S_n \ge mn)}{a_1 P(S_1 \ge m)} = \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n I_p(mn, n)}{a_1 I_p(m, 1)} \le \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{a_n I_p((m_0 \lor m_1)n, n)}{a_1 I_p(m_0 \lor m_1, 1)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{a_1 I_p(m_0 \lor m_1, 1)} \sum_{n\geq 2} a_n P(S_n \ge (m_0 \lor m_1)n) < \infty.$$

Hence, by dominated convergence and Lemma 3.ii, we get

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{a_n P(S_n \ge mn)}{a_1 P(S_1 \ge m)} = \sum_{n \ge 2} \frac{a_n}{a_1} \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{I_p(mn, n)}{I_p(m, 1)} = 0.$$
(4.5)

Thus (4.3) follows from (4.4) and (4.5).  $\Box$ 

#### Acknowledgment

I am grateful to Gheorghiță Zbăganu for discussing motivating this paper, and to the referee for pointing out some misprints in the original version of the manuscript.

#### References

Chow, Y. S., Teicher, H., 1978. Probability Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Erdős, P., 1949. On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins. Ann. Math. Statist. 20, 286-291.

Erdős, P., 1950. Remark on my paper "On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins". Ann. Math. Statist. 21, 138.

Heyde, C. C., 1975. A supplement to the strong law of large numbers. J. Appl. Probab. 12, 173-175.

Hsu, P. L., Robbins, H., 1947. Complete convergence and the law of large numbers. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 33, 25-31.

### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT**

Pruss, A. R., 1996. On Spătaru's extension of the Hsu-Robbins-Erdős law of large numbers.

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 199, 558-578.

Spătaru, A., 2010. Exact asymptotics in loglog laws for random fields. J. Theor. Probab. 23, 417-427.