



HAL
open science

The Schrödinger operator on an infinite wedge with a tangent magnetic field

Nicolas Popoff

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Popoff. The Schrödinger operator on an infinite wedge with a tangent magnetic field. 2012.
hal-00769450v1

HAL Id: hal-00769450

<https://hal.science/hal-00769450v1>

Preprint submitted on 1 Jan 2013 (v1), last revised 19 Feb 2014 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR ON AN INFINITE WEDGE WITH A TANGENT MAGNETIC FIELD.

NICOLAS POPOFF

ABSTRACT. We study a model Schrödinger operator with constant magnetic field on an infinite wedge with Neumann boundary condition. The magnetic field is assumed to be tangent to a face. We compare the bottom of the spectrum to the model spectral quantities coming from the regular case. We are particularly motivated by the influence of the magnetic field and the opening angle of the wedge on the spectrum of the model operator and we exhibit cases where the bottom of the spectrum is smaller than in the regular case. Numerical computations enlighten the theoretical approach.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The magnetic Laplacian on model domains.

• *Motivation.* Let $(-ih\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2$ be the Schrödinger magnetic operator (also called the magnetic Laplacian) on an open simply connected subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 . The magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} : \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfies $\text{curl } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ where \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field and h is a semi-classical parameter. For a reasonable domain Ω , the Neumann realization of $(-ih\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2$ is an essentially self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent. The motivation for the study of this operator comes from the theory of superconductivity, indeed the linearization of the Ginzburg-Landau functional brings the study of the Neumann magnetic Laplacian (see [11]). For a magnetic field of strong intensity, the superconductivity phenomenon is destroyed. We denote by $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Omega, h)$ the first eigenvalue of $(-ih\nabla - \mathbf{A})^2$. The behavior of the critical value of the magnetic field for which the superconductivity disappears is linked to $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Omega, h)$ when h goes to 0 (see [9, Proposition 1.9] for example).

A common interest is to understand the influence of the combined geometries of the domain Ω and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} on the asymptotics of $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Omega, h)$ in the semi-classical limit $h \rightarrow 0$.

• *Link between the semi-classical problem and model operators.* In order to find the main term of the asymptotics of $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Omega, h)$, we are led to study the magnetic Laplacian without semi-classical parameter ($h = 1$) on unbounded “model” domains invariant by dilatation with a constant magnetic field. More precisely to each point $x \in \Omega$ we associate its tangent cone Π_x and we denote by

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_x, \Pi_x} = (-i\nabla - \mathbf{A}_x)^2$$

the Neumann realization of the magnetic Laplacian on the model domain Π_x where \mathbf{A}_x satisfies $\text{curl } \mathbf{A}_x = \mathbf{B}_x$ and where \mathbf{B}_x is the constant vector field equal to $\mathbf{B}(x)$. We denote by

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda(\mathbf{B}_x; \Pi_x) \quad \text{the bottom of the spectrum of } P_{\mathbf{A}_x, \Pi_x}.$$

When the domain Ω and the magnetic field are convenient, one should expect that $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Omega, h)$ behaves like $h \inf_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} \lambda(\mathbf{B}_x; \Pi_x)$ when $h \rightarrow 0$ ¹. To a constant magnetic field we can associate a linear potential and due to a scaling we have $\lambda(\mathbf{B}_x; \Pi_x) = \|\mathbf{B}_x\| \lambda\left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_x}{\|\mathbf{B}_x\|}; \Pi_x\right)$. Therefore when we will deal with the magnetic Laplacian on model domains, we will always suppose that the magnetic field is constant and unitary.

- *Regular case.* When Ω is a 3D-domain with regular boundary, we only need to study the magnetic Laplacian on a space and on half-spaces for different orientations of the magnetic field. The bottom of the spectrum of the associated operators is minimal when Π is a half-space and \mathbf{B} is tangent to the boundary (see [18] and [12]). In that case we have $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Pi) = \Theta_0 \approx 0.59$ (see [26] for the first work on Θ_0 or Subsection 2.1 for more details and references). When \mathbf{B} is constant and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is regular, the following asymptotics is proved in [18] (see also [13] for more terms):

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda(\Omega; \mathbf{B}, h) \underset{h \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \Theta_0 h$$

- *Singular cases known.* When Ω has an edge, it is necessary to introduce a new model operator: the magnetic Laplacian on an infinite wedge. We denote by α the opening angle of the wedge. In [21], Pan has studied the case of a wedge whose opening angle is $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and has applied its results to study the first eigenvalue of the magnetic Laplacian on a cuboid in the semi-classical limit. He proved that there exist configurations where the bottom of the spectrum of the magnetic Laplacian on a quarter space is smaller than the spectral quantity Θ_0 coming from the regular case. Using the Neumann boundary condition and symmetrization, he compared the operators to the model operator on a half-plane. When the opening angle is different from $\frac{\pi}{2}$, we can not use this method anymore.

Another case already studied is the one of a magnetic field tangent to the axis of the wedge. The operator reduced to a 2D operator on a sector whose spectrum is studied in [15] for the special case $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and in [3] for wedges of opening $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$. One of the main result is that for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, the bottom of the spectrum of this model operator is below Θ_0 .

In [24], the authors deal with the case where Ω is a lens with a curved edge. The model operator involved is the magnetic Laplacian on an infinite wedge with a magnetic field normal to the plane of symmetry of the wedge. The results from [23, Chapter 6] show that in that case the bottom of the spectrum of the model operator is always larger than Θ_0 and is decreasing with the opening angle of the wedge.

In this article we study the bottom of the spectrum of the magnetic Laplacian on infinite convex wedges in the case where the magnetic field is tangent to a face of the wedge. We compare the bottom of the spectrum to the model spectral quantity Θ_0 and we characterize the spectrum of the 2D operator family associated to the magnetic Laplacian on the wedge. We

¹All the asymptotics known for particular domains have this structure. A work with M. Dauge and V. Bonnaillie-Noël is in progress to get the behavior of $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \Omega, h)$ at first order for general domains Ω .

are particularly interested in the influence of the magnetic field orientation and the opening angle of the wedge. Some of our results recover what was done in [21] for the quarter space and give a new approach using the tools of the spectral theory.

1.2. The operator on a wedge. Let (x_1, x_2, x_3) be the cartesian coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 . The infinite sector of opening $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha := \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, |x_2| \leq x_1 \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$$

and the infinite wedge of opening α is

$$\mathcal{W}_\alpha := \mathcal{S}_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}.$$

The magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ is constant and unitary and we denote by $\underline{\mathbf{B}} := (b_1, b_2)$ its projection on \mathbb{R}^2 . The spherical coordinates are denoted by (γ, θ) and satisfied $\cos \gamma = \mathbf{B} \cdot (0, 0, 1)$ and $\cos \theta = \underline{\mathbf{B}} \cdot (0, 1)$. We will assume that the magnetic field \mathbf{B} is tangent to a face of the edge (see figure 1). Due to symmetry we will restrict our study to the case where $\gamma \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi - \alpha}{2}$, and therefore the magnetic field writes

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma).$$




FIGURE 1. The infinite wedge \mathcal{W}_α of opening α and the magnetic field \mathbf{B} of spherical coordinate (γ, θ) .

We assume that the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ satisfies $\text{curl } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ and the magnetic Schrödinger operator writes:

$$P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha} = (D_{x_1} - a_1)^2 + (D_{x_2} - a_2)^2 + (D_{x_3} - a_3)^2.$$

with $D_{x_j} = -i\partial_{x_j}$. Due to gauge invariance, the spectrum of $P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha}$ does not depend on the choice of \mathbf{A} as soon as it satisfies $\text{curl } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ and we will denote by “choice of gauge” the choice of a magnetic potential that satisfies $\text{curl } \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. According to (1.1) we note:

$$\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) := \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha}),$$

where we denote by $\mathfrak{S}(P)$ the spectrum of an operator P . We also denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{ess}}(P)$ the essential spectrum of an operator P . Due to the invariance by translation in the x_3 -variable, the spectrum of $P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha}$ is absolutely continuous and we have $\mathfrak{S}(P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha}) = \mathfrak{S}_{\text{ess}}(P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha})$.

• *Reduction to a parameter family of operators on the sector.* We take a magnetic potential of the form $\mathbf{A}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\underline{\mathbf{A}}(x_1, x_2), x_2 b_1 - x_1 b_2)$ where the 2D-magnetic potential $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ satisfies $\text{curl } \underline{\mathbf{A}} = b_3$. An example for the choice of $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ is the ‘‘Landau’’ potential $\underline{\mathbf{A}}^L(x_1, x_2) = (-x_2 b_3, 0)$ and the associated operator writes

$$P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}^L, \mathcal{W}_\alpha} = (D_{x_1} + x_2 b_3)^2 + D_{x_2}^2 + (D_{x_3} - x_2 b_1 + x_1 b_2)^2.$$

We introduce the reduced electric potential on the sector:

$$V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}(x_1, x_2) := (x_1 b_2 - x_2 b_1 - \tau)^2,$$

where the Fourier parameter τ lies in \mathbb{R} . Performing a Fourier transform in the x_3 variable, we get the following direct integral decomposition (see [25]):

$$(1.4) \quad P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{W}_\alpha} = \int_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau} d\tau.$$

where $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ is the Neumann realization of $(-i\nabla - \underline{\mathbf{A}})^2 + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ on the sector \mathcal{S}_α . Let us define

$$\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) := \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$$

and \mathcal{Q}_τ the quadratic form associated to $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$. It is elementary that the form domain of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ is

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{Q}_\tau) = \{u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha), (-i\nabla - \underline{\mathbf{A}})u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha), |x_1 b_2 - x_2 b_1|u \in L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)\}$$

and for $u \in \text{Dom}(\mathcal{Q}_\tau)$ the expression of the quadratic form is

$$\mathcal{Q}_\tau(u) := \int_{\mathcal{S}_\alpha} |(-i\nabla - \underline{\mathbf{A}})u|^2 + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}|u|^2 dx_1 dx_2.$$

Since the form domain does not depend on τ , from Kato’s perturbation theory (see [16]) the function $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R} . Thanks to (1.4) we have the fundamental relation, sometimes called the F-principle (see [17]):

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) = \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$$

Therefore we are reduced to study the spectrum of a 2D-family of Schrödinger operators.

• *Invariance principles.* We recall the action of isometry on the 2D-magnetic Laplacian:

- Translation: let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $\Omega_{\mathbf{t}} := \Omega + \mathbf{t}$ be the domain deduced by translation. Let $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ be a magnetic field such that $\text{curl } \underline{\mathbf{A}}$ is a constant denoted by B . Then $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega}$ and $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{t}}}$ are unitary equivalent, moreover u is an eigenfunction for $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega}$ if and only if $x \mapsto e^{\frac{iB}{2}x \wedge \mathbf{t}} u(x - \mathbf{t})$ is an eigenfunction for $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega_{\mathbf{t}}}$.
- Rotation: let $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and R_ω be the rotation of angle ω . Let $\Omega_\omega := R_\omega(\Omega)$ be the domain deduced by rotation. Then $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega}$ and $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega_\omega}$ are unitary equivalent, moreover u is an eigenfunction for $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega}$ if and only if $u \circ R_\omega^{-1}$ is an eigenfunction for $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \Omega_\omega}$.

1.3. Problematic. We study the spectral quantity $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ and the associated “band function” $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$. We are particularly interested in the following questions:

- Does the band function $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ reach its infimum?
- If it does, is this infimum a discrete eigenvalue for the operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, S_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$?
- Is it possible to compare $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ and Θ_0 ?

In [21], these questions are partially answered for the special case $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$. It is proved that the band function $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \frac{\pi}{2}, \tau)$ always reaches its infimum and that $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}) < \Theta_0$ when the magnetic field is tangent to a face, except when it is normal to the axis of the wedge, and in this case $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_{\frac{\pi}{2}}) = \Theta_0$. As said before the proofs are specific to the case $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and the general case cannot be deduced using the same arguments.

1.4. Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we recall results about model operators and we introduce auxiliary operators linked to the behavior of the operator on the wedge at infinity. In Section 3 we determine the bottom of the essential spectrum of the operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, S_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ on the sector. In Section 4 we compute the limit of $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ when $\tau \rightarrow -\infty$ and $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$. We provide an explicit expression for these limits using the spectral model quantity coming from the problem on the half-plane. In Section 5 we construct quasi-modes for the operator on the sector and we deduce a rough upper bound for $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$. In Section 6 we study the special case where the magnetic field is tangent to a face and normal to the axis of the wedge. In Section 7 we present several numerical computations of the first eigenpair of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, S_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$.

2. MODEL AND AUXILIARY OPERATORS

In this section we recall results about the bottom of the spectrum of the magnetic Laplacian in model domains.

2.1. The half-plane. Let $\mathbb{R}_+^3 := \{(s, t, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, t > 0\}$ be the model half-space. We assume that the constant unitary magnetic field \mathbf{B}_θ makes an angle θ with the boundary of \mathbb{R}_+^3 . Thanks to symmetries, we only need to study $\theta \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.

• *Tangent case: the de Gennes operator.* Here we assume that the magnetic field \mathbf{B}_0 is tangent to the boundary, then in a suitable gauge, the magnetic operator writes

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbb{R}_+^3} = (D_s + t)^2 + D_t^2 + D_z^2.$$

Using a Fourier transform in the variables (s, z) we have

$$(2.1) \quad P_{\mathbf{A}_0, \mathbb{R}_+^3} = \int_{(\tau, k) \in \mathbb{R}^2}^{\oplus} \mathfrak{h}_\tau^N + k^2 \, d\tau \, dk$$

where the de Gennes operator \mathfrak{h}_τ^N is defined as the following 1D-operator:

$$\mathfrak{h}_\tau^N := D_t^2 + (t - \tau)^2, \quad t > 0$$

on the domain

$$(2.2) \quad B_{\text{Neu}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+) := \{u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}_+), t^2 u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), u'(0) = 0\}.$$

This operator has compact resolvent and we define

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_1^N(\tau) := \inf \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{h}_\tau^N)$$

its first eigenvalue. We have (see [14] and also [10]):

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \mu_1^N(\tau) = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_1^N(\tau) = 1.$$

It is shown in [1] and [8] that it exists $\xi_0 > 0$ such that the function $\tau \mapsto \mu_1^N(\tau)$ is decreasing on $(-\infty, \xi_0]$ and increasing on $[\xi_0, +\infty)$, therefore it has a unique minimum denoted by Θ_0 , in addition this minimum is non-degenerate and we have $\xi_0^2 = \Theta_0$. Refined numerical computations coming from [4] provide the following approximation with an error inferior to 10^{-9} :

$$(2.4) \quad \Theta_0 \simeq 0.590106125 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 \simeq 0.76818365314.$$

Due to (2.1), when $\text{curl } \mathbf{A}$ is tangent to the boundary of \mathbb{R}_+^3 we have:

$$\mathfrak{S}(P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{R}_+^3}) = [\Theta_0, +\infty).$$

• *Non tangent case.* We now assume that the magnetic field makes an angle $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ with the boundary of \mathbb{R}_+^3 . After using a rotation, we take $\mathbf{B}_\theta = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, 0)$ and we choose an associated magnetic potential by taking $\mathbf{A}_\theta(s, t, z) = (0, 0, t \cos \theta - s \sin \theta)$. The magnetic Laplacian writes:

$$P_{\mathbf{A}_\theta, \mathbb{R}_+^3} = D_s^2 + D_t^2 + (D_z - t \cos \theta + s \sin \theta)^2.$$

We introduce the bottom of its spectrum

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma(\theta) := \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\mathbf{A}_\theta, \mathbb{R}_+^3}).$$

This model spectral quantity has been widely studied (see [17], [18], [12] [20] or more recently [6]). Let us recall that the function $\theta \mapsto \sigma(\theta)$ is increasing from $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ onto $(\Theta_0, 1]$ (see [18]).

2.2. The wedge with a magnetic field tangent to the edge. We deal with the case where the magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, 1)$ is tangent to the edge $\{x_3 = 0\}$. In that case the electric potential on the sector is $V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau} = \tau^2$ and we have

$$\underline{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \mu(\alpha) + \tau^2$$

where $\mu(\alpha)$ is the bottom of the spectrum of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha}$ with $\text{curl } \underline{\mathbf{A}} = 1$. Therefore thanks to (1.5) we get in that case:

$$\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \alpha) = \mu(\alpha).$$

Let us gather results coming from [3] about the model operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha}$:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ be a 2D-magnetic potential such that $\text{curl } \underline{\mathbf{A}} = 1$, $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha}$ the associated magnetic Laplacian on the sector \mathcal{S}_α and $\mu(\alpha) = \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha})$. Then we have:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{ess}}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha}) = [\Theta_0, +\infty)$,
- (2) $\forall \alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, $\mu(\alpha) < \Theta_0$,
- (3) *Asymptotics for the small angle limit:* $\mu(\alpha) \underset{\alpha \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Numerical simulations coming from [5] show that (2) seems to hold for all $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$. In addition $\alpha \mapsto \mu(\alpha)$ seems to be increasing for $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$. These two problems are still open.

2.3. Auxiliary operators. Let us define the half-planes $\text{Hp}^{\text{up}} := \{x_2 < x_1 \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$ and $\text{Hp}^{\text{low}} := \{x_2 > -x_1 \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_\alpha = \text{Hp}^{\text{up}} \cap \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}$. In this section we study the operators $(D_{x_1} - x_2 b_3)^2 + D_{x_2}^2 + (x_1 b_2 - x_2 b_1 - \tau)^2$ acting on $L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{up}})$ and $L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{low}})$ with Neumann boundary condition. We denote by $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ and $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ these two operators. They have been introduced in [21, Section 5] where the author gives bounds for the bottom of their spectrum. In this section we give explicit formulae using the spectral model quantities μ_1^{N} and σ coming from the previous Subsection.

- *Operators for the upper boundary.*

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$. Then we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \inf \mathfrak{S} (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) = \inf_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}} (\mu_1^{\text{N}}(\xi_2 \cos \gamma + \tau \sin \gamma) + (\xi_2 \sin \gamma - \tau \cos \gamma)^2)$$

Proof. For a suitable choice of gauge the expression of the operator is

$$P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau} = (D_{x_1})^2 + (D_{x_2} - x_1 \cos \gamma)^2 + (x_1 \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} - x_2 \sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \tau)^2$$

where $(\gamma, \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2})$ are the spherical coordinates of \mathbf{B} . Using a rotation of angle $\frac{\pi-\alpha}{2}$ and a change of gauge, the operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ is unitary equivalent to the Neumann realization of

$$(D_s - t \cos \gamma)^2 + D_t^2 + (t \sin \gamma - \tau)^2, \quad (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2,$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+^2 := \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, t > 0\}$. Making a partial Fourier transform in the s variable, we get that

$$(D_s - t \cos \gamma)^2 + D_t^2 + (t \sin \gamma - \tau)^2 = \int_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} D_t^2 + (\xi_2 - t \cos \gamma)^2 + (t \sin \gamma - \tau)^2 d\xi_2$$

where the operator $D_t^2 + (\xi_2 - t \cos \gamma)^2 + (t \sin \gamma - \tau)^2$ acts on the functions of the variable t belonging to $B_{\text{Neu}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (see (2.2)). Since we have for fixed $\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \mathfrak{S} (D_t^2 + (\xi_2 - t \cos \gamma)^2 + (t \sin \gamma - \tau)^2) \\ = \inf \mathfrak{S} (D_t^2 + (t - \tau \sin \gamma - \xi_2 \cos \gamma)^2) + (\xi_2 \sin \gamma - \tau \cos \gamma)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we get (2.6) using (2.3). \square

- *Operators for the lower boundary.*

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$. Then the spectrum of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ does not depend of τ and we have*

$$(2.7) \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \inf \mathfrak{S} (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) = \sigma(\beta)$$

with $\beta = \arcsin(\sin \alpha \sin \gamma)$.

Proof. The half-plane Hp^{low} is invariant by translation along $(\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \frac{\alpha}{2})$. Using this translation, we get that all the operators $(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}$ are unitary equivalent and their spectrum does not depend on τ . Using a Fourier integral decomposition we have

$$P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hs}^{\text{low}}} = \int_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau} d\tau,$$

where Hs^{low} is the half-space $\text{Hp}^{\text{low}} \times \mathbb{R}$. The normal of the boundary of Hs^{low} is $(-\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, -\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, 0)$. Therefore we have

$$\inf \mathfrak{S} (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) = \inf \mathfrak{S} (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hs}^{\text{low}}}) .$$

By an elementary computation we check that the magnetic field \mathbf{B} makes the angle $\beta := \arcsin(\sin \gamma \sin \alpha)$ with the boundary of Hs^{low} . Using the definition (2.5), we get that the bottom of the spectrum of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hs}^{\text{low}}}$ is $\sigma(\beta)$. \square

3. ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF THE OPERATORS ON THE SECTOR

Let

$$\Upsilon := V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}^{-1}(\{0\}) \text{ be the line where the electric potential vanish.}$$

Let us notice that $V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}(x)$ is the square of the distance between x and Υ , moreover when \mathbf{B} is tangent to a face of the wedge, the line Υ is parallel to one of the boundary of the sector \mathcal{S}_α . Since the domain is unbounded and the electric potential does not blow up in all directions, one should expect that the essential spectrum is not empty (see [12, proposition 3.7] for a similar situation). We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_{\text{ess}}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$ the essential spectrum of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ and we are looking for:

$$\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \inf \mathfrak{S}_{\text{ess}}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) .$$

When the magnetic field is tangent to the edge, we use the results recalled in Subsection 2.2 and we get $\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}, \alpha, \tau) = \Theta_0 + \tau^2$. We will now assume that the magnetic field is not tangent to the edge, that is $\gamma \neq 0$ where γ is the first spherical coordinate of \mathbf{B} (see (1.3)). We recall a useful criterion for the characterization of the essential spectrum (see [22]):

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \Sigma(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}, R)$$

with

$$\Sigma(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}, R) := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{S}_\alpha} \cap \mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_R)} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\tau(u)}{\|u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)}^2}$$

where \mathcal{B}_R is the ball of radius R centered at the origin and $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_R$ its complementary in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$. We have:*

$$(3.1) \quad \underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \inf_{\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}} (\mu_1^{\text{N}}(\xi_2 \cos \gamma + \tau \sin \gamma) + (\xi_2 \sin \gamma - \tau \cos \gamma)^2) .$$

Proof. We show that $\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$:

UPPER BOUND. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using the min-max principle we find a normalized function $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\text{Hp}^{\text{up}}})$ such that

$$\langle (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle_{L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{up}})} < \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) + \epsilon .$$

Let $\mathbf{t}_\alpha = (\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \frac{\alpha}{2})$ be the direction vector of the line Υ and for $r > 0$ let $u_{\epsilon, r}(x) := e^{\frac{i}{2} r b_3 \mathbf{t}_\alpha \wedge x} u_\epsilon(x - r \mathbf{t}_\alpha)$. Let $R > 0$, we have $\text{Supp}(u_{\epsilon, r}) = \text{Supp}(u_\epsilon) + r \mathbf{t}_\alpha$ and therefore it

exists $r_0 > 0$ such that $\forall r > r_0$, $\text{Supp}(u_{\epsilon,r}) \subset \mathcal{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_R$ and $u_{\epsilon,r} \in \text{Dom}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$. We have $V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}(x - r\mathbf{t}_\alpha) = V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}(x)$ hence from the translation principle we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_\tau(u) = \langle (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) u_{\epsilon,r}, u_{\epsilon,r} \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)} = \langle (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle_{L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{up}})}.$$

We deduce from the Persson's Lemma that $\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) \leq \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$.

LOWER BOUND. We denote by (ρ, ϕ) the polar coordinates of \mathbb{R}^2 . Let χ_1^{pol} and χ_2^{pol} in $C^\infty(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)$ that satisfy $0 \leq \chi_j^{\text{pol}} \leq 1$ and $\chi_j^{\text{pol}}(r, \phi) = \chi_j^{\text{pol}}(1, \phi)$. We assume that χ_1^{pol} satisfies $\chi_1^{\text{pol}}(r, \phi) = 1$ when $\phi \in (\frac{\alpha}{4}, \frac{\alpha}{2})$ and $\chi_1^{\text{pol}}(r, \phi) = 0$ when $\phi \in (-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\alpha}{4})$. We assume that χ_2^{pol} satisfies $(\chi_1^{\text{pol}})^2 + (\chi_2^{\text{pol}})^2 = 1$ and we denote by χ_1 and χ_2 the associated functions in cartesian coordinates. By construction for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ we have $\chi_j(x) = \chi_j(\frac{x}{\|x\|})$. We deduce:

$$\forall j \in \{1, 2\}, \exists C_0, \forall R > 0, \forall x \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha \cap \mathbb{C}\mathcal{B}_R, \quad |\nabla \chi_j(x)|^2 \leq \frac{C_0}{R^2}.$$

Let $u \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathcal{S}_\alpha})$, the IMS formula (see [7]) provides

$$\mathcal{Q}_\tau(u) = \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_\tau(\chi_j u) - \sum_j \|\nabla \chi_j u\|^2.$$

Since $\text{Supp}(\chi_1 u) \subset \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}$ we have $\mathcal{Q}_\tau(\chi_1 u) \geq \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) \|\chi_1 u\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)}^2$. On the other part, elementary computations give $R_0 > 0$ such that for $R > R_0$ we have $\text{dist}(\text{Supp}(\chi_2 u), \Upsilon) = |R \sin \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin \gamma + \tau|$, therefore:

$$\forall R > R_0, \forall x \in \text{Supp}(\chi_2 u), \quad V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}(x) \geq |R \sin \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin \gamma + \tau|^2$$

and for $R > R_0$ we get $\mathcal{Q}_\tau(\chi_2 u) \geq |R \sin \frac{\alpha}{4} \sin \gamma + \tau|^2 \|\chi_2 u\|^2$. We deduce that for $R > R_0$:

$$\Sigma(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}, R) \geq \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}) - \frac{C_0}{R^2}$$

and we deduce $\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) \geq \inf \mathfrak{S}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{up}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$ from Persson's Lemma. We conclude using Lemma (2.2). \square

We have an Agmon estimate for any eigenfunction associated to an eigenvalue below the essential spectrum.

Corollary 3.3. *Let \mathbf{B} be a magnetic field tangent to a face of the wedge and (λ, u_λ) an eigenpair of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ such that $\lambda < \underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$. We have*

$$\forall \eta \in (0, \sqrt{\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) - \lambda}), \exists C > 0, \quad \mathcal{Q}_\tau(e^{\eta\Phi} u_\lambda) < C \|u_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)}$$

with $\Phi(x_1, x_2) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$.

Proof. We refer to the standard proof of [3] and [6] for this Agmon estimate. \square

Proposition 3.4. *We have*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = 1.$$

Proof. For $\tau \geq 0$, we take $\xi_2 = \tau \cot \gamma$ in (3.1) and we get

$$\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) \leq \mu_1^N\left(\frac{\tau}{\sin \gamma}\right) < 1.$$

For the lower bound, we use (3.1) and we make the distinction between two zones for ξ_2 :

- If $\xi_2 \notin [\tau \cot \gamma - \frac{1}{\sin \gamma}, \tau \cot \gamma + \frac{1}{\sin \gamma}]$, we get $(\xi_2 \sin \gamma - \tau \cos \gamma)^2 \geq 1$.
- If $\xi_2 \in [\tau \cot \gamma - \frac{1}{\sin \gamma}, \tau \cot \gamma + \frac{1}{\sin \gamma}]$, we have $\xi_2 \cos \gamma + \tau \sin \gamma \in I_\tau$ with $I_\tau = [\frac{\tau}{\sin \gamma} - \cot \gamma, \frac{\tau}{\sin \gamma} + \cot \gamma]$. For τ large enough we have $I_\tau \subset (\xi_0, +\infty)$. Since μ_1^N is increasing on $(\xi_0, +\infty)$, we get $\tau_0 > 0$ such that for all $\tau > \tau_0$:

$$\forall \xi_2 \in [\tau \cot \gamma - \frac{1}{\sin \gamma}, \tau \cot \gamma + \frac{1}{\sin \gamma}], \quad \mu_1^N(\xi_2 \cos \gamma + \tau \sin \gamma) \geq \mu_1^N(\frac{\tau}{\sin \gamma} - \cot \gamma).$$

We conclude by using (3.1) and the fact that $\mu_1^N(\tau)$ tends to 1 as τ goes to $+\infty$.

□

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathbf{B} a magnetic field tangent to a face of the wedge \mathcal{W}_α . We have*

$$\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) \leq \Theta_0.$$

Proof. We choose $\tau = \xi_0 \sin \gamma$ where ξ_0 is the unique point where μ_1^N reaches its infimum (see subsection 2.1). Thanks to the proposition 3.2 we get $\underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \xi_0 \sin \gamma) = \mu_1^N(\xi_0) = \Theta_0$ and we conclude using (1.5). □

4. LIMIT WHEN THE FOURIER PARAMETER GETS LARGE

In this section we investigate the limits of $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ when the Fourier parameter τ goes to $-\infty$ and $+\infty$. In the special case $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$, Pan has identified these limits as eigenvalues of a model problem on a half-space and has given upper and lower bounds (see [21]). We provide an expression of these limits in the general case using the function σ defined in (2.5). Let \mathbf{B} be a magnetic field of the form (1.3). Since

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \left(\min_{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha} V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau}(x_1, x_2) \right) = +\infty,$$

we have from the min-max principle:

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = +\infty.$$

When τ goes to $+\infty$ the situation is much more different: $\Upsilon \cap \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ is a half line which makes an angle $\alpha \in (0, \pi)$ with the boundary $\{x_2 = -\tan \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$ of \mathcal{S}_α . Moreover one should expect that any eigenfunction with energy below the essential spectrum is localized near the line Υ . In this situation we expect that $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ tends to a quantity coming from a problem on regular domain when τ tends to $+\infty$.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \sigma(\beta)$$

with $\beta = \arcsin(\sin \alpha \sin \gamma)$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 2.3, for $\epsilon > 0$ it exists $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\overline{\text{Hp}^{\text{low}}}) \cap \text{Dom}(P_{\mathbf{A}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau})$ such that $\langle (P_{\mathbf{A}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau})u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle_{L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{low}})} < \sigma(\beta) + \epsilon$. We construct the test function

$$v_{\epsilon, \tau}(x) := e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}x \wedge \mathbf{t}_\alpha^-} u_\epsilon(x - \tau \mathbf{t}_\alpha^-),$$

where $\mathbf{t}_\alpha^- = (\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, -\sin \frac{\alpha}{2})$ is the direction of the lower boundary of \mathcal{S}_α . For τ large enough, we have $\text{Supp}(v_{\epsilon, \tau}) \subset \mathcal{S}_\alpha$ and thus $v_{\epsilon, \tau} \in \text{Dom}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$. From the translation principle we get

$$\langle (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})v_{\epsilon, \tau}, v_{\epsilon, \tau} \rangle_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)} = \langle (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle_{L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{low}})} < \sigma(\beta) + \epsilon$$

and we deduce from the min-max principle that

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) \leq \sigma(\beta) .$$

When $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the proposition has already been proved in [21]. We now suppose that $\alpha \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and thus $\beta \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Using Proposition 3.4 and the fact that $\forall \beta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}), \sigma(\beta) < 1$, we get that for τ large enough, $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ is an eigenvalue of $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ with finite multiplicity. We denote by u_τ an associated eigenfunction. To establish a lower bound for $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$, we use the concentration of the eigenfunctions near the line Υ and an IMS formula. Let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \chi_j \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \chi_1 = 1 \text{ on } (-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}] \text{ and } \chi_1 = 0 \text{ on } [-\frac{1}{4}, +\infty) , \\ \chi_2 = 1 \text{ on } (-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}] \text{ and } \chi_2 = 0 \text{ on } (-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, +\infty) , \\ \chi_3 = 0 \text{ on } (-\infty, \frac{1}{4}] \text{ and } \chi_3 = 1 \text{ on } [\frac{1}{2}, +\infty) , \\ \sum_{j=1}^3 \chi_j^2 = 1 . \end{cases}$$

We define for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$\chi_{j, \tau}(x_1, x_2) := \chi_j(\tau^{-1}(x_1 b_2 - x_2 b_1 - \tau)) .$$

Since the magnetic field is non tangent to the edge, b_1 or b_2 is non-zero and it exists $C > 0$ and $\tau_1 > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \forall \tau \geq \tau_1, \forall j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha, \quad |\nabla \chi_{j, \tau}(x_1, x_2)|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\tau^2} .$$

Using the IMS formula we get:

$$\mathcal{Q}_\tau(u_\tau) = \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_\tau(\chi_{j, \tau} u_\tau) - \sum_j \|\nabla \chi_{j, \tau} u_\tau\|^2 .$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. It exists τ_1 such that we have

$$(4.3) \quad \forall \tau \geq \tau_1, \quad \mathcal{Q}_\tau(u_\tau) \geq \mathcal{Q}_\tau(\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau) - 3\epsilon .$$

Since $\text{Supp}(\chi_{2, \tau}) \cap \partial \mathcal{S}_\alpha \subset \{x_2 = -x_1 \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}\}$, we extend $\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau$ to a function of $\text{Dom}(P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})$ which satisfy the Neumann boundary condition by taking the value 0 outside $\text{Supp}(\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau)$.

Therefore using Lemma 2.3 we get

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{Q}_\tau(\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau) = \langle (P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \text{Hp}^{\text{low}}} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau})\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau, \chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau \rangle_{L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{low}})} \geq \sigma(\beta) \|\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau\|_{L^2(\text{Hp}^{\text{low}})}^2 .$$

For τ large enough we have from (4.1):

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\text{Supp}(\chi_{j, \tau})} V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau} |u_\tau|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \leq \mathcal{Q}_\tau(u_\tau) \leq \sigma(\beta) + \epsilon .$$

When $j \in \{1, 3\}$, $\text{Supp}(\chi_j) \cap \mathcal{S}_\alpha \subset \{x \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha, \text{dist}(x, \Upsilon) \geq \frac{\tau}{2}\}$. We deduce

$$\forall j \in \{1, 3\}, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\inf_{\text{Supp}(\chi_j, \tau)} V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau} \right) = +\infty$$

and due to (4.5):

$$\forall j \in \{1, 3\}, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\text{Supp}(\chi_j, \tau)} |u_\tau|^2 dx_1 dx_2 = 0.$$

We deduce that it exists $\tau_2 > \tau_1$ such that

$$\forall \tau \geq \tau_2, \forall j \in \{1, 3\}, \quad \|\chi_{j, \tau} u_\tau\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)}^2 \leq \epsilon$$

and using that $\|u_\tau\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)} = 1$:

$$\forall \tau \geq \tau_2, \quad \|\chi_{2, \tau} u_\tau\|_{L^2(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)}^2 \geq 1 - 2\epsilon.$$

Using (4.3) and (4.4) we get for $\tau \geq \tau_2$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_\tau(u_\tau) \geq \sigma(\beta)(1 - 2\epsilon) - 3\epsilon.$$

Since u_τ is normalized, we get

$$\liminf_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) \geq \sigma(\beta)$$

and the proposition is proved. \square

Using Theorem 3.5, we deduce the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$. Then the function $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ reaches its infimum.*

5. ROUGH UPPER BOUNDS

In this Section we provide an upper bound for $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ using quasi-modes from [3].

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$. Then*

$$(5.1) \quad \forall (\alpha, \gamma) \in (0, \pi) \times [0, \frac{\pi}{2}], \quad \lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \right).$$

Proof. We set $\tau = 0$ and we make several standard transformations in the quadratic form \mathcal{Q}_τ in order to study a quadratic form on a domain independent from α (see [3, Section 3] or [2, Section 5.1] for the details). We start with a change of variables associated with the polar coordinates $(\rho, \phi) \in \Omega^\alpha$ with $\Omega^\alpha = \mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2})$ and we are led to the quadratic form

$$v \mapsto \int_{\Omega^\alpha} \left(|\partial_\rho v|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho^2} |(\partial_\phi + i \frac{\rho^2}{2}) v|^2 + V_{\mathbf{B}}^{\text{pol}} |v|^2 \right) \rho d\rho d\phi$$

with

$$(5.2) \quad V_{\mathbf{B}}^{\text{pol}}(\rho, \eta) := (\rho \cos(\eta\alpha) b_2 - \rho \sin(\eta\alpha) b_1)^2.$$

the electric potential in polar coordinates We make the change of gauge

$$u(\rho, \phi) := e^{i\frac{\rho^2}{2}\phi} v(\rho, \phi)$$

and we normalize the angle with the scaling $\eta = \frac{\phi}{\alpha}$. Using these transformations we get that for $\tau = 0$ the quadratic form \mathcal{Q}_τ is unitary equivalent to the quadratic form

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}^{\text{pol}}(u) := \int_{\Omega_0} \left(|(\partial_\rho - i\alpha\rho\eta b_3)u|^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2\rho^2} |\partial_\eta u|^2 + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}}^{\text{pol}} |u|^2 \right) \rho \, d\rho \, d\eta$$

with $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R}_+ \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. The form domain is

$$\text{Dom}(\mathcal{Q}^{\text{pol}}) = \left\{ u \in L_\rho^2(\Omega_0), (\partial_\rho - i\alpha\rho\eta b_3)u \in L_\rho^2(\Omega_0), \frac{1}{\rho} \partial_\eta u \in L_\rho^2(\Omega_0), \sqrt{V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}}^{\text{pol}}} u \in L_\rho^2(\Omega_0) \right\}$$

where $L_\rho^2(\Omega_0)$ stands for the set of the square-integrable functions for the weight $\rho \, d\rho$. Let $B_\rho^1(\mathbb{R}_+) := \{u \in L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+), u' \in L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \rho u \in L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$. We have an injection from $B_\rho^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ into $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{Q}^{\text{pol}})$, and for $u \in B_\rho^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ an elementary computation (see [23, Proposition 6.26]) yields:

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{pol}}(u) = \|u'\|_{L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 + \left(b_2^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{12} b_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \text{sinc } \alpha)(b_1^2 - b_2^2) \right) \|\rho u\|_{L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2,$$

where $\text{sinc } \alpha := \frac{\sin \alpha}{\alpha}$. We take the quasimode $u_\alpha(\rho, \eta) := 3^{-1/4} \exp\left(\frac{-\alpha\rho^2}{4\sqrt{3}}\right)$ coming from [3].

The function u_α is in $B_r^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We get

$$\|u'_\alpha\|_{L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\rho u_\alpha\|_{L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\alpha^2}.$$

Using $(b_1, b_2, b_3) = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$, we get

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\text{pol}}(u_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left(\text{sinc } \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^2 \sin^2 \gamma + \frac{\cos^2 \gamma}{2\sqrt{3}} + \sqrt{3} \frac{1 - \text{sinc } \alpha}{\alpha^2} \cos \alpha \sin^2 \gamma.$$

Since $\text{sinc } \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \frac{1 - \text{sinc } \alpha}{\alpha^2} \leq \frac{1}{6}$, using $\|u_\alpha\|_{L_\rho^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}$ we get from the min-max principle:

$$\forall \alpha \in (0, \pi], \quad \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, 0) \leq \alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \right).$$

We conclude with the relation (1.5). □

When the magnetic field is tangent to a face of the wedge, we deduce:

$$(5.4) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) = 0.$$

From Corollary 4.2 we know that the function $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ reaches its infimum when \mathbf{B} is tangent to a face of \mathcal{W}_α . For α small enough, we are able to characterize the bottom of the spectrum of the operator on the sector, indeed using Proposition 3.2 and the lower bound (5.1) we get:

Corollary 5.2. *Assume that the magnetic field writes $\mathbf{B} = (\sin \gamma \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, \cos \gamma)$ and that $\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin^2 \gamma \right) < \Theta_0$. Let τ^* be a value of the parameter such that $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) = \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau^*)$. Then $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau^*)$ is a discrete eigenvalue for $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau^*}$.*

Remark 5.3. The approximation (2.4) gives a precise set of values for α and γ such that the condition in the previous corollary holds.

6. PARTICULAR CASE: A MAGNETIC FIELD NORMAL TO THE EDGE

We assume here that the magnetic field \mathbf{B} is tangent to a face and normal to the edge. Therefore its spherical coordinates are $(\gamma, \theta) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2})$ and its cartesian coordinates are $(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2}, \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}, 0)$. In that case we have $\underline{\mathbf{A}} = 0$ and the operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ writes $-\Delta + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ with $V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau} = (x_1 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} - x_2 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \tau)^2$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let \mathbf{B} be a constant magnetic field of spherical coordinates $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2})$. Then $\alpha \mapsto \lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ is non-decreasing on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. The operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ writes

$$-\Delta + (x_1 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} - x_2 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - \tau)^2$$

in the sector \mathcal{S}_α . We denote by R_ω the rotation centered at the origin of angle ω . We make the change of variables $(u_1, u_2) := R_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x_1, x_2)$. Since $\alpha \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have $R_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathcal{S}_\alpha) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, u_1 > 0, -u_1 \tan \alpha \leq u_2 \leq 0\}$. In these variables the operator $P_{\underline{\mathbf{A}}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\underline{\mathbf{B}}, \tau}$ becomes

$$-\Delta + (u_2 - \tau)^2.$$

We make the dilatation $(v_1, v_2) = (-u_1 \tan \alpha, u_2)$ and the problem is unitary equivalent to the Neumann realization of

$$-(\tan \alpha)^2 \partial_{v_1}^2 - \partial_{v_2}^2 + (v_2 - \tau)^2$$

in $\{v_1 > 0, v_1 \leq v_2 \leq 0\}$. Using the min-max principle, we find that $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ is non-decreasing with α on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Using (1.5) we get the proposition. \square

The following result was already known by Pan for $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

Theorem 6.2. *Let \mathbf{B} a constant magnetic field of spherical coordinates $(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2})$. Then*

$$\forall \alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi], \quad \lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) = \Theta_0.$$

Moreover $\underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) = \lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ if and only if $\tau = \xi_0$, and $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) = \underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \xi_0)$.

Proof. The upper bound comes from Theorem 3.5. We will provide a lower bound (in the sense of the quadratic forms) for the operator

$$-\Delta + (x_1 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - x_2 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} - \tau)^2.$$

Let $\omega \in (0, 2\pi)$. Making the change of variables $(u_1, u_2) = R_\omega(x_1, x_2)$, we get that the operator $-\Delta + (x_1 \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - x_2 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} - \tau)^2$ is unitary equivalent to the Neumann realization of

$$-\partial_{u_1}^2 - \partial_{u_2}^2 + (u_1 \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - u_2 \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - \tau)^2, \quad (u_1, u_2) \in R_\omega(\mathcal{S}_\alpha).$$

We introduce two 1D-operators on the half-line with a Neumann boundary condition:

$$L_{\rho, u_2} := -\partial_{u_1}^2 + \rho^2(u_1 \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - u_2 \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - \tau)^2, \quad u_1 > 0,$$

and

$$L_{\rho, u_1} := -\partial_{u_2}^2 + (1 - \rho^2)(u_1 \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - u_2 \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - \tau)^2, \quad u_2 > 0.$$

Since $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, we can choose $\omega \in (-\frac{\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2})$ such that the two axes $\{u_1 > 0\}$ and $\{u_2 > 0\}$ belong to $\overline{R_\omega(\mathcal{S}_\alpha)}$. Therefore we have (in the sense of quadratic forms):

$$-\partial_{u_1}^2 - \partial_{u_2}^2 + (u_1 \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - u_2 \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) - \tau)^2 \geq L_{\rho, u_2} + L_{\rho, u_1}.$$

Due to an elementary scaling, we have:

$$(6.1) \quad L_{\rho, u_2} \geq \rho \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) \Theta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{\rho, u_1} \geq \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) \Theta_0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) \geq \rho \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) \Theta_0 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sin(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega) \Theta_0.$$

We optimize the lower bound by taking $\rho = \cos(\frac{\alpha}{2} + \omega)$ and using (1.5) we get $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) \geq \Theta_0$. \square

7. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Numerically we compute the first eigenpair of the operator $P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau}$ on the triangle $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, L} := \mathcal{S}_\alpha \cap \{0 < x_1 < L\}$ with a Dirichlet condition on the artificial boundary $\{x_1 = L\}$. We use the finite element library Mélima ([19]) and we refer to [23, Section 4.4 and Annex C] for more details about the meshes and the degree of the elements we have used. We choose for the magnetic potential $\mathbf{A}^R(x_1, x_2) := (-x_1 b_3, 0)$.

On figures 2 and 3 we take $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and a magnetic field of spherical coordinates $(\gamma, \theta) = (\frac{\pi}{10}, \frac{\pi}{4})$ tangent to a face of the wedge. The computational domain is $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{\pi}{2}, 14}$.

On figure 2 we show numerical approximation of the band function $\tau \mapsto \underline{s}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$. We denote by $\check{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ these approximations. We have made the computations for $\tau = \frac{k}{10}$ with $-10 \leq k \leq 18$. We have also plotted the bottom of the essential spectrum of the operator $P_{\mathbf{A}, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} + V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau}$ (according to the relation (3.1)), the constant Θ_0 and $\sigma(\beta)$ with $\beta = \arcsin(\sin \gamma \sin \frac{\alpha}{2})$. The numerical approximation of $\sigma(\beta)$ comes from [6].

We observe that $\check{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau) < \underline{s}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ and that $\tau \mapsto \check{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ has a unique minimum. Moreover this minimum is smaller than Θ_0 . When τ goes to $+\infty$, $\check{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ tends to $\sigma(\beta)$ according to Proposition 4.1.

On figure 3 we have plotted the eigenfunctions associated to the values of $\check{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ shown in figure 2 for $\tau = \frac{k}{2}$ with $0 \leq k \leq 4$. From top to bottom we show the modulus, the base-10 logarithm of the modulus and the phases modulo π of the eigenfunctions. The logarithm is set to -13 when the value of the modulus is less than 10^{-13} . The phases of an eigenfunction u is computed according to the formula

$$(7.1) \quad \phi(x_1, x_2) := \arcsin \left(\frac{\text{Im}(u(x_1, x_2))}{|u(x_1, x_2)|} \right).$$




FIGURE 2. Opening angle: $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Spherical coordinates of \mathbf{B} : $(\gamma, \theta) = (\frac{\pi}{10}, \frac{\pi}{4})$. The approximation $\check{s}(\gamma, \theta; \alpha, \tau)$ with respect to τ for $\tau = \frac{k}{10}$, $-10 \leq k \leq 18$ compared to $s_{\text{ess}}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$, Θ_0 and $\sigma(\beta)$.

On the logarithm scale of the modulus we have shown in dash line the set Υ where the potential $V_{\mathbf{B}, \tau}$ vanishes.




FIGURE 3. Opening angle: $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Spherical coordinates of \mathbf{B} : $(\gamma, \theta) = (\frac{\pi}{10}, \frac{\pi}{4})$. From top to bottom: the modulus, the base-10 logarithm of the modulus and the phases modulo π of the eigenfunction associated to $\underline{\xi}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ for $\tau = \frac{k}{2}$, $0 \leq k \leq 4$. In dash line : the set Υ . Computational domain: $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{\pi}{2}, 14}$. Magnetic potential: $\underline{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{R}}$.

On figure 4 we take a magnetic field of spherical coordinates $(\gamma, \theta) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2})$. The magnetic field is tangent to a face and normal to the edge. For each value of α we make several computations of $\check{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$ and we define

$$\check{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha) := \inf_{\tau} \check{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \alpha, \tau)$$

a numerical approximation of $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$. We have plotted $\check{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ for $\alpha = k\frac{\pi}{20}$ with $1 \leq k \leq 19$. We have also plotted the constant Θ_0 and the upper bound from Proposition 5.1.

We observe that the $\alpha \mapsto \check{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ is non decreasing on $(0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ and close to Θ_0 for $\alpha \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, according to Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 6.2. Moreover $\lambda(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ seems to go to 0 when α goes to 0, according with the results from Section 5.




FIGURE 4. Spherical coordinates of \mathbf{B} : $(\gamma, \theta) = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi-\alpha}{2})$. The approximation $\check{\lambda}(\mathbf{B}; \mathcal{W}_\alpha)$ with respect to $\vartheta := \frac{\alpha}{\pi}$ for $\vartheta = \frac{k}{20}$, $1 \leq k \leq 19$ compared to Θ_0 and to the upper bound from Proposition 5.1.

- *Acknowledgements.* The author would like to thank the Mittag-Leffler Institute where this articles has partially been written. The author is also grateful to V. Bonnaillie-Noël and M. Dauge for their advices and their interest in this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. BOLLEY. Modélisation du champ de retard à la condensation d'un supraconducteur par un problème de bifurcation. *RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* **26**(2) (1992) 235–287.
- [2] V. BONNAILLIE. *Analyse mathématique de la supraconductivité dans un domaine à coins; méthodes semi-classiques et numériques*. Thèse de doctorat, Université Paris XI - Orsay 2003.
- [3] V. BONNAILLIE. On the fundamental state energy for a Schrödinger operator with magnetic field in domains with corners. *Asymptot. Anal.* **41**(3-4) (2005) 215–258.
- [4] V. BONNAILLIE-NOËL. Numerical estimates of characteristic parameters θ_0 and $\phi(0)$ for superconductivity. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **11**(6) (2012) 2221–2237.
- [5] V. BONNAILLIE-NOËL, M. DAUGE, D. MARTIN, G. VIAL. Computations of the first eigenpairs for the Schrödinger operator with magnetic field. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* **196**(37-40) (2007) 3841–3858.
- [6] V. BONNAILLIE-NOËL, M. DAUGE, N. POPOFF, N. RAYMOND. Discrete spectrum of a model Schrödinger operator on the half-plane with Neumann conditions. *ZAMP* **63**(2) (2012) 203–231.
- [7] H. CYCON, R. FROESE, W. KIRSCH, B. SIMON. *Schrödinger operators with application to quantum mechanics and global geometry*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, study edition 1987.
- [8] M. DAUGE, B. HELFFER. Eigenvalues variation. I. Neumann problem for Sturm-Liouville operators. *J. Differential Equations* **104**(2) (1993) 243–262.
- [9] S. FOURNAIS, B. HELFFER. On the third critical field in Ginzburg-Landau theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **266**(1) (2006) 153–196.
- [10] S. FOURNAIS, B. HELFFER. *Spectral methods in surface superconductivity*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 77. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA 2010.
- [11] T. GIORGI, D. PHILLIPS. The breakdown of superconductivity due to strong fields for the Ginzburg-Landau model. *SIAM Rev.* **44**(2) (2002) 237–256 (electronic). Reprinted from *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **30** (1999), no. 2, 341–359 [MR 2002b:35235].
- [12] B. HELFFER, A. MORAME. Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: the case of dimension 3. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **112**(1) (2002) 71–84. Spectral and inverse spectral theory (Goa, 2000).
- [13] B. HELFFER, A. MORAME. Magnetic bottles for the Neumann problem: curvature effects in the case of dimension 3 (general case). *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **37**(1) (2004) 105–170.
- [14] B. HELFFER, M. PERSSON. Spectral properties of higher order anharmonic oscillators. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)* **165**(1) (2010) 110–126. Problems in mathematical analysis. No. 44.
- [15] H. JADALLAH. The onset of superconductivity in a domain with a corner. *J. Math. Phys.* **42**(9) (2001) 4101–4121.
- [16] T. KATO. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.
- [17] K. LU, X.-B. PAN. Gauge invariant eigenvalue problems in \mathbf{R}^2 and in \mathbf{R}_+^2 . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **352**(3) (2000) 1247–1276.
- [18] K. LU, X.-B. PAN. Surface nucleation of superconductivity in 3-dimensions. *J. Differential Equations* **168**(2) (2000) 386–452. Special issue in celebration of Jack K. Hale's 70th birthday, Part 2 (Atlanta, GA/Lisbon, 1998).
- [19] D. MARTIN. Mélima, bibliothèque de calculs éléments finis. <http://anum-maths.univ-rennes1.fr/melina> (2010).
- [20] A. MORAME, F. TRUC. Remarks on the spectrum of the Neumann problem with magnetic field in the half-space. *J. Math. Phys.* **46**(1) (2005) 012105, 13.
- [21] X.-B. PAN. Upper critical field for superconductors with edges and corners. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **14**(4) (2002) 447–482.
- [22] A. PERSSON. Bounds for the discrete part of the spectrum of a semi-bounded Schrödinger operator. *Math. Scand.* **8** (1960) 143–153.
- [23] N. POPOFF. *Sur l'opérateur de Schrödinger magnétique dans un domaine diédral*. PhD thesis 2012.

- [24] N. POPOFF, N. RAYMOND. When the 3d-magnetic laplacian meets a curved edge in the semi-classical limit. *Preprint IRMAR* (2012).
- [25] M. REED, B. SIMON. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York 1978.
- [26] D. SAINT-JAMES, P.-G. DE GENNES. Onset of superconductivity in decreasing fields. *Physics Letters* **7** (Dec. 1963) 306–308.

LABORATOIRE IRMAR, UMR 6625 DU CNRS, CAMPUS DE BEAULIEU, 35042 RENNES CEDEX, FRANCE,
E-mail adress: NICOLAS.POPOFF@UNIV-RENNES1.FR