HAL
open science

Range of the gradient of a smooth bump function in finite dimensions

Ludovic Rifford

To cite this version:

Ludovic Rifford. Range of the gradient of a smooth bump function in finite dimensions. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 2003, 131 (10), pp.3063-3066. hal-00769002

HAL Id: hal-00769002

https://hal.science/hal-00769002

Submitted on 27 Dec 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 131, Number 10, Pages 3063–3066
S 0002-9939(03)07078-3
Article electronically published on March 11, 2003

RANGE OF THE GRADIENT OF A SMOOTH BUMP FUNCTION IN FINITE DIMENSIONS

LUDOVIC RIFFORD

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

Abstract. This paper proves the semi-closedness of the range of the gradient for sufficiently smooth bumps in the Euclidean space.

Let $\mathbb{R}^N$ be the Euclidean space of dimension N. A *bump* on R^N is a function from $\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\mathbb{R}$ with a bounded nonempty support. The aim of this short paper is to partially answer an open question suggested by Borwein, Fabian, Kortezov and Loewen in [1]. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1-smooth bump function; does $f'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ equal the closure of its interior? We are not able to provide an answer, but we can prove the following result.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^{N+1}-smooth bump. Then $f'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the closure of its interior.*

We do not know if the hypothesis on the regularity of the bump f is optimal in our theorem when $N \geq 3$. However, the result can be improved for $N = 2$; Gaspari [3] proved by specific two-dimensional arguments that the conclusion holds if the bump is only assumed to be C^2-smooth on the plane. Again we cannot say if we need the bump function to be C^2 for $N = 2$. We proceed now to prove our theorem.

1. Proof of Theorem 0.1

For the sequel, we set $F := f' = \nabla f$. Moreover, since the theorem is obvious for $N = 1$ we will assume that $N \geq 2$. The proof is based on a refinement of Sard's Theorem that can be found in Federer [2]. Let us denote by B_k, C_k ($k \in \{0, \cdots, N\}$) the sets defined as follows:

$$B_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \operatorname{rank} DF(x) \leq k\},$$
$$C_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \operatorname{rank} DF(x) = k\}.$$

Of course $C_k \subseteq B_k$ and $B_N = \mathbb{R}^N$. Theorem 3.4.3 in [2] says that if the function F is C^N-smooth, then for all $k = 0, \cdots, N-1$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{k+1}(F(B_k)) = \mathcal{H}^{k+1}(F(C_k)) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{k+1}$ denotes the $(k+1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Received by the editors April 16, 2002.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46G05, 58C25.
Key words and phrases. Smooth bump, gradient.

©2003 American Mathematical Society

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

Fix $\bar{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let us prove that $F(\bar{x})$ belongs to the closure of $\mathrm{int}(F(\mathbb{R}^N))$. Since it is well known that $0 \in \mathrm{int}(F(\mathbb{R}^N))$ (see Wang [6]), we can assume that $F(\bar{x}) \neq 0$. Our proof begins with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *There exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $F(\bar{x})$ relative to $F(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and an integer $\bar{k} \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ such that for any $x \in F^{-1}(\mathcal{V}), \mathrm{rank}\, DF(x) \leq \bar{k}$ and there exists a sequence $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ which converges to $F(\bar{x})$ such that*

$$F^{-1}(v_n) \subseteq \mathrm{int}(C_{\bar{k}}). \tag{1.2}$$

Proof. Let us fix V an open neighbourhood of $F(\bar{x})$ relative to $F(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and denote by k_0 the max of the k's in $\{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$ which satisfy $V \cap F(C_k) \neq \emptyset$.

First of all we remark that $k_0 > 0$. As a matter of fact, suppose that for any $k \geq 1, V \cap F(C_k) = \emptyset$, that is, for any y in $F^{-1}(V), \mathrm{rank}\, DF(y) = 0$. Since $F^{-1}(V)$ is open this implies that F is constant on $F^{-1}(V)$ and hence that $F(\bar{x})$ is isolated in $F(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, we get a contradiction by arc-connectedness of $F(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (and since $F(\bar{x}) \neq 0$ and $0 \in F(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Consequently, we deduce that there exists $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $F(y) \in V$ and $\mathrm{rank}\, DF(y) = k_0 > 0$. Furthermore for all $z \in F^{-1}(V), \mathrm{rank}\, DF(z) \leq k_0$. Hence by lower semicontinuity of $z \mapsto \mathrm{rank}\, DF(z)$, this implies that $\mathrm{rank}\, DF$ is constant in a neighbourhood of y (because $\{z : \mathrm{rank}\, DF(z) \geq k_0\}$ is open). Therefore, by the rank theorem (see Rudin [4, Theorem 9.20]), V has the structure of a k_0-dimensional manifold near $F(y)$, and hence $\mathcal{H}^{k_0}(V) > 0$. Thus by (1.1), $V \setminus F(B_{k_0-1})$ is nonempty. We conclude that for any v in the latter set,

$$F(z) = v \Longrightarrow \mathrm{rank}\, DF(z) = k_0;$$

in addition z has a neighbourhood on which $\mathrm{rank}\, DF \leq \bar{k}$ by choice of $\bar{k}$, and the set where $\mathrm{rank}\, DF \geq \bar{k}$ is open. Consequently such a v satisfies $F^{-1}(v) \subseteq \mathrm{int}(C_{\bar{k}})$. Repeating this argument with a decreasing sequence on neighbourhoods, we get a decreasing sequence of k_0-values in $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ which has to be stationary. Hence the proof is easy to complete. □

We now claim the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. *The constant of Lemma 1.1 satisfies $\bar{k} = N$.*

Proof. Let us remark that since $F = f' = \nabla f$, the Jacobian of F at any point y in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is actually the Hessian of the function f. We argue by contradiction and so we assume that $\bar{k} < N$.

By the previous remark, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $DF(y)$ is a symmetric matrix, the nontrivial vector subspaces $\mathrm{Ker}\, DF(y)$ and $\mathrm{Im}\, DF(y)$ are orthogonal, and $DF(y)$ induces an automorphism on $\mathrm{Im}\, DF(y)$. Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 1.1 and by the constant rank theorem (see for instance Spivak [5] page 65) we deduce that $M_n := \{y : F(y) = v_n\}$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^N$ of dimension $N - k$ and at least C^2-smooth (since F is C^N-smooth and $N \geq 2$). Furthermore since f is a bump, M_n is a compact submanifold.

Now since M_n is a C^2 submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^N$ there exists an open tubular neighbourhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$ of M_n and a C^2-smooth function $r : \mathcal{U} \to M_n$ which is the projection on the set M_n such that for any $x \in \mathcal{U}, x - r(x) \in N_{r(x)}M_n$, where for any $p \in M_n$, N_pM_n denotes the normal space of M_n at p. In addition, from the properties of the constant $\bar{k}$, by reducing $\mathcal{U}$ if necessary, we can assume that for

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

any $x \in \mathcal{U}, \operatorname{rank} DF(x) = \bar{k}$. We set the following function on the neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{U} &\to \mathbb{R}^N, \\ x &\mapsto DF(r(x))(x - r(x)). \end{aligned}$$

We now need the following result.

Lemma 1.3. *If M_n is a compact C^2 submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^N$, then for all ξ in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, there exists $p \in M_n$ such that $\xi \in N_p M_n$.*

Proof. Consider for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_l := \operatorname{proj}_{M_n}(l\xi)$, where $\operatorname{proj}_{M_n}(\cdot)$ denotes the projection map on the closed set M_n. Since the submanifold M_n is C^2, the vector $\frac{l\xi - p_l}{\|l\xi - P_l\|}$ belongs to $N_{p_l} M_n$. Moreover by compactness of M_n we can assume that $p_l \to \bar{p}$ when l tends to infinity. Now since the sequence $(p_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, we have that $\lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{l\xi - p_l}{\|l\xi - p_l\|} = \xi$. By continuity of the normal bundle NM_n, we easily conclude that $\xi \in N_{\bar{p}} M_n$. □

Returning to the proof of Lemma 1.2, Lemma 1.3 immediately implies that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{N-1}$, there exists $p \in M_n$ and $v \in N_p M_n$ such that $v = \xi$. Furthermore the map $DF(p)$ is an automorphism on $N_p M_n$, hence there exists $w \in N_p M_n$ such that $DF(p)(w) = v$. We conclude that for any t small enough (s.t. $p + tw \in \mathcal{U}$), $DF(p)(tw) = t\xi$ and hence that $\Phi(p + tw) = t\xi$. Furthermore since M_n is compact and since the map $p \mapsto [DF(p)_{|N_p M_n}]^{-1}$ is continuous on M_n, we deduce that $\|w\|$ is bounded above. Hence by compactness on M_n, we get that for some $t_0 > 0$ the ball $B(0, t_0)$ is included in $\Phi(\mathcal{U})$; hence $\Phi(\mathcal{U})$ has a nonempty interior. Therefore (since the function Φ is smooth enough) Sard's Theorem gives us the existence of regular values of Φ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. So there exists $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\operatorname{rank} D\Phi(\bar{y}) = N$. Consequently there exists $\rho > 0$ such that the map Φ is one-to-one on $\mathcal{W} = B(\bar{y}, \rho)$ (the ball centered at $\bar{y}$ with radius ρ).

For any $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we set $y_l := r(\bar{y}) + \frac{1}{l}(\bar{y} - r(\bar{y}))$. The constant rank theorem implies that for any l the set $V_l := \{y \in \mathcal{U} : F(y) = F(y_l)\}$ is a submanifold of $\mathcal{U}$ of dimension $N - \bar{k}$. (Of course V_l might be noncompact in $\mathcal{U}$, *i.e.* $\overline{V_l}$ not included in $\mathcal{U}$.) On the other hand, by Lipschitz continuity of $DF(\cdot)$ and since $N - \bar{k} > 0$, there exists a neighbourhood $\mathcal{Y}$ of the segment $[\bar{y}, r(\bar{y})]$ in $\operatorname{co}\{\mathcal{W} \cup r(\mathcal{W})\}$ and a Lipschitz continuous map $X : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{Y}$,

$$X(x) \in \ker DF(x) \text{ and } \|X(x)\| = 1.$$

If we denote by $\theta_X(y, \tau)$ the local flow of the vector field X on $\mathcal{Y}$, we get that for any τ small enough, $\theta_X(y_l, \tau) \in V_l$. On the other hand, Gronwall's Lemma easily yields the following (we omit the proof):

Lemma 1.4. *There exist two positive constants K, μ such that for any $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for any $\tau \le \mu$, we have*

$$\theta_X(y_l, \tau) \in \operatorname{co}\left\{B\left(\bar{y}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right) \cup r\left(B\left(\bar{y}, \frac{\rho}{2}\right)\right)\right\}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\frac{\|\theta_X(y_l, \tau) - r(\theta_X(y_l, \tau))\|}{\|y_l - r(y_l)\|} \in [e^{-K\tau}, e^{K\tau}]. \tag{1.4}$$

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use

We now conclude the proof of Lemma 1.2. We set for any $l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, $z_l := \theta_X(y_l, \mu)$. First remark that if μ is small enough, then we have (recall that $\|X\| = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle X(\theta_X(y_l, s)), X(y_l)\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \Longrightarrow \quad & \langle \int_0^\mu X(\theta_X(y_l, s))ds, X(y_l)\rangle \geq \frac{\mu}{2} \\ \Longrightarrow \quad & \|z_l - y_l\| \geq \frac{\mu}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

By considering a converging subsequence of $(z_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ if necessary we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{F(y_l) - F(r(y_l))}{\|z_l - r(z_l)\|} &= \lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{F(z_l) - F(r(z_l))}{\|z_l - r(z_l)\|} \\ &= \lim_{l\to\infty} DF(r(z_l)) \left(\frac{z_l - r(z_l)}{\|z_l - r(z_l)\|} \right) \\ &= DF(\bar{z})(\bar{\zeta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\lim_{l\to\infty} z_l = \bar{z} = r(\bar{z}) \in M_n$ and $\lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{z_l - r(z_l)}{\|z_l - r(z_l)\|} = \bar{\zeta} \in N_{\bar{z}}M_n$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} DF(r(\bar{y}))(\bar{y} - r(\bar{y})) &= \lim_{l\to\infty} l\,(F(y_l) - F(r(y_l))) \\ &= \lim_{l\to\infty} l\|z_l - r(z_l)\| \frac{F(y_l) - F(r(y_l))}{\|z_l - r(z_l)\|} \\ &= c\|\bar{y} - r(\bar{y})\| DF(\bar{z})(\bar{\zeta}) \\ &= DF(\bar{z})(c\|\bar{y} - r(\bar{y})\|\bar{\zeta}), \end{aligned}$$

with $c = \lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{\|z_l - r(z_l)\|}{\|y_l - r(y_l)\|}$.

The computations prove that $\Phi(\bar{y}) = \Phi(\bar{z} + c\|\bar{y} - r(\bar{y})\|\bar{\zeta})$. Furthermore by (1.3) and (1.5), $\bar{z}$ belongs to $r(\mathcal{W})$ and $\|\bar{z} - r(\bar{y})\| > 0$. Consequently since Φ is injective on $\mathcal{W}$, it remains to prove that $\bar{z} + c\|\bar{y} - r(\bar{y})\|\bar{\zeta}$ is in $\mathcal{W}$ to get a contradiction. By (1.4) taking μ smaller if necessary, we get the result of Lemma 1.2. □

The proof of Theorem 0.1 is now easy. Since $\bar{k} = N$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the different values v_n of Lemma 1.1 belong to the interior of $f'(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and moreover the sequence $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $F(\bar{x})$. This proves the theorem.

References

1. J. M. Borwein, M. Fabian, I. Kortezov, and P. D. Loewen. The range of the gradient of a continuously differentiable bump. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 2(1):1–19, 2001. MR **2002c:**58012
2. H. Federer. *Geometric measure theory.* Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., New York, 1969. MR **41:**1976
3. T. Gaspari. On the range of the derivative of a real valued function with bounded support. Preprint.
4. W. Rudin. *Principles of mathematical analysis.* McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1964. MR **29:**3587
5. M. Spivak. *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. I.* Publish or Perish Inc., Wilmington, Del., second edition, 1979. MR **82g:**53003a
6. X. Wang. *Pathological examples of Lipschitz functions.* Ph.D. thesis, SFU, 1995.

Institut Girard Desargues, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 69622 Villeurbanne, France

E-mail address: `rifford@igd.univ-lyon1.fr`

License or copyright restrictions may apply to redistribution; see http://www.ams.org/journal-terms-of-use