



HAL
open science

Generating random alternating permutations in time

$n \log n$

Philippe Marchal

► **To cite this version:**

| Philippe Marchal. Generating random alternating permutations in time $n \log n$. 2012. hal-00765432

HAL Id: hal-00765432

<https://hal.science/hal-00765432v1>

Preprint submitted on 14 Dec 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Generating random alternating permutations in time $n \log n$

P. Marchal

Université Paris 13
Sorbonne Paris Cité
LAGA
CNRS (UMR 7539)
F-93430, Villetaneuse

marchal@math.univ-paris13.fr

Abstract

We introduce an algorithm generating uniformly distributed random alternating permutations of length n in time $n \log n$.

1 The main result

An alternating permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ is a permutation such that

$$\sigma(1) > \sigma(2) < \sigma(3) > \sigma(4) \dots$$

Alternating permutations are a very classical topic in combinatorics. See for instance the survey [ST] for numerous references. The basic result, which dates back from the 19th century [A], states that if p_N is the probability that a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ chosen uniformly at random is alternating, then

$$\sum_{N \geq 0} p_N x^N = \sec x + \tan x$$

The integers $N!p_N$ are called Euler numbers. The goal of this paper is to introduce an algorithm generating random alternating permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ in time $N \log N$.

An alternative way to generate random alternating permutations is to use Boltzmann sampling [DFLS]. In a general framework, this method constructs random combinatorial objects of approximate size in linear time. However, to get the exact size, one must use a rejection procedure and the complexity of the algorithm increases. In the context of permutations, the average time to run the algorithm is quadratic in the length of the permutation.

Before describing our algorithm, we begin by a simple remark. Let $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ be a sequence of distinct reals in $[0, 1]$. We can construct from Y a permutation as follows. Let $k_1 \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ be the integer such that Y_{k_1} is minimal in $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_N\}$ and put $\sigma(k_1) = 1$. Then, let $k_2 \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} - \{k_1\}$ be the integer such that Y_{k_2} is minimal in $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_N\} - \{Y_{k_1}\}$, put $\sigma(k_2) = 2$ and so on. To recover σ from Y , one can use a sorting algorithm.

If the sequence is alternating, that is, if

$$Y_1 > Y_2 < Y_3 > Y_4 \dots$$

then σ is alternating. Besides, if Y is chosen according to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^{N+1}$, then σ is uniformly distributed over all permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. As a consequence, if Y is a random, uniform alternating sequence, that is, if its density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^{N+1}$ is

$$(1/p_N) \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_1 > Y_2 < Y_3 > Y_4 \dots\}} \quad (1)$$

then σ is uniformly distributed over all alternating permutations. Therefore, we want to find an algorithm constructing a random, uniform alternating sequence. Remark that with probability 1, all the reals in the sequence will be distinct.

Algorithm

Fix an integer N and let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N be iid random variables, uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. First, define a random sequence (X_1, \dots, X_N) as follows:

- $X_1 = U_1$
- for $n \in [1, N - 1]$,

$$X_{n+1} = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \left(U_{n+1} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} X_n \right) \right)$$

Next, put

$$\alpha_N = \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} X_N)}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} X_1)} \quad (2)$$

and define the sequence Y as follows

- With probability $1/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})$, put

$$Y = (X_1, 1 - X_2, X_3, 1 - X_4 \dots)$$

- With probability $1/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})$, put

$$Y = (X_N, 1 - X_{N-1}, X_{N-2}, 1 - X_{N-3} \dots)$$

- With probability $1 - 2/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})$, start over.

Finally, recover a permutation from Y by randomized quicksort.

Theorem 1 *The algorithm described above yields a random alternating permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, uniformly distributed over all alternating permutations. The rejection probability is bounded above, uniformly on N , by*

$$\mathbb{E}[1 - 2/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})] \leq \frac{7}{8}$$

As a consequence, the average complexity is $\Theta(N \log N)$.

The upper bound on the rejection probability is certainly not optimal, however, it is sufficient for our purpose. We now proceed to the proof of the theorem.

2 Proof of the result

First, let us observe that the random sequence X is a Markov chain. Let us compute its transition probabilities. Let $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \geq 1$. With probability x , $U_n \leq 1 - x$ and therefore, conditionally on X_n , with probability x ,

$$X_{n+1} \geq 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left((1-x) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} X_n\right)\right)$$

In other words, the right-hand side of the inequality above is the inverse of F_{n+1} , where F_{n+1} stands for the cumulative distribution function of X_{n+1} , conditionally on X_n . Therefore $F_{n+1}(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 1 - X_n$ and for $x \geq 1 - X_n$,

$$F_{n+1}(x) = 1 - \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(1-x)\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} X_n\right)} = 1 - \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2} x\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} X_n\right)}$$

Differentiating, we find that the conditional density of X_{n+1} given X_n is f_{X_n} , with

$$f_x(y) = \mathbf{1}_{\{y \geq 1-x\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} y\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} x\right)}$$

To sum up, the transition probabilities of X are given by

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_2 \in dy) = f_x(y) dy$$

where, as usual, \mathbb{P}_x denotes the probability for the Markov chain started at x . As a consequence, the density of X with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^{N+1}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{\{X_2 \geq 1-X_1, X_3 \geq 1-X_2, \dots\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} X_N\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} X_1\right)} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{X_2 \geq 1-X_1, X_3 \geq 1-X_2, \dots\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \alpha_N \end{aligned}$$

with α_N defined in (2). Likewise, the density of $(X_N, X_{N-1}, X_{N-2}, X_{N-3} \dots)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^{N+1}$ is

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{X_2 \geq 1-X_1, X_3 \geq 1-X_2, \dots\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \alpha_N^{-1}$$

Now define a random sequence Z as follows. With probability $1/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})$, put

$$Z = (X_1, X_2, X_3 \dots)$$

With probability $1/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})$, put

$$Z = (X_N, X_{N-1}, X_{N-2}, \dots)$$

Since Z is obtained either by keeping X or by taking its time reversal, its density is a convex combination of the density of X and of the density of the time-reversal of X . More precisely, the density of Z with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]^{N+1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_2 \geq 1-Z_1, Z_3 \geq 1-Z_2, \dots\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \left[\frac{\alpha_N}{\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1}} + \frac{\alpha_N^{-1}}{\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1}} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_2 \geq 1-Z_1, Z_3 \geq 1-Z_2, \dots\}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \end{aligned}$$

The total mass of the density is less than 1 since there is a positive probability that Z is not defined. On the event that Z is not defined, start over until the process yields a sequence Z . Then almost surely, the procedure terminates and yields a random sequence Z with density

$$\frac{1}{Q_N} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{Z_2 \geq 1-Z_1, Z_3 \geq 1-Z_2, \dots\}}$$

where Q_N is the non-rejection probability

$$Q_N = \mathbb{E}[2/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})]$$

Finally, the sequence Y in the algorithm is given by

$$Y = (Z_1, 1 - Z_2, Z_3, 1 - Z_4 \dots)$$

and the density of Y is

$$\frac{1}{Q_N} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{N-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_1 \geq Y_2 \leq Y_3 \geq Y_4 \dots\}} \quad (3)$$

which is exactly what we were aiming at. Therefore, producing a random permutation from Y yields a random, uniform alternating permutation.

Let us prove the bound on the rejection probability. First, the invariant probability measure of the Markov chain X is

$$\mu(dy) = 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}y\right) dy$$

Indeed,

$$\int_0^1 \mu(dx) f_x(y) = 2 \int_{1-y}^1 dx \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}y\right) = 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}y\right) = \mu(dy)$$

The non-rejection probability can be expressed as

$$Q_N = \mathbb{E}[2/(\alpha_N + \alpha_N^{-1})] = 2\mathbb{E}\frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_1)}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N) + \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}X_1)} \geq \mathbb{E}\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_1)$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_1) &= \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}_x(X_N \in dy) \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \\ &\geq \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}_x(X_N \in dy) \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \mu(dx) \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}_x(X_N \in dy) \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_\mu \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_0)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbb{E}_μ stands for the expectation for the Markov chain started with initial distribution μ . Since μ is the invariant measure, under \mathbb{P}_μ , both X_1 and X_N are distributed according to μ . In particular, if $\delta \in [0, 1]$ is the real such that $\mu([0, \delta]) = 1/3$, then

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu(X_1 \geq \delta, X_N \geq \delta) \geq \frac{1}{3}$$

On the event $\{X_1 \geq \delta, X_N \geq \delta\}$, we have $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_1) \geq \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\delta)$ and $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N) \geq \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}\delta)$. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_1)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}X_N) \geq \frac{1}{3} \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\delta)$$

Using the fact that, for $x \in [0, 1]$, $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x) \geq x$, we have $\delta \geq \delta'$, where δ' is the real such that

$$2 \int_0^{\delta'} x^2 dx = \frac{1}{3}$$

This gives $\delta \geq 2^{-1/3}$ and *a fortiori* $\delta \geq 2/3$, which leads to the bound 7/8 in the theorem.

Finally, notice that using randomized quicksort, we get the same complexity on average as if we were using quicksort with a random, uniform permutation (whereas we are dealing here with a random, uniform alternating permutation). See [K].

3 Concluding remarks

Although this does not appear explicitly in the proof, the method used here is based on the theory of quasistationary distributions for Markov chains. Indeed, a random sequence on $[0, 1]$ can be viewed as a Markov chain, and a random alternating sequence is a submarkovian chain obtained from the initial Markov chain by forbidding some transitions. Transforming this submarkovian chain

into a Markov chain leads to the definition of X . For an introduction to quasistationary distributions in the case when the state space is discrete, see for instance [S].

The sequence $(X_1, 1 - X_2, X_3, 1 - X_4 \dots)$ is, loosely speaking, a sequence conditioned to be alternating forever. If we want to obtain a finite-length alternating sequence, we get the bias α_N . To eliminate this bias, we need to use a rejection procedure.

When $N \rightarrow \infty$, the law of X_N converges to the invariant measure and X_N is asymptotically independent of X_1 . Therefore, the rejection probability converges to

$$1 - 4 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x) \sin^3(\frac{\pi}{2}y)}{\sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}x) + \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}y)}$$

Alternatively, comparing (1) with (3), we see that $(2/\pi)^N Q_N = p_N$. Moreover, it is known that for large N ,

$$p_N \sim \frac{4}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{N+1}$$

(see Example IV.35 in [FS]) whence $Q_N \rightarrow 8/\pi^2$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

This method inspired by quasistationary distributions may be adapted to generate more general types of permutations.

Acknowledgments. Christian Houdré brought my attention to this topic. I thank Cyril Banderier for interesting discussions.

References

- [A] André, D. Développements de $\sec x$ et $\tan x$. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci.*, Paris **88**, 965-967, 1879
- [DFLS] Duchon, Philippe; Flajolet, Philippe; Louchard, Guy; Schaeffer, Gilles. Boltzmann samplers for the random generation of combinatorial structures. *Combin. Probab. Comput.***13** (2004), no. 4-5, 577-625.
- [FS] Flajolet, Philippe; Sedgewick, Robert. *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [K] Knuth, Donald E. *The art of computer programming*. Volume 3. Sorting and searching. Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science and Information Processing. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1973.
- [S] Seneta, E. *Non-negative matrices and Markov chains*. Revised reprint of the second (1981) edition. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, New York, 2006.

- [ST] Stanley, Richard P. A survey of alternating permutations. *Combinatorics and graphs*, 165-196, Contemp. Math., **531**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.