

Polarimetric imaging beyond the speckle grain scale Lucien Pouget, Julien Fade, Cyril Hamel, Mehdi Alouini

To cite this version:

Lucien Pouget, Julien Fade, Cyril Hamel, Mehdi Alouini. Polarimetric imaging beyond the speckle grain scale. Applied optics, 2012, 51 (30), pp.7345-7356. 10.1364/AO.51.007345. hal-00761108

HAL Id: hal-00761108 <https://hal.science/hal-00761108v1>

Submitted on 17 Dec 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Polarimetric imaging beyond the speckle grain scale

Lu
ien Pouget, Julien Fade[∗] , Cyril Hamel and Mehdi Alouini

Institut de Physique de Rennes, CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes, Fran
e

 $*$ Corresponding author : julien.fade@univ-rennes1.fr

Compiled September 13, 2012

We address an experimental Stokes imaging setup allowing one to explore the polarimetric properties of a speckle light field with spatial resolution well beyond the speckle grain scale. We detail how the various experimental difficulties inherent to such measurements can be overcome with a dedicated measurement protocol involving a careful speckle registration step. The setup and protocol are then validated on a metallic reference sample, and used to measure the state of polarization of light in each pixel of highly resolved speckle patterns (>2000 pixels per spe
kle grain) resulting from the s
attering of an in
ident oherent beam on samples exhibiting different polarimetric properties. Evolution of the state of polarization with spatial averaging and across adjacent speckle grains is eventually addressed. (C) 2012 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (110.5405) Polarimetric imaging ; (260.5430) Polarization ; (110.6150) Speckle imaging ; (030.0030) Coherence and statistical optics ; (220.4830) Systems design

1. Introduction

Speckle is a ubiquitous phenomenon in all research fields studying the intera
tion between random media and propagating waves, whether electromagnetic $[1-4]$ or acoustic [5]. Since speckle intensity patterns often represent the simplest and most accessible observables to gauge su
h intera
tion, this phenomenon has been thoroughly investigated for decades $[1, 2, 5]$ but is still widely studied in very active research fields of physics, such as wave localization [5], control of light through disordered media $[6, 7]$, optical non-linear effects in random media $[8]$ or polarization singularities $[9]$. In the optical imaging domain, spe
kle has often been onsidered as a noise detrimental to image quality $[10, 11]$. Nevertheless, speckle contrast imaging is known to be an efficient remote-sensing te
hnique providing information on surface roughness properties $[12-14]$ or fluid velocity $[15]$ for instance. More recently, new applications involving speckle contrast images have been proposed to characterize polarization of light $[16-19]$ or diffusion properties of materials $[20, 21]$.

Despite this intense resear
h a
tivity, an open debate still remains about how the polarization state distribution of a speckle pattern can be clearly linked with materials depolarization properties. Re
ently, this issue has occasioned a number of publications $[20, 22-30]$, questioning for instance the definition and measurement of polarization orrelation lengths in a spatial spe
kle pattern $[22-24, 28-30]$, or the partial "repolarization" of unpolarized oherent light ba
ks
attered by a depolarizing material [20]. In order to link depolarization properties of a sample with the s
attered light polarization distribution, experimental studies have been arried out using a spatial multiple-scale analysis of the speckle polarization properties $[23, 25-27]$. In these experiments, light depolarization has been studied from a "macroscopic" point of view, by conducting a statistical analysis of a scalar parameter (Degree Of Polarization (DOP)) [31] or (Orthogonal State Contrast (OSC) [32], over a great number of coherence areas (speckle "grains"). These results tended to experimentally validate that the polarization state is deterministic (light is fully polarized) at the "local" scale of a single spe
kle grain, whereas global depolarization induced by interaction (reflection or transmission) with the sample results from spatial averaging on the dete
tor over several coherence areas $[23, 25-27]$.

However, the various experimental devi
es used in these references were not specifically dedicated to studying the polarization state of the spe
kle pattern at the lo al s
ale, i.e., beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale. To the best of our knowledge, an imaging setup apable of measuring the full Stokes ve
tor (4 omponents) of light s
attered by a diffusive sample below the speckle grain scale in the opti
al wave domain has not been learly addressed in the literature. If su
h study ould be arried out quite easily in the microwave range as suggested in [33], it turns out to be a mere challenge when the wavelength is only a few hundreds of nanometers, as already noti
ed in [9]. Indeed, Stokes measurements require polarizers and wave plates (at least one) to be inserted and rotated in front of the imaging dete
tor, thus inevitably modifying the opti
al wavefront of the s
attered light and hence the speckle pattern itself, as will be evidenced in the next section. This experimental difficulty is mostly often eluded in the literature, suggesting that onventional experimental s
hemes are not suitable to perform Stokes imaging at the spe
kle grain level. In this paper, we ta
kle this problem by proposing a rigorous experimental setup as well as the methodology allowing one to a
quire Stokes images of a spe
kle pattern, where ea
h grain overs several hundred or thousands of pixels on the dete
tor surfa
e. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the experimental setup and measurement proto
ol proposed to a
hieve Stokes imaging beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale are des
ribed and alibrated. Test samples are then described and characterized in Section 3. Lastly, we report in Section 4 experimental Stokes imaging of highly resolved spe
kle patterns obtained with this setup. The pro
essing and analysis of these results are also addressed and ommented in this section. Conclusions and future work directions are finally given in Se
tion 5.

$2.$ Experimental setup

Analyzing the polarization properties of a speckle field beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale requires performing a measurement of the Stokes ve
tor of light at any point of a highly resolved spe
kle pattern. Before detailing the experimental setup used, let us briefly recall the Stokes vector formalism and the principle of Stokes imaging.

A. Stokes imaging prin
iple

Stokes formalism is commonly used to characterize the light state of polarization (SOP) [31]. According to this formalism, the polarization state of light is fully described by a four-component vector:

$$
\vec{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S_0 = I_x + I_y \\ S_1 = I_x - I_y \\ S_2 = I_{+45^\circ} - I_{-45^\circ} \\ S_3 = I_R - I_L \end{pmatrix} . \tag{1}
$$

The four components of the Stokes vector S_i can thus be simply obtained from intensity measurements $(I_x, I_y, I_{+45\degree}, I_{-45\degree}, I_R, I_L)$ through six configurations of a polarization analyzer. In the ontext of polarimetric imaging considered in the paper, the polarization analyzer is used to record six intensity images on a detector matrix. From these six images, the SOP (i.e., the 4omponent Stokes ve
tor) in ea
h pixel of the image is then determined. A global and scalar characterization of the SOP in ea
h pixel is lassi
ally obtained by omputing the degree of polarization (DOP) image, given by $[31]$

$$
DOP = \frac{\sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2}}{S_0} = \sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2},\qquad(2)
$$

or the orthogonal state ontrast (OSC) given by

$$
OSC = \frac{S_1}{S_0} = S_1,\tag{3}
$$

where the $S_i = S_i/S_0$ stand for the normalized Stokes omponents.

This method has been preferred to a Fourier analysis te
hnique involving a rotating quarter-wave plate [31]. Indeed, this one implies recording multiple intensity snapshots through a moving plate, which is inconsistent with the extreme stability required for the experimental setup, as will be eviden
ed below. Another alternative would be using a liquid crystal variable retarder, but it

would be necessary to check that no wavefront distortion is introduced when its configuration is changed during the measurement.

Indeed, to ensure validity of the polarimetri data recorded at the speckle grain scale, one needs to prevent any modification in the wavefront of the scattered light during the measurement pro
edure. Understandably, this last condition is not easily achieved since spe
kle is nothing but an interferen
e pattern, and therefore any change in the optical path of the order of a fraction of wavelength will modify the spe
kle pattern. As will be detailed afterwards, unwanted wavefront distortions can be caused by air turbulence within the optical path, thermal expansions of the sample or me
hani
al vibrations, but the main difficulty is to keep the wavefront unchanged while switching between the six different configurations of the polarization analyzer. In the remainder of this se
tion, we will address these te
hni
al issues in detail and propose an optimized experimental setup and measurement proto
ol allowing one to perform Stokes imaging beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale.

B. Des
ription of the experimental setup

The experimental setup proposed is depicted in Figure 1. Stokes imaging of the spe
kle pattern is performed in a reflection geometry (quasi-monostatic configuration). Indeed, the polarimetric imaging system analyzes light scattered by the sample in a direction close to backscattering direction. Consequently, it has to be noted that in the remainder of this paper, the word *backscattering* will not refer to the strict sense of scattering in the incident beam direction. The setup comprises several building blo
ks whi
h are des
ribed in detail below:

Illumination: In the experimental configuration chosen, the s
attering sample is enlightened with a frequency doubled Nd:YVO₄ laser (Coherent Verdi) emitting a maximum output power of 2 W at λ =532 nm. Although the light emitted by the laser is linearly polarized, we use a Glan polarizer to ensure high ontrast horizontal linear polarization of the illumination beam. The laser beam is then expanded and ollimated with the association of a microscope objective $(\times 10, 0.25 \text{ NA})$ and a convex lens L_0 (f'_0 =200 mm). A diaphragm is used to adjust the beam diameter and suppress unwanted diffusions or reflections on the edges of the collimation lens (L_0) . Finally, this beam forms a 2.5 cm diameter illumination spot on the s
attering sample under test.

Imaging optics: A set of two converging lenses L_1 $(f'_1=80 \text{ mm})$ and L_2 $(f'_2=40 \text{ mm})$ is used to image the surface of the sample on the detector plane. Such optical configuration makes it possible to position the polarization analyzer (PA) between the two lenses, in the intermediate image plane (IIP). The distan
e between the two lenses is 22.5 cm and the distance between L_2 and the intermediate image plane is set to 12 m.

Though unusual in polarimetric imaging setups, positioning of the polarization analysis components in a conjugate plane of the sample and of the CCD is justified here sin
e it helps minimizing wavefront deformations when the PA configuration is changed. Indeed, the beam has a minimum size in the intermediate image plane, forming an intermediate image of diameter 6.2 mm on the polarization analyzer. Provided the beam is well entered with the rotation axis of the PA, such configuration minimizes any spurious effect of planarity imperfections of the polarization analysis omponents (see paragraph Polarization analyzer below).

Imaging pinhole: To ensure a sufficient size of the coherence area on the detector, such that a speckle grain overs a few thousands of pixels, the aperture of the imaging system is shrunk by inserting a circular pinhole of diameter $\phi = 200 \mu m$ on the imaging lens L_2 . The typi
al size of a spe
kle grain on the dete
tor is then given by

$$
\delta = 1.22 \lambda \frac{2D}{\phi} \simeq 0.4 \,\text{mm},\tag{4}
$$

where $D = 6$ cm corresponds to the distance between imaging lens L_2 and the detector plane. In this configuration, each speckle grain of circular shape covers in the final image approximately 2300 square pixels of dimension 7.4 μ m.

Imaging detector: Due to the tiny imaging aperture used in the experiment, the amera must exhibit good noise properties under low illumination levels. Consequently, we hoose to re
ord the images on a 12-bits mono
hromati 659 x 494 pixels CCD amera (Basler s
A640-70 fm). Moreover, to enhan
e the dynami range, a dual exposure with two different acquisition times $(T_2=10 T_1)$ is used to extract data from the low intensity areas. Image processing is necessary to recombine the two snapshots, finally providing an intensity image with higher dynamics than the 4096 grayscale levels of the 12-bit amera (see pixels grays
ale values of Fig. 9.a for instan
e).

Polarization analyzer (PA): Most conventional polarimetri imaging experiments use a single adjustable polarizer to measure I_x , I_y , $I_{+45\degree}$, $I_{-45\degree}$, and then add a quarter-wave plate to access the two images I_R and I_L asso
iated with ir
ular polarization of light. This simple and natural approa
h is not satisfa
tory in our experiment. Indeed, inserting an optical element between the sample and the CCD dete
tor will ne
essarily modify the opti
al path of the ba
ks
attered light and modify the spe
kle pattern, thus inevitably spoiling the measurement as will be eviden
ed below. To maintain a onstant opti
al path during the 6 intensity measurements, the polarization analyzer (PA) used onsists of a nanoparti cles linear film polarizer plate (2 mm thickness) and an achromatic quarter-wave plate (400-700 nm, $\lambda/10$ wavefront distortion, 1mm thick) closely packed together. These omponents are inserted in a single rotating opti
al mount with the axes of the polarizer and of the quarter-wave plate forming an angle of 45°. The relative positionning of the polarizer and quarter-wave plate, as well as the calibration of the PA axes were operated preliminary to any measurement, using the vertically polarized illumination laser beam. Calibration is an important step in the design of a polarimeter due to possible polarization artifacts introduced by the optical elements of the system $[34]$.

To switch from a linear polarization analysis to a cirular polarization analysis, the whole mount is turned around so that the analyzed light enters the polarizer first, or the quarter-wave plate first respectively. To this aim, the PA is set on a motorized rotating stage for pre cise and repeatable positioning. This configuration allows us to access the whole six intensity measurements needed to determine the full Stokes vector [31]. In addition, two angular degrees of freedom are added to the polarization analyzer mount to enable fine adjustment of the orientation of the polarization analysis plates with respect to the optical axis (One rotation about \vec{e}_x and one about \vec{e}_y). The lens L_1 ($f'_1 = 80$ mm) is also placed on a micrometric translation mount allowing fine positioning of the fo
al spot on the polarization analysis plates. These me
hani
al degrees of freedom asso
iated to the PA are sket
hed in Figure 2. As will be eviden
ed in the next subse
tion, these additional degrees of freedom are indispensable to refine the relative positioning between the enter of the PA and the fo
al spot in the intermediate image plane and thus minimize wavefront deformations between two successive acquisitions with different configurations of the PA.

Mechanical stability and housing: As will be detailed below, mechanical stability and air turbulence must be taken into account to ensure stability of the speckle pattern. To this end, the optical setup is compa
tly built on an opti
al table and breadboard. The whole setup (apart from laser) is inserted within a Plexiglas housing to protect it from air flows.

C. Measurement protocol and speckle pattern registration

A crucial point to achieve Stokes imaging beyond the speckle grain scale is to ensure that the wavefront is not modified by the movement of the polarization analyzer during the omplete Stokes measurement. In other words, when the configuration of the PA is switched to measure the different Stokes components, the speckle pattern must not change. As the PA is neither strictly plane nor pla
ed in a plane stri
tly perpendi
ular to the optical axis, this condition is not a *priori* satisfied. This is evidenced in Figure 3 where a first Stokes intensity image I_x is plotted (Fig. 3.a) and can be compared with a second intensity image $I_{+45\degree}$ obtained after rotating the polarizer by 45° angle (Fig. 3.b). As can be clearly seen, the spe
kle intensity pattern observed is obviously different. At this level, if wavefront distortions caused by PA rotation were to be ignored, such modification of the spe
kle intensity repartition ould erroneously be interpreted as a signature of different SOPs across the spe
kle grains observed. Indeed, images of Figure 3 were recorded on a metallic slab sample. For such non depolarizing material, we have he
ked that the SOP after reflection is strictly identical to the illumination state. Consequently, the spe
kle intensity pattern must have different intensity levels but the same spatial repartition for ea
h Stokes intensity measurement. Sin
e this is clearly not the case in Fig. 3.b, one has to act on the fine PA orientation adjustments to recover the initial wavefront (or at least minimize the distortions). Acting very slightly and accurately on the three degrees of freedom of the polarization analyzer (2 rotations about \vec{e}_x and \vec{e}_y and the translation of the lens L_1 along \vec{e}_z), it is indeed possible to re
over the initial spe
kle pattern reasonably well, as can be seen in Fig. 3.c. Such procedure will be referred to in the remainder of this article as *speckle pat*tern registration.

On
e eviden
ed the possibility of experimental spe
kle pattern registration, let us now detail the measurement proto
ol proposed to a
hieve Stokes imaging beyond the speckle grain scale. This protocol is based on the use of a metalli referen
e slab sample as follows:

- After a first intensity measurement, say I_x , is operated on a given sample, the metalli referen
e slab is inserted in front of the sample, in the vicinity of the sample surfa
e. The spe
kle intensity pattern formed by the light backscattered on the metallic slab is temporarily stored as a referen
e.
- Then the PA is rotated to the next configuration to measure, say $I_{+45\degree}$, thus inevitably causing wavefront distortions and hen
e modifying the urrent spe
kle intensity pattern.
- Registration of the speckle pattern is then carried out as des
ribed above, until the previously stored referen
e pattern is re
overed. A oarse registration is first operated by trying to adjust the bright speckle grains, then a fine tuning is done by minimizing numerical criteria (spatial average of the whole difference image between the reference spe
kle pattern image and the urrent image (normalized in terms of average intensity) on the one hand, and root mean square of this difference image on the other hand). On
e these two indi
ators are minimized, we an warrant that the initial wavefront has been re
overed with minimum distortion.
- The metallic slab is then removed and the next image $(I_{+45\degree}$ in this example) can be eventually acquired on the sample under test.

To get a full hara
terization of the Stokes ve
tor in ea
h point of a highly resolved spe
kle pattern, the above proto
ol has to be followed between ea
h Stokes intensity measurement to ensure that the same spe
kle pattern is analyzed all along the 6 a
quisitions. This registration proto
ol an be quite long and tedious but is unavoidable. It must be noted that the speckle pattern might sometimes not be recovered if the mechanical or thermal drifts are not properly cancelled, as will be shown in the following subse
tion.

An illustration of the quality of spe
kle registration obtained during 4 successive Stokes measurements $I_{+45^{\circ}}, I_{-45^{\circ}}, I_R, I_L$, is given in Figure 4. It can be observed that the registration pro
edure allows the spe
kle pattern to be re
overed when the sample is non depolarizing (metallic reference) with various orientations of the PA.

D. Air flow and thermal effects

To minimize wavefront aberrations aused by air turbulence, the whole setup (apart from the laser) is packaged in a Plexiglas housing. However, modifications of the PA configuration and adjustment of the mount during spe
kle registration are done manually and it is thus necessary to keep a part of the housing open during the measurement pro
ess. The evolution during half an hour of the intensity of ten pixels arbitrarily hosen on a resolved spe
kle intensity pattern obtained on a metalli sample is plotted in Figure 5. During this experiment, the setup has been disturbed by some actions at various times indi
ated with verti
al dotted lines in Figure 5. It an be seen that the spe
kle pattern is highly sensitive to these actions, indicating that handling of the PA mehani
al mount must be operated with are. Moreover, we were able to measure a typical stabilization time of 50 seconds for the speckle field to stabilize to its initial pattern. This time was then onsidered as the typi
al time to wait between any intervention on the setup and the next measurement.

Besides, sin
e imaging of the sample is operated through a small aperture (200 μ m pinhole), it is thus ne
essary to illuminate the sample with a laser power of a few hundreds of milli Watts to warrant sufficient signalto-noise ratio on the detector. Taking into account the illumination spot diameter of 3.5 m, the illumination intensity is around 10 mW/cm². Despite this relatively moderate value, we found that thermal effects occur in the samples which undergo sufficient mechanical dilatation at a microscopic scale to alter the speckle interference intensity pattern acquired on the detector. It can indeed be seen in Fig. 5 that thermalization of the sample takes some time on a metallic slab (typically >10 minutes). This thermalization an be longer or even never rea
hed on other samples, as illustrated in Figure 6 on a cardboard sheet sample. In this figure, the time evolution of the intensity of ten pixels in a resolved spe
kle intensity pattern is re
orded during 30 minutes. As one an see, the spe
kle intensity pattern shows important variations during all the experiment, whi
h are also imputed to bad me
hani
al stability of the sample.

These experimental results indicate that the measurement of Stokes parameters on a highly resolved spe
kle intensity pattern requires a sufficient preliminary illumination time for the sample to thermalize (and the spe
kle pattern to stabilize). In addition, the samples have to show good thermal and mechanical stability to optimize the stability of the observed spe
kle pattern. For these reasons, the samples used in the following experiments have been deposited on a heavy marble blo
k to help heat dissipation and to ensure mechanical stability. In these conditions, an acceptable stability of the speckle pattern an be obtained, whi
h has been he
ked experimentally. It can indeed be observed in Figure 7 that after a 14 minutes $(840 s)$ thermalization of a diffusive red paint sample deposited on a heavy marble blo
k, the grays
ale values of the ten pixels do not evolve by more than 5 % for 6 minutes.

3. Des
ription of the experiment

To illustrate the possibilities offered by the experimental setup and proto
ol des
ribed in the previous se
tion, different experiments have been carried out by considering different samples with distinct scattering properties. Before displaying the experimental results obtained in Section 4, we describe the samples considered as well as their "macroscopic" (large spatial scale) depolarization properties given by the measurement of the Stokes parameters on non-resolved spe
kle patterns.

A. Samples sele
tion

The samples onsidered have been sele
ted for their distin
t depolarization properties. First, a metalli slab has been used as a referen
e sample to he
k the validity of the method be
ause of the well-known polarimetri behavior of su
h material. Next, two samples with distinct scattering characteristics have been designed for the purpose of the experiment by depositing a red and a green paint on a heavy marble blo
k to warrant heat dissipation and me
hani
al stability as mentionned in the previous se
tion.

On the one hand, in the ase of the red paint sample, the green illuminating light $(\lambda=532 \text{ nm})$ is rapidly absorbed at the sample surface. As a consequence, the small amount of ba
ks
attered light predominantly omes from surface reflection with a quasi-normal incidence angle and the incident SOP is thus maintained [35]. This is sketched in Fig. 8.a and this sample can thus be considered as non-depolarizing. On the other hand, in the case of the green paint sample, incident light is much less absorbed, enabling multiple scattering to occur in the bulk of the material, as illustrated in Fig. 8.b $[35]$. Su
h a volume s
attering regime highly depolarizes the incident light, as will be checked in the next subsection. These two samples will make it possible to ompare the effect of two different types of scattering on the local polarization below the spe
kle grain s
ale in the next section.

В. Large scale polarimetric characterization of speckle patterns

Large scale polarimetric characterization of the speckle fields backscattered by each sample has been carried out by measuring the values of the DOP and of the OSC in a measurement configuration where the speckle is not resolved, i.e., with each pixel of the camera averaging several spe
kle grains, as in standard oherent polarimetri imaging setups. For this purpose, we removed the pinhole in order to de
rease spe
kle grains dimension below the pixel size. In this configuration, one can estimate how many spe
kle grains are averaged per pixel by determining the spe
kle ontrast when ba
ks
attered light is fully polarized, which is given by [1]

$$
c = \frac{\langle I \rangle}{\sigma_I},\tag{5}
$$

where $\langle I \rangle = \langle S_0 \rangle$ is the mean intensity computed over the whole image and $\sigma_I = \sigma_{S_0}$ is the standard deviation of the intensity. In this configuration, we measured a speckle contrast of 2.5, meaning that each pixel contains 2.5 spe
kle grains in average. We emphasize that in that case, as in standard coherent polarimetric imaging setups, the measurement of the Stokes parameters an be operated without the need of any spe
kle registration pro
edure. Indeed, un
ontrolled wavefront distortions occurring during the measurement are wiped out by spatial averaging of several speckle grains in each pixel. Moreover, the Stokes vectors were estimated on homogeneous regions of 100 pixels (square regions of 10×10 pixels), thus increasing the effect of spatial averaging. Table 1 gives the results of the estimation on 420 subregions of 100 pixels of the normalized Stokes parameters $S_1 = OSC$, S_2 , S_3 for each sample.

It can be noted that the values of the DOP and the OSC are similar for the metalli referen
e and the red paint sample. This confirms that these two materials share a similar non-depolarizing action and thus illustrates that light does not undergo multiple s
attering in a surfa
e s
attering regime. For the green sample, the value of the DOP and of the OSC are mu
h lower, whi
h is in agreement with the expe
ted depolarizing behavior of this sample due to volume s
attering of light in the bulk of the material.

This large scale polarimetric characterization given in Table 1 will now be compared in the next section with polarimetri measurements beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale obtained with the setup and proto
ol presented in Section 2. More particularly, this preliminary characterization will allow us to analyze how "global" depolarization occurs from a spatial averaging of locally well-defined polarization states.

4. Results and dis
ussion

Stokes imaging beyond the speckle grain scale $A₁$

Let us now present the experimental results of Stokes imaging beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale obtained with the setup and protocol detailed in Section 2. These experimental a
quisitions were ondu
ted on the three samples des
ribed in the previous se
tion. For ea
h sample, 6 intensity images were recorded with a careful speckle registration step between ea
h image a
quisition, as described in Section 2.

Reference metallic slab: For the sake of concision, we only present the raw images obtained on the referen
e metalli slab sample in Figure 9. An example of raw image I_x acquired with a horizontal linear polarization dire
tion is given in Fig. 9.a. A region of interest (ROI) of 200×150 pixels is then selected in the initial image. In Fig. 9.b, the first Stokes image S_0 is plotted and represents the total intensity of the spe
kle pattern as $S_0 = I_x + I_y$. One can notice that each speckle grain covers approximately a thousand pixels. Fig. 9.c shows the computed second normalized Stokes parameter S_1 , which is equal to the OSC, as shown in Eq. (3). The third and fourth Stokes images are respectively plotted in Fig. 9.d and 9.e, and are finally used to determine the experimental DOP map, whi
h is given in Fig. 9.f.

As expe
ted, the values of the OSC and of the DOP are close to 1 across the ROI considered, while S_2 and S_3 maps are close to null values. This is particularly well verified in areas exhibiting a high intensity (bright spe
kle spots). Conversely, it de
reases in the low intensity areas where DOP values exceeding 1 can be observed, thus revealing experimental biases in the measurement in spite of experimental care in the speckle registration pro
edure. As a onsequen
e, these areas in the image should not be analyzed sin
e the signal to noise ratio is too low to draw any on
lusion.

Other samples: The experimental results obtained on the two other samples onsidered are given in Figure 10 which provides the total intensity image (Stokes parameter S_0 as well as the computed OSC and DOP maps on a 200×150 pixels ROI. It can be checked in Fig. 10.a, Fig. 10.
, and Fig. 10.e that the red sample exhibits a behavior strongly similar to the metallic sample with DOP and OSC values lose to 1 in high intensity areas. This non depolarizing behavior is in agreement with the expe
ted surface scattering regime, and with the large-scale polarimetri measurements presented in Subse
tion B. Moreover, this figure thus confirms experimentally that incident polarization is maintained during interaction with the material in a surfa
e s
attering regime.

In the case of the green paint sample, it can first be observed in Fig. 10.d that the SOP of light is well-defined within each coherence area (speckle grain) since the value of the DOP remains lose to 1 in ea
h pixel in a bright speckle spot. This is in agreement with theoretical predi
tions and previous experimental hints obtained from multiple-s
ale analyses of non resolved spe
kle intensity patterns $[23, 25-27]$. By contrast, unlike the red paint and metallic samples, it can be seen in Fig. 10.f that for the green paint sample, the initial polarization is not ne
essarily maintained in all the coherence areas since OSC values significantly lower than unity can be observed in areas orresponding to bright spe
kle spots with DOP values close to 1.

In the next subse
tion, these experimental results are analyzed with more details through the mapping of the spatial repartition of the SOPs on Poin
aré's sphere.

B. Analysis of polarization states spatial repartition

To omplement the study of the spatial repartition of lo
al SOPs within the spe
kle pattern, it is possible to map the normalized Stokes omponents of ea
h pixel on a Poin
aré's sphere as shown in Figure 11. In Fig. 11.a, the repartition of the pixels SOP obtained on the reference metallic slab in the whole ROI defined in Fig. 9.a is plotted on Poin
aré's sphere, whereas Fig. 11.b and 11. represent the pixels SOP in sub-ROIs 1 and 2 defined in Fig. 9.b. The olors asso
iated with ea
h point on the sphere denote the total intensity (parameter S_0) of the orresponding pixel, in agreement with pixel olors of Fig. 9.b. As a consequence, a larger degree of confidence an be granted to the red points, orresponding to the pixels of the bright spe
kle grains but the SOPs for the darkest pixels plotted in blue must be interpreted warily due to significant experimental noise. In addition, the red circle corresponds to the mean value of the Stokes vector estimated in the whole region of interest whereas the black circle shows the value of the Stokes vector determined from the preliminary large s
ale polarimetri hara
terization presented in Subse
tion B and given in Table 1. From Figures 11.b and 11.c, it can be observed that the two spe
kle grains share the same SOP, orresponding almost perfe
tly to the in
ident illumination polarimetric state and to the "global" polarimetric state observed after spatial averaging (Fig. 11.a). This on firms the non-depolarizing behavior of this metallic reference sample as every single speckle is in the same polarization state. We highlight again that the important dispersion of the SOPs plotted in blue is due to important experimental noise in darkest regions of the spe
kle patterns.

A similar behavior is confirmed for the red paint sample by analyzing the polarimetric repartition over Poin
aré's sphere given in Fig. 11.d for the whole ROI and in Fig. 11.e and 11.f for sub-ROIs 1 and 2 defined in Fig. 10.a. As is the ase for the metalli sample, the two spe
kle grains sele
ted in sub-ROIS 1 and 2 share the same SOP, mat
hing with the illumination polarization, and the "global" polarimetric state measured after spatial averaging.

In the ase of the green paint sample, the analysis of the spatial mapping of SOPs over Poin
aré's sphere is more interesting. It can first be checked that after averaging over the whole ROI, the mean polarimetric state represented by the red circle in Fig. 11.g is in agreement with the "global" polarization measured in Subsection B after spatial averaging (black circle). Averaging over the ROI indeed yields a globally depolarized sate $(DOP=0.44$ in average from Table 1), corresponding to a point lying inside Poin
aré's sphere. However, at a single speckle grain scale, the SOP is well defined. Indeed, as an be seen in Figures 11.h and Fig. 11.i whi
h orrespond respe
tively to the SOP of the pixels in sub-ROIs 1 and 2 defined in Fig. 10.b, the DOP is close to 1 and the polarization state lies on the surfa
e of Poin
aré's sphere. In addition, ontrarily to the previous samples, one an see that the two spe
kle grains onsidered exhibit different SOPs, which do not exactly resemble the illumination polarization state. Su
h behavior is a onsequence of multiple scattering occurring in the bulk of the sample in the ase of the green paint sample, as explained in Se
tion A.

These results thus represent a clear experimental evidence in the optical domain and beyond the speckle grain scale that light is fully polarized at a local scale, whatever the s
attering regime onsidered (surfa
e or bulk). As suggested by previous results obtained from a statisti
al analyses of polarization states on non-resolved spe
kle patterns $[23, 27]$, global depolarization properties stem from a spatial averaging of local SOPs over several coherence areas. Using the experimental results gathered, we analyze this property in the next subse
tion.

Multiple-scale analysis of the degree of polarization C .

A multiple-s
ale analysis of the degree of polarization has been ondu
ted on the highly resolved Stokes images measured. The results are summarized in Table 2 which contains the values of the DOP and the OSC averaged over the whole ROI after lo
al binning with different binning pitches. For instance, a binning pitch of 30 means that 33 blocks of 30×30 pixels have been averaged in a ROI of 200×150 pixels. As the binning pitch is in
reased, it an be seen that DOP and OSC values tend to the values measured in Se
tion B (see Table 1), which is in agreement with previous works $[23, 27]$. This result, which is better evidenced in the case of the green paint sample, thus tends to confirm that the global depolarizing behavior emerges from a spatial averaging of varying polarization states, well-defined at a local scale.

D. SOP mapping across speckle pattern - Observation of an adiabati polarization transition

To illustrate the potentialities offered by the setup and proto
ol proposed in this paper, let us lastly present how the spatial evolution of the SOP an be mapped along a geometri
al path a
ross the spe
kle pattern studied. Let us for instance consider the two contiguous speckle grains corresponding to the sub-ROIs defined for the green paint sample in Fig. 10.b. This ase is indeed interesting since it has been checked in the previous section and in Fig. 11 that these two spe
kle grains exhibit different SOPs but a DOP lose to unity. With the setup proposed, it is possible to study how this polarization evolves from one spe
kle grain to the other. The data plotted in Fig. 12.a on Poin
aré's sphere orresponds to the evolution of the SOP along the bla
k dotted line drawn in Fig. 10.b. On the sphere, the dark points orrespond to the pixels located at the beginning of the line and the bright points to those lo
ated at the tip of the bla
k dashed arrow of Fig. 10.b. The OSC and DOP measured along this line are also plotted respectively with dashed and plain line in Fig. 12.b. From these results, we can see that the spatial SOP transition between two spe
kle grains is ontinuous, whi
h is onsistent with previous works [9, 36]. In the situation considered, the in
ident illumination SOP whi
h is almost maintained in the first speckle grain then rapidly evolves towards a distin
t polarization state whi
h does not resemble the initial laser polarization. Nevertheless, this evolution is shown to be adiabatic so that the SOP follows a continuous trajectory along the surface of Poincaré's sphere.

5. Con
lusion

In this arti
le, we addressed the experimental issue of oherent a
tive Stokes imaging beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale, i.e., on a highly resolved spe
kle pattern with ea
h spe
kle grain overing several hundreds or thousands of pixels. The numerous experimental difficulties encountered to a
hieve su
h a measurement have been identified and solved to design the first realization, to the best of our knowledge, of a polarimetric imaging setup dedi
ated to su
h measurement. In addition, we proposed an experimental proto
ol able to warrant a onstant wavefront during the entire measurement process. We demonstrated that the setup and the speckle registration proto
ol proposed allow the measurement of the full Stokes vector to be achieved in each pixel of a highly resolved spe
kle pattern. After a validation of the te
hnique on a metalli referen
e sample exhibiting a wellknown non-depolarizing behavior, experimental Stokes imaging beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale has been demonstrated on two scattering samples exhibiting different scattering regimes (surface and bulk). The influence of these distinct scattering regimes on the local polarization state of light has been studied and ommented, and the on
lusions obtained are in agreement with previous experimental works using a statisti
al analysis on moderately resolved spe
kle pattern. Lastly, these experiments allowed us to experimentally demonstrate in the opti al domain that the polarization transition between two ontiguous spe
kles follows a ontinuous and adiabati evolution.

There are various perspectives to this work among which we can cite a more thorough study of SOP transitions between adja
ent spe
kle grains and the analysis of polarimetri orrelation lengths in regard to spe
kle intensity oheren
e areas. The investigation of the in fluence of the source wavelength and coherence on polarization beyond the speckle grain scale is currently under progress. Besides, the analysis of specific samples exhibiting non standard scattering properties is also an interesting perspe
tive. From an appli
ative point of view, we are quite onvin
ed that exploring the polarization properties at a microscopic scale could lead to a more acurate understanding of the physi
s happening in opti
al systems or techniques using the speckle $[6, 7, 16-19, 21]$ and thus might help in optimizing these systems. All these perspectives would benefit from the development of more robust experimental designs, by automating the spe
kle registration optimization stage for instan
e (programmable a
tuators, liquidrystal polarimetri devices, ...) or even using a four-arm division of amplitude system with appropriate phase plates/polarizers and alibration in order to perform simultaneous imaging of the four Stokes omponents.

Referen
es

- 1. J. W. Goodman, Spe
kle phenomena: Theory and Appli
ations (Roberts & Company Publishers, Greenwood village, 2007).
- 2. M. I. Skolnik, Introdu
tion to Radar Systems : Third Edition (M Graw-Hill, 2001).
- 3. J. K. Jao, "Amplitude distribution of composite terrain radar clutter and the K-distribution," IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation $AP-32$, 1049-1062 (1984).
- 4. N. Garcia and A. Z. Genack, "Crossover to strong intensity orrelation for mi
rowave radiation in random media," Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1678-1681 (1989).
- 5. P. Sheng, Introdu
tion to wave s
attering, lo
alization and mesoscopic phenomena, 2nd ed. (Springer, 2006).
- 6. I. Freund, "Time-reversal symmetry and image reconstruction through multiple-scattering media," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 9, 456-463 (1992).
- 7. S. M. Popoff, G. Lerosey, R. Carminati, M. Fink, A. C. Boccara, and S. Gigan, "Measuring the transmission matrix in optics: An approach to the study and control of light propagation in disordered media," Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 100601 (2010).
- 8. W. H. Peeters, J. J. D. Moerman, and M. P. van Exter, "Observation of two-photon speckle patterns," Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 173601 (2010).
- 9. O. V. Angelsky, I. I. Mokhun, A. I. Mokhun, and M. S. Soskin, "Interferometric methods in diagnostics of polarization singularities," Phys. Rev. E 65 , 036602 (2002).
- 10. M. Alouini, F. Goudail, A. Grisard, J. Bourderionnet, D. Dolfi, A. Bénière, I. Baarstad, T. Løke, P. Kaspersen, X. Normandin, and G. Berginc, "Near-infrared active polarimetri and multispe
tral laboratory demonstrator for target detection," Appl. Opt. 48, 1610-1618 (2009).
- 11. A. Bénière, M. Alouini, F. Goudail, and D. Dolfi, "Design and experimental validation of a snapshot polarization contrast imager," Appl. Opt. 48, 5764-5773 (2009).
- 12. D. Léger, E. Mathieu, and J. C. Perrin, "Optical surface roughness determination using speckle correlation technique," Appl. Opt. 14, 872-877 (1975).
- 13. M. Lehman, J. A. Pomarico, and R. D. Torroba, "Digital spe
kle pattern interferometry applied to a surfa
e roughness study," Optical Engineering 34, 1148-1152 (1995).
- 14. R. A. Sprague, "Surface roughness measurement using white light speckle," Appl. Opt. 11, 2811-2817 (1972).
- 15. N. Andrés, M. P. Arroyo, H. Hinri
hs, and M. Quintanilla, "Digital speckle-pattern interferometry as a fullfield fluid-velocimetry technique," Optics Letters 24, 575577 (1999).
- 16. I. Freund, "Stokes-vector reconstruction," Opt. Lett. 15, 1425-1427 (1990).
- 17. M. Roche, J. Fade, and P. Réfrégier, "Parametric estimation of the square degree of polarization from two intensity images degraded by fully developed speckle noise," J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 24, 2719-2727 (2007).
- 18. P. Réfrégier, J. Fade, and M. Roche, "Estimation precision of the degree of polarization from a single spe
kle intensity image," Opt. Lett. $32, 739-741$ (2007).
- 19. J. Fade, M. Ro
he, and M. Alouini, Computational polarization imaging from a single speckle image," Optics Letters 88 (2012).
- 20. J. Sorrentini, M. Zerrad, G. Soriano, and C. Amra, "Enpolarization of light by scattering media," Opt. Express 19, $21313 - 21320$ (2011).
- 21. N. Curry, P. Bondareff, M. Leclercq, N. F. van Hulst, R. Sapienza, S. Gigan, and S. Grésillon, "Direct determination of diffusion properties of random media from speckle contrast," Opt. Lett. 36, 3332-3334 (2011).
- 22. T. Setälä, A. Shev
henko, M. Kaivola, and A. T. Friberg, "Polarization time and length for random optical beams," Phys. Rev. A 78, 033817 (2008).
- 23. J. Broky and A. Dogariu, Complex degree of mutual polarization in randomly scattered fields," Opt. Express 18, $20105 - 20113$ (2010).
- 24. J. Broky and A. Dogariu, Correlations of polarization in random electro-magnetic fields," Opt. Express 19, $15711 - 15719$ (2011) .
- 25. J. Li, G. Yao, and L. V. Wang, "Degree of polarization in laser spe
kles from turbid media: impli
ation in tissue optics," Journal of Biomedical Optics 7, 307-312 (2002).
- 26. C. Amra, M. Zerrad, L. Siozade, G. Georges, and C. Deumié, "Partial polarization of light induced by random defects at surfaces or bulks," Opt. Express 16, 10372-10383 (2008).
- 27. M. Zerrad, J. Sorrentini, G. Soriano, and C. Amra, Gradual loss of polarization in light s
attered from rough surfaces: Electromagnetic prediction," Opt. Express 18, $15832 - 15843$ (2010).
- 28. J. Ellis and A. Dogariu, "Complex degree of mutual polarization," Opt. Lett. 29, 536-538 (2004).
- 29. O. Korotkova and E. Wolf, "Generalized Stokes parameters of random electromagnetic beams," Opt. Lett. 30, $198-200$ (2005) .
- 30. J. Tervo, T. Setälä, A. Roueff, P. Réfrégier, and A. T. Friberg, Two-point stokes parameters: interpretation and properties," Opt. Lett. 34, 3074-3076 (2009).
- 31. E. Collett, Polarized light: fundamentals and applications (Dekker, New-York, 1993).
- 32. S. Breugnot and P. Clémenceau, "Modeling and performances of a polarization active imager at $\lambda = 806$ nm," Opt. Eng. 39, 2681-2688 (2000).
- 33. S. Zhang, Y. D. Lo
kerman, J. Park, and A. Z. Genack, "Interplay between generic and mesoscopic spe
kle statisti
s in transmission through random media," Journal of Optics A: Pure and Applied Optics 11, 094018 (2009).
- 34. E. Compain, S. Poirier, and B. Drevillon, "General and selfonsistent method for the alibration of polarization modulators, polarimeters, and mueller-matrix ellipsometers," Appl. Opt. 38, 3490-3502 (1999).
- 35. M. Alouini, F. Goudail, N. Roux, L. Le Hors, P. Hartemann, S. Breugnot, and D. Dolfi, "Active spectro-polarimetric imaging: signature modeling, imaging demonstrator and target detection," The European Physical Journal - Applied Physics 42, 129-139 (2008).
- 36. M. S. Soskin, V. Denisenko, and I. Freund, "Optical polarization singularities and elliptic stationary points," Opt. Lett. 28, 1475-1477 (2003).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Experimental setup (See text for details).

Figure 2: Schematic description of the PA in a linear analyzer configuration. The PA mechanical mount provides two angular degrees of freedom (rotation θ about axis \vec{e}_X and rotation ψ about axis \vec{e}_Y used during the spe
kle registration pro
edure.

Figure 2: Reference speckle intensity pattern (a) acquired on a metallic slab. Wavefront distortions caused by rotation of the PA modify the spe
kle intensity pattern (b) whi
h an however be fairly re
overed (
) after spe
kle registration.

Figure 3: Contour plots: speckle intensity patterns observed after spe
kle registration with 4 orientations of the PA on a metallic reference slab. Grayscale background image: total intensity image S_0 .

Figure 4: Time evolution of the intensity of ten pixels in a spe
kle intensity pattern with perturbations when the sample is a metallic slab. 1: cover is opened and air is blown inside. 2: 360° rotation about \vec{e}_z of the PA. 3: 360 $^{\circ}$ rotation about \vec{e}_y of the PA.

Figure 5: Time evolution (30 mins) of the intensity of ten pixels when the sample is a ardboard sheet sample.

Figure 6: Time evolution (20 mins) of the intensity of ten pixels when the sample is a red paint deposited on a heavy marble blo
k.

Figure 7: Schematic illustration of (a) surface and (b) volume s
attering regimes obtained by s
attering of a green illumination on red and green samples respe
tively. The white arrows symbolize the electric field polarization direction.

Figure 8: Stokes imaging of a spe
kle intensity pattern obtained on the metalli referen
e slab. (a) : example of raw image acquisition (I_x) and selection of the ROI. From the 6 a
quisitions, the 4 Stokes images are determined: (b) First Stokes image S_0 (total intensity). Two sub-ROIs are defined to compare the SOP in distinct speckle grains; (c) Second normalized Stokes image S_1 ; (d) Third normalized Stokes image S_2 ; (e) Fourth normalized Stokes image S_3 ; (f) Degree of polarization. Total intensity repartition is indicated in contour plots in $(c), (d), (e)$ and (f) thumbnails.

Figure 9: Stokes imaging beyond the speckle grain scale of a spe
kle intensity pattern obtained on a red paint sample $[(a), (c)$ and $(e)]$ and a green paint sample $[(b),$ (d) and (f). (a), (b): First Stokes image S_0 (total intensity). Two sub-ROIs are defined to compare the SOP in distinct speckle grains; (c), (d): Map of the computed DOP ; (e), (f): Map of the computed OSC. Total intensity repartition is indicated in contour plots in (c) , (d) , (e) and (f) thumbnails.

Figure 10: Repartition of the pixels SOP on Poin
aré's sphere for a metallic sample (first line), a red paint sample (se
ond line) and a green paint sample (third line). First column: SOP in the whole ROI; Second column: SOP in sub-ROI 1 ; Third olumn: SOP in sub-ROI 2. Sub-ROIs are respectively defined in Fig. 9.b, Fig. 10.a and Fig. 10.b.

Figure 11: Observation of the adiabatic polarization state transition along the geometrical path defined in Fig. 10.b between two adja
ent spe
kle grains on the green paint sample exhibiting bulk s
attering regime ; (a) Evolution of the SOP on the Poin
aré's sphere; (b) Evolution of the OSC (dotted line) and DOP (plain line).

Fig. 1. Experimental setup (See text for details).

Fig. 2. S
hemati des
ription of the PA in a linear analyzer configuration. The PA mechanical mount provides two angular degrees of freedom (rotation θ about axis \vec{e}_X and rotation $\check{\psi}$ about axis \vec{e}_Y) used during the speckle registration pro
edure.

Fig. 3. Referen
e spe
kle intensity pattern (a) a
quired on a metallic slab. Wavefront distortions caused by rotation of the PA modify the spe
kle intensity pattern (b) which can however be fairly recovered (c) after speckle registration.

Fig. 4. Contour plots: spe
kle intensity patterns observed after spe
kle registration with 4 orientations of the PA on a metalli referen
e slab. Grays
ale ba
kground image: total intensity image S_0 .

Fig. 5. Time evolution of the intensity of ten pixels in a spe
kle intensity pattern with perturbations when the sample is a metallic slab. 1: cover is opened and air is blown inside. 2: 360° rotation about \vec{e}_z of the PA. 3: 360° rotation about \vec{e}_y of the PA.

Fig. 6. Time evolution (30 mins) of the intensity of ten pixels when the sample is a ardboard sheet sample.

Fig. 7. Time evolution (20 mins) of the intensity of ten pixels when the sample is a red paint deposited on a heavy marble blo
k.

Fig. 8. S
hemati illustration of (a) surfa
e and (b) volume s
attering regimes obtained by s
attering of a green illumination on red and green samples respectively. The white arrows symbolize the electric field polarization direction.

Fig. 9. Stokes imaging of a spe
kle intensity pattern obtained on the metalli referen
e slab. (a) : example of raw image acquisition (I_x) and selection of the ROI. From the 6 a
quisitions, the 4 Stokes images are determined: (b) First Stokes image S_0 (total intensity). Two sub-ROIs are defined to compare the SOP in distinct speckle grains ; (c) Second normalized Stokes image S₁; (d) Third normalized Stokes image S_2 ; (e) Fourth normalized Stokes image S_3 ; (f) Degree of polarization. Total intensity repartition is indicated in contour plots in $(c), (d), (e)$ and (f) thumbnails.

Fig. 10. Stokes imaging beyond the spe
kle grain s
ale of a spe
kle intensity pattern obtained on a red paint sample $[(a), (c)$ and $(e)]$ and a green paint sample $[(b),$ (d) and (f)]. (a), (b): First Stokes image S_0 (total intensity). Two sub-ROIs are defined to compare the SOP in distinct speckle grains; (c), (d): Map of the computed DOP ; (e), (f): Map of the computed OSC. Total intensity repartition is indicated in contour plots in (c) , (d) , (e) and (f) thumbnails.

Fig. 11. Repartition of the pixels SOP on Poincaré's sphere for a metallic sample (first line), a red paint sample (se
ond line) and a green paint sample (third line). First olumn: SOP in the whole ROI; Se
ond olumn: SOP in sub-ROI 1; Third column: SOP in sub-ROI 2. Sub-ROIs are respectively defined in Fig. 9.b, Fig. 10.a and Fig. 10.b.

Fig. 12. Observation of the adiabati polarization state transition along the geometrical path defined in Fig. 10.b between two adja
ent spe
kle grains on the green paint sample exhibiting bulk s
attering regime ; (a) Evolution of the SOP on the Poin
aré's sphere; (b) Evolution of the OSC (dotted line) and DOP (plain line).

TABLE CAPTIONS

Table 1: Large scale polarimetric characterization of light ba
ks
attered by the 3 samples onsidered. A maximum standard deviation of 0.02 was obtained over these 12 estimated values (Estimation on 420 homogeneous regions of 100 pixels).

Table 2: Average value of the DOP and of the OSC for different binning pitches. The estimated value and the orresponding standard-deviations were estimated in the whole ROI on a varying number of binned regions.

Sample	DOP	$S_1 = OSC$	S_2	S_{3}
Metal slab	0.97	0.96	0.12	
Red paint	0.95	0.94	0.16	-0.01
Green paint	0.44	0.43	0.07	-0.01

Table 1. Large s
ale polarimetri hara
terization of light ba
ks
attered by the 3 samples onsidered. A maximum standard deviation of 0.02 was obtained over these 12 estimated values (Estimation on 420 homogeneous regions of 100 pixels).

Sample	Bin.	Binned	DOP		OSC	
	pitch	areas	\cdot .	σ	$\ddot{}$	σ
metal	3	3333	1.00	0.23	0.85	0.17
slab	10	300	0.97	0.16	0.86	0.14
	30	33	0.93	0.06	0.91	0.07
red	3	3333	0.80	0.18	0.69	0.23
paint	10	300	0.80	0.16	0.71	0.21
	30	33	0.80	0.10	0.77	0.12
green	3	3333	0.78	0.30	0.38	0.47
paint	10	300	0.76	0.28	0.39	0.45
	30	33	0.61	0.24	0.41	0.36

Table 2. Average value of the DOP and of the OSC for different binning pitches. The estimated value and the orresponding standard-deviations were estimated in the whole ROI on a varying number of binned regions.