

# **On the product formula on non-compact Grassmannians** Piotr Graczyk, Patrice Sawyer

### **To cite this version:**

Piotr Graczyk, Patrice Sawyer. On the product formula on non-compact Grassmannians. 2012. hal-00759238ff

# **HAL Id: hal-00759238 <https://hal.science/hal-00759238v1>**

Preprint submitted on 30 Nov 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## On the product formula on non-compact Grassmannians

P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer

#### Abstract

We study the absolute continuity of the convolution  $\delta_{e^X}^{\sharp} \star \delta_{e^Y}^{\sharp}$  of two orbital measures on the symmetric space  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p,q)/\mathbf{SO}(p) \times \mathbf{SO}(q)$ ,  $q > p$ . We prove sharp conditions on X,  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$  for the existence of the density of the convolution measure. This measure intervenes in the product formula for the spherical functions. We show that the sharp criterion developed for  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p,q)/\mathbf{SO}(p)\times \mathbf{SO}(q)$  will also serve for the spaces  $\mathbf{SU}(p,q)/\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}(p)\times \mathbf{U}(q))$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}(p,q)/\mathbf{Sp}(p)\times \mathbf{Sp}(q), q>p$ . We also apply our results to the study of absolute continuity of convolution powers of an orbital measure  $\delta_{eX}^{\natural}$ .

### 1 Introduction

The spaces  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p)\times SO(q)$  where  $q>p$  (which we will assume throughout the paper), are the noncompact duals of real Grassmannians. They are Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type corresponding to root systems of type ====⇒ $B_p \Leftarrow$ ====. The harmonic analysis on these spaces has been intensely developed in recent years ([1, 13, 14, 15]).

We use throughout the paper the usual notations of the harmonic analysis on Riemannian symmetric spaces. The books [9, 10] constitute a standard reference on these topics.

Let X,  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$  and let  $m_K$  denote the Haar measure of the group K. We define  $\delta_{e^X}^{\natural} =$  $m_K \star \delta_{e^X} \star m_K$ . The question of the absolute continuity of the convolution  $\delta_{e^X}^{\sharp} \star \delta_{e^Y}^{\sharp}$  of two Kinvariant orbital measures that we address in our paper has important applications in harmonic analysis itself (the product formula for the spherical functions) and in probability theory (random walks,  $I_0$  characterization of Gaussian measures).

The spherical Fourier transform of the measure  $\delta_{e^X}^{\sharp}$  is equal to the spherical function  $\phi_{\lambda}(e^X)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a complex-valued linear form on a. Thus the product  $\phi_{\lambda}(e^X)\phi_{\lambda}(e^Y)$  is the spherical Fourier transform of the convolution  $m_{X,Y} = \delta_{e^X}^{\natural} \star \delta_{e^Y}^{\natural}$ . If we denote by  $\mu_{X,Y}$  the projection of the measure  $m_{X,Y}$  on a via the Cartan decomposition  $G = KAK$ , then

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(e^X) \phi_{\lambda}(e^Y) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} \phi_{\lambda}(e^H) d\mu_{X,Y}(H).
$$

Let  $\delta$  be the density of the invariant measure on a in polar coordinates. The existence of a kernel in the last product formula

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(e^X) \phi_{\lambda}(e^Y) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}^+} \phi_{\lambda}(e^H) k(H, X, Y) \delta(H) dH \tag{1}
$$

is equivalent to the absolute continuity of the measure  $\mu_{X,Y}$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure on a and to the existence of the density of  $m_{X,Y}$  on G, with respect to the invariant measure dg. When the formula (1) holds, we say that we have a product formula for X and  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Provided that  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ , the product formula (1) has been shown previously (see [2] in the rank one case,

<sup>∗</sup>Supported by l'Agence Nationale de la Recherche ANR-09-BLAN-0084-01.

AMS Subject Classification: 43A90, 53C35.

[3] in the complex case and [4] in the general case). In [4] we were able to relax these conditions and show that  $\mu_{X,Y}$  is absolutely continuous provided one of X or Y is in  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  as long as the other is nonzero. The density can however exist in some cases when both  $X$  and  $Y$  are singular. It is a challenging problem to characterize all such pairs  $X$  and  $Y$ .

This problem was solved in [7] for symmetric spaces with root system of type  $A_n$ . We solve it in this paper for the space  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p)\times SO(q)$ : we give a definition of an eligible pair  $(X, Y)$ (Definition 3) and next we prove the necessity (Proposition 5) and the sufficiency (Proposition 5 and Theorem 13) of this property for the absolute continuity of  $m_{X,Y}$ .

 $=$   $\Rightarrow$  By [3, 4], the density  $k(H, X, Y)$  exists if and only if  $\mathcal{S}_{X,Y} = a(e^X K e^Y)$ , the support of the measure  $\mu_{X,Y}$   $\Big|_{\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}}$ , has nonempty interior. Similarly, the density of the measure  $m_{X,Y}$  exists if and only if its support  $Ke^{X}Ke^{Y}K$  has nonempty interior as seen in [7]. These facts are crucial in the proofs of the results of this paper.

We show in Corollary 15 that the result for the space  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$  also implies the result for the spaces  $SU(p,q)/S(U(p) \times U(q))$  and  $Sp(p,q)/Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$ . We conclude the paper with two further applications of our main result. One of them is a characterization of an optimal convolution power l of the measure  $\delta_{e^X}^{\natural}$ , which is absolutely continuous for any  $X \neq 0$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Theorem 17 solves on non-compact Grassmannians a problem raised by Ragozin in [12].  $\Leftarrow == == ==$ 

### 2 Basic properties

We start by reviewing some useful information on the Lie group  $SO_0(p,q)$ , its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ and the corresponding root system. Most of this material was given in [15]. For the convenience of the reader, we gather below the properties we will need in the sequel.

In this paper,  $E_{ij}$  is a rectangular matrix with 0's everywhere except at at the position  $(i, j)$ where it is 1.

Recall that  $SO(p, q)$  is the group of matrices  $g \in SL(p+q, R)$  such that  $g^T I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}$  where  $I_{p,q}\,=\,$  $\begin{bmatrix} -I_p & 0_{p\times q} \end{bmatrix}$  $0_{q\times p}$   $I_q$ 1 . Unless otherwise specified, all  $2 \times 2$  block decompositions in this paper follow the same pattern.

The group  $SO_0(p,q)$  is the connected component of  $SO(p,q)$  containing the identity. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$  of  $\mathbf{SO}_0(p,q)$  consists of the matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ B^T & D \end{array}\right]
$$

where A and D are skew-symmetric.

A very important element in our investigations is the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$  and  $SO(p,q)$ . The maximal compact subgroup K is the subgroup of  $SO(p,q)$  consisting of the matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}A&0\\0&D\end{array}\right]
$$

of size  $(p+q) \times (p+q)$  such that  $A \in SO(p)$  and  $D \in SO(q)$  (hence  $K \simeq SO(p) \times SO(q)$ ). If  $\mathfrak{k}$ 

is the Lie algebra of  $K$  and  $\mathfrak p$  is the set of matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{array}\right]
$$

then the Cartan decomposition is given by  $\mathfrak{so}(p,q) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  with corresponding Cartan involution  $\theta(X) = -X^T$ .

The Cartan space  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  is the set of matrices

$$
H = \left[\begin{array}{ccc}0_{p\times p} & \mathcal{D}_H & 0_{p\times(q-p)}\\ \mathcal{D}_H & 0_{p\times p} & 0_{p\times(q-p)}\\ 0_{(q-p)\times p} & 0_{(q-p)\times p} & 0_{(q-p)\times(q-p)}\end{array}\right]
$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_H = \text{diag}[H_1, \ldots, H_p]$ . Its canonical basis is given by the matrices

$$
A_i := E_{i, p+i} + E_{p+i, i}, 1 \le i \le p.
$$

The restricted roots and associated root vectors for the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$  with respect to a are given in Table 1.



#### Table 1: Restricted roots and associated root vectors

The positive roots can be chosen as  $\alpha(H) = H_i \pm H_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq p$  and  $\alpha(H) = H_i$ ,  $i = 1$ ,  $\ldots$ , p. We therefore have the positive Weyl chamber

$$
\mathfrak{a}^+ = \{ H \in \mathfrak{a} : H_1 > H_2 > \cdots > H_p > 0 \}.
$$

The simple roots are given by  $\alpha_i(H) = H_i - H_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$  and  $\alpha_p(H) = H_p$ .

The action of the Weyl group. The elements of the Weyl group  $W$  act as permutations of the diagonal entries of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  with eventual sign changes of any number of these entries.

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak k$  is generated by the vectors  $X_\alpha + \theta X_\alpha$ . We will use the notation

$$
k_{X_{\alpha}}^t = e^{t(X_{\alpha} + \theta X_{\alpha})}
$$

.

The linear space p has a basis formed by  $A_i \in \mathfrak{a}, 1 \leq i \leq p$  and by the symmetric matrices  $X^s_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}(X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha)$  which have the following form

$$
X_{ir} := E_{i,2p+r} + E_{2p+r,i}; 1 \le i \le p, \qquad 1 \le r \le q-p;
$$
  
\n
$$
Y_{ij} := E_{i,p+j} + E_{j,p+i} + E_{p+j,i} + E_{p+i,j}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le p;
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_{ij} := E_{i,p+j} - E_{j,p+i} + E_{p+j,i} - E_{p+i,j}, \quad 1 \le i < j \le p.
$$

If we followed the notation of [7], we should write  $(X_{ir}^+)^s$ , etc. but we simplify the notation to  $X_{ir}$ ,  $Y_{ij}$  and  $Z_{ij}$ . If we write a matrix from the space p in the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & B_1 & B_2 \\ B_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ B_2^T & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]
$$

where  $B_1$  is a square  $p \times p$  matrix and  $B_2$  is a  $p \times (q - p)$  matrix, then the matrices

$$
\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & B_1 & 0 \\ B_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]
$$

are generated by the vectors  $A_i$  (for the diagonal entries of  $B_1$  and  $B_1^T$ ),  $Y_{ij}$  and  $Z_{ij}$  (for the non-diagonal entries), whereas the matrices

$$
\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & B_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ B_2^T & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]
$$

are spanned by the vectors  $X_{ir}$ .

We now recall the useful matrix  $S \in SO(p+q)$  which allows us to diagonalize simultaneously all the elements of a. Let

$$
S = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} I_p & 0_{p \times (q-p)} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} J_p \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} I_p & 0_{p \times (q-p)} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} J_p \\ 0_{(q-p) \times p} & I_{q-p} & 0_{(q-p) \times p} \end{bmatrix}
$$
  
where  $J_p = (\delta_{i,p+1-i})$  is a matrix of size  $p \times p$ . If  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_H & 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_H & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  with  $\mathcal{D}_H = \text{diag}[H_1, \dots, H_p]$ 

then  $S^T H S = \text{diag}[H_1, \ldots, H_p, \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{P, \ldots}, -H_p, \ldots, -H_1].$ The "group" version of this result is as follows:

$$
S^{T} e^{H} S = \text{diag}[e^{H_1}, \dots, e^{H_p}, \overbrace{1, \dots, 1}^{q-p}, e^{-H_p}, \dots, e^{-H_1}].
$$

**Remark 1** The Cartan projection  $a(q)$  on the group  $SO_0(p,q)$ , defined as usual by

$$
g = k_1 e^{a(g)} k_2, \quad a(g) \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}, k_1, k_2 \in K
$$

is related to the singular values of  $g \in SO(p,q)$  in the following way. Recall that the singular values of g are defined as the non-negative square roots of the eigenvalues of  $g^Tg$ . Let us write  $H = a(g)$ . We have

$$
g^T g = k_2^T e^{2H} k_2 = (k_2^T S) (S^T e^{2H} S) (S^T k_2)
$$

where  $S^T e^{2H} S$  is a diagonal matrix with nonzero entries  $e^{2H_1}, \ldots, e^{2H_p}$ ,  $q-p$  $\overline{1, \ldots, 1}, e^{-2H_p}, \ldots,$  $e^{-2H_1}$ . Let us write  $a_j = e^{H_j}$ . Thus the set of  $p + q$  singular values of g contains the value 1 repeated  $q-p$  times and the 2p values  $a_1, \ldots, a_p, a_1^{-1}, \ldots, a_p^{-1}$ .

Hence, in order to determine  $a(g)$ , we can compute the  $p+q$  singular values of  $g^Tg$  and omit  $q - p$  values 1 always appearing among them. The  $2p$  remaining singular values may be ordered  $a_1 \geq \ldots \geq a_p \geq a_p^{-1} \geq \ldots \geq a_1^{-1}$  with  $a_1 \geq \ldots \geq a_p \geq 1$ . Then

$$
a(g) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}_{a(g)} & 0 \\ \mathcal{D}_{a(g)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } \mathcal{D}_{a(g)} = \text{diag}[\log a_1, \dots, \log a_p]
$$

Summarizing, if for  $g \in SO(p,q) \subset SL(p+q)$  the  $SL(p+q)$ -Cartan decomposition writes  $g = k_1 e^{\tilde{a}(g)} k_2, k_1, k_2 \in SO(p+q),$  then  $\mathcal{D}_{a(g)} = \pi_p(\tilde{a}(g)),$  where  $\pi_p$  denotes the projection  $\pi_p(\text{diag}[h_1, \ldots, h_{p+q}]) = \text{diag}[h_1, \ldots, h_p].$ 

Singular elements of a. In what follows, we will consider singular elements  $X, Y \in \partial \mathfrak{a}^+$ . As in [7], we need to control the irregularity of  $X$  and  $Y$ , i.e. consider the simple positive roots annihilating X and Y. A special attention must be paid to the last simple root  $\alpha_p$ , different from the roots  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, p-1$ , that generate a root subsystem of type  $A_{p-1}$ . We introduce the following definition of the configuration of  $X \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ .

**Definition 2** Let  $X \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ . There exist nonnegative integers  $s_1 \geq 1, \ldots, s_r \geq 1, u \geq 0$  such that

$$
\mathcal{D}_X = \text{diag}[\ \overbrace{x_1,\ldots,x_1}^{s_1},\overbrace{x_2,\ldots,x_2}^{s_2},\ldots,\overbrace{x_r,\ldots,x_r}^{s_r},\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{u} ]
$$

with  $x_1 > x_2 > \ldots > x_r > 0$  and  $\sum s_i + u = p$ . We say that  $[s_1, \ldots, s_r; u]$  is the **configuration** of X. Writing  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_r)$ , we will shorten the notation of the configuration of X to  $[\mathbf{s}; u]$ . We will also write  $X = X[\mathbf{s}; u]$ .

Note that  $X = 0$  is equivalent to  $u = p$  and has configuration [0; p]. A regular  $X \in \mathfrak{a}^+$  has the configuration  $[\mathbf{1};0] = [1^p;0]$ . We extend naturally the definition of configuration to any  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$ , whose configuration is defined as that of the projection  $\pi(X)$  of X on  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ .

In what follows, we will write  $\max s = \max_i s_i$  and  $\max(s, u) = \max(\max s, u)$ . We will show that in the case of the symmetric spaces  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$ ,  $q > p$ , the criterion for the existence of the density of the convolution  $\delta_{eX}^{\natural} \star \delta_{eY}^{\natural}$  is given by the following definition of an eligible pair  $X$  and  $Y$ :

**Definition 3** Let  $X = X[\mathbf{s}; u]$  and  $Y = Y[\mathbf{t}; v]$  be two elements of a. We say that X and Y are eligible if

$$
\max(\mathbf{s}, 2u) + \max(\mathbf{t}, 2v) \le 2p.
$$

Observe that if X and Y are eligible, then  $X \neq 0$  and  $Y \neq 0$ .

### 3 Necessity of the eligibility condition

In the proof of the necessity of the eligibility condition we will use the result stated in [8, Step 1, page 1767]:

**Lemma 4** Let  $U = \text{diag}([\hat{z}$ r  ${u_0, \ldots, u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{N-r}}$  and  $V = \text{diag}([$  $\frac{N-s}{\lambda}$  ${\overline{v_0,\ldots,v_0}}, v_1,\ldots,v_s].$  where  $s+1 \leq r < N$ ,  $s \geq 1$ , and the  $u_i$ 's and  $v_j$ 's are arbitrary. Then each element of  $\tilde{a}(e^U \,\mathbf{SU}(N, \mathbf{F}) e^V)$ has at least  $r - s$  entries equal to  $u_0 + v_0$ .

We will use Lemma 4 with  $N = p + q$  in the proofs of Proposition 5 and Theorem 17.

**Proposition 5** If  $X[\mathbf{s};u]$  and  $Y[\mathbf{t},v]$  are not eligible then the measure  $\mu_{X,Y}$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on a.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\max(s, 2u) + \max(t, 2v) > 2p$  and consider the matrices  $a(e^X k e^Y)$ ,  $k \in SO(p) \times$  $SO(q)$ . Applying Remark 1, the diagonal  $p \times p$  matrix  $\mathcal{D}_{a(e^X k e^Y)}$  contains the p biggest diagonal terms of the matrix

$$
\tilde{a}(e^Xke^Y) = \tilde{a}(\overbrace{(S^T e^X S)}^{e^{S^T X S}}\overbrace{(S^T k S)}^{cSO(p+q)}\overbrace{(S^T e^Y S)}^{e^{S^T Y S}}
$$

If  $u + v > p$  then there are  $r - s = r + (N - s) - N = (2u + q - p) + (2v + q - p) - (p + q) =$  $2(u+v-p) + (q-p)$  repetitions of  $0+0=0$  in coefficients of  $\tilde{a}(e^Xke^Y)$ . Therefore 0 occurs at least  $u + v - p > 0$  times as a diagonal entry of  $\mathcal{D}_H$  for every  $H \in a(e^X K e^Y)$  which implies that  $a(e^X K e^Y)$  has empty interior.

If  $2u + \max(t) > 2p$  denote  $t = \max(t)$ . Let  $Y_i \neq 0$  be repeated t times in  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ . Then there are  $r - s = r + (N - s) - N = (2u + q - p) + t - (p + q) = 2u + t - 2p$  repetitions of  $Y_i + 0$  in coefficients of  $\tilde{a}(e^Xke^Y)$ . Therefore  $Y_i$  occurs at least  $2u + t - 2p > 0$  times as a diagonal entry of  $\mathcal{D}_H$  for every  $H \in a(e^X K e^Y)$  which implies that  $a(e^X K e^Y)$  has empty interior.

### 4 Sufficiency of the eligibility condition

We use basic ideas and some results and notations of [7, Section 3].

**Proposition 6** (i) The density of the measure  $m_{X,Y}$  exists if and only if its support  $Ke^{X}Ke^{Y}K$ has nonempty interior.

(ii) Consider the analytic map  $T: K \times K \times K \to SO_0(p,q)$  defined by

$$
T(k_1, k_2, k_3) = k_1 e^X k_2 e^Y k_3.
$$

If the derivative of T is surjective for some choice of  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ , then the set  $T(K \times K \times K) =$  $Ke<sup>X</sup>Ke<sup>Y</sup>K$  contains an open set.

**Proof:** Part (i) follows from arguments explained in [4] in the case of the support of the measure  $\mu_{X,Y}$ , equal to  $a(e^X Ke^Y)$ . Part (ii) is justified for example in [10, p. 479].

**Proposition 7** Let  $U_Z = \mathfrak{k} + Ad(Z)\mathfrak{k}$ . If there exists  $k \in K$  such that

$$
U_{-X} + Ad(k)U_Y = \mathfrak{g} \tag{2}
$$

then the measure  $m_{X,Y}$  is absolutely continuous.

**Proof:** We want to show that the derivative of T is surjective for some choice of  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3)$ . Let  $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{k}$ . The derivative of T at **k** in the direction of  $(A, B, C)$  equals

$$
dT_{\mathbf{k}}(A, B, C) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} e^{tA} k_1 e^X e^{tB} k_2 e^Y e^{tC} k_3
$$
  
=  $A k_1 e^X k_2 e^Y k_3 + k_1 e^X B k_2 e^Y k_3 + k_1 e^X k_2 e^Y C k_3$  (3)

We now transform the space of all matrices of the form  $(3)$  without modifying its dimension:

$$
\dim\{A\,k_1\,e^X\,k_2\,e^Y\,k_3 + k_1\,e^X\,B\,k_2\,e^Y\,k_3 + k_1\,e^X\,k_2\,e^Y\,C\,k_3\colon A, B, C \in \mathfrak{k}\}
$$
\n
$$
= \dim\{k_1^{-1}\,A\,k_1\,e^X\,k_2\,e^Y + e^X\,B\,k_2\,e^Y + e^X\,k_2\,e^Y\,C\colon A, B, C \in \mathfrak{k}\}
$$
\n
$$
= \dim\{A\,e^X\,k_2\,e^Y + e^X\,B\,k_2\,e^Y + e^X\,k_2\,e^Y\,C\colon A, B, C \in \mathfrak{k}\}
$$
\n
$$
= \dim\{e^{-X}\,A\,e^X + B + k_2\,e^Y\,C\,e^{-Y}k_2^{-1}\colon A, B, C \in \mathfrak{k}\}
$$

The space in the last line equals  $\mathfrak{k} + \text{Ad}(e^{-X})(\mathfrak{k}) + \text{Ad}(k_2) (\text{Ad}(e^Y)(\mathfrak{k})) = U_{-X} + \text{Ad}(k_2)U_Y$ .

In order to apply the condition  $(2)$ , we will consider convenient root vectors and their symmetrizations. For  $Z \in \mathfrak{a}$ , we define the space

$$
V_Z = \text{span}\{X^s_\alpha \mid \alpha(Z) \neq 0\},\
$$

where  $X^s_\alpha = X_\alpha - \theta X_\alpha$ . Note that this space would be called  $V^S_Z$  in the notation of [7].

**Lemma 8** Let  $Z \in \mathfrak{a}$ . The vector space  $U_Z = \mathfrak{k} + Ad(e^Z)(\mathfrak{k})$  contains the root vectors  $X_\alpha$  for which  $\alpha(Z) \neq 0. \implies \text{Consequently, } V_Z = V_{-Z} \subset U_{\pm Z} \neq \implies$ 

**Proof:** ====⇒Suppose  $\alpha$  is a root such that  $\alpha(Z) \neq 0 \iff$ ====Note that  $[Z, X_{\alpha}] = \alpha(Z) X_{\alpha}$ and  $[Z, \theta(X_{\alpha})] = -\alpha(Z) \theta(X_{\alpha})$ . Let  $U = X_{\alpha} + \theta(X_{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Now,

$$
\begin{split} \text{Ad}(e^Z) \, U &= e^{\text{ad }Z} \left( X_\alpha + \theta(X_\alpha) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{ad }Z)^k}{k!} \left( X_\alpha + \theta(X_\alpha) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{ad }Z)^k}{k!} \, X_\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{ad }Z)^k}{k!} \, \theta(X_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha(Z))^k}{k!} \, X_\alpha + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k \, (\alpha(Z))^k}{k!} \, \theta(X_\alpha) \\ &= e^{\alpha(Z)} \, X_\alpha + e^{-\alpha(Z)} \, \theta(X_\alpha). \end{split}
$$

Therefore  $X_{\alpha} = (e^{\alpha(Z)} - e^{-\alpha(Z)})^{-1} \left( -e^{-\alpha(Z)} U + \text{Ad}(e^Z) U \right) \in \mathfrak{k} + \text{Ad}(e^Z)(\mathfrak{k}) = U_Z$ . The vector  $\theta X_{\alpha}$ is a root vector for the root  $-\alpha$ , so we also have  $\theta X_{\alpha} \in U_Z$ .

<sup>====</sup>⇒

**Proposition 9** If there exists  $k \in K$  such that

$$
V_X + Ad(k) V_Y = \mathfrak{p} \tag{4}
$$

then the measure  $m_{X,Y}$  is absolutely continuous.

**Proof:** We want to prove formula (2). By Lemma 8, we know that  $V_X = V_{-X} \subset U_{-X}$  and  $V_Y \subset U_Y$ . As  $\mathfrak{k} \subset U_X$ , we see that the formula (4) implies (2).

 $\Leftarrow$ 

Later in this section,(Theorem 13), we will show that the hypotheses of the last Proposition are always satisfied for X and Y eligible. For technical reasons, in order to make an induction proof work, we will show more, i.e. that a "better" matrix  $k \in K$  exists such that the formula (4) holds. The meaning of a "better" k will be similar to the notion of a total matrix given in Definition 10. Here is a definition and a lemma about total matrices in  $K$ . The reasonning of the proof of this lemma will be used in a more general setting in Steps 2 and 3 of the proof of Theorem 13.

**Definition 10** We say that a square  $n \times n$  matrix k is total if by removing any  $r < n$  rows and r columns of k we always obtain a nonsingular matrix.

Note that this definition of totality is more restrictive than in [7, Definition 3.7].

**Lemma 11** The set of matrices in  $SO(n)$  which are total is dense and open in  $SO(n)$ .

**Proof:** Consider first the set  $M_{I,J} = M_{\{i_1,\dots,i_j\},\{j_1,\dots,j_r\}} \subset SO(n)$  of orthogonal matrices which remain nonsingular once the rows of indices  $i_1, \ldots, i_j$  and the columns of indices  $j_1, \ldots, j_r$  are removed. To see that such matrices exist, take the identity matrix (whose determinant is 1 if we remove, say, the first  $r$  rows and columns). By taking convenient permutations of the rows and columns of the identity matrix, we obtain an element of  $M_{I,J}$ . Given that  $SO(n)\setminus M_{I,J}$  corresponds to the set of zeros of a certain determinant function, it must be closed and nowhere dense in  $SO(n)$ .

To conclude, it suffices to notice that the set of total matrices in  $SO(n)$  is the finite intersection of all the sets  $M_{I,J}$ .

In the proof of the main Theorem 13 we will need the following technical lemma.

**Lemma 12** (i) For the root vectors  $X_{ir}^+$ ,  $Z_{ij}^+$ ,  $Y_{ij}^+$ , we have

$$
Ad(e^{t(X_{ir}^{+} + \theta X_{ir}^{+})})(X_{ir}) = \cos(2 t) X_{ir} + 2 \sin(2 t) A_{i},
$$
  
\n
$$
Ad(e^{t(Y_{ij}^{+} + \theta Y_{ij}^{+})})(Y_{ij}) = \cos(4 t) Y_{ij} + 2 \sin(4 t) (A_{i} - A_{j}),
$$
  
\n
$$
Ad(e^{t(Z_{ij}^{+} + \theta Z_{ij}^{+})})(Z_{ij}) = \cos(4 t) Z_{ij} + 2 \sin(4 t) (A_{i} + A_{j}).
$$

(ii) The functions  $Ad(e^{t(X_{ir}^+ + \theta X_{ir}^+)})$ ,  $Ad(e^{t(Y_{ij}^+ + \theta Y_{ij}^+)})$  and  $Ad(e^{t(Z_{ij}^+ + \theta Z_{ij}^+)})$  applied to the other symmetrized root vectors do not produce any components in a.

**Proof:** It is just a matter of carefully evaluating

$$
\mathrm{Ad}(e^{t(Z+\theta Z)})(W) = e^{t} \mathrm{ad}(Z+\theta Z)(W) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathrm{ad}(Z+\theta Z))^k(W) \frac{t^k}{k!}.
$$

For (ii), use the well known properties of the root system:  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{g}_{\beta}] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha+\beta}$  and  $[X_{\alpha}, \theta X_{\alpha}] \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

By Proposition 9, in order to justify the sufficiency of the eligibility condition, it is enough to prove the following theorem. This is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 13** Let  $G = SO_0(p,q)$  and let X,  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ . If X and Y are eligible then there exists a matrix  $k \in K$  such that

$$
V_X + Ad(k) V_Y = \mathfrak{p}.\tag{5}
$$

**Proof:** We will assume that  $X = X[\mathbf{s}; u]$  and  $Y = Y[\mathbf{t}; v]$ . Observe that the spaces  $V_X$  and  $V_Y$ depend on the Weyl chambers where  $X$  and  $Y$  belong. However, see [7, Lemma 3.3 and Reduction 1, p. 759, the property (5) is equivalent to  $V_{w_1X} + \text{Ad}(k')V_{w_2Y} = \mathfrak{p}$  for any  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  and a convenient  $k' \in K$ . Throughout the proof we will assume that the diagonal entries of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  and  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ are non-negative and we will arrange (permute) them conveniently.

To lighten the notation, for a matrix c of size  $p \times q$ , we will consider the  $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ symmetric matrix

$$
c^s = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & c \\ c^T & 0 \end{array} \right] \in \mathfrak{p}.
$$

The proof will be organized in the following way:

- 1. Proof for  $q = p + 1$  using induction on p
	- (a) Proof for  $p = 2$  and  $q = 3$
	- (b) Proof of the induction step
		- i. Proof in the case  $u > 0$  or  $v > 0$
		- ii. Proof in the case  $X[p; 0], Y[p; 0]$
- 2. Proof that the case  $(p, q)$  implies the case  $(p, q + 1)$ .

#### 1. Proof for  $q = p + 1$  using induction on p

#### (a) Proof for  $p = 2$  and  $q = 3$

This corresponds to the space  $SO_0(2,3)$ . Only two configurations [2;0] and [1;1] may be realized by singular non-zero X and Y. When  $Z \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}^+$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_{Z[1,1]} = \text{diag}[z,0]$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{Z[2,0]} =$  $diag[z, z], z \neq 0$ . It is easy to check that in all 3 possible cases:

(i) 
$$
X[2;0], Y[2;0]
$$
 (ii)  $X[2;0], Y[1;1]$  or  $X[1;1], Y[2;0]$  (iii)  $X[1;1], Y[1;1], Y[1;1]$ 

X and Y are eligible. Note that

$$
\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & a & b \\ c & h_2 & d \end{bmatrix}^s : h_1, h_2, a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R} \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{Z[2,0]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ -a & 0 & c \end{bmatrix}^s : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{Z[1,1]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ b & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^s : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.
$$
  
\nIf  $k_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 & -\sqrt{2}/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/2 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/2 & \sqrt{2}/2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$   
\nAdd $(h_1) V_{Z[2,0]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} a/2 & (a-b+c)/2 & (a+b-c)/2 \\ -\sqrt{2} a/2 & (a-b-c)/2 & (a+b+c)/2 \end{bmatrix}^s : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\},$ 

and

$$
\operatorname{Ad}(k_1) V_{Z[1;1]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{2}b/2 & (a-c)/2 & (a+c)/2 \\ \sqrt{2}b/2 & (a-c)/2 & (a+c)/2 \end{bmatrix}^s : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\}
$$
  
If  $k_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 & -\sqrt{2}/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/2 & 0 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/2 & 0 & \sqrt{2}/2 \end{bmatrix}$  then  

$$
\operatorname{Ad}(k_2) V_{Z[1;1]} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -(b+c)/2 & \sqrt{2}a/2 & (-b+c)/2 \\ (b-c)/2 & \sqrt{2}a/2 & (b+c)/2 \end{bmatrix}^s : a, b, c \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.
$$

We verify easily that in the cases (i) and (iii) we have  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k_1) V_Y = \mathfrak{p}$ . For  $X[2;0]$  and  $Y[1;1]$ , we can see that  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k_2) V_Y = \mathfrak{p}$ .

### (b) Proof of the induction step

#### (i) Proof in the case  $u > 0$  or  $v > 0$

We consider the space  $SO_0(p, p+1)/SO(p) \times SO(p+1)$  with  $p > 2$  and the case when  $X[\mathbf{s}; u]$ and Y[t; v] are such that  $u > 0$  or  $v > 0$ . We assume  $u \ge v$ . We choose the predecessors in  $SO_0(p-1,p)/SO(p-1)\times SO(p)$  in the following way:

$$
X' = X'[s; u - 1], \ Y' = Y'[t'; v]
$$

where  $t'$  means that we supress one term from the longest block of size max t. Note that if  $p > 2$ then  $t'$  is not the zero partition (otherwise,  $t$  would have been the partition [1] meaning that  $u \ge v = p - 1$  which would make X and Y ineligible).

We arrange  $X, X', Y, Y'$  in the following way.

1. The first diagonal entry of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  is zero and all the other zeros are at the end. The diagonal entries of  $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$  are those of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  without the first zero:

$$
\mathcal{D}_X = \text{diag}[0, \overbrace{x_1, \ldots, x_{p-u}}^{\neq 0}, \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{u-1}], \quad \mathcal{D}_{X'} = \text{diag}[\overbrace{x_1, \ldots, x_{p-u}}^{\neq 0}, \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{u-1}]
$$

2. We put a longest block of size t of equal diagonal entries  $y_1$  of  $\mathcal{D}_Y$  in the beginning of Y. The diagonal entries of  $\mathcal{D}_{Y'}$  are those of  $\mathcal{D}_Y$  with the first entry omitted:

$$
\mathcal{D}_Y=\mathrm{diag}[\overbrace{y_1,\ldots,y_1}^t,y_2,\ldots,y_s],\ \ \mathcal{D}_{Y'}=\mathrm{diag}[\overbrace{y_1,\ldots,y_1}^{t-1},y_2,\ldots,y_s].
$$

It is easy to check that if X, Y are eligible in  $SO_0(p, p + 1)$  then X', Y' are eligible in  $SO_0(p-1, p).$ 

Step 1. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a matrix  $k_0 \in SO(p-1) \times SO(p)$  such that

$$
V_{X'} + \mathrm{Ad}(k_0) V_{Y'} = \mathfrak{p}'.\tag{6}
$$

We embed  $K' = SO(p-1) \times SO(p)$  in  $SO(p) \times SO(p+1)$  in the following way

$$
K' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \mathbf{SO}(p-1) & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & \mathbf{SO}(p) \end{bmatrix} \subset \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{SO}(p) & \\ & \mathbf{SO}(p+1) \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Hence, we have (taking the natural embedding of  $p'$  into  $p$ )

$$
V_1 := V_{X'} + \mathrm{Ad}(k_0) V_{Y'} = \mathfrak{p}' = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & B' \\ B'^T & 0 \end{array} \right] \tag{7}
$$

where  $B' =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0_{1\times1} & 0_{1\times p} \end{bmatrix}$  $0_{p\times 1}\mid B''_{(p-1)\times p}$ 1 and  $B''$  is arbitrary (note that  $p'$  is of dimension  $(p-1)p$ ). We must show that for some  $k \in K$ , the space  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k) V_Y = \mathfrak{p}$ , i.e. that

- (i)  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k) V_Y$  contains  $\mathfrak{p}'$  embedded into  $\mathfrak{p}$  as in (7).
- (ii)  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k) V_Y$  contains all the matrices of the form

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \cdots * \\ * & * \\ \vdots & 0_{(p-1)\times p} \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}^s.
$$

New vectors in  $V_X$  and  $V_Y$ . In order to prove the induction conclusion, we must now use the elements of  $V_X$  and  $V_Y$  which do not come from  $V_{X'}$  or  $V_{Y'}$ . They appear by the interaction of, respectively, the first diagonal entry of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  with the others of  $\mathcal{D}_X$  and the interaction of the first entry of  $\mathcal{D}_Y$  with the others of  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ . We see that the new independent root vectors in  $V_X$  and  $V_Y$ are respectively

$$
N_X = \{Y_{1j}, Z_{1j}, j = 2, \dots, p+1-u\}, \quad N_Y = \{X_1, Y_{1i}, i = t+1, \dots, p, Z_{1j}, j = 2 \dots, p\}
$$

where  $t = \max t > 1$  and we wrote  $X_1$  for  $X_{11}$ . Note that  $N_X$  has  $2p - 2u$  elements while  $N_Y$  has  $2 p - t.$ 

**Step 2**. We show that there exists  $k'_0 \in SO(p-1) \times SO(p)$  for which (6) holds, and with the following property:

The space  $V_2 := Ad(k'_0)$  span $(N_Y)$  is of dimension  $2p-t$  and its elements can be written in the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n0 & \sigma_1 & \dots & \sigma_r & a_1 & \dots & a_{p-r} \\
\hline\n\tau_1 & & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & \\
\sigma_s & & & 0 & & \\
a_{p-r+1} & & & & \\
\vdots & & & & & \\
a_{2p-t}\n\end{bmatrix}^s
$$
\n(8)

with  $r = [(t-1)/2]$ ,  $s = t-1-r$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbf{R}$  arbitrary,  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i(a_1, \ldots, a_{2p-t})$  and  $\tau_j = \tau_j(a_1, \ldots, a_{2p-t})$ ,  $i \leq r, j \leq s.$ 

We will not need to write explicitely the functions  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_j$ . Note that  $s = r$  if t is odd and  $s = r + 1$  if t is even.

To justify Step 2, we write

$$
k_0 = \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & \\ & k_{01} & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & k_{02} \end{array} \right]
$$

where  $k_{01} \in SO(p-1)$  and  $k_{02} \in SO(p)$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1}$  be the columns of the matrix  $k_{01}$  and  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p$  the columns of the matrix  $k_{02}$ . A simple block multiplication to compute the action of  $\text{Ad}(k_0)$  on the elements of  $N_Y$  gives the linearly independent matrices

$$
\text{Ad}(k_0)X_1 = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_p^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \quad \text{Ad}(k_0)Y_{1i} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_{i-1}^T \\ \hline \alpha_{i-1} & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \quad i = t+1, \dots, p, \\
\text{Ad}(k_0)Z_{1i} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_{i-1}^T \\ \hline -\alpha_{i-1} & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, i = 2, \dots, p. \tag{9}
$$

Let us write  $\beta_i'$  for a column  $\beta_i$  from which we have removed the first r entries and  $\alpha_i'$  for a column  $\alpha_i$  with the first s entries omitted. In order to prove the statement of Step 2, we must show that the matrices obtained by replacing  $\beta_i$  by  $\beta'_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  by  $\alpha'_i$  in (9) are still linearly independent. This is equivalent to the linear independence of the matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_i^{\prime T} \\ \hline -\alpha_i^{\prime} & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \ i = 1, \dots, t-1, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_i^{\prime T} \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \ i = t, \dots, p, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 0 \\ \hline \alpha_i^{\prime} & 0 \end{array}\right]^s \ i = t, \dots, p-1. \tag{10}
$$

We will reason in the same way as in Lemma 11.

It is enough to show that there exists at least a choice of matrices  $k_{01}$  and  $k_{02}$  such that the matrices in (10) are linearly independent. Then, as in Lemma 11, it will follow that such matrices form a dense open subset in  $SO(p-1) \times SO(p)$ . By choosing  $k'_0$  with the matrices in (10) linearly independent and close enough to  $k_0$ , the property (6) will be preserved for  $k'_0$ .

Pick  $k_{01} = I_{p-1}$  which implies that  $\alpha'_i = \mathbf{0}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$  and  $\alpha'_i = \mathbf{e}_{i-s}$  for  $i > s$ . With this choice, (10) becomes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}0 & \beta_i^{t} \\ \hline 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^s, \ i = 1, \ldots, s, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c}0 & \beta_i^{t} \\ -\mathbf{e}_{i-s} & 0\end{array}\right]^s, \ i = s+1, \ldots, t-1, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c}0 & \beta_i^{t} \\ \hline 0 & 0\end{array}\right]^s, \ i = t, \ldots, p,
$$
\n
$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}0 & 0 \\ \hline \mathbf{e}_{i-s} & 0\end{array}\right]^s \ i = t, \ldots, p-1
$$

which are linearly independent provided that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_i^{\prime T} \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, i = 1, \dots, s, \quad \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_i^{\prime T} \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, i = t, \dots, p
$$

are linearly independent. This is the case for a total matrix  $k_{02} \in SO(p)$  or by taking convenient permutations of the rows and columns of the identity matrix  $I_p$ .

**Step 3.** We show that there exists a proper subset  $N'_X$  of  $N_X$  such that, if

$$
V_3 := \text{span}(N'_X) + V_{X'} + Ad(k'_0)V_Y = \text{span}(N'_X) + V_1 + V_2
$$

then dim  $V_3 = pq - 1 = \dim p - 1$  and  $V_3$  is given by

$$
V_3 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_p \\ \hline a_{p+1} & & & \\ \vdots & & & p' \\ a_{2p-1} & & & \end{bmatrix}^s, \quad a_1, \dots a_{2p-1} \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.
$$
 (11)

Note that in matrices from the space  $V_2$ , there are  $r = [(t-1)/2]$  pairs  $(\sigma_i, \tau_i)$  plus possibly an extra  $\tau_s$  if t is even and therefore  $s = r+1$ . Note also that  $t+2u \leq 2p$  implies that  $p-u \geq s \geq r$ . For  $j \le r \le p - u$ , each pair  $Y_{1j} =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^T \end{bmatrix}$  $\mathbf{e}_{j-1}$  | 0  $\left.\right]$ <sup>s</sup> ,  $Z_{1j} =$  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{e}_{j-1}^T \end{bmatrix}$  $-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}$  | 0  $\left.\right]$ <sup>s</sup> of elements of  $N_X$ allows us to replace  $\sigma_j$  and  $\tau_j$  by independent variables. If t is odd, all the  $\sigma_j$ 's and  $\tau_j$ 's will be taken care off and at least 2 elements of  $N_X$  will remain off  $N'_X$ . If t is even, all the  $\sigma_j$  and  $\tau_j$ 's,  $1 \leq j \leq r$  will be replaced by independent variables and only  $\tau_s$  will remain. Now, letting the coefficient  $a_1$  "vis-à-vis" the remaining  $\tau_s$  be equal to 1 and all the other variables  $a_i$  equal to 0, either  $\tau_s = 1$  or  $-1$  or  $\tau_s \neq \pm 1$ . If  $\tau_s = 1$  then  $Z_{1s}$  allows us to introduce the missing independent variable, if  $\tau_s = -1$  then adding  $Y_{1s}$  to  $N'_X$  will do the trick. In the case  $\tau_s \neq \pm 1$  we choose indifferently between  $Y_{1s}$  and  $Z_{1s}$ . In all cases the set  $N_X \setminus N'_X$  has at least one element.

**Step 4.** Let  $v_1$  be the positive root vector corresponding to an element of  $N_X \setminus N'_X$ . We denote  $k_1^t = k_{v_1}^t$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for  $t \in (0, \epsilon)$ 

$$
V_4^t := \mathrm{Ad}(k_1^t)(\mathrm{span}(N'_X) + V_{X'}) + \mathrm{Ad}(k'_0)V_Y = V_3.
$$

Observe that  $v_1$  is equal to  $Z_{1j}^+$  or  $Y_{1j}^+$  for one of the remaining  $Z_{1j}$  or  $Y_{1j}$  that was not used in the preceding step. The space  $V_4^t \subset V_4^0$  for all t according to Lemma 12 (ii).

Recall the definition of  $k_{X_{\alpha}}^t = e^{t(X_{\alpha} + \theta X_{\alpha})}$ ,  $t > 0$ . Let  $d(t) = \dim V_4^t$ ; for  $t = 0$  we have  $k_1^0 = Id$ , and  $\text{Ad}(k_1^0)(\text{span}(N'_X) + V_{X'}) + \text{Ad}(k'_0)V_Y = V_3$  is of dimension  $pq - 1$ , so  $d(0) = pq - 1$ . The equality  $d(t) = d(0)$  is equivalent to non-nullity of an appropriate determinant continuous in t. Thus  $d(t) = pq - 1$  holds for  $t \in (0, \epsilon)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $V_4^t \subset V_4^0$ , the statement of Step 4 follows.

**Step 5.** Generation of  $A_1$ . By Lemma 12, we have  $\text{Ad}(k_1^t)v_1^s = a_tv_1^s + b_tA_1 + c_tA_j$  with  $j \neq 1$  and  $b_t \neq 0$  for  $t \in (0, \epsilon)$  with  $\epsilon$  small enough. Consequently

$$
Ad(k_1^t)\mathrm{span}(v_1^s) + V_4^t = \mathfrak{p}.
$$

**Conclusion.** We have  $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ad}(k_1^t)(\mathbf{R}v_1^s + \text{span}N'_X + V_{X'}) + \text{Ad}(k_0^t)V_Y \subset \text{Ad}(k_1^t)V_X + \text{Ad}(k_0^t)V_Y$ , so  $\text{Ad}(k_1^t)V_X + \text{Ad}(k_0^t)V_Y = \mathfrak{p}$ . It follows that

$$
V_X + \mathrm{Ad}((k_1^t)^{-1}k_0')V_Y = \mathfrak{p}.
$$

(ii) Proof in the case  $X[p;0], Y[p;0]$ 

This case must be treated separately because the predecessors  $X', Y'$  and consequently the sets  $N_X$  and  $N_Y$  are different than in case (i). The structure of the induction proof is identical as in (i), with the Steps 2 and 3 executed together.

We choose both predecessors  $X'[p-1;0], Y'[p-1;0]$  and arrange  $X, X', Y, Y'$  in the same way as we did in the first part of the proof with  $Y[\mathbf{t};v]$  and  $Y'[\mathbf{t}';v]$ . In that case,  $N_X =$  ${X_1, Z_{12}, \ldots, Z_{1p}} = N_Y$  and the space  $\text{Ad}(k'_0)(N_Y)$  is generated by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_i^T \\ \hline -\alpha_i & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \ i = 1, \dots, p-1 \text{ and } \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_p^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s.
$$
 (12)

Recall that

$$
Z_{1j} = \left[ \frac{0}{-\mathbf{e}_{j-1}} \left| \frac{\mathbf{e}_{j-1}^T}{0} \right|^s, \ j = 2, \dots, p. \right] \tag{13}
$$

We want to show that the matrices in  $(12)$  together with those of  $(13)$  are linearly independent for a  $k'_0 \in SO(p-1) \times SO(p)$  for which the equality (6) holds. Note that if  $k'_0 =$  $\begin{bmatrix} -I_{p-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $0$   $I_p$ 1  $(p \text{ odd}) \text{ or } k'_0 =$  $\begin{bmatrix} I_{p-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  $0 \t-I_p$ 1  $(p \text{ even})$  then the matrices  $(12)$  and  $(13)$  are linearly independent. Using once more the reasonning in Lemma 11, this implies that the set of matrices  $k'_0$  for which this is true, is open and dense in  $SO(p-1) \times SO(p)$ .

We conclude that if  $N'_X = N_X \setminus \{X_1\}$  then span  $(N'_X + V_{X'}) + \text{Ad}(k'_0)V_Y$  has the form given in (11).

We reproduce the previous Step 4 and Step 5 using  $v_1 = X_1^+$ . The rest follows.

#### 2. Proof that the case  $(p, q)$  implies the case  $(p, q+1)$

 $=$ ===⇒We will show by induction that for any  $q > p$ , there exists a matrix  $k \in K$  such that (5) holds.  $\Leftarrow == =$ We know by the first part of the proof that this is true for  $SO_0(p, p+1)$ .

Assume that X and Y are eligible in  $SO_0(p, q+1)$ . Their configurations are eligible in  $SO_0(p, q)$ . We write X', Y' when we work in  $SO_0(p,q)$ .

We embed  $K' = SO(p) \times SO(q)$  in  $K = SO(p) \times SO(q+1)$  in the following way

$$
K' = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{SO}(p) & \\ & \mathbf{SO}(q) \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \subset \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{SO}(p) & \\ & \mathbf{SO}(q+1) \end{bmatrix}.
$$

The space  $\mathfrak{p}'$  is formed by matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{array}\right],
$$

where B are  $p \times q$  matrices. We embed  $p'$  in  $p$  by adding a last column of zeros to B.

**Step 1.** We suppose that there exists a matrix  $k_0 \in K'$  such that

$$
V_{X'} + \mathrm{Ad}(k_0) V_{Y'} = \mathfrak{p}'.\tag{14}
$$

Then, by [7, Lemma 3.3], for any permutations  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  of the diagonal entries of  $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{Y'}$ , there exists  $k_0 \in K'$  such that

$$
V_{s_1X'} + \operatorname{Ad}(k_0)V_{s_2Y'} = \mathfrak{p}'
$$

so we can permute the elements of  $X'$  and  $Y'$  in a convenient way and still have the equality (14). We will arrange them in the following way (where the stars denote nonzero entries):

$$
\mathcal{D}_{X'} = \text{diag}[0,\ldots,0,\star,\ldots,\star], \quad \mathcal{D}_{Y'} = \text{diag}[\star,\ldots,\star,\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{v}].
$$

Let us denote  $k_{01} \in SO(p)$  and  $k_{02} \in SO(q)$  the matrices compositing  $k_0$  corresponding in (14) to such X' and Y'. We can suppose that the matrix  $k_{01}$  is total.

By the eligibility of X and Y,  $u + v \leq p$ , so no two zeros in  $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{Y'}$  are at the same position.

Let  $N = \{X_{i,q+1}\}_{i=1}^p$ . We set  $N_X := V_X \cap N = \{X_{u+1,q+1}, \ldots, X_{p,q+1}\}, N_Y := V_Y \cap N =$  $\{X_{1,q+1}, \ldots, X_{p-v,q+1}\}.$  We have  $p-v \geq u$ .

Step 2. Let

$$
k_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} k_{01} & & \\ & k_{02} & \\ & & 1 \end{array} \right]
$$

where  $k_{01} \in SO(p)$  and  $k_{02} \in SO(q)$  are the blocks compositing  $k_0$ . We then have

$$
V_{X'} + \mathrm{Ad}(k_1) V_{Y'} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \mathfrak{p}' & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right]^s. \tag{15}
$$

The space  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k_1)V_Y$  contains, in addition to the matrices in (15), the linear span of  $N_X + \text{Ad}(k_1)N_Y$ .

Denote the columns of the matrix  $k_{01}$  by  $c_1, \ldots, c_p$ . By block multiplication in  $SO_0(p, q+1)$ , we obtain

$$
\mathrm{Ad}(k_1)X_{j,q+1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0_{p\times q}&\mathbf{c}_j\end{array}\right]^s.
$$

This implies that the linear span of  $N_X + \text{Ad}(k_1)N_Y$  contains the following symmetric matrices:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}0_{p\times q}&\mathbf{c}_1\end{array}\right]^s,\ldots,\left[\begin{array}{cc}0_{p\times q}&\mathbf{c}_u\end{array}\right]^s,\left[\begin{array}{cc}0_{p\times q}&\mathbf{e}_{u+1}\end{array}\right]^s,\ldots,\left[\begin{array}{cc}0_{p\times q}&\mathbf{e}_p\end{array}\right]^s,
$$

which are linearly independent by the totality of  $k_{01}$ . Thus  $V_X + \text{Ad}(k_1)V_Y = \mathfrak{p}$ .

 $====$ 

We conclude this section with an example to illustrate our proof.

**Example 14** Consider  $X = X[2; 1], Y = Y[1, 1; 1]$  in  $\mathfrak{so}(3, 4)$ . We write X and Y in such a way that  $\mathcal{D}_X = \text{diag}[0, a, a]$  and  $\mathcal{D}_Y = \text{diag}[b, c, 0]$ . Their predecessors in  $\mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$  are X' and Y' such that  $\mathcal{D}_{X'} = \text{diag}[a, a]$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{Y'} = \text{diag}[c, 0]$ .

Note that X and Y form an eligible pair and so are  $X' = X[2;0]$  and  $Y' = Y'[1;1]$ . In Step 1,  $\sqrt{ }$ 1 0 0 0 1

we show that there exists a matrix  $k_0 =$  $\begin{matrix} \phantom{-} \end{matrix}$ 0  $k_{0,1}$  0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0  $k_{02}$  $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}$ with  $k_{01} \in \mathbf{SO}(2)$  and  $k_{0,2} \in \mathbf{SO}(3)$ 

such that

$$
V_{X'} + Ad(k_0) V_{Y'} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}^s
$$

where ∗ designates an arbitrary element. We have

$$
N_X = \{Z_{12}, Y_{12}, Z_{13}, Y_{13}\}, \quad N_Y = \{X_1, Z_{12}, Y_{12}, Z_{13}, Y_{13}\}.
$$

In Step 2, we observe that

$$
Ad(k_0)\text{span}(N_Y) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & & & \\ a_5 & & 0 & \end{bmatrix}^s, a_1, \dots a_6 \in \mathbf{R} \right\}
$$

since the matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_1^T \\ -\alpha_1 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_1^T \\ \hline \alpha_1 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_2^T \\ -\alpha_2 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_2^T \\ \hline \alpha_2 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s, \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & \beta_3^T \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right]^s
$$

are linearly independent. Note that in this case, there are no  $\sigma_i$  and no  $\tau_i$ .

Now,  $V_X = span$  $N_X$  $\{Z_{12}, Y_{12}, Z_{13}, Y_{13}\} \cup V_{X'}$  while  $V_Y = span$  $N_Y$  $\{X_1, Z_{12}, Z_{13}, Z_{14}, Y_{13}\} \cup V_{Y'}$ . We can show that

$$
Ad(e^{t(Z_{1,2}^+ + \theta Z_{1,2}^+)})(V_{X'}) + Ad(k_0) (span N_Y \cup V_{Y'})
$$
  
= 
$$
\begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}^s
$$

for t small enough (with t small enough, the dimension will not decrease). Now,

$$
Ad(e^{t(Z_{1,2}^+ + \theta Z_{1,2}^+)}) \overbrace{(span\{Z_{12}\} \cup V_{X'})}^{C_{Y}} + Ad(k_0) \overbrace{(span N_Y \cup V_{Y'})}^{V_Y} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}^s = \mathfrak{p}
$$

if t close to 0 since  $Ad(e^{t(Z_{12}^+ + \theta Z_{12}^+)})(Z_{12}) = \cos(4t) Z_{12} + 2 \sin(4t) (A_1 + A_2)$ . Therefore,

$$
V_X + Ad(e^{-t(Z_{1,2}^+ + \theta Z_{1,2}^+)} k_0) V_Y = Ad(e^{-t(Z_{1,2}^+ + \theta Z_{1,2}^+)}) \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}
$$

which means that the density exists.

$$
\Leftarrow == ==
$$

# 5 Applications

 $=\equiv$  We now extend our results to the complex and quaternion cases.  $\Leftarrow$  = = = =

Recall that  $SU(p, q)$  is the subgroup of  $SL(p + q, C)$  such that  $g^* I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}$  while  $Sp(p, q)$ is the subgroup of  $SL(p+q,H)$  such that  $g^* I_{p,q} g = I_{p,q}$ . Their respective maximal compact subgroups are  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}(p) \times \mathbf{U}(q))$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}(p) \times \mathbf{Sp}(q) \equiv \mathbf{SU}(p, \mathbf{H}) \times \mathbf{SU}(q, \mathbf{H})$ .

Their subspaces  $\mathfrak{p}$  can be described as  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}$  $B^*$  0 1 where  $B$  is an arbitrary complex (respectively quaternionic) matrix of size  $p \times q$ . The Cartan subalgebra a is chosen in the same way as for  $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ .

Corollary 15 Consider the symmetric spaces  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$ ,  $SU(p,q)/S(U(p) \times$  $\mathbf{U}(q)$ ) and  $\mathbf{Sp}(p,q)/\mathbf{Sp}(p)\times \mathbf{Sp}(q)$ ,  $q>p$ .

Let X,  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Then the measure  $\delta_{e^X}^{\natural} \star \delta_{e^Y}^{\natural}$  is absolutely continuous if and only if X and Y are eligible, as defined in Definition 3.

**Proof:** Let  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ . If they are eligible then since

$$
a(e^X (\mathbf{SO}(p) \times \mathbf{SO}(q)) e^Y) \subset a(e^X \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}(p) \times \mathbf{U}(q)) e^Y) \subset a(e^X (\mathbf{Sp}(p) \times \mathbf{Sp}(q)) e^Y),
$$

it follows from Theorem 13 that these sets have nonempty interior. Hence the density exists in all three cases.

On the other hand, given Lemma 4, one can reproduce Proposition 5 using  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{H}$ to show that the eligibility condition is necessary in the complex and quaternionic cases.

We will conclude this paper with two further applications.

**Proposition 16** Let X and  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$  be such that  $\left(\delta_e^{\natural}\right)$  $e^X$  $\int^{*2}$  and  $\left(\delta_e^{\sharp}\right)$  $\int_{e^Y}^{\natural}$  are absolutely continuous. Then  $\delta_{e^X}^{\natural} * \delta_{e^Y}^{\natural}$  is absolutely continuous.

**Proof:**  $=\equiv \Rightarrow$  Let  $X = X[\mathbf{s}; u]$  and  $Y = Y[\mathbf{t}; v]$ .  $\Leftarrow == = W$ e know that the couple  $(X, X)$  is eligible; therefore

$$
2\max\{\mathbf{s}, 2u\} \le 2p.
$$

In the same manner,  $\max\{\mathbf{t}, 2v\} \leq p$ . Hence,

$$
\max\{\mathbf{s}, 2u\} + \max\{\mathbf{t}, 2v\} \le p + p = 2p
$$

which means that X and Y are eligible. Consequently,  $\delta_{e^X}^{\natural} * \delta_{e^X}^{\natural}$  $_{eY}^{\mathfrak{q}}$  is absolutely continuous.

If  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $X \neq 0$ , it is important to know for which convolution powers l the measure  $\left(\delta_e^{\natural} \right)$  $e^X$  $\setminus^l$ is absolutely continuous. This problem is equivalent to the study of the absolute continuity of convolution powers of uniform orbital measures  $\delta_g^{\sharp} = m_K * \delta_g * m_K$  for  $g \notin K$ .

It was proved in [8, Corollary 7] that it is always the case for  $l \geq r+1$ , where r is the rank of the symmetric space  $G/K$ . It was also conjectured ([8, Conjecture 10]) that  $r+1$  is optimal for this property, which was effectively proved for symmetric spaces of type  $A_n([8, \text{Corollary}]$ 18]). In the following theorem, the conjecture is shown not to hold on symmetric spaces of type  $\equiv \equiv \equiv \Rightarrow B_p \Longleftarrow \equiv \equiv \equiv \equiv$ , where  $r = p$ . Thanks to the rich structure of the root system  $B_p$ , already all  $p$ -th powers of orbital measures are absolutely continuous and  $p$  is optimal for this property.

**Theorem 17** On symmetric spaces  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$ ,  $SU(p,q)/S(U(p) \times U(q))$  and  $\mathbf{Sp}(p,q)/\mathbf{Sp}(p)\times \mathbf{Sp}(q)$ ,  $q>p$ , for every nonzero  $X\in \mathfrak{a}$ , the measure  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\natural})^p$  is absolutely continuous. Moreover, p is the smallest value for which this is true: if X has a configuration  $[1; p - 1]$ then the measure  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\natural})^{p-1}$  is singular.

**Proof:** We will write  $S_X^l$  for the set  $a(e^X K \ldots, K e^X)$  where the factor  $e^X$  appears l times. Note that  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\natural})^l$  is absolutely continuous if and only if  $S_X^l$  has nonempty interior.

We prove first that for  $l \leq p$ , the measure  $(\delta_e^{\dagger} \mathbf{x})^l$  may not be absolutely continuous. Let  $X = X[1; p-1]$ . Using Lemma 4 repeatedly, as in the proof of Proposition 5, we show that for  $l < p$ , there are at least  $p - l$  diagonal entries of  $\mathcal{D}_H$  which are equal to 0 for every  $H \in S^l_X$ . Consequently,  $S_X^l$  has empty interior and  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\natural})^l$  is not absolutely continuous when  $l \leq p-1$ .

We will now show that  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\natural})^p$  has a density for every  $X \neq 0$ .

If  $X = X[\mathbf{s}; 0]$  then the measure  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\dagger})^2$  is already absolutely continuous (the couple  $(X, X)$  is eligible). Suppose then that  $X = X[\mathbf{s}; u] \in \overline{\mathfrak{a}^+}, u > 0.$ 

Remark that if  $H \in S_X^l$  then  $a(e^X K e^H) \subset S_X^{l+1}$ . Indeed, we have  $e^X k_1 E^X \dots k_{l-1} e^X =$  $k_a e^H k_b$  and therefore  $a(e^X K e^H) = a(e^X K k_a e^H k_b) = a(e^X K e^X k_1 \dots k_{l-1} e^X) \subset S_X^{l+1}$ . We claim that there exists  $H \in S_X^{p-1}$  such that  $H = H[1^{p-1}; 1]$  or  $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ .

We prove the claim using induction on p. If  $p = 2$  then  $S_X^{p-1} = \{X\}$  and the result follows (in that case, u cannot be higher than 1 for  $X \neq 0$ .

Suppose that the claim is true for  $p - 1 \ge 2$ . Let  $K_0 =$  $\sqrt{ }$  $\left| \right|$  $SO(p-1)$  0 0 0  $0 \t 1 \t 0 \t 0$ 0 **SO** $(q-1)$  0 0 0 0 1 1  $\left| \right|$ .

Consider the set  $B = a(e^X K_0 e^X \dots e^X)$  with  $p-1$  factors  $e^X$ . By the induction hypothesis, there exists  $H_0 \in B$  with  $H_0 = H_0[1^{p-2}; 2]$  or  $H_0 = H_0[1^{p-1}; 1]$ . In the second case, we are done.

If  $H_0 = H_0[1^{p-2}; 2] \in B$ , we can assume that the diagonal entries which are 0 in  $\mathcal{D}_X$  and in  $H_0$  are at the end. We note that X and  $H_0$  considered without their last entries are eligible in  $SO_0(p-1,q-1)$ , their configurations being [s; u-1] and [1<sup>p-2</sup>; 1] respectively. Hence  $a(e^X K_0 e^{H_0})$ has nonempty interior in the subspace  $\overline{\mathfrak{a}^+} \cap \{H_p = 0\}$ . Therefore, there exists  $H \in a(e^X K_0 e^{H_0}) \subset$  $S_X^{p-1}$  with  $H = H[1^{p-1}; 1]$  which proves the claim.

To conclude, we take  $H \in S_X^{p-1}$  with  $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$  or  $H = H[1^{p-1}; 1]$ . In both cases, X and H are eligible, so by Corollary 15 the set  $a(e^X K e^H)$  has nonempty interior. As  $a(e^X K e^H) \subset S_X^p$ , this ends the proof.

# 6 Conclusion

With this paper and with  $[7]$ , we have now obtained sharp criteria on singular X and Y for the existence of the density of  $\delta_{e^X}^{\sharp} \star \delta_{e^Y}^{\sharp}$  for the root systems of type  $A_n$  and type  $B_p$ . Thanks to [8] and Theorem 17 of the present paper, sharp criteria are now given for the l-th convolution powers  $(\delta_{e^X}^{\natural})^l$  to be absolutely continuous for any  $X \neq 0, X \in \mathfrak{a}$ .

Although there is considerable similarity between the criteria for both type of spaces, a characterization of eligibility that would be applicable for all Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type has yet to emerge. The solution of the second problem in Theorem 17 seems to indicate that the answer may depend on the type of the symmetric space.

### References

- [1] Jean-Philippe Anker. Le noyau de la chaleur sur les espaces symétriques  $\mathbf{U}(p,q)/\mathbf{U}(p)\times\mathbf{U}(q)$ , Lectures Notes in Mathematics 1359, (Springer-Verlag, New-York), 1988, 60-82.
- [2] M. Flensted-Jensen and T. Koornwinder. The convolution structure for Jacobi expansions, Ark. Mat. 10 (1973), 245–262.
- [3] P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer. The product formula for the spherical functions on symmetric spaces in the complex case, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 204, No. 2, 2002, 377–393.
- [4] P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer. The product formula for the spherical functions on symmetric spaces of noncompact type, Journal of Lie Theory, Vol. 13, No. 1, 247–261, 2003.
- [5] P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer. Some convexity results for the Cartan decomposition, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 55, 1000–1018, 2003.
- [6] P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer. On the kernel of the product formula on symmetric spaces, The Journal of Geometric Analysis, Vol. 14, No. 4, 653–672, 2004.
- [7] P. Graczyk and P. Sawyer, A sharp criterion for the existence of the density in the product formula on symmetric spaces of Type  $A_n$ . J. Lie Theory 20 (2010), no. 4, 751-766.
- [8] P. Graczyk, P. Sawyer, Absolute continuity of convolutions of orbital measures on Riemannian symmetric spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis 259 (2010), 1759-1770.
- [9] S. Helgason. Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, 1978.
- [10] S. Helgason. *Groups and geometric analysis*, Academic Press, 1984.
- [11] S. Helgason. Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces, Mathematical surveys and monographs, Vol. 39, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [12] D.L. Ragozin, Zonal measure algebras on isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces, J. Functional Analysis 17 (1974), 355–376.
- [13] M. Rösler, *Positive convolution structure for a class of Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric func*tions of type BC . J. Funct. Anal. 258 (2010), no. 8, 2779-2800
- [14] Sawyer, P. The central limit theorem on  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$ . J. Theoret. Probab. 14 (2001), no. 3, 857-866.
- [15] Sawyer, P. Spherical functions on  $SO_0(p,q)/SO(p) \times SO(q)$ . Canad. Math. Bull. 42 (1999), no. 4, 486-498.

P. Graczyk Département de mathématiques Université d'Angers piotr.graczyk@univ-angers.fr

P. Sawyer Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Laurentian University psawyer@laurentian.ca