



HAL
open science

Digestion Modelling in the Small Intestine: Impact of Dietary Fibre

Masoomeh Taghipoor, Guy Barles, Christine Georgelin, Jean-René Licois,
Philippe Lescoat

► **To cite this version:**

Masoomeh Taghipoor, Guy Barles, Christine Georgelin, Jean-René Licois, Philippe Lescoat. Digestion Modelling in the Small Intestine: Impact of Dietary Fibre. 2012. hal-00757830v2

HAL Id: hal-00757830

<https://hal.science/hal-00757830v2>

Preprint submitted on 30 Nov 2012 (v2), last revised 7 Dec 2012 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Digestion Modelling in the Small Intestine : Impact of Dietary Fibre

M. Taghipoor*, G. Barles†, Ch. Georgelin†,
J.-R. Licois† & Ph. Lescoat‡

Abstract

In this work, we continue the modelling of the digestion in the small intestine, started in a previous article, by investigating the effects of dietary fibre. We recall that this model aims at taking into account the three main phenomena of the digestion, namely the transit of the bolus, the degradation of feedstuffs and the absorption through the intestinal wall. In order to study the role of dietary fibre on digestion, we model their two principal physiochemical characteristics which interact with the function of the small intestine, i.e. viscosity and water holding capacity. This leads us to consider some features of digestion which have not been taken into account previously, in particular the interrelationship between the evolution of dry matter and water in the bolus. The numerical results are in agreement with the positive effect of insoluble dietary fibre on the velocity of bolus along the small intestine and on its degradation. These results highlight the negative effect of soluble dietary fibre on digestion. Although, this model is generic and contains a large number of parameters, to our knowledge, it is among the first qualitative dynamical modelling of fibre influence on intestinal digestion.

Key-words : Modelling of intestinal digestion, water holding capacity, soluble dietary fibre, insoluble dietary fibre.

1 Introduction

Digestion in the small intestine can be described through three main phenomena : transit of the bolus along the small intestine, degradation of macromolecules into smaller ones and absorption through intestinal wall. Taking into account these phenomena, the authors have presented in [1] a generic model of digestion in which the bolus include only one category of macromolecules (carbohydrates, proteins or lipids) and water.

*INRA, UMR1348 Pegase, F-35590 Saint-Gilles, France; Agrocampus Ouest, UMR1348 Pegase, F-35000 Rennes, France

†Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique (UMR CNRS 6083). Fédération Denis Poisson (FR CNRS 2964) Université de Tours. Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France

‡INRA, UR83 Recherches Avicoles, 37380 Nouzilly, France.

However mixing these nutrients influences the digestion process through interactions between molecules. In order to improve this model and to make it more realistic, we should consider the effects of such interactions, and we have decided to do so by first including dietary fibre in the bolus because of their significant role on the digestion. One of the key properties of fibre is its water holding capacity and this leads us to investigate the role of water in the digestion processes. To do so, we distinguish dry matter and water in each substrate, we model water kinetic in correlation with the dry matter one and we take into account the impact of water in all the aspects of digestion.

To be more precise on our approach, we first describe the main underlying assumptions which guide our modelling, then we show how they are translated into equations and finally numerical tests are performed for examining the effects of several hypothesis. In addition, we point out known or assumed mechanisms relating dietary fibre and digestion process.

This paper is organized as follows : physiochemical characteristics of soluble and insoluble dietary fibre are introduced in Section 2. In Section 3, main assumptions of the model are presented. Section 4 is devoted to the description of the composition of bolus, the chemical transformations of macromolecules and all the notations. Digestion in the presence of dietary fibre includes the modification of transport as well as degradation and absorption equations as described in Section 5. Section 6 is a comparison of the numerical results considered as *in silico* experiments. Finally, in Section 7, the model is discussed and perspectives are proposed.

Acknowledgement. The multidisciplinary collaboration on this research project between the INRA Center of Nouzilly and the Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique was initiated within and supported by the CaSciModOT program (CALcul SCIENTifique et MODélisation des universités d’Orléans et de Tours) which is now a Cluster of the french Region Centre. This collaboration also takes place in a CNRS-INRA PEPS program “Compréhension et Modélisation du devenir de l’aliment dans le tube digestif”. This work is part of the PhD thesis of Masoomah Taghipoor, financed by CNRS and INRA.

2 Biological Background on Water and Dietary Fibre

Digestion modelling requires the knowledges of the physiochemical properties of macromolecules concerned by this phenomenon as well as mechanical and biochemical reactions observed for their degradation.

Dietary fibre (DF) is usually defined as the sum of plant non-starch polysaccharides and lignin that are not hydrolysed by the enzymes secreted by the non-ruminant digestive system, but that can be partially digested by microflora in the gut. A main effect of fibre is to regulate intestinal degradation and absorption of nutrients as well as their transit along the gut. Physiochemical characteristics of fibre include viscosity, hydration, fermentability (mostly in the large intestine), adsorption or entrapment of nutrients and bulking effect. Each of these characteristics affects meaningfully the function of the gastrointestinal tract [2, 3]. These characteristics depend on the polysaccharides chemistry. One way to classify dietary fibre is based on their water solubility. Insoluble dietary fibre include cellulose, some hemicelluloses and lignin. The other is soluble dietary fibre such as viscous fibre which includes beta-glucans, pectins, gums, mucilages and some hemicelluloses [4, 5].

For monogastrics, most available nutrients are degraded and absorbed in the small intestine. At the beginning of duodenum the bolus consists of partially degraded feedstuffs and water. Once in the small intestine, mechanical and chemical digestion of feedstuffs make the nutrients available to the organism. Enzymatic hydrolysis is the most important chemical reaction in digestion, which takes place in aqueous solution. Enough water is required for an efficient digestion process

eventhough water/nutrient ratio are not precisely known. Furthermore, classification of dietary fibre through their water solubility and the impact of Water Holding Capacity (WHC) of DF on digestion reveals the key-role of water on digestion. WHC is defined by B. Shneeman [3] as the ability of fibre source to swell when mixed with water and to hold water within its matrix.

Soluble dietary fibre

Soluble DF are believed to impact significantly digestion and absorption as well as bolus transport in the small intestine. The main physiochemical properties of soluble DF are viscosity, water holding capacity (WHC) and organic compound entrapment [6]. Soluble DF, because of its high viscosity, is generally associated with slow transit through the stomach and increasing of the small intestinal transit time [7].

Insoluble dietary fibre

Insoluble DF acts primarily in the large intestine where, due to its WHC, increases faecal bulk, dilutes colonic contents and decreases mouth-to-anus transit time [6]. However, its effects on digestion and transit in the small intestine can not be neglected since insoluble DF affects the transit time in the small intestine through its laxative property. Recent studies have shown that the inclusion of a moderate level of dietary fibre improves the digestibility in chicks [8]. Therefore to obtain an optimal efficiency in nutrient utilization, Burhalter et al. [9] proposed to increase the ratio of insoluble to soluble DF. Moreover, the use of insoluble fibre in commercial broiler chicks improves the intestine morphological parameters and result in a better performance assumed to be connected to more efficient digestion and absorption processes [10]. Two hypothesis are proposed in order to study the influence of insoluble DF on nutrients digestibility in the small intestine : (i) insoluble DF increases the retention time in the stomach changing the nutrient profile of the bolus at the entry of the small intestine which could lead to a higher digestion and absorption. (ii) Physical characteristics of insoluble DF change the digestion process mostly through their capacity of swelling water and nutrients. These both hypothesis are either tested in the *in silico* experiments (cf. Section 6.1) or included in the equations (cf. Section 5).

Water

To have a better understanding of the role of dietary fibre, it is therefore required to study more precisely the evolution of water during digestion in the small intestine. For example, depending on the bolus composition, water absorption through intestinal wall or its secretion into the small intestine lumen could be observed. However, the evolution of water amount in the small intestine depends also on other components' kinetics within the bolus as described by (Reaction 1), (Reaction 2) and (Reaction 3) in Section 4.

3 Key Model Assumptions

In this section, the key assumptions for the model are presented.

H1: *Each component of the bolus (macromolecules, partially degraded macromolecules, nutrients and fibre) is represented mathematically as a portion of dry matter and a characteristic proportion of water.*

For example, “starch in a bolus” includes both dry starch and water used to maintain starch molecules in aqueous solution. The same is observed for the “disaccharides in a bolus” and “glucose in a bolus” combining smaller molecules resulting from starch hydrolysis associated with a specific level of water. In other words, a component C of bolus is represented as $C^{dm} + W_C$ where C^{dm} denotes the dry matter of C and W_C is the necessary amount of water to maintain it in a solution state. Moreover, we have assumed that the mass of W_C is proportional to the mass of C^{dm} , i.e. equal to $c C^{dm}$ for some characteristic number $c \geq 0$ which represents the necessary amount of water proportion to maintain C in solubilized phase. Despite the presence of water in the bolus, a little amount of non-solubilized dry matter may be included in bolus, which is (of course) associated with $c = 0$.

H2: *Without DF, the bolus contains a single macromolecule and water. It is represented by a homogeneous cylinder with the constant length ℓ . Including insoluble DF transforms this homogeneous bolus into an heterogeneous one by modifying the concentrations of feedstuffs and nutrients.*

A bolus in the small intestine is a viscous solution of dry matter and water: we assume that its volume is very close to the volume occupied by the water in the bolus. In other words, our assumption is that DM does not fill any volume (e.g. : solubilized sugar + water does fill the same volume as the water alone). Once the volume is known, [H2] allows to compute the radius of the bolus $r(t)$, which impacts its movement along the small intestine. For a bolus with constant mass at the beginning of duodenum, [H2] influences the degradation by increasing the concentration of nutrients in the homogeneous part of bolus. Indeed the space occupied by insoluble dietary fibre is unavailable for the other macromolecules.

H3: *Digestion in the small intestine is due to volumic and surfacic transformations. Volumic degradation is the enzymatic hydrolysis of bolus components by pancreatic and exogenous enzymes inside the bolus while the surfacic one is the degradation by brush border enzymes on the internal wall of small intestine.*

Some additional facts should be pointed out : water facilitates the contact of the macromolecules with the brush border enzymes, enhancing the surfacic degradation. Increasing the water to dry matter ratio dilutes the bolus and decreases the volumic degradation. Both of these reactions are proportional to the mass ratio of concerned substrates.

H4: *The bolus movement along the small intestine is due to peristaltic waves. The efficiency of these waves are proportional to the radius of bolus, and inversely proportional to the distance from the pylorus.*

We use, in this article, the model of transport with an averaged velocity function as presented in [11]. The movement of the bolus in the model by this equation is due to a homogenized acceleration caused by the average effect of the peristaltic waves. Of course, there would no difficulty to come back to the model which takes into account all the frequent peristaltic waves.

H5: *The water in the bolus which is not hold by the macromolecules and DF through WHC i.e. “available water”, decreases the viscosity of the bolus and facilitates its movement. Due to osmotic type equilibrium, the concentration of this “available water” tends to reach a fixed ratio.*

In other words, “Available water” reduces the friction caused by the bolus contact with the intestinal wall.


H6: *Dietary fibre modifies the bolus evolution through its WHC by holding the water in its matrix, and therefore modifying the volume of the bolus. Soluble DF decreases the efficiency of peristaltic waves.*

By their WHC, dietary fibre holds a significant quantity of water in the bolus and therefore keep the volume and the radius of the bolus higher. It is worth pointing out that soluble dietary fibre change the consistence of the bolus in the sense of making it more jelly, implying the decreases of efficiency of peristaltic waves.

4 Physiological Aspects and Bolus Composition



Different steps of mechanical and chemical transformations are detailed below. We present also the composition of the bolus and their interactions.

- **Non degradable substrate** A_{nd} : The quantity A_{nd} represents the mass of macromolecules which is not degradable by endogenous enzymes of the digestive tract.
- **Non solubilized substrate** A_{ns} : The quantity A_{ns} represents the mass of macromolecules which is not accessible to enzymatic hydrolysis. In presence of a sufficient quantity of water, A_{ns} is transformed into A_s .
- **Solubilized substrate** A_s^{dm} : The quantity A_s^{dm} is the mass of dry substrate in solution state. It is called solubilized substrate and it is assumed that one unit of A_s^{dm} requires W_s units of water to remain solubilized. Recalling [H1], W_s represents the required mass of water to solubilize A_s^{dm} . This quantity depends on the properties of each macromolecules. The mass of W_s is assumed to be equal to the mass of αA_s^{dm} where α represents the ratio of water associated with A_s^{dm} . For simplification purposes, the mix of A_s^{dm} and water is represented by A_s .
- **Intermediate substrate** B_{int}^{dm} : The quantity B_{int}^{dm} is the mass of dry intermediate substrate obtained from the degradation of A_s by volumic transformation [H3]. It is solubilized and W_{int} represents the required amount of water to maintain solubilization. The mass of W_{int} is assumed to be equal to the mass of βB_{int}^{dm} where β represents the ratio of water associated with B_{int}^{dm} . For $B_{int} = B_{int}^{dm} + W_{int}$, volumic transformation is represented as



Depending on the value of W_s and W_{int} , the amount of $W_s - W_{int}$ of water can be released or hold in the bolus.

- **Absorbable nutrients** B_{abs}^{dm} : The quantity B_{abs}^{dm} is the mass of dry absorbable nutrients obtained from surfacic reactions (cf. [H3]). For $B_{abs} = B_{abs}^{dm} + W_{abs}$, the surfacic transformation is defined as



W_{abs} is the required amount of water to maintain solubilization and its mass is assumed to be equal to γB_{abs}^{dm} where γ represents the ratio of water associated with the B_{abs}^{dm} .

- **Soluble and insoluble dietary fibre** : F_{sol}^{dm} and F_{insol}^{dm} represent the soluble and non-soluble dry dietary fibre respectively. The main property of dietary fibre presented in Section 1 and hypothesis [H5] is its water holding capacity.

$$F_{sol} = W_{sol} + F_{sol}^{dm}$$

$$F_{insol} = W_{insol} + F_{insol}^{dm}$$

where the mass of W_{sol} (W_{insol}) is assumed to be equal to $\lambda_s F_{sol}^{dm}$ ($\lambda_i F_{insol}^{dm}$) for λ_s and λ_i which represent the ratio of water associated with F_{sol}^{dm} and F_{insol}^{dm} respectively . As described in Section 1, DF is not degradable by endogenous enzymes of the small intestine.

The following diagram shows the different transformations inside the bolus.




Figure 1: Physical and chemical transformations inside the bolus and included in the model are represented in this scheme. “B.B“ stands for brush border enzymes and “pcr” stands for pancreatic ones.

- **Dry Matter** : Total amount of Dry Matter substrate in the bolus is therefore

$$DM = A_{nd} + A_{ns} + A_s^{dm} + B_{int}^{dm} + B_{abs}^{dm} + F_{sol}^{dm} + F_{insol}^{dm}.$$

- **Water** : Impact of dietary fibre on digestion is closely linked to their WHC capacity. Though, water evolution in the bolus has to be described to understand effects of DF on digestion.

Total water W_{tot} in the bolus comes from three main sources

- (i) W_{feed} : water incorporated naturally in feedstuffs (e.g. : one gram of wheat contains 12 % of water). The amount of W_{feed} coming out of the stomach is assumed to be proportional to the ingested dry matter DM , $W_{feed} = K_{feed} \cdot DM$.
- (ii) W_{sec} : Water included in the endogenous secretions of saliva and stomach which is also assumed to be proportional to the ingested dry matter, $W_{sec} = K_{sec} \cdot DM$.

(iii) W_{drink} : Drunk water is assumed to be independent to the quantity of DM .

Total water included in the bolus is therefore defined as the sum of W_{feed} , W_{sec} and W_{drink}

$$W_{tot} = W_{feed} + W_{sec} + W_{drink}.$$

Thereby, “available water”, W , as presented in [H6], is defined as the difference between W_{tot} and the water associated with DM for maintaining the solution state. In term of mass, the quantity of “available water” in the bolus at each time is

$$W = W_{tot} - W_s - W_{int} - W_{abs} - W_{sol} - W_{insol}.$$

- **Mass of bolus M** : The total mass of bolus, M , is given by $M = DM + W_{tot}$.
- **Volume of bolus V** : To define the bolus volume, as explained in [H2], the volume of each substrate in solution is assumed to be the same as the volume filled by water associated with that substrate, i.e. the volume of A_s is equal to W_s/ρ_w , where $\rho_w = 1$ is the water density. The volume of bolus is therefore represented as

$$V = W_{tot} = \pi r^2 \ell \rho_w = \pi r^2 \ell.$$

Since the length of the bolus is assumed to be fixed, the volume evolution leads to compute the radius $r(t)$ of bolus at each time. Consequently its surface is written as $S = 2\pi r \ell$.

In the following section, the different properties of dietary fibre on the model of digestion are taken into account.

5 Model Equations

To include WHC property of soluble DF in the digestion model, the mix of F_{sol}^{dm} and W_{sol} is assumed to form a viscous gel in the bolus. Therefore in our model the mass of “available water” in the bolus is reduced. Moreover, viscous fibre enhances motility but decreases transit rate, since it resists propulsive contractions [12]. This resistance to peristaltic waves is described through a new notion called efficient radius of bolus called r_{sol} . As described in [H2], the volume filled by soluble DF is $W_{sol} = \lambda_s F_{sol}$, then

Definition 5.1. *The efficient radius of bolus is defined as*

$$r_{sol} = \sqrt{(W_{tot} - W_{sol})/2\pi\ell}.$$

In the same way, the efficient surface of bolus is described as $S_{sol} = 2\pi r_{sol} \ell$.

This definition is used to describe the decrease of the surfacic degradation and absorption caused by soluble DF in the model.

One of the hypothesis in the first model of digestion in [1] is the bolus homogeneity. The mass concentration of each component of the bolus is assumed to be its mass divided by the total mass M of the bolus. To model the digestion in presence of insoluble DF, new notions are defined because of heterogeneity of bolus as described in [H2]. The volume filled by insoluble DF (the mix of F_{insol}^{dm} and W_{insol}), is assumed to be unavailable to the macromolecules of feedstuffs in the bolus. In the digestion model, this hypothesis is taken into account by the following definition.

Definition 5.2. The apparent concentration of different substrates in the bolus is represented as

$$[A_s^{dm}] = \frac{A_s^{dm}}{M - F_{insol}}, \quad [B_{int}^{dm}] = \frac{B_{int}^{dm}}{M - F_{insol}}, \quad [B_{abs}^{dm}] = \frac{B_{abs}^{dm}}{M - F_{insol}}$$

$$[A_{ns}] = \frac{A_{ns}}{M - F_{insol}}, \quad [W] = \frac{W}{M - F_{insol}}, \quad [A_{nd}] = \frac{A_{nd}}{M - F_{insol}}$$

The degradation of macromolecules A_s and B_{int} as well as the absorption of the nutrients B_{abs} are affected by WHC property of the insoluble DF through this definition. Figure 2 shows the regions of bolus which are filled by insoluble DF and therefore unreachable by the macromolecules and nutrients.




Figure 2: The distribution of insoluble fibre in the bolus as assumed in the model. The apparent volume V_{app} (see Definition 5.3) is the white part of the cylinder.

Integrating the insoluble DF in the bolus changes also the region reachable to volumic degradation (see Figure 2). According to hypothesis [H2], W_{insol} is the volume filled by insoluble fibre.

Definition 5.3. The apparent volume of bolus V_{app} is therefore defined as

$$V_{app} = W_{tot} - W_{insol}.$$

The above considerations are taken into account in the following steps of digestion described below.

5.1 Transport of bolus

The averaged equation of transport of bolus introduced in [11] reads

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \tau(1 - c^{-1} \frac{dx}{dt}) \frac{c_0 + c_1 r}{a + bx} - \frac{K_{visco}}{[W]} \frac{dx}{dt},$$

where τ is the mean effect of the pulses by unit of time, c is mean velocity of peristaltic waves, x the position in the small intestine. Taking into account the properties of dietary fibre, this equation changes to

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \tau(1 - c^{-1} \frac{dx}{dt}) \frac{c_0 + c_1 r_{sol}}{a + bx} - \frac{K_{visco}}{[W]} \frac{dx}{dt}. \quad (1)$$

The bolus movement described by this equation depends on its position in the small intestine and on its efficient radius. Moreover, the acceleration is slowed down by a viscosity term which depends on the available water.

5.2 Volumic transformation

Volumic transformation presented by (Reaction 1) in Section 4 is the degradation of A_s^{dm} due to pancreatic and exogenous enzymes resulting in production of B_{int}^{dm} . Evolution of A_s^{dm} by this transformation is represented by

$$\frac{dA_s^{dm}}{dt} = -k_{vol}(x)[A_s^{dm}]V_{app}$$

where $k_{vol}(x)$ takes into account the enzymatic activity which is a function of bolus position at each time t . The term $[A_s^{dm}]V_{app}$ describes the dependence of volumic degradation on the concentration of A_s^{dm} at each unit of apparent volume i.e. the volume filled by the insoluble DF is not accessible to the enzymes and macromolecules.

Consequently, integrating the insoluble fibre in the bolus influences the volumic transformation by increasing the substrates concentration via the Definition 5.2 and by changing the volume and using V_{app} introduced in Definition 5.3.

As described in Section 5.1, integrating the soluble fibre modifies the velocity of bolus along the small intestine and therefore the distance travelled at each time $x(t)$. Consequently, it influences the volumic degradation through the function $k_{vol}(x(t))$.

This reaction takes place in a solution and each unit of A_s^{dm} degraded by this equation causes the release of “available water” $W_s = \alpha A_s^{dm}$.

The volumic production of intermediate substrate B_{int}^{dm} is the result of degradation of A_s^{dm}

$$\frac{dB_{int}^{dm}}{dt} = k_{vol}(x)[A_s^{dm}]V_{app}.$$

Each unit of produced B_{int}^{dm} requires and uses $W_{int} = \beta B_{int}^{dm}$ to maintain the solution state. According to (Reaction 1), the result of volumic transformation is the consumption or release of “available water” W . Thereby, volumic evolution of water is represented as

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \tilde{k}_{vol}(x)[A_s^{dm}]V_{app}, \quad (2)$$

where $\tilde{k}_{vol} = (\alpha - \beta)k_{vol}$.

Soluble fibre can be hydrolysed by exogenous enzymes e_{exo} (cellulase, hemicellulases, ...) ingested by food



Hydrolysis of F_{sol} by exogenous enzymes follows the same evolution as the volumic transformation of A_s^{dm}

$$\frac{dF_{sol}^{dm}}{dt} = -k_s e_{exo} \tilde{p}h(x)[F_{sol}^{dm}]V_{app}$$

where $[F_{sol}^{dm}] = F_{sol}/(M - F_{insol})$ and $\tilde{p}h(x)$ is the exogenous enzyme activity along the small intestine. This reaction produces the intermediate substrate B_{int}^{dm}

$$\frac{dB_{int}^{dm}}{dt} = k_s e_{exo} \tilde{p}h(x)[F_{sol}^{dm}]V_{app}.$$

The amount $(W_{sol} - W_{int})$ is released by (Reaction 4) and modifies the evolution of water

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \dots + \tilde{k}_s e_{exo} \tilde{p}h(x)[F_{sol}^{dm}]V_{app} \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{k}_s = (\lambda_s - \beta)k_s$.

5.3 Surfactic transformation

Surfactic degradation is the last step of transformation of macromolecules in the small intestine. The produced nutrients by this degradation are then absorbed through intestinal wall. Surfactic degradation depends on the fraction of A_s on the surface of the bolus represented by $[A_s]S_{sol}$ therefore

$$\frac{dA_s^{dm}}{dt} = -k_{surf}[A_s][W]S_{sol}$$

where k_{surf} stands for the rate of surfactic degradation of A_s and the efficient surface S_{sol} has been defined by Definition 5.1. Moreover, it is assumed that the brush-border enzymes are always in excess in the small intestine. Surfactic degradation of B_{int}^{dm} follows the same process as for A_s^{dm} . Therefore for $[B_{int}]$ defined by Definition 5.2, we have

$$\frac{dB_{int}^{dm}}{dt} = -\tilde{k}_{surf}[B_{int}][W]S_{sol}.$$

where k_{surf} stands for the rate of surfactic degradation of B_{int} . Evolution of water in the bolus is influenced by the surfactic degradation, i.e. the quantity of water consumed (or released) by (Reaction 2). Therefore the surfactic evolution of water is

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \dots + \left((\beta - \gamma)\tilde{k}_{surf}[B_{int}] + (\alpha - \gamma)k_{surf}[A_s] \right) [W]S_{sol}. \quad (4)$$

5.4 The equilibrium between A_s and A_{ns}

Modifications of feedstuffs in the stomach by the enzymes and water change most of the A_{ns} into $A_s = A_s^{dm} + W_s$ and makes them accessible to intestinal enzymes.

However, for some feedstuffs, the bolus may contain A_{ns} at the beginning of small intestine. In this case, the digestion of bolus contains also the transformation of A_{ns} into A_s . Mixing with bile acid for lipids and producing the micelles, denaturing for the proteins and adding water and solubilization for the dry starch are examples of the transformation of A_{ns} into A_s in the small intestine.

The solubilization of A_{ns} which takes place in the presence of enough quantity of W and results in the production of A_s , is a phenomenon taken into account in the model. Solubilization is reversible and lack of water may cause production of A_{ns} releasing W in the bolus.

Thereby, the balance is assumed to be reached when

$$A_s = \mu([W])A_{ns}$$

for μ which is an increasing function of $[W]$. If k_{equi} stands for the rate of turning back to equilibrium then the dynamical equilibrium may be defined

$$\frac{dA_{ns}}{dt} = -k_{equi}(\mu([W])A_{ns} - A_s) \quad (5)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{dA_s^{dm}}{dt} = k_{equi}(\mu([W])A_{ns} - A_s).$$

The variation of water quantity caused by the equilibrium may be represented as

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \dots + \alpha k_{equi}(\mu([W])A_{ns} - A_s). \quad (6)$$

5.5 Pancreatic and biliary secretions

Pancreatic and biliary secretions consist of a solution of nutrients and enzymes which do not contain available water W . In fact, water included in this solution is assumed to be associated with nutrients and enzymes to keep them solubilized. Modelling details on these secretions could be seen in [1]. Adding dietary fibre increases the quantity of pancreatic secretions. However this point is not yet included in the model.

5.6 Absorption through intestinal wall

Absorption of nutrients through intestinal wall depends on their concentration on the inner surface of intestinal wall

$$\frac{dB_{abs}^{dm}}{dt} = \dots - k_{abs}[B_{abs}^{dm}]S_{sol}$$

The passage of nutrient through intestinal wall releases the associated water, thus

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = \dots + \gamma k_{abs}[B_{abs}^{dm}]S_{sol} \quad (7)$$

where k_{abs} represents the rate of absorption through intestinal wall.

5.7 Water equilibrium

Water equilibrium was already taken into account in [1]. The assumption was that $[W]$ tends to reach a fixed ratio (10%), suggesting the equation

$$\frac{d[W]}{dt} = -k_w([W] - 0.1) \quad (8)$$

where $[W] = W(t)/M(t)$, $M(t)$ representing the bolus mass. The superposition of the equations (2), (3), (4), (6), (7) and (8) provides the equation describing the evolution of W along the small intestine.

The evolution of bolus mass is represented as

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = (\alpha + 1)\frac{dA_s^{dm}}{dt} + (\beta + 1)\frac{dB_{int}^{dm}}{dt} + (\gamma + 1)\frac{dB_{abs}^{dm}}{dt} + (\lambda_{sol} + 1)\frac{dF_{sol}^{dm}}{dt} + \frac{dA_{ns}}{dt} + \frac{dW}{dt},$$

each term of the above equation is replaced by its expression, therefore we obtain

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{M}{M - W}(-k_w(W - 0.1M) - k_{abs}[B_{abs}^{dm}]S_{sol}). \quad (9)$$

The variation of bolus volume depends on the absorption or secretion of “available water” and endogenous secretions in the small intestine i.e.

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{dW}{dt}, \quad (10)$$

therefore

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -k_w(W - 0.1M) + \text{Secretions}.$$

6 Numerical Simulations

A thorough examination of the effects of the different parameters of the model on transport, degradation and absorption is carried out by Scilab software. In these *in silico* experiences, the presence of dietary fibre F_{sol} and F_{insol} in the bolus, the variation of the initial values of bolus and the sensitivity of the model on the parameters α , β and γ (cf. Section 4) are investigated.

The mass of the bolus at the entry of the small intestine is assumed to be fixed in all our following experiences. The bolus at the entry of the small intestine contains A_{nd} , A_{ns} , A_s , B_{int} , B_{abs} and W . When studying the influence of dietary fibre on digestion, the non degradable substrate A_{nd} is replaced by F_{sol} or F_{insol} .

6.1 Influence of dietary fibre on intestinal absorption

As described in Section 1, the positive effect of insoluble DF in digestion may be due to two main reasons : (i) modification of the composition of bolus due to the increase of retention time in the stomach, (ii) modification of the bolus physical characteristics.

The effects of these two cases on the digestion model are studied in this section.

Influence of the modification of the bolus in the stomach

Including insoluble DF in the bolus delays gastric emptying. The direct effect of this phenomenon is to increase the solubilization in the bolus and to start partially the degradation. We studied the effects of such a change in the initial conditions for our model. To this aim, two numerical experiences are carried out : (a) the increase in the ratio of A_s to A_{ns} and (b) the increase in the ratio of B_{int} to A_s when $A_{ns} = 0$.

- (a) Our first experience consists in increasing the ratio of A_s to A_{ns} in the bolus at the entry of the small intestine. The value of absorbed dry nutrients at the end of the small intestine does not vary meaningfully. Table 1 shows the absorbed dry nutrients to DM ratio at the end of ileum $x = 17$ for different for the different A_s^{dm} to DM ratio at the beginning of the small intestine. Despite the variations in the ratio of A_s to A_{ns} , the equilibrium between A_s

$x = 0$		End of the small intestine $x = 17$
$A_s^{dm}.DM^{-1}$ (%)	$A_{ns}.DM^{-1}$ (%)	Absorbed dry nutrients to DM ratio (%)
0	85	56
42	42	57
85	0	58

Table 1: The proportion of absorbed nutrients to DM for different scenarios of solubilization in the bolus at the entry of duodenum.

and A_{ns} defined by Equation (5) is reached quickly (see Figure 3). The sensitivity analysis shows also that the value of absorbed dry nutrients is almost independent to the variations in the ratio of A_s to A_{ns} . However, this results depend on the choice of equilibrium rate k_{equi} , a small value of k_{equi} may decrease the difference between the final values of absorbed nutrients.

- (b) In the second experience, the modification in the stomach is assumed to result in the transformation of all A_{ns} in the bolus into A_s and additionally the production of B_{int} . Variations in the ratio of A_s to B_{int} inside the bolus at the entry of the small intestine are tested. Table




Figure 3: The equilibrium $A_s^{dm} - A_{ns}$ is reached quickly for different initial value of A_s .

2 shows the variation of absorbed dry nutrients at the end of the small intestine as a function of the initial value of B_{int} .

Numerical results shows the increase in absorbed dry nutrients when the ratio of B_{int} to A_s is increased.

$x = 0$		End of the small intestine $x = 17$
$B_{int}^{dm}.DM^{-1}$ (%)	$A_s.DM^{-1}$ (%)	Absorbed dry nutrients to DM ratio (%)
0	85	57
42	42	61
85	0	64

Table 2: The relation between the absorbed dry nutrients at the end of digestion and the different initial values of B_{int}^{dm} .


Direct effect of DF on the function of the small intestine

Besides the modification of the bolus in the stomach, presence of insoluble DF changes also the physiochemical characteristics of bolus (Section 5).

To observe the effect of DF in the model of digestion, value of insoluble and soluble DF was increased from 1 g to 5 g in a bolus of 120 g.

Figure 4 shows that the presence of insoluble DF promotes intestinal absorption, however this increase in absorbed dry nutrients is not meaningful. The results in this figure, show that the increasing of the value of soluble DF decreases the quantity of absorbed dry nutrients and increases the final total mass.

Therefore, numerical simulation shows that the positive effect of insoluble DF on the amount of absorbed dry nutrients is mainly due to the modification of the bolus in the stomach. However, the effect of the interaction of bolus with the small intestine as described in Figure 4 may not be neglected.



(a) Absorption versus F_{ins}

(b) Absorption versus F_s

Figure 4: Change in the final mass of bolus and absorbed dry nutrients for different amount of DF in the bolus at the entry of duodenum.

Time of intestinal transit in the presence of DF

Numerical results of transit time in presence of DF are present in Table 3.

These results show that integrating insoluble DF in the bolus decreases the time of intestinal transit from 5 h for a bolus of 120 g without insoluble DF to 3, 9 h for a bolus of the same mass which contains 5 g of DF. These results are consistent with published values. The experiences done by Wilfart et al. [13] have shown that increasing dietary fibre content reduced or tended to reduce the mean retention time in the small intestine.

These results show that integrating soluble DF in the bolus increases the intestinal digestion time from 5 h to 6, 7 h illustrating the effect of viscosity due to soluble DF on transit time.

$x = 0$	Intestinal transit time (hour)	
$F \cdot DM^{-1}$ (%)	bolus containing F_{insol}	bolus containing F_{sol}
0	5	5
2	4, 7	5, 4
7	4, 3	5, 9
11	4	6, 5
14	3, 9	6, 7

Table 3: Intestinal transit time for the different quantities of $F = F_{sol}$ or F_{insol} in the bolus at the entry of duodenum

6.2 Water associated to dry matter

To study the influence of the quantity of associated water on digestion, variation of the values of α , β and γ have been tested. We observe their influence on digestion and specifically on the absorbed dry nutrients and A_s - A_{ns} equilibrium. Two main simulations are carried out : an uniform water content for A_s , B_{int} and B_{abs} i.e. $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ and a non uniform one.

The objective of these experiences is to understand how the different values of α , β and γ influence the digestion in our modelling.

6.2.1 Uniform water content for A_s , B_{int} and B_{abs}

The value of $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ varied from 1 to 4 in the model presented in section 5. Our objective is to observe its effect on the value of absorbed dry nutrients as well as on the final mass of bolus.

Numerical results presented in Figure 5 show the negative effect of this increase on the absorbed dry nutrients. Increasing the quantity of water (α , β and γ) associated with the dry feed-stuffs (A_s^{dm} , B_{int}^m and B_{abs}^{dm}) in our model, dilutes the bolus and decreases the volumic degradation, it decreases also the quantity of dry nutrients in contact with the internal surface of the bolus. These




Figure 5: Dependence of the absorbed dry nutrients and the final mass of bolus at the end of the small intestine on the value of α , β and γ .

results seems to be consistent with the reality, in fact, the more water is presented in the bolus, the less (pancreatic and brush border) enzymes and molecules are in contact.

We are also interested by the effect of these variations on the $A_s^{dm} - A_{ns}$ equilibrium defined by Equation (5). The results are shown in Figure 6. The equilibrium is almost reached in the four experiences, however the choice of k_{equi} can change the necessary time to reach the equilibrium. A significant production of A_{ns} is observed in Figure 6.d because of the lack of the available water at the beginning of the small intestine.

6.2.2 Non-uniform water content for A_s , B_{int} and B_{abs}

In the second experience, the quantity of absorbed dry nutrients at the end of the small intestine and the numerical results of A_s - A_{ns} equilibrium for different values of α , β and γ were observed. The choice of the values of A_s , B_{int} and B_{abs} is based on the hypothesis that the value of β is always between the values of α and γ because of the molecule size of B_{int}^{dm} . Even if the longest




Figure 6: The evolution of the equilibrium $A_s^{dm} - A_{ns}$ depends strongly on the quantity of α , β and γ .

	Absorbed dry nutrients to DM ratio (%)	Retention time in the small intestine (h)
$\alpha = 1, \beta = 2, \gamma = 3$	45	5, 2
$\alpha = 2, \beta = 2, \gamma = 2$	50	4, 9
$\alpha = 3, \beta = 2, \gamma = 1$	54	4, 6

Table 4: Transit time and absorbed dry nutrients at the end of the small intestine depend on the values of α , β and γ .

transit time was observed for $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$, it shows the lowest level of dry absorbed nutrients. This stresses the key-role of “available water” W on digestion.

$A_s - A_{ns}$ equilibrium is observed in two cases :

- (i) Firstly, it was assumed that $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$. There is a little production of A_{ns} from A_s (desoublization) because of the lack of water at the entry of the small intestine followed by the degradation of A_s .
- (ii) Secondly, it was assumed that $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$. There is a rapid solubilization of A_{ns} followed by degradation of A_s into B_{int} . The released water by degradation of A_s is not sufficient to maintain the solution state of B_{int} since $\alpha < \beta$. The required water is provided by the transformation of A_s into A_{ns} as it can be seen in the Figure 7.




Figure 7: The equilibrium $A_s^{dm} - A_{ns}$ for different quantity of α , β and γ .

6.3 The variation of the water ratio inside the bolus

We are interested by the change in the ratio of W inside the bolus and its influence on the absorption in the small intestine. For the sake of simplicity, it was assumed that $W_{feed} = W_{sec} = 0$.

In order to study this effect, the value of dry matter in the bolus is assumed fixed at 42 g while the value of water increases in each experience.

In the numerical simulations, the ratio of water included in the bolus represented 55%, 60% and 66% of bolus. The *ratio of absorbed dry nutrients to the total absorption (water and nutrients)* is collected. Results are presented in Table 5. The numerical results of Table 5 shows that

(%) Amount of water at $x = 0$ inside bolus	(%) Ratio of absorbed dry nutrients at $x = 17$	(g) Mass of Absorbed dry nutrients	Transit time (hour)
50	50	25	5, 7
60	35	26	5, 2
66	28	27	4, 5

Table 5: Dependence of absorbed dry nutrients to the water ratio. DM stands for the amount of dry matter in the bolus at the entry of bolus.

increasing the value of water in the bolus decreases the *ratio of absorbed dry nutrient to the total absorbed matter (water+dry nutrients)*, even though the value of absorbed dry nutrients increases.

In fact, in our model, at the end of each experience the value of W_t is approximately 55% of the total mass of bolus and this equilibrium is achieved almost quickly (because of the choice of k_w). Therefore, increasing the value of water in this model does not have a meaningful effect on the final absorption and the slight increase in the absorbed mass of dry nutrients is due to the change of the volume of bolus in each experience which promotes the access of nutrients to intestinal wall for absorption, although this increase dilutes the bolus and decreases the volumic degradation. Here again, this is the direct result the choice of the parameters (rate of surfacic (k_{surf}) and volumic (k_{vol}) degradation).

7 Discussion

In this paper, we have continued the modelling of the digestion in the small intestine started in [1]. The objective was to obtain a more realistic model of digestion process by including new phenomena and completing the others: DM and water are treated separately, water evolution is influenced by the degradation of other molecules, the effects of DF on the digestion are taken into account which is also a first step toward a non-homogeneous model with different types of feedstuffs.

The advantages and the defects of this model as well as the perspectives are outlined in the following paragraphs.

One of the main aspect of this model remains its genericity, we have tried to identify and model the main generic phenomena of digestion and ignored or implicitly taken into account in the parameters the ones which required the specific properties of feedstuffs' molecules (effects on gastric emptying, on viscosity,...etc.). The different steps of digestion (equilibrium between A_{ns} and A_s , successive transformation of A_s into B_{int} and B_{abs}), the effects of physical characteristic of bolus (surface and volume) on its degradation and transit, the interaction between DF and feedstuffs molecules (among others) have been considered while some other phenomena like the separation between the enzyme activities of different feedstuffs' molecules, dependence of the enzyme activity with respect to the dilution of bolus, the different substrates density, the impact of DF on initial condition (and others) have been ignored.

To our point of view, we obtained a more realistic model by integrating these new phenomena in the model of digestion. In particular, the WHC of dietary fibre which in turn interferes the digestion of other feedstuffs molecules, leads us to introduce the separation between DM and water and consider all the effects of water.

However, some other phenomena are still ignored either because of the lack of information concerning their effects or because of their supposed little impact on digestion at this scale. Of course, It would be interesting to include in the model the phenomena like interaction between different categories of feedstuffs and then define the specific enzyme activity for different cases to exploit their potential to impact the digestion. The future development of the model will be based on these new objectives.

Modelling the influence of soluble and insoluble DF on the initial condition and on degradation of other feedstuffs' molecules as well as on the movement of bolus (Experience 6.1) is the first try to model a more realistic non-homogeneous bolus. DF have normally a high WHC which increases the volume of aqueous phase in the bolus and therefore dilutes the solution of nutrients and enzymes [14]. This is known to influence the volumic and surfacic reactions. However, these effects depend highly to the choice of W_{sol} and W_{insol} . Another aspect of the model is the water equilibrium and its impact on absorption, here again the choice of parameters (W_{as} , W_{int} and W_{abs}) plays significant role on the final results of digestion.

On the other hand, Experience 6.3 reveals the role of other parameters of model (k_w , k_{equi} , k_{surf} , k_{vol}). As it has been described in this experience, the change of water absorption rate k_w , can change (even inverse) the numerical results. However, it is worth pointing that the choice of the model parameters are based on the observed behaviours (literature), the results are therefore consistent qualitatively with the reality (positive influence of insoluble DF on digestion, negative effect of soluble DF, ...).

Taking into account these new phenomena requires the introduction of new parameters which can be identified with the help of existing experimental data. To the best of our knowledge, some of these parameters like the water associated to dry nutrients (W_{sol} , W_{insol} , W_{as} , W_{int} and W_{abs}) are introduced for the first time and they should be identified

One of the advantages of this model is its capacity to be reduced and to be adapt to the new

experiences which makes the parameters identification possible. Reduction consists in the decreasing the number of equations of system or the number of parameters without affecting its genericity (e.g. a bolus which does not contain the DF, results in a more simplified digestion process which in turn caused decreasing the equations (parameters) involved in the digestion model).

It is also worth pointing that the value of most of the parameters depends to the special category of feedstuffs. The close collaborations between biologists and mathematicians is therefore required to identify these new parameters (literature data in biology, define the new experimentations, ...). This reveals one of the main interest of modelling which is to ask the precise questions about the modeled phenomenon. In fact, this approach allows to use all the existing data and limit the new animal experimentation to the special cases (when the existing data are not sufficient).

8 Appendix

8.1 The Model equations

- ◇ Equation of transport

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} = \tau(1 - C^{-1}\frac{dx}{dt})\frac{c_0 + c_1r_{sol}}{a + bx} - \frac{K_{visco}}{[W]}\frac{dx}{dt}.$$

- ◇ Non solubilized substrate A_{ns}

$$\frac{dA_{ns}}{dt} = -k_{equi}(\mu([W])A_{ns} - A_s)$$

- ◇ Soluble DF F_{sol}

$$\frac{dF_{sol}^{dm}}{dt} = -k_s e_{exo} \tilde{p}h(x)[F_{sol}^{dm}]V_{app}$$

- ◇ Solubilized substrate A_s^{dm}

$$\frac{dA_s^{dm}}{dt} = -k_{vol}(x)[A_s^{dm}]V_{app} - k_{surf}[A_s][W]S_{sol} + k_{equi}(\mu([W])A_{ns} - A_s) + \text{secretions}$$

- ◇ Intermediate substrate B_{int}^{dm}

$$\frac{dB_{int}^{dm}}{dt} = k_{vol}(t)[A_s^{dm}]V_{app} + k_s e_{exo} \tilde{p}h(x)[F_{sol}^{dm}]V_{app} - \tilde{k}_{surf}[B_{int}][W]S_{sol} + \text{secretions}$$

- ◇ Absorbable nutrients B_{abs}^{dm}

$$\frac{dB_{abs}^{dm}}{dt} = \left(\tilde{k}_{surf}[B_{int}] + k_{surf}[A_s] \right) [W]S_{sol} - k_{abs}[B_{abs}^{dm}]S_{sol}$$

- ◇ Exogenous enzymes $e(t)$

$$\frac{de}{dt} = -k_e e$$

- ◇ Free Water Evolution $W(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dW}{dt} = & -\alpha k_{equi}(\mu([W])A_{ns} - A_s) + (\alpha - \beta)k_{vol}(t)[A_s^{dm}]V_{app} \\ & + [W] \left((\beta - \gamma)\tilde{k}_{surf}[B_{int}] + (\alpha - \gamma)k_{surf}[A_s] \right) \\ & + (\lambda_s - \beta)k_s e_{exo} \tilde{p}h(x)[F_{sol}^{dm}]V_{app} + \gamma k_{abs}[B_{abs}^{dm}]S_{sol} - k_w(W - 0.1M). \end{aligned}$$

- ◇ Evolution of the bolus mass $M(t)$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = \frac{M}{M - W} (-k_w(W - 0.1M) - k_{abs}[B_{abs}^{dm}]S_{sol} + \text{Secretions})$$

- ◇ Evolution of bolus volume $V(t)$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -k_w(W - 0.1M) + \text{Secretions}$$

References

- [1] M. Taghipoor, G. Barles, C. Georgelin, J. R. Licois, and P. Lescoat. Mathematical modelling of transport and degradation of feedstuffs in the small intestine. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 294:114–121, October 2011.
- [2] C. Wenk. The role of dietary fibre in the digestive physiology of the pig. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 90(12):21 – 33, 2001. The Role of Dietary Fibre in Pig Production.
- [3] B. McCleary and L. Prosky, editors. *Advanced Dietary Fibre Technology*. Wiley-Blackwell, 1 edition, 2 2001.
- [4] J. W Anderson, P. Baird, R. H. Davis, S. Ferreri, M. Knudtson, A. Koraym, V. Waters, and C. L. Williams. Health benefits of dietary fiber. *Nutr Rev*, 67(4):188–205, Apr 2009.
- [5] A. Tharakan. *Modelling of physical and chemical processes in the small intestine*. PhD thesis, University of Birmingham, December 2009.
- [6] K.E. and Bach Knudsen. The nutritional significance of ”dietary fibre” analysis. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 90(1-2):3 – 20, 2001. The Role of Dietary Fibre in Pig Production.
- [7] M. Siljeström, E. Westerlund, I. Björck, J. Holm, N.-G. Asp, and O. Theander. The effects of various thermal processes on dietary fibre and starch content of whole grain wheat and white flour. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 4(4):315 – 323, 1986.
- [8] E. Jiménez-Moreno, J. M. González-Alvarado, R. Lázaro, and G. G. Mateos. Effects of type of cereal, heat processing of the cereal, and fiber inclusion in the diet on gizzard pH and nutrient utilization in broilers at different ages. *Poult Sci*, 88(9):1925–1933, Sep 2009.
- [9] T. M. Burkhalter, N. R. Merchen, L. L. Bauer, S. M. Murray, A. R. Patil, J. L. Brent, and G. C. Fahey. The ratio of insoluble to soluble fiber components in soybean hulls affects ileal and total-tract nutrient digestibilities and fecal characteristics of dogs. *J Nutr*, 131(7):1978–1985, Jul 2001.
- [10] M. Rezaei, M. A. Karimi Torshizi, and Y. Rouzbehan. The influence of different levels of micronized insoluble fiber on broiler performance and litter moisture. *Poult Sci*, 90(9):2008–2012, Sep 2011.
- [11] M. Taghipoor, G. Barles, C. Georgelin, J. R. Licois, and P. Lescoat. Mathematical homogenization in the modelling of digestion in the small intestine. September 2011.
- [12] FAO, Rome: Food Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation. Paper 66, and Agriculture Organization, editors. *Carbohydrates in human nutrition*. FAO Food and Nutrition, 1998.

- [13] A. Wilfart, L. Montagne, H. Simmins, J. Noblet, and J. van Milgen. Digesta transit in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract of pigs as affected by insoluble fibre supplied by wheat bran. *Br J Nutr*, 98(1):54–62, Jul 2007.
- [14] Barbara O. and Schneeman. Dietary fiber and gastrointestinal function. *Nutrition Research*, 18(4):625 – 632, 1998.