

An overview of the production and use of ammonia in NSR + SCR coupled system for NOx reduction from lean exhaust gas

F. Can, X. Courtois, S. Royer, G. Blanchard, S. Rousseau, D. Duprez

► To cite this version:

F. Can, X. Courtois, S. Royer, G. Blanchard, S. Rousseau, et al.. An overview of the production and use of ammonia in NSR + SCR coupled system for NOx reduction from lean exhaust gas. Catalysis Today, 2012, 197 (1), pp.144-154. 10.1016/j.cattod.2012.07.032 . hal-00749913

HAL Id: hal-00749913 https://hal.science/hal-00749913

Submitted on 20 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Catalysis Today 197 (2012) 144-154. DOI: 10.1016/j.cattod.2012.07.032

An overview of the production and use of ammonia in NSR + SCR coupled system for NOx reduction from lean exhaust gas.

Fabien Can^{1*}, Xavier Courtois¹, Sébastien Royer¹, Gilbert Blanchard², Séverine Rousseau², Daniel Duprez¹

 ¹ Université de Poitiers, CNRS, IC2MP UMR 7285, 4 rue Michel Brunet, F-86022 Poitiers Cedex, France
 ² PSA, Peugeot Citroën, Route de Gisy, F-78943 Vélizy-Villacoublay Cedex, France

*Corresponding author, E-mail: fabien.can@univ-poitiers.fr

Abstract

This paper gives a critical overview of the recent advances in NOx abatement in excess of oxygen based on the combination of the NOx storage-reduction (NSR) and Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) processes. Ammonia may be produced during the regeneration step of NSR catalyst, by the direct reaction $(NOx + H_2)$ or/and the isocyanate route. Recent literature highlights that the ammonia production rate is higher than the ammonia reaction rate with the remaining NOx in order to form N₂. In order to optimize the use of the *in situ* produced ammonia, a catalyst dedicated to the NOx-SCR by NH₃ can be added. Zeolites are the main studied materials for this application. Catalytic reduction of NOx by NH₃ relates a complex mechanism, in which the nuclearity of the active sites is still an open question. Over zeolites, the NO to NO₂ oxidation step is reported as the rate-determining step of the SCR reaction, even if the first step of the reaction is ammonia adsorption on zeolite Brønsted acid sites. Thus, the addition of a NH₃-SCR material to the NSR catalyst is a possible way to increase the global NOx abatement and maximize the N₂ selectivity, together with the prevention of the ammonia slip.

Keywords: NOx; NSR; SCR; ammonia; NH₃.

1. Introduction

In order to reduce CO₂ emissions, direct-injection lean-burn engines are attractive for car manufacturers due to their lower fuel consumption. However, these engines operate with a large air excess, which makes very difficult the NOx abatement in the post combustion catalytic converters. Two catalytic solutions are envisaged to be implemented on those types of cars: (i) the NOx Selective Catalytic Reduction by NH₃ (NH₃-SCR) or (ii) the NOx-trap system (also called NOx-Storage Reduction or NSR system). Today in Europe, the NH₃-SCR technology should be implemented on heavier cars and trucks while the NSR system has been widely studied as a promising technology especially for lighter passenger cars.

The typical NOx-trap catalyst contains a noble metal (Pt) allowing the NO oxidation into NO₂, a basic phase (Ba oxide/carbonate) for trapping NO₂ as nitrite/nitrate intermediates, these two active phases being supported on a modified alumina support. The catalytic material operates in fast lean/rich transients. NOx are firstly trapped during a lean phase of about 1 minute, while the "saturated" trap is regenerated during the rich phase of only a few seconds. The gases flowing over the catalyst during the lean phase are constituted by the standard exhaust issued from the engine. The rich phase is however generated by injecting a large pulse of fuel, immediately transformed into HC, CO and H₂ on a pre-catalyst (generally the Diesel Oxidation Catalyst located before the NSR system). This pulse of fuel provokes an exotherm helping the nitrate to desorb from the NSR catalyst while residual reducers (HC, CO and H₂) reduce the desorbed NOx into nitrogen. These steps are describing the ideal operating mode of the NSR system. Nevertheless, other undesirable compounds can be emitted during the regeneration, especially ammonia [1,2].

In order to increase the global NOx abatement and the N_2 selectivity, adding a NH₃-SCR catalyst to the NSR catalyst is a simple and efficient way. Ammonia produced during the short periods in rich media can be stored on the SCR catalyst, and it can further react with

NOx passing through the NOx-trap during the next lean period. This work reports recent results about the production and use of ammonia in NSR +SCR coupled system for NOx reduction.

2. NH₃ emission from NSR catalysts

The NOx storage reduction (NSR or Lean NOx Trap –LNT-) process is largely studied since the beginning of the 1990s [3,4,5]. Alumina supported platinum based catalysts are the most investigated systems and barium oxide is usually added as NOx storage phase. It works mainly in lean condition. NOx are then oxidized on precious metals and stored on basic compounds, mainly in the form of nitrates. Periodically, the catalyst is submitted to rich condition for a few seconds, and the nitrates formed during the lean step are reduced into N₂ on the precious metals [6,7]. The nature of the reducers in the rich mixture directly impacts the NO_X conversion and the selectivity of the NSR reaction. On usual NSR model catalysts, namely Pt/(BaO)/Al₂O₃, hydrogen is reported to be more efficient as reducing agent than CO [8,9]. This higher efficiency of H₂ is clearly evidenced by Szailer *et al.* [8] at very low temperature (150°C), and in the 150-350°C temperature range by Nova *et al.* [9]. The following section focuses on the ammonia formation and emission over NSR catalysts.

2.1 Ammonia formation

Ammonia can be formed by the direct reaction of stored NOx with hydrogen (Eq. (1.0)) [10,11]. This route is likewise reported in Ref. [12] over Pt-Ba/Al₂O₃ material, when H₂ is used as the reducer. Artioli et al. [13] observed that, depending on the gas feed composition, ammonia is emitted together with CO₂ consumption, as reported in Eq. 1.1.

$$Ba(NO_3)_2 + 8 H_2 \rightarrow 2 NH_3 + Ba(OH)_2 + 12 H_2O$$
(1.0)

$$Ba(NO_3)_2 + 8 H_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2 NH_3 + BaCO_3 + 5 H_2O$$
(1.1)

Castoldi et al. mentioned that ammonia emission is observed when barium loading ranges

from 16 to 30 wt.% [12]. At lower barium loading (*i.e.* 5-16 wt.%), authors have reported that the reduction of stored NOx is initially fully selective into nitrogen, according to the Eqs. (2).

 $Ba(NO_3)_2 + 5 H_2 \rightarrow N_2 + Ba(OH)_2 + 4 H_2O$ (2.0)

$$Ba(NO_3)_2 + 5 H_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow N_2 + BaCO_3 + 5 H_2O$$
 (2.1)

The catalyst temperature is also an important parameter, impacting the NOx adsorption during the lean periods and reduction rate versus the desorption rate during the lean periods. In addition, exotherms are detected during the rich pulses, indicating that H₂ also reacts with remaining oxygen (from gas phase and/or catalyst), leading to an additional release of stored NOx. Then, when the regeneration of the catalyst is carried out in the presence of NO, and reaction (3) may also occur [14]:

$$NO + 5/2 H_2 \rightarrow NH_3 + H_2O$$
 or $NO_2 + 7/2 H_2 \rightarrow NH_3 + 2 H_2O$ (3)

Ammonia is however observed after N_2 formation, when hydrogen begins to be emitted. This point is discussed below (section 2.2).

The direct ammonia formation mechanism (Eq. 1 and 3), when only H_2 is used as reducer, was studied in Ref. [8]. Authors proposed the hydrogen activation on the platinum surface, which first reacts with the adsorbed oxygen species (O_a, Eq. 4), remaining on the Pt surface from the reduction of NO₂. In Eq. 4, Pt* denotes reduced Pt sites.

$$Pt^{...}O_a + H_2 \rightarrow Pt^* + H_2O \tag{4}$$

The so produced H_2O destabilizes the adsorbed nitrates. Consequently, surface nitrates present over alumina are suggested to be decomposed on the Pt free surface. The dissociation of NOx species on the Pt clusters can be followed by the recombination of N_a atoms to form N_2 (Eq. 5 and 6), or by the reaction of these N_a atoms with H_2 to form NH_x and, ultimately, NH_3 .

$$(x+1)Pt* + NOx \rightarrow xPt^{...}O_a + Pt^{...}N_a$$
(5)

$$2Pt^{..}N_a \rightarrow 2Pt^* + N_2 \tag{6}$$

In this process, the primary role of H₂ is to keep the platinum surface clean and reduced for

the NOx dissociative adsorption. Indeed, the reaction of H₂ with O_a leads to the production of H₂O and free Pt⁰ surface site (Eq. 4). It is suggested that the H₂ dissociative adsorption, leading to H_a species, promotes the NO dissociation reaction on Pt surface [15]. Structure and temperature are also key parameters for the NH₃ emission. Indeed, modeling the effect of platinum dispersion of a model Pt/BaO/Al₂O₃ sample, allowed Bhatia *et al.* [16] to propose that highest amount of NH₃ is produced over low dispersed catalyst (3.2% platinum dispersion) at high temperature (T \geq 300°C). Effect of temperature in NH₃ formation is important since it was also observed that ammonia formation is enhanced at low temperature (T \leq 200°C) but rather with high dispersed catalyst (50% dispersion) [16]. The effect of noble metal dispersion on ammonia production is then explained by the varying average distance – or proximity – between the stored NOx and the platinum sites. Proximity between storage sites and reaction sites affects the stored NOx transport process [17].

Ammonia can also be formed via the isocyanate route, when CO is used as the main reducer [8]. At low temperature (T < 150°C), the first reaction is the removal of adsorbed oxygen atoms over the Pt particles (Pt^{...}O_a), leading to CO₂ production (Eq. 7).

$$Pt...O_a + CO \rightarrow Pt* + CO_2 \tag{7}$$

The obtained free Pt sites become available for the CO adsorption step as for the NOx dissociative adsorption. Then, adsorbed species can react together to form NCO species (Eq. 8).

$$Pt...N_a + Pt...CO_a \rightarrow Pt* + Pt-NCO_a$$
(8)

-NCO species generated on the Pt particles spill over to the oxide components of the catalyst. Therefore, -NCO and CO₂ formation occur at the expense of adsorbed nitrate species, while N₂ is not produced. At higher temperatures (T > 300° C), these NCO species can readily react with the stored NOx. This reaction route eventually leads to the consumption of both nitrate and NCO adsorbed species (Eq. 9).

$$NCO_a + NOx \rightarrow N_2 + CO_2$$
 (9)

It is reported that N_2 formation is significantly enhanced by adding water to the NCO-covered catalysts [18]. Adding water then open up a new reaction route for the –NCO reactivity, namely the hydrolysis of NCO species to NH₃ and CO₂ (Eq. 10 and 11).

$$NCO_a + H_2O \rightarrow NH_{2/a} + CO_2 \tag{10}$$

$$NH_{2/a} + H_2O \rightarrow NH_3 + OH_a$$
(11)

The presence of H_2O and CO_2 in the gas mixture directly impacts ammonia formation mechanism. According to Eq. 12, the Water Gas Shift (WGS) and the reverse reaction (Reverse WGS, RWGS) can also occur, leading to the presence of both CO and H_2 in gas phase, even if the initial reducer in the rich mixture is H_2 or CO alone.

$$CO + H_2O \stackrel{\scriptstyle \leftarrow}{} CO_2 + H_2 \tag{12}$$

It is also demonstrated the presence of water or carbon dioxide in the gas mixture has a negative effect on the storage step of the NSR process [19,20]. However, these molecules have different impacts on the NOx abatement efficiency. Due to their involvement in the RWGS reaction, the absence of water in the gas mixture leads to a drop of the NOx removal. In opposition, the presence of CO_2 leads to a decreases in NOx conversion [20]. Hydrocarbons (such as propene) are also possible reducers leading to isocyanates via oximes intermediates [21]. Isocyanates lead to amines (or amides) that are very good reducers of NOx [21,22].

To conclude, ammonia can be formed either by hydrolysis of isocyanates or by direct reaction of stored NOx with H₂, in the absence of NO during the regeneration [23]. Hydrogen is reported as a more efficient reducer than CO, leading to a higher emission of ammonia [8,9]. When the regeneration of the catalyst is carried out in the presence of NO, it is postulated that the incoming NO reacts with hydrogen to form NH₃ in the reactor zone where the trap has been already regenerated, as illustrated in scheme 1 and discussed above [14].



Scheme 1.

Schematic view of the proposed regeneration mechanism of the Lean NOx Trap (LNT) with hydrogen. Storage was achieved with ¹⁴NO and regeneration in the presence of ¹⁵NO [14Erreur ! Signet non défini.].

2.2 Ammonia reactivity

As presented above, two primary competing routes are proposed to produce N_2 when H_2 is the reducer: (i) the direct route, from the reduction of stored NOx by H_2 (Eq. 1), (ii) the sequential route (Eq. 13-14) through NH₃ intermediate formation [24,25]:

$$H_2 + NOx \rightarrow NH_3$$
 (step 1) (13)

$$NH_3 + NOx \rightarrow N_2$$
 (step 2) (14)

This two-steps mechanism was evidenced in Refs. [26] and [20] over a model Pt-Ba/Al₂O₃ catalyst. When the amount of introduced hydrogen is too low to reduce all the stored NOx, incomplete regeneration of the catalyst is observed. Such an incomplete regeneration obviously results in a decrease of the storage capacity for the subsequent lean periods. Beside, the reaction of the stored NOx with hydrogen results in the formation of negligible amount of N₂O and NH₃, nitrogen being the only product detected at the reactor exit. From other works [1,9], no ammonia emission over Pt-Ba/Al₂O₃ is observed as long as hydrogen is fully consumed. It is especially true for temperature higher than 300°C. In fact, at 400°C (Fig. 1), it is reported that the NOx reduction selectivity strongly depends on the hydrogen conversion during the rich pulses [1]. The increase in H₂ concentration in the rich period leads to dramatically change in the N₂/NH₃ distribution at the reactor outlet.

	1% H₂	1.5% H ₂	2% H ₂	2.5% H ₂	3% H ₂	3.5% H ₂	4% H ₂	4.5% H ₂	5% H ₂	6% H ₂
100% -										
90% -										
80% -										
70% -										
60% -										
50% -										
40% -										
30% -										
20% -										
10% -										
0% -		, .		, .					, 💻	,
Temp.	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C	400°C
H_2 conv.	100%	100%	100%	100%	99%	97%	90%	88%	84%	79%
N ₂ selec.	100%	100%	100%	97%	83%	73%	70%	66%	61%	58%
NH ₃ selec.	0%	0%	0%	3%	17%	27%	30%	34%	39%	42%
NOx conv.	28%	44%	62%	77%	83%	84%	86%	86%	86%	87%

Fig. 1. Pt/Ba/Al₂O₃ catalyst : NOx conversion at 400°C under cycling lean (60s, 500ppm NO, 10% O₂, 10% H₂O, 10% CO₂) / rich (3s, H₂, 10% H₂O, 10% CO₂) condition. NOx conversion (%) into N₂ (\blacksquare) and into NH₃ (\blacksquare) and related data. Influence of H₂ concentration in the rich pulses (1–6%) [1].

An overview of literature data for ammonia emission and hydrogen consumption during NSR process is given in Table A. Again, these results illustrate that ammonia is released when hydrogen is not fully consumed during the regeneration step of the NOx-trap catalyst.

Def	Lietti	Lindholm	Pereda-Ayo	Castoldi	Breen	Bhatia	Kočí	Nova	Artioli	Choi
Kel	<i>et al.</i> [29]	<i>et al.</i> [19]	<i>et al</i> .[26]	<i>et al.</i> [12]	<i>et al.</i> [23]	<i>et al.</i> [16]	<i>et al</i> .[11]	<i>et al.</i> [9]	<i>et al.</i> [13]	<i>et al.</i> [27]
Catalyst	Pt-Ba(20) /Al	Pt-Ba(20.8) /Al	Pt-Ba /Al monolith	Pt-Ba(16-30) /Al	Pt(0.5)-Ba(16.4) /Al Pt(1.6)-Ba(13.8) /Al	Pt-Ba(13) /Al	Industrial	Pt-Ba(20) /Al	Pt-Ba(20) /Al	Industrial
T (°C)	100	400	260	350	350	370	250	200	350	200
H ₂ inlet	2000 ppm	8000 ppm	0.85 %	2000 ppm	1.5 %	1500 ppm	3.3 %	2000 ppm	4000 ppm	3.4 %
H ₂ outlet	80 ppm	not mentioned	traces	600-150 ppm	not mentioned	700 ppm	0.5 %	300 ppm	1000 ppm	1.4 %
NO inlet	1000 ppm	300 ppm	350 ppm	1000	300 ppm	500 ppm	500 ppm	1000 ppm	1000 ppm	300 ppm
NH ₃ outlet	400 ppm	300 ppm	300 ppm	150-400 ppm	330-110 ppm	330 ppm	2200 ppm	180 ppm	500 ppm	120 ppm

Table A: Overview of the literature data for ammonia emission and hydrogen consumption during storage/reduction cycling experiments

The nature of the basic storage phase also affects the ammonia formation. For instance, the comparison of usual Pt-Ba/Al₂O₃ catalyst with Pt-K/Al₂O₃ sample (with similar molar amount of basic element, *i.e.* Ba or K) evidences a higher N₂ selectivity during the reduction step with H_2 of stored NOx over the K phase [10]. Authors report a similar reactivity for the H_2 + nitrate and NH₃ + nitrate reactions. In fact, on Pt-K/Al₂O₃, the onset for the direct H₂ + nitrate reaction leading to ammonia (step 1, Eq. 13) occurs at temperatures very close to the threshold for the NH_3 + nitrate reaction (step 2, Eq. 14), leading to the desired N_2 compound. It is then proposed that nitrogen formation occurs via a two step pathway. In agreement with Nova et al. [28], the stored NOx react preferentially with the introduced hydrogen to form NH₃ (step 1), whenever H₂ is present in the gas phase. NH₃ further reacts with stored NOx downstream to form N₂, only in a hydrogen free environment (step 2) [2,20]. The selectivity towards NH₃ formation is governed by the relative constant rates of NH₃ formation and NH₃ consumption. In this dual-step mechanism, step 1 is much faster than step 2 [10,29], even though the characteristic reaction times for NH₃ formation and consumption are lower than the characteristic diffusion times of stored NOx. Finally, experimental results of literature can be summarized by scheme 2, as proposed by Clayton et al. [24]:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} H_2 & \xrightarrow{NOx} & NH_3 & \longrightarrow & N_2 \\ \hline NOx & & & 2 & & \\ NOx & & & & O_2 \\ & & & & N_2O, N_2 \end{array}$$

Scheme 2.

Simplified reaction network showing the conversion of H_2 and stored NOx to nitrogen-containing products (including N_2O , N_2 , and NH_3) [24].

This scheme comprises four pathways to N_2O , NH_3 and N_2 formation occurring during the reduction of the stored NOx by H₂. Especially, it is reported that possible mechanism includes

the activation of H_2 on Pt sites, as reported above. Then, nitrates adsorbed species are decomposed to NOx and released in the gas phase, due to hydrogen spill-over from the noble metal to the alumina support. NOx species are readily reduced to ammonia due to high H/N ratio locally attained.

The nature of the support, in terms of redox properties, obviously significantly modifies the ammonia rate formation, and consequently the ammonia selectivity during the regeneration step of the NSR process. We demonstrated [30] that NH₃ yield is significantly decreased over ceria-zirconia mixed oxides (Pt/Ce_xZr_{1-x}O₂), with a beneficial influence of the cerium content. With the increase in H₂ concentration in the rich pulses, it was confirmed that the ammonia selectivity decreases with the increase of the cerium content. In addition, manganese addition to a Pt/BaO/Al₂O₃ model material (Pt/Ba(Mn)/Al catalyst) favours the NOx reduction by ammonia, especially at 400°C, even if the introduced hydrogen is not fully converted [1]. The study of the combined effect of Mn and Ce addition to model Pt/BaO/Al₂O₃ catalyst is also reported [2]. A synergetic effect is highlighted between Mn and Ce when they are simultaneously added in Pt/BaO/Al₂O. Significant decrease in ammonia emission in the 200-400°C temperature range is observed over these Mn-Ce doped materials. In addition to the enhancement of the NOx + NH₃ reaction rate, selective ammonia oxidation into nitrogen via the available oxygen at the catalyst surface is also advanced as a possible reaction pathway to explain the low NH₃ emission [31].

Finally, significant ammonia emission is possible at the NSR catalyst outlet. Two pathways are described, the direct route with H_2 and the isocyanate route with CO. However, the ammonia formation is especially favored in the presence of hydrogen, which can be produced *in situ* or upstream the NSR catalyst.

3. NH₃ storage and mechanism in NOx reduction over zeolite

Academic literature reporting the association of SCR and NSR catalysts essentially involves zeolite-based materials as SCR catalyst added in downstream position (see section 4). In the coupling NSR + SCR system, essentially ZSM5 zeolite was reported. This section is mainly focused on this zeolite-type structure. More specifically, an overview of the mechanistic aspect of NH₃-SCR reactions and active sites of metal-exchanged zeolite catalysts is exposed.

3.1 NH₃ reactivity

Among the processes proposed to reduce NOx species in excess of O_2 , a key after-treatment technology is the on-stream reduction of NOx into N_2 and H_2O over zeolite-based catalysts, using a NH₃ as reducer [32]. The reaction pathway of the NOx selective catalytic reduction with ammonia (NH₃-SCR) is described by the following reactions (Eq 15-18):

$$4NH_3 + 4NO + O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O$$
 (15)

$$4NH_3 + 2NO + 2NO_2 \rightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O \tag{16}$$

$$4NH_3 + 3NO_2 \rightarrow 3.5N_2 + 6H_2O$$
 (17)

$$4\mathrm{NH}_3 + 6\mathrm{NO} \rightarrow 5\mathrm{N}_2 + 6\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O} \tag{18}$$

These reactions are usually denoted as "standard" (Eq. 15), "fast" (Eq. 16), "NO₂-SCR" (Eq. 17) and "slow" (Eq. 18) SCR reactions [28,33,34,35,36]. It is usually established in the literature that SCR of NOx with NH₃ occurs through an Eley-Rideal type mechanism [37,38,39,40,41,42], in which adsorbed ammoniac reacts with weakly adsorbed NO or in the gas phase. Nevertheless, some studies suggest a reaction following a Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism [43,44,45]. It is reported in the literature that N₂ formation requires one nitrogen atom from a molecule of NOx, and a second nitrogen atom from ammonia molecule when reaction is performed over Fe/MFI [46]. This observation is consistent with SCR reactions described in Eq. 13-14. However, this mechanism requires firstly the NO oxidation into NO₂, which is claimed to be the rate-determining step of the SCR mechanism [47]. The NO

oxidation step being rate determining, metal-exchanged zeolites present largely higher activity than transition metal free zeolite structures [48]. It is also clearly evidenced that the NO₂/NO ratio is a key parameter for the SCR activity [34,49,50,51,52]. Indeed, fast SCR (Eq. 16) and NO₂-SCR (Eq. 17) reactions are much faster than the standard NO-SCR reaction (Eq. 15). Transition metal centers are not only involved in NO oxidation. For instance, it is suggested that iron species also promotes the SCR reaction over zeolite framework in the case of the Feexchanged ZSM-5 materials [53]. Transition metal sites promote the formation of reactive nitrates on the catalyst surface in the presence of gaseous NO₂ [54]. In fact, the formation of intermediate Feⁿ⁺-NO species (n = 2, 3), Fe²⁺(NO)₂ complexes, and NO⁺ are reported [55]. Nitrosyl ion (NO⁺) may be produced by N₂O₄ disproportionation, which is firstly formed by NO₂ dimerization. NO⁺ can further react with H₂O to produce HNO₂. HNO₂ can then react with ammonia to produce ammonium nitrite (NH₄NO₂), which decomposes quickly below 100°C, leading to the SCR products, N₂ and H₂O [56]. Ammonium nitrate can also be formed (Eqs. 19-20):

$$2NO_2 + 2NH_3 \rightarrow NH_4NO_3 + N_2 + H_2O \tag{19}$$

$$NO_3^- + NH_4^+ \rightarrow NH_4NO_3 \tag{20}$$

In this later process, NH_3 is activated on zeolitic Brønsted acid sites, giving NH_4^+ ions [57]. This point is discussed below (section 3.2). Ammonium nitrate thereafter decomposes into NO_2 and NH_3 , as reported in Eq. 21:

$$2NH_4NO_3 + NO \rightarrow 3NO_2 + 2 NH_3 + H_2O$$
(21)

The combination of reactions (18) and (20) leads to the "fast" SCR, as reported above (Eq. 16) [58].

However, if transition metal exchanged zeolites are active materials in NH_3 -SCR, N_2O emission can be also observed. N_2O emission however constitutes the main drawback of this system. For instance, Wilken *et al.* [59] reports a maximum N_2O production at 200°C over

Cu-Beta zeolite. Mechanism of N_2O emission is proposed to proceed through the decomposition of ammonium nitrates (Eq. 22):

$$NH_4NO_3 \rightarrow N_2O + 2H_2O \tag{22}$$

Fast SCR conditions, and more especially high NO₂ concentrations, are also proposed to favor the N₂O formation at low temperature (*i.e.* $T \le 350^{\circ}$ C) [60] (Eq. 23). Mechanism involving NO (Eq. 24) is proposed to occur at higher temperature (*i.e.* $T \ge 350^{\circ}$ C) [61].

 $6NH_3 + 8NO_2 \rightarrow 7N_2O + 9H_2O \tag{23}$

$$4NH_3 + 4NO + 3O_2 \rightarrow 4N_2O + 6H_2O \tag{24}$$

3.2 Active sites, zeolite framework and acidic properties

In the NH₃-SCR mechanism, ammonia is firstly adsorbed and activated as NH₄⁺ ions on the Brønsted acid sites of the zeolite framework. Acidic properties are then a crucial factor that determines the SCR activity. Since zeolite acidity is affected by the Si/Al ratio, high Al contents in the framework are favorable to achieve high NOx conversions. Indeed, the Brønsted acid sites of the zeolite structure originate from aluminum centers [60,62]. Besides, it seems that zeolite with small average pore diameter, as encountered in MFI, MOR, BEA or FER materials, are the more active for NH₃-SCR reaction. In opposition, molecular sieves having larger pore size, including Y, USY or MCM-41 structures, exhibit lower activities. It is proposed in the literature that the formation of an active complex $[NH_{4_x}][NO]$ (with x = 1, 2) during SCR is facilitated in small pores, without effect of reactant surface mobility [63,64]. A second hypothesis to explain the differences observed with the pore size is the formation of higher concentrations of 3H-NH₄⁺ compound (NH₄⁺ bonded to three hydrogen atoms) in small pore size support [65]. 3H-NH₄⁺ compound is also reported as necessary for the formation of the active [NH₄⁺_x][NO] complex during the NH₃-SCR reaction. In addition, the zeolite channels size can also influence the H-bonding with framework oxygen atoms [64]. For instance, FeY and FeMCM-41, with large pore sizes, show lower activities for NH₃-SCR than

FeZSM-5.

Nevertheless, it is also proposed that the active sites for the SCR of NO by ammonia over HZSM-5 are highly acidic extra-framework alumina. In opposition, for NO₂ reduction reaction, both framework and extra-framework alumina sites are suggested to be active sites [66].

On the basis of these results, it seems that the activity of zeolite materials is associated with two main properties [67]:

- 1. A shape selectivity effect, due to the molecular sieving properties associated with the well defined crystal pore sizes, in which a part of the catalytic active sites are located;
- A strong Brønsted acidity of bridging Si–(OH)–Al sites, generated by the presence of aluminum inside the silicate framework.

However, Brandenberger *et al.* [68] evaluated the selective catalytic reduction of NO with NH₃ over different Fe-ZSM-5 samples at equal exchange degree but different Brønsted acidities. This study reveals that the acidity of the catalyst is not a crucial factor to achieve high activity. Brønsted acidity may not be required for the adsorption or activation of the ammonia molecule, but it is necessary to bind and disperse the transition metal ions. The form in which ammonia is adsorbed on the support is consequently not crucial. These observations are confirmed by Schwidder *et al.* [69]. Indeed, high reaction rates for the NH₃-SCR can be achieved with non-acidic catalysts. A promoting effect of acidity is noted for the catalysts that contain the iron in the most favorable structure (*i.e.* oligomeric Fe oxo clusters).

In fact, characterization of the ZSM-5 acidic properties shows that two types of hydroxyl groups are mainly detected. Using FT-IR characterization, these two types are characterized by bands at 3720 and 3605 cm⁻¹ [70]. Thermal activation temperature strongly affects acidic properties. By increasing the temperature, dehydroxylation of the zeolite is observed above

400°C. The dehydroxylation results in a decrease of the number of Brønsted acid sites, while an increase in strong Lewis acid sites is observed. The dehydration at high temperature irreversibly removes the more acidic hydroxyl groups. Dealumination of zeolitic framework is also reported to modify acidity. Ammonia adsorption and temperature programmed desorption over ZSM-5 (Si/Al=11.8) is studied in Ref. [71]. Three different states of chemisorbed ammonia are distinguished, which show desorption peaks at around 80°C, 180°C and 430°C. The distribution of chemisorbed ammonia is also reported as a function of catalyst pre-treatment or deactivation. Recently, it was proposed that adsorption of ammonia on Brønsted acid sites goes through co-adsorption of up to four ammonia molecules on one active site at low temperatures. In addition, only a fraction of the aluminum framework is evidenced to be able to bind ammonia as ammonium ion [72]. Consequently, the support can act mainly as a reservoir for ammonia molecules, which then migrate to the active sites in order to undergo the reaction with NO_x.

Iron and copper are the main active species proposed in zeolites. Although the identity and nuclearity of active sites is still in debate, Cu-zeolite binuclear species are clearly reported as active sites. Nevertheless, it seems that copper active site nature is strongly influenced by the reaction temperature and dependant on the zeolite used and its properties. Indeed, over FAU zeolite, $[CuOCu]^{2+}$ dimer species are proposed to catalyze NH₃-SCR reaction at low temperature (T \leq 300°C) [73]. To the opposite, Cu²⁺ is suggested to become active at higher temperature (T \geq 350°C) over NaY [74,75]. The active sites in iron supported zeolites seem to have different natures. In Fe/ZSM-5 (Fe/Al=1), EXAFS characterization reveals the presence of diferric (hydr)oxo-bridged binuclear clusters, located at the ion-exchange positions of the zeolite, and compensating one or two lattice charges [76,77]. For Fe/Al=0.8, iron is predominantly present as large hematite particles, although a minor fraction of binuclear species might be present as well [76]. Small Fe_xO_y clusters like Fe₄O₄, isolated Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺

ions, are also reported as possible active sites in the literature [78,,,81]. Besides, electrondeficient ferric oxide nanoclusters, isolated iron ions, and possibly oxygen-bridged iron binuclear complexes, may likewise coexist in Fe/MFI active catalysts [82]. Finally, a high exchange level (M/Al ratio \approx 1), which can be achieved with the CVD method for instance, confers activity at low temperature for the material. Additionally, a high metal content may lead to extra-framework metal oxide clusters, which can exhibit high activity for NH₃ oxidation [81].

Other catalytic systems are also studied for the abatement of NOx by reaction with ammonia, namely vanadium oxide - titania based samples or transition metal oxide - based materials. On these catalysts, ammonia is activated by coordination over Lewis acid sites and can react with gas phase or weakly adsorbed NO [48]. Over V₂O₅-WO₃(MoO₃)/TiO₂ materials, new relevant contributions appeared in the literature, in particular concerning the reaction mechanism and the role of preoxidation of NO to NO₂. Coordinated ammonia would be oxidized to amide species, which later reacts with NO to form adsorbed nitrosamide specie. Nitrosamide specie decomposes into nitrogen and water, while oxygen reoxidizes the catalyst surface [83]. Unfortunately, these catalytic systems remain poorly active at low temperature, and relatively high temperature (T \geq 350°C) is needed to achieve high NOx abatement. In order to increase the low temperature activity, new formulations based on transition metal oxides are proposed. For instance, Mn and Fe oxides supported on titania and alumina are active in NH₃-SCR at low temperature (150-250°C) [84]. However, the main drawback of these catalysts is the limited selectivity of NOx conversion into N₂, and the significant production of N₂O. In addition, vanadia-based catalysts are not suitable for high temperature application as it can be the case in automotive exhaust pipe. Indeed, the sublimation of V₂O₅ occurs from 650°C [85,86]. Then, new catalytic systems, having high efficiency at low temperature, thermally stable up to 800°C and with a limited impact of the NO₂/NOx ratio on the activity, are developed. Amongst these new emerging SCR catalytic systems, acidic zirconia mixed-oxides are described as attractive alternatives [87]. For instance, 50% NO conversion was attained at 250°C for the standard SCR process. By applying the "fast" SCR conditions (NO₂/NOx = 50%), 97% of NOx were reduced to N₂ at only 200°C [88]. The promotion of acidic zirconia by ceria also increases the NOx conversion, the selectivity to N₂ and the catalyst durability. These results show that modified acidic zirconia oxides are attractive materials for Diesel after treatment systems [87].

However, Fe or Cu exchanged zeolites, which exhibit high reactivity toward the NOx SCR by NH₃, are the more quoted materials for a coupling with a NSR catalyst.

4. Coupling of NOx trap and NH₃-SCR catalysts

4.1. Emergence and development of the NSR-SCR coupling concept

The concept of adding a NH₃ adsorbing materials to a NOx reduction catalyst was patented by Toyota in 1998 for applications on gasoline engines [89]. In the claimed configuration, a Cu-ZSM-5 catalyst is added to the three way catalyst (TWC) with the engine working in cycling conditions. In rich conditions, NOx can be reduced to N₂ and NH₃ which can be stored on the zeolitic materials. When the engine turns to lean conditions, NOx is no longer reduced on the TW catalyst. Ammonia is in part oxidized (to N₂) or desorbed. It may then react with NO passing through the TW monolith. This initial system was improved by introducing a small, auxiliary engine working in rich conditions and able to produce ammonia needed for reduction of the NOx issued from the main engine [90]. Exhaust pipes are arranged to receive a TWC catalyst and a NH₃ adsorbing materials. In a further patent, Toyota claimed a new embodiment of the concept in which a group of cylinders are working in rich conditions while the others are working in lean conditions [91]. TW catalysts and NH₃ adsorbing and oxidizing catalysts (NH₃-AO) are interconnected to receive alternatively the gases issued from the first and second groups of cylinders. The patent claims a wide range of NH₃-AO catalysts: zeolites, silica-alumina, titania doped with Cu, Fe, Cr,... This last system was finally improved by replacing the TW catalyst by a NOx-trap materials (named NOx-occluding and reducing catalyst, NH₃-OR in the patent) [92]. In this configuration, the system is very close to the NSR-SCR coupling for NOx after-treatment. Several systems associating NSR and SCR catalysts were further claimed in Toyota patents [93,94].

A system including an ammonia generating catalyst coupled with the NOx-trap or a TW catalyst was claimed by Daimler-Chrysler in 2002 under the name of "smart catalytic converter" [95]. In the lean operating phases, the nitrogen oxides are intermediately stored in the nitrogen oxide adsortion catalyst. In the rich operating phases, ammonia is generated by the ammonia generating catalyst from the nitrogen oxides contained in the exhaust gas. The generated ammonia then causes a nitrogen oxide reduction in the nitrogen oxide adsorption catalyst. The mechanism by which ammonia is generated is obviously not detailed. The patent merely supposes that ammonia can be formed by reaction of NOx with reducers in excess (especially H₂) during the rich operating phases. The materials catalyzing the reaction between NO and ammonia is not fully described. It is suggested that the SCR reaction can occur on the NOx adsorbing catalyst. Commercially, the system was implemented on the Mercedes E320 Bluetec vehicle in 2007.

The coupling between a NOx-trap sample and a NH₃-SCR catalyst, located downstream the first one or in a double layer on the monolith, was patented by Ford in 2004 [96]. In this patent application, ammonia is generated during the rich spike of the NSR catalyst cycle. It is stored on the SCR catalyst and further used to reduce NOx during the lean phase. Depending on the temperature, a significant fraction of the nitrogen oxides may not be trapped and passes through the NSR catalyst: the SCR catalyst having stored ammonia helps at converting the NOx not stored on the NSR catalyst. The Ford patent claims a NSR catalyst composed of

noble metals deposited on a NOx-trap materials (alkali, alkali earth metals,...) while the SCR catalyst would be made of zeolite, silica-alumina or titania promoted by Cu, Fe or Ce. This system was further detailed in a patent in 2008 [97]. The coupling between a NSR and a SCR catalyst was also claimed by Engelhardt [98]. A more general system in which the SCR catalyst could be coupled to NSR and oxidation catalysts and associated with a soot filter was patented by BASF [99]. In this patent, the claimed SCR catalyst is composed of silver tungstate Ag₂WO₄ supported on alumina. Another BASF patent was published in 2010 to cover the specific case of NSR-SCR coupling system [100]. A NSR-SCR coupling system was also depicted by Johnsson-Matthey [101]. Indeed, recently Twigg et al. suggest the development of a multi component diesel catalyst known as "four-way catalysts" (FWCs) [102]. SCR and/or NOx-trapping components will be incorporated into catalysed filters from diesel cars in order to be cost-effective, weight effective and space-effective.

4.2. Coupling of Pt(RhPd)/BaO/Al₂O₃ with Cu-zeolite catalysts

The NSR-SCR coupling was studied by Shinjoh et al. who used Cu-ZMS-5 as the SCR catalyst [103]. The complete system comprises three catalysts: Pd/γ -Al₂O₃, NSR (Pt-Rh/BaO/Al₂O₃) and Cu-ZMS-5 (5%Cu). The catalyst performances were compared in lean/rich cycled conditions (3 min each). A significant beneficial effect of adding Cu-ZMS5 to the Pd+NSR catalyst was observed between 230 and 310°C (+15% conversion of NOx when Cu-ZSM-5 is added). In the rich phase, Pd could increase the production of ammonia further stored by the SCR catalyst (the NSR catalyst alone would produce less ammonia). In the lean phase, Pd would improve the oxidation of NO to NO₂.

The effect of Cu-ZSM-5 (5%Cu) addition on the performances of Pt-Rh/BaO/Al₂O₃ (Pt-RhBa) catalyst was also investigated by Corbos et al. [104,105]. The catalytic system was tested in periodic cycling conditions (100 s lean/10 s rich) between 200 and 400°C in three

configurations: NSR catalyst alone, physical mixture of NSR + CuZSM-5 or dual bed catalyst (CuZSM-5 downstream NSR). The results are shown in Table B.

While Cu-ZSM-5 is almost inactive in NOx abatement in NSR cycling conditions, addition of this catalyst to Pt-Rh/Ba improves the performance of the NSR catalyst at 250 and 300°C. The greatest effect of Cu-ZSM-5 is observed in the presence of H₂ in the reductant mixture. This is in line with H₂ giving the highest yield of ammonia in NSR cycling conditions (see section 2). Interestingly, the physical mixture of Pt-Rh/Ba and CuZSM-5 gives better performances than the dual bed system. Corbos et al. concluded that a close proximity of the NSR catalyst with the NH₃-SCR catalyst was required for a better production and use of ammonia produced during the rich phase. However, most experiments were carried out in absence of water in the synthetic gas. Adding 1% H₂O in the gas mixture did not cause great difference in NOx removal over the NSR catalyst alone while a slight decrease in activity of the NSR-SCR catalyst combination was observed.

Table B: Effect of adding a SCR catalyst (Cu/ZSM5) to a NSR catalyst (Pt-Rh/Ba) in cycling conditions: 100 s lean (500 ppm NO + 0.13% CO/H₂ + 167 ppm C₃H₆ + 1%CO₂+ 10% O₂ in He) and 10 s rich (100 ppm NO + 8.53% CO/H₂ + 1% CO₂ in He). The gas mixture CO/H₂ contains 75%CO and 25% H₂. Entry 2; results with pure CO.

Entry	Catalyst	NOx removal		
		250°C	300°C	400°C
1.With H ₂	Pt-Rh/Ba (NSR alone)	39	50	39
	CuZSM-5	11	13	-
	Pt-Rh/Ba + CuZSM-5 (2 beds)	46	61	37
	Pt-Rh/Ba + CuZSM-5 (phys. mix.)	86	79	38
2. Without H ₂	Pt-Rh/Ba (NSR alone)	39	43	36
	Pt-Rh/Ba + CuZSM-5 (2 beds)	39	58	-
	Pt-Rh/Ba + CuZSM-5 (phys. mix.)	54	66	-

A similar system (Pt-Rh NSR + Cu-zeolite) was recently investigated by Wang et al. [106] of University of Kentucky and Ford Motor Company. However, using a $CO+H_2+C_3H_6$ mixture as the reductant in cycling experiments, NOx conversion was higher than with ammonia alone. Wang et al. concluded that propene was an efficient reducer in the NSR-SCR combination. Separate experiments proved that Cu-zeolite was a good catalyst for NOx reduction, both by ammonia and alkenes. High resistance to deactivation by hydrocarbon and sulphur was obtained with a new generation of Cu-zeolite (Cu-CHA) [107,108]. The NSR-SCR system is more efficient than the NSR catalyst alone up to 425°C. Above this temperature, the SCR catalyst has no effect and it is advantageous to increase the loading of the NSR catalyst. However, such high temperatures are rarely encountered with normal diesel engine free of particulate filter.

4.3. Coupling of Pt(RhPd)/BaO/Al₂O₃ with Fe-zeolite catalysts

Systems coupling NSR with Fe-zeolite SCR catalysts were studied by many authors, in particular the Group of Forzatti in Milano [109-111], the Group of Daimler AG [112-115] and others [116-118].

Kinetic studies, specific experiments with designed reactants coupled to FTIR or DRIFT were mainly employed by the Group of Milano to get detailed information about the behavior of each of the catalysts (Pt-Ba/Al₂O₃ and Fe-ZSM-5). As expected, NOx is mainly stored on the basic NSR catalyst while ammonia formed upon the rich phase is mainly stored on the acidic SCR catalyst. Gaseous NOx slipped from during the lean phase reacts with NH₃ stored on Fe-ZSM-5 to give N₂. This classical view of the NSR-SCR system can lead to different performances depending on the proximity of the NSR and SCR catalysts and on the presence or not of CO₂ and H₂O in the gas mixture. Table C summarizes the result of Castoldi et al. [111]. Prolonged rich and lean phases (40 min each) were carried out with intermediary He purges to have a clearer analysis of the compounds stored and formed during each phase. Adding the SCR catalyst has a significant positive effect on the NOx removal, in the presence and in the absence of CO₂ and water in the gas mixture. This effect is slightly more marked when both catalysts are physically mixed, which is in line with the results of Corbos et al. [104]. However, though the dual bed system seems less effective for NOx removal, it leads to a higher selectivity to N_2 in rich phase, when there is no CO_2 and H_2O and in both phases (lean and rich) in the presence of CO_2 and H_2O .

The results of Tables C and D were obtained at 250°C. In the physical mixture, the amount of removed NOx decreases with the temperature in absence of CO₂ and H₂O while it is almost constant with CO₂ and H₂O. At 350°C, there is virtually no difference when there is CO_2+H_2O or not in the gases. In the dual bed, the amount of removed NOx tends to increase with temperature in every cases (with CO_2+H_2O or not).

Table C: Quantitative analysis of lean/rich experiments performed over the NSR, NSR + SCR physical mixture and NSR/SCR dual bed configurations in the absence of CO₂ and H₂O. Experimental conditions: $T = 250^{\circ}$ C; lean phase NO (1000 ppm) in O₂ (3% v/v) + He; rich phase H₂ (2000 ppm) in He; catalyst weight 60 mg LNT (or 60 mg NSR + 60 mg SCR); total flow rate 100 Ncm³/min mol/gcat refers to NSR Pt–Ba/Al₂O₃ weight. From ref. [111].

Amounts (µmol/gcat) NSR alone		NSR+SCR (phys. mix)	NSR + SCR (dual bed)
NOx removed (a)	429	512	472
NOx stored(a)	429	434	423
N ₂ (lean)	0	78	49
N ₂ (rich)	193	51	209
NH ₃ slip	57	70	14

Table D: Same results with $0.1 \% CO_2$ and $1\% H_2O$ in the gas mixture (lean and rich). Other conditions are given in Table C. From ref. [111].

Amounts (µmol/gcat)	NSR alone	NSR+SCR (phys. mix)	NSR + SCR (dual bed)
NOx removed (a)	272	323	396
NOx stored(a)	272	307	272
N ₂ (lean)	0	16	123
N ₂ (rich)	93	21	135
NH ₃ slip	117	7	0

Following the publication of patents (see section 4.1), the Group of Daimler AG essentially worked at rationalizing the concept of smart catalytic converter by modeling the NSR-SCR

dual bed [113,114]. It was shown that a good adjustment of the NSR and SCR catalyst volume as well as a good balance between rich and lean cycle lengths are a prerequisite to an optimal operation of the system. An example of the modeling results, taken from ref. [112], is given in Table E. The model shows that increasing the SCR-to-NSR volume ratio (keeping constant the total volume) leads to a slight increase of the % of NOx removal and to an increase of the amount of reacted ammonia (100% in the second configuration of Table E). The same model (COMSOL package) was used to optimize the lean/rich cycle duration.

Table E: NOx removal efficiency and percentage of ammonia used to reduce NOx in a smart catalytic converter based on Pt-Ba-NSR and Fe-zeolite SCR catalyst. Reaction conditions: 240° C, 165s lean (500ppm NO + 8%O₂ + 8%CO₂ + 8%H₂O), 7s rich (500ppm NO + 1.6%O₂ + 1.4%H₂ + 0.3%C₃H₆ + 4.2%CO + 11.5%CO₂ + 8%H₂O). From ref. [112].

Catalyst configuration. Respective volumes	% NOx removed on the NSR unit	% NOx removed on the SCR unit	NH ₃ reacted/ NH ₃ adsorbed	
2V of NSR + 1V of SCR	55	7	0.3	
1V of NSR + 2V of SCR	48	15	1.0	

Another modeling of the reactor volume was performed by Seo et al. [119] with a special insight to the formation of N₂O. In the NSR-SCR coupling, it is important to minimize (or annihilate) both ammonia and N₂O in the aftertreatment exhaust gas. This means that ammonia should be used to reduce NOx (or be oxidized to N₂) while N₂O, if formed, should be destroyed in the catalytic system. The NSR catalyst was composed of Pt/Pd/Rh/Ba/Ce/Zr on Al₂O₃ (relative weight-%: 3.3/0.72/0.31/12.56/7.97/4.49) while the SCR catalyst was a Fe-TMI zeolite (1.8 % Fe). Although it is not the best configuration in terms of NOx abatement, the system with an equal volume of NSR and SCR catalysts shows the best result in terms of ammonia and N₂O slip. A similar configuration was adopted by Pereda-Ayo to study the NSR (Pt-Ba-Al₂O₃)-SCR (Fe-BEA zeolite) coupling. Nine values of nine parameters (81 experiments) were chosen to construct the abacus for predicting optimal performances. Very critical points are the temperature, the duration of lean-rich cycles and the concentration of H₂

in the respective lean and rich phase. It was shown that there is an optimum value of H_2 concentration (3% in the conditions of ref. [118]) to get the highest NOx conversion and the complete use of the ammonia produced in the NSR catalyst (no NH₃ slip). The specific role of H_2 concentration was also investigated by Lindholm et al. [116] who showed that the optimum H_2 concentration depended on the process temperature. A higher hydrogen concentration enhances the NOx removal efficiency at lower temperatures while this concentration should be reduced at higher temperatures to avoid an excess of ammonia leading to inhibition of the SCR reaction. Lindholm also showed that the NO₂/NO ratio was a critical factor in the NSR-SCR coupling. There is a clear benefit when NO₂ is present in the feed at low temperatures.

4.4. Other systems

Sullivan and Keane have proposed a system in which both NSR and SCR components are included in the same materials [120]. Ba-ZSM-5 (4.3%Ba), Fe-ZSM-5 (0.8 % Fe) and Ba-Fe-ZSM-5 were studied to evaluate the benefit of the concept. Oxygen is required to desorb NOx previously adsorbed on the catalyst, while gaseous ammonia is able to react with this stored NOx. Interestingly, Sullivan and Keane showed that N₂O was produced in the NH₃(g)/NO(a) reaction on Ba-ZSM-5 and Fe-ZSM-5, but to a lesser extent on the composite FeBa-ZSM-5 catalyst.

Corbos et al. investigate the coupling of Pt-Rh/Ba/Al₂O₃ with different potential SCR catalysts (Co/Al₂O₃, CuZSM-5, Ag/Al₂O₃) [105]. As expected (and already found in a previous work [104], addition of Cu-ZSM-5 gave the highest performances. Excellent performances were also obtained with Co/Al₂O₃ while addition of Ag/Al₂O₃ had no significant influence. The negative effect of water on the global performances of Pt-

Rh/Ba/Al₂O₃+ Cu-ZSM-5 was ascribed to an inhibition of the reactions occurring on Cu-ZSM-5.

Finally the work of Kim et al on the HC-SCR and NH_3 -SCR coupling should be mentioned [121]. Although this study is out of the scope of the present review, it obeys to the same principle: the first bed (composed of Ag/Al_2O_3) is active in NOx reduction by hydrocarbons or alcohols but it produces also ammonia and HCN which is used in the second bed (CuCoY or Pad/Al_2O_3) to reduce the unconverted NOx by ammonia.

5. Conclusion and perspective

The combination of NOx trapping materials with NH₃-SCR catalysts for the NOx treatment from mobile lean-burn engines has been investigated. Particular attention has been paid in mechanism of ammonia emission and reactivity towards NOx abatement in NSR process. For the first point, two reaction paths are proposed in literature. In presence of hydrogen during the rich pulses of the LNT regeneration, ammonia can be formed by direct reaction with the previously stored NOx. When CO is use as the reducer agent, water-assisted reaction, by hydrolysis of intermediate isocyanate species, is suggested. In presence of water and carbon dioxide in the gas mixture, both reaction pathways co-exist due to direct and reverse water gas shift reaction. Ammonia is thereafter involves in the NOx reduction mechanism, by a sequential route in which NH₃ reacts faster with NOx to yield N₂ compared with its own formation rate. It is found that both the nature and the content of the basic element as well as the redox properties of the support interfere in NH₃ yield.

Ammonia may likewise be used as reducer for selective catalytic reduction of NOx species. For this application, metal-exchanged zeolite catalysts offer new opportunities to reduce NOx emissions from lean-burn engine via the NH₃-SCR process. Iron-exchanged ZSM-5 has received much attention because of its promising activity and stability in the NH₃-SCR process. Correlating catalytic activities with the concentration of mononuclear and binuclear Fe species shows that both types of Fe ions and even small metal clusters are active sites for SCR, but that isolated species are more active. The topology of the zeolite is also an important factor, as well as its stability under hydrothermal conditions. A high exchange level (M/Al ratio \approx 1) seems to be proscribed creating extra-framework metal oxide clusters, which can be highly active for NH₃ oxidation.

The coupling between a NOx-trap catalyst and a NH₃-SCR material, located downstream the first one or in a double layer on the monolith, was firstly patented by Ford in 2004. In other recent studies, two main SCR catalysts are usually associated in such system, namely Cu-ZSM-5 and Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts. For instance, it is reported that using Cu-ZSM-5 material, about 15% to 50% of supplementary NOx conversion can be achieved, depending on the working conditions. Whatever the zeolite used, higher performances are reported with H₂ as reducers, since it enhances NH₃ formation in the first NSR catalytic bed. Physical mixture of NSR and SCR catalysts gives better performances than dual bed system. It is concluded that a close proximity of both materials was required for a better use of ammonia produced during the rich pulses.

Finally, in almost every case of lean-burn engine after-treatment implementation in the US and Europe, a Diesel particulate filter (DPF) is required. The NSR + SCR system must be compatible with the DPF working mode. Filter regeneration induces severe exotherms (900-1000°C) which expose NSR + SCR catalysts to harsh environment. To maintain the durability of this coupled system, the catalysts must exhibit high thermal stability. As a consequence, usual vanadia-based oxides SCR catalysts are not suitable. The stability of metal-exchanged zeolite has to be improved to prevent potential metal migration, or sulfur poisoning. A way is metal-exchanged in small-pore zeolites which shown to be much more hydrothermally stable than the medium-pore zeolite. New acidic zirconia-based oxides seem also attractive for this application.

References

[1] N. Le Phuc, X. Courtois, F. Can, S. Royer, P. Marecot, D. Duprez, NOx removal efficiency and ammonia selectivity during the NOx storage-reduction process over Pt/BaO(Fe, Mn, Ce)/Al2O3 model catalysts. Part I: Influence of Fe and Mn addition, Appl. Catal. B, 102 (2011) 353-361

[2] N. Le Phuc, X. Courtois, F. Can, S. Royer, P. Marecot, D. Duprez, *NOx removal efficiency* and ammonia selectivity during the NOx storage-reduction process over *Pt/BaO(Fe, Mn, Ce)/Al2O3 model catalysts. Part II: Influence of Ce and Mn–Ce addition*, Appl. Catal. B, 102 (2011) 362-371

[3] S. Takeshima, T. Tanaka, S. Iguchi, Y Araki, S. Hirota, T. Oda, F. Murakami, *Exhaust purification device of internal combustion engine*, US Patent 5,437,153 (Aug. 1, 1995)

[4] M. Goto, S. Iguchi, K. Katoh, T. Kihara, *Exhaust gas purification device for an engine*, US Patent 5,472,673 (Dec.5, 1995)

[5] N. Takahashi, H. Shinjoh, T. Iijima, T. Suzuki, K. Yamazaki, K. Yokota, H. Suzuki, N. Miyoshi, S.I. Matsumoto, T. Tanizawa, T. Tanaka, S.I. Tateishi, K. Kasahara, *The new concept 3-way catalyst for automotive lean-burn engine: NO_x storage and reduction catalyst,* Catal. Today, 27 (1996) 63-69

[6] T. Kobayashi, T. Yamada, K. Kayano, SAE Technical Papers 970745 (1997) 63.

[7] S. Matsumoto, Cattech 4 (2000) 102–109.

[8] T. Szailer, J.H. Kwak, D.H. Kim, J.C. Hanson, C.H.F. Peden, J. Szanyi, *Reduction of stored NO_x on Pt/Al₂O₃ and Pt/BaO/Al₂O₃ catalysts with H₂ and CO, J. Catal., 239 (2006) 51-64*

[9] I. Nova, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, F. Prinetto, G. Ghiotti, *Experimental investigation of the reduction of NO_x species by CO and H*₂ over Pt– Ba/Al_2O_3 lean NO_x trap systems, Catal. Today, 151 (2010) 330-337

[10] L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, S. Morandi, G. Ghiotti, F. Vindigni, *The NO_x storage-reduction on Pt_K/Al₂O₃ Lean NO_x Trap catalyst*,
J. Catal., 276 (2010) 335-350

[11] P. Kočí, F. Plát, J. Štěpánek, Š. Bártová, M. Marek, M. Kubíček, V. Schmeißer, D. Chatterjee, M. Weibel, *Global kinetic model for the regeneration of NO_x storage catalyst with CO, H₂ and C₃H₆ in the presence of CO₂ and H₂O, Catal. Today, 147 (2009) 257-264*

[12] L. Castoldi, I. Nova, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, *Study of the effect of Ba loading for catalytic activity of Pt–Ba/Al₂O₃ model catalysts, Catal. Today, 96 (2004) 43-52*

[13] N. Artioli, R. Matarrese, L. Castoldi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, *Effect of soot on the storage*reduction performances of PtBa/Al2O3 LNT catalyst, Catal. Today, 169 (2011) 36-44 [14] B. Pereda-Ayo, J.R. González-Velasco, R. Burch, C. Hardacre, S. Chansai, *Regeneration mechanism of a Lean NO_x Trap (LNT) catalyst in the presence of NO investigated using isotope labelling techniques*, J. Catal., 285 (2012) 177-186

[15] B. Frank, G. Emig, A. Renken, *Kinetics and mechanism of the reduction of nitric oxides* by H_2 under lean-burn conditions on a $Pt-Mo-Co/\alpha-Al_2O_3$ catalyst, Appl. Catal. B. 19 (1998) 45-57

[16] D. Bhatia, M.P. Harold, V. Balakotaiah, Modeling the effect of Pt dispersion and temperature during anaerobic regeneration of a lean NO_x trap catalyst, Catal. Today, 151 (2010) 314-329

[17] E.C. Corbos, X. Courtois, F. Can, P. Marécot, D. Duprez, NOx storage properties of Pt/Ba/Al model catalysts prepared by different methods: Beneficial effects of a N_2 pretreatment before hydrothermal aging, Appl. Catal. B., 84 (2008) 514-523

[18] T. Lesage, J. Saussey, S. Malo, M. Hervieu, C. Hedouin, G. Blanchard, M. Daturi, *Operando FTIR study of NO_x storage over a Pt/K/Mn/Al₂O₃-CeO₂ catalyst, Appl. Catal. B., 72 (2007) 166-177*

[18] A. Lindholm, N.W. Currier, E. Fridell, A. Yezerets, L. Olsson, NO_x storage and reduction over Pt based catalysts with hydrogen as the reducing agent: Influence of H_2O and CO_2 , Appl. Catal. B., 75 (2007) 78-87

[20] N. Le Phuc, X. Courtois, F. Can, S. Berland, S. Royer, P. Marecot, D. Duprez, A study of the ammonia selectivity on Pt/BaO/Al₂O₃ model catalyst during the NOx storage and reduction process, Catal. Today, 176 (2011) 424-428

[21] E. Joubert, X. Courtois, P. Marecot, C. Canaff, D. Duprez, *The chemistry of DeNOx reactions over Pt/Al₂O₃: The oxime route to N₂ or N₂O, J. Catal., 243 (2006) 252–262*

[22] H.-Y. Chen, T. Voskoboinikov, W.M.H. Sachtler, *Reaction Intermediates in the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NOx over Fe/ZSM-5*, J. Catal. 186 (1999) 91-99.

[23] J.P. Breen, R. Burch, C. Fontaine-Gautrelet, C. Hardacre, C. Rioche, *Insight into the key* aspects of the regeneration process in the NO_x storage reduction (NSR) reaction probed using fast transient kinetics coupled with isotopically labelled ¹⁵NO over Pt and Rh-containing Ba/Al₂O₃ catalysts, Appl. Catal. B., 81 (2008) 150-159

[24] R.D. Clayton, M.P. Harold, V. Balakotaiah, NO_x storage and reduction with H_2 on $Pt/BaO/Al_2O_3$ monolith: Spatio-temporal resolution of product distribution, Appl. Catal. B., 84 (2008) 616-630

[25] R.D. Clayton, M.P. Harold, V. Balakotaiah, *Selective catalytic reduction of NO by H*₂ in *O*₂ on *Pt/BaO/Al*₂*O*₃ monolith NO_x storage catalysts, Appl. Catal. B., 81 (2008) 161-181

[26] B. Pereda-Ayo, D. Duraiswami, J.A. González-Marcos, J.R. González-Velasco, Performance of NO_x storage-reduction catalyst in the temperature-reductant concentration domain by response surface methodology, Chem. Eng. J., 169 (2011) 58-67

[27] J-S. Choi, W.P. Partridge, J.A. Pihl, C.S. Daw, Sulfur and temperature effects on the

spatial distribution of reactions inside a lean NO_x trap and resulting changes in global performance, Catal. Today, 136 (2008) 173-182

[28] I. Nova, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, *Mechanistic aspects of the reduction of stored NOx over* $Pt-Ba/Al_2O_3$ lean NO_x trap systems, Catal. Today, 136 (2008) 128-135

[29] L. Lietti, I. Nova, P. Forzatti, Role of ammonia in the reduction by hydrogen of NO_x stored over Pt-Ba/Al₂O₃ lean NO_x trap catalysts, J. Catal., 257 (2008) 270-282

[30] N. Le Phuc, E.C. Corbos, X. Courtois, F. Can, P. Marecot, D. Duprez NO_x storage and reduction properties of $Pt/Ce_xZr_{1-x}O_2$ mixed oxides: Sulfur resistance and regeneration, and ammonia formation, Appl. Catal. B., 93 (2009) 12-21

[31] R.S. Larson, J.A. Pihl, V.K. Chakravarthy, T.J. Toops, C.S. Daw, *Microkinetic modeling* of lean NOx trap chemistry under reducing conditions, Catal. Today, 136 (2008) 104-120

[32] S. Brandenberger, O. Kröcher, A. Tissler, and R. Althoff, *The State of the Art in Selective Catalytic Reduction of NOx by Ammonia Using Metal-Exchanged Zeolite Catalysts*, Catal. Rev. 50 (2008) 492–531

[33] M. Koebel, G. Madia, M. Elsener, *Selective catalytic reduction of NO and NO₂ at low temperatures*, Catal. Today, 73 (2002) 239-247

[34] I. Nova, C. Ciardelli, E. Tronconi, D. Chatterjee, B. Bandl-Konrad, *NH*₃–*NO/NO*₂ chemistry over V-based catalysts and its role in the mechanism of the Fast SCR reaction, Catal. Today, 114 (2006) 3-12

[35] P. Forzatti, L. Lietti, E. Tronconi, in: I.T. Horvath (Ed.), Nitrogen Oxides Removal— Industrial. Encyclopaedia of Catalysis, first ed., Wiley, New York, 2002, and references therein

[36] A. Kato, S. Matsuda, T. Kamo, F. Nakajima, H. Kuroda, T. Narita, *Reaction between nitrogen oxide (NOx) and ammonia on iron oxide-titanium oxide catalyst*, J. Phys. Chem., 85 (1981) 4099-4102

[37] N. Apostolescu, B. Geiger, K. Hizbullah, M.T. Jan, S. Kureti, D. Reichert, F. Schott, W. Weisweiler, *Selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides by ammonia on iron oxide catalysts*, Appl. Catal. B., 62 (2006) 104-114

[38] J.-Y. Luo, X. Hou, P. Wijayakoon, S. J. Schmieg, W. Li, W. S. Epling, *Spatially resolving SCR reactions over a Fe/zeolite catalyst*, Appl. Catal. B., 102 (2011) 110-119

[39] U.S. Ozkan, Y. Cai, M.W. Kumthekar, *Investigation of the Reaction Pathways in Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH*₃ over V₂O₅ Catalysts: Isotopic Labeling Studies Using ¹⁸O₂, ¹⁵NH₃, ¹⁵NO, and ¹⁵N¹⁸O, J. Catal., 149 (1994) 390-403

[40] C.U.I. Odenbrand, A. Bahamonde, P. Avila, J. Blanco. *Kinetic study of the selective reduction of nitric oxide over vanadia—tungsta—titania/sepiolite catalyst*, Appl. Catal. B., 5 (1994) 117-131

[41] G. Ramis, G. Busca, F. Bregani, P. Forzatti, *Fourier transform-infrared study of the adsorption and coadsorption of nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide and ammonia on vanadiatitania and mechanism of selective catalytic reduction*, Appl. Catal., 64 (1990) 259-278

[42] J.H.A. Kiel, A.C.S. Edelaar, W. Prins, W.P.M. van Swaaij, Performance of silicasupported copper oxide sorbents for SO_x/NO_x -removal from flue gas: II. Selective catalytic reduction of nitric oxide by ammonia, Appl. Catal. B., 1 (1991) 41-60

[43] L.-Y. Hsu, H. Teng, Catalytic NO reduction with NH₃ over carbons modified by acid oxidation and by metal impregnation and its kinetic studies, Appl. Catal. B., 35 (2001) 21-30

[44] L.J. Lobree, I.-C. Hwang, J.A. Reimer, A.T. Bell, *Investigations of the State of Fe in H– ZSM-5*, J. Catal., 186 (1999) 242-253

[45] R.Q. Long, R.T. Yang. Characterization of Fe-ZSM-5 Catalyst for Selective Catalytic Reduction of Nitric Oxide by Ammonia, J. Catal., 194 (2000) 80-90

[46] Q. Sun, Z.X. Gao, H-Y. Chen, W.M.H Sachtler, *Reduction of NO_x with Ammonia over Fe/MFI: Reaction Mechanism Based on Isotopic Labeling*, J. Catal., 201 (2001) 88-99

[47] M. Wallin, C-J. Karlsson, M. Skoglundh, A. Palmqvist, *Selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH3 over zeolite H–ZSM-5: influence of transient ammonia supply*, J. Catal., 218 (2003) 354-364

[48] G. Busca, M. A. Larrubia, L. Arrighi, G. Ramis, *Catalytic abatement of NOx: Chemical and mechanistic aspects*, Catal. Today, 107–108 (2005) 139-148

[49] M. Koebel, M. Elsener, G. Madia, *Reaction Pathways in the Selective Catalytic Reduction Process with NO and NO₂ at Low Temperatures*, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 40 (2001) 52-59

[50] M. Koebel, G. Madia, M. Elsener, *Selective catalytic reduction of NO and NO₂ at low temperatures*, Catal. Today, 73 (2002) 239-247

[51] Y. Yeom, J. Henao, M. Li, W.M.H. Sachtler, E. Weitz, *The role of NO in the mechanism of NOx reduction with ammonia over a BaNa–Y catalyst*, J. Catal., 231 (2005) 181-193

[52] C. Ciardellia, I. Nova, E. Tronconi, D. Chatterjee, T. Burkhardt, M. Weibel, NH_3 SCR of NOx for diesel exhausts aftertreatment: role of NO_2 in catalytic mechanism, unsteady kinetics and monolith converter modelling, Chem. Eng. Sci., 62 (2007) 5001-5006

[53] M. Devadas, O. Kröcher, M. Elsener, A. Wokaun, N. Söger, M. Pfeifer, Y. Demel, L. Mussmann, *Influence of NO2 on the selective catalytic reduction of NO with ammonia over Fe-ZSM5*, Appl. Catal. B., 67 (2006) 187-196

[54] K. Hadjiivanov, H. Knözinger, B. Tsyntsarski, L. Dimitrov, *Effect of Water on the Reduction of NOx with Propane on Fe-ZSM-5. An FTIR Mechanistic Study*, Catal. Lett., 62(1) (1999) 35–40

[55] L.J. Lobree, I.C. Hwang, J.A Reimer, A.T. Bell, *Investigations of the State of Fe in H-ZSM-5*, J. Catal. 186(2) (1999) 242–253

[56] V.H. Veley, *The Conditions of Decomposition of Ammonium Nitrite*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83 (1903) 736–749

[57] F. Romero Sarria, J. Saussey, J.-P. Gallas, O. Marie, M. Daturi, *In situ and operando IR* study of adsorption sites for NH_4^+ active species in NOx-SCR via NH_3 using a Y zeolite, St. Surf. Sc. Catal., 158(A) (2005) 821-828

[58] A. Grossale, I. Nova, E. Tronconi, D. Chatterjee, M. Weibel, *The chemistry of the NO/NO*₂–*NH*₃ "fast" SCR reaction over Fe-ZSM5 investigated by transient reaction analysis, J. Catal., 256 (2008) 312-322

[59] N. Wilken, K. Wijayanti, K. Kamasamudram, N.W. Currier, R. Vedaiyan, A. Yezerets, L. Olsson, *Mechanistic investigation of hydrothermal aging of Cu-Beta for ammonia SCR*, Appl. Catalysis B., 111–112 (2012) 58-66

[60] K. Rahkamaa-Tolonen, T. Maunula, M. Lomma, M. Huuhtanen, R.L. Keiski, *The effect of NO2 on the activity of fresh and aged zeolite catalysts in the NH3-SCR reaction*, Catal. Today, 100 (2005) 217-222

[61] G. Tuenter, W.F. Vanleeuwen, L.J.M. Snepvangers, *Kinetics and Mechanism of the NOx Reduction with NH*₃ on V₂O₅-WO₃-TiO₂ Catalyst, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., 25(4) (1986) 633–636

[62] R.Q. Long, R.T. Yang, Reaction Mechanism of Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH3 over Fe-ZSM-5 Catalyst, J. Catal., 207 (2002) 224-231

[63] R.Q Long, R.T Yang, *Temperature-Programmed Desorption/Surface Reaction* (*TPD/TPSR*) Study of Fe-Exchanged ZSM-5 for Selective Catalytic Reduction of Nitric Oxide by Ammonia, J. Catal., 198 (2001) 20-28

[64] R.Q. Long, R.T. Yang, Catalytic Performance of Fe–ZSM-5 Catalysts for Selective Catalytic Reduction of Nitric Oxide by Ammonia, J. Catal., 188 (1999) 332-339

[65] J. Eng, C.H. Bartholomew, *Kinetic and Mechanistic Study of NOx Reduction by NH*₃ over H-Form Zeolites. II. Semi-Steady-State and In Situ FTIR Studies, J. Catal., 171 (1997) 27-44

[66] S.A. Stevenson, J.C. Vartuli, S.B. Sharma, *The Effects of Steaming and Sodium Exchange on the Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO and NO*₂ by *NH*₃ over HZSM-5, J. Catal., 208 (2002) 106-113

[67] T. Armaroli, L.J. Simon, M. Digne, T. Montanari, M. Bevilacqua, V. Valtchev, J. Patarin, G. Busca, *Effects of crystal size and Si/Al ratio on the surface properties of H-ZSM-5 zeolites*, Appl. Catal. A., 306 (2006) 78-84

[68] S. Brandenberger, O. Kröcher, A. Wokaun, A. Tissler, R. Althoff, *The role of Brønsted acidity in the selective catalytic reduction of NO with ammonia over Fe-ZSM-5*, J. Catal., 268 (2009) 297-306

[69] M. Schwidder, M.S. Kumar, U. Bentrup, J. Pérez-Ramírez, A. Brückner, W. Grünert, *The role of Brønsted acidity in the SCR of NO over Fe-MFI catalysts*, Micro. Meso. Mater., 111 (2008) 124-133

[70] J.C. Védrine, A. Auroux, V. Bolis, P. Dejaifve, C. Naccache, P. Wierzchowski, E. G. Derouane, J. B. Nagy, J-P Gilson, J.H.C. van Hooff, J.P. van den Berg, J. Wolthuizen, *Infrared, microcalorimetric, and electron spin resonance investigations of the acidic properties of the H-ZSM-5 zeolite,* J. Catal., 59 (1979) 248-262

[71] N-Y. Topsøe, K. Pedersen, E. G. Derouane, *Infrared and temperature-programmed desorption study of the acidic properties of ZSM-5-type zeolites*, J. Catal., 70 (1981) 41-52

[72] T.C. Brüggemann, D.G. Vlachos, F.J. Keil, *Microkinetic modeling of the fast selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxide with ammonia on H-ZSM5 based on first principles*, J. Catal. 283 (2011) 178-191

[73] S. Kieger, G. Delahay, B. Coq, B. Neveu, *Selective Catalytic Reduction of Nitric Oxide* by Ammonia over Cu-FAU Catalysts in Oxygen-Rich Atmosphere, J. Catal., 183 (1999) 267-280

[74] M. Mizumoto, N. Yamazoe, T. Seiyama, *Catalytic reduction of NO with ammonia over Cu(II) NaY*, J. Catal., 55 (1978) 119-128

[75] M. Iwamoto, H. Yahiro, K. Tanda, N. Mizuno, Y. Mine, S. Kagawa, *Removal of Nitrogen Monoxide through a Novel Catalytic Process. 1. Decomposition on Excessively Copper-Ion Exchanged Zsm-5 Zeolites*, J. Phys. Chem. 95(9) (1991) 3727–3730.

[76] P. Marturano, L. Drozdová, A. Kogelbauer, R. Prins, *Fe/ZSM-5 Prepared by Sublimation* of *FeCl₃: The Structure of the Fe Species as Determined by IR*, ²⁷Al MAS NMR, and EXAFS Spectroscopy, J. Catal., 192 (2000) 236-247

[77] A.A. Battiston, J.H. Bitter, F.M.F. de Groot, A.R. Overweg, O. Stephan, J.A. van Bokhoven, P.J. Kooyman, C. van der Spek, G. Vankó, D.C. Koningsberger, *Evolution of Fe species during the synthesis of over-exchanged Fe/ZSM5 obtained by chemical vapor deposition of FeCl₃, J. Catal., 213 (2003) 251-271*

[78] E.J.M. Hensen, Q. Zhu, M.M.R.M. Hendrix, A.R. Overweg, P.J.Kooyman, M.V. Sychev, R.A. van Santen, *Effect of high-temperature treatment on Fe/ZSM-5 prepared by chemical vapor deposition of FeCl₃: I. Physicochemical characterization, J. Catal., 221 (2004) 560-574*

[79] R. Joyner, M. Stockenhuber, *Preparation, Characterization, and Performance of Fe–ZSM-5 Catalysts*, J. Phys. Chem. B, 103 (1999) 5963-5976

[80] Z. Sobalik, A. Vondrova, Z. Tvaruskova, B. Wichterlova, Analysis of the structural parameters controlling the temperature window of the process of SCR-NO_x by low paraffins over metal-exchanged zeolites, Catal. Today, 75 (2002) 347-351

[81] F. Heinrich, C. Schmidt, E. Löffler, W. Grünert, *A highly active intra-zeolite iron site for the selective catalytic reduction of NO by isobutane*, Catal. Commun., 2 (2000) 317-321

[82] H.-Y Chen, El-M El-Malki, X Wang, R.A van Santen, W.M.H Sachtler, *Identification of active sites and adsorption complexes in Fe/MFI catalysts for NOx reduction*, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 162 (2000) 159-174

[83] G. Ramis, G. Busca, F. Bregani, P. Forzatti, *Fourier transform-infrared study of the adsorption and coadsorption of nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide and ammonia on vanadiatitania and mechanism of selective catalytic reduction*, Appl. Catal., 64 (1990) 259-278

[84] S. Roy, B. Viswanath, M. S. Hegde, and G. Madras, *Low-Temperature Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO with NH*₃ over $Ti_{0.9}M_{0.1}O_2$ - δ (M = Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Cu), J. Phys. Chem. C., 112 (2008) 6002-6012

[87] S. Verdier, E. Rohart, H. Bradshaw, D. Harris et al., *Acidic Zirconia Materials for Durable NH3-SCR deNOx Catalysts*, SAE Technical Paper 2008-01-1022 (2008) doi:10.4271/2008-01-1022

[88] E. Rohart, O. Kröcher, M. Casapu, R. Marques, D. Harris, C. Jones, *Acidic Zirconia Mixed Oxides for NH*₃-SCR Catalysts for PC and HD Applications, SAE Technical Paper 2011-01-1327 (2011) doi:10.4271/2011-01-1327

[89] Y. Kinugasa, K. Igarashi, T. Itou, N. Suzuki, T. Yaegashi, T. Tanaka, *Device for purifying an exhaust gas of an engine*, US Patent 5782087 (Jul. 21, 1998).

[90] Y. Kinugasa, T. Itou, K. Hoshi, N. Suzuki, T. Yaegashi, K. Igarashi, *Device for purifying exhaust gas from engine*, US Patent 5964088 (Oct. 12, 1999).

[91] Y. Kinugasa, K. Igarashi, T. Itou, N. Suzuki, T. Yaegashi, K. Takeuchi, *Metho adsprobedd and device for purifying exhaust gas from engine*, US Patent 6047542 (April 11, 2000).

[92] Y. Kinugasa, K. Igarashi, T. Itou, N. Suzuki, T. Yaegashi, T. Tanaka, N. Miyoshi, *Device for purifying exhaust gas from an internal combustion engine*, US Patent, 6119452 (Sept. 19, 2000)

[93] K. Sakurai, *Exhaust purifying system for internal combustion engine*, US Patent Appl. 2011/0138783 A1 (June 16, 2011).

[94] K. Sakurai, S. Miyashita, Y. Katumata, *Exhaust purifying system for internal combustion engine*, US Patent Appl. 2011/0214417 A1 (Sep. 8, 2011).

[95] J. Guenther, B. Konrad, B. Krutzsch, A. Nolte, D. Voigtlaender, M. Weibel, G. Wenninger, *Exhaust gas purification process and apparatus with internal generation of ammonia for reducing nitrogen oxide*, US Patent 6338244 B1 (Jan. 15, 2002).

[96] H. S. Gandhi, J. V. Cavatalo, R. H. Hammerle, Y. Chen, *Catalyst system for NOx and NH*₃ *emission*, US Patent Appl. 2004/0076565 A1 (Apr. 22, 2004)

[97] H. S. Gandhi, J. V. Cavatalo, R. H. Hammerle, Y. Chen, *Catalyst system for NOx and NH*₃ *emission*, US Patent 7332135 (Feb. 19, 2008)

[98] Y. Li, M. Deeba, J. C. Dettling, *Emissions treatment system with NSR and SCR catalysts*, Patent WO 2005/047663 A3 (26 May 2005).

[99] H. Furbeck, G. S. Koermer, A. Moini, C. R. Castellano, *Catalyst, method for its prepration and system to reduce NOx in an exhaust gas stream*, Patent WO 2008/036797 A1 (27 March 2008).

[100] C. Z. Wan, X. Zheng, S. Stiebels, C. Wendt, S. R. Boorse, *Emissions treatment system with ammonia-generating and SCR catalyst*, Patent WO 2010/114873 A3 (7 October 2010).

[101] H.-Y. Chen, E. Weigert, J. Fedeyko, J. Cox, P. Andersen, *Advanced Catalysts for Combined (NAC + SCR) Emission Control Systems*, SAE Technical Paper 2010-01-0302 (2010), <u>doi:10.4271/2010-01-0302</u>.

[102] M.V. Twigg, Catalytic control of emissions from cars, Catal. Today 163 (2011) 33-41.

[103] H. Shinjoh, N. Takahashi, K. Yokota, *Synergic effect of Pd/gamma-alumina and Cu/ZSM-5 on the performance of NOx storage reduction catalyst*, Topics Catal., 42-43 (2007) 215-219.

[104] E.C. Corbos, M. Haneda, X. Courtois, P. Marecot, D. Duprez, H. Hamada, *Cooperative effect of Pt–Rh/Ba/Al and CuZSM-5 catalysts for NOx reduction during periodic lean-rich atmosphere*, Catal. Comm., 10 (2008) 137-141.

[105] E.C. Corbos, M. Haneda, X. Courtois, P. Marecot, D. Duprez, H. Hamada, *NOx abatement for lean-burn engines under lean–rich atmosphere over mixed NSR-SCR catalysts: Influences of the addition of a SCR catalyst and of the operational conditions*, Appl. Catal. A: General, 365 (2009) 187-193.

[106] J. Wang, Y. Ji, Z. He, M. Crocker, M. Dearth, R. W. McCabe, *A non-NH₃ pathway for NOx conversion in coupled LNT-SCR systems*, Appl. Catal. B: Environmental 111–112 (2012) 562–570.

[107] J. Theis, J. Ura, R. McCabe, *The Effects of Sulfur Poisoning and Desulfation Temperature on the NOx Conversion of LNT+SCR Systems for Diesel Applications*, SAE Technical Papers, 2010-01-300 & SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr. 3 (2010) 1-15, <u>doi:10.4271/2010-01-0300</u>.

[108] L. Xu, R. W. McCabe, *LNT* + *in situ SCR catalyst system for diesel emissions control*, Catal. Today in press, <u>doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2011.12.005</u>.

[109] R. Bonzi, L. Lietti, L. Castoldi, P. Forzatti, *NOx removal over a double-bed NSR-SCR reactor configuration*, Catal. Today 151 (2010) 376–385.

[110] P. Forzatti, L. Lietti, *The reduction of NOx stored on LNT and combined LNT–SCR systems*, Catalysis Today 155 (2010) 131–139.

[111] L. Castoldi, R. Bonzi, L. Lietti, P. Forzatti, S. Morandi, G. Ghiotti, S. Dzwigaj, *Catalytic behaviour of hybrid LNT/SCR systems: Reactivity and in situ FTIR study*, J. Catal., 282 (2011) 128–144.

[112] R. Zukerman, L. Vradman, M. Herskowitz, E. Liverts, M. Liverts, A. Massner, M. Weibel, J.F. Brilhac, P.G. Blakeman, L.J. Peace, *Modeling and simulation of a smart catalytic converter combining NOx storage, ammonia production and SCR*, Chem. Eng. J. 155 (2009) 419–426.

[113] M. Weibel, N. Waldbüßer, R. Wunsch, D. Chatterjee, B. Bandl-Konrad, B. Krutzsch, A Novel Approach to Catalysis for NOx Reduction in Diesel Exhaust Gas, Top. Catal., 52 (2009) 1702-1708.

[114] D. Chatterjee, P. Kočí, V. Schmeiser, M. Marek, M. Weibel, *Modelling of NOx Storage* + *SCR Exhaust Gas Aftertreatment System with Internal Generation of Ammonia*, SAE Technical Papers, 2010-01-0887.

[115] D. Chatterjee, P. Kočí, V. Schmeiser, M. Marek, M. Weibel, B. Krutzsch, *Modelling of a combined NOx storage and NH₃-SCR catalytic system for Diesel exhaust gas aftertreatment*, Catal. Today 151 (2010) 395–409.

[116] A. Lindholm, H. Sjövall, L. Olsson, *Reduction of NOx over a combined NSR and SCR system*, Appl. Catal. B: Environmental 98 (2010) 112–121.

[117] C.-K. Seo, H. Kim, B. Choi, M. T. Lim, C.-H. Lee, C.-B. Lee, *De-NOx characteristics of a combined system of LNT and SCR catalysts according to hydrothermal aging and sulfur poisoning*, Catal. Today 164 (2011) 507–514.

[118] B. Pereda-Ayo, D. Duraiswami, J. R. González-Velasco, *Control of NOx storage and reduction in NSR bed for designing combined NSR–SCR systems*, Catal. Today 172 (2011) 66–72.

[119] C.-K. Seo, H. Kim, B. Choi, M. T. Lim, *The optimal volume of a combined system of LNT and SCR catalysts*, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 17 (2011) 382–385

[120] J. A. Sullivan, O. Keane, A combination of NOx trapping materials and urea-SCR catalysts for use in the removal of NOx from mobile diesel engines, Appl. Catal. B: Environmental 70 (2007) 205–214.

[121] M. K. Kim, P. S. Kim, B. K. Cho, I.-S. Nam, S. H. Oh, *Enhanced NOx reduction and byproduct removal by (HC + OHC)/SCR over multifunctional dual-bed monolith catalyst*, Catalysis Today in press, <u>10.1016/j.cattod.2011.11.010</u>