



**HAL**  
open science

## **In situ TCE degradation mediated by complex dehalorespiring communities during biostimulation processes**

Eric Dugat-Bony, Corinne Biderre-Petit, Faouzi Jaziri, Maude M. David, Jérémie Denonfoux, Delina Y. Lyon, Jean-Yves Richard, Cyrille Curvers, Delphine Boucher, Timothy M. Vogel, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Eric Dugat-Bony, Corinne Biderre-Petit, Faouzi Jaziri, Maude M. David, Jérémie Denonfoux, et al.. In situ TCE degradation mediated by complex dehalorespiring communities during biostimulation processes. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 2012, 5 (5 SI), pp.642-653. 10.1111/j.1751-7915.2012.00339.x . hal-00747255

**HAL Id: hal-00747255**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00747255>**

Submitted on 29 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# *In situ* TCE degradation mediated by complex dehalorespiring communities during biostimulation processes

Eric Dugat-Bony,<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Corinne Biderre-Petit,<sup>1,2</sup>  
Faouzi Jaziri,<sup>5,6</sup> Maude M. David,<sup>7</sup>  
Jérémy Denonfoux,<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Delina Y. Lyon,<sup>7</sup>  
Jean-Yves Richard,<sup>8</sup> Cyrille Curvers,<sup>8</sup>  
Delphine Boucher,<sup>2,3,4,9</sup> Timothy M. Vogel,<sup>7</sup>  
Eric Peyretailade<sup>2,3,4,9</sup> and Pierre Peyret<sup>2,3,4,9\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, Laboratoire Microorganismes: Génome et Environnement, BP 10448, F63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

<sup>2</sup>UMR CNRS 6023, Université Blaise Pascal, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

<sup>3</sup>Clermont Université, Université d'Auvergne, Centre de Recherche en Nutrition Humaine Auvergne, EA 18, Conception, Ingénierie et Développement de l'Aliment et du Médicament, BP10448, F63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

<sup>4</sup>Clermont Université, Université d'Auvergne, UFR Pharmacie, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

<sup>5</sup>Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, LIMOS, BP 10448, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

<sup>6</sup>UMR CNRS 6158, LIMOS, F-63173 Aubière, France.

<sup>7</sup>Environmental Microbial Genomics, Laboratoire Ampère, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Université de Lyon, 69134 Ecully, France.

<sup>8</sup>SITA Remediation (SUEZ Environnement company), 17 rue du périgord, 69330 MEYZIEU, France.

<sup>9</sup>Clermont Université, Université d'Auvergne, Laboratoire Microorganismes: Génome et Environnement, BP 10448, F63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

## Summary

**The bioremediation of chloroethene contaminants in groundwater polluted systems is still a serious environmental challenge. Many previous studies have shown that cooperation of several dechlorinators is crucial for complete dechlorination of trichloroethene to ethene. In the present study, we used an explorative functional DNA microarray (DechloArray) to examine the composition of specific functional genes**

in groundwater samples in which chloroethene bioremediation was enhanced by delivery of hydrogen-releasing compounds. Our results demonstrate for the first time that complete biodegradation occurs through spatial and temporal variations of a wide diversity of dehalorespiring populations involving both *Sulfurospirillum*, *Dehalobacter*, *Desulfitobacterium*, *Geobacter* and *Dehalococcoides* genera. *Sulfurospirillum* appears to be the most active in the highly contaminated source zone, while *Geobacter* was only detected in the slightly contaminated downstream zone. The concomitant detection of both *bvcA* and *vcrA* genes suggests that at least two different *Dehalococcoides* species are probably responsible for the dechlorination of dichloroethenes and vinyl chloride to ethene. These species were not detected on sites where *cis*-dichloroethene accumulation was observed. These results support the notion that monitoring dechlorinators by the presence of specific functional biomarkers using a powerful tool such as DechloArray will be useful for surveying the efficiency of bioremediation strategies.

## Introduction

Chloroethenes are among the most widespread groundwater contaminants that threaten human and environmental health due to their toxicity (Ruder, 2006). Among them, tetrachloroethene (PCE) and trichloroethene (TCE) tend to accumulate at the bottom of aquifers where they form dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs) that serve as reservoirs for long-term solubilization. Many remediation technologies are available for treating most sites whatever its geochemical properties (Lemming *et al.*, 2010; Pant and Pant, 2010). The *ex situ* methods (excavation/pumping) are increasingly being replaced by less invasive *in situ* methods such as biostimulation and bioaugmentation with considerable successes (Major *et al.*, 2002; Sorenson, 2002; Kao *et al.*, 2003; Macbeth *et al.*, 2004; Kennedy *et al.*, 2006).

Enhanced reductive dechlorination (ERD) has been successfully applied to many aquifers to improve chloroethene degradation (He *et al.*, 2002; Scheutz *et al.*, 2008). This biostimulation technology involves the addition of electron donors or highly fermentable nutrients directly to

Received 15 November, 2011; revised 8 February, 2012; accepted 16 February, 2012. \*For correspondence. E-mail pierre.peyret@u-clermont1.fr; Tel. (+33) 473177950; Fax (+33) 473275624.

the groundwater and can improve biodegradation if the indigenous microorganisms are capable of complete dechlorination. Indeed, while microorganisms from many genera have been shown to catalyse the dechlorination of PCE and TCE to dichloroethene (DCE) (see reviews by McCarty, 1997; Futagami *et al.*, 2008), only a few strains from *Dehalococcoides* genus are known to be able to reduce DCE and vinyl chloride (VC) to the non-toxic end-product ethene (Maymó-Gatell *et al.*, 1997; Cupples *et al.*, 2003; He *et al.*, 2003; Duhamel *et al.*, 2004). Thus, the reductive dechlorination can stall at an intermediate stage, which typically results in an accumulation of *cis*-DCE and VC daughter products known to be more toxic than PCE and TCE when these strains are not present (McCarty, 1997). In this case, bioaugmentation strategies, i.e. the addition of exogenous bacterial communities, can be used to achieve complete dechlorination at higher rates (Pant and Pant, 2010).

Currently, several diagnostic tools are available to help in answering the question of whether biostimulation, bioaugmentation or both may be necessary at chlorinated ethene DNAPL sites (Maphosa *et al.*, 2010). Most of them rely on the identification of *Dehalococcoides* species using the gene encoding the 16S rRNA (Hendrickson *et al.*, 2002; Lu *et al.*, 2006; Rahm *et al.*, 2006). However, such approaches still do not access the functional diversity of microorganisms (Taş *et al.*, 2010) and cannot determine whether the detected microbial populations have the specific reductive dehalogenases (RDases) involved in the final step from DCE to ethene that is required for complete dechlorination. Hence, new molecular tools, designed to detect well-known RDase genes, provide a more complete diagnostic of dechlorination capacities (Müller *et al.*, 2004; Regeard *et al.*, 2004; Taş *et al.*, 2009; van der Zaan *et al.*, 2010).

A better understanding of the biodegrading population distribution as well as microbial interactions at contaminated sites is crucial to achieve effective bioremediation strategies. In this study, the functional 'DechloArray', dedicated to the dechlorination processes (Dugat-Bony *et al.*, 2011a), was used to assess the functional diversity and the spatial distribution of dechlorinating populations from four French chlorinated solvent-contaminated sites under ERD treatment. For this objective, the microarray was improved by targeting all known genes encoding enzymes involved in three major metabolic biodegradation pathways of chloroethenes: anaerobic reductive dechlorination (Vogel *et al.*, 1987), aerobic oxidation (Mattes *et al.*, 2010) and aerobic cometabolic oxidation (Arp *et al.*, 2001). Among the four sites studied here, one (site B) was regularly monitored during 1 year of biostimulation. The sites F, G and H were also treated by biostimulation, but only sampled at the end of their treatment. Molecular biology and chemical analyses were conducted at each


site to monitor the biodegradation process. With the analysis of 30 samples from these sites with their different pollutant loadings and ERD treatments, this study: (i) provided insights into shifts in the spatial and temporal distribution of dechlorinating populations within a contaminated area but also in relation to the specific ERD treatment and (ii) identified key physico-chemical parameters involved in the changes of bacterial communities. Our results highlight the importance of such surveys to better understand the growth and activity of degrading microorganisms based on their location within a contaminated area, and thus, to better assess the ERD treatment efficiency.

## Results

### *Overall impact of the ERD treatment on site B*

Site B was mainly contaminated with TCE resulting from repeated accidental spills from a solvent storage tank between the years of 2003 and 2007. Almost 100 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of product was detected at the source in the underlying groundwater (solubility of TCE in water = 1 g l<sup>-1</sup>), suggesting the formation of DNAPLs. The subsurface lithology was composed of successive layers of sandy and clayey loams. The ERD treatment, consisting of two injections of lactate at 6 month intervals (at days 67 and 256 respectively, over a period of 16–18 days), was applied to target the deeper aquifer (Fig. 1A). An amount of 360 kg of lactate was directly injected into four injection wells (30% of volume in P2, 30% in P3, 30% in P3S and 10% in P6) drilled around the contamination source (Fig. 1B) in order to cover the entire source zone with approximately 3 g l<sup>-1</sup> of lactate. The estimated impact radius of the injection varied from 5 to 7.5 m depending on the well. Monitoring was performed between May 2009 and June 2010 through a total of five sampling periods (Fig. 1A): C1 (T = 0), C2 (T = 104 days), C3 (T = 231 days), C4 (T = 291 days) and C5 (T = 378 days) at four monitoring wells, i.e. one upstream from the contamination source (P1), one in the contamination source (P2) and two downstream from the contamination source (P3 and P4) (Fig. 1B).

In order to assess the evolution of the groundwater geochemistry and the electron donors availability during ERD, analytical measurements were performed on the 19 groundwater samples collected on site B (Table 1). Before biostimulation, the groundwater underlying the pollution source area was aerobic with an average redox potential (ORP) of +541 and +692.2 mV in injection wells P2 and P3 respectively. Lactate addition immediately resulted in average ORP values decreasing to -79.9 and -268.3 mV (first injection) and -95 and -114.6 mV (second injection) in both wells. Dissolved oxygen (DO) consumption also indicated the establishment of reducing conditions. The



**Fig. 1.** Overview of ERD strategic scheme applied on site B. A. Chronological representation of sampling periods and biostimulation treatment by lactate injections. B. Location of injection and monitoring wells and boundary distribution of lactate immediately after injection (grey circles). P2, P3, P3S and P6: injection wells. P1, P2, P3 and P4: monitoring wells. The upstream monitoring well P1 is located out of the map. The arrow indicated the groundwater flow direction.

oxygen depletion was accompanied by a rapid decrease in nitrate, which can be used first as alternative electron acceptors by certain bacterial populations in response to electron donor loading. This was followed in well P3 by a significant decrease in sulfate and the production of methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) and ethene. After each injection, lactate was fermented rapidly resulting in an increase of total organic carbon (TOC), which then disappeared during the following weeks probably due to the diffusion and consumption of the fermentation products. These results indicated that lactate injections led to the establishment of strongly reductive, oxygen-free and nutrient-rich conditions in the contaminated area.


Chloroethene and ethene concentration trends were also analysed. As expected, only a negligible amount of TCE was detected at the upstream monitoring well P1 ( $< 7.6 \times 10^{-3}$ – $1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  throughout the five sampling periods, data not shown). Before biostimulation, the TCE concentration was greatest at the source well P2 ( $502 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) whereas it was only negligible at the more

distant well P4 ( $0.45 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ). Upon lactate addition, TCE dechlorination to *cis*-DCE was evident in both P2 and P3 wells. Throughout the experiment, significant reduction in TCE occurred at the source P2 with the molar concentration decreasing to reach  $167 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  after 378 days (C5) whereas it disappeared completely in the nearby well P3 after 231 days (by sampling period C3) (Fig. 2). In the more distant well P4, the daughter product *cis*-DCE was converted into VC continually during the treatment (Fig. 2). In monitoring wells, P2, P3 and P4, ethene appeared at the end of the treatment with a higher concentration in well P4 after 291 days (sampling period C4); this appearance correlated with the onset of  $\text{CH}_4$  detection (Fig. 2, Table 1). Thus, anaerobic conditions created by substrate injections were favourable to chlorinated solvent reductive dechlorination and stimulated the biodegradation in the entire contaminated zone. Furthermore, the rapid evolution of the chloroethene composition clearly reflected the spatial configuration of the contaminated site with (i) a source zone close to the well P2

**Table 1.** Key parameters analysed for wells P2 and P3 on site B, both used as injection and monitoring wells, before and after lactate injections (360 kg in solution).

Sampling periods (T in days)	ORP (mV)		DO ( $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ )		Nitrate ( $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ )		Sulfate ( $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ )		Methane ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )		Ethene ( $\mu\text{g l}^{-1}$ )		TOC ( $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ )	
	P2	P3	P2	P3	P2	P3	P2	P3	P2	P3	P2	P3	P2	P3
C1 (T = 0)	541	692.2	1.44	8.08	7.6	27	56	56	0.03	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	6	5
Lactate (T = 67)														
C2 (T = 104)	-79.9	-268.3	0.6	0.6	<0.2	<0.2	7.6	13	<0.01	<0.01	0.03	<0.01	1000	910
C3 (T = 231)	-50	-49	0.87	1.92	<0.45	<0.45	9	18	<0.01	0.03	0.54	0.19	380	7.4
Lactate (T = 256)														
C4 (T = 291)	-95	-114.6	0.77	1.1	<0.75	<0.75	65	<5	NA	NA	150	92	2000	1200
C5 (T = 378)	-91	-173	NA	0.44	<0.75	0.9	56	<5	64	29	1100	1500	74	270

NA, data not available.



**Fig. 2.** Chlorinated ethene and ethene trends on site B in the three monitoring wells P2, P3 and P4. Data were provided for sampling periods C1–C5, when available. Proportion of each compound is expressed in percentage. Arrows indicated when lactate injections were realized and numbers at right, the concentration of the different chloroethenes in  $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ . NA, data not available.

where the main reaction consists of TCE reduction in its daughter products (*cis*-DCE and VC), and (ii) a plume zone with wells P3 and P4 where the biodegradation consisted mainly of the conversion of *cis*-DCE to VC and ethene.


#### Molecular detection of functional gene diversity involved in chloroethene degradation at site B

To understand whether the biostimulation process affected the composition and structure of the functional genes associated with the dechlorination, the 19 gDNA samples collected throughout the experiment (sampling periods C1 to C5) were hybridized on the DechloroArray. The results showed that all genes showing a positive hybridization signal were only involved in the reductive dechlorination pathway except the *etnC* gene, which encodes an alkene monooxygenase enzyme (Fig. 3A). Indeed, this enzyme, first isolated from *Mycobacterium* species, but also found in *Nocardioide*s species, is involved in the aerobic oxida-

tion pathway (Mattes *et al.*, 2010). This gene was detected without major signal variation in many samples including those from the uncontaminated well P1 even after the lactate injections (Fig. 3A). Hence, these findings suggested that indigenous species harbouring this gene might not be involved in the dechlorination process.

At sampling period C1, before the biostimulation treatment, gene detection distinguished between two dehalorespiring groups with different biodegradation capabilities in distinct zones (Fig. 3A): (i) species that may belong to *Sulfurospirillum* (*pceA* gene was detected) in the source well P2, probably responsible of TCE natural attenuation and (ii) in the plume well P4, species that may belong to *Dehalococcoides* (*vcrA* and other putative *rdhA* genes detected), the only microorganisms known to dechlorinate *cis*-DCE and VC, two compounds highly present in this area before the first lactate injection (Fig. 2). These results are consistent with data obtained from 16S rRNA gene (*rrs*) amplicon pyrosequencing of five samples retrieved from both the P2 and P4 wells (Table 2) that shows presence of *Sulfurospirillum* mainly in the source zone and *Dehalococcoides* in the plume. All these genes were detected throughout the bioremediation process with an increase in probe signal intensity for both *pceA* and *vcrA* after the lactate injections. This supports the favourable effect of the ERD treatment on these dechlorinators. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3A, each lactate addition decreased the number of genes detected during the following sampling periods (C2 and C4) in the injection wells P2 and P3 whereas not in the distant well P4 used only for monitoring. Thus, the injection of a large amount of substrate could result in a strong disturbance of the microbial community for a relatively long period (greater than 3 weeks).

Depending on the sample, up to six different RDase genes were simultaneously detected (Fig. 3A) with significant variations in the spatial and temporal distribution of three key RDase genes (*pceA*, *vcrA* and *bvcA*). As the DechloroArray was designed to discriminate between bacterial groups based on their distinct functional gene sequences, our data highlighted possible associations between different dehalorespiring groups, based on sample location (e.g. *Dehalobacter/Desulfitobacterium* group and *Sulfurospirillum* in the source; and *Dehalococcoides* and *Geobacter* in the plume) and based on the sampling date (e.g. detection of the *Dehalobacter/Desulfitobacterium* group and *Geobacter* only after lactate injections). Pyrosequencing of the 16S rRNA gene (*rrs*) demonstrated the same trends. The *Dehalobacter* and *Desulfitobacterium pceA* genes share > 99% identity, and therefore, they cannot be distinguished with the designed probes. However, PCR amplification of their 16S rRNA gene followed by cloning-sequencing and identification (data not shown, GenBank accession numbers JQ031637 and JQ031638) and 16S rRNA pyrosequencing (Table 2)



**Fig. 3.** Gene responses from DechlorArray data obtained with gDNA samples collected (A) on site B during ERD demonstration and (B) on sites F, G and H at the end of their biostimulation treatment. Gene response levels (mean signal to noise ratio SNR') are indicated by using a black and white gradient. Metabolic pathways, gene name and affiliations are indicated for each gene. Myco: *Mycobacterium*; DHC: *Dehalococcoides*; Geo: *Geobacter*; DR: *Dehalobacter/Desulfitobacterium*; Sulf: *Sulfurospirillum*, VC: vinyl chloride, ETH: ethene.

suggested that they were both present at site B. In addition, the sporadic detection of genes encoding putative RDases that may belong to *Dehalococcoides* species (*rdhA*) in many samples could reflect the widespread occurrence and the importance of this functional group in the dechlorination process (Fig. 3A).

#### Redundancy analysis (RDA) of gene patterns and geochemical parameters observed at site B

The RDA showed that variation in the detection of genes involved in dechlorination could be explained by different geochemical parameters ( $P \geq 0.05$ ). The first principal canonical axis (F1) accounted for 51.25% of the variation; together with the second canonical axis (F2), this value increased to 70.15% (Fig. 4). On the basis of the sample distribution on the F1 and F2 axis, three sectors


were identified. In the first sector (Group A), high TCE concentrations were positively correlated with *Sulfurospirillum* and *Dehalobacter/Desulfitobacterium pceA* genes that encode enzymes responsible for the reduction of both PCE and TCE to *cis*-DCE (Neumann *et al.*, 1998; Maillard *et al.*, 2003) and that were identified in all samples not perturbed by lactate injections collected at the source zone P2 (sampling periods C1, C3 and C5). In contrast, in the second sector (Group B), the three samples unaffected by lactate injections from the plume well P3 (sampling periods C1, C3 and C5), and those from the plume well P4 were associated with high VC, ethene and methane concentrations and showed positive correlation with the detection of VC-reductase genes *vcrA* and *bvcA*. The genes encoding putative RDases (*rdhA1a*, *rdhA1b* and *rdhA2a*) with orthologues in several *Dehalococcoides* genomes (Table S1) were also

**Table 2.** Summary statistics from 16S rRNA gene 454 pyrosequencing data obtained from both P2 source and P4 plume zones on site B at different sampling campaigns.

		Samples					
		P2			P4		
% of reads per sample		Campaign C3 2301 reads	Campaign C4 3255 reads	Campaign C5 23 334 reads	Campaign C3 3174 reads	Campaign C4 2577 reads	
<i>Dehalobacter</i>	<i>Dehalobacter</i> unclassified	0	0	0	0	0.116414435	
<i>Dehalococcoides</i>	<i>Dehalococcoides</i> sp. BAV1	0.217296827	0.399385561	0.068569469	0.882167612	1.280558789	
	<i>Dehalococcoides</i> sp. VS	0	0	0	0.598613737	0.543267365	
	TOTAL	0.217296827	0.399385561	0.068569469	1.480781348	1.823826154	
<i>Desulfibacterium</i>	<i>Desulfibacterium hafniense</i>	0.086918731	0.030721966	0.025713551	0	0	
<i>Geobacter</i>	<i>Geobacter bemidjiensis</i>	0	0	0	0.157529931	0.194024059	
	<i>Geobacter lovleyi</i>	0	0	0	0.126023945	0.038804812	
	<i>Geobacter Pelobacter propionicus</i>	0	0	0.004285592	0	1.590997284	
	<i>Geobacter psychrophilus</i>	0.260756193	0.737327189	0.077140653	0.945179584	0.42685293	
	<i>Geobacter</i> sp. FRC-32	0	0	0	0.252047889	0.077609624	
	<i>Geobacter</i> sp. M21	0.260756193	0.061443932	0	0.756143667	1.047729919	
	<i>Geobacter sulfurreducens</i>	0	0	0	0	0.116414435	
	<i>Geobacter</i> unclassified	0.043459365	0.215053763	0.017142367	0.315059861	0.42685293	
	<i>Geobacter uraniiireducens</i>	0	0.122887865	0	0	0	
	TOTAL	0.564971751	1.13671275	0.098568612	2.551984877	3.919285991	
	<i>Sulfurospirillum</i>	<i>Sulfurospirillum barnesii</i>	1.043024772	1.53609831	0.509985429	0.031505986	0.271633683

associated with this group. Finally, this group showed a strong negative correlation with ORP, sulfate and nitrate concentrations. The sporadic detection of *pceA* from *Geobacter* species in well P4 could suggest its importance in the removal of traces of TCE remaining in this plume. The last section (Group C) grouped all samples for which no or few degradation abilities were detected: P1 samples and those from P2 and P3 affected by lactate injections (sampling periods C2 and C4). This last group was also positively correlated with high

sulfate and nitrate concentrations. This highlights that environmental parameters, particularly electron acceptors (nitrate, sulphate, TCE, *cis*-DCE or VC) and reductive conditions (ORP, methanogenesis), could control the spatial and temporal establishment of key microbial populations involved in the biodegradation process during the bioremediation treatment. In addition, functional gene patterns identified in each sample appeared to be closely related with the degradation reactions occurring in the nearby area, suggesting that these fin-



**Fig. 4.** Redundancy analysis (RDA) of gene response levels as one set of variables (species data) and geochemical data as the explaining set of variables (environmental data) for site B. The length of the arrow is correlated with the strength of relation between the response variables. The arrows point in the direction of the maximum change for the associated variable. Black squares: individual genes. Green stars: groundwater samples.

gerprints could serve as indicator of site conditions and allow optimizing microbial activity for improved bioremediation processes.

#### Study of biodegradation capacities at sites F, G and H

Three additional industrial sites with very different pollutant loadings and distinct ERD treatments were also investigated. On the highly contaminated sites, F and G (> 15 mg l<sup>-1</sup> TCE in the underlying groundwater at the pollution source), the biodegradation was enhanced by three injections of molasses in the plume at site F and in the source zone at site G between August 2006 and October 2008. In total, seven groundwater samples were collected almost 10 months after the end of the treatment (July 2009) from monitoring wells located as described for site B, four at site F (P1, P2, P3 and P4) and three at site G (P1, P3 and P4) respectively. Although the physico-chemical assays performed at the end of the bioremediation process confirmed the presence of favourable reductive conditions (Table S2), the biostimulation led to the degradation of the chlorinated compounds at site F, but to an accumulation of *cis*-DCE at site G. In contrast, at the last site, H, a former industrial facility where historical practices resulted in multi-contamination with BTEX (benzene, toluene, ethyl-benzene and xylenes), many hydrocarbons and chloroethenes (lower concentrations than the other sites), two remediation treatments were applied: a vacuum extraction between 2000 and 2002 (physical treatment), then followed by an injection of an oil/lactate mixture in 2009. These treatments resulted in a build-up of *cis*-DCE, but to a lower extent than at site G (Table S2). Four samples were collected as described previously (wells P1, P2, P3 and P4) almost a year after the treatments (January 2010).

After DechloroArray hybridization with all gDNA samples retrieved from these sites, no RDase genes were detected in the upstream well P1 regardless of the site, whereas the *etnC* gene was widely distributed in many wells. In contrast, the six RDase genes involved in reductive dechlorination already identified from site B, were differently detected in contamination source and downstream wells, depending on the site (Fig. 3B). For site F, both putative *rdhA* and *vcrA* genes were detected in the treated area (wells P3 and P4), reflecting complete dechlorination, but not in the non-treated source zone P2 where reducing conditions were not established (negative ORP and slight DO) (Table S2). For both sites G and H, the apparent stalling of reductive dechlorination at the *cis*-DCE intermediate could be explained by the absence of essential genes encoding VC-reductases (*vcrA* and *bvcA*). Surprisingly, no known gene able to catalyse TCE dechlorination into *cis*-DCE was detected on all sites, except the *pceA* gene from *Sulfurospirillum*, which was

present at one sampling point on site G. In summary, our findings indicated that, on the basis of *rdhA* genes, *Dehalococcoides* populations could be widespread at the three polluted sites although only site F hosted populations carrying VC-reductase genes (*vcrA* and/or *bvcA*) that enable dechlorination past *cis*-DCE.

## Discussion

#### ERD treatment efficacy at site B

In this study, ERD treatment was used to cleanup a DNAPL source zone at an industrial contaminated site (site B), using lactate injections to increase the concentration of organic carbon available in the groundwater and to establish reductive conditions favourable to the growth of anaerobic populations such as certain dechlorinating bacteria. After almost 1 year of treatment, TCE was gradually and massively replaced in the source zone (P2) by *cis*-DCE and VC by-products, whereas it disappeared from the plume (P3 and P4) concurrent with the appearance of ethene (Fig. 2). However, total TCE degradation in the source zone should take a long time given the quantity discharged and would require additional substrate additions to maintain favourable conditions for bacterial growth, as shown by previous studies (Major *et al.*, 2002; Song *et al.*, 2002; Lendvay *et al.*, 2003; Kennedy *et al.*, 2006).

#### Spatial distribution of dechlorinating populations before ERD treatment

RDase genes are considered major indicators of dechlorination potential and are recommended for monitoring the presence of dechlorinators (Ritalahti *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2008). In the present study, RDase gene diversity was observed on the site B before implementation of ERD treatment (Fig. 3A), indicating the presence and the heterogeneous distribution of indigenous dechlorinating consortia. Indeed, whereas *Dehalococcoides* strains were identified at several points (on the basis of *vcrA* and putative *rdhA* genes) including both uncontaminated and slightly contaminated zones (P1 and P4), *Sulfurospirillum* (*pceA* gene) was only detected in the most contaminated source zone (P2). This highlights a broader natural occurrence of *Dehalococcoides*, as described in previous studies (Futagami *et al.*, 2009; van der Zaan *et al.*, 2010). On contaminated sites, total degradation of TCE to ethene usually results from multiple degraders associations in which the most frequently found are: (i) *Desulfitobacterium* and *Dehalococcoides* (Yang *et al.*, 2005; Bunge *et al.*, 2007; Rouzeau-Szynalski *et al.*, 2011), (ii) *Dehalobacter* and *Dehalococcoides* (Daprato *et al.*, 2007), (iii) *Geobacter* and *Dehalococcoides* (Duhamel and Edwards,



2007; Amos *et al.*, 2009) or (iv) a mix of *Dehalococcoides* strains (Duhamel *et al.*, 2002; Holmes *et al.*, 2006). In contrast, the association of *Sulfurospirillum* and *Dehalococcoides* is rather rare, described only in an enrichment culture from a bioreactor treating PCE-contaminated groundwater (Maillard *et al.*, 2011) and *in situ* on a French chloroethene contaminated site (Dugat-Bony *et al.*, 2011a).

#### Temporal succession of bacterial communities during ERD treatment

On site B, soon after the first lactate injection, higher diversity and abundance of RDase genes belonging to new dechlorinators were observed with *Dehalobacter*, *Desulfitobacterium* rather located in the highly contaminated source zone and *Geobacter* only present in the slightly contaminated downstream zone (P4) (Fig. 3A). This is the first report that shows the coexistence of *Sulfurospirillum*, *Dehalobacter*, *Desulfitobacterium*, *Geobacter* and *Dehalococcoides* species into a single area during an ERD treatment. All those genera were also detected by 16S rRNA gene (*rrs*) amplicon pyrosequencing approach (Table 2). In addition, as supported by previous studies (Becker, 2006; Dowideit *et al.*, 2010) and by our results (Fig. 4), their heterogeneous spatial distribution into the contaminated zone could depend on their own specific requirements such as electron acceptors' nature and concentrations (TCE, *cis*-DCE, VC), environmental conditions (redox) or nutrient availability, but also from the inter species competitions.

Furthermore, our findings demonstrated the detection of supplemental RDase genes from *Dehalococcoides* group, i.e. *bvcA* and additional putative *rdhA* genes immediately after lactate injections (Fig. 3A). Thus, the concomitant detection of both *bvcA* and *vcrA* suggests the coexistence of distinct *Dehalococcoides* populations at some locations in the contaminated groundwater, as no strain was described to host both genes in its genome. This finding is consistent with the pyrosequencing data (Table 2). Hence, as shown in previous studies, the coordinated function of many *Dehalococcoides* species could be essential for a more efficient dechlorination (Behrens *et al.*, 2008; Nishimura *et al.*, 2008).

#### Impact of substrate injections on biodegradation reactions

Although lactate addition favours the biological dechlorination processes, the substrate injections itself (periods C2 and C4) cause immediately significant disruptions of the groundwater around the injection wells that are visible during several weeks (until periods C3 and C5) with strong disturbances in molecular analyses (Fig. 3A). This

lag period could be essential for the restoration of groundwater conditions and the growth of microorganisms, certainly diluted by the massive addition of lactate solution. Thus, the addition of substrate upstream the contaminated zone could be preferable, leading to a lower disturbance of the environment where dechlorination needs to occur and to an acceleration of the decontamination process. This hypothesis was reinforced by the observation of a high degradation capacity in the most distant plume well P4, not used for injections, with both appearance of *bvcA* and higher signal intensity of *vcrA* immediately after the first lactate addition (C2) (Fig. 3A). These results reflected the fast diffusion of fermentation products and quick adaptation of degrading populations to environmental changes. For these reasons, it appears crucial to monitor all the reactions that occur in the contaminated zone at several points (spatial coverage) throughout the treatment (temporal coverage).

#### Potential application of functional gene array (FGA) for bioremediation assistance

Many environmental factors affect both the structure and the function of the microbial community. As shown in several case studies, an inadequate electron donor distribution but also the absence or the low abundance of essential dechlorinators can result in an incomplete dechlorination with a build-up of intermediate degradation products such as *cis*-DCE (Hendrickson *et al.*, 2002). Among the three additional contaminated sites assessed in the present study, two exhibited a stalling of reductive dechlorination (sites G and H). As favourable reductive conditions were demonstrated for at least site G, the non-detection of genes involved in the late-stage dechlorination reactions (*vcrA* or *bvcA*) using the DechloroArray might explain this finding (Fig. 3B). So, in these cases, the bioaugmentation might be the most relevant way for complete dechlorination rather than biostimulation. Indeed, many studies have demonstrated successful decontamination of polluted sites when adding the missing *Dehalococcoides* species (Ellis *et al.*, 2000; Major *et al.*, 2002).

Microbially mediated reduction of chlorinated solvents is a promising strategy for the remediation of highly contaminated groundwater. A better understanding of microbial community structure and function in relation to environmental conditions is important for designing a successful bioremediation strategy (Lovley, 2003). The use of our DechloroArray for the degradation gene detection is a relevant approach to assess the performance of an *in situ* bioremediation process more rapidly and more accurately than most other tools. Indeed, microcosm testing needs long time to reveal biodegradation capabilities and did not reflect performance of microbial populations in real environmental conditions (Xu, 2006). Furthermore, because

FGA enables to simultaneously target many genes (92 for the DechloArray), this technique has the unparalleled potential to survey more functions than other molecular tools such as qPCR assays (He *et al.*, 2008) especially when using explorative probe design strategies (Dugat-Bony *et al.*, 2011b). This tool is also better adapted than metagenomic approach for routine studies requiring rapid analysis of many environmental samples, although the latter gives a deeper view of microbial communities (Roh *et al.*, 2010). Hence, even if this study do not demonstrate the predictive power of the DechloArray, this capacity could be tested in future applications by using it to determine the presence of indigenous dechlorinating populations on contaminated site before the treatment implementation, which is also called diagnostic. However, only the survey of many contaminated sites before and after treatment should answer if this application is conceivable.

## Experimental procedures

### *Groundwater sample collection and analytical methods*

No industrial activity and no specific geographical location can be disclosed for the four sites studied due to confidentiality reasons. At each site (B, F, G and H), groundwater samples were collected by SITA Remediation Company (Lyon, France) from the monitoring wells drilled in the deep aquifer i.e. between –20 and –30 m depth for the site B, –7 and –12 m for the site F, –7 and –10 m for the site G and –3 and –6 m for the site H. Wells were sampled by low-flow purging methods using a peristaltic pump. Three litres of water were collected per well and then stored on ice during transport (from 24 to 48 h). Finally, 30 groundwater samples were collected from all sites (19 from site B, 4 from sites F and H respectively, and 3 from site G) for further analysis.

Chlorinated compounds were analysed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS Thermo TRACE DSQ, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Villebon sur Yvette, France) through a headspace sampler (150°C for the injection) and a GC column (TRACE TR-V1, 20 m × 0.18 mm × 1 µm, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The column was held for 6 min at 40°C, followed by a ramp rate of 18°C min<sup>-1</sup> until 100°C and 40°C min<sup>-1</sup> until 200°C. The carrier gas (helium) had a flow rate of 1 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

Gas composition (methane and ethene) was analysed by gas chromatography with a 7890A Gas Chromatograph (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA) equipped with a headspace sampler, a flame ionization detector and a bonded polystyrene-divinylbenzene-based column (HP-PLOT Q, 30 m × 0.53 mm × 40 µm, Agilent). The following temperature programme was used: 5 min at 60°C with the injector at 250°C. The carrier gas (nitrogen) had a flow rate of 3 ml min<sup>-1</sup>.

Total organic carbon and ions (dissolved bromide, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, nitrite, orthophosphate and sulfate) were analysed by ALcontrol Laboratories Company (Hawarden, UK) based on the Netherlands Normalisatie-instituut (NEN, <http://www.nen.nl>) norms NEN-EN 1484, NEN-EN-ISO 10304 and NEN-EN-ISO 11732.

Temperature, pH, conductivity, DO and the oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) were measured onsite in groundwater with a portable multiparameter WTW and appropriate probes (VWR International LLC, West Chester, Pennsylvania, USA).

### *DNA extraction*

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from all groundwater samples based on the experimental protocol described by Dugat-Bony and colleagues (2011a). Briefly, 5–250 ml of water samples (depending on solids concentration) were filtered under vacuum onto a 47 mm 0.2 µm pore size GTTP filter (Millipore, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA) immediately after the sample reception. Then, filters were stored at –80°C in extraction buffer (see Dugat-Bony *et al.*, 2011a) until processing or directly subjected to bead beating disruption followed by phenol chloroform extraction. gDNA concentrations were measured using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, North Carolina, USA).

### *Sample labelling*

The BioPrime Total Genomic Labelling System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) was used to label the gDNA with Alexa Fluor 3 or 5 fluorescent dyes. The reactions used 1 µg of gDNA template following the manufacturer's instructions and multiplied the amount of DNA by at least sixfold. The effectiveness of the labelling method was assessed by calculating the amount of labelled nucleotides using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies).

### *Microarray experimental method*

The functional gene array used in this study was an improved version of the fully validated DechloArray previously developed by Dugat-Bony and colleagues (2011a). The new version contained 760 oligonucleotide probes of 50-mer length and targeted 92 genes sequences corresponding to 24 different proteins (Table S1). Five distinct regions per gene were targeted and each oligonucleotide probe was synthesized *in situ* with eight replicates. Microarrays were produced in a 12 plex format (Roche NimbleGen).

Two micrograms of purified labelled products were used for the microarray hybridization process using the standard Nimblegen methods. The targets were hybridized for 72 h at 42°C using a 4-bay hybridization system (Roche NimbleGen). Array washes were performed as recommended by NimbleGen and slides were scanned at 2 µm resolution using the InnoScan 900 and Mapix software (Innopsys, Carbone, France). Finally, pixel intensities were extracted using NimbleScan v2.5 software (Roche NimbleGen) and pair reports containing signal intensity data for every spot on the array linked to its corresponding probe identifier were generated.

### *Microarray data normalization and analysis*

Specific scripts developed in this study with the Delphi language were used to establish a four-step automated method

to analyse the pair reports generated by NimbleScan v2.5 software. The first two steps, namely local background evaluation and intra-array normalization by SNR' calculation, were as described by Dugat-Bony and colleagues (2011a). The third step allowed inter-array normalization of the result distributions using the quantile method originally described by Bolstad and colleagues (2003). This method was implemented in a R function as part of the preprocessCore library of the Bioconductor available at <http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/>. Finally, the last step of the method consisted of a gene response evaluation. Each probe showing a median SNR'  $\geq 1.5$  from the eight replicates was considered a positive response. Furthermore, all genes for which less than four distinct regions of the five available were detected were considered negative. Finally, gene response level was calculated as the mean of the highest probe response in each region. The microarray data discussed in this publication are available at the GEO web site (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>) under Accession No.: GSE28609.

#### 454GS FLX Titanium sequencing and read analysis

Pyrosequencing of 16S rRNA gene fragments amplified with the universal bacterial primers 27F and 1492R from five selected gDNA samples (campaigns C2, C3 and C5 for source well P2 as well as campaigns C3 and C4 for the plume well P4 from site B) and sequence analysis were performed by MR DNA (<http://www.mrdnalab.com>) using a 454 GS FLX Titanium platform and proprietary analysis pipeline. Operational taxonomic units were defined by clustering at 97% similarity and taxonomically classified using BLASTn against a curated GreenGenes database (DeSantis *et al.*, 2006). The sequence data from 16S rRNA gene amplicon pyrosequencing were deposited in the NCBI as a Short Read Archive (SRA) project under Accession No. SRA049465.

#### RDA

The RDA was performed with XLSTAT software (<http://www.xlstat.com/>) on data collected on site B for all monitoring wells from all sampling periods except for P3 sample at the period C1 for which no microarray data were available (19 samples). Table Y (species data) contained response levels for the 10 positive genes detected and Table X (environmental data) consisted of values or concentrations obtained for the 13 following parameters: ORP, conductivity, DO, PCE, TCE, *cis*-DCE, VC, ethene, methane, TOC, nitrate, sulfate and chloride. Unrestricted Monte Carlo permutation tests were performed with 499 random permutations and a significance level (*P*) of 0.05.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Grant ID 2598 from the 'Agence De l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie' (ADEME, France) and the Grant ANR-07-ECOT-005-05 for the program PRECODD Evasol from 'Agence Nationale de la Recherche' (ANR, France). We are grateful to our undergraduate students Gaëtan Guillaume, Stéphane Freitas

and Anne-Sophie Yvroud (IUT Génie Biologique, Clermont-Ferrand) for their contribution on this work. We thank the transcriptomic platform of INRA (Crouël, France) for giving access to the microarray hybridization material.

#### References

- Amos, B.K., Suchomel, E.J., Pennell, K.D., and Löffler, F.E. (2009) Spatial and temporal distributions of *Geobacter lovleyi* and *Dehalococcoides* spp. during bioenhanced PCE-NAPL dissolution. *Environ Sci Technol* **43**: 1977–1985.
- Arp, D.J., Yeager, C.M., and Hyman, M.R. (2001) Molecular and cellular fundamentals of aerobic cometabolism of trichloroethylene. *Biodegradation* **12**: 81–103.
- Becker, J.G. (2006) A modeling study and implications of competition between *Dehalococcoides ethenogenes* and other tetrachloroethene-respiring bacteria. *Environ Sci Technol* **40**: 4473–4480.
- Behrens, S., Azizian, M.F., McMurdie, P.J., Sabalowsky, A., Dolan, M.E., Semprini, L., and Spormann, A.M. (2008) Monitoring abundance and expression of 'Dehalococcoides' species chloroethene-reductive dehalogenases in a tetrachloroethene-dechlorinating flow column. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 5695–5703.
- Bolstad, B.M., Irizarry, R.A., Astrand, M., and Speed, T.P. (2003) A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics* **19**: 185–193.
- Bunge, M., Kleikemper, J., Miniaci, C., Duc, L., Muusse, M.G., Hause, G., and Zeyer, J. (2007) Benzoate-driven dehalogenation of chlorinated ethenes in microbial cultures from a contaminated aquifer. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **76**: 1447–1456.
- Cupples, A.M., Spormann, A.M., and McCarty, P.L. (2003) Growth of a *Dehalococcoides*-like microorganism on vinyl chloride and *cis*-dichloroethene as electron acceptors as determined by competitive PCR. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 953–959.
- Daprato, R.C., Löffler, F.E., and Hughes, J.B. (2007) Comparative analysis of three tetrachloroethene to ethene halorespiring consortia suggests functional redundancy. *Environ Sci Technol* **41**: 2261–2269.
- DeSantis, T.Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E.L., Keller, K., *et al.* (2006) Greengenes, a chimeric-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 5069–5072.
- Dowdait, K., Scholz-Muramatsu, H., Miethling-Graff, R., Vigelahn, L., Freygang, M., Dohrmann, A.B., and Tebbe, C.C. (2010) Spatial heterogeneity of dechlorinating bacteria and limiting factors for in situ trichloroethene dechlorination revealed by analyses of sediment cores from a polluted field site. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **71**: 444–459.
- Dugat-Bony, E., Missaoui, M., Peyretailade, E., Biderre-Petit, C., Bouzid, O., Gouinaud, C., *et al.* (2011a) HiSpOD: probe design for functional DNA microarrays. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 641–648.
- Dugat-Bony, E., Peyretailade, E., Parisot, N., Biderre-Petit, C., Jaziri, F., Hill, D., *et al.* (2011b) Detecting unknown sequences with DNA microarrays: explorative probe design strategies. *Environ Microbiol* **14**: 356–371.

- Duhamel, M., and Edwards, E.A. (2007) Growth and yields of dechlorinators, acetogens, and methanogens during reductive dechlorination of chlorinated ethenes and dihaloelimination of 1,2-dichloroethane. *Environ Sci Technol* **41**: 2303–2310.
- Duhamel, M., Wehr, S.D., Yu, L., Rizvi, H., Seepersad, D., Dworatzek, S., et al. (2002) Comparison of anaerobic dechlorinating enrichment cultures maintained on tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, cis-dichloroethene and vinyl chloride. *Water Res* **36**: 4193–4202.
- Duhamel, M., Mo, K., and Edwards, E.A. (2004) Characterization of a highly enriched *Dehalococcoides*-containing culture that grows on vinyl chloride and trichloroethene. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 5538–5545.
- Ellis, D.E., Lutz, E.J., Odom, J.M., Buchanan, R.J., Bartlett, C.L., Lee, M.D., et al. (2000) Bioaugmentation for accelerated *in situ* anaerobic bioremediation. *Environ Sci Technol* **34**: 2254–2260.
- Futagami, T., Goto, M., and Furukawa, K. (2008) Biochemical and genetic bases of dehalorespiration. *Chem Rec* **8**: 1–12.
- Futagami, T., Morono, Y., Terada, T., Kaksonen, A.H., and Inagaki, F. (2009) Dehalogenation activities and distribution of reductive dehalogenase homologous genes in marine subsurface sediments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 6905–6909.
- He, J., Sung, Y., Dollhopf, M.E., Fathepure, B.Z., Tiedje, J.M., and Löffler, F.E. (2002) Acetate versus hydrogen as direct electron donors to stimulate the microbial reductive dechlorination process at chloroethene-contaminated sites. *Environ Sci Technol* **36**: 3945–3952.
- He, J., Ritalahti, K.M., Aiello, M.R., and Löffler, F.E. (2003) Complete detoxification of vinyl chloride by an anaerobic enrichment culture and identification of the reductively dechlorinating population as a *Dehalococcoides* species. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 996–1003.
- He, Z.L., Van Nostrand, J.D., Wu, L.Y., and Zhou, J.Z. (2008) Development and application of functional gene arrays for microbial community analysis. *Trans Nonferrous Met Soc China* **18**: 1319–1327.
- Hendrickson, E.R., Payne, J.A., Young, R.M., Starr, M.G., Perry, M.P., Fahnestock, S., et al. (2002) Molecular analysis of *Dehalococcoides* 16S ribosomal DNA from chloroethene-contaminated sites throughout North America and Europe. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**: 485–495.
- Holmes, V.F., He, J., Lee, P.K., and Alvarez-Cohen, L. (2006) Discrimination of multiple *Dehalococcoides* strains in a trichloroethene enrichment by quantification of their reductive dehalogenase genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 5877–5883.
- Kao, C.M., Chen, Y.L., Chen, S.C., Yeh, T.Y., and Wu, W.S. (2003) Enhanced PCE dechlorination by biobarrier systems under different redox conditions. *Water Res* **37**: 4885–4894.
- Kennedy, L.G., Everett, J.W., Becvar, E., and DeFeo, D. (2006) Field-scale demonstration of induced biogeochemical reductive dechlorination at Dover Air Force Base, Dover, Delaware. *J Contam Hydrol* **88**: 119–136.
- Lee, P.K.H., Macbeth, T.W., Sorenson, K.S., Jr, Deeb, R.A., and Alvarez-Cohen, L. (2008) Quantifying genes and transcripts to assess the *in situ* physiology of '*Dehalococcoides*' spp. in a trichloroethene-contaminated groundwater site. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**: 2728–2739.
- Lemming, G., Hauschild, M.Z., Chambon, J., Binning, P.J., Bulle, C.C., Margni, M., and Bjerg, P.L. (2010) Environmental impacts of remediation of a trichloroethene-contaminated site: life cycle assessment of remediation alternatives. *Environ Sci Technol* **44**: 9163–9169.
- Lendvay, J.M., Löffler, F.E., Dollhopf, M., Aiello, M.R., Daniels, G., Fathepure, B.Z., et al. (2003) Bioreactive barriers: a comparison of bioaugmentation and biostimulation for chlorinated solvent remediation. *Environ Sci Technol* **37**: 1422–1431.
- Lovley, D.R. (2003) Cleaning up with genomics: applying molecular biology to bioremediation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **1**: 35–44.
- Lu, X., Wilson, J.T., and Kampbell, D.H. (2006) Relationship between *Dehalococcoides* DNA in ground water and rates of reductive dechlorination at field scale. *Water Res* **40**: 3131–3140.
- Macbeth, T.W., Cummings, D.E., Spring, S., Petzke, L.M., and Sorenson, K.S., Jr (2004) Molecular characterization of a dechlorinating community resulting from *in situ* biostimulation in a trichloroethene-contaminated deep, fractured basalt aquifer and comparison to a derivative laboratory culture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 7329–7341.
- McCarty, P.L. (1997) Breathing with chlorinated solvents. *Science* **276**: 1521–1522.
- Maillard, J., Schumacher, W., Vazquez, F., Regeard, C., Hagen, W.R., and Holliger, C. (2003) Characterization of the corrinoid iron-sulfur protein tetrachloroethene reductive dehalogenase of *Dehalobacter restrictus*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **69**: 4628–4638.
- Maillard, J., Charnay, M.-P., Regeard, C., Rohrbach-Brandt, E., Rouzeau-Szynalski, K., Rossi, P., and Holliger, C. (2011) Reductive dechlorination of tetrachloroethene by a stepwise catalysis of different organohalide respiring bacteria and reductive dehalogenases. *Biodegradation* **22**: 949–960.
- Major, D.W., McMaster, M.L., Cox, E.E., Edwards, E.A., Dworatzek, S.M., Hendrickson, E.R., et al. (2002) Field demonstration of successful bioaugmentation to achieve dechlorination of tetrachloroethene to ethene. *Environ Sci Technol* **36**: 5106–5116.
- Maphosa, F., de Vos, W.M., and Smidt, H. (2010) Exploiting the ecogenomics toolbox for environmental diagnostics of organohalide-respiring bacteria. *Trends Biotechnol* **28**: 308–316.
- Mattes, T.E., Alexander, A.K., and Coleman, N.V. (2010) Aerobic biodegradation of the chloroethenes: pathways, enzymes, ecology, and evolution. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **34**: 445–475.
- Maymó-Gatell, X., Chien, Y.-T., Gossett, J.M., and Zinder, S.H. (1997) Isolation of a bacterium that reductively dechlorinates tetrachloroethene to ethene. *Science* **276**: 1568–1571.
- Müller, J.A., Rosner, B.M., von Abendroth, G., Meshulam-Simon, G., McCarty, P.L., and Spormann, A.M. (2004) Molecular identification of the catabolic vinyl chloride reductase from *Dehalococcoides* sp. strain VS and its

- environmental distribution. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **70**: 4880–4888.
- Neumann, A., Wohlfarth, G., and Diekert, G. (1998) Tetrachloroethene dehalogenase from *Dehalospiillum multivorans*: cloning, sequencing of the encoding genes, and expression of the *pceA* gene in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **180**: 4140–4145.
- Nishimura, M., Ebisawa, M., Sakihara, S., Kobayashi, A., Nakama, T., Okochi, M., and Yohda, M. (2008) Detection and identification of *Dehalococcoides* species responsible for *in situ* dechlorination of trichloroethene to ethene enhanced by hydrogen-releasing compounds. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* **051**: 1–7.
- Pant, P., and Pant, S. (2010) A review: advances in microbial remediation of trichloroethylene (TCE). *J Environ Sci (China)* **22**: 116–126.
- Rahm, B., Chauhan, S., Holmes, V., Macbeth, T., Jr, Sorenson, K., and Alvarez-Cohen, L. (2006) Molecular characterization of microbial populations at two sites with differing reductive dechlorination abilities. *Biodegradation* **17**: 523–534.
- Regeard, C., Maillard, J., and Holliger, C. (2004) Development of degenerate and specific PCR primers for the detection and isolation of known and putative chloroethene reductive dehalogenase genes. *J Microbiol Methods* **56**: 107–118.
- Ritalahti, K.M., Amos, B.K., Sung, Y., Wu, Q., Koenigsberg, S.S., and Löffler, F.E. (2006) Quantitative PCR targeting 16S rRNA and reductive dehalogenase genes simultaneously monitors multiple *Dehalococcoides* strains. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**: 2765–2774.
- Roh, S.W., Abell, G.C.J., Kim, K.-H., Nam, Y.-D., and Bae, J.-W. (2010) Comparing microarrays and next-generation sequencing technologies for microbial ecology research. *Trends Biotechnol* **28**: 291–299.
- Rouzeau-Szynalski, K., Maillard, J., and Holliger, C. (2011) Frequent concomitant presence of *Desulfitobacterium* spp. and '*Dehalococcoides*' spp. in chloroethene-dechlorinating microbial communities. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **90**: 361–368.
- Ruder, A.M. (2006) Potential health effects of occupational chlorinated solvent exposure. *Ann NY Acad Sci* **1076**: 207–227.
- Scheutz, C., Durant, N.D., Dennis, P., Hansen, M.H., Jørgensen, T., Jakobsen, R., *et al.* (2008) Concurrent ethene generation and growth of *Dehalococcoides* containing vinyl chloride reductive dehalogenase genes during an enhanced reductive dechlorination field demonstration. *Environ Sci Technol* **42**: 9302–9309.
- Song, D.L., Conrad, M.E., Sorenson, K.S., and Alvarez-Cohen, L. (2002) Stable carbon isotope fractionation during enhanced *in situ* bioremediation of trichloroethene. *Environ Sci Technol* **36**: 2262–2268.
- Sorenson, K.S. (2002) Enhanced bioremediation for treatment of chlorinated solvent residual source areas. In *Chlorinated Solvent and DNAPL Remediation*. Henry, S.M., and Warner, S.D. (eds). Washington, DC, USA: American Chemical Society, pp. 119–131.
- Taş, N., van Eekert, M.H.A., Schraa, G., Zhou, J., de Vos, W.M., and Smidt, H. (2009) Tracking functional guilds: '*Dehalococcoides*' spp. in European river basins contaminated with hexachlorobenzene. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **75**: 4696–4704.
- Taş, N., Van Eekert, M.H.A., De Vos, W.M., and Smidt, H. (2010) The little bacteria that can – diversity, genomics and ecophysiology of '*Dehalococcoides*' spp. in contaminated environments. *Microb Biotechnol* **3**: 389–402.
- Vogel, T.M., Criddle, C.S., and McCarty, P.L. (1987) Transformations of halogenated aliphatic compounds. *Environ Sci Technol* **21**: 722–736.
- Xu, J. (2006) Microbial ecology in the age of genomics and metagenomics: concepts, tools, and recent advances. *Mol Ecol* **15**: 1713–1731.
- Yang, Y., Pesaro, M., Sigler, W., and Zeyer, J. (2005) Identification of microorganisms involved in reductive dehalogenation of chlorinated ethenes in an anaerobic microbial community. *Water Res* **39**: 3954–3966.
- van der Zaan, B., Hannes, F., Hoekstra, N., Rijnaarts, H., de Vos, W.M., Smidt, H., and Gerritse, J. (2010) Correlation of *Dehalococcoides* 16S rRNA and chloroethene-reductive dehalogenase genes with geochemical conditions in chloroethene-contaminated groundwater. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**: 843–850.

### Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

**Table S1.** Description of the 92 gene sequences targeted by the improved version of the DechloArray.

**Table S2.** Physico-chemical data obtained for the three contaminated sites F, G and H. NA, data not available.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.