

# **Remark on the Homogenization of a Micro-fibered Linearly Elastic Material**

Somsak Orankitjaroen, Nuttawat Sontichai, Christian Licht, Amnuay

Kananthai

# **To cite this version:**

Somsak Orankitjaroen, Nuttawat Sontichai, Christian Licht, Amnuay Kananthai. Remark on the Homogenization of a Micro-fibered Linearly Elastic Material. International Conference on Mathematics and Applications, ICMA-MU 2009, Dec 2009, Thailand. pp.153-165. hal-00747037

# **HAL Id: hal-00747037 <https://hal.science/hal-00747037v1>**

Submitted on 30 Oct 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Remark on the Homogenization of a Microfibered Linearly Elastic Material

Somsak Orankitjaroen<sup>1</sup>, Nuttawat Sontichai<sup>1</sup>, Christian Licht<sup>2</sup>, Amnuay Kananthai<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand; Center of Excellence in Mathematics, Bangkok 10400, Thailand. scsok@mahidol.ac.th; g4836377@student.mahidol.ac.th

<sup>2</sup>UMR 5508 of C.N.R.S., University Montpellier II, Monpellier 34095, France. licht@lmgc.univ-montp2.fr

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand. malamnka@science.cmu.ac.th

#### Abstract

We consider the homogenization of a linear elliptic boundary value problem of elasticity. The isotropic elastic material is reinforced by a periodic distribution of very thin parallel fibers in which the Lamé coefficients are assumed to have high values. Bellieud and Gruais proved that the macroscopic behavior is the one of a generalized continuum medium involving an additional state variable accounting for the microstructure. Here we propose a proof of this result by studying the variational convergence of the energy functional.

Keywords: homogenization, variational convergence, capacitary problems.

## 1 Introduction

We intend to study the macroscopic behavior of a cylindrical micro-fibered structure made of a linearly isotropic elastic matrix surrounding a periodic distribution of very thin linearly isotropic elastic fibers of very high stiffness. As usual, we make no difference between the real physical space and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose an orthonormal basis is denoted by  $\{e^{\alpha}\}\$  and, for all  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\hat{\xi}$  stands for  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ . Let  $\omega$  a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , containing the origin, with a Lipschitz continuous boundary  $\partial \omega$  and L a positive number so that  $\Omega := \omega \times (0, L)$  is a reference configuration of the fibered structure which can be described as follows (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: The fibered structure

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $(Y_{\varepsilon}^i) \in I_{\varepsilon}$ , where  $Y_{\varepsilon}^i := (\varepsilon i_1, \varepsilon i_2) + (-\varepsilon/2, \varepsilon/2)^2$  and  $I_{\varepsilon} := \{i \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid Y_{\varepsilon}^i \subset \omega$ ª , denotes a periodic distribution of cells. Let  $(D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^i)_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}}$  the family of disk of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at

 $\hat{x}^i_\varepsilon := (\varepsilon i_1, \varepsilon i_2)$  of radius  $r_\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon$ , and  $T^i_\varepsilon := D^i_{r_\varepsilon} \times (0, L)$ . The set  $T_\varepsilon := \cup_{i \in I_\varepsilon} T^i_\varepsilon$  of thin parallel cylinders is the domain occupied by the fibers.

The Lamé coefficients  $\lambda_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mu_{\varepsilon}$  of the structure are such that

$$
\lambda_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_0 > 0, & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus T_{\varepsilon} \\ \lambda_{\varepsilon 1}, & \text{if } x \in T_{\varepsilon} \end{cases}, \quad \mu_{\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_0 > 0, & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus T_{\varepsilon} \\ \mu_{\varepsilon 1}, & \text{if } x \in T_{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}
$$

The structure is clamped on the part  $\Gamma_0 := \omega \times \{0, L\}$  of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ , subjected to body forces of density f and to surface forces of density g on  $\Gamma_1 := \partial \Omega \setminus \Gamma_0$ .

The problem of finding the equilibrium configuration of the structure reads as

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\operatorname{div} \sigma_{\varepsilon} = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\sigma_{\varepsilon} := \lambda_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{tr} e(u_{\varepsilon})I + 2\mu_{\varepsilon} e(u_{\varepsilon}), & e(u_{\varepsilon}) = (\nabla u)_{s} := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u_{\varepsilon} + \nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{T}), \\
u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{0}, \\
\sigma_{\varepsilon} n = g & \text{on } \Gamma_{1}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

where  $u_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}$  denote the displacement and stress fields and n is the unit outward normal. It is well-known that if  $\lambda_{\varepsilon,1}, \mu_{\varepsilon,1} > 0, f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), g \in L^2(\Gamma_1, \mathbb{R}^3)$ , then the problem, which can also be written

$$
(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}) \quad \min\left\{F_{\varepsilon}(w) - L(w) \mid w \in H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)\right\},\tag{2}
$$

where

$$
F_{\varepsilon}(w) := \int_{\Omega} W_{\varepsilon}(e(w)) dx, \quad L(w) := \int_{\Omega} f \cdot w dx - \int_{\Gamma_1} g \cdot w ds,
$$

and

$$
W_{\varepsilon}(e) := \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{tr}^2 e + \mu_{\varepsilon}|e|^2, \ \forall e \in \mathbb{S}^3 \text{ the space of symmetric } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrices},
$$
  

$$
H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) = \{ v \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \}
$$

has a unique solution  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$ .

To determine the macroscopic (or efficient) behavior of the micro-fibered structure, we aim to study the asymptotic behavior of  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$  when  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero. Let

$$
k_{\varepsilon} := \mu_{\varepsilon 1} \frac{|T_{\varepsilon}|}{|\Omega|}, \quad l_{\varepsilon} := \frac{\lambda_{\varepsilon 1}}{\mu_{\varepsilon 1}},
$$

and assume that, as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

$$
r_{\varepsilon} \to 0, \quad \frac{r_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} \to 0, \quad \lambda_{\varepsilon 1} \to +\infty, \quad \mu_{\varepsilon 1} \to +\infty,
$$
  
\n
$$
k_{\varepsilon} \to k \in [0, +\infty], \quad r_{\varepsilon}^2 k_{\varepsilon} \to \kappa \in [0, +\infty], \quad l_{\varepsilon} \to l \in [0, +\infty),
$$
  
\n
$$
(\varepsilon^2 |\ln r_{\varepsilon}|)^{-1} \to \gamma \in [0, +\infty).
$$
\n(3)

Let  $1_{T_{\varepsilon}}$  the characteristic function of  $T_{\varepsilon}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  the space of bounded  $\mathbb{R}^3$ -valued measures in  $\Omega$ , it was proven in [4] that, as  $\varepsilon$  tends to zero,  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$  weakly converges in  $H^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  toward  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{v}_{\varepsilon} := \frac{|\Omega|}{|T_{\varepsilon}|}$  $\frac{|\Omega|}{|T_{\varepsilon}|}\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}1_{T_{\varepsilon}}$  weakly\* converges in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  toward an element  $\bar{v}$  of  $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  solving

$$
(\mathcal{P}^{\text{hom}})
$$
 min  $\{\Phi(u, v) - L(u) | (u, v) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)^2\}$ ,

with

$$
\Phi(u,v) = \begin{cases}\n\int_{\Omega} W_0(e(u)) dx \\
+ \mu_0 \pi \gamma \int_{\Omega} (v - u)^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\chi + 1}{\chi} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\chi + 1}{\chi} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (v - u) dx \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{3l + 2}{2(l+1)} k \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial x_3} \right|^2 dx \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{3l + 2}{2(l+1)} \frac{\kappa}{4} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial^2 v_1}{\partial x_3^2} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^2 v_2}{\partial x_3^2} \right|^2 dx, \\
+\infty, \qquad \text{otherwise,}\n\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
W_0(e(u)) := \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 \operatorname{tr}^2 e(u) + \mu_0 |e(u)|^2, \quad \chi := \frac{\lambda_0 + 3\mu_0}{\lambda_0 + \mu_0},
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{D} := H^1_{\Gamma_0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3) \times \left\{ v \in L^2(\omega, H_0^2(0, L; \mathbb{R}^3)) \middle| \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_3} = \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x_3} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_0 \right\}.
$$

Thus, the macroscopic behavior of the micro-fibered structure is the one of a so-called generalized elastic continuum medium involving an additional state variable and its first two derivatives. This additional state variable accounts for the microstructure in the extent where it describes the asymptotic behavior of a suitable scaling of the displacement field in the fibers. Our main concern is to understand this result more deeply and in a more general setting e.g., a different cross-section of the fibers, a more general behavior of the matrix or the fibers. Nevertheless, here, we confine to give another proof of the result of [4] by directly studying the variational convergence (as in the scalar case [5]) of  $F_{\varepsilon}$  and shall divide our proof into three steps:

- 1. a compactness property for each sequence  $(u_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})$  is bounded,
- 2. an upper bound equality for the sequence  $(F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}))$ ,
- 3. a lower bound inequality for the sequence  $(F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}))$ .

## 2 A Different Approach

Actually, the result of [4] is a standard consequence [3] of the following three propositions:

**Proposition 1.** (Compactness property) Let  $(u_{\varepsilon})$  be a sequence such that  $\sup_{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon})$ is finite. Then  $(u_{\varepsilon})$  is strongly relatively compact in  $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $(v_{\varepsilon})$  is bounded in  $L^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  and, up to a subsequence,  $(v_\varepsilon)$  weakly\* converges in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  to an element v of  $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

**Proposition 2.** (Upper bound equality) For all  $(u, v)$  in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)^2$  with  $\Phi(u, v)$  <  $+\infty$ , there exists a sequence  $(u_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$  in  $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$  in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \Phi(u, v).
$$

**Proposition 3.** (Lower bound inequality) For all u in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$  and for all sequence  $(u_{\varepsilon})$  such that  $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$  in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $v_{\varepsilon} \stackrel{*}{\rightharpoonup} v$  in  $\mathcal{M}_b(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ , one has:

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) \geq \Phi(u, v).
$$

The convergence symbols  $\rightarrow$ ,  $\rightarrow$  and  $\stackrel{*}{\rightarrow}$  are used for the strong convergence, the weak convergence and the weak\* convergence, respectively. The proof of these propositions are presented in the following subsections, where, as a common practice, C denote various constants which may vary from line to line.

#### 2.1 Proof of Proposition 1

A proof of this proposition can be found in [4].

### 2.2 Proof of Proposition 2

We split  $F_{\varepsilon}$  into three parts:

$$
F_{\varepsilon}^{1}(w) := \int_{\Omega \setminus (B_{\varepsilon} \cup T_{\varepsilon})} W_{\varepsilon}(e(w)) dx,
$$
  

$$
F_{\varepsilon}^{2}(w) := \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_{\varepsilon}(e(w)) dx, \quad F_{\varepsilon}^{3}(w) := \int_{T_{\varepsilon}} W_{\varepsilon}(e(w)) dx,
$$

where  $B_{\varepsilon} := (D_{R_{\varepsilon}} \setminus \overline{D}_{r_{\varepsilon}}) \times (0, L), D_{r_{\varepsilon}} := \cup_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^i, D_{R_{\varepsilon}} := \cup_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^i, D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^i$  is the disk of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  centered at  $\hat{x}^i_\varepsilon$  of radius  $R_\varepsilon$  such that  $r_\varepsilon \ll R_\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon$ . We point out that our proof is in the same spirit as that of [5], where the main ingredient stems from [4] and essentially confine to the convergence of  $F_{\varepsilon}^2$ . We first assume u and v to be smooth on  $\overline{\Omega}$  and construct an  $L^2$ -approximation  $u_{\varepsilon}$  of u by:

$$
u_{\varepsilon} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left( u_{\alpha} (e^{\alpha} - \theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}) + \bar{w}_{\varepsilon \alpha} \theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \right).
$$
 (4)

Here, for each  $\alpha \in \{1,2,3\}$ , the vector field  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  is first defined on the closure of  $\omega_{\varepsilon}$  :=  $\cup_{i\in I_\varepsilon}Y_\varepsilon^i$  as a  $(-\varepsilon/2,\varepsilon/2)^2$ -periodic element of  $H^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)$  which does not depend on  $x_3$  and satisfies  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha}$  on  $D_{r_{\varepsilon}}, \theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} = 0$  on  $\overline{\omega}_{\varepsilon} \setminus D_{R_{\varepsilon}}$ . Next  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  is assumed to vanish on  $\overline{\omega} \setminus \omega_{\varepsilon}$  and

$$
\bar{w}_{\varepsilon}(x) = w_{\varepsilon}(x) + V_{\varepsilon}(x) \tag{5}
$$

where

$$
w_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}, x_3) = \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \left( \frac{1}{|D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^i|} \int_{D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^i} v(\hat{y}, x_3) d\hat{y} \right) 1_{Y_{\varepsilon}^i}(\hat{x}),
$$

and  $V_{\varepsilon}$  stems from  $w_{\varepsilon}$  in such a way that  $F_{\varepsilon}^{3}(u_{\varepsilon})$  converges. The true expressions of  $V_{\varepsilon}$ can be found in [4] (formula (5.16) and (5.52) with  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  in place of v and u). As  $R_{\varepsilon} \ll \varepsilon$  implies  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |B_{\varepsilon} \cup T_{\varepsilon}| = 0$ , we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \int_{\Omega} W_{0}(e(u)) dx.
$$

To find the limit of  $F_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u_{\varepsilon})$ , we introduce

$$
z_{\varepsilon} := \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} (v - u)_{\alpha} e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}), \tag{6}
$$

and compute the linearized strain of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  from (4):

$$
e(u_{\varepsilon}) = z_{\varepsilon} + e(u) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \left[ (\bar{w}_{\varepsilon\alpha} - v_{\alpha}) e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}) + (\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \otimes \nabla (\bar{w}_{\varepsilon} - u)_{\alpha})_{s} \right].
$$

We claim that a good choice of  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  yields

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(e(u_{\varepsilon})) dx - \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\varepsilon}) dx \right) = 0.
$$

Note that  $W_0$ , being convex and positively homogeneous of degree 2, satisfies (see [1]):

$$
\forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{S}^3, \quad |W_0(\xi) - W_0(\eta)| \le C|\xi - \eta|(|\xi| + |\eta|)
$$
\n(7)

so that Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$
\left| \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(e(u_{\varepsilon})) - W_0(z_{\varepsilon}) dx \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |e(u_{\varepsilon}) - z_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |e(u_{\varepsilon})|^2 dx + \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |z_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Because  $u$  and  $v$  are smooth, we have

$$
|\nabla \bar{w}_{\varepsilon}| \le C \text{ on } B_{\varepsilon}, \quad |\bar{w}_{\varepsilon} - v| \le CR_{\varepsilon} \text{ on } B_{\varepsilon},
$$

consequently,

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |z_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \le C\varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \int_{D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 dx
$$

with  $D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon}) = D(0, R_{\varepsilon}) \setminus \overline{D(0, r_{\varepsilon})}$ , where for all  $R > 0$ ,  $D(0, R) := \{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\hat{x}| < R \}$ and

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |e(u_{\varepsilon}) - z_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq C R_{\varepsilon}^2 \varepsilon^{-2} \Big( 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 R_{\varepsilon}^2 \int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}|^2 dx + \int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 d\hat{x} \Big)
$$
\n
$$
\leq C R_{\varepsilon}^2 \varepsilon^{-2} \Big( 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 d\hat{x} \Big)
$$

by due account of the Korn inequality in  $D(0, R_{\varepsilon})$ . Therefore,

$$
\left| \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(e(u_{\varepsilon})) dx - \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\varepsilon}) dx \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C R_{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{-1} \Big( 1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 dx \Big)^{1/2} \cdot \varepsilon^{-1} \Big( \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 dx \Big)^{1/2},
$$

thus, assuming that  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  satisfies

$$
\int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 d\hat{x} \le \frac{C}{|\ln r_{\varepsilon}|}, \quad \forall \alpha = \{1,2,3\},\tag{8}
$$

it suffices to study the asymptotic behavior of  $\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\varepsilon}) dx$ . Let us denote the bilinear form associated with the quadratic form  $W_0$  by  $\tilde{w_0}$ :

$$
w_0(e, e') = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0(\text{tr } e)(\text{tr } e') + \mu_0 e \cdot e', \quad \forall e, e' \in \mathbb{S}^3.
$$

Note that

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\varepsilon}) dx = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^3 \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} (v - u)_{\alpha} (v - u)_{\beta} w_0 \big(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}), e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta})\big) dx
$$
  

$$
= \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^3 \Bigl(\int_{D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon})} w_0 \bigl( (e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}), e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta})) d\hat{x}
$$
  

$$
\int_0^L \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} |Y_{\varepsilon}^i|(v - u)_{\alpha}(\hat{x}_{\varepsilon}^i, x_3)(v - u)_{\beta}(\hat{x}_{\varepsilon}^i, x_3) dx_3 \Bigr) + O(\varepsilon).
$$

Here, it clearly appears that in order to get the lowest upper bound for  $F_{\varepsilon}^2$ ,  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  has to be the unique solution of the capacitary problem

$$
(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{\text{cap}, \alpha}) \quad \min \left\{ \left. \int_{D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon})} W_{\varepsilon}(e(\varphi)) d\hat{x} \, \middle| \, \begin{aligned} \varphi \in H^{1}((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{3}), \\ \varphi(\hat{x}) &= e^{\alpha} \text{ on } D(0, r_{\varepsilon}) = \{ \, |\hat{x}| < r_{\varepsilon} \, \}, \\ \varphi(\hat{x}) &= 0 \text{ on } (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^{2} \setminus D(0, R_{\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \right\}
$$

It is shown in [4] (see Appendix) that

- i) inequality (8) is true,
- ii)  $\exists w_0^{\text{cap}} \in \mathbb{S}^3$  such that

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon})} w_0 \big( (e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}), e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta})) d\hat{x} = (w_0^{\text{cap}})_{\alpha \beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \tag{9}
$$

 $\ddot{\phantom{a}}$  $\vert$ 

Therefore,

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\varepsilon}) dx = \int_{\Omega} w_0^{\text{cap}}(v - u) \cdot (v - u) dx,
$$

with (see Appendix)

$$
w_0^{\rm cap} = \pi \gamma \mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\chi+1}{\chi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\chi+1}{\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We complete the proof of the convergence of  $F^1_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$  and  $F^2_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$  for any  $(u, v)$  such that  $\Phi(u, v) < \infty$  by approximation and diagonalization arguments. Eventually, as mentioned earlier,  $V_{\varepsilon}$  is chosen in such a way that a tedious computation shows that  $F_{\varepsilon}^3(u_{\varepsilon})$  has the expected limit.

### 2.3 Proof of Proposition 3

We assume here that  $\liminf_{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) < +\infty$ . Compactness property yields that  $(u, v)$ belongs to  $L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)^2$ .

We begin with the term  $F_{\varepsilon}^2(u_{\varepsilon})$ . Let  $(u_{\eta}, v_{\eta})$  be Lipschitz on  $\overline{\Omega}$  with the property  $\lim_{\eta \to 0} ||u_{\eta} - u||_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||v_{\eta} - v||_{L^2(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0$ . Next we define an approximation  $(v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})_{\varepsilon} := \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})(\hat{x}_{\varepsilon}^i, x_3) 1_{Y_{\varepsilon}^i}$  of  $(v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})$ , and associate  $z_{\eta \varepsilon}$  to  $(u, v) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)^2$ , Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \Biggl( \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(\tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) dx - \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\eta \varepsilon}) dx \Biggr) = 0.
$$

The proof of upper bound equality shows

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(z_{\eta \varepsilon}) dx = \int_{\Omega} w_0^{\text{cap}} (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta}) \cdot (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta}) dx.
$$

Therefore,  $W_0$ , being convex and 2-positively homogeneous, the subdifferential inequality gives:

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(e(u_{\varepsilon})) dx
$$
\n
$$
\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_0(\tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) dx + \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W'_0(\tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) \cdot (e(u_{\varepsilon}) - \tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) dx \qquad (10)
$$
\n
$$
= - \int_{\Omega} w_0^{\text{cap}} (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta}) \cdot (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta}) dx + \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W'_0(\tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) \cdot e(u_{\varepsilon}) dx
$$

Letting  $D^i(r_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon) := D^i_{R_\varepsilon} \setminus \overline{D^i_{r_\varepsilon}}$ , we have:

$$
\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W'_0(\tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) \cdot e(u_{\varepsilon}) dx = \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 \int_0^L (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})_{\alpha}(\hat{x}^i_{\varepsilon}, x_3) \left( \int_{D^i(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon})} W'_0(e(\theta^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon})) \cdot e(u_{\varepsilon}) d\hat{x} \right) dx_3.
$$

If  $\nu$  denotes the outer normal along to both  $\partial D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^i$  and  $\partial D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^i$ . The very definition of  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  as

a solution of  $(\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}^{\text{cap}, \alpha})$  and Green formula imply:

$$
\begin{split}\n&\int_{D^{i}(r_{\varepsilon},R_{\varepsilon})} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \cdot e(u_{\varepsilon}) d\hat{x} \\
&= -\int_{\partial D^{i}_{r_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot u_{\varepsilon} dl + \int_{\partial D^{i}_{R_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot u_{\varepsilon} dl \\
&= -\int_{\partial D^{i}_{r_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl + \int_{\partial D^{i}_{R_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl \\
&+ \int_{\partial D^{i}_{r_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl \\
&= -\int_{\partial D^{i}_{r_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl + \int_{\partial D^{i}_{R_{\varepsilon}}} W_{0}'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \nu \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl \\
&+ 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^{3} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon})^{\beta}_{\beta} \int_{D(r_{\varepsilon},R_{\varepsilon})} w_{0}(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}), e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\beta})) d\hat{x},\n\end{split}
$$

where

$$
(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})^{i}(x_{3}) = \frac{1}{|\partial D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{i}|} \int_{\partial D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^{i}} u_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}, x_{3}) dl, \qquad \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})^{i}(x_{3}) 1_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{i}}(\hat{x}),
$$
  

$$
(\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})^{i}(x_{3}) = \frac{1}{|\partial D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{i}|} \int_{\partial D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{i}} u_{\varepsilon}(\hat{x}, x_{3}) dl, \qquad \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon})^{i}(x_{3}) 1_{Y_{\varepsilon}^{i}}(\hat{x}),
$$

 $u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, x_3)$  being, by Fubini's theorem, well defined in  $H^1(\omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$  for a.e.  $x_3 \in (0, L)$ . Actually, the standard estimates

$$
\int_0^L \int_{\partial D_{r_{\varepsilon}}^i} |u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^i| dl dx_3 \le r_{\varepsilon} \left\{ \int_{T_{\varepsilon}^i} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},
$$
  

$$
\int_0^L \int_{\partial D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^i} |u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}^i| dl dx_3 \le R_{\varepsilon} \left\{ \int_{D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^i \times (0,L)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}
$$

and the estimates (see Appendix)

$$
|W_0'(e(\theta_\varepsilon^\alpha))\nu|_{L^\infty(\partial D(0,r_\varepsilon))}\leq \frac{C}{r_\varepsilon|\ln r_\varepsilon|},\quad |W_0'(e(\theta_\varepsilon^\alpha))\nu|_{L^\infty(\partial D(0,R_\varepsilon))}\leq \frac{C}{R_\varepsilon|\ln r_\varepsilon|}
$$

for  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$  imply that

$$
\left| \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{L} (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})_{\alpha}(\hat{x}^{i}_{\varepsilon}, x_{3}) \left( \int_{\partial D^{i}_{r_{\varepsilon}}} W'_{0}(e(\theta^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon})) \nu \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl \right) dx_{3} \right|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{C}{|\ln r_{\varepsilon}|} \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \int_{T^{i}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2} |\ln r_{\varepsilon}|} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq C \varepsilon
$$

and

$$
\left| \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{L} (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})_{\alpha}(\hat{x}^{i}_{\varepsilon}, x_{3}) \left( \int_{\partial D^{i}_{R_{\varepsilon}}} W'_{0}(e(\theta^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon})) \nu \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl \right) dx_{3} \right|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{C}{|\ln r_{\varepsilon}|} \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \int_{T^{i}_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{2} |\ln r_{\varepsilon}|} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx \right\}^{1/2} \leq C \varepsilon.
$$

Thus,

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} W_{0}'(\tilde{z}_{\eta \varepsilon}) \cdot e(u_{\varepsilon}) dx
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \sum_{i \in I_{\varepsilon}} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{3} \int_{0}^{L} (v_{\eta} - u_{\eta})_{\alpha}(\hat{x}^{i}_{\varepsilon}, x_{3}) \left( \int_{D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon})} w_{0}(e(\theta^{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}), e(\theta^{\beta}_{\varepsilon})) d\hat{x} \right) (\bar{u}_{\varepsilon} - \bar{u}_{\varepsilon})^{i}_{\beta}(x_{3}) dx_{3}
$$
\n
$$
= 2 \int_{\Omega} w_{0}^{\text{cap}}(v_{\eta} - u_{\eta}) \cdot (v - u) dx,
$$

by due account of (9) and of the weak convergence in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$  of  $(\bar{u}, \bar{u})$  toward  $(v, u)$ (see [4] P.68). Hence, letting  $\eta$  tends to zero, yields

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\varepsilon}^{2}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge \int_{\Omega} w_{0}^{\text{cap}}(v - u) \cdot (v - u) \, dx.
$$

Because  $|B_\varepsilon \cup T_\varepsilon|$  tends to zero, a classical semi-continuity argument taking into account the convexity of  $W_0$  yields

$$
\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} F_{\varepsilon}^{1}(u_{\varepsilon}) \ge \int_{\Omega} W_{0}(e(u)) dx.
$$

For the third term  $F_{\varepsilon}^3(u_{\varepsilon})$ , we may extend the strategy of [2] to all cases of relative behaviors of the parameters by due account of the function  $V_{\varepsilon}$  introduced by [4].

## 3 Concluding Remarks

Here was presented another proof of a result of [4] concerning the homogenization of a cylindrical fibered structure. Instead of passing to the limit on a formulation of the problem in terms of variational equality through appropriate sequence of oscillating test fields, we study the variational convergence of the energy functional. Hence, the ingredients in the construction of the appropriate oscillating test fields are clearly justified as providing the "best" upper bound. Thus, it seems possible to consider a more general cross section for the fibers (say  $r_{\varepsilon} \Delta$  with  $\partial \Delta$  smooth enough) and a more general quadratic bulk energy density  $W_M$  for the matrix in the extent where the solutions  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  of the involved capacitary problems

$$
\min \left\{ \int_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^2} W_M(e(\varphi)) \, d\hat{x} \, \middle| \, \begin{array}{l} \varphi \in H^1((-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^2,\mathbb{R}^3), \\ \varphi(\hat{x}) = e^{\alpha} \text{ on } r_{\varepsilon} \Delta, \\ \varphi(\hat{x}) = 0 \text{ on } (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^2 \setminus D(0,R_{\varepsilon}). \end{array} \right\}
$$

are such that

- i)  $\exists w_M^{\text{cap}} \in \mathbb{S}^3$  such that  $(w_M^{\text{cap}})_{\alpha\beta} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-2} \int$  $\int_{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)^2} w_M(e(\theta^{\alpha}_\varepsilon),e(\theta^{\beta}_\varepsilon))\,d\hat x,$
- ii)  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}$  $\int_{\varepsilon i + r_{\varepsilon} \partial \Delta} W'_{M}(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) \cdot (u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{\overline{u}}_{\varepsilon}) dl = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int$  $\partial D_{R_{\varepsilon}}^{i} W'_{M}(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}))\cdot (u_{\varepsilon}-\bar{u}_{\varepsilon}) dl = 0.$

## A The Vector Capacitary Problem

Taking advantage of the cylindrical geometry, Bellieud and Gruais [4] showed that  $\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}$  and  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} := W_0'(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}))$  are such that

$$
\theta_{\varepsilon}^{1}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = \theta_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x_{2}, x_{1}), \quad \theta_{\varepsilon 3}^{1} = \theta_{\varepsilon 3}^{2} = 0,
$$
  

$$
\theta_{\varepsilon}^{3} = \frac{\ln(R_{\varepsilon}/r)}{\ln(R_{\varepsilon}/r_{\varepsilon})} e^{3} \text{ in } D(r_{\varepsilon}, R_{\varepsilon}), \quad r = |\hat{x}|,
$$

$$
\begin{split} (\theta_{\varepsilon 1}^1 + i \theta_{\varepsilon 2}^1)(\hat x) = & \frac{A}{2\mu_0} \left( \chi (\ln z + \overline{\ln z}) + \frac{z^2}{r_\varepsilon^2 + R_\varepsilon^2} - \frac{z}{\bar z} - \frac{2z\bar z}{\chi(r_\varepsilon^2 + R_\varepsilon^2)} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad + \frac{2\chi(r_\varepsilon^2 \ln R_\varepsilon - R_\varepsilon^2 \ln r_\varepsilon)}{R_\varepsilon^2 - r_\varepsilon^2} + \frac{r_\varepsilon^2 R_\varepsilon^2}{(r_\varepsilon^2 + R_\varepsilon^2)\bar z^2} \right) + \frac{R_\varepsilon^2}{R_\varepsilon^2 - r_\varepsilon^2}, \end{split}
$$

with

$$
\chi:=\frac{\lambda_0+3\mu_0}{\lambda_0+\mu_0},\quad A:=\frac{\mu_0}{\frac{R_\varepsilon^2-r_\varepsilon^2}{\chi(r_\varepsilon^2+R_\varepsilon^2)}-\chi\ln\frac{R_\varepsilon}{r_\varepsilon}},\,\,z\text{ the complex number }x_1+ix_2,
$$

and

$$
\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{1} \nu = \frac{\mu_{0}(\chi + 1)(1 + o(1))}{\chi r_{\varepsilon} |\ln r_{\varepsilon}|} e^{1} \quad \text{on } \partial D(0, r_{\varepsilon}),
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{1} \nu = \frac{\mu_{0}(1 + o(1))}{\chi R_{\varepsilon} |\ln r_{\varepsilon}|} \left[ 4(1 + \frac{1}{\chi} \cos^{2} \theta - (1 + \chi + \frac{2}{\chi})) \right] e^{1} + 2(1 + \frac{1}{\chi}) \sin 2\theta e^{2} \quad \text{on } \partial D(0, R_{\varepsilon}),
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{3} \nu = \frac{-\mu}{r \ln R_{\varepsilon}/r_{\varepsilon}} e^{3} \quad \text{on the circle of radius } r.
$$

Thus, for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$
i
$$

$$
|\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \nu|_{L^{\infty}(\partial D(0,r_{\varepsilon}))} \leq \frac{C}{r_{\varepsilon}|\ln r_{\varepsilon}|}, \quad |\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \nu|_{L^{\infty}(\partial D(0,R_{\varepsilon}))} \leq \frac{C}{R_{\varepsilon}|\ln r_{\varepsilon}|},
$$

ii)

$$
\int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} |e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})|^2 d\hat{x} \leq C \int_{D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} W_0(e(\theta_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha})) d\hat{x} \leq \frac{C}{2} \int_{\partial D(0,R_{\varepsilon})} (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \nu)_{\alpha} dl \leq \frac{C}{|\ln r_{\varepsilon}|},
$$

iii)

$$
(w_0^{\text{cap}})_{\alpha\beta} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{D(r_\varepsilon, R_\varepsilon)} w_0 \big( (e(\theta_\varepsilon^\alpha), e(\theta_\varepsilon^\beta)) \, d\hat{x}
$$

$$
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon^{-2}}{2} \int_{\partial D(0, r_\varepsilon)} (\sigma_\varepsilon^\alpha \nu)_\beta \, dl,
$$

satisfies

$$
w_0^{\text{cap}} = \pi \gamma \mu_0 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\chi+1}{\chi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\chi+1}{\chi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Acknowledgement. Somsak was supported by a research fund from Commission on Higher Education and the Thailand Research Fund (MRG5080422). Nuttawat was supported by a scholarship from The Ministry Staff Development Project of the Ministry of University Affairs.

### References

- [1] B. Dacorogna, Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations , Springer-Verlag (1989).
- [2] C. Pideri and P. Seppecher, A second gradient material resulting from the homogenization of an heterogeneous linear elastic medium, Continuum Mech. Thermodyn. 9 (1997), 241–257.
- [3] H. Attouch, G. Buttazzo, G. Michaille, Variational Analysis in Sobolev and BV Spaces, SIAM (2006).
- [4] M. Bellieud, I. Gruais, *Homogenization of an elastic material reinforced by very stiff* or heavy fibers. Non local effects. Memory effects, J. Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005), 55–96.
- [5] S. Orankitjaroen, N. Sontichai, C. Licht, A. Kananthai, Mathematical modeling of fiber reinforced structures by homogenization, Thai Journal of Mathematic, Special Issue Annual Meeting in Mathematics, (2008), 103–115.