

## Constrained Regional Recovery of Continental Water Mass Time-variations from GRACE-based Geopotential Anomalies over South America

Guillaume Ramillien, Lucia Seoane, Frédéric Frappart, Richard Biancale,

Serge Gratton, Xavier Vasseur, Stéphane Bourgogne

### ▶ To cite this version:

Guillaume Ramillien, Lucia Seoane, Frédéric Frappart, Richard Biancale, Serge Gratton, et al.. Constrained Regional Recovery of Continental Water Mass Time-variations from GRACE-based Geopotential Anomalies over South America. Surveys in Geophysics, 2012, 33 (5), pp.887-905. 10.1007/s10712-012-9177-z . hal-00743877

## HAL Id: hal-00743877 https://hal.science/hal-00743877v1

Submitted on 21 Oct 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Surveys in Geophysics

# Constrained regional recovery of continental water mass time-variations from GRACE-based geo-potential anomalies --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	GEOP294R1	
Full Title:	Constrained regional recovery of continental water mass time-variations from GRACE- based geo-potential anomalies	
Article Type:	Overview Paper	
Keywords:	time-varying gravity field; regularization methods; continental hydrology	
Corresponding Author:	Guillaume Ramillien CNRS Toulouse, FRANCE	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	CNRS	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Guillaume Ramillien	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Guillaume Ramillien	
	Lucia Seoane	
	Frédéric Frappart	
	Richard Biancale	
	Serge Gratton	
	Xavier Vasseur	
	Stéphane Bourgogne	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:		
Manuscript Region of Origin:		
Abstract:	We propose a « constrained » least-squares approach to estimate regional maps of equivalent-water heights by inverting GRACE-based potential anomalies at satellite altitude. According to the energy integral method, the anomalies of difference of geopotential between the two GRACE vehicles are derived from along-track K-Band Range-Rate (KBRR) residuals that correspond mainly to the continental water storage changes, once a priori known accelerations (i.e., static field, polar movements, atmosphere and ocean masses including tides) are removed during the orbit adjustment process. The first Newton's law merely relates Difference of Potential Anomalies (DPA) from accurate KBRR data and the equivalent-water heights to be recovered. Spatial constraints versus spherical distance between elementary surface tiles are introduced to stabilize the linear system to cancel the effects of the north-south striping. Unlike the « mascons » approach, no basis of orthogonal functions (e.g., spherical harmonics) is used, so that the proposed regional method does not suffer from drawbacks related to any spectrum truncation. Time series of 10-day regional maps over South America for 2006-2009 also prove to be consistent with independent datasets: hydrological models outputs, "mascons" and global GRACE solutions.	
Response to Reviewers:	To the Reviewer and Editors: First, we thank the reviewer for his comments that contributed to improve the quality of the manuscript. All his remarks have been considered and corrected (see annotated text).	

The document has also benefit from slight corrections in second readings of my coauthors.

In particular:

\* Paragraph 1.2.3, page 6: The text part presenting the GRACE-based ICA solutions has been re-written to clarify how they are computed, and which ones are used for comparison with the regional solutions for South America. As mentioned in the text, more details on ICA of the monthly GRACE solutions can be found in Frappart et al., (2010) and Frappart et al., (2011).

\* Loading effects, page 8: a new sentence has been added to precise that elastic compensation can be in the regularization as Legendre polynomials, and thus elastic Love numbers, are introduced. This point is also referred to Eq.24 from Ramillien et al., (2011).

\* Eq.11, page 10: we agree the definition of Bi,j for the cases 3 and 4 was completely wrong, so that it is now corrected with new formula, as it is now mentioned in the revised document.

\* Spherical harmonics, page 12: Spherical harmonics. We agree about the fact that north-south striping is not only due to the mode of representation in spherical harmonics, but the orbit configuration and time aliasing of short-term phenomena as well. This ambiguous sentence has been simply removed from the text and replaced by the explanation provided by the reviewer.

\* Explanation of acronym "MOY" is now given in Eq.11a, page9, and this latter equation is mentioned in the caption of the Figure 3.

--- End of document ---

1	Constrained regional recovery of continental water mass time-variations from GRACE-
2	based geo-potential anomalies
3	
4	
5	G. L. Ramillien (1, 2), L. Seoane (1), F. Frappart (1), R. Biancale (1,3), S. Gratton (4), X.
6	Vasseur (5), S. Bourgogne (6)
7	
8	(1) Université de Toulouse, UPS, OMP, GET, Toulouse, France.
9	(2) CNRS, OMP, GET, Toulouse, France.
10	(3) CNES, OMP, GET, Toulouse, France.
11	(4) IRIT, INP, Toulouse, France.
12	(5) CERFACS, Toulouse, France.
13	(6) NOVELTIS, Toulouse, France.
14	
15	Submitted to ed to Surveys in Geophysics
16	
17	Revised version, January Version of September, 20121
18	
19	Corresponding author:
20	G. L. Ramillien
21	GRGS – Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées (OMP)
22	14, Avenue Edouard Belin
23	31400 Toulouse – France
24	Phone: (+33) 05 61 33 29 70
25	E-mail: ramillien@get.obs-mip.fr
26	

#### 2 Abstract

1

3 We propose a « constrained » least-squares approach to estimate regional maps of equivalentwater heights by inverting GRACE-based potential anomalies at satellite altitude. According 4 5 to the energy integral method, the anomalies of difference of geo-potential between the two GRACE vehicles are derived from along-track K-Band Range-Rate (KBRR) residuals that 6 7 correspond mainly to the continental water storage changes, once a priori known 8 accelerations (i.e., static field, polar movements, atmosphere and ocean masses including 9 tides) are removed during the orbit adjustment process. The first Newton's law merely relates Difference of Potential Anomalies (DPA) from accurate KBRR data and the equivalent-water 10 heights to be recovered. Spatial constraints versus spherical distance between elementary 11 12 surface tiles are introduced to stabilize the linear system to cancel the effects of the nNorth-13 sSouth striping. Unlike the « mascons » approach, no basis of orthogonal functions (e.g., 14 spherical harmonics) is used, so that the proposed regional method does not suffer from drawbacks related to any spectrum truncation. Time series of 10-day regional maps over-the 15 16 South America for 2006-2009 also prove to be consistent with independent datasets: hydrological models outputs, "mascons" and global GRACE solutions. 17

18

#### 19 INTRODUCTION

20

Since its launch in March 2002, the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) 21 mission provides a global mapping of the variations in time and space of the Earth's gravity 22 23 field (Tapley et al., 2004a; Tapley et al., 2004b; Schmidt et al., 2006). The originality of this 24 geodetic mission is to measure very accurately the distance (and velocity) variations between 25 two co-orbiting vehicles named A and B, using a line-of-sight K-Band Range-Rate (KBRR) of a precision of ~0.1 µm/s or equivalently 10 µm after integration versus time. Consequently, 26 27 the level of precision of this system enables for the first time the detection of gravity 28 signatures of mass redistributions inside the fluid envelops of our planet. 29 Three official processing centers forming the Science Data Center, Center for Space Research 30 at University of Texas (UTCSR), Austin, TX, USA; GeoForschungsZentrum (GFZ), 31 Potsdam, Germany; Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), Pasadena, CA, USA, routinely use the

positions, velocities and accurate KBR data (i.e., Level-1 GRACE parameters) to produce
 monthly global fields developed in "Stokes" coefficients (i.e., spherical harmonics of the

34 geopotential) after correction of known a priori mass variations via models: atmosphere,

barotropic ocean and tides (solid Earth, ocean and pole). These Level-2 residuals should
 reflect the sum of noise, errors in the correcting gravity models, non modelled phenomena,
 which is mainly the time-varying continental hydrology component of the total gravity field

4 measured from space.

5 The measured gravity signals also contain "errors" with respect to the correcting models for the ocean tides, especially over poles, and atmosphere and ocean mass, but with magnitudes 6 7 smaller than the primary hydrology signal and at various temporal frequencies. Unfortunately, 8 due to the resonance of orbits, important short-wavelength north-south striping effects 9 deteriorate the Level-2 global solutions, and thus require post-processing low-pass filtering 10 before any geophysical exploitation. Systematic correlated errors associated with the 11 incomplete modelling of relatively well-known mass variations to resonant orders of monthly 12 mean spherical harmonics have been reported by Han et al. (2004). Significant degradation of 13 the monthly global solutions have been reported by Wagner et al. (2006), due to sparse repeat 14 tracks of 61/4 (rev/day) of the GRACE orbits in deep orbit resonance.

15 Various spatial smoothing techniques were developed to mitigate optimally the ill-determined

16 harmonic coefficients of higher degrees and orders (Wahr et al., 1998; Davis et al., 2004; Han

17 et al., 2005; Ramillien et al., 2005; Swenson and Wahr, 2006; Chen et al., 2006; Kusche,

18 2007). The post-processed Level-2 monthly solutions have been largely used to study mass

19 balance of recently evolving hydrological systems in different regions, such as large river

20 basins (Tapley et al., 2004), ice fields (Velicogna and Wahr, 2006) and oceans (Chambers et

al., 2004; Zlotnicki et al., 2007). A review of important results based on Level-2 GRACE
products for continental hydrology can be found in Ramillien et al. (2008).

- 23 Alternatively, the "mascons" approach consists of solving a linear combination of spherical 24 harmonics of surface elements, instead of solving individual spherical harmonic coefficient 25 globally (Rowlands et al., 2005). This method uses inherently constraints among the 26 coefficients that are dependent on geographical locations. Han et al. (2005) use downward 27 continuation of the in situ geopotential difference that is pre-determined from the satellite tracking data analysis. Both methods demonstrated enhancement of the solutions in space and 28 time for recovering the time-varying regional gravity field. So far, no spherical harmonics 29 30 approach for mass flux deviation from GRACE has been able to produce that type of both 31 spatial and time resolution.
- 51 spatial and time resolution.

32 Numerous developments have been made on regional methods (Lemoine et al., 1998; Jekeli,

33 1999; Garcia, 2002; Han et al., 2003, 2004, 2005; Rowlands et al., 2002, 2005, 2010; Luthcke

34 et al., 2006). For example, 10-day resolution mascons revealed each year's distinct meltings

1 and accumulations (Luthcke et al., 2006), and resolution of 2 degrees over individual glaciers

2 (Luthcke et al., 2008). Besides, the mascons approach has revealed more information about

3 interannual variability in the subregions than any Stokes coefficients-based approach4 (Luthcke et al., 2008). Global and mascons methods based on spherical harmonics have

5 provided very similar results for the mass trend in the drainage basins, especially when no

6 spatial constraints are taken into account (Rowlands et al., 2010).

Spherical harmonics-based methods are band-limited in <u>the spectral domainspace</u>, thus they
suffer from errors of spectrum truncation, so that recovered signals remain quite smooth, and
it generates spatial leakage of energetic signals everywhere on the terrestrial sphere.

10 Instead of considering another spherical harmonics-type approach, we propose here to invert 11 regionally the linear Newtonian operator plus a constraint matrix for regularization, in order 12 to estimate the time-variations of water mass over continents with no striping. Compared to 13 the previous Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) method proposed by Ramillien et al. 14 (2011) where the choice of the regularization parameter is made by L-curve analysis, the 15 solution of the normal equations is here stabilized by adding geographical constraints (i.e., 16 correlation coefficients between equivalent-water heights) which are physically-much meaningful. The originality of the study is that the solution does not depend on global 17 18 smoothing orthogonal functions (i.e., band-limited spherical harmonics), but on estimating 19 directly equivalent-water heights of discrete surface elements. This study will have the 20 following structure. First, we present the GRACE-based data we used for water mass change 21 estimation. Secondly, the methodology is presented to explain: (1) how KBRR residuals are 22 converted into along-track potential difference anomalies following the energy integral 23 method; (2) the regularization of the Newtonian operator using geographical constraints of 24 different types (i.e., exponential, Gaussian, uniform) and lengths. Regional solutions are also 25 computed for elementary surfaces of various resolutions (e.g., 1, 2 or 4 degrees). Thirdly, the 26 error analysis of the predicted estimates is made using potential difference simulations, 27 especially by adding random noise in the data to be inverted. Finally, the proposed constrained regional method is applied to produce time series of regional water mass change 28 over South America for demonstration of its feasibility. Amplitudes of these regional 29 30 solutions are compared to GRACE-based products and independent datasets for validation at 31 least for the seasonal cycle.

32

33 1. Data used in this study

#### 1 1.1 GRACE-based KBRR residuals

2 The Géodésie par Intégrations Numériques Simultanées (GINS) satellite orbitography software developed by the GRGS/CNES in Toulouse, France, has been used for least-squares 3 4 orbits adjustment from a priori model accelerations, and thus KBRR data reduction. GRACE 5 data have been reduced in 24-hour arcs and processed covering the complete years 2006 and 2009. The a priori gravitational force models applied to the GRACE vehicles A and B for 6 7 numerical orbit integration have been: (1) a static gravity field model EIGEN-8 GRGS.RL02.MEAN-FIELD to order and degree 160; (2) 3D body perturbations DE403 of 9 Sun, Moon and six planets (Standish et al. 1995); (3) solid Earth tides IERS conventions 2003 10 (McCarthy and Petit 2003); (4) solid Earth pole tide IERS conventions 2003; (5) oceanic tides 11 FES2004 to degree and order 100 (LeProvost et al. 1994); (6) oceanic pole tide from Desai model (Desai 2002); (7) atmospheric pressure model ECMWF 3-D grids per 6 hours and (8) 12 13 oceanic response model MOG2D (Carrère and Lyard 2003). The non-gravitational forces 14 have been corrected using 3-axis accelerometer measurements. In order to reduce the errors in 15 the inter- satellite range rate observations, empirical parameters (bias, bias rate pear 16 revolution, terms of 1-, 2-, 3- and 4- cycle per revolution) have been also adjusted and removed from the KBRR data. The final residuals of KBRR obtained after all corrections 17 18 should reflect the non modelled geophysical phenomena (post-glacial rebound, earthquakes, 19 models errors and mainly continental hydrology) plus\_model errors and short-wavelength 20 noise.

21

#### 22 1.2 Datasets used for comparison

23

#### 24 **1.2.1 Mascons solutions**

In this local approach, the mass of water in surface blocks has been explicitly solved using the GRACE inter-satellite KBR Rate (KBRR) data for continental hydrology and collected over the region of interest. The local representation of gravity minimizes the leakage error from other areas due to aliasing or mis-modelling (Rowlands et al., 2005; Lemoine et al., 2007). The mass changes have been solved at 10-day intervals using  $4^{\circ} \times 4^{\circ}$  blocks using temporal and spatial constraints. These "mascons" solutions can be downloaded at: http://grace.sgtinc.com.

32

#### 33 1.2.2 CNES GRGS global solutions

1 The Level-2 GRGS-EIGEN-GL04-10day models are derived from Level-1 GRACE 2 measurements including KBRR, and from LAGEOS-1/2 SLR data for enhancement of lower 3 harmonic degrees (Lemoine et al., 2007; Bruinsma et al., 2010). These gravity fields are 4 expressed in terms of normalized spherical harmonic coefficients from degree 2 up to degree 5 50-60 using an empirical stabilization approach without any smoothing or filtering. 10-day 6 Total Water Storage (TWS) grids of 1-degree spatial resolution are available for 2002-2010 7 at: http://grgs.obs-mip.fr.

8

#### 9 **1.2.3 ICA-filtered solutions**

10 A post-processing method based on Independent Component Analysis (ICA) was applied to 11 the Level-2 GRACE solutions from different official providers (i.e., UTCSR, JPL, GFZ) pre-12 filtered with 400-km radius Gaussian filters. This approach does not require a priori 13 information, except the assumption of statistical independence between the elementary 14 sources that compose the measured signals (i.e., useful geophysical signals plus noise). 15 Separation consists of solving a linear system relating the GRACE solutions provided for a 16 given month, to the unknown independent sources. The contributors to the observed gravity 17 field are forced to be uncorrelated numerically by imposing diagonal cross-correlation 18 matrices. Time series of ICA-based global maps of continental water mass changes from 19 combined UTCSR, JPL and GFZ GRACE solutions, computed over the period 08/2002 -20 12/2010, are used in this study. For a given month, the ICA-filtered solutions only differ from 21 a scaling factor, so that the GFZ-derived ICA-filtered solutions are only presented. The 22 efficiency of the ICA in separating gravity signals from noise by combining Level-2 GRACE 23 solutions has previously been demonstrated over land (Frappart et al., 2010, 2011). 24 An approach based on Independent Component Analysis (ICA) has been applied on the 25 monthly Level-2 RL04 GRACE solutions from CSR, JPL and GFZ, to separate the 26 statistically independent components of the gravity field, i.e., useful geophysical signals from 27 important striping undulations (Frappart et al., 2010, 2011). We use the monthly ICA solutions prefiltered with a 400 km Gaussian filter, with a 1° degree spatial resolution and 28 29 computed for 2003-2009. 30 31 1.2.4 WGHM land water storage The WaterGAP Global Hydrology Model (WGHM) (Döll et al., 2003; Hunger and Döll, 32

## 32 The waterOAL Global Hydrology Model (WOHM) (Don et al., 2005, Hunger and Don, 33 2008) is a conceptual model that simulates the water balance at a spatial resolution of 0.5 34 degree<sup>o</sup>. It represents the continental water cycle using several water storage compartments

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: Italic

which include interception, soil water, snow, groundwater and surface water (rivers, lakes,
 wetlands). WGHM has been widely used to analyze spatio-temporal variations of water
 storage globally and for large river basins (Güntner et al., 2007). In this study, we use 1-by-1
 degree daily TWS grids from the latest WGHM version described by Hunger and Döll (2008)
 for 2002-2007.

6

#### 7 2. Methodology

8

#### 9 2.1 Differences of potential anomaly from KBRR data

10

11 In a quasi-inertial frame, according to the energy integral method, the Differences of Potential 12 Anomaly (DPA) between GRACE vehicles A and B is related to the KBRR residuals  $\overset{*}{\alpha_{AB}}$ 

13 using the scalar product (see the energy integral method proposed by Ramillien et al., 2011):

14 
$$\delta V_{AB}^* \approx \tilde{r}_{AB} \alpha_{AB}^*$$

The upper script symbol "\*" is for residuals quantitiesy once the effects of the a priori known accelerations on the KBRR data are removed during the least-squares orbit adjustment for positions and velocities from Level-1 GRACE measurements (see paragraph 1.1).  $\frac{\cdot}{\vec{r}}_{AB}$  is the arithmetic mean of the velocities of the two GRACE satellites. A similar expression for the determination of the DPA was previously found by Jekeli (1999).

For solving the problem of the important long-wavelength differences between the DPA segments and stabilizinge the inversion, low-degree polynomials are simply removed from the <u>north-southlatitudinal</u> DPA tracks. Obviously, the risk of this operation is to <u>looseeave</u> useful <u>long-wavelength</u> water mass signals which extensions exceed the dimension of the considered region. In the following numerical estimations, we will see that the predicted regional solutions need to be completed by long-wavelength components for comparison with other datasets, when the geographical region is not large enough to contain these wavelengths.

28 **2.2 The forward problem** 

29

30 The linear system of equations to be solved is:

31

 $\Gamma X = Y$ 

(2)

(1)

1 where Y is the vector which contains the i=1,...N observations (i.e., the reduced DPA  $\delta V_{AB}^*$ 2 obtained from KBRR residuals (Eq.1) during the period  $\Delta t$  of survey), and X is the vector of 3 the j=1,...M parameters (i.e., the equivalent-water heights).  $\Gamma$  represents the N-by-M design 4 matrix which elements are derived from a discrete version of the first Newton's law of 5 attraction of masses:

 $\Gamma_{i,j} = \mathbf{G} \rho_{w} \delta \mathbf{S}_{j} \left( \frac{1}{\xi_{B,j}} - \frac{1}{\xi_{A,j}} \right)$ (3)

where G is the gravitational constant (~6,67.10<sup>-11</sup> m<sup>3</sup>kg<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-2</sup>),  $\rho_w$  is the mean density of water 7 (~1000 kg m<sup>-3</sup>); and  $\delta S_j$  is the elementary surface number j.  $\xi_{A,j}$  and  $\xi_{B,j}$  are the Cartesian 8 distances between each GRACE vehicle (A and B) and the centres of the elementary surface 9 10 tiles. If the inverses of the Cartesian distances in the latter equation are approximated by a discrete sum of Legendre polynomials, the elastic Love numbers k, versus degree harmonic n 11 12 can be introduced, in order to take the compensation effects of the Earth's surface in response of loading into account (see Eq.24 in Ramillien et al., (2011)). 13 14 In the case of a geographical grid, the surface elements are simply given by:  $\partial S_i = R^2 \Delta \lambda \Delta \theta \cos(\theta_i)$ (5) 15 where R is the mean Earth's radius (~6371 km),  $\Delta\lambda$  and  $\Delta\theta$  are the sampling angle intervals 16 along the longitude and the latitude respectively, and  $\theta_i$  is the latitude of the elementary 17 18 surface element number j. 19 20 2.3 The ill-conditioned inverse problem and its regularization 21 The Hadamard's conditions (i.e., existence, continuity and unicity) need to be checked before 22 inversion. The solution of the classical gravity inverse problem usually exists and is 23 continuous, but also is not unique. By computing the SVD of  $\Gamma$ , the rank of the operator (i.e., 24 25 the number of non-zero singular values) - and thus the dimension of its kernel - can be easily

determined. For example, the set of singular values in the case of differences of potentialanomalies over South America for August 2009, simultaneously contains very small and large

28 quantities. Consequently, the <u>generalized</u> condition number of the system is large. In practice,

29 the Newtonian operator is simply constructed with Cartesian distances  $\xi_{A,B}$  that are very close

30 numerically, and thus they produce nearly identical lines and columns in the matrix  $\Gamma$ .

Formatted: Font: Italic

1 Regularization consists of finding the optimal solution vector  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  which minimizes the 2 quadratic form:  $\varepsilon^{2} = \left\|\mathbf{Y} - \Gamma \mathbf{X}\right\|^{2} + \alpha \left\|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}\right\|^{2}$ 3 (6) where an extra squared term is added to the classical least-squares term  $\|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{X}\|^2$  to stabilize 4 the linear system to solve.  $\alpha$  is here the regularization parameter that counterbalances the 5 weights of the model with the constraints from the M-by-M element matrix C. As the solution 6 7  $\hat{X}$  corresponds to the minimum of the Eq.6, By differentiating this latter expression is 8 differentiated versus each componentelement of the vector X and setting these new equations 9 are setthem to zero., This set of conditions of extremum yields the regularized least-squares solution: the conditions for extreme values are obtained, and then the numerical solution of 10 11 this set of conditions is given by:  $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = (\Gamma^{\mathrm{T}}\Gamma + \alpha \mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{C})^{-1}\Gamma^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{Y}$ 12 (7)13 Note that the unstable least-squares solution is easily found when  $\alpha = 0$ . 14 The difficulty is to keep a numerical compensation between the normal matrices 15  $\Gamma^{T}\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma^{T}C$ . In practice, the regularization parameter  $\alpha$  can be chosen as the square-root of the squared elements of the normal matrix  $\Gamma^{T}\Gamma$  (see Dimri, 1992, p.145). In our case of 16 inversion of GRACE-derived DPAdifferences of potential, weregularization parameters- are 17 evaluated for each 10-day period, and numerical values ranging from 1.83x10<sup>4</sup> to 1.15x10<sup>3</sup> 18 19 are found following this simple expression. 20 have the condition 21 2.4 Definition of the spatial constraints 22 23 24 Let B be the M-by-M elements matrix containing the spatial constraints with diagonal entries 25 equal to zero such as: 26 X = BX(8) 27 In other words, each value of X is simply a linear combination of the other values of the solution itself. We have equivalently: 28 29 CX = 0(9) 30 with: C = Id - B31 (10)

Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Superscript

1 where Id denotes the identity matrix, so that C has a dominant diagonal.

2 Let  $\varphi_{i,j}$  be the spherical distance between the surface elements numbers i and j, and  $\varphi_0$  the 3 maximum radius of the correlation.  $L(\varphi_0)$  is the number of surface tiles inside the 4 geographical disk of radius  $\varphi_0$  and of which the surface element number i is the center. 5 Different correlations versus spherical distance can be defined for  $\varphi_{i,j} \leq \varphi_0$ : - Case 1: Uniform weighting (MOY): 6  $B_{i,j} = 1/L(\varphi_0)$ 7 (11a)- Case 2: Linear weighting (LIN): 8  $B_{i,j} = (1 - \left| \frac{\varphi_{i,j}}{\varphi_0} \right|) / L(\varphi_0)$ 9 (11b) - Case 3: Exponential-type weighting (EXP): 10  $\mathbf{B}_{i,j} = \exp(-\left|\varphi_{i,j} / \chi\right|) / \mathbf{L}(\varphi_0) \text{ with } \chi = \frac{\lambda}{2 \ln 2}$ 11 (11c) - Case 4: Gaussian-type weighting (GAU): 12  $\mathbf{B}_{i,j} = \exp(-(\varphi_{i,j} / \chi)^2) / \mathbf{L}(\varphi_0) \text{ with } \chi = \frac{\lambda}{2\sqrt{\ln 2}}$ 13 (11d) And in these <u>four</u>three cases,  $B_{i,j} = 0$  if  $\varphi_{i,j} > \varphi_0$ . The extra term  $\chi$  is a spatial "damping" 14 factor that depends upon the length of correlation  $\lambda$ , such as  $B_{i,j} = \frac{1}{4L(\varphi_0)}$  and 15  $B_{i,j} = \frac{1}{16L(\varphi_n)}$  if  $\varphi_{i,j} = \lambda$  (i.e., the half height at a chosen correlation length) for <u>either</u>the 16 cases numbers 3 or case and 4, respectively. By construction, the matrix B is symmetric (i.e., 17 18  $B_{ij} = B_{ji}$  for any pair of integers i and j), and its diagonal entries are zeros. 19 3. Numerical applications 20

21

#### 22 **3.1 Preliminary tests**

Level-1 GRACE data are used to adjust daily orbits with known a priori accelerations as
explained in paragraph 1.1. Residual KBRR data are used to compute trend-corrected DPA
tracks passing over the selected area (e.g., South America [60°S-20°N; 90°W-30°W]) for 110 <u>AugustSeptember</u>, 2009, after having implemented the modified least-squares estimator

1 (Eq.7). A regional solution is inverted from 10-day DPA for a given set of correlation radius  $\lambda$ 2 and size of elementary tiles. Note that the case of  $\lambda = 0$  corresponds to a determination of spatially uncorrelated water heights, and leadsyields to a noisy solution with unrealistic short-3 wavelength amplitudes. Figure 1 presents 10-day regional solutions considering surface 4 5 elements of decreasing sizes  $\Delta\theta$  (i.e., or  $\Delta\lambda$ ), revealing the estimated amplitudes remain roughly the same for surface mass elements from 1 to 4 degrees. Figure 2 shows the loss of 6 7 spatial resolution due to the smoothing when considering increasing exponential-type 8 correlation radii. Errors of recovery versus combination of  $\alpha \frac{\lambda}{\lambda}$  and  $\Delta \lambda \frac{A\theta}{A\theta}$  and 9  $\Delta \theta$  parameters are determined using simulated hydrology-based DPA tracks over South America from the WGHM global hydrology model (Döll et al., 2003; Hunger and Döll, 2008) 10 by using simply the Newtonian operator  $\Gamma$  associated with the whole region, and these errors 11 12 are plotted on Figure 3. Errors of recovery (i.e., differences between reference model values and regularized least-square estimates from Eq.7) areis largergenerally more important for 13 14 Gaussian-type (i.e., GAU) weighting (Eq.11d) than for exponential-type (i.e., EXP) and 15 linear/uniform-type weightings (i.e., LIN and MOY resp.) (Eq.11a-b-c). SThey suggest that 16 the smoothing with a correlation radius reduces the long-wavelength error, but surely still 17 removes miss the short-wavelength details. When white noise generated with various 18 numerical seeds isare input into the simulated DPA data, spatial correlations enable to 19 attenuate efficiently the effect of this polluting noise in the recovery (Figure 4), the reduction of error amplitude represents at least 50% when  $\lambda > 600$  km for a realistic noise level of  $10^{-3}$ 20  $m^2/s^2$ , and then almost unchanged after 800 km. 21

#### 22 **3.2** Computation of regional solution time series for South America

23 Small surface elements (~1 degree) could be estimated to improve the level of details in our 24 solutions. However, as mentioned previously by Ramillien et al. (2011), the intrinsic optimal 25 spatial resolution of the GRACE data remains limited at ~200-300 km, so that considering 2degree tiles computation is enough to catch all the GRACE resolution. As illustrated on Fig.1, 26 there is no change of amplitude by decreasing the size of the tiles and no gain of details. Four 27 years (2006-2009) of KBRR residuals for continental hydrology were used to estimate 28 29 regional mass changes by solving daily normal equations  $\Gamma^T \Gamma$  obtained from residual DPA using Eq.7 and considering an exponential-type weighting. Dealing with factorization of 30 31 symmetric normal equations remains faster than using a SVD decomposition of normal equations before L-curve regularization (Ramillien et al., 2011). 32

As shown by testing different input parameters (Figures 2 and 4), a correlation radius of 600 1 2 km for continental hydrology is a good compromise between reduction of noise and 3 smoothness. In order to damp unrealistic oscillations over oceans, a longer radius of thousands of km can be used. By using a geographical mask, we <u>can</u> distinguish different 4 5 spatial constraints over continents and oceans (i.e., 600 and 5000 km, respectively). The corresponding 2-degree constrained regional solutions are presented on Figure 5a and Figure 6 7 5b for the whole recent year 2009, and they reveal a complete seasonal water cycle for South 8 America. Estimated equivalent-water heights over the continent are detailed and in the annual 9 range of +/-300 mm locally. As expected, regional solutions exhibit important seasonal asonal 10 amplitudes, especially over the large drainage basins of the Amazon, Orinoco, Tocantins, and 11 Parana rivers. A persistent mass depletion is also observed for the coastal Patagonian glaciers. However, strong spatial constraints have efficiently damped the long-wavelength DPA signals 12 over the oceanic areas. The remaining signals over the ocean are probably due to aliasing 13 versus time and errors in correcting models. Loss of energy from continental hydrology 14 signals cannot be excluded as well. Besides, short-term water mass events can be easily seen 15 16 on these regional maps, corresponding to large floods occurring over the north east of the 17 Amazon basin in June 2009 and over the Parana in November 2009, as seen in (Figure 5b).

#### 18 4. Discussion

#### 19

Introducing spatial correlations between surface elements enables us to stabilize numerically the inversion and to cancel the effects of the <u>longitudinallatitudinal</u> striping. This method eliminates the unrealistic signals errors in de-aliasing models over the oceans, when a geographical mask is used.

The regional approach proposed here suppresses drawbacks <u>of striping error</u> inherent to measurement configuration and temporal aliasinga, as global spectral representation because: (i) <u>the reduction of themo</u> north-south striping in the solution as <u>using constrained</u> regularization with a geographical radius remains more flexible with space localizing representation, no spherical harmonics coefficient is fitted and satellite tracks are corrected of long-term trend, and (ii) by construction there is no contamination of signals from other parts of the world.

2 and 4-degree solutions provide very comparable averages versus time, this remains
consistent with the previous test on the impact of choosing different sizes for surface tiles in
the inversion (see results of Figure 1).

1 For validation, our regional estimates of change in continental water storage are confronted to

time series from other GRACE-based products ("mascons", GRGS, ICA) and WGHM global hydrology model outputs. We consider local (i.e., at the size of a surface tile) and averaged water mass signals versus time over the Amazon basin, as it is the biggest drainage basin of the world (~6 millions of square kilometres), and where redistributions of large amounts of

6 surface waters occur.

7 Regional solutions for March and September 2006 are confronted to other GRACE-based 8 solutions (i.e., (a) "stabilized" 10-day and 4-degree global solutions from GRGS, (b) 10-day 9 and 4-degree NASA "mascons" and (c) monthly and 4-degree ICA global solutions) in Figure 10 6. For comparison purposes, the 10-day solutions were averaged over a month to be consistent with the global monthly solutions. They show similar structures of water mass 11 12 anomaly, especially in the southern part of the Amazon basin. However, the amplitudes of the 13 regional solutions are largermore important (up to +/-395 mm and +/-450 mm of equivalent-14 water height for March and September, respectively) and appear to contain more details. Once the one-degree global grids are interpolated into 2-degree tiles, the spatial correlations with 15 16 these other datasets for March 2006 are high: 87% and 83% for (a) and (c) respectively. Six months later they are of 87% and 88%. 4-degree solutions have been also computed to be 17 18 compared at the same spatial resolution to the available "mascons" solutions, and they present 19 water mass structures of comparable water mass amplitudes. For the same periods and at the 20 scale of the whole South America continent, power spectrum analysis reveal that the regional 21 solutions are more energetic than the band-limited global solutions (GRGS, ICA, "mascons") 22 at short and medium spatial wavelengths (<4000 km) (Figure 7). Regional solutions logically 23 contain more energy at very short wavelengths (by a factor 10 of the other power spectra) 24 near the Nyquist frequency (~400 km), as the spatial sampling (i.e., the size of the surface 25 tiles) is better (~200 km). 26 The seasonal variations of the water mass averaged in the large Amazon basin are clearly

27 sinusoidal with a positive maximum in April-May (Figure 8). As previously suspected by Rowlands et al. (2010), once averaged over a large region, 4-degree "mascons" estimates are 28 comparable to global approaches that are also based on spherical harmonics. Both global and 29 30 "mascons" solutions present seasonal amplitudes reaching +/-180 mm of equivalent-water thickness. As presented on Figure 9, the differences of amplitudes between the spherical 31 harmonics and constrained regional solutions for 2006 are clearly seasonal with peak-to-peak 32 amplitudes of +/-90 mm of equivalent-water height. These latter differences are completely 33 explained by the loss of very long wavelengths (or equivalently, lower harmonic degrees of 34

the observed gravity signals) due to the de-trending of the DPA tracks made over South 1 2 America before regional inversion, as explained at the end of paragraph 2.1. Latitudinal extend of the studied region being of 80° (i.e., distance of d~8000 km), the cut-off degree of 3 the signals lost by linear de-trending should be  $n \sim \frac{2\pi R}{d}$ , thus numerically  $n \sim 5$ . The 4 5 numerical verification is presented on Figure 9, where the best agreement between 2-degree regional and global 10-day solutions and long-wavelength gravity signals from GRGS is 6 7 found for harmonic degree 5, with a Root Mean Square (RMS) difference of only 11 mm of 8 equivalent-water height. Once this bias is corrected in the Total Water Storage (TWS) time 9 series, global and regional techniques both yield to comparable spatial averages. 10 Another way to for avoiding to loosinge long-wavelengths in the inversion is to increase the latitudinal extension in latitude of the considered area, as presented Figure 10, where the 11 "stabilized" GRACE GRGS solution for the first 10 days of March 2009 is compared to the 12 13 smooth regional solution computed on nearly a third of the Earth's surface. The localisations 14 and amplitudes of water mass anomalies on continents are comparable, howeverbut the 15 GRGS solution contains nNorth-sS outh striping. Consequently, the differences between the two solutions correspond to these spurious undulations due to aliasing effects in the spherical 16 17 harmonics (Figure 10c). 18 Manaus (3°S-60°W) is located roughly at the center of the Amazon basin, at the mouth of the 19 Negro River, slightly upstream the convergence of the two major rivers forming the Amazon 20 River: Solimoes and Rio Negro tributaries. Local time series are simply interpolated from 21 gridded values or from surface tiles centered on Manaus, they are presented on Figure 11. All 22 the Manaus time series show that the main seasonal variation for 2006 are very consistent to 23 each others, in particular the slow increase of water mass until the middle of June and up to 400-500 mm of equivalent-water height, and the rapid decrease afterwards. However, the 4-24 degree "mascons" signal at Manaus hasve less amplitudes (reaching only ~350 mm in 25 Spring), and is smoother than the other profiles. This smoothing is probably due to the 26 27 damping effects of correlations of 10-30 days and the 4-degree averaging used in the 28 processing of "mascons" solutions (Luthcke et al, 2006; Lemoine et al., 2007). The WGHM-29 derived TWS time series shows important intra-annual variability during the first six months 30 of the year, which is-corresponds to important rainfall events that occurred in October/November 2005, causing floods in January/February 2006, especially in the Rio 31 32 Negro basin (Marengo et al., 2008). The signature of this unusual flood can be noticed by the 33 presence of a peak of water level in the gauge records, as for instance, at the Observatoire

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1 Régionale de l'Environnement (ORE) Hybam (http://www.ore-hybam.org/) station of

- 2 Serrinha (0.48°S-64.82°W).
- 3

#### 4 CONCLUSION

5

We proposed a new regional least-squares approach to estimate surface water mass variations 6 7 from GRACE-based KBRR residuals for continental hydrology. Spatial constraints have been 8 added to stabilize the ill-conditioned linear system of equations to solve, as well as for 9 attenuating the effects of the noise. In particular, there is no difference in predicted water mass amplitudes considering grid samplings of 1, 2 and 4 degrees, suggesting the final spatial 10 resolution of the regional grids is limited by the intrinsic resolution of the GRACE data 11 12 (~200-300 km) available for the chosen period. Different types of spatial constraints have 13 been implemented, and they provided similar amplitudes once the smoothing radius is fixed. It is clear that a compromise between averaging radius and the final spatial resolution has to 14 15 be found. Inversion of simulated error-free potential differences provided formal uncertainties of ~3-4 cm of equivalent-water height for smoothing radii from 200 to 2500 km. Random 16 large-band noise of 10<sup>-2</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s<sup>2</sup> magnitude produces errors of 1-2 cm of equivalent-water 17 height. Time series of successive 10-day regional maps of water mass over South America 18 19 have been successfully computed for several years, so that short-term hydrological variations 20 have been detected, such as sudden inundation events. Regional maps are consistent with 21 other independent datasets, however they are more detailed. The promising regional inversion of GRACE KBRR data will be extended to the full multi-year period of GRACE 22 23 observations. Obviously, constrained regional solutions can be easily estimated easily in 24 other continental areas, such as Africa, Europe, North America and Australia, and they offer 25 interesting applications in the detection of continental water mass changes.

26

#### 27 Acknowledgments

Dr. Lucia Seoane's work was funded by the ADTAO project of RTRA/STAE on numerical
methods of regularization. We would like to thank an anonymous reviewer for his fruitful
comments on the manuscript.

- 31
- 32 33 **Ref**
- 33 References34
- 35

1	Carrère L. and F. Lyard, 2003, Modeling the barotropic response of the global ocean to	
2	atmospheric wind and pressure forcing - comparisons with observations. Geophys. Res. Lett.	
3	30, 1275, doi:10.1029/2002GL016473.	
4		
5	Chambers D. P., J. Wahr, and R. S. Nerem, 2004, Preliminary observations of global ocean	
6	mass variations with GRACE, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L13310, doi:10.1029/2004GL020461.	
7		
8	Chen J. L., B. D. Tapley and C. R. Wilson, 2006, Alaskian mountain glacial melting observed	
9	by satellite gravimetry, 248, 1-2, 368-378, doi: 10.1016/j.epsl.2006.05.039.	
10		
11	Davis J. L., P. Elósegui, J. X. Mitrovica, and M. E. Tamisiea, 2004, Climate-driven	
12	deformation of the solid Earth from GRACE and GPS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L24605,	
13	doi:10.1029/2004GL021435.	
14		
15	Desai S., 2002, Observing the pole tide with satellite altimetry, J. Geophys. Res., vol. 107	
16	<u>3186, 107, doi: 10.1029/2001JC001224</u>	
17		
18	Dimri V., 1992, Deconvolution and inverse theory: Application to geophysical problems,	
19	Methods in Geochemistry and Geophysics, Elsevier, Amsterdam-London-New York-Tokyo,	
20	230 pp., ISBN: 0-444-89493-4.	
21		
22	Döll P., F. Kaspar and B. Lehner, 2003, A global hydrological model for deriving water	
23	availability indicators: model tuning and validation, Journal of Hydrology, 270(1-2), 105-134.	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
24		
25	Frappart, F., G. Ramillien, P. Maisongrande and MP. Bonnet, 2010, Denoising satellite	
26	gravity signals by Independent Component Analysis. IEEE Geosciences and Remote Sensing	
27	Letters, 7(3), 421-425, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2009.2037837.	
28		
29	Frappart F., G. Ramillien, M. Leblanc, S. O. Tweed, MP. Bonnet and P. Maisongrande,	
30	2011, An independent Component Analysis approach for filtering continental hydrology in	
31	the GRACE gravity data, Remote Sensing of Environment, 115, 1, doi:	
32	10.1016/j.rse.2010.08.017.	
33		

I	Garcia R. V., 2002, Local good determination from GRACE mission, report 43210-1275,
2	Ohio State University, Columbus.
3	
4	Güntner A., J. Stuck, S. Werth, P. Döll, K. Verzano and B. Merz, 2007, A global analysis of
5	temporal and spatial variations in continental water storage, Water Resources Research, 43,
6	W05416.
7	
8	Han SC., C. Jekeli, C. K. Shum, 2003, Static and temporal gravity field recovery using
9	GRACE potential difference observables, Adv. Geosci, 1, 19-26.
10	
11	Han SC., 2004, Efficient determination of global gravity field from satellite-to-satellite
12	tracking mission GRACE, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 88, 69-102.
13	
14	Han SC., C. K. Shum, J. Jekeli and D. Alsdorf, 2005, Improved estimation of terrestrial
15	water storage changes from GRACE, GRL, 32, L07302, doi: 10.1029/2005GL02238.
16	
17	Hunger M. and P. Döll, 2007, Value of river discharge data for global-scale hydrological
18	modeling, Hydrology and Earth System Sciences, 12, 3, 841-861.
19	
20	IERS Conventions, 2003, McCarthy D. and Petit G., eds, IERS Technical Note 32.
21	
22	Jekeli C., 1999, The determination of gravitational potential differences from satellite-to-
23	satellite tracking, Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 7582, 85-101.
24	
25	Kusche J., 2007, Approximate decorrelation and non-isotropic smoothing of time-variable
26	GRACE-type gravity fields, J. of Geodesy, 81, 11, 733-749, doi: 10.1007/s00190-007-0143-
27	3.
28	
29	Lemoine JM., S. Bruinsma, S. Loyer, R. Biancale, JC. Marty, F. Pérosanz, and G.
30	Balmino, "Temporal gravity field models inferred from GRACE data," Adv. Space Res., vol.
31	39, no. 10, pp. 1620–1629, Oct. 2007.
32	

1 Lemoine, F. G., et al., 1998, The Development of the Joint NASA GSFC and the National

2 Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA) Geopotential Model EGM96, NASA/TP-1998-

3 206861, July 1998.

4 Lemoine, F. G., Luthcke, S. B., Rowlands, D. D., Chinn, D. S., Klosko, S. M., Cox, C. M., 5 (2007). The use of mascons to resolve time-variable gravity from GRACE Dynamic Planet: 6 Monitoring and Understanding A Dynamic Planet with Geodetic and Oceanographic Tools, 7 Vol. 130: pp. 231-236, 2007. Book Series: INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF 8 GEODESY SYMPOSIA Editor(s): Tregoning P. & Rizos C., Conference Information: IAG 9 Symposium on Dynamic Planet Cairns, AUSTRALIA, AUG 22-26, 2005. LeProvost C., Genco M., Lyard F., Vincent P. and P. Canceil P., 1994, Spectroscopy of the 10 world ocean tides from a finite element hydrodynamic model, J. Geophys. Res., 99, C12, 11 24777-24797, Special TOPEX/POSEIDON issue. 12

Luthcke S. B., H. J. Zwally, W. Abdalati, D. D. Rowlands, R. D. Ray, R. S. Nerem, F. G.
Lemoine, J. J. McCarthy and D. S. Chinn, 2006, Recent Greenland ice mass loss by drainage
system from satellite gravity observations, Science, 314 (5803), 1286-1289, doi:
10.1126/science.1130776.

- Marengo J. A., C. A. Noble, J. Tomasella, M. Oyama, G. Sampaio, H. Camargo and L. M.
  Alves, 2008, The drought in Amazonia in 2005, J. of Climate, 21, 495-516.
- 24

21

17

Ramillien G., F. Frappart, A. Cazenave and A. Güntner, 2005, Time variations of land water
storage from an inversion of GRACE geoids, EPSL, 235, 1-2, 283-301, doi:
10.1016/j.epsl.2005.04.005.

28

Ramillien G., J. Famiglietti and J. Wahr, 2008, Detection of continental hydrology and
glaciology signals from GRACE: a review, Surveys in Geophysics, Special issue: Hydrology
from Space, doi: 10.1007/s10712-008-9048-9.

- 1 Ramillien G., R. Biancale, S. Gratton, X. Vasseur and S. Bourgogne, 2011, GRACE-derived
- 2 surface mass anomalies by energy integral approach. Application to continental hydrology, J.
- 3 of Geodesy, 85, 6, 313-328, doi: 10.1007/s00190-010-0438-7.
- 4

Rowlands D. D., R. D. Ray, D. S. Chinn and F. G. Lemoine, 2002, Short-arc analysis of
intersatellite tracking data in a mapping mission, J. of Geodesy, 76, 307-316, doi:
10.1007/s.00190-002-0255-8.

8 Rowlands D. D., S. B. Luthcke, S. M. Klosko, F. G. R. Lemoine, D. S. Chinn, J. J. McCarthy,

9 C. M. Cox, O. B. Anderson, 2005, Resolving mass flux at high spatial and temporal

10 resolution using GRACE intersatellite measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L04310,

11 doi:10.1029/2004GL021908.

12 Rowlands D. D., S. B. Luthcke, J. J. McCarthy, S. M. Klosko, D. S. Chinn, F. G. Lemoine, J.-

13 P. Boy and T. J. Sabaka, 2010, Global mass flux solutions from GRACE: a comparison of

14 parameter estimation strategies - Mass concentrations versus Stokes coefficients, J. of

15 Geophys. Res., 115, B01403, doi: 10.1029/2009JB006546.

16 Schmidt R., P. Schwintzer, F. Flechtner, C. Reigber, A. Güntner, P. Döll, G. Ramillien, A.

17 Cazenave, S. Petrovic, H. Jochmann and J. Wunsch, 2006, GRACE observations of changes

18 in continental water storage, Global Planetary Change, 50 (1-2), 112-126, doi:

- 19 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.11.018.
- Standish E. M., Newhall X.X, Williams J.G. et al., 1995, JPL Planetary and Lunar
  Ephemerids, DE403/LE403, JPL IOM 314.10-127.
- 22
- Swenson S. and J. Wahr, 2006, Estimating large scale precipitation minus evapotranspiration
  from GRACE satellite gravimetry measurements, J. Meteorol., 7 (2), 252-270, doi:
  10.1175/JHM478.1.
- Tapley B., S. Bettadpur, M. Watkins and C. Reigber, 2004a, The gravity recovery and climate
  experiment: mission overview and early results, GRL, 31, L09607, doi:
  10.1029/2004GL019920.
- 29

30 Tapley B., S. Bettadpur, J. Ries, P. Thompson and M. Watkins, 2004b, GRACE

measurements of mass variability in the Earth system, Science, 305 (5683), 503-505, doi:
 10.1126/science.1099192.

3	Velicogna I. and J. Wahr, 2006, Measurements of time-variable gravity show mass loss in
4	Antarctica, Science, 311, 1754-1756.
5	
6	Wagner C. D., D. McAdoo, J. Klokocnic and J. Kostelecky, 2006, Degradation of
7	geopotential recovery from short repeat-cycle orbits: Application to GRACE monthly fields,
8	J. of Geodesy, 80, 94-103, doi: 10.1007/s00190-006-0036-x.
9	
10	Wahr J., F. Molenaar and F. Bryan, 1998, Time variability of the Earth's gravity field:
11	hydrological and oceanic effects and their possible detection using GRACE, J. Geophys. Res.,
12	103 (B12), 30,205-30,229.
13	
14	Zlotnicki V., J. Wahr, I. Fukumori and Y. Song, 2007, Antarctic circum-polar current
15	transport variability during 2003-05 from GRACE, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 37, 230-244.
16	
17	

#### 1 Figure caption

2

Figure 1: 4-degree (a), 2-degree (b), and 1-degree "constrained" regional solutions over
South America computed with KBRR residuals of 1-10 August, 2006, and for different grid
samplings. The correlation radius is 600 km for the three cases. They reveal roughly similar
amplitudes of water mass anomaly.

7

Figure 2: 1-degree regional solutions computed with different lengths of correlation: (a) 200
km, (b) 400 km, (c) 600 km and (d) 800 km. Note the important smoothing (i.e., loss of shortwavelength details) as the correlation radius increases.

11

Figure 3: Decrease of the recovery error versus the correlation radius for a simulated case of GRACE tracks over South America. Star, triangle and circle symbols are for MOY, EXP and GAU types of geographical correlation respectively (see Eq.11 a-d). Grey curves are for the 1-degree grid inversion, whereas black and dotted curves are for 2 and 4-degree grids respectively.

17

Figure 4: Errors of recovery from noisy potential anomaly data. Noise is generated randomly
using different seeds and added before inversion. Smoothing clearly attenuates the effects of
the noise.

21

Figure 5a and 5b: Time series of 2-degree regional solutions over South America from January to December, 2009. The correlation radii are 600 km and 5000 km over the continents and oceans, respectively.

25

Figure 6: Six-month interval water mass solutions derived from GRACE data (from top to
bottom): 2-degree constrained regional solutions; 4-degree NASA "mascons" solutions; ICAfiltered solutions (Frappart et al., 2011); 10-day GRGS global solutions (Lemoine et al.,
1997). First and the second columns are for March and September, 2006, respectively.

30

Figure 7: Radial power spectrum of water mass variations over South America for (a) March
2006 and (b) September 2006: 2-degree regional solutions (solid lines), 10-day GRGS global
solutions (dashed lines) and 400-km ICA global solutions (grey lines).

1 Figure 8: GRACE-based products and WGHM global hydrology model averaged on the 2 Amazon River basin for the year 2006. Symbols: crosses: 10-day GRGS solutions; circles: 3 400-km ICA solutions; dashed line: 4-deg. "mascons" from NASA; solid line: daily WGHM 4 outputs; and black and white stars: 2 and 4-deg. regional solutions, respectively. 5 6 Figure 9: Time series of the differences between 10-day GRGS global solutions and 2-7 degree constrained regional solutions for 2006 (solid line). Spherical harmonics from GRGS averaged over the Amazon basin for maximum degrees: n=4 (black circles), n=5 (black stars) 8 9 and n=6 (white circles). A minimum value of root mean square differences of ~11 mm of 10 equivalent-water height is found for n=5. 11 12 Figure 10: (a) "stabilizsed" 10-day GRGS solution and (b) regional solution computed over 13 the same large area [60°S-70°N; 180°W-30°W] of the two Americas, for the beginning of

March 2009. The map of difference (a) minus (b) reveals the important North-South striping on the continents (c).

16

Figure 11: Time series of equivalent-water height at Manaus station for 2006 according to thedatasets presented on Fig.6. Symbols are the same as for Fig.8.

19

#### 1 Figure 1



\_300 \_270 \_240 \_210 \_180 \_150 \_120 \_90 \_60 \_30 \_0 \_30 \_60 \_90 \_120 \_150 \_180 \_210 \_240 \_270 \_300 Equivalent-water height (mm)

#### 1 Figure 2



\_300 \_270 \_240 \_210 \_180 \_150 \_120 \_90 \_60 \_30 0 30 60 90 120 150 180 210 240 270 300 Equivalent–water height (mm)







#### 1 Figure 5a



#### 1 Figure 5b



#### 1 Figure 6

























Equivalent-water height (mm)







#### Revised version of ms. GEOP 294 (Surveys in Geophysics):

"Constrained regional recovery of continental water mass time-variations from GRACE-based geopotential anomalies"

#### Authors:

G. Ramillien, L. Seoane, F. Frappart, R. Biancale, S. Gratton, X. Vasseur, S. Bourgogne.

January, 2012.

Dear Editors,

Would you please find enclosed the revised version of our manuscript. All the minor corrections suggested by the reviewer have been made. As we enclose here an annotated Word file, it is possible to follow easily these corrections in the text.

Our answers to specific reviewer's comments are indicated below.

Best regards,

G. Ramillien and his co-authors.

#### To the Reviewer and Editors:

First, we thank the reviewer for his comments that contributed to improve the quality of the manuscript. All his remarks have been considered and corrected (see annotated text).

In particular:

\* Paragraph 1.2.3, page 6: The text part presenting the GRACE-based ICA solutions has been rewritten to clarify how they are computed, and which ones are used for comparison with the regional solutions for South America. As mentioned in the text, more details on ICA of the monthly GRACE solutions can be found in Frappart et al., (2010) and Frappart et al., (2011). \* Loading effects, page 8: a new sentence has been added to precise that elastic compensation can be in the regularization as Legendre polynomials, and thus elastic Love numbers, are introduced. This point is also referred to Eq.24 from Ramillien et al., (2011).

\* Eq.11, page 10: we agree the definition of  $B_{i,j}$  for the cases 3 and 4 was completely wrong, so that it is now corrected with new formula.

\* Spherical harmonics, page 12: Spherical harmonics. We agree about the fact that north-south striping is not only due to the mode of representation in spherical harmonics, but the orbit configuration and time aliasing of short-term phenomena as well. This ambiguous sentence has been simply removed from the text and replaced by the explanation provided by the reviewer.

\* Explanation of acronym "MOY" is now given in Eq.11a, page9, and this latter equation is mentioned in the caption of the Figure 3.

--- End of document ---