HAL open science

Fragmented deformations of primitive multiple curves

Jean-Marc Drézet

To cite this version:

Jean-Marc Drézet. Fragmented deformations of primitive multiple curves. Central European Journal of Mathematics, 2013, 11 (12), pp.2106-2137. hal-00742545v2

HAL Id: hal-00742545

https://hal.science/hal-00742545v2

Submitted on 12 Feb 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FRAGMENTED DEFORMATIONS OF PRIMITIVE MULTIPLE CURVES

JEAN–MARC DRÉZET

Resume. A *primitive multiple curve* is a Cohen-Macaulay irreducible projective curve Y that can be locally embedded in a smooth surface, and such that Y_{red} is smooth.

This paper studies the deformations of Y to curves with smooth irreducible components, when the number of components is maximal (it is then the multiplicity n of Y).

We are particularly interested in deformations to n disjoint smooth irreducible components, which are called *fragmented deformations*. We describe them completely. We give also a characterization of primitive multiple curves having a fragmented deformation.

Summary

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 6
3. Reducible reduced deformations of primitive multiples curves 9
4. Fragmented deformations of primitive multiple curves 14
5. Stars of a curve 30
6. Classification of fragmented deformations of length 2 38
References 39

Mathematics Subject Classification: 14M05, 14B20

1. Introduction

A *primitive multiple curve* is an algebraic variety Y over $\mathbb{C}$ which is Cohen-Macaulay, such that the induced reduced variety $C = Y_{red}$ is a smooth projective irreducible curve, and that every closed point of Y has a neighborhood that can be embedded in a smooth surface. These curves have been defined and studied by C. Bănică and O. Forster in [1]. The simplest examples are infinitesimal neighborhoods of projective smooth curves embedded in a smooth surface (but most primitive multiple curves cannot be globally embedded in smooth surfaces, cf. [2], theorem 7.1).

Let Y be a primitive multiple curve with associated reduced curve C, and suppose that $Y \neq C$. Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the ideal sheaf of C in Y. The *multiplicity* of Y is the smallest integer n such that $\mathcal{I}_C^n = 0$. We have then a filtration

$$C = C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \cdots \subset C_n = Y$$

where C_i is the subscheme corresponding to the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_C^i$ and is a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity i. The sheaf $L = \mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2$ is a line bundle on C, called the *line bundle on C associated to Y*.

The deformations of double (i.e. of multiplicity 2) primitive multiple curves (also called *ribbons*) to smooth projective curves have been studied in [11]. In this paper we are interested in deformations of primitive multiple curves $Y = C_n$ of any multiplicity $n \geq 2$ to reduced curves having exactly n components which are smooth (n is the maximal number of components of deformations of Y). In this case the number of intersection points of two components is exactly $-\deg(L)$. We give some results in the general case (no assumption on $\deg(L)$) and treat more precisely the case $\deg(L) = 0$, i.e. deformations of Y to curves having exactly n disjoint irreducible components.

1.1. *Motivation* – Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a flat projective morphism of algebraic varieties, P a closed point of S such that $\pi^{-1}(P) \simeq Y$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(1)$ a very ample line bundle on $\mathcal{C}$ and P a polynomial in one variable with rational coefficients. Let

$$\tau : \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(1)}(P) \longrightarrow S$$

be the corresponding relative moduli space of semi-stable sheaves (parametrizing the semi-stables sheaves on the fibers of π with Hilbert polynomial P with respect to the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(1)$, cf. [15]).

We suppose first that there exists a closed point $s \in S$ such that $\mathcal{C}_s$ is a smooth projective irreducible curve. Then in general τ is not flat (some other examples on non flat relative moduli spaces are given in [13]). The reason is that the generic structure of torsion free sheaves on Y is more complicated than on smooth curves, and some of these sheaves cannot be deformed to sheaves on the smooth fibers of π.

A coherent sheaf on a smooth algebraic variety X is locally free on some nonempty open subset of X. This is not true on Y. But a coherent sheaf E on Y is *quasi locally free* on some nonempty open subset of Y, i.e. on this open subset, E is locally isomorphic to a sheaf of the form $\bigoplus_{1\leq i\leq n} m_i\mathcal{O}_{C_i}$, the sequence of non negative integers $(m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ being uniquely determined (cf. [3], [6]). It is not hard to see that if E can be extended to a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$, flat on S, then $R(E) = \sum_{i=1} i.m_i$ must be a multiple of n. For example, it is impossible to deform the stable sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{C_i}$ on Y in sheaves on the smooth fibers, if $1 \leq i < n$.

Now suppose that all the fibers $\pi^{-1}(s)$, $s \neq P$, are reduced with exactly n smooth components. I conjecture that (with suitable hypotheses) a torsion free coherent sheaf on Y can be extended to a coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$, flat on S, using the fact that we allow coherent sheaves of the reducible fibers $\mathcal{C}_s$ that have not the same rank on all the components. This would be a step in the study of the flatness of τ. For example (for suitable π), there exists a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{C}$, flat on S, such that $\mathcal{E}_P = \mathcal{O}_C$, and that for $s \neq P$, $\mathcal{E}_s$ is the structural sheaf of an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_s$.

Moduli spaces of sheaves on reducible curves have been studied in [16], [17], [18].

1.2. *Maximal reducible deformations* – Let (S, P) be the germ of a smooth curve. Let Y be a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity $n \geq 2$ and $k > 0$ an integer. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a flat morphism, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a reduced algebraic variety, such that

- For every closed point $s \in S$ such that $s \neq P$, the fiber $\mathcal{C}_s$ has k irreducible components, which are smooth and transverse, and any three of these components have no common point.
- The fiber $\mathcal{C}_P$ is isomorphic to Y.

We show that by making a change of variable, i.e. by considering a suitable germ (S', P') and a non constant morphism $\tau : S' \to S$, and replacing π with $\tau^*\mathcal{C} \to S'$, we can suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ has exactly k irreducible components, inducing on every fiber $\mathcal{C}_s$, $s \neq P$ the k irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_s$. In this case π is called a *reducible deformation of* Y *of length* k.

We show that $k \leq n$. We say that π (or $\mathcal{C}$) is a *maximal reducible deformation of* Y if $k = n$.

Suppose that π is a maximal reducible deformation of Y. We show that if $\mathcal{C}'$ is the union of $i > 0$ irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\pi' : \mathcal{C}' \to S$ is the restriction of π, then $\pi'^{-1}(P) \simeq C_i$, and π' is a maximal reducible deformation of C_i. Let $s \in S \backslash \{P\}$. We prove that the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_s$ have the same genus as C. Moreover, if D_1, D_2 are distinct irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_s$, then $D_1 \cap D_2$ consists of $-\deg(L)$ points.

1.3. *Fragmented deformations (definition)* – Let Y be a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity $n \geq 2$ and $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ a maximal reducible deformation of Y. We call it a *fragmented deformation of* Y if $\deg(L) = 0$, i.e. if for every $s \in S \backslash \{P\}$, $\mathcal{C}_s$ is the disjoint union of n smooth curves. In this case $\mathcal{C}$ has n irreducible components $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$ which are smooth surfaces.

The variety $\mathcal{C}$ appears as a particular case of a *gluing* of $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$ along C (cf. 4.1.5). We prove (proposition 4.1.6) that such a gluing $\mathcal{D}$ is a fragmented deformation of a primitive multiple curve if and only if every closed point in C has a neighborhood in $\mathcal{D}$ that can be embedded in a smooth variety of dimension 3. The simplest gluing is the trivial or *initial gluing* $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$. An open subset U of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ (and $\mathcal{C}$) is given by open subsets $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ of $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$ respectively, having the same intersection with C, and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}(U) \;=\; \{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(U \cap \mathcal{C}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_n}(U \cap \mathcal{C}_n); \quad \alpha_{1|C} = \cdots = \alpha_{n|C}\},$$

and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$ appears as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}(U)$, hence we have a canonical morphism $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{C}$.

We can view elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$ as n-tuples $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(U \cap \mathcal{C}_i)$. In particular we can write $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n)$.

1.4. *A simple analogy* – Consider n copies of $\mathbb{C}$ glued at 0. Two extreme examples appear: the trivial gluing $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0$ (the set of coordinate lines in $\mathbb{C}^n$), and a set $\mathcal{C}_0$ of n lines in $\mathbb{C}^2$. We can easily construct a bijective morphism $\boldsymbol{\Psi} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0 \to \mathcal{C}_0$ sending each coordinate line to a line in the plane



But the two schemes are of course not isomorphic: the maximal ideal of 0 in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0$ needs n generators, but 2 are enough for the maximal ideal of 0 in $\mathcal{C}_0$.

Let $\pi_{\mathcal{C}_0} : \mathcal{C}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ be a morphism sending each component linearly onto $\mathbb{C}$, and $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0} = \pi_{\mathcal{C}_0} \circ \boldsymbol{\Psi} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$. The difference of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_0$ can be also seen by using the fibers of 0: we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{-1}(0) \simeq \operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^n)) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_0}^{-1}(0) \simeq \operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}]/(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1})^2) \ .$$

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a general gluing of n copies of $\mathbb{C}$ at 0, such that there exists a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ inducing the identity on each copy of $\mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that we have $\pi^{-1}(0) \simeq \operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^n))$ if and only if some neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{D}$ can be embedded in a smooth surface.

1.5. *Fragmented deformations (main properties)* – Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a fragmented deformation of $Y = C_n$. Let $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a proper subset, I^c its complement, and $\mathcal{C}_I \subset \mathcal{C}$ the subscheme union of the $\mathcal{C}_i, i \in I$. We prove (theorem 4.3.7) that the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I}$ of $\mathcal{C}_I$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{I^c}}$.

In particular, the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ of $\mathcal{C}_i$ is generated by a single regular function on $\mathcal{C}$. We show that we can find such a generator such that for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $j \neq i$, its j-th coordinate can be written as $\alpha_j \pi_j^{p_{ij}}$, with $p_{ij} > 0$ and $\alpha_j \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $\alpha_j(P) \neq 0$. If $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$, we can then obtain a generator that can be written as

$$\mathbf{u}_{ij} \ = \ (u_1, \ldots, u_m),$$

with

$$u_i = 0, \quad u_m \ = \ \alpha_{ij}^{(m)} \pi_m^{p_{im}} \ \text{ for } m \neq i, \quad \alpha_{ij}^{(j)} = 1.$$

The constants $\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(m)} = \alpha_{ij|C}^{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}$ have interesting properties (propositions 4.5.2, 4.4.6). Let $p_{ii} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The symmetric matrix $(p_{ij})_{1\leq i,j\leq n}$ is called the *spectrum* of π (or $\mathcal{C}$).

It follows also from the fact that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_i} = (\mathbf{u}_{ij})$ that Y is a *simple* primitive multiple curve, i.e. the ideal sheaf of C in $Y = C_n$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1}}$. Conversely, we show in theorem 4.7.1 that if Y is a simple primitive multiple curve, then there exists a fragmented deformation of Y.

We give in 4.4 and 4.5 a way to construct fragmented deformations by induction on n. This is used later to prove statements on fragmented deformations by induction on n.

1.6. *n-stars and structure of fragmented deformations* – An *n-star* of (S, P) is a gluing $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ of n copies $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ of S at P, together with a morphism $\tau : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} \to S$ which is an identity on each S_i. All the n-stars have the same underlying Zariski topological space $S(n)$.

An n-star is called *oblate* if some neighborhood of P can be embedded in a smooth surface. This is the case if and only $\tau^{-1}(0) \simeq \operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{C}[t])/(t^n)$.

Oblate n-stars are analogous to fragmented deformations but simpler. We provide a way to build oblate n-stars by induction on n.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a fragmented deformation of $Y = C_n$. We associate to it an oblate n-star $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ of S. Let $\mathcal{C}^{top}$ be the Zariski topological space of $\mathcal{C}$. We have an obvious continuous map $\widetilde{\pi} : \mathcal{C}^{top} \to S(n)$. For every open subset U of $S(n)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}(U)$ is the set of $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{\pi}^{-1}(U))$ such that $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{S_i}(U \cap S_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We obtain also a canonical morphism $\mathbf{\Pi} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. We prove (theorem 5.6.2) that $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is flat. Hence it is a flat family of smooth curves, with $\mathbf{\Pi}^{-1}(P) = C$. The converse is also true, i.e. starting from an oblate n-star of S and a flat family of smooth curves parametrized by it, we obtain a fragmented deformation of a multiple primitive curve of multiplicity n.

1.7. *Fragmented deformations of double curves* – Let $Y = C_2$ be a primitive double curve, C its associated smooth curve, $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ a fragmented deformation of Y, of spectrum $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$ the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$. For $i = 1, 2$, $q > 0$, let C_i^q be the infinitesimal neighborhood of order q of C in $\mathcal{C}_i$ (defined by the ideal sheaf (π_i^q)). It is a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity q.

It follows from 4.3.5 that C_1^p and C_2^p are isomorphic, and C_1^{p+1}, C_2^{p+1} are two extensions of C_1^p in primitive multiple curves of multiplicity $p+1$. According to [4] these extensions are parametrized by an affine space with associated vector space $H^1(C, T_C)$ (where T_C is the tangent bundle of C). Let $w \in H^1(C, T_C)$ be the vector from C_1^{p+1} to C_2^{p+1}.

Similarly, the primitive double curves with associated smooth curve C such that $\mathcal{I}_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$ are parametrized by $\mathbb{P}(H^1(C, T_C)) \cup \{0\}$ (cf. [2], [4]).

We prove in theorem 6.0.5 that the point of $\mathbb{P}(H^1(C, T_C)) \cup \{0\}$ corresponding to C_2 is $\mathbb{C}w$.

1.8. *Notation:* Let X be an algebraic variety and $Y \subset X$ a closed subvariety. We will denote by $\mathcal{I}_{Y,X}$ (or $\mathcal{I}_Y$ if there is no risk of confusion) the ideal sheaf of Y in X.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Local embeddings in smooth varieties

2.1.1. Proposition: *Let X be an algebraic variety, x a closed point of X and n a positive integer. Then the three following properties are equivalent:*

(i) *There exist a neighborhood U of x and an embedding $U \subset Z$ in a smooth variety of dimension n.*
(ii) *The $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$-module $m_{X,x}$ (maximal ideal of x) can be generated by n elements.*
(iii) *We have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(m_{X,x}/m_{X,x}^2) \leq n$.*

Proof. It is obvious that (i) implies (ii), and (ii),(iii) are equivalent according to Nakayama's lemma. It remains to prove that (iii) implies (i).

Suppose that (iii) is true. There exist an integer N and an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}_N$. Let $\mathcal{I}_X$ be the ideal sheaf of X in $\mathbb{P}_N$. Let p be the biggest integer such that there exists $f_1, \cdots, f_p \in \mathcal{I}_{X,x}$ whose images in the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}/m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}^2$ are linearly independent. Then we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{X,x} \subset (f_1, \cdots, f_p) + m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}^2.$$

In fact, let $f \in \mathcal{I}_{X,x}$. Since p is maximal, the image of f in $m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}/m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}^2$ is a linear combination of those of $f_1, \cdots, f_n$. Hence we can write

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i f_i + g, \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C},\ g \in m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}^2 ,$$

and our assertion is proved. It follows that we have a surjective morphism

$$\alpha : \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/m_{X,x}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}/\big((f_1, \cdots, f_p) + m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}^2\big) .$$

We have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/m_{X,x}^2) \leq n+1, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\big(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}/\big((f_1, \cdots, f_p) + m_{\mathbb{P}_N,x}^2\big)\big) = N-p+1 .$$

Hence $N-p+1 \leq n+1$, i.e. $p \geq N-n$. We can take for Z a neighborhood of x in the subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_N$ defined by $f_1, \cdots, f_{N-n}$, which is smooth at x. □

2.2. Flat families of coherent sheaves

Let (S, P) be the germ of a smooth curve and $t \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ a generator of the maximal ideal. Let $\pi : X \to S$ be a flat morphism. If $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent sheaf on X, $\mathcal{E}$ is flat on S at $x \in \pi^{-1}(P)$ if and only if the multiplication by $t : \mathcal{E}_x \to \mathcal{E}_x$ is injective. In particular the multiplication by $t : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is injective.

2.2.1. Lemma: *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent sheaf on X flat on S. Then, for every open subset U of X, the restriction $\mathcal{E}(U) \to \mathcal{E}(U \backslash \pi^{-1}(P))$ is injective.*

Proof. Let $s \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ whose restriction to $U \backslash \pi^{-1}(P)$ vanishes. We must show that $s = 0$. By covering U with smaller open subsets we can suppose that U is affine: $U = \operatorname{spec}(A)$. Hence $U \backslash \pi^{-1}(P) = \operatorname{spec}(A_t)$. Let $M = \mathcal{E}(U)$, it is an A-module. We have $\mathcal{E}_{|U} = \widetilde{M}$ and $\mathcal{E}(U \backslash \pi^{-1}(P)) = M_t$. Hence if the restriction of s to $U \backslash \pi^{-1}(P)$ vanishes, there exists an integer

$n > 0$ such that $t^n s = 0$. Since the multiplication by t is injective (because $\mathcal{E}$ is flat on S), we have $s = 0$. □

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent sheaf on X flat on S. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}_{|X\backslash\pi^{-1}(P)}$ be a subsheaf. For every open subset U of X we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ the subset of $\mathcal{F}(U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P))$ of elements that can be extended to sections of $\mathcal{E}$ on U. If $V \subset U$ is an open subset, the restriction $\mathcal{F}(U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P)) \to \mathcal{F}(V\backslash\pi^{-1}(P))$ induces a morphism $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(U) \to \overline{\mathcal{F}}(V)$.

2.2.2. Proposition: *$\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}$, and $\mathcal{E}/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat on S.*

Proof. To prove the first assertion, we must show that if U is an open subset of X and $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ is an open cover of U, then

(i) If $s \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ is such that for every i we have $s_{|U_i} = 0$, then $s = 0$.
(ii) For every $i \in I$ let $s_i \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}(U_i)$. Then if for all i, j we have $s_{i|U_{ij}} = s_{j|U_{ij}}$, then there exists $s \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}(U)$ such that for every $i \in I$ we have $s_{|U_i} = s_i$.

This follows easily from lemma 2.2.1.

Now we prove that $\mathcal{E}/\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat on S. Let $x \in \pi^{-1}(P)$ and $u \in (\mathcal{E}/\overline{\mathcal{F}})_x$ such that $tu = 0$. We must show that $u = 0$. Let $v \in \mathcal{E}_x$ over u. Then we have $tv \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_x$. Let U be a neighborhood of x such that tv comes from $w \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}(U)$. This means that $w_{|U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P)} \in \mathcal{F}(U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P))$. Since t is invertible on $U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P)$ we can write $w = tw'$, with $w' \in \mathcal{F}(U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P))$. We have then $w' = v$ on $U\backslash\pi^{-1}(P)$. Hence $v \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}_x$ and $u = 0$. □

2.3. Primitive multiple curves

(cf. [1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [10]).

Let C be a smooth connected projective curve. A *multiple curve with support* C is a Cohen-Macaulay scheme Y such that $Y_{red} = C$.

Let n be the smallest integer such that $Y = C^{(n-1)}$, $C^{(k-1)}$ being the k-th infinitesimal neighborhood of C, i.e. $\mathcal{I}_{C^{(k-1)}} = \mathcal{I}_C^k$. We have a filtration $C = C_1 \subset C_2 \subset \cdots \subset C_n = Y$ where C_i is the biggest Cohen-Macaulay subscheme contained in $Y \cap C^{(i-1)}$. We call n the *multiplicity* of Y.

We say that Y is *primitive* if, for every closed point x of C, there exists a smooth surface S, containing a neighborhood of x in Y as a locally closed subvariety. In this case, $L = \mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_{C_2}$ is a line bundle on C and we have $\mathcal{I}_{C_j} = \mathcal{I}_X^j$, $\mathcal{I}_{C_j}/\mathcal{I}_{C_{j+1}} = L^j$ for $1 \le j < n$. We call L the line bundle on C *associated* to Y. Let $P \in C$. Then there exist elements y, t of $m_{S,P}$ (the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S,P}$) whose images in $m_{S,P}/m_{S,P}^2$ form a basis, and such that for $1 \le i < n$ we have $\mathcal{I}_{C_i,P} = (y^i)$.

The simplest case is when Y is contained in a smooth surface S. Suppose that Y has multiplicity n. Let $P \in C$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ a local equation of C. Then we have $\mathcal{I}_{C_i,P} = (f^i)$ for $1 < j \le n$, in particular $I_{Y,P} = (f^n)$, and $L = \mathcal{O}_C(-C)$.

We will write $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}_{C_n}$ and we will see $\mathcal{O}_i$ as a coherent sheaf on C_n with schematic support C_i if $1 \leq i < n$.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent sheaf on Y one defines its *generalized rank* $R(\mathcal{E})$ and *generalized degree* $\mathrm{Deg}(\mathcal{E})$ (cf. [6], 3-): take any filtration of $\mathcal{E}$

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$$

by subsheaves such that $\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ is concentrated on C for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$R(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Deg}(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg(\mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}).$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ be a very ample line bundle on Y. Then the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$ is

$$P_{\mathcal{E}}(m) \;=\; R(\mathcal{E})\deg(\mathcal{O}_C(1))m + \mathrm{Deg}(\mathcal{E}) + R(\mathcal{E})(1-g)$$

(where g is the genus of C).

We deduce from proposition 2.1.1:

2.3.1. Proposition: *Let Y be a multiple curve with support C. Then Y is a primitive multiple curve if and only if $\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2$ is zero, or a line bundle on C.*

2.3.2. *Parametrization of double curves* - In the case of double curves, D. Bayer and D. Eisenbud have obtained in [2] the following classification: if Y is of multiplicity 2, we have an exact sequence of vector bundles on C

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \Omega_{Y|C} \longrightarrow \omega_C \longrightarrow 0$$

which is split if and only if Y is the *trivial curve*, i.e. the second infinitesimal neighborhood of C, embedded by the zero section in the dual bundle L^*, seen as a surface. If Y is not trivial, it is completely determined by the line of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\omega_C, L)$ induced by the preceding exact sequence. The non trivial primitive curves of multiplicity 2 and of associated line bundle L are therefore parametrized by the projective space $\mathbb{P}(\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\omega_C, L))$.

2.4. Simple primitive multiple curves

Let C be a smooth projective irreducible curve, $n \geq 2$ an integer and C_n a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n and associated reduced curve C. Then the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_C$ of C in C_n is a line bundle on C_{n-1}.

We say that C_n is *simple* if $\mathcal{I}_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_{n-1}$.

In this case the line bundle on C associated to C_n is $\mathcal{O}_C$. The following result is proved in [8] (théorème 1.2.1):

2.4.1. Theorem: *Suppose that C_n is simple. Then there exists a flat family of smooth projective curves $\tau : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau^{-1}(0) \simeq C$ and that C_n is isomorphic to the n-th infinitesimal neighborhood of C in $\mathcal{C}$.*

3. Reducible reduced deformations of primitive multiples curves

3.1. Connected Components

Let (S, P) be the germ of a smooth curve and $t \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ a generator of the maximal ideal. Let $n > 0$ be an integer and $Y = C_n$ a projective primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n.

Let $k > 0$ be an integer. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a flat morphism, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a reduced algebraic variety, such that

- For every closed point $s \in S$ such that $s \neq P$, the fiber $\mathcal{C}_s$ has k irreducible components, which are smooth and transverse, and any three of these components have no common point.
- The fiber $\mathcal{C}_P$ is isomorphic to C_n.

It is easy to see that the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$ are reduced surfaces.

Let Z be the open subset of $\mathcal{C}\backslash\mathcal{C}_P$ of points z belonging to only one irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\pi(z)}$. Then the restriction of $\pi : Z \to S\backslash\{P\}$ is a smooth morphism. For every $s \in S\backslash\{P\}$, let $\mathcal{C}'_s = \mathcal{C}_s \cap Z$. It is the open subset of smooth points of $\mathcal{C}_s$.

Let $z \in Z$ and $s = \pi(z)$. There exist a neighborhood (for the Euclidean topology) U of s, isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$, and a neighborhood V of z such that $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$, $\pi(V) = U$, the restriction of $\pi : V \to U$ being the projection $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ on the first factor. We deduce easily from that the following facts:

- let $s \in S\backslash\{P\}$ and C_1 an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_s$. Let $z_1, z_2 \in C_1 \cap Z$. Then there exist neighborhoods (in Z, for the Euclidean topology) U_1, U_2 of z_1, z_2 respectively, such that if $y_1 \in U_1$, $y_2 \in U_2$ are such that $\pi(y_1) = \pi(y_2)$, then y_1 and y_2 belong to the same irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\pi(y_1)}$.
- for every continuous map $\sigma : [0,1] \to S\backslash\{P\}$ and every $z \in Z$ such that $\sigma(0) = \pi(z)$ there exists a lifting of σ, $\sigma' : [0,1] \to Z$ such that $\sigma'(0) = z$. Moreover, if $\sigma'' : [0,1] \to Z$ is another lifting of σ such that $\sigma''(0) = z$, then $\sigma'(1)$ and $\sigma''(1)$ are in the same irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma(1)}$. More generally, if we only impose that $\sigma''(0)$ is in the same irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma(0)}$ as z, then $\sigma'(1)$ and $\sigma''(1)$ are in the same irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma(1)}$.

3.1.1. Lemma: *Let $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 : [0,1] \to S\backslash\{P\}$ be two continuous maps such that $\sigma_0(0) = \sigma_1(0)$, $s = \sigma_0(1) = \sigma_1(1)$. Suppose that they are homotopic. Let σ'_0, σ'_1 be liftings $[0,1] \to Z$ of σ_0, σ_1 respectively, such that $\sigma'_0(0) = \sigma'_1(0)$. Then $\sigma'_0(1)$ and $\sigma'_1(1)$ belong to the same irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}'_s$.*

Proof. Let

$$\Psi : [0,1] \times [0,1] \longrightarrow S\backslash\{P\}$$

be an homotopy:

$$\Psi(0,t) = \sigma_0(t), \quad \Psi(1,t) = \sigma_1(t), \quad \Psi(t,0) = \sigma_0(0), \quad \Psi(t,1) = \sigma_0(1)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. For every $u \in [0,1]$ and $\epsilon > 0$ let $I_{u,\epsilon} = [u-\epsilon, u+\epsilon] \cap [0,1]$. By using the local structure of $\pi_{|Z}$ for the Euclidean topology it is easy to see that for every $u \in [0,1]$, there exists

an $\epsilon > 0$ such that the restriction of Ψ

$$I_{u,\epsilon} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow S\backslash\{P\}$$

can be lifted to a morphism

$$\Psi' : I_{u,\epsilon} \times [0,1] \longrightarrow Z$$

such that $\Psi'(t,0) = \sigma'_0(0)$ for every $t \in I_{u,\epsilon}$. It follows that if $I_{u,\epsilon} = [a_{u,\epsilon}, b_{u,\epsilon}]$, then $\Psi'(a_{u,\epsilon},1)$ and $\Psi'(b_{u,\epsilon},1)$ are in the same irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}'_{\sigma_0(1)}$. We have just to cover $[0,1]$ with a finite number of intervals $I_{u,\epsilon}$ to obtain the result. □

Let $s \in S\backslash\{P\}$, $D_1, \dots, D_k$ be the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}'_s$ and $x_i \in D_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let σ be a loop of $S\backslash\{P\}$ with origin s, defining a generator of $\pi_1(S\backslash\{P\})$. Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq k$. The liftings $\sigma' : [0,1] \to Z$ of σ such that $\sigma'(0) = x_i$ end up at a component D_j which does not depend on x_i. Hence we can write

$$j \ = \alpha_{\mathcal{C}}(i).$$

3.1.2. Lemma: *$\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $i \neq j$ and $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}(i) = \alpha_{\mathcal{C}}(j)$. By inverting the paths we find liftings of paths from $D_{\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}(i)}$ to D_i and D_j. This contradicts lemma 3.1.1. □

Let $p > 0$ be an integer such that $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}^p = I_{\{1,\cdots,k\}}$. Let t be a generator of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S,P}$, K the field of rational functions on S and $K' = K(t^{1/p})$. Let S' be the germ of the curve corresponding to K', $\theta : S' \to S$ canonical the morphism and P' the unique point of $\theta^{-1}(P)$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \theta^*(\mathcal{C})$. We have therefore a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Theta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\theta} \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

where ρ is flat, and for every $s' \in S'$, Θ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{s'} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\theta(s')}$. We have

$$\alpha_{\mathcal{D}} \ = \ I_{\{1,\dots,k\}} \ .$$

Let $Z' \subset \mathcal{D}$ be the complement of the union of $\rho^{-1}(P')$ and of the singular points of the curves $\mathcal{D}_{s'}$, $s' \neq P'$ (hence $Z' = \Theta^{-1}(Z)$).

3.1.3. Proposition: *The open subset Z' has exactly k irreducible components $Z'_1, \dots, Z'_k$. Let $\overline{Z'_1}, \dots, \overline{Z'_k}$ be their closures in $\mathcal{D}$. Then for every $s' \in S'\backslash\{P'\}$, the $Z'_i \cap \mathcal{D}_{s'}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}_{s'}$ minus the intersection points with the other components, and the $\overline{Z'_i} \cap \mathcal{D}_{s'}$ are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}_{s'}$.*

3.1.4. Definition: *Let $k > 0$ be an integer. A* reducible deformation of length k of C_n *is a flat morphism $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a reduced algebraic variety, such that*

- *For every closed point $s \in S$, $s \neq P$, the fiber $\mathcal{C}_s$ has k irreducible components, which are smooth and transverse, and any three of these components have no common point.*

– *The fiber* $\mathcal{C}_P$ *is isomorphic to* C_n.
– *We have* $\alpha_{\mathcal{C}} = I_{\{1,\dots,k\}}$.

3.2. Maximal reducible deformations

Let (S,P) be the germ of a smooth curve and $t \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ a generator of the maximal ideal. Let $n > 0$ be an integer and $Y = C_n$ a projective primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n, with underlying smooth curve C. We denote by g the genus of C and L the line bundle on C associated to C_n.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a reducible deformation of length k of C_n. Let $Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ be the closed subvarieties of $\pi^{-1}(S\backslash\{P\})$ such that for every $s \in S\backslash\{P\}$, $Z_{1s}, \dots, Z_{ks}$ are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_s$ (cf. prop. 3.1.3).

For $1 \le i \le k$, we denote by $\mathcal{J}_i$ the ideal sheaf of $Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_i$ in $\pi^{-1}(S\backslash\{P\})$. This sheaf is flat on $S\backslash\{P\}$, and we have

$$0 = \mathcal{J}_k \subset \mathcal{J}_{k-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{J}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(S\backslash\{P\})} \ .$$

The quotients $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(S\backslash\{P\})}/\mathcal{J}_1$, $\mathcal{J}_i/\mathcal{J}_{i+1}$, $1 \le i < k$, are also flat on $S\backslash\{P\}$. We obtain the filtration of sheaves on $\mathcal{C}$

$$0 = \overline{\mathcal{J}_k} \subset \overline{\mathcal{J}_{k-1}} \subset \dots \subset \overline{\mathcal{J}_1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}} \ .$$

(cf. 2.2). According to proposition 2.2.2 the quotients $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{J}_i}/\overline{\mathcal{J}_{i+1}}$, $1 \le i < n$, are flat on S. We have $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(S\backslash\{P\})}/\mathcal{J}_1 = \mathcal{O}_{Z_1}$. We denote by $\mathbf{X}_i$ the closed subvariety of $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to the ideal sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{J}_i}$.

Similarly we consider the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}'_i$ of $Z_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup Z_n$ on $\pi^{-1}(S\backslash\{P\})$, the associated ideal sheaf $\overline{\mathcal{J}'_i}$ on $\mathcal{C}$ and the corresponding subvariety $\mathbf{X}'_i$.

3.2.1. Proposition: *We have* $k \le n$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_i = \overline{\mathcal{J}_i}/\overline{\mathcal{J}_{i+1}}$ for $1 \le i < n$. The sheaves $\mathcal{E}_{iP}$ are not concentrated on a finite number of points. To see this we use a very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on $\mathcal{C}$. The Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{E}_{iP}$ is the same as that of $\mathcal{E}_{is}$, $s \ne P$, hence it is not constant. So we have $R(\mathcal{E}_i) \ge 1$ ($R(\mathcal{E}_i)$ is the generalized rank of $\mathcal{E}_i$, cf. 2.3), and since

$$n \ = \ R(\mathcal{O}_{C_n}) \ = \sum_{i=0}^{k} R(\mathcal{E}_{iP}) \ , \tag{1}$$

we have $k \le n$. □

3.2.2. Definition: *We say that* π *(or* $\mathcal{C}$*) is a* maximal reducible deformation *of* C_n *if* $k = n$.

3.2.3. Theorem: *Suppose that* $\mathcal{C}$ *is a maximal reducible deformation of* C_n. *Then we have, for* $1 \le i < n$

$$\overline{\mathcal{J}_i}_{,P} \ = \ \mathcal{I}_{C_i,C_n}$$

and $\mathbf{X}_i$ *is a maximal reducible deformation of* C_i.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(1)$ be a very ample line bundle on $\mathcal{C}$.

Let Q be a closed point of C. Let $z \in \mathcal{O}_{n,Q}$ be an equation of C and $x \in \mathcal{O}_{n,Q}$ over a generator of the maximal ideal of Q in $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$. Let $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},Q}$ be over z, x respectively. The maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{n,Q}$ is (x, z). The maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},Q}$ is generated by $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}, t$. It follows from proposition 2.1.1 that there exist a neighborhood U of Q in $\mathcal{C}$ and an embedding $j : U \to \mathbb{P}_3$. We can assume that the restriction of j to $\overline{Z_1} \cap U$ is induced by the morphism $\phi : \mathbb{C}[X, Z, T] \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$ of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras which associates x, z, t to X, Z, T respectively.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is reduced, U is an open subset of a reduced hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}_3$ having n irreducible components, corresponding to $\overline{Z_1}, \dots, \overline{Z_n}$. It is then clear that $\mathbf{X}_i$, being the smallest subscheme of $\mathcal{C}$ containing $Z_1 \backslash C, \dots, Z_i \backslash C$, is the union in U of the first i hypersurface components.

Since $j(\overline{Z_1})$ is a hypersurface, the kernel of ϕ is a principal ideal generated by the equation F of the image of Z_1.

Recall that $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}_{C_n} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})_P$. We have $R(\mathcal{O}_n/\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}_{,P}) = 1$ according to (1). Hence there exists a nonempty open subset V of C_n such that $\left(\mathcal{O}_n/\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}_{,P}\right)_{|V}$ is a line bundle on $V \cap C$. It follows that the projection $\mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_C$ vanishes on $\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}_{,P|V}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_C$ is torsion free this projection vanishes everywhere on $\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}$, i.e. $\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}_P \subset \mathcal{I}_{C,C_n}$, with equality on V.

The sheaf $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}$ is the structural sheaf of $\overline{Z_1}$, and the projection $\overline{Z_1} \to S$ is a flat morphism. For every $s \in S \backslash \{P\}$, $(\overline{Z_1})_s$ is a smooth curve. The fiber $(\overline{Z_1})_P$ consists of C and a finite number of embedded points. There exist flat families of curves whose general fiber is smooth and the special fiber consists of an integral curve and some embedded points (cf. [12], III, Example 9.8.4). We will show that this cannot happen in our case, i.e. we have $\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}_P = \mathcal{I}_{C,C_n}$.

Let $\mathbf{m} = (X, Z, T) \subset \mathbb{C}[X, Z, T]$, and $\mathbf{m}_{Z_1}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$. The ideal of $(\overline{Z_1})_P$ in $\mathcal{O}_{n,Q}$ contains z^q and $x^p z$ (for suitable minimal integers $p \geq 0, q > 0$), with $p > 0$ if and only if Q is an embedded point. Hence the ideal of $\overline{Z_1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},Q}$ contains elements of type $\mathbf{x}^p \mathbf{z} - t\alpha$, $\mathbf{z}^q - t\beta$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},Q}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$ with respect to $\mathbf{m}_{Z_1}$ and

$$\widehat{\phi} : \mathbb{C}((X, Z, T)) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}}$$

the morphism deduced from ϕ. We can also see $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}}$ as the completion with respect to (X, Z, T) of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$ seen as a $\mathbb{C}[X, Z, T]$-module. It follows that $\ker(\widehat{\phi}) = (F)$ (cf. [9], lemma 7.15). Note that $\widehat{\phi}$ is surjective (this is why we use completions). Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}((X, Y, Z))$ be such that $\widehat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \alpha$, $\widehat{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \beta$. So we have

$$X^p Z - T\boldsymbol{\alpha},\ Z^q - T\boldsymbol{\beta} \ \in\ \ker(\widehat{\phi})\ .$$

Hence there exist $A, B \in \mathbb{C}((X, Z, T))$ such that $X^p Z - T\boldsymbol{\alpha} = AF$, $Z^q - T\boldsymbol{\beta} = BF$. We can write in an unique way

$$A = A_0 + TA_1,\ B = B_0 + TB_1,\ F = F_0 + TF_1,$$

with $A_0, B_0, F_0 \in \mathbb{C}((X, Z))$ and $A_1, B_1, F_1 \in \mathbb{C}((X, Z, T))$, and we have

$$A_0 F_0 = X^p Z,\ B_0 F_0 = Z^q\ .$$

Since F is not invertible, it follows that F_0 is of the form $F_0 = cZ$, with $c \in \mathbb{C}((X,Z,T))$ invertible. So we have $F = cZ + TF_1$. It follows that $z \in (t)$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}}$. This implies that this is also true in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$: in fact the assertion in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}}$ implies that

$$z \in \bigcap_{n\geq 0}((t) + \mathbf{m}_{Z_1}^n)$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$, and the latter is equal to (t) according to [14], vol. II, chap. VIII, theorem 9. Hence $z \in (t)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{Z_1},Q}$, i.e. $p = 0$ and Q is not an embedded point. So there are no embedded points. This implies that $\overline{\mathcal{J}_1}_P = \mathcal{I}_{C,C_n}$. Similarly, if I_j denotes the ideal sheaf of $\overline{Z_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have $I_{j,P} = \mathcal{I}_{C,C_n}$. Since the restriction of $\pi : \overline{Z_j} \to S$ is flat, the curves $\mathcal{E}_{j,s}$, $s \neq P$, have the same genus as C, and the same Hilbert polynomial with respect to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(1)$.

Now we show that $\mathbf{X}'_1$ is a maximal reducible deformation of C_{n-1}. We need only to show that $\mathbf{X}'_{1,P} = C_{n-1}$. As we have seen, for $2 \leq j \leq n$, a local equation of $\overline{Z_j}$ at any point $Q \in C$ induces a generator u_j of $\mathcal{I}_{C,C_n,Q}$. Hence $u = \prod_{2\leq j\leq n} u_j$ is a generator of $\mathcal{I}_{C_{n-1},C_n,Q}$. But $u = 0$ on $\mathbf{X}'_1$. It follows that $\mathbf{X}'_{1,P} \subset C_{n-1}$. But the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1}}$ is the same as that of the structural sheaves of the fibers of the flat morphism $\mathbf{X}'_1 \to S$ over $s \neq P$, hence the same as $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}'_{1,P}}$. Hence $\mathbf{X}'_{1,P} = C_{n-1}$.

The theorem 3.2.3 is then easily proved by induction on n. □

3.2.4. Corollary: *Let $s \in S\backslash\{P\}$ and D_1, D_2 be two irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_s$. Then D_1 is of genus g and $D_1 \cap D_2$ consists of $-\deg(L)$ points.*

Proof. According to theorem 3.2.3, there exists a flat family of smooth curves $\mathbf{C}$ parametrized by S such that $\mathbf{C}_P = C$ and $\mathbf{C}_s = D_1$. So the genus of D_1 is equal to that of C.

Let us prove the second assertion. Again according to theorem 3.2.3 we can suppose that $n = 2$. We have then $\chi(\mathcal{C}_s) = \chi(C_2) = 2\chi(C) + \deg(L)$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ be the intersection points of D_1 and D_2. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(-x_1 - \cdots - x_N) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_s} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Whence $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_s}) = \chi(D_1) + \chi(D_2) - N = 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) - N$ (according to the first assertion). Whence $N = -\deg(L)$. □

3.2.5. It follows from the previous results that if $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ is a maximal reducible deformation of C_n, then we have

(i) $\deg(L) \leq 0$.
(ii) $\mathcal{C}$ has exactly n irreducible components $\mathcal{C}_1 \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$.
(iii) For $1 \leq i \leq n$, the restriction of π, $\pi_i : \mathcal{C}_i \to S$ is a flat morphism , and $\pi_i^{-1}(P) = C$.
(iv) For every nonempty subset $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{C}_I$ be the union of the $\mathcal{C}_i$ such that $i \in I$, and m the number of elements of I. Then the restriction of π, $\pi_I : \mathcal{C}_I \to S$ is a maximal reducible deformation of C_m.

The following is immediate, and shows that we need only to consider maximal reducible deformations parametrized by a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}$:

3.2.6. Proposition: *Let $t \in \mathcal{O}_S(P)$ be a generator of the maximal ideal, and $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ a maximal reducible deformation of C_n. Let $S' \subset S$ be an open neighborhood of P where t is defined and $\mathcal{C}' = \pi^{-1}(U)$, $V = t(U)$. Then $\pi' = t \circ \pi : \mathcal{C}' \to V$ is a maximal reducible deformation of C_n.*

4. Fragmented deformations of primitive multiple curves

The fragmented deformations of primitive multiple curves are particular cases of reducible deformations.

In this chapter (S, P) denotes the germ of a smooth curve. Let $t \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ be a generator of the maximal ideal of P. We can suppose that t is defined on the whole of S, and that the ideal sheaf of P in S is generated by t.

4.1. Fragmented deformations and gluing

Let $n > 0$ be an integer and $Y = C_n$ a projective primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n.

4.1.1. Definition: *Let $k > 0$ be an integer. A* general fragmented deformation of length k *of C_n is a flat morphism $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ such that for every point $s \neq P$ of S, the fiber $\mathcal{C}_s$ is a disjoint union of k projective smooth irreducible curves, and such that $\mathcal{C}_P$ is isomorphic to C_n.*

We have then $k \leq n$. If $k = n$ we say that π (or $\mathcal{C}$) is a *general maximal fragmented deformation* of C_n. We suppose in the sequel that it is the case.

The line bundle on C associated to C_n is $\mathcal{O}_C$ (by proposition 3.2.4).

Let $p > 0$ be an integer. Let K be the field of rational functions on S and $K' = K(t^{1/p})$. Let S' be the germ of curve corresponding to K', $\theta : S' \to S$ the canonical morphism and P' the unique point of $\theta^{-1}(P)$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \theta^*(\mathcal{C})$. So we have a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\Theta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\theta} \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \end{array}$$

where ρ is flat, and for every $s' \in S'$, Θ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{s'} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\theta(s')}$.

4.1.2. Proposition: *For a suitable choice of p, $\mathcal{D}$ has exactly n irreducible components $\mathcal{D}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_n$, and for every point $s \neq P'$ of S', $\mathcal{D}_{1s}, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_{ns}$ are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}_s$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ the restriction of ρ: $\mathcal{D}_{is} \to S'$ is flat, and $\mathcal{D}_{P'} = C_n$.*

(See proposition 3.1.3)

4.1.3. Definition: *A* fragmented deformation *of C_n is a general maximal fragmented deformation of length n of C_n having n irreducible components.*

We suppose in the sequel that $\mathcal{C}$ is a fragmented deformation of C_n, union of n irreducible components $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$.

4.1.4. Proposition: *Let $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a nonempty subset having m elements. Let $\mathcal{C}_I = \cup_{i \in I} \mathcal{C}_i$. Then the restriction of π, $\mathcal{C}_I \to S$, is flat, and the fiber $\mathcal{C}_{IP}$ is canonically isomorphic to C_m.*

(See 3.2.5)

In particular there exists a filtration of ideal sheaves

$$0 \subset \mathcal{I}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{I}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$$

such that for $1 \leq i < n$ and $s \in S \backslash \{P\}$, $\mathcal{I}_{is}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\cup_{j=i}^{n} \mathcal{C}_{js}$, and that $\mathcal{I}_{iP}$ is that of C_{n-i}.

4.1.5. Definition: *For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\pi_i : \mathcal{C}_i \to S$ be a flat family of smooth projective irreducible curves, with a fixed isomorphism $\pi_i^{-1}(P) \simeq C$. A* gluing of $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_n$ along C *is an algebraic variety $\mathcal{D}$ such that*

- *for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathcal{C}_i$ is isomorphic to a closed subvariety of $\mathcal{D}$, also denoted by $\mathcal{C}_i$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is the union of these subvarieties.*
- *$\coprod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\mathcal{C}_i \backslash C)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{D}$.*
- *There exists a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{D} \to S$ inducing π_i on $\mathcal{C}_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$.*
- *The subvarieties $C = \pi_i^{-1}(P)$ of $\mathcal{C}_i$ coincide in $\mathcal{D}$.*

For example the previous fragmented deformation $\mathcal{C}$ of C_n is a gluing of $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_n$ along C.

All the gluings of $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_n$ along C have the same underlying Zariski topological space.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ be the *initial gluing* of the $\mathcal{C}_i$ along C. It is an algebraic variety whose points are the same as those of $\mathcal{C}$, i.e.

$$(\coprod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{C}_i) / \sim \quad ,$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation: if $x \in \mathcal{C}_i$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}_j$, $x \sim y$ if and only if $x = y$, or if $x \in \mathcal{C}_{iP} \simeq C$, $y \in \mathcal{C}_{jP} \simeq C$ and $x = y$ in C. The structural sheaf is defined by: for every open subset U of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}(U) \ = \ \{(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(U \cap \mathcal{C}_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_n}(U \cap \mathcal{C}_n); \alpha_{1|C} = \cdots = \alpha_{n|C}\}.$$

For every gluing $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_n$, we have an obvious dominant morphism $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{D}$. If follows that the sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ can be seen as a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}$.

The fiber $D = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_P$ is not a primitive multiple curve (if $n > 2$): if $\mathcal{I}_{C,D}$ denotes the ideal sheaf of C in D we have $\mathcal{I}_{C,D}^2 = 0$, and $\mathcal{I}_{C,D} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

4.1.6. Proposition: *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a gluing of $\mathcal{C}_1, \cdots, \mathcal{C}_n$. Then $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is a primitive multiple curve if and only if for every closed point x of C, there exists a neighborhood of x in $\mathcal{D}$ that can be embedded in a smooth variety of dimension 3.*

Proof. Suppose that $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is a primitive multiple curve. Then $\mathcal{I}_C/(\mathcal{I}_C^2+(\pi))$ is a principal module at x: suppose that the image of $u \in m_{\mathcal{D},x}$ is a generator. The module $m_{\mathcal{D},x}/\mathcal{I}_C$ is also principal (since it is the maximal ideal of x in C): suppose that the image of $v \in m_{\mathcal{D},x}$ is a generator. Then the images of u, v, π generate $m_{\mathcal{D},x}/m_{\mathcal{D},x}^2$, so according to proposition 2.1.1, we can locally embed $\mathcal{D}$ in a smooth variety of dimension 3.

Conversely, suppose that a neighborhood of $x \in C$ in $\mathcal{D}$ is embedded in a smooth variety Z of dimension 3. The proof of the fact that $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is Cohen-Macaulay is similar to that of theorem 3.2.3. We can suppose that π is defined on Z. We have $\pi_{|\mathcal{C}_1} = \pi_1 \notin m_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}^2$, so $\pi \notin m_{Z,x}^2$. It follows that the surface of Z defined by π is smooth at x, and that we can locally embed $\pi^{-1}(P)$ in a smooth surface. Hence $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is a primitive multiple curve. □

4.2. Fragmented deformations of length 2

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a fragmented deformation of C_2. So $\mathcal{C}$ has two irreducible components $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the initial gluing of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ along C. For every open subset U of $\mathcal{C}$, U is also an open subset of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$ is a sub-algebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}(U)$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $\pi_i : \mathcal{C}_i \to S$ be the restriction of π. We will also denote $t \circ \pi$ by π, and $t \circ \pi_i$ by π_i. So we have $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the ideal sheaf of C in $\mathcal{C}$. Since $C_2 = \pi^{-1}(P)$ we have $\mathcal{I}_C^2 \subset \langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle$.

Let $m > 0$ be an integer, $x \in C$, $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$, $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$. We denote by $[\alpha_1]_m$ (resp. $[\alpha_2]_m$) the image of α_1 (resp. α_2) in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}/(\pi_1^m)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}/(\pi_2^m)$).

4.2.1. Proposition: **1** – *There exists an unique integer $p > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle$ is generated by the image of $(\pi_1^p, 0)$.*

2 – *The image of $(0, \pi_2^p)$ generates $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle$.*

3 – *For every $x \in C$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$, we have $(\pi_1^p\alpha, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ and $(0, \pi_2^p\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in C$ and $u = (\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta)$ whose image is a generator of $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle$ at x ($\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 1 of $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules). Let $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$ be such that $(\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Then the image of

$$u - (\pi_1, \pi_2)(\beta_0, \beta) = (\pi_1(\alpha - \beta_0), 0)$$

is also a generator of $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle$ at x. We can write it $(\pi_1^p\lambda, 0)$, where λ is not a multiple of π_1.

Now we show that p is the smallest integer q such that $(\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1, \pi_2)\rangle)_x$ contains the image of an element of the form $(\pi_1^q\mu, 0)$, with μ not divisible by π_1. We can write

$$(\pi_1^q\mu, 0) \;=\; (u_1, u_2)(\pi_1^p\lambda, 0) + (v_1, v_2)(\pi_1, \pi_2)$$

with $(u_1, u_2), (v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. So we have $v_2 = 0$, hence $(v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}$. So we can write (v_1, v_2) as the sum of a multiple of $(\pi_1^p\lambda, 0)$ and a multiple of (π_1, π_2). Finally we obtain $(\pi_1^q\mu, 0)$ as

$$(\pi_1^q\mu, 0) \;=\; (u_{12}, u_{22})(\pi_1^p\lambda, 0) + (v_{11}, 0)(\pi_1, \pi_2)^2.$$

In the same way we see that $(\pi_1^q\mu, 0)$ can be written as

$$(\pi_1^q\mu, 0) \;=\; (u_{1p}, u_{2p})(\pi_1^p\lambda, 0) + (v_{1p}, 0)(\pi_1, \pi_2)^p,$$

which implies immediately that $q \geq p$.

It follows that p does not depend on x and that $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1,\pi_2)\rangle$ is a subsheaf of $\langle(\pi_1^p,0)\rangle/\langle(\pi_1^{p+1},0)\rangle \simeq \mathcal{O}_C$. Since $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1,\pi_2)\rangle$ is of degree 0 by (by corollary 3.2.4) it follows that $\mathcal{I}_C/\langle(\pi_1,\pi_2)\rangle \simeq \langle(\pi_1^p,0)\rangle/\langle(\pi_1^{p+1},0)\rangle$, from which we deduce assertion 1- of proposition 4.2.1. The second assertion comes from the fact that $(0,\pi_2^p) = \pi^p - (\pi_1^p,0)$.

To prove the third, we use the fact that there exists $\alpha' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$ such that $(\alpha,\alpha') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ (because $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$). Hence $(\pi_1^p,0)(\alpha,\alpha') = (\pi_1^p\alpha,0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Similarly, we obtain that $(0,\pi_2^p\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. $\square$

According to the proof of proposition 4.2.1, for every $x \in C$, p is the smallest integer q such that there exists an element of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ of the form $(\pi_1^q\alpha,0)$ (resp. $(0,\pi_2^q\alpha)$), with $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$ (resp. $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$) not vanishing on C.

Let $x \in C$ and $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$ there exists $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$ such that $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Let $\alpha_2' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$ such that $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. We have then $(0,\alpha_2-\alpha_2') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. So there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$ such that $\alpha_2 - \alpha_2' = \pi_2^p\alpha$. It follows that the image of α_2 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}/(\pi_2^p)$ is uniquely determined. Hence we have:

4.2.2. Proposition: *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{C}_1^{(p)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_2^{(p)}$$

between the infinitesimal neighborhoods of order p of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ (i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i^{(p)}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}/(\pi_i^p)$), such that for every $x \in C$, $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$ and $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$, we have $(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ if and only if $\Phi_x([\alpha_1]_p) = [\alpha_2]_p$. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x}$ we have $\Phi_x(\alpha)_{|C} = \alpha_{|C}$, and $\Phi_x(\pi_1) = \pi_2$.

The simplest case is $p = 1$. In this case $\Phi : C \to C$ is the identity and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$ (the *initial* gluing).

4.2.3. *Converse* - Recall that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the initial gluing of $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$ (cf. 4.1.5). Let $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_1^{(p-1)} \to \mathcal{C}_2^{(p-1)}$ be an isomorphism inducing the identity on C and such that $\Phi(\pi_1) = \pi_2$. We define a subsheaf of algebras $\mathcal{U}_\Phi$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}$: $\mathcal{U}_\Phi = \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}\backslash C$, and for every point x of C

$$\mathcal{U}_{\Phi,x} \;=\; \{(\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x} \;;\; \Phi_x([\alpha_1]_p) = [\alpha_2]_p\} \;.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{U}_\Phi$ is the structural sheaf of an algebraic variety $\mathcal{A}_\Phi$, that the inclusion $\mathcal{U}_\Phi \subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}$ defines a dominant morphism $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}_\Phi$ inducing an isomorphism between the underlying topological spaces (for the Zariski topology), and that the composed morphisms $\mathcal{C}_i \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}_\Phi$, $i = 1,2$, are immersions. Moreover, the morphism $\pi : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \to S$ factorizes through $\mathcal{A}_\Phi$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \arrow[r] \arrow[rr, bend right, "\pi"'] & \mathcal{A}_\Phi \arrow[r, "\pi_\Phi"] & S \end{tikzcd}$$

and $\pi_\Phi : \mathcal{A}_\Phi \to S$ is flat.

For $2 \le i \le p$, let $\Phi^{(i)} : \mathcal{C}_1^{(i)} \to \mathcal{C}_2^{(i)}$ be the isomorphism induced by Φ.

4.2.4. Proposition: $\pi_\Phi^{-1}(P)$ *is a primitive double curve.*

Proof. Let x be a closed point of C. We first show that $\mathcal{I}^2_{C,x} \subset (\pi)$. Let $u = (\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta) \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}$. Let $\beta' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}$ be such that $\Phi_x([\alpha]_p) = [\beta']_p$. We have then $v = (\alpha, \beta') \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. We have $u - \pi v = (0, \pi_2(\beta - \beta')) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Therefore $[\pi_2(\beta - \beta')]_p = \Phi_x(0) = 0$. Hence $\pi_2(\beta - \beta') \in (\pi_2^p)$. We can then write

$$u \ = \ \pi v + (0, \pi_2^p \gamma).$$

Let $u' \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}$, that can be written as $u' = \pi v' + (0, \pi_2^p \gamma')$. We have then

$$uu' \ = \ \pi.\big(\pi v v' + (0, \pi_2\gamma')v + (0, \pi_2\gamma)v' + (0, \pi_2^{2p-1}\gamma\gamma')\big) \ \in \ (\pi).$$

It remains to show that $\mathcal{I}_{C,x}/(\pi) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C,x}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{C,x} &= \{(\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}; \Phi_x([\pi_1\alpha]_p) = [\pi_2\beta]_p\} \\ &= \{(\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}; \Phi_x^{(p-1)}([\alpha]_{p-1}) = [\beta]_{p-1}\}, \\ (\pi)_x &= \{(\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x}; \Phi_x([\alpha]_p) = [\beta]_p\}. \end{aligned}$$

So if $(\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta) \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}$, we have $w = \Phi_x([\alpha]_p) - [\beta]_p \in (\pi_2^{p-1})_x/(\pi_2^p)_x \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C,x}$. Hence we have a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$-modules

$$\lambda : \mathcal{I}_{C,x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C,x}$$

$$(\pi_1\alpha, \pi_2\beta) \longmapsto w$$

whose kernel is $(\pi)_x$. We have now only to show that λ is surjective, which follows from the fact that $\lambda(\pi_1^p, 0) = 1$. □

4.3. Spectrum of a fragmented deformation and ideals of sub-deformations

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ be a fragmented deformation of C_n, $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\pi_i = \pi_{|\mathcal{C}_i}$. As in 4.2, we denote also $t \circ \pi_i$ by π_i. Let $I = \{i, j\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, with $i \neq j$. Then $\pi : \mathcal{C}_I \to S$ is a fragmented deformation of C_2. According to 4.2 there exists a unique integer $p > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{C,\mathcal{C}_I}/(\pi)$ is generated by the image of $(\pi_i^p, 0)$ (and also by the image of $(0, \pi_j^p)$). Recall that p is the smallest integer q such that $\mathcal{I}_{C,\mathcal{C}_I}$ contains a non zero element of the form $(\pi_i^q\lambda, 0)$ (or $(0, \pi_j^q\mu)$), with $\lambda_{|C} \neq 0$ (resp. $\mu_{|C} \neq 0$). Let

$$p_{ij} \ = \ p_{ji} \ = \ p,$$

and $p_{ii} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The symmetric matrix $(p_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is called the *spectrum* of $\mathcal{C}$.

4.3.1. *Generators of* $(\mathcal{I}_C^p + (\pi))/(\mathcal{I}_C^{p+1} + (\pi))$ - Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be such that $i \neq j$. Let $x \in C$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\{i,j\}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ there exists an element $\mathbf{u}_{ij} = (u_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ such that $u_i = 0$ and $u_j = \pi_j^{p_{ij}}$. According to proposition 4.1.4, the image of $\mathbf{u}_{ij}$ generates $\mathcal{I}_C/(\mathcal{I}_C^2 + (\pi))$ at x.

According to proposition 4.2.1 and the fact that the image of $\mathbf{u}_{ij}$ generates $\mathcal{I}_{C,\mathcal{C}_{ij},x}/(\mathcal{I}^2_{C,\mathcal{C}_{ij},x} + (\pi))$, for every integer m such that $m \neq i, j$ and that $1 \leq m \leq n$, u_m is of the form $u_m = \alpha_{ij}^{(m)}\pi_m^{p_{im}}$, with $\alpha_{ij}^{(m)} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_m,x}$ invertible. Let $\alpha_{ij}^{(i)} = 0$ and $\alpha_{ij}^{(j)} = 1$.

4.3.2. Proposition: $\mathbf{1} - \alpha_{ij|C}^{(m)}$ *is a non zero constant, uniquely determined and independent of* x.

2 – *Let* $\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(m)} = \alpha_{ij|C}^{(m)} \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then we have, for all integers* i, j, k, m, q *such that* $1 \leq i, j, k, m, q \leq n$, $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$

$$\mathbf{a}_{ik}^{(m)} \mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(q)} \;=\; \mathbf{a}_{ik}^{(q)} \mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(m)}.$$

In particular we have $\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(m)} = \mathbf{a}_{ik}^{(m)} \mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(k)}$ *and* $\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(m)} \mathbf{a}_{im}^{(j)} = 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}'_{ij}$ have the same properties as $\mathbf{u}_{ij}$. Then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}'_{ij} - \mathbf{u}_{ij} \in \mathcal{I}^2_{C,x} + (\pi)$. So the image of $\mathbf{v}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{im},x}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}^2_{C,\mathcal{C}_{im},x} + (\pi)$. It follows that the m-th component of $\mathbf{v}$ is a multiple of $\pi_m^{p_{im}+1}$. Hence $\alpha_{ij|C}^{(m)}$ is uniquely determined. It follows that when x varies the $\alpha_{ij|C}^{(m)}$ can be glued together and define a global section of $\mathcal{O}_C$, which must be a constant. This proves 1-.

Now we prove 2-. There exists $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ such that the k-th component of u is $\alpha_{ij}^{(k)}$, and u is invertible. Then the image of $(v_m) = \frac{\mathbf{u}_{ij}}{u}$ generates $\mathcal{I}_C/(\mathcal{I}_C^2 + (\pi))$, and $v_k = 1$. Hence according to 1-, we have $v_{m|C} = \mathbf{a}_{ik}^{(m)}$, i.e.

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(m)}}{\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(k)}} \;=\; \mathbf{a}_{ik}^{(m)}.$$

We have the same equality with q instead of m, whence 2- is easily deduced. □

Let p be an integer such that $1 \leq p < n$, and $(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_p, j_p)$ p pairs of distinct integers of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then the image of $\prod_{m=1}^{p} \mathbf{u}_{i_m j_m}$ is a generator of $(\mathcal{I}_C^p + (\pi))/(\mathcal{I}_C^{p+1} + (\pi))$.

Let $I \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ be a nonempty subset, distinct from $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. Let $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \backslash I$. Let

$$\mathbf{u}_{I,i} \;=\; \prod_{j \in I} \mathbf{u}_{ji}\ .$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_I = \cup_{j \in I} \mathcal{C}_j \subset \mathcal{C}$.

4.3.3. Proposition: *The ideal sheaf of* $\mathcal{C}_I$ *is generated by* $\mathbf{u}_{I,i}$ *at* x.

Proof. According to proposition 4.1.6 there exists an embedding of a neighborhood of x in a smooth variety of dimension 3. In this variety each $\mathcal{C}_i$ is a smooth surface defined by a single equation. The ideal of the union of the $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i \in I$ is the product of these equations. □

4.3.4. Proposition: *Let* i, j, k *be distinct integers such that* $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$. *Then if* $p_{ij} < p_{jk}$, *we have* $p_{ik} = p_{ij}$.

Proof. We can come down to the case $n = 3$ by considering $\mathcal{C}_{\{i,j,k\}}$. We can suppose that $p_{23} \leq p_{12} \leq p_{13}$, and we must show that $p_{23} = p_{12}$. We have

$$\mathbf{u}_{21} = (\pi_1^{p_{12}}, 0, \alpha_{21}^{(3)} \pi_3^{p_{23}}), \quad \mathbf{u}_{31} = (\pi_1^{p_{13}}, \alpha_{31}^{(2)} \pi_2^{p_{23}}, 0).$$

So

$$\mathbf{u}_{31} - \pi^{p_{13}-p_{12}} \mathbf{u}_{21} = \left(0, \alpha_{31}^{(2)} \pi_2^{p_{23}}, -\alpha_{21}^{(3)} \pi_3^{p_{23}+p_{13}-p_{12}}\right) \;\in\; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}.$$

Taking the image of this element in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{12}x}$, we see that $p_{23} \geq p_{12}$, hence $p_{23} = p_{12}$. □

4.3.5. Proposition: **1** – *Let i, j be distinct integers such that $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then we have $\mathcal{I}_{C,x} = (\mathbf{u}_{ij}) + (\pi)$.*

2 – *Let $v = (v_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n} \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}$ such that v_i is a multiple of π_i^p, with $p > 0$. Then we have $v \in (\mathbf{u}_{ij}) + (\pi^p)$.*

Proof. Let $N = 1 + \max_{1 \leq k \leq n}(q_i)$, where $q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{ij}$. For every integer j such that $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have $(0, \ldots, 0, \pi_j^{q_j}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})$. Hence $\mathcal{I}_C^N \subset (\pi)$. We will show by induction on k that $\mathcal{I}_{C,x} \subset (\mathbf{u}_{ij}) + (\pi) + \mathcal{I}_{C,x}^k$. Taking $k = N$ we obtain 1-.

For $k = 1$ it is obvious. Suppose that it is true for $k - 1 \geq 1$. It is enough to prove that $\mathcal{I}_{C,x}^{k-1} \subset (\mathbf{u}_{ij}) + (\pi) + \mathcal{I}_{C,x}^k$. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1} \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}$. Since the image of $\mathbf{u}_{ij}$ generates $\mathcal{I}_{C,x}/(\mathcal{I}_{C,x}^2 + (\pi))$, we can write w_p as

$$w_p \;=\; \lambda_p \mathbf{u}_{ij} + \pi \mu_p + \nu_p,$$

with $\lambda_p, \mu_p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ and $\nu_p \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}^2$. So we have

$$w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} \;=\; \lambda \mathbf{u}_{ij} + \pi \mu + \nu,$$

with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{I}_{C,x}^{2k-2}$. Since $2k - 2 \geq k$, we have $w_1 \cdots w_{k-1} \in (\mathbf{u}_{ij}) + (\pi) + \mathcal{I}_{C,x}^k$. This proves 1-.

We prove 2- by induction on p. The case $p = 1$ follows 1-. Suppose that it is true for $p - 1 \geq 1$. So we can write v as

$$v \;=\; \lambda \mathbf{u}_{ij} + \pi^{p-1} \mu,$$

with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. We can write v_i as $v_i = \alpha \pi^p$. So we have $\alpha \pi_i^p = \pi_i^{p-1} \mu_i$, whence $\mu_i = \alpha \pi_i$. Hence $\mu \in \mathcal{I}_{Cx}$. According to 1- we can write μ as $\mu = \theta \mathbf{u}_{ij} + \pi \tau$, with $\theta, \tau \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. So

$$v \;=\; (\lambda + \pi^{p-1} \theta) \mathbf{u}_{ij} + \pi^p \tau,$$

which proves the result for p. □

4.3.6. *The ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I}$* – Recall that $I \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ is a nonempty subset, distinct from $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. For every subset J of $\{1, \cdots, n\}$, let $J^c = \{1, \cdots, n\} \backslash J$ and $\mathcal{O}_J = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_J}$. It follows from proposition 4.3.3 that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I}$ is a line bundle on $\mathcal{C}_{I^c}$.

From now on, we suppose that $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $P = 0$ (cf. proposition 3.2.6).

4.3.7. Theorem: *We have $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{I^c}$.*

Proof. By induction on n. If $n = 2$ the result follows from proposition 4.2.1 and the fact that $S \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that it is true for $n - 1 \geq 2$. We will prove that it is true for n by induction on the number of elements q of I^c. Suppose first that $q = 1$ and let i be the unique element of I^c. Then according to proposition 4.3.3, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I}$ is generated by $(0, \ldots, 0, \pi_i^{q_i}, 0, \ldots, 0)$, so the result is true in this case. Suppose that it is true if $1 \leq q < k < n$, and that $q = k$. Let $K = \{1, \cdots, n-1\}$. We can assume that $I \subset K$.

According to proposition 4.3.3, we have, for every $x \in C$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I,x} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{I^c x}$. We have $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_K} \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_K} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}$. We have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I}/\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_K} \;=\; \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I,\mathcal{C}_K}$$

(the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_I$ in $\mathcal{C}_K$). From the first induction hypothesis we have

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I,\mathcal{C}_K} \;\simeq\; \mathcal{O}_{(I\cup\{n\})^c}.$$

So we have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{n\}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now we will compute $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}})$. According to [6], 2.3, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{I^c}),\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}).$$

Since $\mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{I^c})$ is concentrated on $\mathcal{C}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Tor}^1_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{I^c}),\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) \;=\; \{0\}.$$

So we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) \;=\; \mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}).$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{\{n\}}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{I^c}$. The ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}}$ is generated by $\mathbf{w} = (0,\ldots,0,\pi_n^m)$, with $m = \sum_{i\in I^c\setminus\{n\}} p_{in}$. So we have an exact sequence of sheaves on $\mathcal{C}_{I^c}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{I^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}} \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

where α is the multiplication by $\mathbf{w}$. By the induction hypothesis there exists a surjective morphism $\mathcal{O}_{I^c} \to \mathcal{J}$, so we get a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{I^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{I^c} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}} \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

that can be used to compute $\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}})$. It follows easily that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) \;\simeq\; \mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}/(\pi_n^m)\ .$$

We have $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) = 0$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}) &\simeq H^0(\mathcal{E}xt^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}},\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}))\\
&\simeq H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\{n\}}/(\pi_n^m))\\
&\simeq H^0(\mathcal{O}_S/(\pi_n^m))\\
&\simeq \mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^m).
\end{aligned}$$

We will now describe the sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ such that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{n\}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2}$$

Let $\nu \in \mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^m)$ be associated to this exact sequence, and $\overline{\nu} \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S)$ over ν. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau : \mathcal{O}_{\{n\}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{n\}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{I^c}\\
u &\longmapsto (\overline{\nu}u, \mathbf{w}u)
\end{aligned}$$

Then according to the preceding resolution of $\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\}}$ and the construction of extensions (cf. [5], 4.2), we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathrm{coker}(\tau)$. It is easy to see that if $\nu = -1$ then $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}^c}$. If ν is invertible,

then we have also $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}^c}$, because the corresponding extension can be obtained from the one corresponding to $\nu = -1$ by multiplying the left morphism of the exact sequence by ν.

A similar construction can be done for extensions of $\mathcal{O}_{I^c,x}$-modules (for every $x \in C$)

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{n\},x} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\},x} \longrightarrow 0.$$

These extensions are classified by $\mathcal{O}_{\{n\},x}/(\pi_n^m)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{I^c,x}$ corresponds to -1.

Conversely we consider extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\{n\},x} \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathcal{O}_{I^c,x} \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\},x} \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Using the facts that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\{n\},x}, \mathcal{O}_{I^c,x})$ is generated by the multiplication by $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c,x}, \mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\},x})$ by the restriction morphism, it is easy to see that λ, μ are unique up to multiplication by an invertible element of $\mathcal{O}_{I^c,x}$. Hence the elements of $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{I^c,x}}(\mathcal{O}_{I^c\setminus\{n\},x}, \mathcal{O}_{\{n\},x})$ corresponding to the preceding extensions are exactly the invertible elements of $\mathcal{O}_{\{n\},x}/(\pi_n^m)$.

It follows that the extensions (2) where $\mathcal{E}$ is locally free correspond to invertible elements of $\mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^m)$, and we have seen that in this case we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{I^c}$. Hence we have $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_I} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{I^c}$ and theorem 4.3.7 is proved. □

4.3.8. Corollary: *The ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_I$ is globally generated by an element $\mathbf{u}_I$ such that for every integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $i \notin I$, the i-th coordinate of $\mathbf{u}_I$ belongs to $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S)$.*

4.4. Properties of the fragmented deformations

We use the notations of 4.3.

Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $J_i = \{1, \ldots, n\}\setminus\{i\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j}/(\pi_j^{q_j})$; it is a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on C. Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{J_i}}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n, j\neq i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j}/(\pi_j^{q_j})$; it is also a sheaf of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras on C. For every point x of C and every $\alpha = (\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j,x}$, we denote by $b_i(\alpha)$ its image in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n, j\neq i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j,x}$ (obtained by forgetting the i-th coordinate of α).

If p, k are positive integers, with $k \leq n$, $x \in C$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_k,x}$, let $[\alpha]_p$ denote the image of α in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_k,x}/\pi_k^p$.

4.4.1. Proposition: *There exists a morphism of sheaves of algebras on C*

$$\Phi_i : \mathcal{B}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}/(\pi_i^{q_i})$$

such that for every point x of C and all $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{J_i},x}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i,x}$, we have $\alpha = (\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ if and only if $\Phi_{i,x}(b_i(\alpha)) = [\alpha_i]_{q_i}$.

Proof. Let $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{J_i}x}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{J_i} \subset \mathcal{C}$, there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i,x}$ such that $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. If $\alpha_i' \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}x$ has the same property, we have $(0, \ldots, 0, \alpha_i - \alpha_i', 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{I}_{J_i x}$. So according to proposition 4.3.3, we have $[\alpha_i]_{q_i} = [\alpha_i']_{q_i}$. Hence we have a well defined morphism of algebras $\theta_x : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{J_i},x} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{J_i}}/(\pi_i^{q_i})$ sending $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i}$ to $[\alpha_i]_{q_i}$. If $j \in J_i$, we have, according to proposition 4.3.3, $\theta_x(0, \ldots, 0, \pi_j^{q_j}, 0, \ldots, 0) = 0$. Hence θ_x induces a morphism of algebras $\mathcal{B}_{i,x} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i,x}/(\pi_i^{q_i})$. □

The morphism Φ_i has the following properties: for every point x of C

(i) For every $\alpha = (\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i} \in \mathcal{B}_{i,x}$, we have $\Phi_{i,x}(\alpha)_{|C} = \alpha_{m|C}$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$, $m \neq i$.
(ii) We have $\Phi_{i,x}((\pi_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i}) = \pi_i$.
(iii) Let $j, k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ be such that i, j, k are distinct. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the image of $\mathbf{u}_{jk}$ in $\mathcal{B}_i$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}^*_{\mathcal{C}_i,x}$ such that $\Phi_{i,x}(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\pi_i^{p_{ij}}$.
(iv) Let j be an integer such that $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the image of $\mathbf{u}_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{i,x}$. Then we have $\ker(\Phi_{i,x}) = (\mathbf{v})$.

4.4.2. *Converse* - Let $\mathcal{C}'$ be a gluing of $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{i-1}, \mathcal{C}_{i+1}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$ along C, which is a fragmented deformation of a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity $n-1$. Let $(p_{jk})_{1\leq j,k\leq n, j,k\neq i}$ be the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}'$. Let p_{ij}, $1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i$ be positive integers, and $p_{ii} = 0$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $q_j = \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} p_{kj}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}'}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n, j\neq i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j}/(\pi_j^{q_j})$ and

$$\Phi_i : \mathcal{B}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}/(\pi_i^{q_i})$$

a morphism of sheaves of algebras on C satisfying properties (i), (ii), (iii) above. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the subsheaf of algebras of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by: $\mathcal{A} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{top}\backslash C$, and for every point x of C, and every $\alpha = (\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n} \in \prod_{m=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_m,x}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_x$ if and only if $b_i(\alpha) \in \mathcal{B}_{i,x}$ and $\Phi_{i,x}(b_i(\alpha)) = [\alpha_i]_{q_i}$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ is the structural sheaf of a gluing of $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ along C, which is a fragmented deformation of a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n, and that $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{A}_{\{1,\ldots,i-1,i+1,\ldots,n\}}$.

We give now some applications of the preceding construction.

4.4.3. Corollary: *Let N be an integer such that $N \geq \max_{1\leq i\leq n}(q_i)$. Let $x \in C$, $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x} \times \cdots \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_n,x}$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ such that $u_{|C} \neq 0$. Suppose that $[\beta u]_N \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}/(\pi^N)$. Then we have $[\beta]_N \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}/(\pi^N)$.*

Proof. By induction on n. It is obvious if $n = 1$. Suppose that the lemma is true for $n-1$. Let $I = \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$. So we have $[\beta_{|\mathcal{C}_1\times\cdots\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}]_N \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_I,x}/(\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{n-1})^N$ by the induction hypothesis. Let γ (resp. v) be the image of β (resp. u) in $\mathcal{B}_n$. To show that $[\beta]_N \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}/(\pi^N)$ it is enough to verify that

$$\Phi_n(\gamma) \;=\; [\beta_n]_{q_n}.$$

We have $\Phi_n(\gamma v) \;=\; [\beta_n u_n]_{q_n}$ because $[\beta u]_N \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}/(\pi^N)$, and $\Phi_n(v) = [u_n]_{q_n}$ because $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. So we have

$$\Phi_n(\gamma)[u_n]_{q_n} \;=\; \Phi_n(\gamma)\Phi_n(v) \;=\; \Phi_n(\gamma v) \;=\; [\beta_n u_n]_{q_n} \;=\; [\beta_n]_{q_n}[u_n]_{q_n}.$$

Since $u_{|C} \neq 0$, $[u_n]_{q_n}$ is not a zero divisor in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_n,x}/(\pi_n^{q_n})$, so we have $\Phi_n(\gamma) = [\beta_n]_{q_n}$. □

4.4.4. Corollary: *Let $\mathbf{q} = \max_{1\leq i\leq n}(q_i)$ and p the number of integers i such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $q_i = \mathbf{q}$. Then we have $p \geq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $q_i = \mathbf{q}$. Then we have $\pi_i^{q_i-1} \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}/(\pi_i^{q_i})$. Since $\pi_i = \Phi_i((\pi_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i})$, we have $(\pi_m^{q_i-1})_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i} \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}_i$. So we cannot have $q_m < q_i$ for all the $m \neq i$. □

Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\mathcal{H} = \prod_{1\leq j\leq n} (\pi_j^{q_j-1})/(\pi_j^{q_j}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C^n \qquad (\text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{H}_i = \prod_{1\leq j\leq n, j\neq i} (\pi_j^{q_j-1})/(\pi_j^{q_j}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C^{n-1}\ ).$$

It is an ideal sheaf of $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j}/(\pi_j^{q_j})$ (resp. $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n, j\neq i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_j}/(\pi_j^{q_j})$). Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{H}_i \cap \mathcal{B}_i$), which is an ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_i$).

4.4.5. Proposition: *There exists a unique* $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ *such that for every* $\mathbf{u} = (u_j)_{1\leq j\leq n} \in \mathcal{H}$, *we have* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{J}$ *if and only if* $\lambda_1 u_1 + \cdots \lambda_n u_n = 0$. *The* λ_i *are all non zero.*

Proof. We have $(\pi_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i}.\mathcal{J}_i = 0$. Hence $\pi_i \Phi_i(\mathcal{J}_i) = 0$ and $\Phi_i(\mathcal{J}_i) \subset (\pi_i^{q_i-1})/(\pi_j^{q_i})$. The restriction of Φ_i, $\mathcal{J}_i \to (\pi_i^{q_i-1})/(\pi_j^{q_i})$ is a morphism $(n-1)\mathcal{O}_C \to \mathcal{O}_C$ of vector bundles on C. The existence of $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ follows from that.

If $\lambda_i = 0$, we have $(0, \ldots, 0, \pi_i^{q_i-1}, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})$. This is impossible because according to proposition 4.3.3, $(0, \ldots, 0, \pi_i^{q_i}, 0, \ldots, 0)$ generates the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{J_i}$ in $\mathcal{C}$. □

For all distinct integers i, j such that $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, let $I_{ij} = \{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash \{i, j\}$. Then according to proposition 4.3.3, $\mathbf{u}_{I_{ij}i}$ generates the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_{I_{ij}}$. We have $\mathbf{u}_{I_{ij}i} = (b_k)_{1\leq k\leq n}$, with $b_k = 0$ if $k \neq i, j$, $b_i = \pi_i^{q_i - p_{ij}}$ and

$$b_j \ = \ \Big(\prod_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i,j} \alpha_{mi}^{(j)} \Big).\pi_j^{q_j - p_{ij}}.$$

So we have $\pi^{p_{ij}-1}\mathbf{u}_{I_{ij}i} \in \mathcal{J}_i$, which gives the equation

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \ = \ - \prod_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i,j} \mathbf{a}_{mi}^{(j)}. \tag{3}$$

4.4.6. Proposition: *For all distinct integers* i, j, k *such that* $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, *we have*

$$\mathbf{a}_{ki}^{(j)} \ = \ -\mathbf{a}_{ik}^{(j)} \mathbf{a}_{ji}^{(k)} \ .$$

Proof. We need only to treat the case $n = 3$, and we get the preceding formula by writing that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_3} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2}.\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_3}$, and by using (3). □

4.4.7. Proposition: *Let* $(\alpha_1 \pi_1^{m_1}, \ldots, \alpha_n \pi_n^{m_n}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$, *with* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ *invertible. Let* $M = m_1 + \cdots + m_n$. *then*

$$\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\pi_1^{M-m_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\pi_n^{M-m_n}\Big) \ \in \ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x} \ .$$

Proof. By induction on n. It is obvious for $n=1$. Suppose that it is true for $n-1\geq 1$. Let $I=\{1,\dots,n-1\}$. Then $(\alpha_1\pi_1^{m_1},\dots,\alpha_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}})\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{I,x}}$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\big(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\pi_1^{M-m_1-m_n},\dots,\frac{1}{\alpha_{n-1}}\pi_{n-1}^{M-m_{n-1}-m_n}\big)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{I,x}}\ .$$

So there exists $\gamma\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{n,x}}$ such that

$$u\ =\ \big(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\pi_1^{M-m_1-m_n},\dots,\frac{1}{\alpha_{n-1}}\pi_{n-1}^{M-m_{n-1}-m_n},\gamma\big)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}\ .$$

Multiplying by $(\alpha_1\pi_1^{m_1},\dots,\alpha_n\pi_n^{m_n})$ we see that $(\pi_1^{M-m_n},\dots,\pi_{n-1}^{M-m_n},\gamma\alpha_n\pi_n^{m_n})\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Subtracting π^{M-m_n}, we find that $(0,\dots,0,\gamma\alpha_n\pi_n^{m_n}-\pi_n^{M-m_n})\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. There exists $\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ such that the n-th coordinate of α is α_n, and α is invertible. It follows that $v=(0,\dots,0,\gamma\pi_n^{m_n}-\frac{1}{\alpha_n}\pi_n^{M-m_n})\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Now we have

$$\pi^{m_n}u-v\ =\ \big(\frac{1}{\alpha_1}\pi_1^{M-m_1},\dots,\frac{1}{\alpha_n}\pi_n^{M-m_n}\big)\ \in\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}\ .$$

□

4.4.8. Corollary: *Let $V\subset U$ be open subsets of $\mathcal{C}$, and suppose that $U\cap C\neq\emptyset$. Let $\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(V)$ and $\beta\in\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}}(U)$ such that $\beta_{|V}=\alpha$. Then $\beta\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(U)$.*

(Recall that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$ is the *initial gluing* of $\mathcal{C}_1,\dots,\mathcal{C}_n$ (cf. 4.1.5)).

Proof. This can be proved easily by induction on n, using proposition 4.4.1. □

4.5. Construction of fragmented deformations

Consider a fragmented deformation

$$\pi=\pi^{[n-1]}=(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{n-1}):\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]}\longrightarrow S$$

of C_{n-1}, with $n-1$ irreducible components $\mathcal{C}_1,\dots,\mathcal{C}_{n-1}$. Let $(p_{ij}^{[n-1]})_{1\leq i,j<n}$ be its spectrum. For $1\leq i<n$, let $q_i^{[n-1]}=\sum_{1\leq j<n}p_{ij}^{[n-1]}$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_C^{[n-1]}$ the ideal sheaf of C in $\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]}$. Let $\lambda(\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]})=(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_{n-1})$.

Let $p_{1n},\dots,p_{n-1,n}$ be positive integers, $q_i=q_i^{[n-1]}+p_{in}$ for $1\leq i<n$, and $q_n=p_{1n}+\dots+p_{n-1,n}$. Let $\mathbf{u}\in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C^{[n-1]})$ whose image generates $\mathcal{I}_C^{[n-1]}/((\mathcal{I}_C^{[n-1]})^2+(\pi))$, of the form

$$\mathbf{u}\ =\ (\beta_1\pi_1^{p_{1n}},\dots,\beta_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}^{p_{n-1,n}}),$$

with $\beta_i\in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S)$ invertible for $1\leq i<n$.

Let $\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]}$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}/(\pi_1^{q_1})\times\dots\times\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}/(\pi_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}})$. We will also denote by $\mathbf{u}$ the image of $\mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]}$. Let $\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]}/(\mathbf{u})$, $\rho:\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]}\to\mathcal{Q}$ the projection and $\pi_n=\rho(\pi)$.

4.5.1. Proposition: *We have $\pi_n^{q_n}=0$.*

Proof. According to proposition 4.4.7 we have for every $x \in C$

$$v \;=\; \big(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\pi_1^{q_n-p_{1n}},\dots,\frac{1}{\beta_{n-1}}\pi_n^{q_n-p_{n-1,n}}\big) \;\in\; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]}x}\ .$$

Hence $\pi^{q_n} = v\mathbf{u} \in (\mathbf{u})$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]}x}$, and $\pi_n^{q_n} = 0$. □

4.5.2. Proposition: 1 – *We have* $\pi_n^{q_n-1} = 0$ *if and only if*

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_{1|C}} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1|C}} \;=\; 0\ .$$

We suppose now that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_{1|C}} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1|C}} \neq 0$. *Let* $x \in C$. *Then*

2 – *For every* $\epsilon \in \mathcal{B}_x^{[n-1]}$ *such that* $\epsilon_{|C} \neq 0$, *we have* $\pi^{q_n-1}\epsilon \notin (\mathbf{u})$.

3 – *For every* $\eta \in \mathcal{B}_x^{[n-1]}/(\mathbf{u})$, *and every integer* k *such that* $1 \leq k < q_n$, *we have* $\pi_n^k\eta = 0$ *if and only if* η *is a multiple of* $\pi_n^{q_n-k}$.

4 – $\mathcal{B}_x^{[n-1]}/(\mathbf{u})$ *is a flat* $\mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^{q_n})$*-module.*

Proof. We have $\pi_n^{q_n-1} = 0$ if and only if $(\pi_1^{q_n-1},\dots,\pi_{n-1}^{q_n-1}) \in (\mathbf{u})$ in $\mathcal{B}_x^{[n-1]}$. We have, in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{1x}} \times \dots \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1,x}}$,

$$(\pi_1^{q_n-1},\dots,\pi_{n-1}^{q_n-1}) \;=\; (\beta_1\pi_1^{p_{1n}},\dots,\beta_{n-1,n}\pi_{n-1}^{p_{n-1,n}}).(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\pi_1^{q_1^{[n-1]}-1},\dots,\frac{1}{\beta_p}\pi_1^{q_{n-1}^{[n-1]}-1}),$$

and $\pi_n^{q_n-1} = 0$ if and only if there exist $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]},x}$, $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i,x}$, $1 \leq i < n$, such that

$$(\pi_1^{q_n-1},\dots,\pi_{n-1}^{q_n-1}) \;=\; \eta\mathbf{u} + (a_1\pi_1^{q_1},\dots,a_{n-1}\pi_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}).$$

This equality is equivalent to

$$(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\pi_1^{q_1^{[n-1]}-1},\dots,\frac{1}{\beta_{n-1}}\pi_1^{q_{n-1}^{[n-1]}-1}) - \eta \;=\; (\frac{a_1}{\beta_1}\pi_1^{q_1^{[n-1]}},\dots,\frac{a_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1}}\pi_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}^{[n-1]}}).$$

Since for $1 \leq i < n$, we have $(0,\dots,0,\pi_i^{q_i^{[n-1]}},0,\dots 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]},x}$, we have $\pi_n^{q_n-1} = 0$ if and only if

$$(\frac{1}{\beta_1}\pi_1^{q_1^{[n-1]}-1},\dots,\frac{1}{\beta_{n-1}}\pi_1^{q_{n-1}^{[n-1]}-1}) \;\in\; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]},x}.$$

So the result of 1- follows from the definition of $\lambda(\mathcal{C}^{[n-1]})$ (cf. prop. 4.4.5), 2- is an easy consequence.

Now we prove 3-, by induction on k. Suppose that it is true for $k = 1$, and that $\pi_n^k\eta = 0$, with $2 \leq k < q_n$. We have $\pi_n^{k-1}.\pi_n\eta = 0$, so according to the induction hypothesis, $\pi_n\eta$ is a multiple of $\pi_n^{q_n-k+1}$: $\pi_n\eta = \pi_n^{q_n-k+1}\lambda$. So $\pi_n(\eta - \pi_n^{q_n-k}\lambda) = 0$. Since -3 is true for $k = 1$, we can write $\eta - \pi_n^{q_n-k}\lambda = \pi_n^{q_n-1}\epsilon$, i.e. $\eta = \pi_n^{q_n-k}(\lambda + \pi_n^{k-1}\epsilon)$, and 3- is true for k.

Il remains to prove 3- for $k = 1$. Suppose that $\pi_n\eta = 0$ (with $\eta \neq 0$). We can write η as $\eta = \pi_n^m\theta$, where θ is not a multiple of π_n, and $0 \leq m < q_n$. Let $\overline{\theta} \in \mathcal{B}_x^{[n-1]}$ be over θ. Since $\mathcal{I}_C^{[n-1]} = (\mathbf{u}) + (\pi)$ according to proposition 4.3.5, the condition "θ is not a multiple of π_n" is equivalent to $\overline{\theta} \notin \mathcal{I}_{C,x}^{[n-1]}$. We have $\pi^{m+1}\overline{\theta} \in (\mathbf{u})$, so according to 2-, we have $m + 1 \geq q_n$, which proves 3- for $k = 1$. The last assertion is an easy consequence of 3-. □

4.5.3. Example: Let N be an integer, $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_S)$ invertible, and k, l integers such that $1 \leq k, l < n$, $k \neq l$. Suppose that for every integer i such that $1 \leq i < n$ and $i \neq k$ we have $N > p_{ik}^{[n-1]}$ and $N \geq q_i^{[n-1]} - q_k^{[n-1]} + p_{ik}^{[n-1]}$. We take $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{kl} - s\pi^N$. We have then $\beta_i = \alpha_{kl}^{(i)}$ if $i \neq k$, and $\beta_k = -s$. The condition $\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_{1|C}} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1|C}} \neq 0$ is fulfilled if and only if

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < n, i \neq k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\mathbf{a}_{kl}^{(i)}} - \frac{\lambda_k}{s_{|C}} \neq 0.$$

4.5.4. *Construction of fragmented deformations* – Suppose that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_{1|C}} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1|C}} \neq 0$. From proposition 4.5.2, 4-, it is easy to prove that

- There exists a flat morphism of algebraic varieties $\tau : Y \to \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^{q_n}))$ with a canonical isomorphism of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^{q_n})$-algebras $\mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \mathcal{Q}$, such that $\tau^{-1}(*) = C$ (where $*$ is the closed point of $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^{q_n}))$).
- There exist a family of smooth curves $\mathcal{C}_n$ and a flat morphism $\pi_n : \mathcal{C}_n \to S$ extending τ (recall that S is a germ). Hence Y is the inverse image of the subscheme of $\mathcal{C}_n$ corresponding to the ideal sheaf $(\pi_n^{q_n})$. The existence of $\mathcal{C}_n$ can be proved using Hilbert schemes of curves in projective spaces. Of course $\mathcal{C}_n$ need not be unique.

We obtain a gluing $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_n$ by defining the sheaves of algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ (on the Zariski topological space corresponding to the initial gluing $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$) as in 4.4.2, using for Φ_n the quotient morphism $\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]} \to \mathcal{Q}$. It is easy to see that $\pi^{-1}(P)$ is a primitive multiple curve C_n of multiplicity n extending C_{n-1}, hence $\mathcal{C}$ is a fragmented deformation of C_n.

4.5.5. Remark: 1 – The multiple curve C_n depends on the choice of the family $\mathcal{C}_n$ extending the family Y parametrized by $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{C}[\pi_n]/(\pi_n^{q_n}))$.

2 – The multiple curve C_{n-1} is completely defined by $\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]}$, because $(\pi_1^{q_1}) \times \cdots (\pi_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}}) \subset (\pi)$. But it is not enough to know $\mathcal{B}^{[n-1]}$ and $\mathbf{u}$ to define C_n. In fact we need $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_i}/(\pi_i^{q_i+1})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

4.6. Basic elements

We use the notations of 4.3 and 4.4.

Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ be an n-tuple of positive integers, and

$$\mathbf{\Pi^m} = (\pi_1^{m_1}) \times \cdots \times (\pi_n^{m_n}).$$

4.6.1. Definition: *Let $x \in C$. An element u of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ is called* basic at order $\mathbf{m}$ *if there exist polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_n \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that*

$$u \equiv (P_1(\pi_1), \ldots, P_n(\pi_n)) \qquad (\mathrm{mod.} \quad \mathbf{\Pi^m}) .$$

If $u = (P_1(\pi_1), \ldots, P_n(\pi_n))$, we say that u is basic.

Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \ldots, q_n)$. Then according to corollary 4.4.8, if u is basic at order $\mathbf{q}$, then for every $y \in C$, we have $(P_1(\pi_1), \ldots, P_n(\pi_n)) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},y}$. So $(P_1(\pi_1), \ldots, P_n(\pi_n))$ is defined on a neighborhood of C.

4.6.2. Lemma: *Let $u, v, w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ such that $w = uv$ and $w \neq 0$. Suppose that u and w are basic at every order. Then v is basic at every order.*

Proof. Let N be a positive integer such that $N \gg 0$ and $\mathbf{N} = (N, \dots, N)$. Suppose that $w \equiv (Q_1(\pi_1), \dots, Q_n(\pi_n)) \pmod{(\pi^N)}$, where $Q_1, \dots, Q_n \in \mathbb{C}[X]$. Let $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ be an n-tuple of positive integers, and $v = (v_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. Suppose that

$$u \equiv (P_1(\pi_1), \dots, P_n(\pi_n)) \pmod{\mathbf{\Pi}^{\mathbf{N}}}$$

Then we have

$$Q_i(\pi_i) \equiv P_i(\pi_i).v_i \pmod{(\pi_i^N)}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We can write $P_i(X)$ as $P_i(X) = X^{n_i} R_i(X)$, where $R_i(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ is such that $R_i(0) \neq 0$. Then $Q_i(X)$ is also divisible by X^{n_i}: $Q_i(X) = X^{n_i} S_i(X)$, and we have in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}x}$:

$$S_i(\pi_i) \equiv R_i(\pi_i).v_i \pmod{(\pi_i^{N'})}$$

for some integer $N' \gg 0$. We can write $R_i(X) = a_i.(1 - X.T_i(X))$, with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $T_i \in \mathbb{C}(X)$. We have then

$$v_i \equiv \frac{S_i(\pi_i)}{a_i} \sum_{p=1}^{m_i - 1} \big(\pi_i T_i(\pi_i)\big)^p \pmod{\mathbf{\Pi}^{\mathbf{m}}}.$$

□

For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\mathbf{u}_{(i)} = ((u_{(i)j})_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ be a generator of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_i}$ of $\mathcal{C}_i$ in $\mathcal{C}$, such that for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $u_{(i)j} \in \mathbb{C}[\pi_j]$ (cf. corollary 4.3.8).

4.6.3. Proposition: *Let $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. then v is basic at every order if and only if for every n-tuple $\mathbf{m}$ of positive integers, there exist an integer $q > 0$ and $P_1, \dots, P_q \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that*

$$v \equiv \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} P_j(\pi).\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^j \pmod{\mathbf{\Pi}^{\mathbf{m}}}.$$

Proof. We use the notations of the proof of lemma 4.6.2. Suppose that $v = (v_j)_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ is basic at every order. Let N be a positive integer and $\mathbf{N} = (N, \dots, N)$. We will prove by induction on $q \geq 0$ that we can write v as

$$v \equiv \sum_{0 \leq j \leq q} P_j(\pi).\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^j + \gamma_q \mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{q+1} \pmod{\mathbf{\Pi}^{\mathbf{N}}} \tag{4}$$

with $P_0, \dots, P_q \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $\gamma_q \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. This proves proposition 4.6.3 if q and N are big enough. For $q = 0$, we have $v_i \equiv P(\pi_i) (\text{mod } \pi_i^N)$, for some $P \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and we can take $P_0 = P$. Suppose that the result is true for q and that we have (4). Since $v - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} P_j(\pi).\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^j$ is basic at any order, using the same method as in the proof of lemma 4.6.2, we see that γ_q is basic at order $\mathbf{N}'$, where $\mathbf{N}' = (N', \dots, N')$, for some integer $N' \gg 0$. As in the case $q = 0$ we have

$$\gamma_q \equiv P_{q+1}(\pi) + \mathbf{u}_{(i)}.\gamma_{q+1} \pmod{\mathbf{\Pi}^{\mathbf{N}'}},$$

with $P_{q+1} \in \mathbb{C}[X]$. Hence

$$v \equiv \sum_{0 \leq j \leq q+1} P_j(\pi).\mathbf{u}_{(i)}^j + \gamma_{q+1} \mathbf{u}_{(i)}^{q+2} \pmod{\mathbf{\Pi}^{\mathbf{N}}}$$

□

4.6.4. Proposition: *Let* $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ *be such that there exists* $P_1, \dots, P_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ *such that, for* $1 \le i \le n-1$, *we have* $\alpha_i \equiv P_i(\pi_i)$ *(mod.* $(\pi_i^{q_i})$*). Then there exists* $P_n \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ *such that* $\alpha_n \equiv P_n(\pi_n)$ *(mod.* (π^{q_n})*), i.e.* α *is a basic element of order* $\mathbf{q}$.

Proof. By induction on n. The case $n = 2$ is an easy consequence of proposition 4.2.2. Suppose that $n \ge 3$ and that the result is true for $n-1$.

By subtracting multiples of $(0, \dots, 0, \pi_i^{q_i}, 0, \dots, 0)$ we may assume that for $1 \le i \le n-1$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}[\pi_i]$. By subtracting a regular function on a neighborhood of C in $\mathcal{C}$, and a multiple of $(\pi_1^{q_1}, 0, \dots, 0)$ we may also assume that $\alpha_1 = 0$. The ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{C}_1$ is generated by $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$. We can then write $\alpha = \beta \mathbf{u}_{(1)}$, with $\beta = (\beta_i)_{1 \le i \le n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. We have

$$(\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}) = (\beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}).(u_{(1)2}, \dots, u_{(1)n-1}) \ ,$$

hence by lemma 4.6.2, $(\beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1})$ is a basic element at any order. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $Q \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $\beta_n \equiv Q(\pi_n)$ (mod. $(\pi_n^{q_n - p_{1n}})$). Since $u_{(1)n}$ is a multiple of $\pi_n^{p_{1n}}$ (from the definition of p_{1n}), it follows that $\alpha_n \equiv u_{(i)n} Q(\pi_n)$ (mod. (π^{q_n})). □

4.7. Simple primitive curves and fragmented deformations

Let C_n be a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n and associated smooth curve C. Let $\mathcal{I}_C$ be the ideal sheaf of C in C_n. It is obvious from proposition 4.3.5, 1-, that if there exists a fragmented deformation of C_n, then we have $\mathcal{I}_{C,C_n} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1}}$, i.e. C_n is *simple* (cf. 2.4). Conversely we have

4.7.1. Theorem: *Let* C_n *be a simple primitive multiple curve of multiplicity* n. *Then there exists a fragmented deformation of* C_n.

Proof. According to theorem 2.4.1, there exists a flat family of smooth projective curves $\tau : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau^{-1}(0) \simeq C$ and that C_n is isomorphic to the n-th infinitesimal neighborhood of C in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\rho_n : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the map defined by $\rho_n(z) = z^n$, and $\theta = \rho_n \circ \tau : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$. It is a flat morphism, $\theta^{-1}(0) = C_n$, and for every $z \neq 0$ in the image of τ, $\theta^{-1}(z)$ is a disjoint union of n smooth irreducible curves. We can then apply the process of proposition 3.1.3 to obtain the desired fragmented deformation: it is $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\rho_n"] \\ \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "\theta"] & \mathbb{C} \end{tikzcd}$$

□

4.7.2. Remark: let (p_{ij}) be the spectrum of the fragmented deformation constructed in the proof of theorem 4.7.1. Then it is easy to see that $p_{ij} = 1$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$, $i \neq j$. If $x \in C$, then

$(\mathcal{C}\times_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C})_x=\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},x}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},x}$, and if $t=I_{\mathbb{C}}\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C},x}$, we have for $1\leq k\leq n$

$$(\pi_1,\dots,\pi_{k-1},0,\pi_{k+1},\dots,\pi_n) \;=\; \frac{1}{n-1}(1\otimes t-e^{\frac{2ki\pi}{n}}(t\otimes 1))\ .$$

5. Stars of a curve

5.1. Definitions

Let S be a smooth irreducible curve, and $P\in S$ (we can also take for (S,P) the germ of a smooth curve). Let n be a positive integer.

5.1.1. Definition: *An n-*star *(or more simply, a* star*) of (S,P) is an algebraic variety $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ such that*

(i) *$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is the union of n irreducible components $S_1,\dots,S_n$, with fixed isomorphisms $S_i\simeq S$, $1\leq i\leq n$.*
(ii) *For $1\leq i<j\leq n$, $S_i\cap S_j$ has only one closed point, namely P.*
(iii) *There exists a morphism $\pi:\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}\to S$, such that for $1\leq i\leq n$, the restriction $\pi_{|S_i}:S_i\to S$ is the isomorphism $S_i\simeq S$ of (i).*

All the n-stars of (S,P) have the same underlying Zariski topological space $S(n)$ and set of closed points. The latter is $(\bigcup_{1\leq i\leq n}\widehat{S}_i)/\sim$, where $\widehat{S}_i$ is the set of closed points of S_i, and the equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined by: for $x\in\widehat{S}_i$ and $y\in\widehat{S}_j$, $x\sim y$ if and only if $i=j$ and $x=y$, or $x=P\in\widehat{S}_i$ and $y=P\in\widehat{S}_j$. An open subset of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is defined by open subsets U_1 of S_1,..., U_n of S_n, such that for $1\leq i<j\leq n$, we have $P\in U_i$ if and only if $P\in U_j$.

The *initial* star $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0$ of (S,P) is defined as follows: for every open subset U of $S(n)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0}(U)$ is the set of $(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n)\in\mathcal{O}_{S_1}(U\cap S_1)\times\cdots\mathcal{O}_{S_n}(U\cap S_n)$ such that if $P\in U$ then $\alpha_1(P)=\cdots=\alpha_n(P)$.

For every n-star $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ of (S,P), there is a unique dominant morphism $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0\to\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ inducing the identity on each component. So $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$ is a subring of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0,P}$.

Note that (iii) is equivalent to

(iii)' For every $\alpha\in\mathcal{O}_{S,P}$, we have $(\alpha,\dots,\alpha)\in\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$.

5.1.2. Definition: *An* oblate n-star *(or more simply, an* oblate star*) of (S,P) is an n-star $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ such that some neighborhood of P in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ can be embedded in a smooth surface.*

5.1.3. Proposition: *An n-star $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is oblate if and only if $\pi^{-1}(P)\simeq\operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{C}[X]/(X^n))$.*

(cf. prop. 4.1.6).

Let $I\subset\{1,\dots,n\}$ be a nonempty subset. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)}=\bigcup_{i\in I}S_i\subset\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. If $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is oblate then $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)}$ is oblate too.

5.2. Properties of oblate stars

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ be an oblate n-star of S. Recall that t denotes a generator of the maximal ideal of P in S. We will denote this generator on $S_i \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ by t_i. We will also denote by π the element $t \circ \pi$ of the maximal ideal of P in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_P$ be the ideal sheaf of P in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$.

We begin with 2-stars:

5.2.1. Proposition: *Suppose that $n = 2$. Then*

1 – *There exists a unique integer $p > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{P,P}/(\pi)$ is generated by the image of $(t_1^p, 0)$.*

2 – *The image of $(0, t_2^p)$ is also a generator of $\mathcal{I}_{P,P}/(\pi)$.*

3 – *$(0, t_2^p)$ (resp. $(t_1^p, 0)$) is a generator of the ideal sheaf of S_1 (resp. S_2) at P.*

4 – *$\mathcal{O}_{S^{(2)},P}$ consists of pairs $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P} \times \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ such that $\alpha - \beta \in (t^p)$.*

(cf. prop. 4.2.1 and 4.2.2).

Now suppose that $n \geq 2$. Let $I = \{i, j\} \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, with $i \neq j$. Then $S_i \cup S_j \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is a 2-star of S. Hence by proposition 5.2.1 there exists a unique integer $p_{ij} > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{P,P}/(\pi)$ (on $S_i \cup S_j$) is generated by the image of $(t_i^{p_{ij}}, 0)$ (and also by the image of $(0, t_j^{p_{ij}})$). Let $p_{ii} = 0$. Then the symmetric matrix $(p_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is called the *spectrum* of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$.

There exists an element $v_{ij} = (\nu_m)_{1 \leq m \leq n}$ such that $\nu_i = 0$ and $\nu_j = t_j^{p_{ij}}$. For every integer m such that $1 \leq m \leq n$, $m \neq i, j$, there exists an invertible element $\beta_{ij}^{(m)} \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ such that $\nu_m = \beta_{ij}^{(m)} t_m^{p_{im}}$. Let $\beta_{ij}^{(i)} = 0$, $\beta_{ij}^{(j)} = 1$.

5.2.2. Proposition: *Let $\mathbf{b}_{ij}^{(m)} = \beta_{ij}^{(m)}(P) \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have, for all integers i, j, k, m, q such that $1 \leq i, j, k, m, q \leq n$, $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$*

$$\mathbf{b}_{ik}^{(m)} \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{(q)} \;=\; \mathbf{b}_{ik}^{(q)} \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{(m)}.$$

In particular we have $\mathbf{b}_{ij}^{(m)} = \mathbf{b}_{ik}^{(m)} \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{ij}^{(m)} \mathbf{b}_{im}^{(j)} = 1$.

For all distinct integers i, j, k such that $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_{ki}^{(j)} \;=\; -\mathbf{b}_{ik}^{(j)} \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{(k)} \;.$$

(cf. prop. 4.3.2 and 4.4.6).

Let p be an integer such that $1 \leq p < n$, and $(i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_p, j_p)$ p pairs of distinct integers of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then the image of $\prod_{m=1}^{p} \mathbf{v}_{i_m j_m}$ is a generator of $(\mathcal{I}_{P,P}^p + (\pi))/(\mathcal{I}_{P,P}^{p+1} + (\pi))$.

Let $I \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$ be a nonempty subset, distinct from $\{1, \cdots, n\}$. Let $i \in \{1, \cdots, n\} \backslash I$. Let

$$\mathbf{v}_{I,i} \;=\; \prod_{j \in I} \mathbf{v}_{ji} \;.$$

5.2.3. Proposition: *The ideal sheaf of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)}$ in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is generated by $\mathbf{v}_{I,i}$ at P.*

(cf. prop. 4.3.3).

Note that if $I = \{1, \cdots, n\}\backslash\{i\}$ then $\mathbf{v}_{I,i|S_j} = 0$ if $j \neq i$, and $\mathbf{v}_{I,i|S_i} = t_i^{q_i}$, with $q_i = \sum_{1\leq j\leq n} p_{ij}$.

Let i be an integer such that $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $J_i = \{1, \ldots, n\}\backslash\{i\}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_i$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n, j\neq i} \mathcal{O}_{S_j}/(t_j^{q_j})$. We can view $\mathcal{K}_i$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. For every $\alpha = (\alpha_m) \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$, let $k_i(\alpha)$ be the image of α in $\mathcal{K}_i$.

5.2.4. Proposition: *There exists a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras*

$$\Psi_i : \mathcal{K}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_{i,P}}/(t_i^{q_i})$$

such that for every $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(J_i)},P}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{S_{j,P}}$, we have $\alpha = (\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$ if and only if $\Psi_i(k_i(\alpha)) = [\alpha_i]_{q_i}$.

(cf. prop. 4.4.1).

The morphism Ψ_i has the following properties:

(i) For every $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i} \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(J_i)},P}$, we have $\Psi_i(\alpha)(P) = \alpha_m(P)$ for $1 \leq m \leq n, m \neq i$.
(ii) We have $\Psi_i((t_m)_{1\leq m\leq n, m\neq i}) = t_i$.
(iii) Let $j, k \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ be such that i, j, k are distinct. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the image of $\mathbf{v}_{jk}$ in $\mathcal{B}_i$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}^*_{S_{i,P}}$ such that $\Psi_i(\mathbf{w}) = \lambda t_i^{p_{ij}}$.
(iv) Let j be an integer such that $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the image of $\mathbf{v}_{ij}$ in $\mathcal{K}_i x$. Then we have $\ker(\Psi_i) = (\mathbf{w})$.

5.2.5. *Converse* – Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]}$ be a $(n-1)$-star of S, with components $S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}$, of spectrum $(p_{jk})_{1\leq j,k\leq n-1}$. Let $p_{nj} = p_{jn}$, $1 \leq j < n$ be positive integers, and $p_{nn} = 0$. For $1 \leq j \leq n$, let $q_j = \sum_{1\leq k\leq n} p_{kj}$.

Let S_n be another copy of S. Let $\mathcal{K}_n$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]}}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n-1} \mathcal{O}_{S_j}/(t_j^{q_j})$ and

$$\Psi_n : \mathcal{K}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_n}/(t_n^{q_n})$$

a morphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras satisfying properties (i), (ii), (iii) above. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the subsheaf of algebras of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0}$ defined by: $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0}$ on $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0\backslash\{P\}$, and for every $\alpha = (\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0,P}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}_P$ if and only if $\Psi_n(\alpha') = [\alpha_n]_{q_n}$ (where α' is the image of $(\alpha_m)_{1\leq m\leq n-1}$ in $\mathcal{K}_n$).

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{K}$ is the structural sheaf of an oblate n–star of S.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \prod_{1\leq j\leq n}(t_j^{q_j-1})/(t_j^{q_j}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$ in $\prod_{1\leq j\leq n} \mathcal{O}_{S_j}/(t_j^{q_j})$. We can view $\mathcal{K}$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K}$.

5.2.6. Proposition: *There exists a unique $\lambda(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}) = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that for every $\mathbf{u} = (u_j)_{1\leq j\leq n} \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{J}$ if and only if $\lambda_1 u_1 + \cdots \lambda_n u_n = 0$. The λ_i are all non zero.*

(cf. prop. 4.4.5).

For all distinct integers i, j such that $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \;=\; - \prod_{1 \leq m \leq n, m \neq i,j} \mathbf{b}_{mi}^{(j)}.$$

5.3. Construction of oblate stars of a curve

Consider an oblate $(n-1)$-star of S, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]}$, with $n-1$ irreducible components $S_1, \ldots, S_{n-1}$, copies of S. Let $(p_{ij}^{[n-1]})_{1 \leq i,j < n}$ be its spectrum. For $1 \leq i < n$, let $q_i^{[n-1]} = \sum_{1 \leq j < n} p_{ij}^{[n-1]}$. We denote by $\mathcal{I}_P^{[n-1]}$ the ideal of P in $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]},P}$. Let $\lambda(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]}) = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1})$.

Let $p_{1n}, \ldots, p_{n-1,n}$ be positive integers, $q_i = q_i^{[n-1]} + p_{in}$ for $1 \leq i < n$, and $q_n = p_{i1} + \cdots + p_{n-1,n}$. Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{I}_{P,P}^{[n-1]}$ whose image generates $\mathcal{I}_P^{[n-1]}/((\mathcal{I}_P^{[n-1]})^2 + (\pi))$, of the form

$$\mathbf{u} \;=\; (\beta_1 t_1^{p_{1n}}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} t_{n-1}^{p_{n-1,n}}),$$

with $\beta_i \in \mathcal{O}_{S_i,P}$ invertible for $1 \leq i < n$.

Let $\mathcal{K}^{[n-1]}$ be the image of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{S_1}/(t_1^{q_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{S_{n-1}}/(t_{n-1}^{q_{n-1}})$. We will also denote by $\mathbf{u}$ the image of $\mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{K}^{[n-1]}$. Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{K}^{[n-1]}/(\mathbf{u})$, $\rho : \mathcal{K}^{[n-1]} \to \mathcal{Q}$ the projection and $t_n = \rho(\pi)$.

5.3.1. Proposition: 1 – *We have* $t_n^{q_n} = 0$.

2 – *We have* $t_n^{q_n - 1} = 0$ *if and only if*

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1(P)} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1}(P)} \;=\; 0 \;.$$

We suppose now that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1(P)} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1}(P)} \neq 0$. *Then*

3 – *For every* $\epsilon \in \mathcal{K}^{[n-1]}$ *such that* $\epsilon(P) \neq 0$, *we have* $t^{q_n - 1}\epsilon \notin (\mathbf{u})$.

4 – *For every* $\eta \in \mathcal{K}^{[n-1]}/(\mathbf{u})$, *and every integer* k *such that* $1 \leq k < q_n$, *we have* $t_n^k \eta = 0$ *if and only if* η *is a multiple of* $t_n^{q_n - k}$.

5 – $\mathcal{K}^{[n-1]}/(\mathbf{u})$ *is a flat* $\mathbb{C}[t_n]/(t_n^{q_n})$*-module.*

(cf. prop. 4.5.2).

5.3.2. *Construction of stars of a curve* – Suppose that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1(P)} + \cdots + \frac{\lambda_{n-1}}{\beta_{n-1}(P)} \neq 0$. From proposition 5.3.1, 5-, it is easy to prove, using 5.2.5, that there is a unique oblate n-star $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{[n-1]}$ is the union $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} S_i$ in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ and Ψ_n is the quotient map $\mathcal{K}_n = \mathcal{K}^{[n-1]} \to \mathcal{Q}$.

5.4. Morphisms of stars

Recall that if $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ is an oblate n-star of S, then we have a canonical inclusion of sheaves of algebras (on the underlying topological space $S(n)$ of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$) $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_0}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'$ be oblate n-stars of S, with irreducible components $S_1, \dots, S_n$, and $f : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'$ a morphism inducing the identity on all the components. Such a morphism exists if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}}$, and in this case f is unique and is induced by the previous inclusion. Let (p_{ij}) (resp. (p'_{ij})) be the spectrum of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'$).

5.4.1. Proposition: *We have $p_{ij} \leq p'_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. If f is not the identity morphism then there exist i, j such that $p_{ij} < p'_{ij}$.*

Proof. Let $I = \{i, j\}$. Then f induces a morphism $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)} \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'^{(I)}$. So we have $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'^{(I)},P} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)},P}$. From proposition 5.2.1, 4-, it follows that $p_{ij} \leq p'_{ij}$.

Suppose now that $p'_{ij} = p_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We must prove that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'$, i.e. that $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P} = \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$. This is done by induction on n. For $n = 2$ it is obvious. Suppose that it is true for $n-1$. Let $I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then f induces a morphism $f_{n-1} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)} \to \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'^{(I)}$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}^{(I)} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'^{(I)}$. Since the integers q_i are the same for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'$, the algebras $\mathcal{K}_n$ for $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'$ (cf. proposition 5.2.4) are also the same. Now let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$, and let $\beta \in \mathcal{K}_n$ be the image of α. Let $\alpha' \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$ be such that its image in $\mathcal{K}_n$ is also β. Then $\alpha - \alpha'$ belongs to the ideal generated by the $(0, \dots, 0, t_i^{q_i}, 0 \dots, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, which is included in $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$. Hence $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$. □

5.4.2. Lemma: *Suppose that f is not the identity morphism. Then there exist an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$ and $u \in \mathcal{I}$, $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$ such that*

$$u \otimes v \neq 0 \qquad \text{in} \qquad \mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}} \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$$

and $uv = 0$.

Proof. Let $q_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{1i}$, $q'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p'_{1i}$. According to proposition 5.4.1 we can assume that $q_1 < q'_1$. Let u be a generator of the ideal of S_1 in $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$ and $\mathcal{I} = (u)$. Let $v = (t_1^{q_1}, 0, \dots, 0)$. We have $uv = 0$. We have to prove that $u \otimes v \neq 0$. We need only to find an $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$-module M and a $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$-bilinear map

$$\phi : \mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}} \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P} \longrightarrow M$$

such that $\phi(u \otimes v) \neq 0$. We take $M = \mathcal{O}_{S_1,P}/(t_1^{q'_1})$, which is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}'}$. It is easy to verify that

$$\phi : ((\lambda_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} u, (w_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}) \longmapsto \lambda_1 w_1 \pmod{t_1^{q'_1}}$$

is well defined, bilinear, and that $\phi(u \otimes v) \neq 0$. □

5.4.3. Corollary: *Suppose that f is not the identity morphism. Let Y be an algebraic variety and $g : Y \to S$ a morphism such that $g^* : \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y,P}$ is injective. Then $f \circ g : Y \to S'$ is not flat.*

Proof. We use the notations of the proof of lemma 5.4.2. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P} & \xrightarrow{\quad g^* \quad} & \mathcal{O}_{Y,P} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_S} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_Y} \\
\mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}} \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P} & \xrightarrow{\quad I_{\mathcal{I}} \otimes g^* \quad} & \mathcal{I} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}} \mathcal{O}_{Y,P} \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_S} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_Y} \\
\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P} & \xrightarrow{\quad g^* \quad} & \mathcal{O}_{Y,P}
\end{array}$$

where $\lambda_S(\alpha) = u \otimes \alpha$, $\mu_S(u \otimes \alpha) = u\alpha$, and λ_Y, μ_Y are defined similarly. It follows that $\mu_Y(u \otimes g^*v) = 0$. We will show that $u \otimes g^*v \neq 0$, and this will imply that $f \circ g$ is not flat. Let $w = (t_1^{q_1'}, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Then we have $\mathcal{I} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}/(w)$, and from the exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$-modules $0 \to (w) \to \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P} \to \mathcal{I} \to 0$ we deduce that $\ker(\lambda_Y) = (w).\mathcal{O}_{Y,P}$. Suppose that $u \otimes g^*v = 0$. Then g^*v is a multiple of w: $g^*v = w.a$, for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,P}$. But we have $w = g^*\pi^{q_1'-q_1}v$. Hence $g^*v.(1 - g^*\pi^{q_1'-q_1}) = 0$. Since $1 - g^*\pi^{q_1'-q_1}$ is invertible, we have $g^*v = 0$, which is false since g^* is injective. Hence $u \otimes g^*v \neq 0$. □

5.5. Structure of ideals

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$ be an oblate n-star of S.

5.5.1. Proposition: *Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$ be a proper ideal. Then*

1 - *There exists a positive integer k such that $k \leq n$ and a filtration by ideals*

$$\{0\} = \mathcal{I}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{I}_k \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}$$

such that, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ there exists a positive integer j such that $j \leq n$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S_j,P}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$-modules.

2 - *If $\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S_j,P}$, then $\mathcal{I}_{i+1} \subset \mathcal{I}_{S_j}$ and $\mathcal{I}_i \not\subset \mathcal{I}_{S_j}$.*

Proof. We prove **1-** by induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Suppose that $n > 1$ and that the result is true for $n - 1$. Let $\mathcal{J}_1$ be the ideal sheaf of $S_1 \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$, and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}' = S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_{n-1} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$. We can view $\mathcal{J}_1$ as an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$. We can suppose that $\mathcal{I} \not\subset \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$, i.e that some element of $\mathcal{I}$ has a nonzero first coordinate. Let m be the smallest positive integer such that $\mathcal{I}$ contains an element u of the form

$$u \;=\; (t^m, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)\ .$$

Then every element v of $\mathcal{I}$ can be written as

$$v \;=\; \lambda u + v'\ ,$$

with $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$ and $v' \in \mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}$, and the first coordinate of λ is uniquely determined. It follows that $\mathcal{I}/(\mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S_1,P}$. We can apply the recurrence hypothesis to the ideal $\mathcal{J}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}',P}$ and get a filtration of it, from which we deduce the filtration of $\mathcal{I}$. This proves **1-** for n.

Now we prove **2-**. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P} \backslash \mathcal{I}_{S_j}$. Let $u \in \mathcal{I}_i$ be over a generator of $\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1}$. Then the image of αu in $\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1}$ is not zero, i.e. $\alpha u \notin \mathcal{I}_{i+1}$. Hence $\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}_{i+1}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{i+1} \subset \mathcal{I}_{S_j}$. Let

$v_i = (0, \dots, 0, t_i^{q_i}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$. Then the image of $v_i u$ in $\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1}$ is not zero, hence $u \notin \mathcal{I}_{S_j}$ and $\mathcal{I}_i \not\subset \mathcal{I}_{S_j}$. □

5.6. Star associated to a fragmented deformation

We keep the notations of chapter 4. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to S$ a fragmented deformation of C_n, and $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_n$ the irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}$.

Recall that $S(n)$ is the underlying (Zariski) topological space of any n-star of S. Let $\mathcal{C}^{top}$ be the underlying topological space of $\mathcal{C}$. We have an obvious continuous map $\boldsymbol{\pi} : \mathcal{C}^{top} \to S(n)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be the sheaf of algebras on $S(n)$ defined by: for every open subset U of $S(n)$, $\mathcal{A}_n(U)$ is the algebra of $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}^{-1}(U))$ such that $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{S_i}(U \cap S_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

According to corollary 4.4.8, for every $x \in C$, $\mathcal{A}_{n,P}$ is the algebra of $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ such that $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{S,P}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

5.6.1. Proposition: *The sheaf $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the structural sheaf of an oblate n-star of S.*

Proof. By induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is obvious. Suppose that $n > 1$ and that the result is true for $n - 1$. Let $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \cdots \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \subset \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ the corresponding oblate $(n-1)$-star of S. Let

$$\Phi_n : \mathcal{B}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_n}/(\pi_n^{q_n})$$

be the morphism of proposition 4.4.1. According to proposition 4.6.4, Φ_n induces a morphism

$$\Psi_n : \mathcal{K}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S_n,P}/(t_n^{q_n}) \ .$$

By the definitions of $\mathcal{A}_n$ and Φ_n, if $u = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathcal{O}_{S_1,P} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{O}_{S_1,P}$, then $u \in \mathcal{A}_{n,P}$ if and only if $\Psi_n(u') = v$, where u' (resp. v) is the image of u in $\mathcal{K}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{S_n,P}/(t_n^{q_n})$). The result follows then from 5.2.5. □

We denote by $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ (or more simply $\mathcal{S}$) the oblate n-star corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_n$, so $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})} = \mathcal{A}_n$. From the definition of $\mathcal{A}_n$ we get a canonical morphism

$$\mathbf{\Pi} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

such that $\mathbf{\Pi}_{|\mathcal{C}_i} = \pi_i : \mathcal{C}_i \to S_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

5.6.2. Theorem: *The morphism $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is flat.*

Proof. We need only to prove that $\mathbf{\Pi}$ is flat at any point x of C. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}$ be a proper ideal. We have to show that the canonical morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}$-modules

$$\tau = \tau_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}} \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$$

is injective. According to proposition 5.5.1 there is a filtration by ideals

$$\{0\} = \mathcal{I}_{k+1} \subset \mathcal{I}_k \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}$$

such that, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ there exists a positive integer j such that $j \leq n$ and an isomorphism $\mathcal{I}_i/\mathcal{I}_{i+1} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S_j,P}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}},P}$-modules. We will prove the injectivity of τ by induction on k.

Recall that for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\mathcal{I}_{S_j,P} = \mathcal{I}_{S_j,\mathcal{S},P}$ is a principal ideal, generated by an element u_j which is also a generator of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_j,x} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_j,\mathcal{C},x}$ (cf. corollary 4.3.8 and proposition 5.2.3), and that the only zero coordinate of u_j is the j-th.

Suppose that $k = 1$, so $\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{S_j,P}$ for some j. Let u be a generator of $\mathcal{I}$ and $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}} \mathcal{I}$, that can be written as $w = v \otimes u$, $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$. Suppose that $\tau(v \otimes u) = vu = 0$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is annihilated by $\mathcal{I}_{S_j,P}$, we have $\mathcal{I} \subset \big((0,\ldots,0,t_j^{q_j},0,\ldots,0)\big)$. Since $vu = 0$, the j-th component of v is zero, i.e. $v \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_j,x}$. Hence v is a multiple of u_j: $v = \alpha u_j$. We have then

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \alpha u_j \otimes u \\ &= \alpha \otimes u_j u \quad (\text{because } \ u_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}) \\ &= 0 \quad (\text{because } \ u_j u = 0)\ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence τ is injective.

Suppose that the result is true for $k-1 \geq 1$ and that the filtration of $\mathcal{I}$ is of length k. According to proposition 5.5.1, **1-**, we have $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S_j,P}$ for some j. Let $u \in \mathcal{I}$ be such that its image in $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_2$ is a generator, and $w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}} \mathcal{I}$ such that $\tau(w) = 0$. We can write w as $w = \alpha \otimes v + \beta \otimes u$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}$ and $v \in \mathcal{I}_2$. Since $\alpha v + \beta u = 0$, we have $\beta u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}\mathcal{I}_2$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x}\mathcal{I}_2 \subset \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_j}$ by proposition 5.5.1, **2-**, i.e. the j-th coordinate of βu is zero. By proposition 5.5.1, **2-**, the j-th coordinate of u does not vanish, hence the j-th coordinate of β is zero, i.e. $\beta \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}_j}$. Hence β is a multiple of u_j: $\beta = \gamma u_j$. We have then

$$\beta \otimes u = \gamma u_j \otimes u = \gamma \otimes u_j u,$$

and $u_j u \in \mathcal{I}_2$ (because its image in $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}_2$ vanishes). It follows that w is the image of an element w' of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S},P}} \mathcal{I}_2$. We have $\tau_{\mathcal{I}_2}(w') = 0$, hence by the induction hypothesis $w' = 0$. It follows that we have also $w = 0$. □

5.6.3. Remark: If $\mathcal{S}'$ is an oblate n-star of S, and if $\Pi' : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}'$ is a flat morphism compatible with the projections to S, then we have $\mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\Pi' = \Pi$. This is an easy consequence of corollary 5.4.3.

5.6.4. *Converse* - Let $\pi : \mathcal{S} \to S$ be an oblate n-star of S. Let $\mathbf{\Pi} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{S}$ be a flat morphism such that for every closed point $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mathbf{\Pi}^{-1}(s)$ is a smooth irreducible projective curve. Let $C = \mathbf{\Pi}^{-1}(P)$ and $\tau = \pi \circ \mathbf{\Pi} : \mathcal{C} \to S$. Then $C_n = \tau^{-1}(P)$ is a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity n and associated smooth curve C, and $\mathcal{C}$ is a fragmented deformation of C_n. This is an easy consequence of proposition 4.1.6.

6. Classification of fragmented deformations of length 2

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a fragmented deformation of length 2. The corresponding double curve C_2 is $\pi^{-1}(0)$. Suppose that the spectrum of $\mathcal{C}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This means that the infinitesimal neighborhoods of order p of C in $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are isomorphic, i.e. we have an isomorphism of sheaves of algebras on C

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}/(\pi_1^p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}/(\pi_2^p) \ ,$$

and for every point x of C, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C},x} \ = \ \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1,x} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2,x} \ ; \ \alpha_2 \ (\mathrm{mod}\ \pi_2^p) = \Phi(\alpha_1 \ (\mathrm{mod}\ \pi_1^p))\} \ .$$

Let C_i^k denote the infinitesimal neighborhood of order k of C in $\mathcal{C}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, $k > 0$. It is a primitive multiple curve of multiplicity k and associated smooth curve C, and we have $C_1^p = C_2^p$. Hence C_1^{p+1} and C_2^{p+1} appear as extensions of C_1^p in primitive multiple curves of multiplicity $p+1$. According to [4] and [8] these extensions are classified by $H^1(C, T_C)$ (T_C being the tangent sheaf on C). More precisely, we say that two such extensions D, D' are *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism $D \simeq D'$ leaving C_1^p invariant. Then if $\mathcal{H}$ is the set of isomorphism classes of such extensions, a bijection $\lambda : H^1(C, T_C) \to \mathcal{H}$ is defined in [4], such that $\lambda(0) = C_1^{p+1}$.

On the other hand, it follows from [2], [4] that the primitive double curves with associated smooth curve C and associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C$ are classified by $\mathbb{P}(H^1(C, T_C)) \cup \{0\}$.

6.0.5. Theorem: *The point of* $\mathbb{P}(H^1(C, T_C)) \cup \{0\}$ *corresponding to* C_2 *is* $\mathbb{C}.\lambda^{-1}(C_2^{p+1})$.

Proof. According to [4], there exists an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of C such that for $k = 1, 2$, the open subset of C_k^{p+1} corresponding to U_i is isomorphic to $U_i \times \mathrm{spec}(C[t]/(t^{p+1}))$. Here t is π_1 on $\mathcal{C}_1$ and π_2 on $\mathcal{C}_2$. We obtain then cocycles $(\theta_{ij}^{(k)})_{i,j \in I}$, where $\theta_{ij}^{(k)}$ is an automorphism of $U_{ij} \times \mathrm{spec}(C[t]/(t^{p+1}))$. We can also suppose that $\omega_{C|U_i}$ is trivial, for every $i \in I$. Let $dx_{ij} = dx$ be a generator of $\omega_C(U_{ij})$. Since the ideal sheaf of C in C_k^{p+1} is the trivial sheaf on C_k^p, we can write, using the notations of [4], $\theta_{ij}^{(k)} = \phi_{\mu_{ij}^{(k)},1}$, with $\mu_{ij}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_{ij})[t]/(t^p)$, i.e. for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_i)$, we have, at the level of regular functions

$$\theta_{ij}^{(k)}(\alpha) \ = \ \sum_{m=0}^{p} \frac{1}{m!} (\mu_{ij}^{(k)} t)^m \frac{d^m \alpha}{dx^m} \ ,$$

and $\theta_{ij}^{(k)}(t) = t$. Since $C_1^p = C_2^p$ we can suppose that $\mu_{ij}^{(1)} \equiv \mu_{ij}^{(2)} \ (\mathrm{mod}\ t^{p-1})$. Hence $\tau_{ij} = \mu_{ij}^{(2)} - \mu_{ij}^{(1)} \in (t^{p-1})/(t^p) \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(U_i)$. The family (τ_{ij}) is (in some sense) a cocycle representing $\lambda^{-1}(C_2^{p+1})$ (cf. [4], [8]).

We have $(\pi_1^{p+1}) + (\pi_2^{p+1}) \subset (\pi)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Hence $C_2 = \pi^{-1}(0)$ is contained in the subscheme Z of $\mathcal{C}$ corresponding to the ideal sheaf $(\pi_1^{p+1}) + (\pi_2^{p+1})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_Z(U_{ij}) \ &= \ \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(U_{ij})/(t^{p+1}) \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_2}(U_{ij})/(t^{p+1}) \ ; \ \Phi(\alpha_1 \bmod t^p) = \alpha_2 \bmod t^p\} \\ &= \ \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_{ij})[t]/(t^{p+1}) \times \mathcal{O}_C(U_{ij})[t]/(t^{p+1}) \ ; \ \alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_2 \bmod t^p\}. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain $\mathcal{O}_{C_2}(U_{ij})$, we have just to quotient by $\pi = (t, t)$, and we obtain

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_2}(U_{ij}) \ = \ \mathcal{O}_Z(U_{ij})/(t, t) \ \simeq \ \mathcal{O}_C(U_{ij})[z]/(z^2) \ ,$$

the last isomorphism being

$$(a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{p-1}t^{p-1} + \alpha t^p, a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{p-1}t^{p-1} + \beta t^p) \ \mapsto \ \alpha_0 + (\beta - \alpha)z.$$

Now we can make explicit the automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_C(U_{ij})[z]/(z^2)$ induced by θ_{ij} (these isomorphisms will define the cocycle corresponding to C_2). It is easy to see that this isomorphism is $\phi_{\tau_{ij},1}$, which proves theorem 6.0.5. □

References

[1] Bănică, C., Forster, O. *Multiple structures on space curves.* In: Sundararaman , D. (Ed.) Proc. of Lefschetz Centennial Conf. (10-14 Dec. Mexico), Contemporary Mathematics 58, AMS, 1986, 47-64.

[2] Bayer, D., Eisenbud, D. *Ribbons and their canonical embeddings.* Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, 347-3, 719-756.

[3] Drézet, J.-M. *Faisceaux cohérents sur les courbes multiples* . Collect. Math. 2006, 57-2, 121-171.

[4] Drézet, J.-M. *Paramétrisation des courbes multiples primitives* Adv. in Geom. 2007, 7, 559-612.

[5] Drézet, J.-M. *Déformations des extensions larges de faisceaux* . Pacific Journ. of Math., 2005, 220-2, 201-297

[6] Drézet, J.-M. *Faisceaux sans torsion et faisceaux quasi localement libres sur les courbes multiples primitives.* Mathematische Nachrichten, 2009, 282-7, 919-952.

[7] Drézet, J.-M. *Sur les conditions d'existence des faisceaux semi-stables sur les courbes multiples primitives.* Pacific Journ. of Math. 2011, 249-2, 291-319.

[8] Drézet, J.-M. *Courbes multiples primitives et déformations de courbes lisses.* To appear in Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse.

[9] Eisenbud, D. *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry.* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 150, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1995.

[10] Eisenbud, D., Green, M. *Clifford indices of ribbons.* Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, 347-3, 757-765.

[11] González, M. *Smoothing of ribbons over curves.* Journ. für die reine und angew. Math., 2006, 591, 201-235.

[12] Hartshorne, R. *Algebraic geometry.* Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 52, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.

[13] Inaba, M.-A. *On the moduli of stable sheaves on a reducible projective scheme and examples on a reducible quadric surface.* Nagoya Math. J. 2002, 166, 135-181.

[14] Samuel, P., Zariski, O. *Commutative Algebra (vol. I, II).* GTM 28,29 Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.

[15] Simpson, C.T. *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I.* Publ. Math. IHES, 1994, 79, 47-129.

[16] Teixidor i Bigas, M. *Moduli spaces of (semi-)stable vector bundles on tree-like curves.* Math. Ann., 1991, 290, 341-348.

[17] Teixidor i Bigas, M. *Moduli spaces of vector bundles on reducible curves.* Amer. J. of Math., 1995, 117, 125-139.

[18] Teixidor i Bigas, M. *Compactifications of moduli spaces of (semi)stable bundles on singular curves: two points of view. Dedicated to the memory of Fernando Serrano.* Collect. Math., 1998, 49, 527-548.

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Case 247, 4 place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris, France

E-mail address: drezet@math.jussieu.fr