

Realizing one-dimensional topological superfluids with ultracold atomic gases

Sylvain Nascimbène

To cite this version:

Sylvain Nascimbène. Realizing one-dimensional topological superfluids with ultracold atomic gases. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics, 2013, 46, pp.134005. 10.1088/0953- $4075/46/13/134005$. hal-00737368

HAL Id: hal-00737368 <https://hal.science/hal-00737368v1>

Submitted on 1 Oct 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Realizing one-dimensional topological superfluids with ultracold atomic gases

Sylvain Nascimbène

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, CNRS, UPMC, École Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

E-mail: sylvain.nascimbene@lkb.ens.fr

Abstract. We propose an experimental implementation of a topological superfluid with ultracold fermionic atoms. An optical superlattice is used to juxtapose a 1D gas of fermionic atoms and a 2D conventional superfluid of condensed Feshbach molecules. The latter acts as a Cooper pair reservoir and effectively induces a superfluid gap in the 1D system. Combined with a spin-dependent optical lattice along the 1D tube and laser-induced atom tunneling, we obtain a topological superfluid phase. In the regime of weak couplings to the molecular field and for a uniform gas the atomic system is equivalent to Kitaev's model of a p -wave superfluid. Using a numerical calculation we show that the topological superfluidity is robust beyond the perturbative limit and in the presence of a harmonic trap. Finally we describe how to investigate some physical properties of the Majorana fermions located at the topological superfluid boundaries. In particular we discuss how to prepare and detect a given Majorana edge state.

CONTENTS 2

1. Introduction

Since the discovery of topological insulators [1, 2] the search for exotic states of matter with non-trivial topology such as a topological superconductor has generated strong interest. A non-trivial topological phase is characterized by a gap in the bulk elementary excitations and a non-local topological order associated with gapless states at the system edges, defects or vortex cores [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. In topological superconductors, these edge states can be described as Majorana fermions, which are quasi-particles equal to their own antiparticles [9]. Besides the fundamental interest in observing Majorana fermions a great motivation for their study relies on their non-Abelian statistics, which could be used for topological quantum computation immune to decoherence from local perturbations [10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

The simplest model of a topological superfluid was introduced by Kitaev in 2001 [6]. It consists in a 1D lattice system of spinless fermions with a p -wave superfluid gap, described by the Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{Kitaev}} = \sum_{i} -\mu \left(\hat{c}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - J\left(\hat{c}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.}\right) + \Delta \left(\hat{c}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.}\right), (1)
$$

where \hat{c}_i^{\dagger} ^I_i creates a fermion into the lattice site *i*, and μ , *J*, Δ respectively represent the chemical potential, the tunneling amplitude and the superfluid gap. However this 'toy model' cannot be directly realized with solid-state systems. Subsequently several proposals described how to create topological superconductors in solid-state heterostructures combining superconducting proximity effect with a topological insulator or a semiconductor with strong spin-orbit coupling [15, 16, 17, 18, 19]. These proposals led to the first observations in 2012 of boundary quasiparticles behaving as Majorana fermions [20, 21, 22, 23].

Ultracold atomic gases constitute an alternative system for realizing a topological superfluid [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. The extreme purity of these gases could allow one to realize model systems in a clean and controlled manner [30]. As described in [31, 32] the study of atomic Majorana fermions would also benefit from measurement techniques specific to atomic physics. While inducing topological superfluidity using resonant p wave interactions cannot be achieved due to large inelastic loss rates [24, 33, 34], one expects that, in a quasi-2D Fermi gas, combining s-wave interactions with Rashba-type spin-orbit coupling may lead to the formation of a $p_x + ip_y$ superfluid, which has a non-trivial topology [35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40]. Alternatively, by analogy with proximityinduced superconductivity [41], superfluidity could be induced by the coherent coupling to a molecular Bose-Einstein condensate rather than by interatomic interactions [28].

In this article we propose an experimental implementation of a topological superfluid with ultracold Fermi gases based on proximity-induced superfluidity [28]. We use a superlattice potential to juxtapose a quasi-2D gas of condensed Feshbach molecules and a set of 1D tubes. The coupling of atom pairs between both systems effectively creates an s-wave superfluid gap in the 1D tubes. In addition, the atoms in the 1D tubes are held in a spin-dependent optical lattice, in which atom tunneling is induced by the appropriate laser transitions. The scheme makes use of several ingredients – such as quasi-2D Fermi gases [42, 43, 44, 45], spin-dependent potentials [46, 47, 48, 49, 50] or laser-induced atom tunneling [51, 52] – that were already developed in the cold atom community. We show that this setup leads to the realization of a topological superfluid, which is equivalent to Kitaev's model in the perturbative regime of weak coupling to the molecular field. For stronger couplings we obtain a robust topological superfluid with a large bulk gap value, both for homogeneous and harmonically trapped systems. Finally we describe some measurable physical properties of a trapped atomic gas in this lattice geometry, which should exhibit a topological superfluid phase with Majorana fermions at its boundaries. In particular we discuss how to prepare and detect a given Majorana edge state.

2. Proximity-induced superfluidity

Before describing the implementation of Kitaev's model, we first discuss the realization of proximity-induced s-wave superfluidity in a two-dimensional geometry. We consider a pair of quasi-2D atomic systems (planes A and B) that can be created using a doublewell potential along z (see figure 1b). The plane B is filled with a two-component Fermi gas, with effective spins labelled $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$. We assume that a Feshbach resonance is used to create weakly-bound Feshbach molecules [44, 45], and that the gas is cooled sufficiently to form a nearly pure molecular Bose-Einstein condensate (mBEC). When coupled to the plane A as described below, this system will act as a reservoir of Cooper pairs and effectively induce a superfluid gap in A [28].

2.1. Effective Hamiltonian of a gas coupled to a molecular BEC

The reservoir of condensed molecules in plane B is described by a coherent state with a mean number of molecules N. The 2D wavefunction of a single molecule is written as

$$
\phi_{\text{mol}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow})=\frac{1}{L}\phi_{\text{rel}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow}),
$$

where L is the size of the quantification box along x and y and

$$
\phi_{\text{rel}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}a} K_0(\rho/a)
$$

is the 2D molecular wavefunction for the relative motion. Here a denotes the 2D scattering length and K_0 is a modified Bessel function of the second kind. The molecular binding energy will be written as $E_b = \hbar^2 / ma^2$.

The coupling from the reservoir to the plane A occurs via atom tunneling through the $A - B$ barrier, described by a Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_z = -J_z \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho} \; \left(\hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \hat{\psi}_{B,\uparrow}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \hat{\psi}_{B,\downarrow}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \right) + \text{h.c.},
$$

where J_z is the single-atom tunneling amplitude and $\hat{\psi}_{X,\sigma}^{\dagger}(\rho)$ denotes the creation operator of a particle of spin σ in the plane X.

We expect that tunneling of atoms towards the plane A leads to the dissociation of molecules, leading to pairs of atoms of opposite spin in both planes A and B^{\dagger} . These dissociated atom pairs can be described by an effective Hamiltonian using a semi-classical approximation, which consists in replacing the mBEC by a classical field. By analogy with the Glauber unitary transform for coherent states of the electromagnetic field [53] we define the effective Hamiltonian as

$$
\hat{H}_{z,\text{eff}} = \hat{D}_{\text{mBEC}}^{\dagger} \hat{H}_z \hat{D}_{\text{mBEC}},
$$

where

$$
\hat{D}_{\text{mBEC}} = e^{-\sqrt{N}/2} \exp(\sqrt{N}(\hat{\psi}_{\text{mol}}^{\dagger} - \hat{\psi}_{\text{mol}}))
$$

is the displacement operator that brings the mBEC to the vacuum state and

$$
\psi_{\text{mol}}^{\dagger}=\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow}\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow}\ \phi_{\text{mol}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow},\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow})\hat{\psi}_{B,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow})\hat{\psi}_{B,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow})
$$

creates a molecule in plane B.

The effective Hamiltonian can be calculated explicitely as a series in $k_F a$, where $k_F = 2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{N}/L$ is the Fermi momentum in the plane B (see Appendix A). As we are

‡ Note that the tunneling of bound molecules to the plane A is prevented by the spin-dependent optical lattice described in the following.

Figure 1. a. General scheme of the setup, consisting in quasi-1D gases of fermionic atoms coupled to a 2D gas of condensed molecules. The tunneling of atoms pairs into the 1D tubes acts as a superfluid gap applied externally. A spin-dependent optical lattice is applied along the tube, leading to an effective lattice with spin $\uparrow(\downarrow)$ particles localized in the even (odd) sites. Atom tunneling inside the tube is driven by Raman lasers, leading to the realization of Kitaev's model of a p-wave superfluid. b. Scheme of the atom pair tunneling from A to B, resonant for $E_b = 2 \delta$.

interested in the molecular regime $k_F a \ll 1$ we only keep for simplicity the first term in $k_F a$, leading to a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{z, \text{eff}} = \hat{H}_z + \hat{H}_{\text{pairs}}$, where the additional term

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{pairs}} = -J_z \frac{k_F}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow} \ \phi_{\text{rel}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow}) \left(\hat{\psi}_{B,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow}) \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow}) + \hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\uparrow}) \hat{\psi}_{B,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}_{\downarrow}) + \text{h.c.} \right)
$$

describes the creation (or annihilation) of pairs of particles dissociated from the mBEC. We show in the Appendix A that the neglected terms of the series have minor effects for the parameters used in the following.

An additional energy offset δ is introduced in the plane B in order to match the resonance condition $2\delta = E_b$ (see figure 1b), described by the Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_{\delta} = \delta \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\rho} \; \left(\hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) + \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) \right).
$$

The total effective Hamiltonian is then given by the expression

$$
\hat{H}_{\Delta} = \hat{H}_z + \hat{H}_{\text{pairs}} + \hat{H}_\delta. \tag{2}
$$

2.2. Superfluid gap in the weak coupling regime

A simple picture of the system can be obtained in the limit $J_z \ll \delta$ where at low energy all non-condensed atoms are located in the plane A and the plane B is only virtually populated by single atoms. In second-order perturbation theory, the system can be described by a Hamiltonian restricted to the plane A

$$
\hat{H}_{\Delta}^{(2)} = -\hat{P}_A \frac{(\hat{H}_z + \hat{H}_{\text{pairs}})^2}{\delta} \hat{P}_A,
$$

where \hat{P}_A projects on the Hilbert space of wavefunctions localized in the plane A. A straightforward calculation leads to

$$
\hat{H}_{\Delta}^{(2)} = \int d\rho_{\uparrow} d\rho_{\downarrow} \, \Delta(\rho_{\uparrow} - \rho_{\downarrow}) \hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\rho_{\uparrow}) \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\rho_{\downarrow}) + \text{h.c.}, \tag{3}
$$

$$
\Delta(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = -\frac{k_F}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{J_z^2}{\delta} \phi_{\text{rel}}(\boldsymbol{\rho}),
$$

which describes a system of two-component fermions with an order parameter $\Delta(\rho)$. The latter has an s-wave symmetry inherited from the symmetry of the molecular wavefunction.

3. Creating a topological superfluid

The technique of proximity-induced superfluid gap presented in section 2 can be used to create a topological superfluid. For simplicity in this section we restrict the discussion to the limit of perturbative coupling to the mBEC for which the atom dynamics is restricted to the plane A.

Optical lattices along x and y are applied in the plane A only. The y lattice freezes the motion along y, leading to a quasi-1D geometry. The x-lattice is a spindependent tight-binding optical lattice as described in figure 1a: each spin state is held in a sublattice of period $2d$, with the two sublattices shifted by a distance d. This system can be viewed as a lattice of spacing d with spin $\uparrow(\downarrow)$ particles localized in the even (odd) sites $2i(2i+1)$, respectively). The practical realization of this lattice structure is described in section 5.2.

The physical system restricted to the lowest band of the optical lattice can formally be viewed as a spin-polarized system of fermions on a discrete lattice. Expanding the fermionic field operators on the basis of Wannier functions:

$$
\hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \sum_{i} w_x(x - 2id) w_y(y) \hat{c}_{2i}^{\dagger},
$$

$$
\hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\rho}) = \sum_{i} w_x(x - (2i + 1)d) w_y(y) \hat{c}_{2i+1}^{\dagger},
$$

we rewrite the Hamiltonian (3) as

$$
\hat{H}_{\Delta}^{(2)} = \sum_{i,j} \Delta_{2i,2j+1}^{(2)} \hat{c}_{2i}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{2j+1}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.},
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{2i,2j+1}^{(2)} = -\frac{k_F}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{J_z^2}{\delta} \int d\rho_\uparrow d\rho_\downarrow \ \phi_{\text{rel}}(\rho_\uparrow - \rho_\downarrow) \times \quad w_y(y_\uparrow) w_y(y_\downarrow) w_x(x_\uparrow - 2id) w_x(x_\downarrow - (2j+1)d).
$$

An analytic expression for $\Delta_{i,j}^{(2)}$ can be obtained assuming that the 1/e size σ_x of the Wannier function along x is much smaller than the lattice spacing d , and that the extent of the wavefunction along y is much larger than the 2D scattering length a :

$$
\Delta_{i,j}^{(2)} = -2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{J_z^2}{\delta} k_F \sigma_x e^{-|i-j|d/a}.
$$

CONTENTS 7

In the following we will use values for the scattering length $a = d/2$, for which the $\Delta_{i,j}$ is strongly suppressed except for nearest-neighbor pairs of sites, leading to the Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_{\Delta}^{(2)} = \Delta^{(2)} \sum_{i} \hat{c}_{2i}^{\dagger} (\hat{c}_{2i+1}^{\dagger} + \hat{c}_{2i-1}^{\dagger}) + \text{h.c.} = \Delta^{(2)} \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \hat{c}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.},
$$

where

$$
\Delta^{(2)} \equiv \Delta_{i,i+1}^{(2)} = -2\sqrt{\pi} \frac{J_z^2}{\delta} k_F \sigma_x e^{-d/a}.
$$
\n(4)

This Hamiltonian differs from the gap term in Kitaev's model due to the $(-1)^i$ factors. However, the latter can be eliminated by redefining the operators \hat{c}_i according to $\hat{c}_{2i} \to (-1)^i \hat{d}_{2i}$ and $\hat{c}_{2i+1} \to (-1)^i \hat{d}_{2i+1}$.

The complete Kitaev model

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{Kitaev}} = \sum_{i} -\mu \left(\hat{d}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - J \left(\hat{d}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{i+1} + \text{h.c.} \right) + \Delta \left(\hat{d}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{d}_{i+1}^{\dagger} + \text{h.c.} \right)
$$

is then obtained by introducing a small additional energy offset μ of the plane A and inducing the required atom tunneling J between neighboring sites. The latter is performed using Raman transitions that flip the atom spin, which induces atom tunneling with the required phase $(-1)^i$ of the hopping operator \hat{d}_i^{\dagger} ${}^{\dagger}_{i}\hat{d}_{i+1} = (-1)^{i}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{i}$ $_{i}^{\intercal}\hat{c}_{i+1}$ expressed with the physical operators \hat{c}_i [51]. The required laser setup is described in section 5.2.

4. Bogoliubov spectra and Majorana fermions

The topological character of a topological superfluid can be characterized by its spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations. Let us remind the main properties of Bogoliubov excitations in the case of Kitaev's model, described by the Hamiltonian (1) [6]. In the case of a homogeneous 1D chain with periodic boundary conditions, the spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations is gapped when $\Delta > 0$, except when the chemical potential is fine-tuned to $\mu = -2J$ or 2J. These points indicate phase transitions between topologically different systems. The phase $\mu < -2J$ can be smoothly connected to the trivial vacuum by decreasing μ to $-\infty$ without closing the gap, it is thus non-topological. The phase $\mu > 2J$ is essentially equivalent to $\mu < -2J$ since both phases are related by a particlehole transform. On the other hand, the phase $-2J < \mu < 2J$ has a non-trivial topology which can be characterized by a \mathbb{Z}_2 topological invariant [6].

Similarly to edge states in the topological quantum Hall phases, for a chain with open boundary conditions the non-trivial topology is associated with boundary states. In the case of 1D topological superfluids the boundary states form a pair of Majorana modes occuring at zero energy, i.e. in the middle of the gap of bulk Bogoliubov excitations. These states are highly non-local – delocalized at both ends of the topological superfluid – and become exponentially degenerate when increasing the size of the topological phase.

We present in this section spectra of Bogoliubov excitations calculated for realistic experimental parameters, using the Hamiltonian described in sections 2 and 3 (without

Figure 2. Amplitude of the bulk superfluid gap Δ_{bulk} as a function of the tunnel coupling J and the chemical potential μ calculated for a system of 30 lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions. The topological transition corresponds to the lines for which the gap cancels; their location agrees well with the prediction of Kitaev's model $\mu = \pm 2J$ (dashed white lines).

performing the perturbation theory to second order in J_z/δ). The system parameters are the following: the lattice spacing d is equal to half the wavelength λ of the optical lattice (see section 5.2), and we choose a 2D scattering length value $a = d/2$ so that the proximity-induced gap is restricted to nearest neighbours. This scattering length value corresponds to a molecular binding energy $E_b \simeq 0.8 E_r$, where $E_r = h^2 / 2m\lambda^2$ denotes the recoil energy. The molecule density in B is fixed to a value $n_{\text{mol}} = 0.16 d^{-2}$ corresponding to a Fermi energy $E_F = E_b/4$, i.e. on the BEC side of the BEC-BCS crossover of s-wave Fermi superfluids. This condition ensures that at low temperature the condensed fraction of the mBEC tends to unity $[54]$. We choose an x-lattice depth value $V_x = 5 E_r$, which leads to a spontaneous atom tunneling of negligible amplitude $6.2 \times 10^{-4} E_r$. The band gap is then equal to $2.0 E_r$, a value larger than the energy offset $\delta = E_b/2 = 0.4 E_r$, as required by the single-band assumption made above.

For the Raman-induced tunneling rate we take a value on the order of the p-wave gap $\Delta^{(2)}$ calculated in second-order perturbation theory, which should maximize the system bulk gap Δ_{bulk} in the perturbative limit $J_z \ll \delta$ (more precisely $\Delta_{\text{bulk}} = 2 \Delta$ for $J = \Delta$ for Kitaev's model [6]).

4.1. Homogeneous topological superfluid

We discuss in this section the case of a homogeneous system.

We first calculate the spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations for a homogeneous system of 30 lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions. It exhibits a bulk gap whose amplitude Δ_{bulk} is plotted in figure 2 as a function of the tunnel coupling J and the chemical potential μ . We observe a cancellation of the bulk gap on two lines of the phase diagram $\mu \simeq \pm 2J$, in agreement with the prediction of Kitaev's model. The lines indicate the location of the topological transition.

Figure 3. a. Spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations (red dots) calculated for $J_z = 0.2 \delta$ and $J = \Delta^{(2)}$, and compared with the prediction of Kitaev's model with $J = \Delta = \Delta^{(2)}$ (black dots). **b.** Density distribution along x of a zero-energy Majorana state, in the planes A (red line) and B (blue line), revealing the non-local character of Majorana states. In the perturbative regime $J \ll \delta$ the population in B remains small.

We then repeat the calculation of Bogoliubov spectra for homogeneous systems with sharp boundaries, for which zero-energy Majorana end states are expected in the topological phase. We first consider a small tunneling rate value $J_z = 0.2 \delta$. As shown in figure 3a, the calculated spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations is very similar to the one of Kitaev's lattice model, with a bulk gap and two Majorana states at zero energy. The full excitation spectrum quantitatively differs from Kitaev's model due to additional effects such as the creation of atom pairs in non-neighbouring lattice sites; yet the bulk gap value is in good agreement with the second-order perturbation result of equation (4).

When increasing the tunneling rate value beyond the weak coupling regime $J_z \ll \delta$, we find that the structure of the Bogoliubov spectra qualitatively remains the same for tunneling rates $J_z \sim \delta$ (see figure 4a). This should allow one to create p-wave superfluids with large bulk gap values $\Delta_{\text{bulk}} \sim 0.2 E_r$, which corresponds to a gap of $k_B \times 40$ nK for the physical system described in section 5 (see figure 4b). As the gas temperature has to be much smaller than the Fermi energy $E_F \simeq 0.2 E_r$ in order to create a molecular BEC in plane B [55], we expect the *p*-wave superfluid to be essentially in the zero-temperature regime for $J_z \sim \delta$.

The density probability of the Majorana states at zero energy is shown in figure 4c in the case $J_z = \delta$. In the plane A essentially only the lattice sites at the edge of the system are populated. We note that in that case the probability to occupy the plane B is not negligible (about 30%). The total atom density probability is almost uniform and close to half filling (see figure 4d).

4.2. Topological superfluid in a harmonic trap

Homogeneous systems with sharp boundaries are difficult to realize with ultracold atom systems. We thus now focus on gases held in a harmonic trap $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2$. In a local density approximation picture, the local properties of the gas are expected to be close to the ones of a homogeneous gas with a local chemical potential $\mu - V(x)$. A

Figure 4. a. Spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations (red dots) for a homogeneous system with sharp boundaries, calculated for $J_z = \delta$, and $J = 2\Delta^{(2)}$. It exhibits a bulk gap $\Delta_{\text{bulk}} = 0.18 E_r$ and a pair of zero-energy Majorana states with a residual splitting $\Delta_s \sim 10^{-12} E_r$. b. Evolution of the bulk gap amplitude Δ_{bulk} as a function of the ratio J_z/δ (red line), for $J = \Delta^{(2)}$, with $\Delta^{(2)}$ given by eq. (4). The black line represents the prediction of second-order perturbation theory $\Delta_{\text{bulk}} = 2 \Delta^{(2)}$. c. Density distribution along x of a zero-energy Majorana state, in the planes A (red line) and B (blue line, offset for clarity). In the strong coupling regime $J \sim \delta$ the population in B is not negligible. **d.** Total density distribution along x calculated at zero temperature. Majorana states are not visible in this almost uniform density profile.

gas of chemical potential $-2J < \mu < 2J$ should thus exhibit a phase separation with a topological superfluid phase in the trap bottom $(\mu - V(x) > -2J)$ and trivial superfluids at the edges $(\mu - V(x) < -2J)$. We also expect the presence of Majorana zero-energy states at the boundary of the topological superfluid.

For the parameters given in the caption of figure 5, the calculated spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations is gapped with a pair of quasi-degenerate Majorana end states at zero energy (see figure 5a). These states are non-local, being the superposition of two localized wavefunctions $(1/e \text{ size of } \simeq 2.5 d)$ separated by $\simeq 21 d$ (see figure 5c). The position of these zero-energy modes agrees well with the position of the topological transition given by the local density approximation. Note that the Majorana states are not directly visible in the total density profile; yet at the location of the topological transition the gas compressibility sharply varies (see figure 5d), which could be useful to establish the phase diagram of the system [56, 57].

A figure of merit of the topological protection can be given by the residual splitting between the two Majorana states. As shown in figure 5b, the topological protection

Figure 5. a. Spectrum of Bogoliubov excitations (red dots) for a harmonically trapped system, calculated for $J_z = 1.5 \delta$, $J = 2 \Delta^{(2)}$, $\omega = 2\pi \times 200 \text{ Hz}$. It exhibits a bulk gap $\Delta_{\text{bulk}} = 0.22 E_r$ and a pair of zero-energy Majorana states with a residual splitting $\Delta_s \sim 10^{-10} E_r$. b. Evolution of the energy splitting Δ_s between Majorana states (red dots) and of the bulk gap Δ_{bulk} (orange dots) as a function fo the trapping frequency ω . While the bulk gap essentially does not depend on ω , the splitting between Majorana states strongly decreases when decreasing the ω value, i.e. increasing the separation between Majorana fermions. c. Density distribution along x of a zero-energy Majorana state, in the planes A (red line) and B (blue line). **d.** Total density distribution along x calculated at zero temperature.

improves by increasing the separation between the Majorana fermions, which can be performed by opening the trap along x .

4.3. Probing the Majorana fermions

As shown in figure 5c,d, the presence of Majorana end states cannot be revealed from the total atom density. Photo-emission spectroscopy [58], which directly addresses the structure of the Bogoliubov excitation spectrum, is a convenient probe for these states [31, 32]. It consists here in coherently coupling the spin $|\uparrow\rangle$ atoms to an unpopulated internal state $|\alpha\rangle$, which can be probed either in situ or after time-of-flight. The photoemission performed at a given frequency Ω gives rise to a transfer of atoms occupying the Bogoliubov excitations of energy E matching the resonance condition $\hbar\Omega = \hbar\omega_0 - E$, where ω_0 is the resonance frequency for a single atom. The energy of the final state $|\alpha\rangle$ is neglected for simplicity.

Figure 6. Position-resolved photoemission spectrum calculated with the parameters of figure 5. The Majorana states probed at zero energy are localized at the edges of the topological superfluid.

4.3.1. Density probability of Majorana states. In order to probe the density probability of Majorana states, we probe the target state density distribution right after the photoemission pulse. The target spin state $|\alpha\rangle$ can be chosen as another Zeeman state that would be trapped in x lattice, with a photoemission coupling provided by a radiofrequency field. We assume that the photoemission spectroscopy is performed in the linear perturbative regime, and that the target spin state $|\alpha\rangle$ can be imaged in situ with single-atom sensitivity [59, 60]. The density probability of $|\alpha\rangle$ atoms as a function of the driving frequency is shown in figure 6. The bulk Bogoliubov excitations are associated with a broad energy spectrum above the superfluid gap. The Majorana boundary states correspond to a narrow feature at zero energy, with photoemitted atoms located at both ends of the topological superfluid phase.

4.3.2. Momentum distribution of Majorana states. The photoemission technique can also be applied to extract the momentum distribution of Majorana states, by imaging the target state $|\alpha\rangle$ after time-of-flight.

For this measurement the target state $|\alpha\rangle$ should be untrapped so that its eigenstates have a well-defined momentum. A possible choice for $|\alpha\rangle$ is a long-lived excited state§, for which the optical dipole force of wavelength λ used for the optical lattice would be very weak [62]. The photoemission coupling would be achieved using the ultranarrow optical transition between the ground electronic state and the state $|\alpha\rangle$.

The expected photoemission spectrum (shown in figure 7a) can be divided in a broad spectrum of bulk excitations and a narrow feature at zero energy corresponding to the Majorana states. The oscillatory behavior at zero energy reflects the quantum superposition of the wavefunction of Majorana states at both ends of the topological superfluid. Besides demonstrating the non-local character of the Majorana states, this probe also allows one to discriminate between the two zero-energy states, whose phase of the interference pattern differ (shown in figure 7b).

 \S such as the $4f^9(^6H^0)5d6s^2$ ⁷ H_8^0 metastable state of Dy (1/e lifetime of 7 ms), coupled to the ground electronic state by an optical transition at 1322 nm [61].

Figure 7. a. Momentum-resolved photoemission spectrum, exhibiting an oscillatory behavior at zero energy reflecting the delocalization of Majorana states at both ends of the topological superfluid. The small rectangle at zero energy represents the spectrum of Majorana states shown in **b. b.** Momentum-resolved photoemission spectrum $n_i(k)$ for both Majorana states $i = 1, 2$, differing from the phase of the fast oscillations. The slow modulation (period π/d) reflects the wavefunction modulation by the lattice potential, while the fast oscillation is due to the delocalization of the wavefunction at both ends of the topological superfluid.

4.3.3. Adiabatic preparation of a given Majorana state. In the previous section we described how to discriminate between Majorana states using momentum-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. This raises the question of the preparation of the system in a given Majorana state [31], which is an important prerequisite to the manipulation of Majorana fermions for quantum information purposes. We propose to prepare a given Majorana state by crossing adiabatically a phase transition from a trivial to a topological superfluid phase. This can be achieved by changing adiabatically the chemical potential μ , which can be performed by dynamically varying the double-well energy offset (see section 5.2.1).

In the local density approximation framework, one expects a topological superfluid phase to nucleate in the trap bottom when the chemical potential corresponds to the critical value for topological superfluidity μ_c at the bottom of the trap $(\mu_c \simeq -2 J)$. As shown in figure 8a, the nucleation of the topological superfluid is associated with the creation of Majorana zero-energy states from two bulk states (one from the hole sector, one from the particle sector) when increasing the chemical potential value across $\mu = \mu_c$. By increasing adiabatically the chemical potential value from a trivial to a topological phase, one thus expects the system to populate the Majorana state which is adiabatically connected to the hole sector of the trivial superfluid. In order to test the feasability of this scheme, we simulated the effect of the finite duration of the chemical potential ramp by solving the Schrödinger equation for our system. As shown in figure 8b, fidelities $\mathcal{F} > 0.9$ can be achieved with the parameters described in the caption of figure 8 for ramp durations of about 120 ms. Note that shorter adiabatic paths could be used if one crosses the topological transition with a lower atom number in plane A (i.e. higher trapping frequency ω), and then increase the atom number in A by opening the trap far from the topological transition so that Majorana states are deeply topologically

Figure 8. a. Energy of Bogoliubov excitations as a function of the chemical potential μ . The parameters are the same than for figure 5, expect with a trap frequency $\omega/2\pi = 600$ Hz. The bulk hole sector is pictured in blue. Starting with a system prepared at low temperature in the trivial phase ($\mu \simeq -2 E_r$) the Majorana state connected to the hole sector of the trivial superfluid (in red) can be prepared by increasing adiabatically the chemical potential up to $\mu = 0$. **b.** Expected fidelity F as a function of the ramp duration T (black dots). The solid line is an exponential fit to the numerical data. A fidelity $\mathcal{F} > 0.9$ is expected for ramp durations $T > 3300 \,\hbar/E_r \simeq 120 \,\text{ms}.$

protected.

5. Description of the experimental setup

5.1. Choice of the atomic species

The proposal described above makes uses of a spin-dependent optical lattice potential. For fermionic alkali atoms $({}^{6}Li$ and ${}^{40}K)$ spin-dependent optical dipole potentials are associated with large heating rates due to spontaneous emission [36, 37], because of the small fine-structure splitting of the P electronic levels compared to the transition linewidth. In order to circumvent this issue we propose to use a fermionic atom of the Lanthanide family, such as ^{167}Er or ^{161}Dy [63, 64, 65]. These atoms benefit from narrow optical transitions that can be used to create spin-dependent dipole potentials with small heating rates. For example, strong spin-dependent potentials can be created for Dy atoms using optical lattices at $\lambda = 530$ nm, close to the 530.3 nm transition (electronic angular momenta $J = 8 \rightarrow J' = 7$, linewidth $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 184 \text{ kHz}$).

Due to the large magnetic moment of these atoms, we expect large dipolar relaxation rates, except for mixtures of the two Zeeman states of lowest energy in the case of a fermionic species $[66]$. As shown in the case of 168 Er Bose-Einstein condensates, the very large number of Zeeman states in the electronic ground state should lead to numerous Feshbach resonances, making it possible to create a molecular Bose-Einstein condensate for large scattering length values [65].

Figure 9. a. Scheme of the bichromatic superlattice potential along z (black line), made of the superposition of two standing waves of wavelength λ (blue line) and 2λ (red line). The energy offset δ in the resulting double-well potential can be controlled using a relative offset in position of the two standing waves. b. Amplitude of the standing wave along z used for generating the y lattice, which vanishes at z_B . c. Superlattice potential with the Wannier function in plane B centered on z_B .

5.2. Optical lattice configuration

We describe in this section the laser configuration required to create the double-well potential along z , the plane-dependent lattices along x and y , and the Raman lasers driving atom tunneling in the x lattice.

5.2.1. Superlattice potential along z. The atoms are confined in a bilayer system of two planes A and B using a double-well potential. The latter can be created using a bichromatic optical lattice along z , i.e. the superposition of two standing waves of wavelength λ and 2λ , leading to a potential

$$
V_{\rm SL}(z) = V_1 \cos^2(kz) + V_2 \cos^2(kz/2 + \phi),\tag{5}
$$

where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ [67] (see figure 9a). Atom tunneling towards other planes is suppressed using lattice depths of about 20 E_r . The lattice intensities V_1 , V_2 and relative phase ϕ enable one to control independently the tunnel coupling J_z between A and B and the value of the energy offsets δ and μ .

5.2.2. Plane-dependent lattices along x and y. An optical lattice is used to freeze the atom motion along y in plane A . The atom motion in plane B , which contains the twodimensional mBEC, should remain unaffected so that phase coherence in the mBEC is maintained.

The plane-dependent optical lattice along y can be created using the interference of a travelling wave propagating along $\mathbf{u} = \cos \alpha \, \mathbf{e_y} + \sin \alpha \, \mathbf{e_z}$ with a standing wave along

Figure 10. a. Scheme of the electronic states used for creating spin-dependent optical lattices with a $J \to J' = J - 1$ optical transition. **b.** Lattice potential along x obtained by interfering a standing wave along z with a plane wave along x . The required spin-dependent lattice configuration is achieved for specific choices of polarization. c. Geometry of the complete laser configuration generating the optical lattice potential and the laser-induced tunneling in the x-lattice.

z (see figure 10c), creating a potential

$$
V(\mathbf{r}) \propto \left| E_u e^{ik\mathbf{u_y} \cdot \mathbf{r}} + E_z \cos(kz + \phi') \right|^2
$$

= $|E_z|^2 \cos^2(kz + \phi') +$
 $2|E_z E_u| \cos(kz + \phi') \cos(k(\cos \alpha y + \sin \alpha z)) + |E_u|^2.$

The first term represents a simple optical lattice along z that contributes to the superlattice potential. The second term consists in an optical lattice along y of lattice spacing $d_y = \lambda/\cos \alpha$ whose amplitude depends on the plane positions z_A and z_B . As the z standing wave has a node on the retroreflection mirror, the phase ϕ' can be controlled with high precision by varying the laser frequency over typically a GHz range $[67, 68]$. This allows one to choose the value of ϕ' so that the y lattice amplitude vanishes at z_B , leaving the motion of molecules in the plane B unaffected (see figure 9b,c).

Choosing the value $\alpha = \pi/3$ corresponds to a y lattice spacing $d_y = 2\lambda$. For such a value $d_y \gg a$ the amplitude for atom pair tunneling into different tubes is about 10⁻³ smaller than for pair tunneling into the same tube. This allows one to consider that the tubes are independent from each other.

The same technique can be used to create the lattice potential along x . As the optical lattice wavelength is chosen close to a narrow transition, vector light shifts can be used to create the spin-dependent potential in the desired configuration shown in figure 10b. We checked that using specific laser polarizations we can create the required spin-independent lattices along y and z and the spin-dependent lattice along x (see Appendix B).

5.2.3. Laser-induced tunneling In order to realize Kitaev's model atom tunneling in the x lattice should be described by the Hamiltonian $-J\sum_i(-1)^i\hat{c}_i^{\dagger}$ $i \hat{c}_{i+1}$. The required

CONTENTS 17

alternation of sign of the tunnel amplitude can be achieved by inducing atom tunneling via Raman transitions in the following geometry (see figure 10c): one of the Raman beams would be the z lattice beam used for generating the x and y lattices, and the second beam would propagate along x, with a frequency detuning equal to the $|\uparrow\rangle \leftrightarrow |\downarrow\rangle$ transition frequency. The hopping matrix element between the sites $2i$ and $2i + 1$ is then given by

$$
J_{2i,2i\pm 1} = \hbar\Omega_0 \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{r} \, \cos(kz + \phi_z) e^{ikx} W_{2i}(\mathbf{r})^* W_{2i\pm 1}(\mathbf{r}),
$$

where $W_i(\mathbf{r}) = w_x(x - id)w_y(y)w_z(z)$ is the Wannier fonction of the site i of the x lattice and Ω_0 is the Rabi frequency of the Raman transition in vacuum. An explicit calculation leads to the result

$$
J_{2i,2i\pm 1} = \pm J, \quad J = \hbar\Omega_0 \int dz \, \cos(kz + \phi_z) |w_z(z)|^2 \int dx \, e^{ikx} w_x(x)^* w_x(x - d),
$$

which is the desired configuration, assuming the gauge is chosen so that J is real.

6. Conclusions and perspectives

In this article we have discussed how to realize 1D topological superfluids with ultracold Fermi gases. We described how to probe the Majorana fermions located at the edges of the topological superfluid phase. Further developments could address the robustness of the Majorana degeneracy with respect to disorder [69, 70] and atomic interactions between neighbouring sites [71, 72, 73, 74], which could be induced by the large dipoledipole interactions for Dy or Er atoms. On a longer term the manipulation of Majorana fermions would allow one to exhibit their non-Abelian statistics, which is the basic ingredient for developing topological quantum computation [10, 11, 12]. The realization of braiding operations requires either implementing a network of coupled 1D tubes [75] or creating a two-dimensional p_x+ip_y topological superfluid with Majorana fermions bound to half-quantum vortices [4, 12, 76]. The experimental scheme presented in this article could be adapted to a 2D geometry to realize a lattice $p_x + ip_y$ topological superfluid.

Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the 'Bose-Einstein condensates' and 'Fermi gases' groups at LKB, the Quantum optics theory group at Innsbruck university, V. Gurarie, N. Cooper, and N. Goldman for helpful discussions. Laboratoire Kastler Brossel is unité mixte de recherche (UMR 8552) of the CNRS.

Appendix A. Semi-classical approximation

In this appendix we provide details on the calculation of the proximity-induced gap using a semi-classical approximation. For replacing the mBEC coherent state by

For two Dy atoms separated by $\lambda/2 = 265$ nm the dipole-dipole interaction varies between $-0.03 E_r$ and $0.016 E_r$, depending on the magnetic field direction.

a classical field we use a unitary displacement of the Hamiltonian by the operator $\hat{D}_{\text{mBEC}} = e^{-\sqrt{N}/2} \exp(\sqrt{N}(\hat{\psi}_{\text{mol}}^{\dagger} - \hat{\psi}_{\text{mol}})).$ For the calculation of $\hat{D}_{\text{mBEC}}^{\dagger} \hat{H}_{z} \hat{D}_{\text{mBEC}}$ we use the formula

$$
e^{\hat{A}}\hat{B}e^{-\hat{A}} = \hat{B} + \left[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\right] + \frac{1}{2!}\left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\right]\right] + \frac{1}{3!}\left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \left[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\right]\right]\right] + \dots
$$

This leads to an effective Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = -J_z \int d\rho d\rho' f(\rho' - \rho') \left(\hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\rho) \hat{\psi}_{B,\uparrow}(\rho') + \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\rho) \hat{\psi}_{B,\downarrow}(\rho') + \text{h.c.} \right) \n- J_z \int d\rho_{\uparrow} d\rho_{\downarrow} g(\rho_{\uparrow} - \rho_{\uparrow}) \left(\hat{\psi}_{B,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\rho_{\uparrow}) \hat{\psi}_{A,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\rho_{\downarrow}) + \hat{\psi}_{A,\uparrow}^{\dagger}(\rho_{\uparrow}) \hat{\psi}_{B,\downarrow}^{\dagger}(\rho_{\downarrow}) + \text{h.c.} \right),
$$

where the first terms describes atom tunneling between the planes A and B and the second terms corresponds to the creation/annihilation of atom pairs from the mBEC.

The functions $f(\rho)$ and $g(\rho)$ are given by

$$
f(\rho) = \delta(\rho) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{k_F a}{2\pi}\right)^{2n} K_0^{*2n}(\rho),
$$

$$
g(\rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(2n+1)!} \left(\frac{k_F a}{2\pi}\right)^{2n+1} K_0^{*2n+1}(\rho),
$$

where K_0^{*i} refers to the function K_0 convolved with itself *i* times.

In the main text we only kept for both functions the first term of the series. We checked that for the value $E_F = E_b/4$ used for the calculations this assumption is justified (error on $g(\rho)$ smaller than 10%).

Appendix B. Polarization of the optical lattices

We provide in table B1 a possible choice for the polarization of the lasers used for the spin-independent lattices along z and y, and the spin-dependent lattice along x.

References

[1] M.Z. Hasan and C.L. Kane. Colloquium: topological insulators. Rev. Mod. Phys. , 82(4):3045, 2010.

- [2] X.L. Qi and S.C. Zhang. Topological insulators and superconductors. Rev. Mod. Phys. , 83(4):1057, 2011.
- [3] G.E. Volovik. The Universe in a Helium droplet, volume 117. Oxford University Press, USA, 2003.
- [4] N. Read and D. Green. Paired states of fermions in two dimensions with breaking of parity and time-reversal symmetries and the fractional quantum Hall effect. Phys. Rev. B, 61(15):10267, 2000.
- [5] N.B. Kopnin and M.M. Salomaa. Mutual friction in superfluid ³He: Effects of bound states in the vortex core. Phys. Rev. B, 44(17):9667, 1991.
- [6] A.Y. Kitaev. Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires. Physics-Uspekhi, 44:131, 2001.
- [7] J.C.Y. Teo and C.L. Kane. Topological defects and gapless modes in insulators and superconductors. Phys. Rev. B, 82(11):115120, 2010.
- [8] A.M. Essin and V. Gurarie. Bulk-boundary correspondence of topological insulators from their respective Green's functions. Phys. Rev. B, 84(12):125132, 2011.
- [9] E. Majorana. Teoria simmetrica dell'elettrone e del positrone. Nuovo Cimento, 14:171–184, 1937.
- [10] A.Y. Kitaev. Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. Ann. Phys., 303(1):2–30, 2003.
- [11] M.H. Freedman, A. Kitaev, M.J. Larsen, and Z. Wang. Topological quantum computation. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 40(1):31–38, 2003.
- [12] S. Das Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak. Topologically protected qubits from a possible non-Abelian fractional quantum Hall state. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 94(16):166802, 2005.
- [13] C. Nayak, S.H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S.D. Sarma. Non-Abelian anyons and topological quantum computation. Rev. Mod. Phys. , 80(3):1083, 2008.
- [14] F. Wilczek. Majorana returns. Nature Phys., 5(9):614–618, 2009.
- [15] L. Fu and C.L. Kane. Superconducting proximity effect and Majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 100(9):096407, 2008.
- [16] R.M. Lutchyn, J.D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma. Majorana fermions and a topological phase transition in semiconductor-superconductor heterostructures. Phys. Rev. Lett., 105(7):77001, 2010.
- [17] Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. Von Oppen. Helical liquids and Majorana bound states in quantum wires. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105(17):177002, 2010.
- [18] C.W.J. Beenakker. Search for majorana fermions in superconductors. arXiv:1112.1950, 2011.
- [19] J. Alicea. New directions in the pursuit of Majorana fermions in solid state systems. Rep. Prog. Phys. , 75(7):076501, 2012.
- [20] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S.M. Frolov, S.R. Plissard, E. Bakkers, and L.P. Kouwenhoven. Signatures of Majorana fermions in hybrid superconductor-semiconductor nanowire devices. Science, 336(6084):1003–1007, 2012.
- [21] J.R. Williams, A.J. Bestwick, P. Gallagher, S.S. Hong, Y. Cui, A.S. Bleich, J.G. Analytis, I.R. Fisher, and D. Goldhaber-Gordon. Unconventional Josephson effect in hybrid superconductortopological insulator devices. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 109(5):56803, 2012.
- [22] L.P. Rokhinson, X. Liu, and J.K. Furdyna. Observation of the fractional ac Josephson effect: the signature of Majorana particles. $arXiv:1204.4212$, 2012.
- [23] M.T. Deng, C.L. Yu, G.Y. Huang, M. Larsson, P. Caroff, and H.Q. Xu. Observation of Majorana fermions in a Nb-InSb nanowire-Nb hybrid quantum device. arXiv:1204.4130, 2012.
- [24] V. Gurarie, L. Radzihovsky, and A.V. Andreev. Quantum phase transitions across a p -wave Feshbach resonance. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 94(23):230403, 2005.
- [25] N.R. Cooper and G.V. Shlyapnikov. Stable topological superfluid phase of ultracold polar fermionic molecules. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 103(15):155302, 2009.
- [26] J. Levinsen, N.R. Cooper, and G.V. Shlyapnikov. Topological p_x+ip_y superfluid phase of fermionic polar molecules. Phys. Rev. A, 84(1):013603, 2011.
- [27] T.D. Stanescu, V. Galitski, and S.D. Sarma. Topological states in two-dimensional optical lattices. Phys. Rev. A, 82(1):013608, 2010.
- [28] L. Jiang, T. Kitagawa, J. Alicea, AR Akhmerov, D. Pekker, G. Refael, J.I. Cirac, E. Demler, M.D.

Lukin, and P. Zoller. Majorana fermions in equilibrium and in driven cold-atom quantum wires. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 106(22):220402, 2011.

- [29] S. Diehl, E. Rico, M.A. Baranov, and P. Zoller. Topology by dissipation in atomic quantum wires. Nature Phys., 7(12):971–977, 2011.
- [30] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger. Many-body physics with ultracold gases. Rev. Mod. Phys. , 80(3):885, 2008.
- [31] C.V. Kraus, S. Diehl, P. Zoller, and M.A. Baranov. Probing atomic Majorana fermions in optical lattices. arXiv:1201.3253, 2012.
- [32] X.J. Liu, L. Jiang, H. Pu, and H. Hu. Probing Majorana fermions in spin-orbit-coupled atomic Fermi gases. Phys. Rev. A, 85(2):021603, 2012.
- [33] C.A. Regal, C. Ticknor, J.L. Bohn, and D.S. Jin. Tuning p-wave interactions in an ultracold Fermi gas of atoms. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 90(5):053201, 2003.
- [34] J. Zhang, E.G.M. Van Kempen, T. Bourdel, L. Khaykovich, J. Cubizolles, F. Chevy, M. Teichmann, L. Tarruell, S. Kokkelmans, and C. Salomon. p-wave Feshbach resonances of ultracold ⁶Li. Phys. Rev. A, 70(3):030702, 2004.
- [35] Y.J. Lin, K. Jiménez-García, and I.B. Spielman. Spin-orbit-coupled Bose-Einstein condensates. Nature, 471(7336):83–86, 2011.
- [36] P. Wang, Z.Q. Yu, Z. Fu, J. Miao, L. Huang, S. Chai, H. Zhai, and J. Zhang. Spin-orbit coupled degenerate Fermi gases. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 109(9):95301, 2012.
- [37] L.W. Cheuk, A.T. Sommer, Z. Hadzibabic, T. Yefsah, W.S. Bakr, and M.W. Zwierlein. Spininjection spectroscopy of a spin-orbit coupled Fermi gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 109(9):95302, 2012.
- [38] C. Zhang, S. Tewari, R.M. Lutchyn, and S. Das Sarma. p_x+ip_y superfluid from s-wave interactions of fermionic cold atoms. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 101(16):160401, 2008.
- [39] M. Sato, Y. Takahashi, and S. Fujimoto. Non-Abelian topological order in s-wave superfluids of ultracold fermionic atoms. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 103(2):020401, 2009.
- [40] M. Gong, S. Tewari, and C. Zhang. BCS-BEC crossover and topological phase transition in 3D spin-orbit coupled degenerate Fermi gases. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 107(19):195303, 2011.
- [41] W.L. McMillan. Tunneling model of the superconducting proximity effect. Phys. Rev. , 175(2):537, 1968.
- [42] K. Martiyanov, V. Makhalov, and A. Turlapov. Observation of a two-dimensional Fermi gas of atoms. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 105(3):30404, 2010.
- [43] P. Dyke, E.D. Kuhnle, S. Whitlock, H. Hu, M. Mark, S. Hoinka, M. Lingham, P. Hannaford, and C.J. Vale. Crossover from 2D to 3D in a weakly interacting Fermi gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 106(10):105304, 2011.
- [44] B. Fröhlich, M. Feld, E. Vogt, M. Koschorreck, W. Zwerger, and M. Köhl. Radio-frequency spectroscopy of a strongly interacting two-dimensional Fermi gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 106(10):105301, 2011.
- [45] A.T. Sommer, L.W. Cheuk, M.J.H. Ku, W.S. Bakr, and M.W. Zwierlein. Evolution of fermion pairing from three to two dimensions. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 108(4):045302, 2012.
- [46] O. Mandel, M. Greiner, A. Widera, T. Rom, T.W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch. Coherent transport of neutral atoms in spin-dependent optical lattice potentials. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 91(1):10407, 2003.
- [47] P.J. Lee, M. Anderlini, B.L. Brown, J. Sebby-Strabley, W.D. Phillips, and J.V. Porto. Sublattice addressing and spin-dependent motion of atoms in a double-well lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 99(2):20402, 2007.
- [48] D. McKay and B. DeMarco. Thermometry with spin-dependent lattices. New J. Phys. , 12:055013, 2010.
- [49] P. Soltan-Panahi, J. Struck, P. Hauke, A. Bick, W. Plenkers, G. Meineke, C. Becker, P. Windpassinger, M. Lewenstein, and K. Sengstock. Multi-component quantum gases in spindependent hexagonal lattices. Nature Phys., 7(5):434–440, 2011.
- [50] M. Karski, L. Förster, J.M. Choi, A. Alberti, W. Alt, A. Widera, and D. Meschede. Direct observation and analysis of spin dependent transport of single atoms in a 1d optical lattice. J.

Korean Phys. Soc., 59:2947, 2011.

- [51] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller. Creation of effective magnetic fields in optical lattices: the Hofstadter butterfly for cold neutral atoms. New J. Phys. , 5:56, 2003.
- [52] M. Aidelsburger, M. Atala, S. Nascimbène, S. Trotzky, Y.A. Chen, and I. Bloch. Experimental realization of strong effective magnetic fields in an optical lattice. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 107(25):255301, 2011.
- [53] R.J. Glauber. Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field. Phys. Rev. , 131(6):2766–2788, 1963.
- [54] L. Salasnich. Condensate fraction of a two-dimensional attractive Fermi gas. Phys. Rev. A, 76(1):015601, 2007.
- [55] D.S. Petrov, M.A. Baranov, and G.V. Shlyapnikov. Superfluid transition in quasi-two-dimensional Fermi gases. Phys. Rev. A, 67(3):031601, 2003.
- [56] X.-J. Liu and H. Hu. Topological superfluid in one-dimensional spin-orbit-coupled atomic Fermi gases. Phys. Rev. A, 85:033622, Mar 2012.
- [57] K. Seo and C. A. R. Han, L.and S´a de Melo. Topological phase transitions in ultracold Fermi superfluids: The evolution from Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer to Bose-Einstein-condensate superfluids under artificial spin-orbit fields. Phys. Rev. A, 85:033601, Mar 2012.
- [58] J.T. Stewart, J.P. Gaebler, and D.S. Jin. Using photoemission spectroscopy to probe a strongly interacting Fermi gas. Nature, 454(7205):744–747, 2008.
- [59] W.S. Bakr, J.I. Gillen, A. Peng, S. Fölling, and M. Greiner. A quantum gas microscope for detecting single atoms in a Hubbard-regime optical lattice. Nature, 462(7269):74–77, 2009.
- [60] J.F. Sherson, C. Weitenberg, M. Endres, M. Cheneau, I. Bloch, and S. Kuhr. Single-atom-resolved fluorescence imaging of an atomic Mott insulator. Nature, 467(7311):68–72, 2010.
- [61] V.A. Dzuba and V.V. Flambaum. Theoretical study of some experimentally relevant states of dysprosium. Phys. Rev. A, 81(5):052515, 2010.
- [62] V.A. Dzuba, V.V. Flambaum, and B.L. Lev. Dynamic polarizabilities and magic wavelengths for dysprosium. Phys. Rev. A, 83(3):032502, 2011.
- [63] M. Lu, N.Q. Burdick, S.H. Youn, and B.L. Lev. Strongly dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate of Dysprosium. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 107(19):190401, 2011.
- [64] M. Lu, N.Q. Burdick, and B.L. Lev. Quantum degenerate dipolar Fermi gas. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 108:215301, 2012.
- [65] K. Aikawa, A. Frisch, M. Mark, S. Baier, A. Rietzler, R. Grimm, and F. Ferlaino. Bose-Einstein condensation of Erbium. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 108(21):210401, 2012.
- [66] B. Pasquiou, G. Bismut, Q. Beaufils, A. Crubellier, E. Maréchal, P. Pedri, L. Vernac, O. Gorceix, and B. Laburthe-Tolra. Control of dipolar relaxation in external fields. Phys. Rev. A, 81(4):042716, 2010.
- [67] S. Fölling, S. Trotzky, P. Cheinet, M. Feld, R. Saers, A. Widera, T. Müller, and I. Bloch. Direct observation of second-order atom tunnelling. Nature, 448(7157):1029–1032, 2007.
- [68] L. Tarruell, D. Greif, T. Uehlinger, G. Jotzu, and T. Esslinger. Creating, moving and merging Dirac points with a Fermi gas in a tunable honeycomb lattice. Nature, 483(7389):302–305, 2012.
- [69] A.R. Akhmerov, J.P. Dahlhaus, F. Hassler, M. Wimmer, and C.W.J. Beenakker. Quantized conductance at the Majorana phase transition in a disordered superconducting wire. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 106(5):057001, 2011.
- [70] P.W. Brouwer, M. Duckheim, A. Romito, and F. von Oppen. Topological superconducting phases in disordered quantum wires with strong spin-orbit coupling. Phys. Rev. B, 84(14):144526, 2011.
- [71] S. Gangadharaiah, B. Braunecker, P. Simon, and D. Loss. Majorana edge states in interacting one-dimensional systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. , 107(3):036801, 2011.
- [72] E.M. Stoudenmire, J. Alicea, O.A. Starykh, and M.P.A. Fisher. Interaction effects in topological superconducting wires supporting Majorana fermions. Phys. Rev. B, 84(1):014503, 2011.
- [73] E. Sela, A. Altland, and A. Rosch. Majorana fermions in strongly interacting helical liquids. Phys. Rev. B, 84(8):085114, 2011.
- [74] R.M. Lutchyn and M.P.A. Fisher. Interacting topological phases in multiband nanowires. Phys. Rev. B, 84(21):214528, 2011.
- [75] J. Alicea, Y. Oreg, G. Refael, F. Von Oppen, and M.P.A. Fisher. Non-Abelian statistics and topological quantum information processing in 1D wire networks. Nature Phys., 7(5):412–417, 2011.
- [76] S.D. Sarma, C. Nayak, and S. Tewari. Proposal to stabilize and detect half-quantum vortices in strontium ruthenate thin films: Non-Abelian braiding statistics of vortices in a $p_x + ip_y$ superconductor. Phys. Rev. B, 73(22):220502, 2006.