

# Operando 57Fe Mössbauer and XRD investigation of LixMnyFe1-yPO4/C composites (y = 0.50; 0.75)

Alexis Perea, Moulay Tahar Sougrati, Costana Ionica-Bousquet, Bernard

Fraisse, Cécile Tessier, Laurent Aldon, Jean-Claude Jumas

## ▶ To cite this version:

Alexis Perea, Moulay Tahar Sougrati, Costana Ionica-Bousquet, Bernard Fraisse, Cécile Tessier, et al.. Operando 57Fe Mössbauer and XRD investigation of LixMnyFe1-yPO4/C composites (y = 0.50; 0.75). RSC Advances, 2012, 2, pp.9517-9524. 10.1039/C2RA20949G . hal-00736973

## HAL Id: hal-00736973 https://hal.science/hal-00736973v1

Submitted on 1 Oct 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# **Accepted Manuscript**

# **RSC** Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard **Terms & Conditions** and the **ethical guidelines** that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

# RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/advances Registered Charity Number 207890

# *Operando* <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer and XRD investigation of $Li_xMn_yFe_{1-y}PO_4/C$ composites (y = 0.50; 0.75)

Alexis Perea,\*<sup>*a*</sup> Moulay T. Sougrati,<sup>*a*</sup> Costana M. Ionica-Bousquet,<sup>*a*</sup> Bernard Fraisse,<sup>*a*</sup> Cécile Tessier,<sup>*b*</sup> Laurent Aldon<sup>*a*</sup> and Jean-Claude Jumas<sup>*a*</sup>

s Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX

#### DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

LiMn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> powders have been synthesized by ceramic route. A comparative investigation of their electrochemical behaviour using *operando* <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer and X-ray diffraction is reported. The complementarity of *operando* techniques used in this study allows the <sup>10</sup> monitoring of changes of the local electronic environment and the lattice modifications that are directly linked to the redox reaction mechanisms. During the charge, LiMn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> has been found to undergo three well defined and reversible reactions via an intermediate phase containing simultaneously Fe<sup>II</sup> and Fe<sup>III</sup> cations. LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> undergoes a two reactions mechanism. Fe<sup>III</sup> Mössbauer signature has been found to be sensitive to the oxidation of Mn<sup>II</sup> since this oxidation is accompanied with a significant increase of the iron quadrupole splitting. This study summarizes the different mechanisms observed for compositions with different manganese content.

## 1. Introduction

Since the work on the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> redox couple in phospho olivines was first reported in 1997, LiFePO<sub>4</sub> has been intensively studied as a promising cathode material<sup>1-4</sup> for the next generation of lithium-ion <sup>20</sup> batteries because of its low cost, safety and environmental compatibility. Numerous studies have attempted to improve the electrochemical performances of the material and to get deeper insight in the lithium electrochemical mechanism<sup>5-9</sup>. On the other hand, attempts have been made to enhance the potential of olivine cathode materials by using manganese phosphate instead of iron phosphate <sup>3, 10</sup>. Despite the recent advances in cycling insulating materials, thanks to nano-coating techniques and new <sup>25</sup> preparation routes <sup>11, 12</sup> and even though some authors have reported promising results<sup>11-13</sup>, LiMnPO<sub>4</sub> is not used as active material in lithium battery. This is due to the fact that it shows much lower effective energy density than the lithium iron phosphate owing to the low practical capacity evidenced. In addition, LiMnPO<sub>4</sub> requires very slow charge and discharge rates <sup>2, 14-16</sup>. The main reason on that is the

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

View Online large kinetic barrier at the mismatched interface of MnPO<sub>4</sub>/LiMnPO<sub>4</sub><sup>4</sup>. It is also worth noticing that during the charge, LiMnPO<sub>4</sub> exhibits higher volume change than LiFePO<sub>4</sub><sup>2, 15, 17</sup>.

A good compromise between LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and LiMnPO<sub>4</sub> is the partially substituted phases LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> <sup>3, 15, 18</sup>, that would introduce the advantage of combining good electrochemical performances of <sup>5</sup> LiFePO<sub>4</sub> with a higher potential for manganese (~ 4.1 V compared with ~ 3.4 V vs. Li<sup>+</sup>/Li for iron) in order to enhance the energy density.

It is however not yet clear which composition has to be preferred for practical application. Yamada *et al.* suggested that  $\text{LiMn}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{0.4}\text{PO}_4$  composition exhibits the best electrochemical performances <sup>15</sup>. LiMn\_{0.4}\text{Fe}\_{0.6}\text{PO}\_4 has also been reported to give good specific capacity after 100 cycles <sup>19</sup>. Chang *et al.* <sup>10</sup> have reported that LiMn\_{0.3}\text{Fe}\_{0.7}\text{PO}\_4 shows the excellent cycling performances<sup>20</sup>, whereas Xu *et al.* found that LiMn\_{0.1}\text{Fe}\_{0.9}\text{PO}\_4 shows the best cycling performances<sup>21</sup>. Despite increasing interest in the Mn substituted phases, their electrochemical mechanisms are not yet well understood. It is for example not clear why the Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>3+</sup>/Mn<sup>2+</sup> redox potentials are shifted (vs. Mn content) and why the polarizations related to these two couples show an opposite behaviour<sup>22</sup>.

<sup>15</sup> *Operando* techniques are increasingly employed for the investigation of the batteries electrochemical behaviour. Few analyses of LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> have been reported using XRD and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) <sup>23-25</sup>.

We have recently reported an *operando* study of  $\text{Li}_x \text{Mn}_y \text{Fe}_{1-y} \text{PO}_4/\text{C}$  composites (y = 0; 0.25)<sup>1, 26</sup>. In order to establish a global mechanism, we have prepared  $\text{LiMn}_{0.50}\text{Fe}_{0.50}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$  and  $\text{LiMn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{0.75}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ <sup>20</sup> using solid-state reaction. *Operando* XRD and <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy analyses have been used to investigate the evolution of iron local environment, oxidation state and lattice structure during the electrochemical redox process.

### 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1 Synthesis procedure

<sup>25</sup> LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C (y = 0.5; 0.75) composites were obtained by solid-state reaction from Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, FeC<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>•2H<sub>2</sub>O, Mn(COOCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>•4H<sub>2</sub>O, NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and a source of carbon (all chemicals of 99% purity from Aldrich) taken in stoichiometric quantities. The precursors were first ball milled during 90 minutes and then thermally treated in a furnace under argon flow at 600 °C.

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Weftsion2brrhdp:Qott 2012 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

#### **RSC** Advances

#### 2.2 Characterization of Materials

After preparation, the compounds were crushed in an agate mortar and powder XRD (PHILIPS X'Pert MPD  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  diffractometer equipped with the X'celerator detector) patterns were recorded using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 1.5418 Å) and a nickel filter.

<sup>5</sup> The residual carbon content was evaluated by a Flash EA 1112 analyser based on the Dynamic Flash Combustion which produced complete combustion of the sample followed by an accurate and precise determination of the elemental gases produced. <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded in the constant acceleration mode and in transmission geometry on a standard Mössbauer spectrometer composed of electronic devices from Ortec and Wissel. A <sup>57</sup>Co(Rh) source with a nominal activity of 370 MBq was <sup>10</sup> used. The source and the absorber were always kept at RT. All the isomer shifts are given relative to α-Fe at RT.

#### 2.3 Electrochemical behaviour

Electrodes containing 80 wt. % sample and 20 wt. % carbon black were prepared for cycling tests. Standard Swagelok cells Li|1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> (propylenecarbonate (PC): ethylene carbonate (EC):dimethyl <sup>15</sup> carbonate (DMC)) 1:1:3, v/v)| LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> were assembled in an argon-filled glove box and tested using a VMP system.

To identify the electrochemical reaction mechanisms, we carried out *operando* XRD and <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements for both  $\text{LiMn}_{y}\text{Fe}_{1-y}\text{PO}_{4}$  (y = 0.5; 0.75) electrodes using an electrochemical cell designed especially for this aim<sup>27</sup>.

To preserve beryllium window from the oxidation due to high working voltage, it was covered by a 2 µm thick foil of pure aluminium (Goodfellow). Here, the cells were charged and discharged using a C/40 rate, at room temperature in the voltage ranges 2.75-4.6 V. Each *operando* XRD scan was recorded during 1h in which Li extraction/insertion was performed and the results correspond to a change of composition of  $\Delta x = 0.025$  Li (where x is in Li<sub>x</sub>Mn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C (y = 0.5; 0.75). A 2 hours <sup>25</sup> acquisition time was used for the *operando* Mössbauer measurement. Each spectrum corresponds to  $\Delta x = 0.05$  extracted/inserted Li. For y = 0.75.The spectra presented are obtained *in situ* but not *operando* due to the low amount of iron in the electrode.

#### 3. Results and discussion

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

View Online

XRD patterns of the obtained powders are given in Figure 1a. As it can be seen, the powder are free of any crystalline impurity.

All the diffraction peaks can be indexed in the orthorhombic Pnma space group. Lebail method<sup>28</sup> has been used to estimate the lattice parameters respectively a = 10.368(1)Å, b = 6.050(1)Å, c = 4.710(1)Å for LiMn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C and a = 10.397(1)Å, b = 6.073(1)Å, c = 4.726(1)Å for LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C. These values are in good agreement with the literature<sup>3</sup>. Average crystallite sizes of 120 nm have been obtained using the Scherrer formula<sup>29</sup>. The residual carbon content was evaluated at 4.89 % and 4.82% for LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (y = 0.5; 0.75) respectively.

Figure 1b give the variation of a, b and c for the olivine phases. All, the lattice parameters increase <sup>10</sup> linearly with manganese content. The main effect of the substitution of iron-manganese in LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>. <sub>y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> is the expansion of the network that can be explained simply by the difference between the ionic radii of high spin Mn<sup>2+</sup> (0.97 Å) and Fe<sup>2+</sup> high spin (0.92 Å).

LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C (y = 0.50; 0.75) spectra (Figure 2) consist of sharp doublets with an isomer shift of  $\delta = 1.235(2)$  and a quadrupole splitting of  $\Delta = 2.947(3)$  mm/s for y = 0.5 and an isomer shift of  $\delta =$ <sup>15</sup>1.239(1) and a quadrupole splitting of  $\Delta = 2.942(2)$  mm/s for y = 0.75 as discussed previously <sup>1</sup>.

The voltage profiles of LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> are shown in Figure 3a. For LiFePO<sub>4</sub>, a flat plateau is observed at 3.4 V as previously reported. For the substituted phases, two pseudo plateaus are observed in agreement with the two redox reactions  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+}$ . The length of the Mn plateau is proportional to manganese content.

<sup>20</sup> Calculated capacities with the cycle rate for  $\text{Li}_x \text{Mn}_y \text{Fe}_{1-y} \text{PO}_4/\text{C}$  (y = 0.25, 0.50, 0.60, 0.75) are summarized in Figure 3b. This representation allows direct visualization of the reversible capacity of the material depending on the rate of cycling. The capacity is found to be a function of both cycling rate and Mn content. For our synthesis conditions, the material with the best performance is the composition  $\text{LiMn}_{0.25}\text{Fe}_{0.75}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ . The loss of capacity between C/10 and C is 12% and 62% <sup>25</sup> respectively for the compositions  $\text{LiMn}_{0.50}\text{Fe}_{0.50}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$  and  $\text{LiMn}_{0.75}\text{Fe}_{0.25}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ . The electrochemical performance decreases with the manganese content: for the phase  $\text{LiMn}_{0.75}\text{Fe}_{0.25}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$  the reversible capacity calculated for a cycle rate of C/10 is 56 mAh.g<sup>-1</sup> against 125 mAh.g<sup>-1</sup> for the substituted phase with less manganese content  $\text{LiMn}_{0.50}\text{Fe}_{0.50}\text{PO}_4/\text{C}$ .

The redox potentials have been obtained from the derivative curves (Figure 4). These potentials

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Weftsion2/birhdp:Qct.20112 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

#### **RSC Advances**

View Online associated with the redox couples  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  and  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+}$  undergo an increase with the manganese content. Kobayashi *et al.* <sup>30</sup> explain this with an expansion of the volume of all compositions. These variations are sufficient to increase the average potential of the couple  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  from 3.45 V to 3.56 V vs.  $Li^+/Li^0$  respectively for y = 0 and y = 0.75. This variation in potential of 0.11 V may appear a negligible in the case of a battery with one cell, however it can be important in the case of batteries with many cells mounted in parallel that can be used for example for electrical vehicles.

To better understand the reaction mechanisms, operando analyses have been performed.

For y = 0.50 the Mössbauer spectra corresponding to the first cycle and the parameters associated with the first charge are represented respectively in Figure 5a and 5b. The diffractograms corresponding to <sup>10</sup> the first cycle of phase LiMn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> are shown in Figure 6a. At the beginning of the charge (blue area) (0.70  $\leq$  x < 0.95) a new phase appears in both XRD patterns and Mössbauer data. The (020) peak of the pristine material vanishes at the expense of a new peak locate at 29.3°. In this domain, the Mössbauer spectra (Figure 7) indicate the transformation of initial Fe<sup>2+</sup> into two components: the first is associated with Fe<sup>3+</sup> with the parameters ( $\delta$  = 0.42 mm/s and  $\Delta$  = 1.12 mm/s) and a second <sup>15</sup> component associated with a new Fe<sup>2+</sup> component ( $\delta$  = 1.26 mm/s and  $\Delta$  = 2.72 mm/s). The quadrupole splitting of this new Fe<sup>2+</sup> is lower than that of the initial Fe<sup>2+</sup> indicating an increase of the distortion of the iron environment<sup>31</sup> caused by the deintercalation of Li<sup>+</sup> and iron oxidation. This observation allows us to conclude to formation of a mixed valence phase with the following composition: Li<sub>0.70</sub>Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>II</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.30</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. It is worth noting that such phases (called ferrisicklerites <sup>20</sup> Li<sub>x<1</sub>(Fe,Mn)PO<sub>4</sub>) have been reported by mineralogists and attributed to natural oxidation of triphylite Li(Fe,Mn)PO<sub>4</sub><sup>32-34</sup>. From the study of 45 ferrisicklerite minerals, Fanton *et al.* have concluded on the absence of any structural order of the Mn and Fe cations in this family of materials<sup>32</sup>.

From these observations we conclude to a biphasic mechanism with the coexistence of  $LiMn_{0.50}^{II}Fe_{0.50}^{II}PO_4$  and  $Li_{0.70}Mn_{0.50}^{II}Fe_{0.50}^{II,III}PO_4$  for the charge and discharge in the range  $0.70 \le x < 25 0.95$ .

The electrochemical reaction in this region can be written:

$$\begin{split} \text{Li}_{1.0}\text{Mn}_{0.50}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.50}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4 \leftrightarrow (\alpha)\text{Li}_{0.70}\text{Mn}_{0.50}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.20}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.30}^{\text{III}}\text{PO}_4 + \\ (1-\alpha)\text{Li}_{1.0}\text{Mn}_{0.50}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.50}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4 + 0.30\alpha\text{Li}^+ + 0.30\alpha\text{e}^- \quad (\text{where } 0 < \alpha \leq 1) \end{split}$$

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

View Online

In the second region  $(0.50 \le x \le 0.70)$ , shown in black in Figure 6a, the diffraction lines corresponding to the Li<sub>0.70</sub>Mn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> phase are shifted to upper angles, indicating the existence of a solid solution domain in charge and discharge. This also is supported by gradual increase (~ 21%) of the quadrupole splitting of Fe<sup>3+</sup> with the oxidation of iron. Therefore, in this area the electrochemical <sup>5</sup> reaction can be written as follow:

$$Li_{0.70}Mn_{0.50}^{II}Fe_{0.20}^{II}Fe_{0.30}^{III}PO_{4} \leftrightarrow$$

$$Li_{0.70-\alpha}Mn_{0.50}^{II}Fe_{0.20-\alpha}^{II}Fe_{0.30+\alpha}^{III}PO_{4} + \alpha Li^{+} + \alpha e^{-1}$$
(where  $0 \le \alpha \le 0.2$ )

In the third region (~  $0.16 \le x < 0.5$ , shown in red in Figure 6a, the two-phase character is more evident. A new phase appears from x = 0.50 (Li<sub>0.50</sub>Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) with diffraction peaks located <sup>10</sup> at angles higher than the initial phase:

$$\begin{split} & Li_{0.50} Mn_{0.50}^{II} Fe_{0.50}^{III} PO_4 \leftrightarrow \beta Mn_{0.50}^{III} Fe_{0.50}^{III} PO_4 \ + \\ & (1-\beta) Li_{0.50} Mn_{0.50}^{II} Fe_{0.50}^{III} PO_4 + 0.50\beta Li^+ + 0.50\beta e^- \ (\text{where } 0 < \beta \leq 1) \end{split}$$

All the Fe<sup>2+</sup> was oxidized into Fe<sup>3+</sup> at the end of the second region, however, the Mössbauer spectra continue to evolve (Figure 7), indicating that the environment of iron is affected by the oxidation of  $Mn^{2+}$  in  $Mn^{3+}$  as already observed for the phase Li $Mn_{0.25}Fe_{0.75}PO_4^{-1}$ .

<sup>15</sup> From x  $\leq 0.50$  the spectra (Figure 7) are refined by adding a new Fe<sup>3+</sup> doublet shown in magenta. The new doublet corresponding to Fe<sup>3+</sup> phase Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> exhibits a quadrupole splitting of 1.65 mm/s, which is higher than 1.55 mm/s observed for Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>0.25</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.75</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and this further confirms that the Fe<sup>3+</sup> is very sensitive to the oxidation state of Mn since an increase of the amount of Mn<sup>3+</sup> around the Fe<sup>3+</sup> site lead to a significant increase of the quadrupole splitting<sup>31</sup>. Similar spectra have been <sup>20</sup> reported for natural purpurite (Fe,Mn)PO<sub>4</sub><sup>31</sup>. The electromechanism of the charge and discharge of LiMn<sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C is done in three steps as the LiMn<sub>0.25</sub>Fe<sub>0.75</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and a mixed composition Li<sub>0.70</sub>Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.30</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> up to x = 0.50. From x = 0.50, the second domain is a solid solution where the phase Li<sub>x</sub>Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.30</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and Mn<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>.

10

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Veftsion2birhidp:Qct.2012 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

#### **RSC** Advances

View Online

The electrochemical mechanism corresponding to the richest manganese phase LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C was also studied. The diffractograms corresponding to the first cycle with the galvanostatic curves are shown in Figure 6b. The three <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra (Figure 8) do not match the *operando* mode <sup>5</sup> indeed the low iron content of this phase would not have sufficient quality for data processing. They were however recorded *in situ* with the battery paused at key points.

From x = 0.95 the diffraction line corresponding to the plane (020) phase  $LiMn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4/C$  (29.2° (20)) shifts to higher angles up to x = 0.75 (black area of Figure 6b). The reaction corresponding to the oxidation of iron is single phase in this area (0.45  $\leq$  x < 0.75) for the charge and discharge:

$$\begin{split} \text{Li}_{1.0}\text{Mn}_{0.75}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.25}^{\text{II}}\text{PO}_4 &\leftrightarrow \text{Li}_{1-\alpha}\text{Mn}_{0.75}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.25+\alpha}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{\alpha}^{\text{III}}\text{PO}_4 \\ &+\alpha\text{Li}^+ + \alpha\text{e}^- \\ (\text{where } 0 < \alpha \leq 0.25) \end{split}$$

After x = 0.75, the Mössbauer spectra show clearly that all the Fe<sup>2+</sup> has been oxidized to Fe<sup>3+</sup> (Figure 8).

From x = 0.75, the potential is 4.15 V, an olivine-type phase appeared, the main diffraction peak ( $2\theta \sim$  30.2 °) corresponding to this new phase is shown Figure 6b. The coexistence of two phases in charge and discharge is established for the oxidation-reduction of Mn<sup>3+</sup>/Mn<sup>2+</sup> in the area shown in red. The electrochemical reaction in (0.45  $\leq$  x < 0.75) can be written:

$$\begin{split} \text{Li}_{0.75}\text{Mn}_{0.75}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.25}^{\text{III}}\text{PO}_4 &\leftrightarrow \beta\text{Mn}_{0.75}^{\text{III}}\text{Fe}_{0.25}^{\text{III}}\text{PO}_4 &+ \\ (1 - \beta) \text{ Li}_{0.75}\text{Mn}_{0.75}^{\text{II}}\text{Fe}_{0.25}^{\text{III}}\text{PO}_4 &+ 0.25\beta\text{Li}^+ + 0.25\beta\text{e}^- \text{ (where } 0 < \beta \leq 1) \end{split}$$

Mössbauer spectra shown in Figure 8 (where x = 0.60 and 0.45) correspond to the spectra of Fe<sup>3+</sup> on <sup>20</sup> the "plateau" of redox reaction Mn<sup>3+</sup>/Mn<sup>2+</sup>. Indeed, it was interesting to see the indirect effect of Mn<sup>3+</sup> on the environment of Fe<sup>3+</sup> as in previous phases with less manganese. The spectra cannot be refined with a single component in this area, we must add a second doublet for a correct refinement. Mössbauer parameters for the two Fe<sup>3+</sup> components (Li<sub>0.75</sub>Mn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and Mn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) are shown in Table 1. Component Mn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> is characterized by a quadrupole splitting of 1.705 <sup>25</sup> mm/s.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

View Online

In conclusion, the electrochemical mechanism of phase  $Li_xMn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4/C$  differs from the three previously studied compositions. The first part corresponding to the domain ( $0.75 \le x < 0.95$ ) is single-phase, the phase  $LiMn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4$  evolves to the composition  $Li_{0.75}Mn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4$ . The second area ( $0.45 \le x < 0.75$ ) which is located at the "plateau" of the reaction  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+}$  is biphasic between the s two compositions  $Li_{0.75}Mn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4$  and  $Mn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4$  for the charge and discharge.

To summarize, all the mechanisms observed for these substituted phases  $LiMn_yFe_{1-y}PO_4/C$  in charge are respectively represented in Figure 9. Other compositions  $LiMn_yFe_{1-y}PO_4/C$  (y = 0.4, 0.6, 0.67) prepared under the same conditions have also been studied and can complete the graph of Figure 9. The regions corresponding to different types of mechanism are shown as a function of lithium content:

<sup>10</sup> - The beginning of the charge corresponding to the reaction  $Fe^{2+} \rightarrow Fe^{3+}$  is biphasic for all compositions, except LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C where the reaction is a single-phase.

- A domain of solid solution is also observed for all compositions, except LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C between the two "plateaus" corresponding to the two redox reactions, this domain decreases with the manganese content.

<sup>15</sup> - The end of the charge where the potential is around 4.2 V corresponding to the  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+}$  reaction is biphasic for all the compositions.

An inductive effect has already been established in some intercalation compounds polyanionic lithium<sup>35</sup>, it can result in changes in potential more than 1 V. In the case of compounds LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub>. <sub>y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C, the ionicity or covalent bonds (Fe, Mn-O) is controlled by the size of the network ( the length <sup>20</sup> of these bonds). It was therefore interesting to compare the values of the isomer shifts of phases LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C with the values of potentials associated with the couple Fe<sup>3+</sup>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> (Figure 10). Indeed, there is a correlation between the inductive effect and value of the isomer shift as demonstrated Menil<sup>36</sup>. The representation of values of  $\delta$  and potentials in Figure 10 clearly shows a very similar tendency of the two parameters. This interesting result suggests that it is possible to predict the <sup>25</sup> reaction potential from iron Mössbauer data as reported by Naille *et al.* for tin compounds<sup>37</sup>.

Finally we observed an excellent capacity retention over 100 cycles for all the studies compositions (see Add. Data). Although the substitution of iron with manganese does not improve the capacity

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-007.36.97.377veftsion2bm/dp:006.2012 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

#### **RSC Advances**

(since it decreases with the manganese content), the possibility of tuning the working voltage may be useful for some applications as electric hybrid cars.

### 4. Conclusion

In this paper we report a comparative *operando* study for  $LiMn_vFe_{1-v}PO_4/C$  for v = 0.50 and v = 0.75s combining XRD and <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. The electrochemical mechanisms have been studied by monitoring the evolution of the local electronic environment (Mössbauer probe) and the lattice changes (XRD). The solid solution phase  $LiMn_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}PO_4$  exhibits a complex three steps mechanism during the charge. First a two biphasic reaction in the domain  $(0.70 \le x < 0.95)$  between LiMn<sup>II</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>II</sup><sub>0.50</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and Li<sub>0.70</sub>Mn<sup>II</sup><sub>0.50</sub>Fe<sup>II</sup><sub>0.20</sub>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>0.30</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, the Mössbauer probe helps to determine the <sup>10</sup> composition of this mixed valence phase. The reaction is followed by a solid solution in the domain  $(0.50 \le x \le 0.70)$ . The third step is described by a biphasic reaction between  $Li_{0.50}Mn_{0.50}^{II}Fe_{0.50}^{III}PO_4$ and  $Mn_{0.50}^{III}Fe_{0.50}^{III}PO_4$  until x ~ 0.1. In conclusion, the electrochemical mechanism of phase  $Li_xMn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4/C$  differs from the three previously studied compositions. The first part corresponding to the domain ( $0.75 \le x < 0.95$ ) is single-phase, the phase LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> evolves to <sup>15</sup> the composition Li<sub>0.75</sub>Mn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> followed by a two phase mechanism with LiMn<sub>0.25</sub>Fe<sub>0.75</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and  $LiMn_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}PO_4$ . The second area ( $0.45 \le x < 0.75$ ) which is located at the "plateau" of the reaction  $Mn^{3+}/Mn^{2+}$  is biphasic between the two compositions  $Li_{0.75}Mn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4$  and  $Mn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4$  for the charge and discharge. An interesting correlation has been established between the isomer shift and the average potential of the  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  redox reaction, which can be used to predict the 20 potential indirectly from Mössbauer data.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank SAFT Company and CNRS (contract SAFT/CNRS N°029888) through the Ph.D. grant of Alexis Perea and ANR PHOSPHALION (National Research Agency) Stock-E program (ANR-09-STOCK-E-07) for financial support and Manfred Womes for fruitful <sup>25</sup> discussions. Région Languedoc-Roussillon is gratefully acknowledged for the financial support to X-rays and gamma-rays platform (Contracts n° 2006 Q-086 and 2008 094192)

<sup>a</sup> ICGM/AIME (CNRS UMR 5253), Université Montpellier II, CC 15-02

View Online

Place E. Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5, France. E-mail: alexis.perea@univ-montp2.fr

<sup>b</sup> Saft Recherche Division, 111-113 Bld. Alfred Daney, 33074 Bordeaux, France

## References

Perea, A.; Sougrati, M. T.; Ionica-Bousquet, C. M.; Fraisse, B.; Tessier, C.; Aldon, L.; Jumas, J.-C., Operando 57Fe 5 **1**. Mossbauer and XRD investigation of LixMnyFe1-yPO4/C composites (y = 0; 0.25). RSC Advances 2012, 2, 2080-2086. Delacourt, C.; Poizot, P.; Morcrette, M.; Tarascon, J. M.; Masquelier, C., One-step low-temperature route for the 2. preparation of electrochemically active LiMnPO4 powders. Chemistry of Materials 2004, 16 (1), 93-99.

Padhi, A. K.; Nanjundaswamy, K. S.; Goodenough, J. B., Phospho-olivines as positive-electrode materials for 3. 10 rechargeable lithium batteries. Journal of the Electrochemical Society 1997, 144 (4), 1188-1194.

Delacourt, C.; Laffont, L.; Bouchet, R.; Wurm, C.; Leriche, J. B.; Morcrette, M.; Tarascon, J. M.; Masquelier, C., 4. Toward understanding of electrical limitations (electronic, ionic) in LiMPO4 (M = Fe, Mn) electrode materials. Journal of the Electrochemical Society 2005, 152, A913-A921.

Delmas, C.; Maccario, M.; Croguennec, L.; Le Cras, F.; Weill, F., Lithium deintercalation in LiFePO4 nanoparticles 5. 15 via a domino-cascade model. Nature Materials 2008, 7 (8), 665-671.

Dedryvere, R.; Maccario, M.; Croguennec, L.; Le Cras, F.; Delmas, C.; Gonbeau, D., X-Ray Photoelectron 6. Spectroscopy Investigations of Carbon-Coated LixFePO4 Materials. Chemistry of Materials 2008, 20 (22), 7164-7170. 7. Delacourt, C.; Rodriguez-Carvajal, J.; Schmitt, B.; Tarascon, J. M.; Masquelier, C., Crystal chemistry of the olivinetype LixFePO4 system (0 <= x <= 1) between 25 and 370 degrees C. Solid State Sciences 2005, 7 (12), 1506-1516.

20 8. Yamada, A.; Koizumi, H.; Sonoyama, N.; Kanno, R., Phase change in LixFePO4. Electrochemical and Solid State Letters 2005, 8 (8), A409-A413.

9. Aldon, L.; Perea, A.; Womes, M.; Ionica-Bousquet, C. M.; Jumas, J. C., Determination of the Lamb-Mossbauer factors of LiFePO4 and FePO4 for electrochemical in situ and operando measurements in Li-ion batteries. Journal of Solid State Chemistry 2010, 183 (1), 218-222.

Zhou, F.; Kang, K. S.; Maxisch, T.; Ceder, G.; Morgan, D., The electronic structure and band gap of LiFePO4 and 25 **10**. LiMnPO4. Solid State Communications 2004, 132 (3-4), 181-186.

Li, G. H.; Azuma, H.; Tohda, M., LiMnPO4 as the cathode for lithium batteries. Electrochemical and Solid State 11. Letters 2002, 5 (6), A135-A137.

Kwon, N. H.; Drezen, T.; Exnar, I.; Teerlinck, I.; Isono, M.; Graetzel, M., Enhanced electrochemical performance of 12. <sup>30</sup> mesoparticulate LiMnPO4 for lithium ion batteries. *Electrochemical and Solid State Letters* **2006**, *9* (6), A277-A280.

13. Wang, C.; Lu, S.; Kan, S.; Pang, J.; Jin, W.; Zhang, X., Enhanced capacity retention of Co and Li doubly doped LiMn2O4. Journal of Power Sources 2009, 189 (1), 607-610.

Yonemura, M.; Yamada, A.; Takei, Y.; Sonoyama, N.; Kanno, R., Comparative kinetic study of olivine LixMPO4 (M 14. = Fe, Mn). Journal of the Electrochemical Society **2004**, 151 (9), A1352-A1356.

35 **15.** Yamada, A.; Kudo, Y.; Liu, K. Y., Reaction mechanism of the olivine-type Li-x(Mn0.6Fe0.4)PO4 (0 <= x <= 1). Journal of the Electrochemical Society **2001**, 148 (7), A747-A754.

Yang, J. S.; Xu, J. J., Synthesis and characterization of carbon-coated lithium transition metal phosphates LiMPO4 16. (M = Fe, Mn, Co, Ni) prepared via a nonaqueous sol-gel route. Journal of the Electrochemical Society 2006, 153 (4), A716-A723.

Kim, S. W.; Kim, J.; Gwon, H.; Kang, K., Phase Stability Study of Li1-xMnPO4 (0 <= x <= 1) Cathode for Li 40 **17**. Rechargeable Battery. Journal of the Electrochemical Society 2009, 156 (8), A635-A638.

Yamada, A.; Hosoya, M.; Chung, S. C.; Kudo, Y.; Hinokuma, K.; Liu, K. Y.; Nishi, Y., Olivine-type cathodes 18. achievements and problems. Journal of Power Sources 2003, 119, 232-238.

Shin, Y. J.; Kim, J. K.; Cheruvally, G.; Ahn, J. H.; Kim, K. W., Li(Mn0.4Fe0.6)PO4 cathode active material: Synthesis 19. 45 and electrochemical performance evaluation. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids 2008, 69 (5-6), 1253-1256.

20. Xu, J.; Chen, G.; Li, H. J.; Lv, Z. S., Direct-hydrothermal synthesis of LiFe1-x Mn (x) PO4 cathode materials. Journal of Applied Electrochemistry 2010, 40 (3), 575-580.

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Wegtsion2brrhtp:Qcts20112 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

#### **RSC** Advances

 Chang, X. Y.; Wang, Z. X.; Li, X. H.; Zhang, L.; Guo, H. J.; Peng, W. J., Synthesis and performance of LiMn0.7Fe0.3PO4 cathode material for lithium ion batteries. *Materials Research Bulletin* 2005, *40* (9), 1513-1520.
 Kobayashi, G.; Yamada, A.; Nishimura, S.; Kanno, R.; Kobayashi, Y.; Seki, S.; Ohno, Y.; Miyashiro, H., Shift of redox potential and kinetics in Li-x(MnyFe1-y)PO4. *Journal of Power Sources* 2009, *189* (1), 397-401.

5 23. Nam, K. W.; Yoon, W. S.; Zaghib, K.; Chung, K. Y.; Yang, X. Q., The phase transition behaviors of Li1xMn0.5Fe0.5PO4 during lithium extraction studied by in situ X-ray absorption and diffraction techniques. *Electrochemistry Communications* 2009, *11* (10), 2023-2026.

24. Nedoseykina, T.; Kim, M. G.; Park, S. A.; Kim, H. S.; Kim, S. B.; Cho, J.; Lee, Y., In situ X-ray absorption spectroscopic study for the electrochemical delithiation of a cathode LiFe0 4Mn0 6PO4 material. *Electrochimica Acta* **2010**, *55* (28), 8876-8882.

25. Chen, Y. C.; Chen, J. M.; Hsu, C. H.; Lee, J. F.; Yeh, J. W.; Shih, H. C., In-situ synchrotron X-ray absorption studies of LiMn0.25Fe0.75PO4 as a cathode material for lithium ion batteries. *Solid State Ionics* **2009**, *180* (20-22), 1215-1219.

26. Aldon, L.; Perea, A., 2D-correlation analysis applied to in situ and operando Mossbauer spectroscopy. *Journal of Power Sources 196* (3), 1342-1348.

<sup>15</sup> 27. Leriche, J. B.; Hamelet, S.; Shu, J.; Morcrette, M.; Masquelier, C.; Ouvrard, G.; Zerrouki, M.; Soudan, P.; Belin, S.; Elkaim, E.; Baudelet, F., An Electrochemical Cell for Operando Study of Lithium Batteries Using Synchrotron Radiation. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* **2010**, *157* (5), A606-A610.

28. Rodríguez-Carvajal, J., Recent advances in magnetic structure determination by neutron powder diffraction. *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **1993**, *192* (1-2), 55-69.

20 29. Eberhart, J. P., *Méthodes physiques d'étude des minéraux et des matériaux solides:*. DOIN ed.; 1976.

30. Kobayashi, G.; Yamada, A.; Nishimura, S. i.; Kanno, R.; Kobayashi, Y.; Seki, S.; Ohno, Y.; Miyashiro, H., Shift of redox potential and kinetics in Lix(MnyFe1-y)PO4. *Journal of Power Sources* **2009**, *189* (1), 397-401.

31. Liu, Z. G.; Dong, D. W.; Liu, M. L.; Sui, Y.; Su, W. H.; Qian, Z. N.; Li, Z., Quadrupole splitting distributions in purpurite and related minerals. *Hyperfine Interactions* **2005**, *163* (1-4), 13-27.

<sup>25</sup> 32. Fontan, F.; Huvelin, P.; Orliac, M.; Permingeat, F., FERRISICKLERITE OF SIDI BOU OTHMANE PEGMATITES (JEBILET, MOROKKO) AND MINERAL GROUP WITH A TRIPHYLITE STRUCTURE. *Bulletin De La Societe Francaise Mineralogie Et De Cristallographie* **1976**, *99* (5), 274-286.

33. Liu, Z.; Dong, D.; Liu, M.; Sui, Y.; Su, W.; Qian, Z.; Li, Z., Quadrupole Splitting Distributions in Purpurite and Related Minerals. *Hyperfine Interactions* **2005**, *163* (1), 13-27.

<sup>30</sup> 34. Alberti, A., CRYSTAL-STRUCTURE OF FERRISICKLERITE, LI-LESS-THAN(1) (FE-3+, MN-2+) PO4. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B-Struct. Commun.* **1976**, *32* (OCT15), 2761-2764.

35. Padhi, A. K.; Nanjundaswamy, K. S.; Masquelier, C.; Okada, S.; Goodenough, J. B., Effect of structure on the Fe3+/Fe2+ redox couple in iron phosphates. *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* **1997**, *144* (5), 1609-1613.

36. Menil, F., SYSTEMATIC TRENDS OF THE FE-57 MOSSBAUER ISOMER-SHIFTS IN (FEON) AND (FEFN) POLYHEDRA -<sup>35</sup> EVIDENCE OF A NEW CORRELATION BETWEEN THE ISOMER-SHIFT AND THE INDUCTIVE EFFECT OF THE COMPETING BOND T-X (-]FE) (WHERE X IS O OR F AND T ANY ELEMENT WITH A FORMAL POSITIVE CHARGE). *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **1985**, *46* (7), 763-789.

37. Naille, S.; Jumas, J. C.; Lippens, P. E.; Olivier-Fourcade, J., 119Sn Mössbauer parameters as predictive tool for future Sn-based negative electrode materials. *Journal of Power Sources* **2009**, *189* (1), 814-817.



Fig. 1 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns (a) and evolution of lattice parameters with manganese content of LiMn<sub>y</sub>Fe<sub>1-y</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>/C (b).

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Wegsion2/hirhfp:Q06:20122 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

5

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Vegtsion2bmhdp:Qots.20132 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G





2

4



Fig.3 Electrochemical curves (first cycle) (a) and rate capability (b) of  $LiMn_yFe_{1-y}PO_4$ .

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Vegtsion2bmhdp:Qots.20132 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G



20

Fig.4 Average potential of redox reactions in  $LiMn_yFe_{1-y}PO_4$ .

0.50

0.75

1.00





25



Fig.5 Evolution of the *operando* Mössbauer spectra (a) and hyperfine parameters of  $Fe^{II}$  and  $Fe^{II}$  species (b) of  $Li_xMn_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}PO_4/C$  recorded during the electrochemical process recorded at C/40 rate .

View Online



 $\label{eq:Fig.6} \mbox{ Fig.6 } \mbox{ Operando X-ray diffractograms of $LiMn_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}PO_4/C$ (a) and $LiMn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4/C$ (b)$ recorded during the electrochemical process at $C/40$ rate .}$ 

5

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Vefision2burhtlp:@ct.2012 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

10

View Online

2

4



Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Wegsion21m-http: Qcts.20132 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

Fig. 7: Mössbauer spectra of  $Li_xMn_{0.50}Fe_{0.50}PO_4/C$  obtained at the indicated x values .

Li<sub>0.75</sub>Mn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> Mn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>

LiMn<sub>0.75</sub>Fe<sub>0.25</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>

2

View Online

10

Downloaded on 29 August 2012 hal-0073697337Wegsion21m-http: Qcts.20132 doi:10.1039/C2RA20949G

5



1.00

Fig. 8 Mössbauer spectra of  $Li_xMn_{0.75}Fe_{0.25}PO_4/C$  obtained at the indicated x values .

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

5

Table 1 Hyperfine parameters of the composition involved during the electrochemical charge process .

Composition	δ	Δ
	mm/s	mm/s
${ m Li}_{0.70}{ m Mn}_{0.50}^{ m II}{ m Fe}_{0.50}^{ m II,III}{ m PO}_4$	1.32	2.72
	0.43	1.02
$Li_{0.50}Mn_{0.50}^{II}Fe_{0.50}^{III}PO_4$	0.43	1.25
$Mn_{0.50}^{III} Fe_{0.50}^{III} PO_4$	0.43	1.64
Li <sub>0.55</sub> Mn <sup>II</sup> <sub>0.75</sub> Fe <sup>II,III</sup> <sub>0.25</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	1.28	2.71
	0.44	1.08
Li <sub>0.25</sub> Mn <sup>II</sup> <sub>0.75</sub> Fe <sup>III</sup> <sub>0.25</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	0.41	1.30
$Mn_{0.75}^{III} Fe_{0.25}^{III} PO_4$	0.41	1.52
$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & \mbox{Li}_{0.50}\mbox{Mn}_{0.50}^{II}\mbox{Fe}_{0.50}^{III}\mbox{PO}_4 \\ & \mbox{Mn}_{0.50}^{III}\mbox{Fe}_{0.50}^{III}\mbox{PO}_4 \\ & \mbox{Li}_{0.55}\mbox{Mn}_{0.75}^{II}\mbox{Fe}_{0.25}^{II,III}\mbox{PO}_4 \\ & \mbox{Li}_{0.25}\mbox{Mn}_{0.75}^{II}\mbox{Fe}_{0.25}^{III}\mbox{PO}_4 \\ & \mbox{Mn}_{0.75}^{III}\mbox{Fe}_{0.25}^{III}\mbox{PO}_4 \end{split}$	0.43 0.43 1.28 0.44 0.41 0.41	1.25         1.64         2.71         1.08         1.30         1.52



Fig. 9 Schematic diagram of the different mechanisms observed during the first charge .

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

View Online





 $Fig.10\ {\rm Evolution}$  of the Isomer Shift Vs. Average potential .