On the number of numerical semigroups of prime power genus

Shalom Eliahou, Jorge Ramirez Alfonsin

On the number of numerical semigroups $\langle a, b\rangle$ of prime power genus

Shalom Eliahou* and Jorge Ramírez Alfonsín†

September 14, 2012

Abstract

Given $g \geq 1$, the number $n(g)$ of numerical semigroups $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ of genus $|\mathbb{N} \setminus S|$ equal to g is the subject of challenging conjectures of Bras-Amorós. In this paper, we focus on the counting function $n(g, 2)$ of *two-generator* numerical semigroups of genus g, which is known to also count certain special factorizations of $2g$. Further focusing on the case $g = p^k$ for any odd prime p and $k \geq 1$, we show that $n(p^k, 2)$ only depends on the class of p modulo a certain explicit modulus $M(k)$. The main ingredient is a reduction of $\gcd(p^\alpha + 1, 2p^\beta + 1)$ to a simpler form, using the continued fraction of α/β. We treat the case $k = 9$ in detail and show explicitly how $n(p^9, 2)$ depends on the class of p mod $M(9) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 43 \cdot 257$.

Keywords. Gap number; Sylvester's theorem; Special factorizations; Euclidean algorithm; Continued fractions; RSA.

1 Introduction

A *numerical semigroup* is a subset $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ containing 0, stable under addition and with finite complement in $\mathbb{N}$. The cardinality of $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is then called the *gap number* or the *genus* of S. It is well known that, given $g \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many numerical semigroups of genus g. Yet the question of *counting them* seems to be a very hard problem, analogous to the one of

*eliahou@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr.
†jramirez@math.univ-montp2.fr

counting numerical semigroups by Frobenius number. See [1, 2] for some nice conjectures about it. The problem becomes more tractable when restricted to semigroups $S = \langle a, b\rangle = \mathbb{N}a + \mathbb{N}b$ with two generators. So, let us denote by $n(g, 2)$ the number of numerical semigroups $S = \langle a, b\rangle$ of genus g. On the one hand, determining $n(g, 2)$ is linked to hard factorization problems, like factoring Fermat and Mersenne numbers [3]. On the other hand, the value of $n(g, 2)$ is known for all $g = 2^k$ with $k \geq 1$, and for all $g = p^k$ with p an odd prime and $k \leq 8$. Indeed, exact formulas are provided in [3], showing in particular that $n(p^k, 2)$ for $k = 1$, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 only depends on the class of p modulo 3, 1, 15, 7, 255, 31, 36465 and 27559, respectively. See also Section 7, where these formulas are given in a new form.

Our purpose in this paper is to extend our understanding of $n(p^k, 2)$ to arbitrary exponents $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Giving exact formulas in all cases is out of reach since, for instance, a formula for $n(p^{4097}, 2)$ would require the still unknown factorization of the 12th Fermat number $2^{2^{12}} + 1$. However, what can and will be done here is to show that, *for all $k \geq 1$, the value of $n(p^k, 2)$ only depends on the class of p modulo some explicit modulus $M(k)$.*

This result is formally stated and proved in Section 4. Here is how $M(k)$ is defined:

$$M(k) \;=\; \mathrm{rad}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(2^{i/\gcd(i,k)} - (-1)^{k/\gcd(i,k)}\big)\Big),$$

where $\mathrm{rad}(n)$ denotes the product of the distinct prime factors of n, i.e. the largest square-free divisor of n. We start by recalling in Section 2 that $n(g, 2)$ can be identified with the counting function of certain special factorizations of $2g$. In Section 3, we reduce $\gcd(p^\alpha + 1, 2p^\beta + 1)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ to the simpler form

$$\gcd(p^{\gcd(\alpha,\beta)} \pm 2^\rho, c)$$

where $\rho, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ only depend on α, β and not on p. This reduction uses the continued fraction of α/β and directly leads to our main result in Section 4. In Section 5, we introduce basic binary functions $X_{a,q}$ which will serve as building blocks in our formulas. The case $k = 9$ is treated in detail in Section 6, where we give an explicit formula for $n(p^9, 2)$ depending on the class of p mod $M(9) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 43 \cdot 257$. We also provide a formula in the case $k = 10$ with somewhat less details. Finally, in the last section we give and prove new formulas for $n(p^k, 2)$ with $k \leq 8$ in terms of the $X_{a,q}$.

Background information on numerical semigroups can be found in the books [4, 5].

2 Special factorizations of $2g$

We first recall from [3] that $n(g,2)$ *can be identified with the counting number of factorizations* uv *of* $2g$ *in* $\mathbb{N}$ *satisfying* $\gcd(u+1,v+1)=1$. In formula:

$$n(g,2) \;=\; \#\{\{u,v\}\subset\mathbb{N} \mid uv=2g,\, \gcd(u+1,v+1) \;=\; 1\}. \qquad (1)$$

This follows from the classical theorem of Sylvester [6] stating that whenever $\gcd(a,b)=1$, the genus g of the numerical semigroup $S=\langle a,b\rangle$ is given by

$$g \;=\; \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2}.$$

For $g=p^k$ with p an odd prime, an immediate consequence of (1) is the following formula.

Proposition 2.1 *For any odd prime* p *and exponent* $k\geq 1$*, we have*

$$n(p^k,2) \;=\; \#\{0\leq i\leq k \mid \gcd(p^i+1,2p^{k-i}+1)=1\}. \;\blacksquare$$

Thus, in order to understand the behavior of $n(p^k,2)$, we need to gain some control on

$$\gcd(p^\alpha+1,2p^\beta+1)$$

for $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}$, and hopefully find ways to determine when this greatest common divisor equals 1. This is addressed in the next section.

3 On $\gcd(p^\alpha+1,2p^\beta+1)$

Here is the key technical tool which will lead to our main result in Section 4. Given $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}$, we shall reduce the greatest common divisor

$$\gcd(p^\alpha+1,2p^\beta+1)$$

to the simpler form

$$\gcd(p^\delta\pm 2^\rho,c),$$

where $\delta=\gcd(\alpha,\beta)$ and where $\rho,c\in\mathbb{Z}$ only depend on α,β and not on p. For this purpose, it is more convenient to work in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ where 2 is made invertible. Moreover, one may effortlessly replace $\mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ by any unique factorization domain A, and 2 by any invertible element u in A. Of course then, the gcd is only defined up to invertible elements of A. The proof in this more general context remains practically the same.

Proposition 3.1 *Let A be a unique factorization domain and let $x, u \in A$ with u invertible. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\delta = \gcd(\alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\gcd(x^\alpha + 1, ux^\beta + 1) \;=\; \gcd(x^\delta \pm u^\rho, u^{\alpha/\delta} - (-1)^{(\alpha-\beta)/\delta}).$$

The proof is based on a careful study of the successive steps in the Euclidean algorithm for computing gcd's.

Proof. First note that, since u is invertible, we have

$$\gcd(x^\alpha + 1, ux^\beta + 1) \;=\; \gcd(x^\alpha + 1, x^\beta + u^{-1}).$$

Set $r_0 = \alpha, r_1 = \beta$. Consider the Euclidean algorithm to compute $\gcd(r_0, r_1)$:

$$r_i = a_i r_{i+1} + r_{i+2} \tag{2}$$

for all $0 \le i \le n-1$, where $0 \le r_{i+1} < r_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$, $r_{n+1} = 0$, $r_n = \gcd(r_0, r_1)$. Of course, the a_i's are the *partial quotients* of the continued fraction $[a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ of α/β. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ r_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{i+1} \\ r_{i+2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

for all $0 \le i \le n-1$. Set $(s_0, s_1) = (1, 1)$ and $(t_0, t_1) = (0, -1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{r_0} + 1 &= x^{r_0} - (-1)^{s_0} u^{t_0}, \\ x^{r_1} + u^{-1} &= x^{r_1} - (-1)^{s_1} u^{t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, recursively define

$$\begin{aligned} s_{i+2} &= s_i - a_i s_{i+1}, \\ t_{i+2} &= t_i - a_i t_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then as in (3), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_i \\ s_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_{i+1} \\ s_{i+2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_i \\ t_{i+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_{i+1} \\ t_{i+2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Finally, for all $0 \leq j \leq n+1$, set

$$f_j = x^{r_j} - (-1)^{s_j} u^{t_j}.$$

Note that $f_0 = x^{r_0} + 1$, $f_1 = x^{r_1} + u^{-1}$, and

$$f_{n+1} = 1 - (-1)^{s_{n+1}} u^{t_{n+1}} \tag{6}$$

since $r_{n+1} = 0$.

Claim. For all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$\gcd(f_i, f_{i+1}) = \gcd(f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}). \tag{7}$$

Indeed, it follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &= x^{r_i} - (-1)^{s_i} u^{t_i} \\ &= (x^{r_{i+1}})^{a_i} x^{r_{i+2}} - (-1)^{s_i} u^{t_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since

$$x^{r_{i+1}} \equiv (-1)^{s_{i+1}} u^{t_{i+1}} \bmod f_{i+1},$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &\equiv ((-1)^{s_{i+1}} u^{t_{i+1}})^{a_i} x^{r_{i+2}} - (-1)^{s_i} u^{t_i} \bmod f_{i+1} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{a_i s_{i+1}} u^{a_i t_{i+1}} x^{r_{i+2}} - (-1)^{s_i} u^{t_i} \bmod f_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{-a_i s_{i+1}} u^{-a_i t_{i+1}} f_i &\equiv x^{r_{i+2}} - (-1)^{s_i - a_i s_{i+1}} u^{t_i - a_i t_{i+1}} \bmod f_{i+1} \\ &\equiv x^{r_{i+2}} - (-1)^{s_{i+2}} u^{t_{i+2}} \bmod f_{i+1} \\ &\equiv f_{i+2} \bmod f_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have $f_i \equiv (-1)^{a_i s_{i+1}} u^{a_i t_{i+1}} f_{i+2} \bmod f_{i+1}$. Using the equality

$$\gcd(f, g) = \gcd(g, h)$$

whenever $f \equiv h \bmod g$ for elements in A, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(f_i, f_{i+1}) &= \gcd(f_{i+1}, (-1)^{a_i s_{i+1}} u^{a_i t_{i+1}} f_{i+2}) \\ &= \gcd(f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}) \end{aligned}$$

since $(-1)^{a_i s_{i+1}} u^{a_i t_{i+1}}$ is a unit in A. This proves the claim.

As a first consequence, we get

$$\gcd(f_0, f_1) = \gcd(f_n, f_{n+1}). \tag{8}$$

Denote now

$$A = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_i & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have $\det A = (-1)^n$, and it follows from repeatedly applying (3) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_0 \\ r_1 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} r_n \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies, in particular, that $\alpha_{11} = r_0/r_n$ and $\alpha_{21} = r_1/r_n$. Similarly, using (5) repeatedly, we have

$$A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} t_0 \\ t_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_n \\ t_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $A^{-1} = (-1)^n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{22} & -\alpha_{12} \\ -\alpha_{21} & \alpha_{11} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} t_0 \\ t_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$, this implies that

$$t_{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1} \alpha_{11} = (-1)^{n+1} r_0/r_n.$$

Finally, using (4) repeatedly, we have

$$A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_n \\ s_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As above, and since $\begin{pmatrix} s_0 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we find that

$$s_{n+1} = (-1)^n(-\alpha_{21} + \alpha_{11}) = (-1)^n(r_0 - r_1)/r_n.$$

Summarizing, it follows from the equality (8), the expression (6) for f_{n+1}, and the above values of s_{n+1}, t_{n+1}, that

$$\begin{aligned} \gcd(x^\alpha + 1, ux^\beta + 1) &= \gcd(f_n, f_{n+1}) \\ &= \gcd(x^{r_n} - (-1)^{s_n} u^{t_n}, 1 - (-1)^{s_{n+1}} u^{t_{n+1}}) \\ &= \gcd(x^\delta - (-1)^{s_n} u^{t_n}, u^{\alpha/\delta} - (-1)^{(\alpha-\beta)/\delta}). \end{aligned}$$

■

The special case of interest to us, namely where $A = \mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ and $u = 2$, reduces to the following statement.

Corollary 3.2 *Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ be given integers, and set $\delta = \gcd(i,k)$. Then there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any odd prime p, we have*

$$\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1) \;=\; \gcd(p^\delta \pm 2^\rho, 2^{i/\delta} - (-1)^{k/\delta}).$$

Proof. First observe that $\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1)$ is odd since the second argument is, so we may as well work in $\mathbb{Z}[2^{-1}]$ when computing this gcd. Set $\alpha = i$, $\beta = k - i$. Since $\gcd(i, k-i) = \gcd(i,k)$, the values of δ in Proposition 3.1 and here are the same. Now $(\alpha - \beta)/\delta = (2i - k)/\delta$, and so

$$(-1)^{(\alpha-\beta)/\delta} \;=\; (-1)^{k/\delta}.$$

The claimed formula for $\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1)$ now follows directly from that in Proposition 3.1. ■

Consequently, given $1 \leq i \leq k$, an odd prime p satisfies the condition

$$\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i}) + 1 \;=\; 1$$

if and only if p belongs to a certain union of classes mod $(2^{i/\delta} - (-1)^{k/\delta})$, where as above $\delta = \gcd(i,k)$. This is the key to our main result below.

4 The main result

For a positive integer n, let $\mathrm{rad}(n)$ denote the *radical* of n, i.e. the product of the distinct primes factors of n. For instance, $\mathrm{rad}(4) = 2$ and $\mathrm{rad}(6) = \mathrm{rad}(12) = \mathrm{rad}(18) = 6$. Given $k \geq 1$, let us define

$$M(k) \;=\; \mathrm{rad}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(2^{i/\gcd(i,k)} - (-1)^{k/\gcd(i,k)}\big)\Big).$$

Note that if k is odd, the formula becomes

$$M(k) \;=\; \mathrm{rad}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \big(2^{i/\gcd(i,k)} + 1\big)\Big),$$

whereas if k is even there is no such reduction in general, since the exponent $k/\gcd(i,k)$ may assume both parities. Here is our main result.

Theorem 4.1 *For any odd prime p and $k \geq 1$, the value of $n(p^k, 2)$ only depends on the class of p modulo $M(k)$.*

Proof. Recall the formula given by Proposition 2.1:

$$n(p^k, 2) = \#\{0 \leq i \leq k \mid \gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1) = 1\}. \tag{9}$$

If $i = 0$, then $\gcd(2, 2p^k + 1) = 1$ always, since p is odd. Assume now $1 \leq i \leq k$, and set

$$m_k(i) = 2^{i/\gcd(i,k)} - (-1)^{k/\gcd(i,k)}.$$

By Corollary 3.2, *the value of* $\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1)$ *only depends on the class of p mod $m_k(i)$*. Therefore, it follows from (9) and this property of $m_i(k)$ that if we set

$$M(k) \; = \; \mathrm{rad}(\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_k(i)),$$

the value of $n(p^k, 2)$ only depends on the class of p mod $M(k)$. ■

For concreteness, Table 1 gives the value of $M(k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 10$. We have seen that $n(p^k, 2)$ only depends on the class of p modulo $M(k)$. But $M(k)$ is not necessarily the *smallest* modulus with this property, only a multiple of it. For instance, we have $M(4) = 21$, but the value of $n(p^4, 2)$ only depends on the class of p mod 7, as stated in the Introduction. However, for all *odd* k in the range $1 \leq k \leq 9$, the modulus $M(k)$ actually turns out to be optimal for the desired property. (See [3] and Section 7.)

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$M(k)$	3	3	15	21	255	465	36465	82677	30998055	16548735

Table 1: First 10 values of $M(k)$.

5 The basic functions $X_{a,q}$

We now introduce numerical functions $X_{a,q}$, with values in $\{0, 1\}$, which will subsequently serve as building blocks in our explicit formulas for $n(p^k, 2)$

with $k \leq 10$. Given integers a, q with $q \geq 2$, the definition of

$$X_{a,q} : \mathbb{Z} \to \{0, 1\}$$

depends on the distinct prime factors of q, as follows.

- If q is prime, then $X_{a,q}$ is the indicator function of the complement of the subset $a + q\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$, i.e.

$$X_{a,q}(n) \;=\; \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \not\equiv a \bmod q, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv a \bmod q. \end{cases}$$

- If $q_1, \ldots, q_t$ are the distinct prime factors of q, then we set

$$X_{a,q} \;=\; \prod_{i=1}^{t} X_{a,q_i}.$$

In particular, since $X_{a,q}$ only depends on the prime factors of q, we have

$$X_{a,q} \;=\; X_{a,\mathrm{rad}(q)}.$$

Note that $X_{a,q}$ *only depends on the class of* a *mod* q. It is also plain that $X_{a,q}(n)$ only depends on the class of n mod q.

We now establish a few more properties of these functions. The first one links $X_{a,q}(n)$ with $\gcd(n-a, q)$, and so will be useful to capture occurrences of the equality $\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1) = 1$.

Proposition 5.1 *Let* a, q *be integers with* $q \geq 2$. *For all* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, *we have*

$$X_{a,q}(n) \;=\; \begin{cases} 1 & \textit{if } \gcd(n-a, q) = 1, \\ 0 & \textit{if not.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $q_1, \ldots, q_t$ be the distinct prime factors of q. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{a,q}(n) = 1 \;&\iff\; X_{a,q_i}(n) = 1 \;\forall i \\ &\iff\; n \not\equiv a \bmod q_i \;\forall i \\ &\iff\; \gcd(n-a, q_i) = 1 \;\forall i \\ &\iff\; \gcd(n-a, q) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $X_{a,q}(n)$ only takes values in $\{0,1\}$, this implies that $X_{a,q}(n) = 0$ if and only if $\gcd(n-a,q) \neq 1$. ■

Next, for determining $n(p^k, 2)$, we often need to evaluate $X_{a,q}(p^s)$ with $s \geq 2$. The next two properties help remove that exponent s. The first one reduces the task to the case where s divides $q-1$. It suffices to consider the case where q is prime.

Proposition 5.2 *Let q be a prime number, and let a, s be integers with $s \geq 2$. Write $s = te$ with $t = \gcd(s, q-1)$, so that $\gcd(e, q-1) = 1$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $de \equiv 1 \bmod q-1$. Then*

$$X_{a,q}(n^s) \;=\; X_{a^d,q}(n^t)$$

for all integers n.

Proof. This is the heart of the RSA cryptographic protocol, which relies on the fact that exponentiation to the power e in $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is a bijection, whose inverse is exponentiation to the power d. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{a,q}(n^s) = 0 &\iff n^s \equiv a \bmod q \\ &\iff (n^t)^e \equiv a \bmod q \\ &\iff (n^t)^{de} \equiv a^d \bmod q \\ &\iff n^t \equiv a^d \bmod q \\ &\iff X_{a^d,q}(n^t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

■

Thus, we may now assume that the exponent s divides $q-1$.

Proposition 5.3 *Let q be a prime number, and let a, s be integers with s dividing $q-1$. Let $g \in \mathbb{N}$ be an integer whose class mod q generates the multiplicative group of non-zero elements in $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$. We have:*

- *If a is not an s-power mod q, then $X_{a,q}(n^s) = 1$ for all n.*
- *If a in an s-power mod q, then $a \equiv g^{si} \bmod q$ for some integer i such that $0 \leq i \leq (q-1)/s - 1$, and*

$$X_{a,q}(n^s) \;=\; \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} X_{g^{i+j(q-1)/s},q}(n)$$

for all integers n.

Proof. In the group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$ of nonzero classes mod q, the set of s-powers is of cardinality $(q-1)/s$ and coincides with

$$\{g^{si} \bmod q \mid 0 \leq i \leq (q-1)/s - 1\}.$$

First, if a is not an s-power mod q, then $n^s \not\equiv a \bmod q$ for all n, implying $X_{a,q}(n^s) = 1$ for all n. Assume now a is an s-power mod q. By the above remark, there exists $0 \leq i \leq (q-1)/s - 1$ such that $a \equiv g^{si} \bmod q$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{a,q}(n^s) = 0 &\iff n^s \equiv a \bmod q \\ &\iff n^s \equiv g^{si} \bmod q \\ &\iff \left(\frac{n}{g^i}\right)^s \equiv 1 \bmod q. \end{aligned}$$

This means that n/g^i is of order dividing s in the group $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^*$. Now, the elements of order dividing s in this group constitute a subgroup of order s generated by $g^{(q-1)/s}$. Thus, there exists an integer j such that $0 \leq j \leq s-1$ and satisfying

$$\frac{n}{g^i} \equiv g^{j(q-1)/s} \bmod q,$$

yielding

$$X_{a,q}(n^s) = 0 \iff n \equiv g^{i+j(q-1)/s} \bmod q.$$

Summarizing, for $a \equiv g^{si} \bmod q$, we have established the equivalence

$$X_{a,q}(n^s) = 0 \iff \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} X_{g^{i+j(q-1)/s},q}(n) = 0,$$

whence the claimed equality $X_{a,q}(n^s) = \prod_{j=0}^{s-1} X_{g^{i+j(q-1)/s},q}(n)$. ■

Example 5.4 *In order to establish our formula for $n(p^{10}, 2)$ in Section 6, the term $X_{8,17}(p^2)$ turns out to be involved. Now 8 is a square mod 17, namely $8 \equiv 5^2 \equiv 12^2 \bmod 17$. Thus, the above result yields*

$$X_{8,17}(p^2) \;=\; X_{5,17}(p) X_{12,17}(p).$$

6 The cases $k = 9, 10$

Explicit formulas for $n(p^k, 2)$ with p an odd prime and $k \leq 6$ or $k = 8$ are given in [3]. Here we go further and treat the case $k = 9$ in detail. This will show how Corollary 3.2 can be applied, and will also give a sense of the increasing complexity of these formulas. We also briefly address the case $k = 10$. The main ingredients are the basic functions $X_{a,q}$ defined in the preceding section.

Here comes our formula for $n(p^9, 2)$. The fact that it depends on the class of p mod $M(9)$ follows from this prime decomposition:

$$M(9) \;=\; 30998055 \;=\; 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 257 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 43.$$

Theorem 6.1 *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have*

$$n(p^9, 2) = 1+2X_{3,5}(p)+X_{9,17}(p)+X_{128,257}(p)+X_{2,3}(p)\cdot(3+X_{2,11}(p)+X_{8,43}(p)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, in order to determine $n(p^9, 2)$, it suffices to count those exponents i between 0 and 9 satisfying $\gcd(p^i+1, 2p^{9-i}+1) = 1$. Using Corollary 3.2 and the calculations leading to it, these gcd's may be reduced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gcd(p^0 + 1, 2p^9 + 1) &= 1 \\
\gcd(p^1 + 1, 2p^8 + 1) &= \gcd(p + 1, 3) \\
\gcd(p^2 + 1, 2p^7 + 1) &= \gcd(2p - 1, 5) \\
\gcd(p^3 + 1, 2p^6 + 1) &= \gcd(p^3 + 1, 3) \;=\; \gcd(p + 1, 3) \\
\gcd(p^4 + 1, 2p^5 + 1) &= \gcd(2p - 1, 17) \\
\gcd(p^5 + 1, 2p^4 + 1) &= \gcd(p - 2, 33) \\
\gcd(p^6 + 1, 2p^3 + 1) &= \gcd(2p^3 + 1, 5) \\
\gcd(p^7 + 1, 2p^2 + 1) &= \gcd(p - 8, 129) \\
\gcd(p^8 + 1, 2p^1 + 1) &= \gcd(2p + 1, 257) \\
\gcd(p^9 + 1, 2p^0 + 1) &= \gcd(p^9 + 1, 3) \;=\; \gcd(p + 1, 3).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by Proposition 5.1 and the properties of the functions $X_{a,q}$, these equal-

ities imply the following equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
\gcd(p^0+1, 2p^9+1) = 1 & & \text{always} \\
\gcd(p^1+1, 2p^8+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{2,3}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^2+1, 2p^7+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{3,5}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^3+1, 2p^6+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{2,3}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^4+1, 2p^5+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{9,17}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^5+1, 2p^4+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{2,33}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^6+1, 2p^3+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{3,5}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^7+1, 2p^2+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{8,129}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^8+1, 2p^1+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{128,257}(p) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^9+1, 2p^0+1) = 1 & \iff & X_{2,3}(p) = 1.
\end{array}$$

Read sequentially, this table directly yields the following first formula for $n(p^9, 2)$, with 10 summands, in terms of the functions $X_{a,q}$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
n(p^9,2) & = & 1 + X_{2,3}(p) + X_{3,5}(p) + X_{2,3}(p) + X_{9,17}(p) + X_{2,33}(p) \\
& & \quad + X_{3,5}(p) + X_{8,129}(p) + X_{128,257}(p) + X_{2,3}(p) \\
& = & 1 + 3X_{2,3}(p) + 2X_{3,5}(p) + X_{9,17}(p) + X_{2,33}(p) + X_{8,129}(p) \\
& & \quad + X_{128,257}(p).
\end{array}$$

Among the moduli involved above, the only non-prime ones are $33 = 3 \cdot 11$ and $129 = 3 \cdot 43$. By definition of $X_{a,q}$ for non-prime q, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
X_{2,33} & = & X_{2,3}X_{2,11} \\
X_{8,129} & = & X_{8,3}X_{8,43}.
\end{array}$$

Moreover, since $X_{a,q}$ only depends on the class of a mod q, we have

$$X_{8,3} = X_{2,3}.$$

Substituting these equalities in the above formula for $n(p^9, 2)$, we get

$$n(p^9,2) = 1+2X_{3,5}(p)+X_{9,17}(p)+X_{128,257}(p)+X_{2,3}(p)\cdot(3+X_{2,11}(p)+X_{8,43}(p)),$$

as claimed. ■

We now derive another version of our formula for $n(p^9, 2)$, from which its values are easier to read. Given positive integers $q_1, \ldots, q_t$, we denote by

$$\rho_{q_1,\ldots,q_t} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/q_1\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/q_t\mathbb{Z}$$

the canonical reduction morphism $\rho_{q_1,\ldots,q_t}(n) = (n \bmod q_1, \ldots, n \bmod q_t)$. Moreover, we write $n \equiv \neg a \bmod q$ instead of $n \not\equiv a \bmod q$. For example, the condition

$$\rho_{5,17,257}(p) = (3, \neg 9, \neg 128)$$

means $p \equiv 3 \bmod 5$, $p \not\equiv 9 \bmod 17$ and $p \not\equiv 128 \bmod 257$.

Corollary 6.2 *Let p be an odd prime. Consider the following functions of p depending on its classes mod $5, 17, 257$ and $11, 43$, respectively:*

$$\lambda(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{5,17,257}(p) = (3, 9, 128) \\ 2 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{5,17,257}(p) \in \{(3, 9, \neg 128), (3, \neg 9, 128)\} \\ 3 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{5,17,257}(p) \in \{(3, \neg 9, \neg 128), (\neg 3, 9, 128)\} \\ 4 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{5,17,257}(p) \in \{(\neg 3, 9, \neg 128), (\neg 3, \neg 9, 128)\} \\ 5 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{5,17,257}(p) = (\neg 3, \neg 9, \neg 128), \end{cases}$$

$$\mu(p) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{11,43}(p) = (2, 8) \\ 4 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{11,43}(p) \in \{(2, \neg 8), (\neg 2, 8)\} \\ 5 & \text{if} \quad \rho_{11,43}(p) = (\neg 2, \neg 8). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$n(p^9, 2) = \begin{cases} \lambda(p) & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \bmod 3, \\ \lambda(p) + \mu(p) & \text{if } p \not\equiv 2 \bmod 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This directly follows from the preceding result and the easy to prove equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(p) &= 1 + 2X_{3,5}(p) + X_{9,17}(p) + X_{128,257}(p), \\ \mu(p) &= 3 + X_{2,11}(p) + X_{8,43}(p). \end{aligned}$$

■

It is still clearer now that $n(p^9, 2)$ is determined by the class of p mod $M(9) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 257 \cdot 11 \cdot 43$, and that $M(9)$ is the smallest modulus with this property.

We close this section by briefly treating the case $k = 10$. The formula obtained shows that $n(p^{10}, 2)$, for p an odd prime, is determined by the class of p modulo $M(10)/15 = 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 73 \cdot 127$.

Theorem 6.3 *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have*

$$n(p^{10}, 2) = 7 + X_{3,7}(p)(1 + X_{36,73}(p)) + X_{5,17}(p)X_{12,17}(p) + X_{123,127}(p).$$

Proof. After reducing $\gcd(p^i+1, 2p^{10-i}+1)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 10$ as in Corollary 3.2, and using Proposition 5.1 involving the functions $X_{a,q}$, we obtain this first raw formula:

$$\begin{aligned} n(p^{10}, 2) &= 2 + X_{-1,3}(p^2) + X_{3,7}(p) + X_{-2,5}(p^2) + 1 + X_{2,9}(p^2) + X_{123,127}(p) \\ &\quad + X_{8,17}(p^2) + X_{255,511}(p) + X_{-1,3}(p^{10}). \end{aligned}$$

We now invoke Proposition 5.3 several times. Since -1 is not a square mod 3, we have $X_{-1,3}(p^2) = 1$. The same reason yields $X_{2,9}(p^2) = X_{-1,3}(p^{10}) = 1$. Similarly, we have $X_{-2,5}(p^2) = 1$ as -2 is not a square mod 5. As already explained in Example 5.4, we have $X_{8,17}(p^2) = X_{5,17}(p)X_{12,17}(p)$. Finally, since $511 = 7 \cdot 73$, and since 255 is congruent to 3 mod 7 and to 36 mod 73, we have

$$X_{255,511}(p) = X_{3,7}(p)X_{36,73}(p).$$

Inserting these reductions into the raw formula gives the stated one, where now the only argument of the various basic functions $X_{a,q}$ is p and all involved q's are primes. ■

7 The cases $k \leq 8$ revisited

While explicit formulas for $n(p^k, 2)$ with $k \leq 6$ and $k = 8$ are given in [3], we provide here new, shorter formulas in terms of the basic functions $X_{a,q}$ for $k \leq 8$, including $k = 7$. The construction method is similar to the cases $k = 9, 10$ and relies on the reduction of $\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1)$ provided by Corollary 3.2.

Theorem 7.1 *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
n(p^1,2) &= 1 + X_{2,3}(p) \\
n(p^2,2) &= 3 \\
n(p^3,2) &= 1 + 2X_{2,3}(p) + X_{2,5}(p) \\
n(p^4,2) &= 4 + X_{3,7}(p) \\
n(p^5,2) &= 1 + 3X_{2,3}(p) + X_{3,5}(p) + X_{8,17}(p) \\
n(p^6,2) &= 6 + X_{15,31}(p) \\
n(p^7,2) &= 1 + X_{2,3}(p)(3 + X_{7,11}(p)) + X_{2,5}(p)(1 + X_{6,13}(p)) + X_{2,17}(p) \\
n(p^8,2) &= 6 + X_{5,7}(p) + X_{23,31}(p) + X_{63,127}(p).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Corollary 3.2 and its proof method yield the following reductions of $\gcd(p^i + 1, 2p^{k-i} + 1)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. The case $i = 0$ is omitted, as $\gcd(p^0 + 1, 2p^k + 1) = 1$ always. A few more arithmetical reductions are also applied. For instance, the equality $\gcd(p^2 + 1, 3) = 1$ below follows from the fact that -1 is not a square mod 3. This is one easy case of Proposition 5.3.

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= 1: \\
\gcd(p^1 + 1, 2p^0 + 1) &= \gcd(p + 1, 3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= 2: \\
\gcd(p^1 + 1, 2p^1 + 1) &= \gcd(2p + 1, 1) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^2 + 1, 2p^0 + 1) &= \gcd(p^2 + 1, 3) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= 3: \\
\gcd(p^1 + 1, 2p^2 + 1) &= \gcd(p + 1, 3) \\
\gcd(p^2 + 1, 2p^1 + 1) &= \gcd(2p + 1, 5) \\
\gcd(p^3 + 1, 2p^0 + 1) &= \gcd(p^3 + 1, 3) = \gcd(p + 1, 3)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= 4: \\
\gcd(p^1 + 1, 2p^3 + 1) &= \gcd(p + 1, 1) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^2 + 1, 2p^2 + 1) &= \gcd(2p^2 + 1, 1) = 1 \\
\gcd(p^3 + 1, 2p^1 + 1) &= \gcd(2p + 1, 7) \\
\gcd(p^4 + 1, 2p^0 + 1) &= \gcd(p^4 + 1, 3) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k &= 5: \\
\gcd(p^1+1, 2p^4+1) &= \gcd(p+1, 3) \\
\gcd(p^2+1, 2p^3+1) &= \gcd(2p-1, 5) \\
\gcd(p^3+1, 2p^2+1) &= \gcd(p-2, 9) \\
\gcd(p^4+1, 2p^1+1) &= \gcd(2p+1, 17) \\
\gcd(p^5+1, 2p^0+1) &= \gcd(p^5+1, 3) \;=\; \gcd(p+1, 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k &= 6: \\
\gcd(p^1+1, 2p^5+1) &= \gcd(p+1, 1) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^2+1, 2p^4+1) &= \gcd(p^2+1, 3) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^3+1, 2p^3+1) &= \gcd(2p^3+1, 1) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^4+1, 2p^2+1) &= \gcd(2p^2+1, 5) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^5+1, 2p^1+1) &= \gcd(2p+1, 31) \\
\gcd(p^6+1, 2p^0+1) &= \gcd(p^6+1, 3) \;=\; 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k &= 7: \\
\gcd(p^1+1, 2p^6+1) &= \gcd(p+1, 3) \\
\gcd(p^2+1, 2p^5+1) &= \gcd(2p+1, 5) \\
\gcd(p^3+1, 2p^4+1) &= \gcd(2p-1, 9) \\
\gcd(p^4+1, 2p^3+1) &= \gcd(p-2, 17) \\
\gcd(p^5+1, 2p^2+1) &= \gcd(p+4, 33) \\
\gcd(p^6+1, 2p^1+1) &= \gcd(2p+1, 65) \\
\gcd(p^7+1, 2p^0+1) &= \gcd(p^7+1, 3) \;=\; \gcd(p+1, 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k &= 8: \\
\gcd(p^1+1, 2p^7+1) &= \gcd(p+1, 1) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^2+1, 2p^6+1) &= \gcd(p^2+1, 1) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^3+1, 2p^5+1) &= \gcd(p+2, 7) \\
\gcd(p^4+1, 2p^4+1) &= \gcd(2p^4+1, 1) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^5+1, 2p^3+1) &= \gcd(4p+1, 31) \\
\gcd(p^6+1, 2p^2+1) &= \gcd(2p^2+1, 7) \;=\; 1 \\
\gcd(p^7+1, 2p^1+1) &= \gcd(2p+1, 127) \\
\gcd(p^8+1, 2p^0+1) &= \gcd(p^8+1, 3) \;=\; 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case $k = 9$, the claimed formulas follow by reading these tables sequentially and using properties of the functions $X_{a,q}$ from Section 5. ■

In particular, these formulas confirm that for $k = 1, \ldots, 8$, the value of $n(p^k, 2)$ at an odd prime p is determined by the class of p modulo 3, 1, $3 \cdot 5$, 7, $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$, 31, $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17$ and $7 \cdot 31 \cdot 127$, respectively.

8 A question

We shall conclude this paper with an open question. On the one hand, we have obtained explicit formulas for $n(p^k, 2)$ in all cases $k \leq 10$. On the other hand, we know from [3] that no such formula can be expected in the case $k = 4097$, at least as long as the prime factors of the 12th Fermat number $2^{2^{12}} + 1$ remain unknown. Well then, what happens in the intermediate range $11 \leq k \leq 4096$? Are there fundamental obstacles which would prevent us to obtain exact formulas for $n(p^k, 2)$ all the way up to $k = 4096$?

References

[1] M. Bras-Amorós, Fibonacci-like behavior of the number of numerical semigroups of a given genus, Semigroup Forum 76 (2008) 379–384.

[2] M. Bras-Amorós, Bounds on the number of numerical semigroups of a given genus, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 213 (2009) 997–1001.

[3] S. Eliahou and J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín, Two-generator numerical semigroups and Fermat and Mersenne numbers, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 25 (2011) 622–630.

[4] J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín, The Diophantine Frobenius problem. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications 30, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.

[5] J.C. Rosales and P.A. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups. Developments in Mathematics, 20. Springer, New York, 2009.

[6] J.J. Sylvester, On subinvariants, i.e. semi-invariants to binary quantities of an unlimited order, Amer. J. Math. 5 (1882) 119–136.

Authors addresses:

• Shalom Eliahou[a,b,c],
[a]Univ Lille Nord de France, F-59000 Lille, France
[b]ULCO, LMPA J. Liouville, B.P. 699, F-62228 Calais, France
[c]CNRS, FR 2956, France

• Jorge Ramírez Alfonsín,
Institut de Mathématiques et de Modélisation de Montpellier
Université Montpellier 2
Case Courrier 051
Place Eugène Bataillon
34095 Montpellier, France
UMR 5149 CNRS