



HAL
open science

Number in Kambaata

Yvonne Treis

► **To cite this version:**

Yvonne Treis. Number in Kambaata. Storch, Anne & Gerrit J. Dimmendaal. Number: Constructions and Semantics. Case Studies from Africa, India, Amazonia and Oceania., John Benjamins, pp.111-133, 2014, Studies in Language Companion Series, 9789027259165. hal-00728439v2

HAL Id: hal-00728439

<https://hal.science/hal-00728439v2>

Submitted on 30 Sep 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Number in Kambaata

Yvonne Treis

LLACAN (INALCO, CNRS, PRES Paris-Cité)

1. Introduction

Kambaata¹ is a Cushitic language spoken in South Ethiopia. It is a rigidly head-final and strictly suffixing language with a rich nominal and verbal morphology. Regarding parts of speech, there are four sets with open membership (nouns, verbs, adjectives and ideophones) and several closed sets (pronouns, numerals and quantifiers, demonstratives).²

This paper analyses the expression of number; more precisely, it investigates on which parts of speech and by which linguistic means number is grammatically encoded in the language.³ In Kambaata, number is marked, to different degrees, on common nouns (section 2), proper nouns (section 3) and pronouns (section 4). Traces of number agreement are found on modifiers (section 5) and verbs (section 6). The core of this paper deals with number marking on common nouns, especially the interaction of number marking with the lexical semantics of certain noun classes (section 2). A comparison with the grammatical categories of case and gender shows that number is a grammatical category between inflection and derivation (section 7).⁴

2. Number marking on common nouns

A minimal Kambaata noun consists of a stem and a (primary) case morpheme. The stem can be a simple root or a root plus (a) derivational morpheme(s). Nouns inflect for the categories of case and gender, which are jointly expressed by portmanteau-morphemes. Depending on the endings of the accusative and nominative forms,⁵ the nouns fall into about 20 nominal declensions (Treis 2008: 103). In most feminine declensions, the primary case morpheme is followed by an additional secondary case/gender morpheme

¹ Acknowledgements: The article is based on data that was collected during several fieldtrips sponsored by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* in the projects *Dokumentation des Hochlandostkuschitischen* (2002-2005) and *Essen – Trinken – Nahrungszubereitung in äthiopischen Sprachen. Ein Beitrag zur lexikalischen Semantik von Verben* (2005-2007). This support is gratefully acknowledged. I am indebted to my Kambaata consultants, especially Tessema Handiso and Deginet Wotango.

² Conjunctions and adverbs are two negligible word classes in Kambaata.


³ Lexical means of encoding numerosity, i.e. numerals and quantifiers, will not be considered. Cf. Treis (2007) and Treis (2008: 290-295; 303-309).

⁴ This article is a revised and augmented version of my chapter on number in Treis (2008).

⁵ The accusative is also the citation form.

of the shape *-tV*.⁶ As shown in Figure 1 and in (1), number morphemes are located between derivational morphemes (e.g. agentive derivation) and inflectional morphemes.

Figure 1. Morphological structure of nouns



- (1) *moosaanchúta* ‘patient, sick person (f)’
 < Root *moos-* ‘be(come) sick’ + Agentive derivation *-aan* + Number *-ch* (SG) +
 Case/Gender *-ú-ta* (fACC)

Kambaata has a marked nominative case system. Segmentally, case is marked by suffixes, suprasegmentally by a specific stress position. Eight case forms are distinguished: nominative (NOM), accusative (ACC), genitive (GEN), dative (DAT), ablative (ABL), instrumental-comitative-perlative (ICP), locative (LOC) and oblique (OBL). The case endings of a feminine noun and a masculine noun of two selected declensions are given in Table 1.⁷

Table 1. Two exemplary nominal declensions

Declension	ACC	NOM	GEN	DAT	ABL	ICP	LOC	OBL
F1a	-á-ta	’-a-t	-á	-áa(-ha)	-áachch	-áan	-áan	’-a
M1	-á	’-u	-í	-íi(-ha)	-íichch	-íin	-áan	’-a

Kambaata distinguishes two genders: masculine and feminine. While nouns denoting males are typically assigned to masculine gender and nouns denoting females to feminine gender,⁸ nouns not denoting animate beings are evenly distributed across the two genders, as, e.g., in French. Gender-marking is, to a large extent, overt in Kambaata (see the portmanteau-morphemes of case and gender in Table 1).

2.1. Number terminology

In the following sections, a consistent terminological distinction is made between the terms referring to the *formal* aspects of number marking on nouns and the *notional* (semantic) number value of a noun (Table 2). In order not to confuse form and meaning, the terms “basic form”, “singulative”, and “plurative” are used with respect to the *form* of a noun. The basic form is the form of the noun which is devoid of number morphology. The singulative form is the basic form plus a singulative morpheme; the plurative form contains a plurative morpheme. In contrast to these formal terms, the terms “transnumeral”, “singular”, and “plural” refer to the *notional* categories of

⁶ Primary and secondary case/gender morphemes are usually not segmented in the examples.

⁷ See Treis (2008: chapter 5.4) for information on the Kambaata orthographic conventions.

⁸ The nouns *sa’-á* (m) ‘cow’ and *meent-ú* (m) ‘women’ are two exceptions to this rule.

number, i.e. their number value. A transnumeral noun can refer to a singular as well as a plural referent; it is number-neutral (Biermann 1982). A singular noun refers exactly to one referent. A plural noun refers to multiple referents.

Table 2. Number terminology

FORMAL DOMAIN	NOTIONAL DOMAIN
Basic form	Transnumeral
Singulative	Singular
Plurative	Plural

This terminological distinction is required because, as the following sections show, there is no one-to-one relation between form and function; the basic form of a noun, for instance, is not necessarily transnumeral.

2.2. Number morphology

2.2.1. Singulative

The singulative formative has three – predominantly phonologically determined allomorphs: *-ch-ú(-ta)*, *-i-chch-ú(-ta)* and *-ee-chch-ú(-ta)*. The formative is morphologically complex and consists of the stem extension *-(ch)ch* (i.e. the singulative morpheme in the narrow sense), which may be preceded by a vowel *-i* or *-ee*. The stem extension is followed by the case vowel *-ú* and (if feminine) the case/gender marker *-ta* in the citation form. The first singulative formative is used after sonorants (2). After non-sonorant consonants, vowel epenthesis avoids an illicit consonant cluster between the root and the number morpheme (3). The third formative with a long vowel *-ee* is used if the basic form has a long case vowel in the accusative form (4).

- (2) Basic: *adan-í-ta* (f) > SG: *adan-ch-ú(-ta)* (m/f) ‘cat’
- (3) Basic: *buttu’ll-á-ta* (f) > SG: *buttu’ll-i-chch-ú(-ta)* (f) ‘puppy’
- (4) Basic: *od-éeta* (f) > SG: *od-ee-chch-ú-ta* (f) ‘type of tree (ficus sycomorus L.)’
Basic: *j-áata* (f) > SG: *j-ee-chch-ú-ta* (f) ‘time’

Irregularities in the singulative formation are exceptional. Sometimes stem-final simplex consonants merge with the singulative suffix (5)-(6) or final segments are lost. These mergers are lexically conditioned and unpredictable.

- (5) Basic: *zagib-á* (m) > SG: *zagishsh-ú* (b + ch > shsh) (m) ‘juniperus procera’
- (6) Basic: *nubaab-ú* (m) > SG: *nubaachch-ú(-ta)* (b + ch > chch) (m/f) ‘old person’
- (7) Basic: *fella(a)-’-ú* (m) > SG: *fellee-chch-ú(-ta)* (m/f) ‘goat’

In some cases, the stem on which a singulative form has been based does no longer exist in the modern language (8)-(9).

- (8) Basic: **hambarr-* > SG: *hambarr-i-chch-ú-ta* (f) (mountain in Kambaata)
- (9) Basic: **eemm-* > SG: *eemm-i-chch-ú* (m) ‘husband of wife’s sister’; PL2: *eemm-aakk-á-ta* (f) ‘husbands of sisters’

In the majority of cases in which a noun denotes a non-animate entity (plant, thing, abstract noun) or an animal whose sex is not distinguishable or of no importance, the singulative form has the same gender as the basic noun form, i.e. the gender of the base

is inherited (4).⁹ Singulatives of human nouns or nouns of higher animals are bi-gender nouns, i.e. they have a masculine and a feminine form to differentiate male and female; see, for instance, (2) and (6).

2.2.2. Plurative

For the formation of plurative nouns, Kambaata follows two partly phonologically conditioned strategies. Compared to Lowland East Cushitic and South Cushitic languages (Mous 2012: 362), which often have rich and complex systems of plurative morphology, we are dealing with a relatively simple system in Kambaata. The first formative, plurative 1, has the shape *-C-á-ta*. The stem-final consonant copy (C) is the plurative morpheme in the narrow sense. It is followed by a primary case suffix *-a* and a secondary feminine case/gender suffix *-ta*. The plurative 1 has the gender value “feminine”, irrespective of the gender of the basic form. Only nouns with a simplex stem-final consonant can be the input of the plurative 1 formation (10)-(11).

(10) Basic: *min-í* (m) > Plurative 1: *min-n-á-ta* (f) ‘houses’

(11) Basic: *ciil-á(-ta)* (m/f) > Plurative 1: *ciil-l-á-ta* (f) ‘infants’

If the stem of the noun ends in a consonant cluster, only plurative 2-formation is possible (12). The stem is extended with the plurative 2 morpheme *-aakk*, to which the case vowel *-a* and the feminine gender suffix *-ta* are added. Like plurative 1 nouns, plurative 2 nouns are grammatically feminine. Or said differently, plurative marking (unlike singulative marking) imposes a gender restriction on nouns.

(12) Basic: *lankaann-á* (m) > Plurative 2: *lankaann-aakk-á-ta* (f) ‘paternal uncles’

The plurative 2 formative is not restricted to nouns with a stem-final consonant cluster but also applicable to some nouns ending in a simplex consonant, e.g. (13)-(14). These nouns would in principle be phonologically eligible for the plurative 1 formation but only plurative forms with *-aakk-á-ta* (PL2) are acceptable. Nouns that take the PL2-formative unexpectedly are not restricted to the field of kinship terminology but especially prominent in this semantic field.

(13) Basic: *am-á-ta* (f) > PL2: *am-aakk-á-ta* (f) ‘mothers’ (PL1: **am-m-á-ta*)

(14) Basic: *hiz-óo(-ta)* (m/f) > PL2: *hiz-aakk-á-ta* (f) ‘siblings’ (PL2: **hiz-z-á-ta*)

Some nouns cannot be plurativised at all: *beet-ú* ‘son’, *beet-ú-ta* ‘daughter’, *sa’-á* ‘cow’.

2.3. Functions of number morphemes

There is no one-to-one relation between the formal and semantic/functional number values, i.e. not all basic forms are transnumeral, not only singulatives mark singular number and not only pluratives mark plural number. Instead, an adequate description of number in Kambaata has to take the *interaction* between noun class and number marking into account.

⁹ There are some exceptions, e.g. *ha’mm-í-ta* (f) > *ha’mm-ichch-ú* (m) ‘enset corm’, *bork-í-ta* (f) ‘area between ensset pseudostem and leaves’ > *bork-ichch-ú* (m) ‘midrib of ensset leaf’, *wees-í-ta* (f) > *weeshsh-ú* (m) ‘ensset plant’, *miq-á-ta* (f) > *miq-ichch-ú* (m) ‘bone’.

Nouns can be roughly classified according to the number value of their underived basic form and (at least) the following noun classes are to be distinguished:

(i) Inherently singular nouns (N_{ISG})

- e.g. *mesel-ée-ta* ‘girl’, *adab-áa* ‘boy’, *jaal-á(-ta)* ‘friend’, *baajaam-í-ta* ‘teenage girl, unmarried woman’, *am-á-ta* ‘mother’, *ann-á* ‘father; owner’, *hiz-óo(-ta)* ‘sibling’, *ciil-á(-ta)* ‘infant’, *ball-ú(-ta)* ‘father-in-law/mother-in-law’, *wom-á* ‘king’, *boor-á* ‘bull, ox’, *sulum-ú-ta* ‘heifer’, *boot-ú* ‘young bull’, *buul-á(-ta)* ‘mule’, *maaxaan-á-ta* ‘mare’, *min-í* ‘house’ etc.
- Lexemes exempt from plurativisation: *sa’-á* ‘cow’, *beet-ú(-ta)* ‘son/daughter’

(ii) Inherently plural nouns (N_{IPL})

- e.g. *meent-ú* ‘women’, *mann-á* ‘men, people’, *nubaab-ú* ‘elders’, *ilam-ú* ‘relatives’, *koh-á* ‘guests’, *goon-á* ‘males’, *wodall-á-ta* ‘youngsters’, *hard-í-ta* ‘youngsters’, *qacal-í-ta* ‘young married people’, *Kambaat-á* ‘Kambaata people’ and other ethnonyms, *islaam-ú* ‘Muslims’, *harr-í-ta* ‘donkeys’, *faars-ú* ‘horses’, *fella(a)-ú* ‘goats’, *adan-í-ta* ‘cats’, *wosh-á-ta* ‘dogs’, *samag-áa* ‘leopards’, *got-á* ‘hyenas’, *wees-í-ta* ‘enset plants’, *ha’mm-í-ta* ‘enset corms’ etc.
- Lexemes exempt from singulativisation: *oos-ú-ta* ‘children’, *lal-ú* ‘cattle; cows’

(iii) Inherently transnumeral nouns (N_{ITN})

- Examples: *haqq-á* ‘tree(s)’, *kin-ú* ‘stone(s)’, *fooor-ú-ta* ‘rope(s)’, *burtukaan-á* ‘orange(s)’, *bar-í* ‘day(s)’, *ang-á-ta* ‘hand(s)’, *lokk-á-ta* ‘foot(s)’, *gog-á* ‘skin(s), hide(s)’, *hanx-á* ‘teat(s)’, *barcum-á* ‘chair(s), stool(s)’, *zaraar-ú-ta* ‘flower(s)’, *can-á* ‘sack(s)’, *kaashsh-á* ‘plant(s)’, *shiincaar-á* ‘splinter(s)’, *bonx-á* ‘leaf/leaves’, *od-áa-ta* ‘thing(s)’, *dikk-ú-ta* ‘market(s)’, *agan-á* ‘month(s)’, *haww-á* ‘problem(s)’, *ca’mm-á* ‘shoe(s)’ etc.

(iv) Mass nouns (N_M)

- e.g. *wo’-á* ‘water’, *az-ú-ta* ‘milk’, *seel-ú* ‘beer’, *geeshsh-á* ‘type of local beer’, *haraq-í-ta* ‘local brandy’, *huggaat-í* ‘whey’, *ge’in-ú* ‘yogurt’, *maal-á* ‘meat’, *maxini-í-ta* ‘salt’, *qam-á* ‘flour’, *shukkaar-á* ‘sugar’, *qeg-ú* ‘blood’, *orc-á* ‘mud’, *hix-í-ta* ‘grass’, *omol-á* ‘bark’ etc.

(v) Abstract nouns

- e.g. *iitt-á-ta* ‘love’, *qishixx-ú* ‘mercy, pity’, *gar-í-ta* ‘truth’, *qophphan-á* ‘lie’ etc.

The functions of singulative and plurative morphemes are dependent on the class of the noun to which they are attached. Abstract nouns will not be considered further, as they are not attested to combine with number morphology.¹⁰

¹⁰ A native speaker who was consulted could not think of any possible context for plurative forms of abstract nouns (e.g. *baamb-í-ta* ‘fear’ > **baamb-aakk-á-ta*). Singulative marking on abstract nouns was also rejected except for *qophphan-á* ‘lie’, whose singulative form *qophphan-ch-ú* was interpreted as ‘small lie’ (compare with (23) below).

2.3.1. The functions of singulative marking

The class of inherently singular nouns consists predominantly (but not exclusively) of nouns referring to humans and higher animals. The basic form of these nouns is used to refer to an individual referent; cf. the basic (BC) form of *sa'-á* 'cow' in (15), which may only be interpreted as referring to an individual cow but not to several cows.

- (15) *háww-u* *báashshi-yan* *az-ó* *sa'-á*
 problem-mNOM deteriorate.3mPCO-DS milk-fGEN cow-mACC
dikk-úta *mass-éemm*
 market-fACC go.CS-1sPVE
 'When the [financial] problems had deteriorated, I took the dairy cow [*cows]
 (BC of N_{ISG}) to the market.'

Inherently singular nouns do not occur with the singulative marker for the purpose of individuation but the singulative expresses affection or tininess, as elaborated below.

The class of inherently plural nouns is predominantly (but not exclusively) made up of nouns referring to groups or collectives of humans and higher animals. These nouns are obligatorily singulative-marked when they refer to a singular referent. The noun *meent-ú* 'women', for instance, belongs to the class of inherently plural nouns. If one refers to a single woman, the singulative form of the noun, *meent-ichch-ú-ta* 'woman', is required. The same is true of other collectives such as *koh-á* 'guests' and ethnonyms (e.g. *Kambaat-á* 'Kambaata people; Kambaata land').

Ex. (16) illustrates that the singulative form of an inherently plural noun (*wodall-á-ta* 'youngsters') is notionally equivalent to the basic form of an inherently singular noun (*baajaam-í-ta* 'young woman').

- (16) *wodall-ichch-ú-ndo* *baajaam-íta* *xúud-d?*
 youngsters-SG-mACC-Q young_woman-fACC see-2sPCO
 'Did you see a young man (SG of N_{IPL}) or a young woman (BC of N_{ISG})?'

In the group of inherently plural nouns, some lexemes have no access to singulative-marking: *oos-ú-ta* 'children' and *lal-ú* 'cattle, cows'. Instead, semantically equivalent singular-inherent nouns have to be used: *beet-ú(ta)* 'son, daughter', *adab-óo* 'boy', *mesel-ée-ta* 'girl'; *sa'-á* 'cow'.

The basic form of transnumeral nouns can refer to a single referent or to plural referents. Examples (17) and (18) show the two possible, context-dependent interpretations of the transnumeral noun *lokk-á-ta* 'foot'. In (17), *lokk-á-ta* (appearing here as *lokk-á-s*) refers to multiple referents; in (18) (appearing here as *lokk-áchchi-*), it refers to a single referent. The number interpretation is provided by the linguistic and extra-linguistic context and cannot be deduced from the form of the noun.

- (17) *lokk-á-s* *godab-i-í* *aaz-éen*
 foot-fACC-3mPOSS belly-mGEN-1sPOSS interior-mLOC
aag-íshsh *uurr-ée'u*
 enter-CS.3mPCO stand_up-3mPVE

[A pair of shoes speaking about its two-legged owner:] 'He put his feet (BC of N_{INTR}) into my bellies and stood up.' (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.38)

- (18) *shiincáar-u* *lokk-áchchi-'* *ful-im-bá'a*
splinter-mNOM foot-fABL-1sPOSS go_out-3mNIPV-NEG
'The splinter did not come out of my foot (BC of N_{ITR}).'

The function of singulative marking on transnumeral nouns is pragmatically determined. In my corpus, there are many examples in which the basic form is used but the singulative form regarded as equally appropriate. The sentences in (19) were produced by two native speakers describing the same picture, independently from each other. The picture showed a rope wrapped around a stone. In (a) the basic form of 'stone' occurs, in (b) the singulative form.

- (19)
(a) *foxóor-ut* *kin-óon* *xaax-án-tee'*
rope-fNOM stone-mLOC wrap-PS-3fPVE
'The rope is wrapped around the stone (BC of N_{ITR}).'
(b) *wodár-ch-u* *kin-**ch**-óon* *xaax-ámm-ee'u*
cord-SG-mNOM stone-**SG**-mLOC wrap-PS-3mPVE
'The cord is wrapped around the stone (SG of N_{ITR}).'

Presumably, speaker (b) intended to express explicitly that only one stone is shown on the picture. Like with plural-inherent nouns, the singulative morpheme can have an individuating function with transnumeral nouns but, unlike with plural-inherent nouns, the use of the singulative morpheme is not obligatory with singular reference.

Apart from the individuating function, the singulative may also indicate that the speaker has an affectionate relationship to the referent of a noun. The singulative in (20)-(22) expresses 'dear X', 'beloved X'. In this function, it is found on inherently singular nouns, such as *adab-áa* 'boy' (20) or *mesel-ée-ta* 'girl' (21), and on inherently transnumeral nouns (22).

- (20) *adab-áa* 'boy' (m) > *adab-éechch-o* (mOBL) 'O dear boy'
(21) *mesel-ée-ta* 'girl' (f) > *mesel-éechch-o* (fOBL) 'O dear girl'

The speaker of utterance (22) is proud that he managed to produce his first pot all by himself. The affectionate relationship to the product of his hands is expressed by the singulative marker on the transnumeral noun 'pot', while in all remaining sentences of the text from which this example originates, single and multiple pots are referred to by the transnumeral basic form of the noun.

- (22) *áchche* *zakkaanchóon* *ti* *xuud-deenantá* *dist-íchch-ut*
so finally DDEM1.fNOM see-2pIPV.REL pot-**SG**-fNOM
dag-an-tóo'u
find-PS-3fPVO

'So finally, this (dearly loved) pot (SG of N_{ITR}) that you see (here) came into being.' (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.60)

In a poem praising the benefits and qualities of a book, which is published in a Kambaata primer, the book is fondly addressed as *maxaf-ichch-o* book-SG-mOBL 'O dear book' (Kambaatissata 1989: 8.2f).

The endearment function and the diminutive function are difficult to tell apart. In (23) the singulative morpheme on the inherently singular noun *wotar-á-ta* (f) ‘foal (of equines)’ can be interpreted as a sign of the speaker’s affection with the animal to be sacrificed but also as a sign of the victim’s tininess.

- (23) *mat-íta* *ánn-uhuu* *ám-atii* *yoo-ba’í*
 one-fACC father-mNOM.CRD mother-fNOM.CRD COP1.3-NEG.REL
*wotar-**ch**-íta* *aass-íi* *iitt-an-tóo’u*
 foal-**SG**-fACC give-mDAT decide-PS-3fPVO
 ‘It was decided to give (them) a (tiny) donkey foal (SG of N_{ISG}) which had no father and no mother.’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.34)

A married woman who has to avoid the word *shaat-í-ta* (f) ‘*shaate*-dish’ out of respect to her in-laws can replace it by the singulative noun *mad-ichch-ú-ta* (f) ‘small *mada*-dish’ in the avoidance register; the substitute term is derived from *mad-á* (m), the name of a dish type which is bigger than *shaat-í-ta*.

On mass nouns, the singulative indicates ‘a small amount/piece of N’ as in (24), where *maal-á* (m) ‘meat’ is the input of the singulative derivation. Other examples are *omol-á* (m) ‘bark’ > *omol-**ch**-ú* (m) ‘a piece of bark’, *hix-í-ta* (f) ‘grass’ > *hix-**ichch**-ú-ta* (f) ‘blade of grass’, *seel-ú* (m) ‘beer’ > *seel-**ch**-ú* (m) ‘tiny amount of beer’, *az-ú-ta* (f) ‘milk’ > *az-**ichch**-ú-ta* (f) ‘tiny amount of milk’.

- (24) *mám-mát-e* *ma’nn-é* *máal-**ch**-u* *hor-íinka*
 RED-one=fOBL place-fGEN meat-**SG**-mNOM all-mDAT<N>
iill-anó=g-a [...] [
 reach-3mIPV.REL=SIM-mOBL
 ‘[...] so that everybody receives a (small) piece of meat (SG of N_M) from various parts (i.e. from the tongue, neck, etc.) [...].’

In exceptional cases, the singulative derivation leads to unpredictable semantic shifts between the basic form and singulative form (25)-(27). Such singulatives have to be listed in the lexicon.

- (25) Basic: *agan-á* (m) ‘month’ > SG: *agan-**ch**-ú* (m) ‘moon’
 (26) Basic: *arr-ú* (m) ‘sunlight’ > SG: *arr-**iichch**-ú-ta* (f) ‘sun’
 (27) Basic: *gur-á* (m) ‘left side’ > SG: *gur-**ch**-ú(-ta)* (m/f) ‘left-handed person’

2.3.2. The functions of plurative marking

Although plurative forms can easily be collected in elicitation sessions, the use of plurative forms is actually not common in spontaneously produced data, oral and written texts. Plurative morphemes are only obligatory on inherently singular nouns that have plural reference. In (28), the plurative marker cannot be left out because one always plows with two bulls.

- (28) [...] *mát-u* *nubáachch-u* *boor-**r**-áta*
 one-mNOM old(.SG)-mNOM bull-**PL1**-fACC
hoga’-eenáyyoomma=b-a *iill-ée’u*
 plow-3honPROG.REL=PLACE-mACC reach-3mPVE
 ‘[...] he came to a place where an old man was plowing (with) bulls (PL1 of

N_{ISG}).’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.76)

Ex. (29) shows that the basic noun of an inherent plural noun, *wodall-á-ta* ‘youngsters, teenage boys’, is functionally equivalent to a plurative form of an inherently singular noun, *mesel-ée-ta* ‘girl’. The basic form of *wodall-á-ta* refers to multiple referents, whereas the basic form of *mesel-ée-ta* ‘girl’ may only point to a singular referent. Therefore, *mesel-ée-ta* must receive a plurative morpheme in a context such as (29).

- (29) *géex-at* *wodáll-atii* *masal-áakk-atii*
 young-fNOM teenage_boys-fNOM.CRD girl-**PL2**-fNOM.CRD
masaal-áan *nugguss-an-táa’a*
 masaala-mLOC circumcise-PS-3fIPV
 ‘Teenage boys (BC of N_{IPL}) and girls (PL2 of N_{ISG}) are circumcised on the *masaala*-feast.’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 5.9)¹¹

Whereas plurative morphemes mark an increase in the number of referents on inherently singular nouns, it marks multiple individuation or reference to individuals in a group with inherently plural and transnumeral nouns, as illustrated with text excerpt (30).

- (30) I saw that the old man released the cattle [*lal-ú* (BC of N_{IPL})] and brought them out of the house. After that he stroked the plough bull that he loved most of his cattle [*lal-l-a-sí* (**PL1** of N_{IPL}) *aaz-éen* ‘inside his “cattle-s”’] with *masana*-leaves [*masan-í bonx-úin* (BC of N_{ITR}) ‘with masana-leaf(/leaves)’] from its head to its lower legs [*lokk-a-sí didibb-á* (BC of N_{ITR}) ‘his lower leg(s)’] and rubbed its whole body, headed towards the feeding place and fed it.

In the first line, the cattle as a group are referred to by the basic form of the inherently plural noun *lal-ú* (m) ‘cattle, cows’. In the second line, however, the plurative form draws attention to the individual members that make up the group, i.e. the plurative on inherently plural nouns is used, among others, for the purpose of multiple individuation. The plurative marker can also have this function with transnumeral nouns, such as *dikk-ú-ta* (f) ‘market(s)’ > *dikk-aakk-á-ta* (f) ‘multiple individuated markets’ in (31). Apart from the plurative form, the text excerpt contains four basic forms of *dikk-ú-ta* (f), two of which have singular reference (e.g. *machchaar-í dikk-ú-ta* ‘the market of madness’). The remaining two basic forms have plural reference (e.g. *masaal-í dikk-ú-ta* ‘*masaala*-markets’).

- (31) ‘The ones called “*masaala*-markets” [*masaal-í dikk-ú-ta* (BC of of N_{ITR})] are the two or three markets [*díkk-u* (BC of N_{ITR})] before the slaughtering day. Out of these markets [*tánn dikk-aakk-áachch* (**PL2** of N_{ITR})], the market [*díkk-ut* (BC of N_{ITR})] held on the last day (before the *masaala*-feast) is called “market of madness” [*machchaar-í dikk-ú-ta* (BC of N_{ITR})].’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 5.9)

Conceptually related to the function of multiple individuation is distributivity, i.e. reference to a group of entities each of which is located at a different place. Distributivity is another function of plurative forms of transnumeral (and possibly also plural-inherent) nouns (32)-(33).

¹¹ *Masaala* is an annual religious holiday in September (cf. Amharic *mäsk’äl*).

- (32) *hor-üchchi-nne-n al-í wud-í yabur-r-á-nne*
 all-mABL-1pPOSS-N upper-mGEN side-mGEN lip-**PL1**-fACC-1pPOSS
aaqq-ít ilam-ú kohícc
 take-2sPCO relatives-mACC cater(.CS.MID).2sIMP
 ‘Take from all of us the upper lip (PL1 of N_{ITR}) and cater for your guests!’
 (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.34)
- (33) *kiis-aakk-áta hund-ánta xúud*
 pocket-**PL2**-fACC all-fACC<N> look.2sIMP
 ‘Look into all the pockets (PL2 of N_{ITR}) (of all the pieces of clothing that you intend to wash)!’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 3.10)

Plurative-marking on transnumeral nouns can encode plurals of kinds (34)-(35).

- (34) *baarzáaf-u waal-üchchi-s bír-e*
 eucalyptus-mNOM come-mABL-3mPOSS front-fOBL
hoolám-at haqq-áakk-at Adisaab-í gidan-óon yóo’u íkke
 many-fNOM tree-**PL2**-fNOM A.-mGEN area-mLOC COP1.3 INACT
 ‘Before the arrival of the eucalyptus, many (types/species of) trees (PL2 of N_{ITR}) (*many (individual) trees) were found in the environs of Addis Ababa.’
 (Kambaatissata 1989: 3.59)
- (35) *wól-i-s gizz-áakk-at ammóo*
 other-fNOM-DEF livestock-**PL2**-fNOM however
hog-ooháa ichch-aaháa tan-táa’u
 farming-fDAT.CRD food-fDAT.CRD be_used-3fIPV
 ‘The other (types) of domestic animals (PL1 of N_{ITR}) are used for farming and for food.’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.20)

Whereas the singulative signals affection and endearment, plurative forms can express contempt, especially the pluratives of body parts, which belong to the class of transnumeral nouns. The use of “contempt plurals” is illustrated in (36). The example is taken from a game during which children try to insult each other in the most creative ways (Treis 2012). The ridiculed body part occurs in the plurative form, even if as in the case of ‘belly’ a person definitely possesses only one.¹²

- (36) *godáb-b-a-kkii átii fud-í*
 belly-**PL1**-fNOM-2sPOSS.CRD 2sNOM.CRD equine_dung-mGEN
qarcaat-á agud-dáant
 basket-mACC resemble-2sIPV
 ‘Your belly (lit. “bellies”) (PL1 of N_{ITR}) and you resemble a basket for equine dung.’

Finally, plurative-marking can also express associative plurality.¹³ So far, this function is attested on mass nouns only.

¹² In (17), the basic form *godab-á* ‘belly’ is used to refer to two bellies (each shoe of the pair has a belly).

¹³ See section 3 below on associative plurals.

- (37) *án dikk-óochch buur-aakk-áta hi'rr-í wáall-eemm*
 1sNOM market-fABL butter-**PL1**-fACC buy.MID-1sPCO come-1sPVE
 ‘I bought butter and other things (associated with butter, e.g. butter spices) (PL2 of N_{ITR}) from the market and came (home).’

2.3.3. Combinations of number markers

Singulative and plurative markers can be applied cumulatively. If two singulative markers are attached to a nominal stem, the first one encodes singular reference, the second one encodes affection. In the text excerpt of (38), simple and double singulative forms occur. The simple singulative form which is derived from the inherently plural noun *handar-í-ta* ‘doves’ refers to an individual dove, either the dove child (line 2) or the dove mother (line 7). The double singulative forms (lines 3 and 8) reflect the protagonist’s affection for the individuated dove child, which he finds in a pitiful situation. Double singulative nouns can be translated as ‘individual beloved N’.¹⁴

- (38) One day, while he was thinking about how to meet his mother, that tiny dove (*qakkíchch-ut handár-ch-ut* tiny-fNOM dove-**SG**-fNOM) flapped towards him. Sabiro took the dove (*handar-ch-ichch-ú-s* dove-**SG-SG**-fACC-DEF) carefully and said “Like you, I am separated from my mother.” Slowly he climbed down from the *kok*-tree and released her to join the doves (*handar-éen* dove-fICP) on the border trees at the end of their estate. In that moment a dove (*handár-ch-ut* dove-**SG**-fNOM) that had been on a high *suta*-tree approached happily and sat down beside the tiny dove (*qakkíchch-uta handar-ch-ichch-ó* tiny-fOBL dove-**SG-SG**-fGEN). (Kambaatissata 1989: 8.20f)

The function of double pluratives is not yet clear and requires further investigation. In Kambaata schoolbooks, the form *jaal-l-aakk-áta* (friend-PL1-PL2-fACC) ‘friends’ is common. The initial assumption that this double plurative form expresses a plural of abundance (Treis 2008: 145) could not be confirmed. Instead it seems to be in free variation with the single plurative form, *jaal-l-áta* (friend-PL1-fACC) ‘friends’.

A noun which carries both a singulative and a plurative morpheme refers to a large amount of small/tiny Ns; cf. *suus-á* ‘worn out clothes’ (39). Other examples from texts are: *maalat-ichch-aakk-á-ta* (SG-PL2) ‘many small/tiny butterflies’ derived from *maalat-í-ta* ~ *maalat-é* ‘butterflies’¹⁵ and *laal-ch-aakk-á-ta* (SG-PL2 of N_{ITR}) ‘many small/tiny fruits’ derived from *laal-ú-ta* ‘fruit(s)’.

- (39) [...] *ub-bóo suus-ichch-aakk-áta mácc mat-ú*
 fall-3fPVO.REL cloth-**SG-PL2**-fACC collect.3mPVO one-mACC
mat-úin qaaf-an-s-í oddaqq-ée'u
 one-fICP patch-PS-CS-3mPCO wear.MID-3mPVE

[Speaker describing a poor man who has no money to buy clothes:] ‘[...] he has collected many tiny pieces of cloth (SG-PL2 of N_{ITR}) that have fallen down (to the ground), he has stitched them together and wears them.’

¹⁴ Possibly, the second singulative marker also serves to reinforce the dove child’s tininess, which is additionally indicated by the adjective *qakkíchch-ú-ta* ‘tiny’ in line 2 and 8.

¹⁵ It is not safely known whether *maalet-í-ta* is inherently plural or transnumeral.

The reverse order (plurative plus singulative morpheme) is not attested in the corpus.¹⁶

2.4. Summary

Noun class and number interact in the following ways:

In their basic form, *inherently singular nouns* can only be interpreted as referring to one individual referent. For multiple reference the plurative form is obligatory. Singulative forms of inherently singular nouns are rare and pragmatically conditioned. If they are used, they express affection or tininess.

Depending on the context, the basic form of *transnumeral nouns* can be interpreted as referring to a single referent or to several referents. Singulative and plurative forms are pragmatically conditioned. Singulative forms are generated for the purpose of explicit individuation and to express affection or to indicate that the referent is small. Plurative forms are not only derived to refer a plurality of individuals but also to express plurals of kinds, distributive plurals, plurals of contempt and exaggeration and to indicate that the referent is of big size.

In their basic form, *inherently plural nouns* refer to more than one referent. For individual reference, the singulative form is obligatory. Plurative forms of inherently plural nouns are not common and pragmatically conditioned. If they are used, they refer to the individual members in a group (multiple individuation) and plurals of kinds.¹⁷

Mass nouns are hardly ever number-marked. In some cases, the singulative form is used in reference to a small piece or a small amount of a mass. Plurative marking on mass nouns is attested in the function of associative plurality ('N and others').¹⁸

Apart from abstract nouns and some unexpected gaps in the group of inherently singular and inherently plural nouns (see, for instance, the ungrammaticality of the singulative form of *lal-ú* 'cattle'), singulative and plurative forms can be derived from all nouns, regardless of the class the nouns belong to. But although singulatives and pluratives are usually possible and interpretable (given an appropriate context), their occurrence is not equally frequent in all classes. The frequency of singulatives and pluratives correlates with the membership of the underived noun in certain classes. Pluratives of inherently singular nouns and singulatives of inherently plural nouns are definitely the most common number-marked forms, because their use is obligatory in case of plural reference and singular reference, respectively.

¹⁶ A native speaker consultant who was asked about plurative-singulative combinations rejected them.

¹⁷ Plurative forms of inherently plural nouns that could be interpreted as distributive plurals or plurals of contempt are not yet attested. Until further research is conducted, these interpretations cannot be ruled out as impossible.

¹⁸ In Somali, plural/plurative forms of mass nouns express 'kinds of N' (Serzisko 1992: 522). It cannot be ruled out that pluratives of mass nouns also express plurals of kinds in Kambaata.

3. Number marking on proper nouns

Kambaata proper nouns differ from common nouns in the absence of the secondary case/gender marker (cf. Figure 1). Address forms (oblique case) of proper nouns can be singulative-marked to signal affection between speaker and addressee (cf. the endearment function of singulatives on common nouns).

(40) *Worq-ú* (m) (male name) > SG: *Worq-íchch-o* (mOBL) ‘O dear Worqu’

Plurative morphology on proper nouns is not attested. However, proper nouns can combine with an associative plural morpheme -’VV in order to refer to more than one entity or, more precisely, to one focal referent and an associate or a group of associates centering around him/her (cf. Moravcsik 2003):

(41) *Hamaam-e-’ée* *ám-at* *hánn-u-ta-n*
 H.-fACC-ASSOC.fGEN mother-fNOM where-fPRED-fCOP2-Q
mar-eemmáa?
 go-3honPVE.REL.NMZ1.mNOM
 ‘Where has Hamaame *et al.*’s mother gone?’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 3.99)

The linguistic and extra-linguistic context of the utterance determines who the associates are. Often the associative derivation extends the reference from one person to a pair or groups including the focal person and his/her sibling(s), family member(s), friend(s), companion(s), follower(s) or other people in the same situation. In (41) above, the associate(s) is/are Hamame’s sibling(s).

The associative derivation is productive with personal names. Apart from this, it is attested – with unknown function – on plural demonstrative pronouns (Treis 2008: 364). Furthermore, it pluralises the interrogative pronoun *ay-é* ‘who?’ > *ay-e-’éet* ‘who (PL)?’ (Treis 2008: 383) and is historically involved in the formation of plural personal pronouns (Treis 2008: 333 and section 4 below).

Unlike other Kambaata derivational morphemes, the associative morpheme is not attached to the stem but to a vowel-final case form (possibly the accusative case). The associative plural morpheme is inherently feminine and, as such, it inflects for case like underived feminine nouns do; see, for instance, the genitive case form in (41). As subjects, associative plural nouns trigger feminine agreement on verbs (42).

(42) *Aachch-e-’éet* *m-á* *y-ítun-la?*
 Mum-fACC-ASSOC.fNOM what-mACC say-3fJUS-INDIG
 ‘What should Mum *et al.* (here: and other women working as hard as she does) say?!’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 3.97)

4. Number marking on pronouns

Kambaata has nine independent personal pronouns, of which the nominative case forms are given in Table 3. Other case forms for which the pronouns can be marked are accusative, genitive, dative, ICP, locative and ablative (Treis 2008: 330). In the personal pronoun paradigm, three person values and two number values (singular and plural) are distinguished. In the second and third person, a distinction is made between familiar and honorific forms. The 3hon pronoun also serves as an impersonal pronoun. Gender is only distinguished in the third person singular.

Table 3. Personal pronouns

		Nominative
Singular	1s	<i>án</i>
	2s	<i>át</i>
	2hon	<i>á'nnu</i>
	3m	<i>ís</i>
	3f	<i>íse</i>
	3hon	<i>íssa</i>
Plural	1p	<i>na'óot</i>
	2p	<i>a'nnu'óot</i>
	3p	<i>isso'óot</i>

As far as the relation between number and honorificity is concerned, it is particularly noteworthy that Kambaata, unlike closely related languages (e.g. Alaaba, K'abeena, Sidaama), does not use the plural personal pronouns to address or refer to an individual respected person.¹⁹ Instead, Kambaata has two dedicated second and third person honorific pronouns: *á'nnu* (2hon) and *íssa* (3hon). The honorific pronouns are cognate to the plural pronouns of related languages. The synchronic plural pronouns in Kambaata are the result of a recent contact-induced grammaticalisation process whereby the earlier second and third person plural pronouns (which are now used in honorific function) were extended by the associative morpheme -'VV (nominative form: -'VV-*t*) in (near) analogy to Amharic²⁰ plural pronouns: 2nd person *á'nnu* + ASSOC > *a'nnu'óot* (2p) and 3rd person *íssa* + ASSOC > *isso'óot* (3p). Eventually, the associative morpheme -'VV was also added to the old 1p pronoun, even though no honorific use developed in the 1st person: 1st person *ná'u* + ASSOC > *na'óot* (1p). (See Treis 2008: 333ff for details.)

Kambaata personal pronouns usually have nouns referring to human beings as antecedents. In order to track non-human antecedents, demonstrative pronouns are preferred. Four types of demonstrative pronouns (proximal, medial, distal and contrastive) are distinguished, all of which inflect for two gender values (masculine vs. feminine) and two number values (singular and plural). For reasons of space, only the paradigm of the proximal demonstrative pronoun is given below.

¹⁹ Kambaata only uses the plural personal pronouns to address or refer to more than one respected person.

²⁰ As the national language of Ethiopia, Amharic is the most important contact language of Kambaata.

Table 4. Proximal ('this') demonstrative pronouns

	Masculine Singular	Feminine Singular	Masculine Plural	Feminine Plural
Accusative	<i>káan</i>	<i>táan</i>	<i>kará</i>	<i>tará</i>
Nominative	<i>kúun</i>	<i>tíin</i>	<i>kurú</i>	<i>tirú</i>
Genitive	<i>kanní</i>	<i>tanné</i>	<i>karrí</i>	<i>tarrí</i>
Dative	<i>kannú(ha)</i>	<i>tanné(ha)</i>	<i>karrú(ha)</i>	<i>tarrú(ha)</i>
Ablative	<i>kannúchch</i>	<i>tannéechch</i>	<i>karrúchch</i>	<i>tarrúchch</i>
ICP	<i>kannúin</i>	<i>tannéen</i>	<i>karrúin</i>	<i>tarrúin</i>
Locative	<i>kannéen</i>	<i>tannéen</i>	<i>karráan</i>	<i>tarráan</i>
Oblique	<i>kánne</i>	-	-	-

Demonstrative pronouns are the only part of speech in Kambaata in which the gender distinction is not neutralised in the plural. Historically, the plural demonstratives are the result of a merger of case and gender-agreeing demonstrative modifiers and a head noun **ra* ‘thing(s)’ (Treis 2008: 362), e.g. *kará* ‘these’ (mACC) < **ka* + *rá* and *karrí* (mGEN) ‘of these’ < **kan* + *rí*. In modern Kambaata, **ra* is no longer used as an independent noun but as a nominalising enclitic, =*r-a* (ACC)/=*r-u* (NOM) (43).

- (43) *godáb-u maacc-ó=r-u*
 belly-mNOM hide-3mPVO.REL=**NMZp**-mNOM
dimb-í bar-í ful-áno
 become_drunk-mGEN day-mACC come_out-3mIPV
 ‘What is hidden in the belly comes out on the day of drunkenness.’

5. Number agreement on nominal modifiers

Number-marking on (underived) adjectives follows the same formal rules as on nouns (Treis 2008: 264f). While modifying adjectives agree with their head noun in case and gender, there is usually no number agreement (and therefore no number marking) on modifying adjectives;²¹ see the presence of case and gender agreement but the absence of number agreement on the adjectives *búshat* and *ónata*, which modify plurative-marked head nouns, in (44)-(45). See also (29) above.

- (44) *Kambaat-í baad-óon yóo búsh-at bahíl-l-at [...]*
 K.-mGEN country-mLOC COP1.3.REL bad-fNOM custom-PL1-fNOM
hatt-íta gen-táa=g-a [...]
 how-fACC harm-3fIPV.REL=**SIM**-mOBL
 ‘[...] how bad costumes in the Kambaata country harm (people).’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 8.93)

²¹ This is especially true for singulative agreement. It is also a very strong tendency in the case of plurative agreement, though not an absolute rule. For unknown reason, for instance, the adjective *wiim-á(ta)* ‘full’ is often plurative-marked before plurative nouns in the Kambaata schoolbooks.

Compared to modifying adjectives, number-marking is more common (though not obligatory) on predicative adjectives (Treis 2008: 267).

- (45) [...] *ón-ata* *ma'nn-aaakk-áan* *aag-ís*
 empty-fOBL²² space-PL2-fLOC enter-CS.2sIMP
 ‘[...] insert them into the empty spaces.’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 3.14)

The agreement behaviour of agentive adjectives, which are mostly derived from verbs (Treis 2011), differs from that of underived adjectives. Agentive adjectives occur in singulative/plurative pairs (SG *-aan-ch-ú(ta)*/PL3 *-aan-n-ú*) and speakers must take a decision for or against a certain number-marked form when they use them as modifiers.²³ The decision is straightforward with overtly number-marked nouns; see (46), in which the singulative-marked *mán-ch-u* ‘person’ is modified by a singulative-marked agentive adjective. The singulative form of the agentive adjectives also precedes unmarked, notionally singular (47) and transnumeral nouns.

- (46) *qeraa'rr-ú* *woqq-áa* *lokk-áan* *mar-áan-ch-u*
 far-mACC road-mACC foot-fICP walk-AA-SG-mNOM
mán-ch-u *qaar-á* *ih-áno*
 person-SG-mNOM strong-mACC become-3mIPV
 ‘A person who walks a long distance on foot becomes strong.’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 7.91)

- (47) [...] *ros-áan-ch-u* *jáal-u-s* *ga''-ée-s*
 learn-AA-SG-mNOM friend-mNOM-3sPOSS call-3mPVE-3mO
 ‘[...] his school (lit. “learner”) friend called him.’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 8.21)

Instances of modifying agentive agentives are quite rare in the database, therefore it is not yet clear what determines the form of agentive adjective when the modified noun is not overtly number-marked but notionally plural: surprisingly, both singulative and plurative agent adjectives are attested in that case; cf. (48) and (49).²⁴

- (48) *moos-aan-ch-ú-s* *wees-íta* *ichch-ó* *lál-u* [...]
 be_sick-AA-SG-fACC-DEF enset_plants-fACC eat-3mPVO.REL cattle-mNOM
 ‘Cattle which has eaten the sick enset plants [...].’ (Kambaatissata 1989: 8.31)
- (49) *moos-áan-n-u* *mánn-u* *we'eesam-éen* *ag-áno*
 be_sick-AA-PL3-mNOM people-mNOM pot_sp-fICP drink-3mIPV
 ‘Sick people drink from a *we'eesame*-pot.’

Demonstrative modifiers are not marked for number at all. They only agree with their head noun in case and gender; see the demonstrative *tánn* ‘this (fOBL)’ in (50), which agrees with the following head noun *sulum-ó* ‘heifer (fGEN)’.²⁵ Since plurative-marked nouns are formally feminine, they are modified by feminine demonstratives (51).

²² The oblique case of modifiers signals agreement with non-ACC/non-NOM head nouns.

²³ The use in modifying function is uncommon. Usually, agentive adjectives are used as NP heads.

²⁴ The modifying use of agentive adjectives definitely requires further investigation.

²⁵ The oblique case of modifiers signals agreement with non-ACC/non-NOM head nouns.

- (50) *na'óot tánn sulum-ó=g-a múi*
 1pNOM DDEM1.fOBL heifer-fGEN=SIM-mOBL what.mDAT
qishixx-ináan-se-ba-i?
 pity-1pIPV-3fO-NEG-Q
 'Why don't we pity her like this heifer (pities her)?' (Kambaatissata 1989: 2.71)
- (51) *heechch-a-nné shóoh-ut tánni-n hóol-l-a*
 life-fGEN-1pPOSS basis-fNOM DDEM1.fOBL-N sheep-PL1-fPRED
 'The basis of our life are these sheep.' (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.133)

Cardinal numerals and quantifiers cannot host number morphology either.

6. Number agreement on verbs

Kambaata verbs (with the exception of verbal nouns) agree with their subjects in person, number, gender and honorificity. Affirmative indicative main verbs distinguish between seven subject agreement morphemes: 1s, 2s, 3m, 3f, 3hon, 1p and 2p; non-indicative and negative main verbs and most subordinate verbs have a reduced agreement potential. The discontinuous subject agreement morphology of verbs with the most elaborate inflectional potential, i.e. of affirmative indicative main verbs, is given below.

Table 5. Discontinuous subject agreement on affirmative indicative main verbs

1s	VERB STEM	-∅	ASPECT MORPHOLOGY	- <i>m(m)</i>
2s (= 2hon)		- <i>t</i>		- <i>nt</i>
3m		-∅		(var.)
3f (= 3p)		- <i>t</i>		- <i>'V</i>
3hon		- <i>een</i>		(var.)
1p		- <i>n</i>		- <i>m(m)</i>
2p		- <i>teen</i>		- <i>nta(a'u)</i>

As Table 5 shows, number is distinguished in the first and second person but the number of third person subjects is not marked on verbs. Instead, only the gender (masculine vs. feminine) and the social status (honorific vs. non-honorific) of the subject are indicated. Since plurative 1 and plurative 2-marked nouns are of feminine gender, they trigger feminine agreement on verbs, in the same way as simple feminine subject do; see the 3f verbs agreeing with the subjects *yabúrrassa* 'their lips' and *inqáakkant* 'teeth' in (52).

- (52) [...] *al-í wud-í yabúr-r-a-ssa aaqq-án-ti-yan*
 upper-mGEN side-mGEN lip-PL1-fNOM-3pPOSS take-PS-3fPCO-DS
hor-i-ssá inq-áakk-ant hínn y-itóo'u
 all-mGEN-3pPOSS teeth-PL2-fNOM<N> smirk say-3fPVO
 '[...] the upper lips were grabbed (lit. "taken") and the teeth of all of them smirked.' (Kambaatissata 1989: 4.34)

As speakers associate pluratives, and therefore also plurality, with feminine agreement, masculine subject nouns with plural reference (but without plurative morphology) can sometimes trigger feminine agreement on verbs. Or said differently, in an attempt to

make verbs agree with the *notional* number of the subject, speakers may opt for feminine agreement, which they reinterpret as plural agreement. Thus the agreement behaviour of inherently plural nouns of masculine gender is inconclusive in my corpus and nouns like *nubaab-ú* (m) ‘elders’, for instance, are attested with masculine verbs (in agreement with the masculine gender of the noun) and feminine verbs (in “agreement” with the notional number of the noun).²⁶

7. Number in Kambaata: Derivation vs. Inflection

Various features of the Kambaata number marking system which have been addressed in the previous sections reflect the derivational nature of singulative and plurative morphemes. The derivational or mixed inflectional and derivational nature of number marking has already been pointed out by other authors working on East Cushitic languages; see Lecarme (2002) on Somali, Yri (2006) on Sidaama and (Mous 2012: 361ff) on Cushitic in general. The arguments put forward may differ from author to author, but the differences between number marking on the one hand and gender and case marking on the other hand can be clearly seen. Based on Haspelmath’s (2002: 70-83) discussion on the differences between inflection and derivation, it can be shown that number in Kambaata is a derivational category or a category that is *more* derivational/*less* inflectional than case and gender.

Position: Number morphemes are situated closer to the stem than case and gender morphemes. They follow other derivational morphemes, e.g. the agentive morpheme *-aan*. Case and gender morphemes are expressed at the right periphery of words.

Morphosyntactic relevance: Number has little relevance for (morpho-)syntax. As the preceding sections have shown, number can hardly be considered a controller category in agreement relations, neither in NP-external agreement (i.e. the subject agreement) nor in NP-internal agreement. In the subject agreement system, number is only marked in the first and second person. In the third person, verbs agree obligatorily with the subject NP in gender (masculine vs. feminine) and honorificity (Table 5). Plurative nouns are always of feminine gender (irrespective of the gender of the underived basic form) and trigger feminine subject agreement on verbs.²⁷ NP-internally, modifiers agree obligatorily with the head noun in gender and case but number agreement is rarely considered possible.

Obligatoriness: Case and gender marking is obligatory, whereas number marking is often (though not always) pragmatically conditioned. A noun of minimal complexity consists of a root and a case (and gender) marker. It needs not to be overtly specified for number, except if it belongs to the inherently singular or inherently plural class of nouns. Singulative and plurative morphemes do not only encode information about the

²⁶ Inside the NP, however, agreement with inherently plural nouns of masculine gender is not influenced by their notional number.

²⁷ Recall that the agreement behavior of formally underived, inherently plural nouns is notoriously fluid. Subject agreement may in some cases be influenced by the notional number and/or the sex of the subject.

number of the referents, they also transport the speakers' attitude (affection, contempt) as well as qualitative statements (small size, big size).

Semantic unpredictability: The meaning of the singulative form is not always predictable on the basis of the meaning of the form it is derived from; recall (25)-(27).

Cumulation/iteration: Whereas markers of inflectional categories such as case and gender may not be iterated or be applied cumulatively, singulative and plurative morphemes can be attached to the same nominal base twice or be combined; see (38)-(39).

To conclude, number is a less canonical inflectional category than case and gender in Kambaata.

Abbreviations

AA = agentive adjective; ABL = ablative; ACC = accusative; ASSOC = associative plural; BC = basic form of a noun (unmarked for number); COP1 = *yoo*-copula; COP2 = *-ha/-ta*-copula; CRD = coordination; CS = causative; DAT = dative; DDEM = determining demonstrative; DEF = definite; DS = different subject; f = feminine; GEN = genitive; hon = honorific, impersonal; ICP = instrumental-comitative-perlative; IMP = imperative; INACT = inactual (past, irrealis); INDIG = indignation; IPL = inherently plural; IPV = imperfective; ISG = inherently singular; ITR = inherently transnumeral; JUS = jussive; LOC = locative; m = masculine; M = mass noun; MID = middle; N = unanalysed pragmatically determined morpheme; NEG = negation; NIPV = non-imperfective; NMZ1 = nominalisation (by vowel lengthening); NMZp = plural nominalisation (with enclitic =*r*-); NOM = nominative; O = object; p = plural; OBL = oblique; PCO = perfective converb; PL1 = plurative *-C-á-ta*; PL2 = plurative *-aakk-á-ta*; PL3 = plurative *-n-ú* (of agentive adjectives); POSS = possessive; PRED = predicative; PROG = progressive; PS = passive; PVE = *e*-perfective; PVO = *o*-perfective; Q = question; RED = reduplication; REL = relative; s = singular; SG = singulative; SIM = similitive; VV = long vowel

References

- Biermann, A. 1982. Die grammatische Kategorie Numerus. In: Seiler, H.-J. & C. Lehmann (eds.). *Apprehension. Das sprachliche Erfassen von Gegenständen*. Vol. 1: *Bereich und Ordnung der Phänomene*. Tübingen: Narr. Pp. 229-43.
- Haspelmath, M. 2002. *Understanding morphology*. London: Arnold.
- Kambaatissata. *Rosaanchi maxaafa*. [Kambaata language. School book.] 1989 E.C. Grade 1-8. Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Regional State: Education Bureau.
- Lecarme, J. 2002. Gender "polarity". Theoretical aspects of Somali nominal morphology. In: Boucher, P. (ed.). *Many morphologies*. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press. Pp. 109-41.

- Mous, M. 2012. Cushitic. In: Frajzyngier, Z. & E. Shay (eds.). *The Afroasiatic languages*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Pp. 342-422.
- Serzisko, F. 1992. Collective and transnumeral in Somali. In: Adam, H.M. & C.L. Gesheker (eds.) 1992. *Proceedings of the First International Congress of Somali Studies*. (Scholars Press Occasional Papers and Proceedings, 2.) Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press. Pp. 513-25.
- Treis, Y. 2007. Cardinal numerals in Kambaata. In: Machalík, T. & J. Záhořík (eds.). *VIVA Africa 2007. Proceedings of the IInd International Conference on African Studies. Pilsen, 27-28 April*. Pilsen: Dryada. Pp. 55-70.
- Treis, Y. 2008. *A grammar of Kambaata. Part 1: Phonology, morphology, and non-verbal predication*. Cologne: Köppe.
- Treis, Y. 2011. Polysemous agent nominals in Kambaata (Cushitic). In: Luschützky, H.-C. & F. Rainer (eds.). *Agent-noun polysemy in a cross-linguistic perspective*. Special issue of *Sprachtypologie und Universalienforschung* (STUF) 64, 4: 369-381.
- Treis, Y. 2012. Kambaata children's songs and word games: Or what can we learn about the grammar of Kambaata by analysing "marginal" literature genres? *Afrikanistik Online* 2012. <http://www.afrikanistik-online.de/archiv/2012/3587>
- Yri, K.M. 2006. The singulative in Sidaamu Afô. *Folia Orientalia* 45: 157-67.