

# Moroccan plants as potential chemosensitisers restoring antibiotic activity in resistant Gram-negative bacteria

Mariam Fadli, Jacqueline Chevalier, Asmaa Saad, Nour-Eddine Mezrioui, Lahcen Hassani, Jean-Marie Pages

### ► To cite this version:

Mariam Fadli, Jacqueline Chevalier, Asmaa Saad, Nour-Eddine Mezrioui, Lahcen Hassani, et al.. Moroccan plants as potential chemosensitisers restoring antibiotic activity in resistant Gram-negative bacteria. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 2011, 38 (4), pp.325. 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.05.005. hal-00725397

## HAL Id: hal-00725397 https://hal.science/hal-00725397

Submitted on 26 Aug 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Accepted Manuscript

Title: Moroccan plants as potential chemosensitisers restoring antibiotic activity in resistant Gram-negative bacteria

Authors: Mariam Fadli, Jacqueline Chevalier, Asmaa Saad, Nour-Eddine Mezrioui, Lahcen Hassani, Jean-Marie Pages



PII:S0924-8579(11)00236-6DOI:doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.05.005Reference:ANTAGE 3630To appear in:International Journal of Antimicrobial AgentsReceived date:28-2-2011

 Received date:
 28-2-2011

 Revised date:
 29-4-2011

 Accepted date:
 3-5-2011

Please cite this article as: Fadli M, Chevalier J, Saad A, Mezrioui N-E, Hassani L, Pages J-M, Moroccan plants as potential chemosensitisers restoring antibiotic activity in resistant Gram-negative bacteria, *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.05.005

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# Essential oils from Moroccan plants as potential chemosensitisers restoring antibiotic activity in resistant Gram-negative bacteria

Mariam Fadli <sup>a,b</sup>, Jacqueline Chevalier <sup>a</sup>, Asmaa Saad <sup>b</sup>, Nour-Eddine Mezrioui <sup>b</sup>, Lahcen Hassani <sup>b</sup>, Jean-Marie Pages <sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> UMR-MD1, Facultés de Médecine et de Pharmacie, Université de la Méditerranée, IFR88, Marseille, France

<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Biology and Biotechnology of Microorganisms, Faculty of Science, Université Cadi Ayyad, Marrakech, Morocco

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 February 2011

Accepted 3 May 2011

Keywords: Antibiotics Antibiotic resistance Chemosensitisers Efflux pumps inhibitors Efflux systems Essential oils Gram-negative bacteria Multidrug resistance

\* Corresponding author. UMR-MD1, Transporteurs Membranaires, Chimiorésistance et Drug Design, Faculté de Médecine, 27 Bd Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille cedex 05, France. Tel.: +33 4 91 32 45 87; fax: +33 4 91 32 46 06.

*E-mail address*: jean-marie.pages@univmed.fr (J.-M. Pages).

A contraction of the second se

#### ABSTRACT

Bacterial drug resistance is a worrying public health problem. Antibiotic efflux is a major non-specific resistance mechanism used by bacteria, and efflux pumps are involved in the low-level susceptibility of various important Gram-negative pathogens. Use of molecules that can block bacterial pumps is an attractive strategy, but several studies report only partial efficacy owing to limits of these molecules (stability, selectivity, bioavailability, toxicity, etc.). The objective of this study was to search for natural sources of molecules able to inhibit efflux pump systems of resistant Gram-negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Enterobacter aerogenes, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium and Pseudomonas aeruginosa). The results indicate that the studied essential oils exhibit interesting activity against the tested bacteria. This activity was significantly enhanced in the presence of an efflux pump inhibitor such as phenylalanine arginyl  $\beta$ -naphthylamide (PA $\beta$ N). The role of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) structure in the effect of essential oils was also reported in Salmonella LPS deep-rough mutants. In addition, essential oils of Thymus maroccanus and Thymus broussonetii, used at a low concentration (a fraction of the minimum inhibitory concentration), are able to significantly increase chloramphenicol susceptibility of several resistant isolates. These results demonstrate that these essential oils can alter efflux pump activity and may be attractive candidates to develop new drugs for chemosensitising multidrugresistant strains to clinically used antibiotics.

### 1. Introduction

The continued emergence and spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria strongly impairs the efficacy of antibiotics and is of growing concern for human health [1,2]. Three main mechanisms are involved in bacterial resistance to antibiotics: production of enzymes that inactivate the antibiotics [3]; modification or masking of the antibiotic target, inhibiting their antibacterial action [4,5]; and modification of the membrane organisation, which includes alteration of membrane permeability and the expression of transporters expelling the antibiotic outside the cell [6–8]. This drug transport is associated with the expression of efflux pumps [7]. These membrane transporters, classified into five families, can confer resistance to a specific class of antibiotics or to a large number of drugs [8,9]. The latter group of efflux pumps, such as the AcrAB family, can handle a wide variety of structurally unrelated compounds [8–11] and the majority of antibiotic families are recognised and expelled by efflux pumps, including quinolones,  $\beta$ -lactams, phenicols, tetracyclines and aminoglycosides [11,12].

With increasing evidence demonstrating the involvement of efflux pumps in the antibiotic resistance detected in clinical isolates, many studies are engaged in developing molecules capable of blocking, at least partially, the action of the efflux pumps and that therefore have the potential to circumvent antimicrobial resistance [9,11,13]. Several molecules have been characterised for their inhibitory ability, such as phenylalanine arginyl  $\beta$ -naphthylamide (PA $\beta$ N), carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenylhydrazone and reserpine [13–18]. Use of bacterial pump inhibitors is attractive because it is an original anti-resistance approach that can be active on

several efflux-producing bacteria, and these compounds may restore activity for different families of antibiotics [13,19]. However, their clinical use is difficult owing to the toxicity, stability, selectivity and bioavailability of available molecules [17,19].

Natural products such as plant oils provide a wide diversity of chemical structures that exhibit broad-spectrum activity. Over the past few years, several natural compounds acting as efflux pumps inhibitors have been investigated [10,20–23]. Some of them are able to restore the activity of usual antibiotics on resistant clinical bacteria isolated during therapeutic treatment.

The aim of this present study was (i) to evaluate the activity of some Moroccan essential oils on different MDR isolates expressing efflux mechanisms and (ii) to test their capability, when used in combination, to restore antibiotic efficacy by blocking the drug efflux pumps expressed in the isolates.

### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Bacterial strains

The Gram-negative bacteria used in this study are listed in Table 1. *Enterobacter aerogenes* ATCC 13048 [18], *Escherichia coli* AG100, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01 and *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium SL696 were used as control strains. *Enterobacter aerogenes* EAEP289 is a kanamycin-sensitive derivative of EA27 (MDR isolate that exhibits active efflux of norfloxacin and chloramphenicol). EAEP294 is an *acrA* mutant constructed from EAEP289 [24]. *Escherichia coli* AG100A is kanamycin-resistant deleted of AcrAB and hypersusceptible to

chloramphenicol, tetracycline, ampicillin and nalidixic acid [25]. AG102 overproducing AcrAB is an AG100 derivative [26]. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* KPBj1E+ is a clinical isolate showing resistance to cefoxitin, quinolones and chloramphenicol associated with the expression of an efflux system. KPBj1Rev is a reverted strain derivative of KPBj1E+ that spontaneously becomes susceptible to the three antibiotic families [27]. *Salmonella* Typhimurium SL1069 and SL1102 are derivative strains from SL696 with deletion of a small and a large part of lipopolysaccharide (LPS), respectively [28]. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA124 is a clinical isolate that overproduces OprM [21].

Strains were preserved at 4 °C and were grown on Luria–Bertani agar 24 h prior to any assay. Mueller–Hinton broth (MHB) was used for the antibiotic susceptibility tests.

#### 2.2. Plant material and essential oils

Aerial parts of *Thymus broussonetii*, *Thymus maroccanus*, *Thymus pallidus* and *Rosmarinus officinalis*, belonging to the Lamiaceae family, were collected at full flowering stage in 2009 from different regions of Morocco. Identification of plant material was confirmed by Prof. Ahmed Ouhammou, a plant taxonomist in the Laboratory of Ecology and Environment of the University Cadi Ayyad (Marrakech, Morocco), where the voucher specimens were deposited. Dry aerial material of plants was subjected to hydrodistillation for 4 h. The oil recovered was stored in darkness at 4 °C until use in bioassays.

The method for gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy (GC/MS) has been described previously [29]. Briefly, analysis of the essential oils was carried out using GC/MS (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) and the appropriate conditions (carrier gas, injector, times and temperatures). Identification of components was assigned by matching their mass spectra with Wiley and NIST library data, standards of the main components and by comparing their Kovats retention indices with reference libraries as described [29]. The component concentration was obtained by semiquantification by peak area integration from GC peaks and by applying the correction factors [29,30].

#### 2.3. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination

MIC assays were performed by microdilution assay. Each compound was analysed using a two-fold dilution series prepared in dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) (4%). Microwells containing 100  $\mu$ L of oil dilution were inoculated with 100  $\mu$ L of cell suspension prepared by diluting an overnight culture in MHB twice concentrated to obtain viable counts of ca. 10<sup>6</sup> colony-forming units/mL at a final DMSO concentration of 2%. At this concentration, no significant effect has been observed on the bacteria tested as control under these conditions. The inoculated microplates were incubated at 37 °C for 18–24 h. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of essential oil inhibiting visible growth of the test strain. Chloramphenicol, cloxacillin and norfloxacin were used as usual antibiotics.

The MIC of essential oils was determined in combination with PA $\beta$ N at a final concentration of 20 mg/L, whereas synergy between antibiotics and essential oils was studied using essential oils at a low concentration (fraction of the MIC). Assays

were repeated three times on separate days and similar results were obtained in each experiment.

### 3. Results

Previous GC/MS analysis had indicated that carvacrol (76.35%) was the major constituent in *T. maroccanus* essential oil in addition to other constituents exhibiting relatively low concentrations. *Thymus broussonetii* was found to be richer qualitatively and it is mainly composed of carvacrol (39.77%), borneol (12.03%), bicyclogermacrene (7.95%), thymol (4.98%) and terpinene (4.09%) [30]. The chemical composition of *R. officinalis* and *T. pallidus* essential oils (Table 2) shows that seven compounds representing 98.41% were identified in *T. pallidus* essential oil, with *O*-cymene (47.05%) as the major constituent, in addition to thymol (15.63%),  $\gamma$ -terpinene (15.51%), linallyl acetate (7.95%), borneol (6.93%) and caryophyllene (3.9%). Thirty three compounds were identified in *R. officinalis* essential oil, mainly composed by 1,8-cineole (26.07%), camphor (11.2%), pinene (8.4%),  $\beta$ -pinene (7.27%) caryophyllene (6.89%) and borneol (6.62%).

3.1. Activity of essential oils against various Gram-negative resistant strains The activity of essential oils and standard antibiotics against *E. coli*, *E. aerogenes*, *K. pneumoniae and P. aeruginosa* is summarised in Table 3. *Thymus maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* had comparative activity against the tested bacteria, with MICs ranging from 0.936 mL/L to 0.468 mL/L for AG102 and EA27 overexpressing the AcrAB pump and from 0.234 mL/L to 0.117 mL/L for EAEP294 and AG100A, respectively, deleted of AcrAB. The activity of *T. pallidus* was reduced and the *R*.

*officinalis* essential oil demonstrated poor efficacy, with MICs of 3.75 mL/L for EAEP294 and AG100A. For strains overexpressing efflux pumps (EA27 and AG102), MICs ranged from 30 mL/L to 60 mL/L. Regarding the *K. pneumoniae* strains, KPBj1E+ and KPBj1Rev presented the same susceptibility to *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii*; only *T. pallidus* and *R. officinalis* showed a difference between the two strains.

Regarding *P. aeruginosa*, essential oils had the same activity against the two strains (PA01 and PA124). The MIC was 15 mL/L for *T. maroccanus* and  $\geq$ 30 mL/L for *T. broussonetii*, the highest values obtained for these two essential oils.

In combination with PA $\beta$ N (Table 4), the MIC of EA27 and AG102 that overexpress the AcrAB pump decreased 4–8 times for *T. maroccanus*, *T. broussonetii* and *T. pallidus*, whereas for *R. officinalis* the decrease was ca. 32 times. The chemosensitising effect of PA $\beta$ N was less important for EAEP294 and AG100A that are deleted of *acrAB*, with the reduction corresponding to only two times the MIC for *R. officinalis* and no reduction for the other tested essential oils. The MIC decrease for *K. pneumoniae* strains was greater (8–16 times), except for KPBj1Rev where it was approximately two times for *T. broussonetii* and *T. pallidus*. Interestingly, for the *P. aeruginosa* strains, PA $\beta$ N significantly reduced resistance to essential oils in the same way; the MIC dropped from mL/L 15 to 0.234 mL/L and from ≥30 mL/L to 0.117 mL/L for *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii*, respectively.

#### 3.2. Activity of essential oils on lipopolysaccharide deep-rough mutants

In Gram-negative bacteria, LPS constitutes the outer leaflet of the outer membrane and strongly controls the penetration of antibacterial agents [31]. Recently, involvement of intact LPS in resistance to new antibacterial molecules has been described [32]. The activity of essential oils against *S*. Typhimurium strains (Table 5) indicated that SL696 is more resistant to *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* essential oils than SL1069 deleted of a distal part of LPS, which is itself more resistant than SL1102 in which LPS was deleted of a major part. The MICs obtained for *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* on LPS-truncated mutants are quite similar to those observed with *acrAB E. coli* mutants (Table 4). Interestingly, in the presence of PAβN the MIC for SL696, SL1102 and SL1069 was reduced by 2-, 16- and 32-fold, respectively, for *T. broussonetii* and by 2-, 8- and 16-fold, respectively, for *T. maroccanus*.

# 3.3. Effect of Thymus maroccanus and Thymus broussonetii oils on chloramphenicol susceptibility of various resistant strains

To evaluate the ability of essential oils to reduce chloramphenicol resistance of different strains, the effect of *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* was investigated on *E. aerogenes* (ATCC 13048 and EA27) and *E. coli* (AG100 and AG102) (Table 6). For *E. aerogenes* ATCC 13048 used as susceptible strain, *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* decreased the MIC of chloramphenicol from 8 mg/L to 2 mg/L and a similar reduction was observed with PA $\beta$ N. Regarding the strains that overexpress efflux pumps (EA27 and AG102), the MIC decreased ca. 8–16-fold and 32-fold, respectively, comparable with that obtained with PA $\beta$ N.

For *P. aeruginosa* strains, the two essential oils were used at 7.5 mL/L, 3.75 mL/L and 1.87 mL/L in combination with chloramphenicol. These concentrations corresponded to 1/2, 1/4, 1/8 and 1/16 of the MICs determined for *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii*, respectively (Table 3). At 7.5 mL/L (MIC/2) for *T. maroccanus* and at 7.5 mL/L (MIC/4) and 3.75 mL/L (MIC/8) for *T. broussonetii*, these essential oils greatly increased the chloramphenicol susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* strains (Table 7). The MIC reduction was better, or at least similar, to that observed in the presence of PA $\beta$ N. It is interesting to note that the decrease of chloramphenicol MIC appears to be dose-dependent for *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* (Table 7).

#### 4. Discussion

The intensive and sometimes inappropriate use of antibacterial agents, including antibiotics, disinfectants, etc. leads to the selection of MDR bacterial populations [2,4]. To reduce this emergence and the dissemination of MDR bacteria, new molecules are urgently needed [33]. With original chemically synthesised molecules, natural compounds present interesting antibacterial activities. To assess the involvement of efflux and LPS structure in the activity of essential oils of some Moroccan plants and their possible potency to restore antibiotic efficacy through specific interaction with drug efflux pumps, a set of MDR Gram-negative strains and their derivatives (*E. coli, E. aerogenes, K. pneumoniae, S.* Typhimurium and *P. aeruginosa*) were studied.

First, the direct activity of essential oils on the bacteria was determined. For all tested essential oils, MICs obtained for EA27 and AG102 that overexpress AcrAB

were greater than the MIC obtained for isogenic strains deleted of this pump (EAEP294 and AG100A) [24–26]. This demonstrates that the active compounds of tested essential oils can be substrates of the efflux pumps involved in the antibiotic resistance of these bacteria (AcrAB–TolC or MexAB–OprM). These results agree with those recently reported regarding some natural compounds [34]. However, no difference was recorded between the susceptibility of the two strains of *K. pneumoniae*. This suggests that the presence of a basal level of efflux could be sufficient to expel the active components of the oils or, alternatively, the resistance–nodulation–cell division (RND) efflux pump (e.g. AcrAB) could not be the main mechanism of resistance involved in these MDR bacteria against such compounds [27,34]. In addition, the natural resistance of Gram-negative bacterial strains to essential oils also involves LPS and there was a decreased level of resistance in strains producing truncated LPS molecules.

The results suggest that the truncated LPS increased the bacterial susceptibility to essential oils probably by facilitating diffusion through the outer membrane or, alternatively, the alteration of LPS structure presensitises the envelope to the effects of natural products. The outer membrane is the first barrier impairing the penetration of toxic molecules and the LPS organises an effective protection against external molecules [31,35–37].

In the presence of PA $\beta$ N, a well known efflux pump inhibitor [17,19], the antibacterial activity of essential oils increased significantly. This improvement was specifically observed with bacterial strains that overexpress efflux pumps (EA27, EAEP289, AG102 and KPBj1E+). In contrast, no significant change was observed with the

mutants exhibiting an *acrAB* deletion in the presence of PA $\beta$ N. Regarding *Klebsiella* strains, the effect induced by PA $\beta$ N on KPBj1Rev is probably due to the presence of basal expression of a PA $\beta$ N-sensitive efflux pump. These data agree with several recent studies that have reported the involvement of efflux pumps in the resistance of Gram-negative bacteria towards many natural products [21,34,37–39]. It is important to note that *R. officinalis* exhibits a high MIC against the various tested Enterobacteriaceae. When PA $\beta$ N was added the susceptibility increased, suggesting that PA $\beta$ N-sensitive efflux is a main barrier for this essential oil.

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen well described for its high level of resistance towards antimicrobial agents [40], mediated by a combination of different mechanisms including reduced membrane permeability and tripartite multidrug efflux systems such as constitutive MexAB–OprM [8,9,41,42]. To determine the role of MexAB–OprM in *P. aeruginosa* sensibility to Moroccan essential oils, PA $\beta$ N was used in combination with essential oils and antibiotics. Results showed that PA $\beta$ N strongly increased the susceptibility to *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* for the two strains of *P. aeruginosa*. Interestingly, no difference was observed in the level of MIC reduction with the two strains PA01 and PA124 (overexpressing OprM).

This suggests that active compounds of essential oils can be substrates of efflux pumps existing in the reference strain of *P. aeruginosa* PA01. These efflux pumps are PA $\beta$ N-sensitive and could be different from those involved in the resistance against usual antibiotics.

*Thymus maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* were evaluated for their ability to reduce resistance to chloramphenicol in certain MDR Gram-negative bacteria. The two essential oils significantly increased the susceptibility of efflux pump-overexpressing strains (EA27 and AG102). At concentrations below the MIC, *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* strongly increased the susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* strains (PA01 and PA124) to chloramphenicol. This result supports the hypothesis that some compounds in the various essential oils are able to block the efflux of usual antibiotics, as previously reported [21]. This active compound can restore susceptibility to chloramphenicol by producing a competition to drug efflux by a mechanism similar to 5'-methoxyhydnocarpin that inhibits efflux of hydrophobic alkaloid berberine in resistant bacteria [23,43]. More interestingly, this study demonstrated the ability of low concentrations of *T. maroccanus* and *T. broussonetii* 

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Prof. Ahmad Ouhammou (Université Cadi Ayyad, Marrakech, Morocco) for his generous co-operation to identify plants used in this work. Many thanks go to Prof. Sami Sayadi (Centre de Biotechnologie de Sfax, Tunisia) and Dr Jacques Kaloustian (Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France) for help in essential oil analysis by GC/MS as well as Jean-Michel Bolla and Jean-Michel Brunel for fruitful discussions. Special thanks to Mohamed Baz (Université Cadi Ayyad) who gave insightful advice and help.

#### Funding

This work was partially supported by the programme Averroes–Erasmus Mundus (to MF) and 'Université de la Méditerranée and Service de Santé des Armées' (Marseille, France).

**Competing interests** 

None declared.

Ethical approval

Not required.

### References

- [1] Blot S, Depuydt P, Vandewoude K, De Bacquer D. Measuring the impact of multidrug resistance in nosocomial infection. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2007;20:391–6.
- [2] Chopra I, Schofield C, Everett M, O'Neill A, Miller K, Wilcox M, et al. Treatment of health-care-associated infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria: a consensus statement. Lancet Infect Dis 2008;8:133–9.
- [3] Bush K, Miller GH. Bacterial enzymatic resistance: β-lactamases and aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes. Curr Opin Microbiol 1998;1:509–15.
- [4] Alekshun MN, Levy SB. Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. Cell 2007;128:1037–50.
- [5] Tenover FC. Mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria. Am J Med 2006;119(6 Suppl 1):S3–10.
- [6] Pagès J-M, James CE, Winterhalter M. The porin and the permeating antibiotic: a selective diffusion barrier in Gram-negative bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2008;6:893–903.
- [7] Davin-Regli A, Bolla JM, James CE, Lavigne JP, Chevalier J, Garnotel E, et al. Membrane permeability and regulation of drug 'influx and efflux' in enterobacterial pathogens. Curr Drug Targets 2008;9:750–9.
- [8] Piddock L. Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance efflux pumps in bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev 2006;19:382–402.
- [9] Poole K. Efflux-mediated multiresistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Clin Microbiol Infect 2004;10:12–26.
- [10] Webber MA, Piddock LJ. The importance of efflux pumps in bacterial antibiotic resistance. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;51:9–11.

- [11] Li XZ, Nikaido H. Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria: an update. Drugs 2009;69:1555–623.
- [12] Van Bambeke F, Balzi E, Tulkens PM. Antibiotic efflux pumps. Biochem Pharmacol 2000;60:457–70.
- [13] Pagès J-M, Alibert-Franco S, Mahamoud A, Bolla JM, Davin-Regli A, Chevalier J, et al. Efflux pumps of Gram-negative bacteria, a new target for new molecules. Curr Top Med Chem 2010;8:1848–57.
- [14] Chevalier J, Atifi S, Eyraud A, Mahamoud A, Barbe J, Pagès J-M. New pyridoquinoline derivatives as potential inhibitors of the fluoroquinolone efflux pump in resistant *Enterobacter aerogenes* strains. J Med Chem 2001;44:4023–6.
- [15] Guillier M, Gottesman S, Storz G. Modulating the outer membrane with small RNAs. Genes Dev 2006;20:2338–48.
- [16] Kristiansen MM, Leandro C, Ordway D, Martins M, Viveiros M, Pacheco T, et al. Thioridazine reduces resistance of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by inhibiting a reserpine-sensitive efflux pump. In Vivo 2006;20:361–6.
- [17] Lomovskaya O, Bostian KA. Practical applications and feasibility of efflux pump inhibitors in the clinic—a vision for applied use. Biochem Pharmacol 2006;71:910–8.
- [18] Malléa M, Chevalier J, Bornet C, Eyraud A, Davin-Regli A, Bollet C, et al. Porin alteration and active efflux: two in vivo drug resistance strategies used by *Enterobacter aerogenes*. Microbiology 1998;144:3003–9.
- [19] Pagès J-M, Amaral L. Mechanisms of drug efflux and strategies to combat them: challenging the efflux pump of Gram-negative bacteria. Biochim Biophys Acta 2009;1794:826–33.

- [20] Jodoin J, Demeule M, Beliveau R. Inhibition of the multidrug resistance Pglycoprotein activity by green tea polyphenols. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002;1542:149–59.
- [21] Lorenzi V, Muselli A, Bernardini AF, Berti L, Pagès JM, Amaral L, et al. Geraniol restores antibiotic activities against multidrug-resistant isolates from Gram-negative species. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:2209–11.
- [22] Stavri M, Piddock LJV, Gibbons S. Bacterial efflux pump inhibitors from natural sources. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007;59:1247–60.
- [23] Stermitz FR, Lorenz P, Tawara JN, Zenewicz LA, Lewis K. Synergy in a medicinal plant: antimicrobial action of berberine potentiated by 5'methoxyhydnocarpin, a multidrug pump inhibitor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97:1433–7.
- [24] Pradel E, Pagès JM. The AcrAB–TolC efflux pump contributes to multidrug resistance in the nosocomial pathogen *Enterobacter aerogenes*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:2640–3.
- [25] Viveiros M, Jesus A, Brito M, Leandro C, Martins M, Ordway D, et al. Inducement and reversal of tetracycline resistance in *Escherichia coli* K-12 and expression of proton gradient-dependent multidrug efflux pump genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:3578–82.
- [26] Elkins CA, Mullis LB. Substrate competition studies using whole-cell accumulation assays with the major tripartite multidrug efflux pumps of *Escherichia coli*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:923–9.
- [27] Bialek S, Lavigne J-P, Chevalier J, Marcon E, Leflon-Guibout V, Davin A, etal. Membrane efflux and influx modulate both multidrug resistance and virulence

of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a *Caenorhabditis elegans* model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010;54:4373–8.

- [28] Plésiat P, Nikaido H. Outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria are permeable to steroid probes. Mol Microbiol 1992;6:1323–33.
- [29] Saad A, Fadli M, Bouaziz M, Benharref A, Mezrioui NE, Hassani L. Anticandidal activity of the essential oils of *Thymus maroccanus* and *Thymus broussonetii* and their synergism with amphotericin B and fluconazol. Phytomedicine 2010;17:1057–60.
- [30] Fadli M, Saad A, Mezrioui N-E, Benharref A, Hassani L. Antibacterial activity of essential oil of three Moroccan thyme species: *Thymus maroccanus*, *Thymus broussonetii*, and *Thymus pallidus* against nosocomial infections–bacteria. In: 1st Euro-Mediterranean Symposium: Plant natural products: from biodiversity to bioindustry; 8–10 December 2009; Cairo, Egypt. Cairo, Egypt: National Research Centre; 2009. p. 93.
- [31] Nikaido H. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2003;67:593–656.
- [32] Mamelli L, Petit S, Chevalier J, Giglione C, Lieutaud A, Meinnel T, et al. New antibiotic molecules: bypassing the membrane barrier of Gram negative bacteria increases the activity of peptide deformylase inhibitors. PLoS One 2009;4:e6443.
- [33] Jones D. News and analysis: the antibacterial lead discovery challenge. Nature 2010;9:751–2.
- [34] Kuete V, Alibert-Franco S, Eyong KO, Ngameni B, Folefoc GN, Nguemeving JR, et al. Antibacterial activity of some natural products against bacteria expressing a multidrug-resistant phenotype. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2011;37:156–61.

- [35] Nikaido H. Outer membrane of *Salmonella typhimurium*: transmembrane
   diffusion of some hydrophobic substances. Biochim Biophys Acta 1976;433:118–
   32.
- [36] Nikaido H. Prevention of drug access to bacterial targets: permeability barriers and active efflux. Science 1994;264:382–8.
- [37] Nikaido H. Preventing drug access to targets: cell surface permeability barriers and active efflux in bacteria. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2001;12:215–23.
- [38] Papadopoulos CJ, Carson CF, Chang BJ, Riley TV. Role of the MexAB–OprM efflux pump of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in tolerance to tea tree (*Melaleuca alternifolia*) oil and its monoterpene components terpinen-4-ol, 1,8-cineole, and αterpineol. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:1932–5.
- [39] Saleem M, Nazir M, Ali MS, Hussain H, Lee YS, Riaz N, et al. Antimicrobial natural products: an update on future antibiotic drug candidates. Nat Prod Rep 2010:238–54.
- [40] Driscoll JA, Brody SL, Kollef MH. The epidemiology, pathogenesis and treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginos*a infections. Drugs 2007;67:351–68.
- [41] Hancock RE. Resistance mechanisms in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other nonfermentative Gram-negative bacteria. Clin Infect Dis 1998;27(Suppl 1):S93–9.
- [42] Poole K. Multidrug efflux pumps and antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and related organisms. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 2001;3:255–64.
- [43] Lewis K, Ausubel FM. Prospects for plant-derived antibacterials. Nat Biotechnol 2006;24:1504–7.

### Table 1

Bacterial strains used in this study

Bacterial	Major characteristics	Origin					
strain							
Enterobacter	aerogenes						
ATCC	Reference strain	[18]					
13048							
EA27	MDR clinical isolate; Kan <sup>r</sup> Amp <sup>r</sup> Chl <sup>r</sup> Nal <sup>r</sup> Str <sup>r</sup> Tet <sup>r</sup>	[18]					
EAEP289	Kan <sup>s</sup> derivative of EA27	[24]					
EAEP294	EAEP289 acrA::Kan <sup>r</sup> (pEP755 integration)	[24]					
Escherichia c	oli						
AG100	Wild-type <i>E. coli</i> K-12	[25]					
AG100A	AG100 ∆ <i>acrAB</i> ::Kan <sup>r</sup>	[25]					
AG102	AG100 overproducing AcrAB pump	[26]					
Klebsiella pneumoniae							
KPBj1E+	Clinical isolate resistant to cefoxitin, quinolones and	[27]					
	chloramphenicol related to an overexpressed efflux system						
KPBj1Rev	Spontaneous reverted strain derivative of KPBj1E+,	[27]					
	ertapenem sensitive						
Salmonella ei	nterica serotype Typhimurium						
SL696	Wild-type, metA22, trpB2, strAi20	[28]					
SL1069 Rc	SL696 Rc derivative	[28]					
SL1102 Re	SL696 Re derivative	[28]					
Pseudomona	s aeruginosa						
PA01	Reference strain	[21]					
PA124	Clinical MDR isolate overexpressing OprM	[21]					
MDR, multidre	ug-resistant; Kan <sup>r</sup> , Amp <sup>r</sup> , Chl <sup>r</sup> , Nal <sup>r</sup> , Str <sup>r</sup> and Tet <sup>r</sup> , resistance to						

kanamycin, ampicillin, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, streptomycin and tetracycline,

respectively; Kan<sup>s</sup>, susceptible to kanamycin.

### Table 2

Chemical composition of Rosmarinus officinalis and Thymus pallidus essential oils

KI	Component	R. officinalis (%)	T. pallidus (%)	
929	Tricyclene	0.20	N/D	
937	$\alpha$ -Thujene	0.32	N/D	
947	Pinene	8.40	N/D	
963	Camphene	5.14	N/D	
999	β-Pinene	7.27	N/D	
1009	Myrcene	2.17	N/D	
1019	1-Phellandrene	0.41	N/D	
1028	$\alpha$ -Terpinene	1.32	N/D	
1041	1,8-Cineole	26.07	N/D	
1050	O-cymene	0.17	47.05	
1057	γ-Terpinene	2.20	15.51	
_	Linalyl acetate	N/D	7.95	
1076	Terpinolene	0.96	N/D	
1105	Fenchol	0.07	N/D	
1151	Camphor	11.20	N/D	
1198	Borneol	6.62	6.93	
1227	α-Terpineol	4.76	N/D	
1238	Verbenone	0.42	N/D	
1313	L-Bornyl acetate	2.64	N/D	
1347	Thymol	0.31	15.63	
1358	Carvacrol	1.04	1.45	
1378	$\alpha$ -Cubebene	0.15	N/D	
1402	Ylangene	0.35	N/D	
1408	Copaene	0.95	N/D	
1441	Methyl eugenol	0.18	N/D	
1456	Caryophyllene	6.89	3.9	
1488	Humulene	1.31	N/D	
1510	$\alpha$ -Amorphene	0.89	N/D	
1524	Aromadendrene	0.07	N/D	

1535	$\alpha$ -Muurolene	0.89	N/D
1542	∆-Cadinene	0.23	N/D
1553	γ-Cadinene	0.35	N/D
1627	Oxide de caryophyllene	0.25	N/D
-	Linoleic acid	0.15	N/D
Total		94.35%	98.41

KI, Kovats index; N/D, not detected.

.92

#### 1

### Table 3

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of essential oils and antibiotics against

tested Gram-negative bacteria

Strain	MIC of	essenti	al oils (ı	mL/L)	MIC of a	antibiotics	(mg/L)		
	ТМ	ТВ	TP	RO	NOR	CLX	CHL		
Enterobacter a	erogene	es							
ATCC 13048	0.468	0.936	1.875	30	0.06	512	8		
EA27	0.468	0.468	1.875	30	64	2048	1024		
EAEP289	0.936	1.875	7.5	60	64	2048	1024		
EAEP294	0.234	0.234	0.468	3.75	8	1024	64		
Escherichia col	li								
AG100	0.936	0.936	3.75	15	0.06	512	8		
AG102	0.468	0.936	7.5	30/60 <sup>a</sup>	0.125	512	32		
AG100A	0.117	0.117	0.234	3.75	0.015	2	0.5		
Klebsiella pneu	ımoniae								
KPBj1E+	1.875	1.875	3.75	>15	4	1024	128		
KPBj1Rev	1.875	1.875	1.875	15	0.25	512	4		
Pseudomonas	aerugin	osa		J					
PA01	15	30	N/D	>30	0.5	4096	32		
PA124	15	>30	N/D	>30	64	>4096	256		

TM, Thymus maroccanus; TB, Thymus broussonetii; TP, Thymus pallidus; RO,

Rosmarinus officinalis; NOR, norfloxacin; CLX, cloxacillin; CHL, chloramphenicol;

N/D, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> Variation of one dilution when MICs determined separately in different experiments.

### Table 4

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of essential oils and antibiotics in combination with phenylalanine arginyl β-

Strain	MIC of essential oils (mL/L) MIC of antibiotics (mg/L)													
	ТМ		ТВ		TP		RO		NOR		CLX		CHL	
	_	+ΡΑβΝ	_	+ΡΑβΝ	-	+ΡΑβΝ	_	+ΡΑβΝ	_	+ΡΑβΝ	_	+ΡΑβΝ	_	+ΡΑβΝ
	ΡΑβΝ		ΡΑβΝ		ΡΑβΝ		ΡΑβΝ		ΡΑβΝ		ΡΑβΝ		ΡΑβΝ	
Enterobacte	r aeroge	enes			Ô									
ATCC	0.468	0.234	0.936	0.468	1.875	0.468	30	7.5	0.06	0.06	512	256	8	2
13048														
EA27	0.468	0.117	0.468	0.117	1.875	0.468	30	0.936	64	64	2048	1024	1024	64
EAEP289	0.936	0.234	1.875	0.234	7.5	0.936	60	1.875	64	64	2048	1024	1024	256
EAEP294	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.234	0.468	0.468	3.75	1.875	8	8	1024	256	64	32
Escherichia	coli													
AG100	0.936	0.015	0.936	0.015	3.75	0.936	15	1.875	0.06	0.06	512	32	8	2
AG102	0.468	0.117	0.936	0.117	7.5	0.936	30/60 a	1.875	0.125	0.015	512	64	32	1
AG100A	0.117	0.117	0.117	0.117	0.234	0.234	3.75	1.875	0.015	0.002	2	<0.06	0.5	0.25
Klebsiella pr	neumoni	ae												
KPBj1E+	1.875	0.117	1.875	0.234	3.75	0.468	>15	1.875	4	0.5	1024	64	128	1

naphthylamide (PAβN) (20 mg/L) against tested Gram-negative bacteria

KPBj1Rev	1.875	0.234	1.875	0.936	1.875	0.936	15	1.875	0.25	0.125	512	256	4	0.5
Pseudomona	as aerug	ginosa												
PA01	15	0.234	30	0.117	N/D	N/D	>30	7.5	0.5	0.125	4096	512	32	1
PA124	15	0.234	>30	0.117	N/D	N/D	>30	7.5	64	32	>4096	2048	256	4

TM, Thymus maroccanus; TB, Thymus broussonetii; TP, Thymus pallidus; RO, Rosmarinus officinalis; NOR, norfloxacin; CLX,

cloxacillin; CHL, chloramphenicol; N/D, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> Variation of one dilution when MICs determined separately in different experiments.

ò 

### Table 5

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of essential oils and antibiotics in combination with phenylalanine arginyl β-

Strain	MIC of e	essential	oils (mL	/L)	MIC of antibiotics (mg/L)							
	ТМ		ТВ		NOR		CLX		CHL			
	–ΡΑβΝ	+ΡΑβΝ	–ΡΑβΝ	+ΡΑβΝ	-ΡΑβΝ	+ΡΑβΝ	–ΡΑβΝ	+ΡΑβΝ	–ΡΑβΝ	+ΡΑβΝ		
SL696	0.468	0.234	1.875	0.93	0.25	0.015	>2048	1024	4	0.5		
SL1069 Rc	0.234	<0.015	0.468	<0.015	0.06	<0.015	2048	<0.5	1/0.5 <sup>a</sup>	<0.015		
SL1102 Re	0.117	<0.015	0.234	<0.015	0.06	<0.015	32	<0.5	1/0.5 <sup>a</sup>	<0.015		

naphthylamide (PAβN) (20 mg/L) against Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium strains

TM, Thymus maroccanus; TB, Thymus broussonetii; NOR, norfloxacin; CLX, cloxacillin; CHL, chloramphenicol.

<sup>a</sup> Variation of one dilution when MICs determined separately in different experiments.

### Table 6

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of chloramphenicol in combination with

Thymus maroccanus and Thymus broussonetii against Enterobacter aerogenes and

### Escherichia coli strains

Combination	MIC of chloramphenicol (mg/L)								
	E. aerogenes	E. aerogenes	E. coli	E. coli					
	ATCC 13048	EA27	AG100	AG102					
Chloramphenicol	8	1024	8	32					
Chloramphenicol + $PA\beta N$	2	64	2	1					
Gain	4	16	4	32					
Chloramphenicol + T.	2	128/64	2/1	1					
maroccanus 0.31 mL/L									
Gain	4	8/16	4/8	32					
Chloramphenicol + T.	2	128/64	2	1					
broussonetii 0.31 mL/L									
Gain	4	8/16	4	32					

PAβN, phenylalanine arginyl β-naphthylamide.

1

### Table 7

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of chloramphenicol (CHL) in combination with Thymus maroccanus and Thymus

### broussonetii against Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains

Strain	MIC	MIC (mg/	L) of	MIC (m	MIC (mg/L) of CHL + T. maroccanus					MIC (mg/L) of CHL + <i>T. broussonetii</i>					
	(mg/L) of	CHL + PA	L + ΡΑβΝ												
	CHL	20 mg/L	Gain	7.5	Gain	3.75	Gain	1.87	Gain	7.5	Gain	3.75	Gain	1.87	Gain
				mL/L		mL/L		mL/L		mL/L		mL/L		mL/L	
PA01	32	1	32	<0.5	>64	4	8	8	4	<0.5	>64	4	8	16	2
PA124	256	32	8	32	8	32	8	64	4	32	8	32	8	64	4

PA $\beta$ N, phenylalanine arginyl  $\beta$ -naphthylamide.