

TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergic responses is associated with increased innate inflammation in the airways

Matthias J. Düchs, Christian Hahn, Ewald Benediktus, Melanie Werner-Klein, Armin Braun, Hans Gerd Hoymann, Florian Gantner, Klaus J. Erb

► To cite this version:

Matthias J. Düchs, Christian Hahn, Ewald Benediktus, Melanie Werner-Klein, Armin Braun, et al.. TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergic responses is associated with increased innate inflammation in the airways. Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 2011, 24 (2), pp.203. 10.1016/j.pupt.2010.12.009. hal-00724891

HAL Id: hal-00724891 https://hal.science/hal-00724891

Submitted on 23 Aug2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergic responses is associated with increased innate inflammation in the airways

Authors: Matthias J. Düchs, Christian Hahn, Ewald Benediktus, Melanie Werner-Klein, Armin Braun, Hans Gerd Hoymann, Florian Gantner, Klaus J. Erb

PII: S1094-5539(10)00161-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.pupt.2010.12.009

Reference: YPUPT 1067

To appear in: Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics

Received Date: 3 August 2010

Revised Date: 30 November 2010

Accepted Date: 23 December 2010

Please cite this article as: Düchs MJ, Hahn C, Benediktus E, Werner-Klein M, Braun A, Hoymann HG, Gantner F, Erb KJ. TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergic responses is associated with increased innate inflammation in the airways, Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics (2010), doi: 10.1016/ j.pupt.2010.12.009

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergic responses is associated with increased innate inflammation in the airways

- 3
- 4 Matthias J. Düchs¹, Christian Hahn¹, Ewald Benediktus^{1,2}, Melanie Werner-Klein^{1,3}, Armin
- 5 Braun⁴, Hans Gerd Hoymann⁴, Florian Gantner¹ and Klaus J. Erb¹
- ⁶ ¹Pulmonary Diseases Research, Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG; Biberach
- 7 a.d. Riss, Germany; ²present address Nycomed GmbH, Institute of Pharmacology and
- 8 Preclinical Drug Safety, Barsbuettel, Germany; ³present address Universität Regensburg,
- 9 Germany; ⁴Fraunhofer Institut für Toxikologie und Experimentelle Medizin (ITEM),
- 10 Hannover, Germany
- 11

Correspondence and requests for reprints should be addressed to Prof. Dr. Klaus Erb, Ph.D.,
Pulmonary Diseases Research, Boehringer-Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, H91-02-01,
Birkendorferstr. 65, D-88397 Biberach a.d. Riss, Germany. E-mail: <u>klaus.erb@bc.boehringer-</u>
<u>ingelheim.com</u>

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

1

2 ABSTRACT

3 Toll-like receptor (TLR) mediated signalling induces pro-inflammatory responses and can 4 both suppress and exacerbate allergic responses in the airways. The aim of our study was to 5 directly compare the efficacy of different TLR agonists in inhibiting or exacerbating the 6 development of Th2-mediated responses in the airways and investigate if the suppressive 7 effects were associated with increased pro-inflammatory responses. Mice were immunized on 8 day 0, 14 and 21 by intraperitoneal injection of ovalbumin/alum and exposed to ovalbumin 9 aerosol on day 26 and 27. TLR2-, TLR3-, TLR4-, TLR7- and TLR9 agonists (0.001, 0.01, 10 0.1, or 1mg/kg) were administered intratracheally 1h before each allergen exposure. Both the 11 TLR7- and TLR9 agonists dose dependently reduced airway eosinophilia, while the TLR3 12 agonist only reduced airway eosinophilia at a dose of 1.0 mg/kg. The TLR2- and TLR4 13 agonists potentiated eosinophilia. All TLR agonists enhanced neutrophil numbers at doses as 14 low as 0.01 mg/kg, in particular TLR2- and TLR4 agonists. TLR7- and TLR9 agonists also 15 significantly reduced IL-4 and IL-5 levels and all TLR agonists, with the exception of TLR7, 16 enhanced the amount IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α detected in the whole lung lavage. Only 17 application of TLR9 agonist induced detectable levels of IL-10 in the lung. Suppressive 18 effects of the TLR agonists were not dependent upon IFN- γ and IL-10 or associated with 19 increased numbers of Foxp3⁺CD4⁺ Tr cells in the lavage fluid. Airway resistance was reduced 20 significantly only when TLR7 agonist was administered. When applied therapeutically 2 days 21 after allergen exposure, all TLR agonists, except TLR2, similarly reduced airway eosinophilia 22 and IL-4 levels. Taken together our results show that TLR7 agonists had the strongest anti-23 asthmatic effects with the lowest pro-inflammatory potential, suggesting that activating TLR7 24 may have the greatest potential to treat allergic disorders in humans.

Keywords: mouse; asthma; allergy; eosinophils; neutrophils; TLR-agonists; innate inflammation

3 **1. Introduction**

Allergic asthma is characterized by the generation of allergen-specific CD4⁺ T-helper 4 5 type 2 (Th2) cells secreting IL-4, IL-5, IL-9, and IL-13 leading to chronic airway 6 inflammation, goblet cell metaplasia, airway hyper-reactivity (AHR) and, in its severest form, 7 tissue remodeling. Currently it is not entirely clear why one person develops asthma and 8 another does not although both are exposed to allergens to the same degree. Genetic and 9 environmental factors clearly have an impact [1,2]. In particular a lack of infections or the 10 lack of exposure to certain commensals during early childhood have been hypothesized to be 11 one, if not the major reason for the steady increase in asthma prevalence observed in both 12 developed and recently developing countries [3-5].

13 Epidemiological findings and animal experiments support this view, and several studies have tried to identify factors which mediate the observed anti- or pro-asthmatic 14 15 effects. The most prominent factors identified are Toll-like receptors (TLR). Currently 10 16 TLRs have been described in humans and 13 in other mammals. They belong to the family of 17 pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) and are expressed on a variety of cells. They bind to 18 specific molecules (e.g., DNA, RNA, lipopolysaccharides (LPS), lipoteichonic acids (LTA), 19 and others) produced by the pathogen and by commensals. TLR1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, 20 TLR6, TLR10 and TLR11 are expressed on the cell surface, whereas TLR3, TLR7, TLR8 and 21 TLR9 are expressed within the cells [6].

Animal studies have shown that the application of certain TLR-agonists can suppress the development of asthma and allergic responses [7-25]. The most widely studied TLR agonists are agonists of TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, TLR7, and TLR9. However, there are also

studies showing that TLR agonists, in particular endotoxins, promote the development of
 asthma and that this effect is dependent on the dose administered [26-31].

3 The aim of our current study was to directly compare the effect of different TLR 4 agonists (TLR2: lipoteichonic acid; TLR3: Poly(I:C); TLR4: lipopolysaccharide; TLR7: 5 resiguimod; TLR9: CpG-oligodeoxynucleotides) on the development of asthmatic responses 6 in murine models of asthma. The most important question we wanted to answer was, which 7 TLR-agonist is most efficacious in reducing allergic responses at a dose where no or very 8 little innate inflammation is induced. We found that the different TLR agonists tested have 9 different suppressive effects on the development of Th2-responses and different 10 proinflammatory properties in the airways of mice and these depend on the dose and in which 11 setting they were used. Reduction of Th2 responses, with the exception of using a TLR7 12 agonist, was always associated with increased proinflammation.

13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	7

1 2

2. Materials and methods

3 2.1 TLR agonists

For activation of murine TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, TLR7 and TLR9 the respective agonists
were used; lipoteichonic acid from *Staphylococcus aureus*; LTA-SA, synthetic analog of
double stranded RNA; Poly(I:C), lipopolysaccharide from *E.coli K12*; LPS-EK, small
synthetic antiviral imidazoquinoline compound; R-848 and synthetic oligodeoxynucleotides
containing unmethylated CpG dinucleotides; ODN1826. All TLR-agonist were purchased
from InvivoGen, San Diego, USA.

10

11 2.2 Mice

Female C57Bl/6, IL10-/- deficient (C57Bl/6 background), and BALB/c mice were purchased from Charles River (Sulzfeld, Germany). At the onset of the experiments, animals were between 8 and 12 weeks of age and were kept in a pathogen-free animal facility. The mice had free access to food and water. Care and use of experimental animals conformed to the 'Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory animals' published by the National Institute of Health (NIH publication 85–23, revised 1985), and the study was approved by the local governing authority.

19

20 2.3 Treatment protocols

21 C57Bl/6, IL10-/- deficient (C57Bl/6 background) and BALB/c mice were sensitized 22 intraperitoneal (i.p.) with a mixture of 20 μ g ovalbumin (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) solved 23 in 100 μ l phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Biowhittaker, Lonza, Verviers, Belgium) and 24 adsorbed to 100 μ l Al(OH)₃ (Alum, Pierce, Rockford, USA). The total volume of 200 μ l was 25 administered to animals on day 1, 14 and 21. Mice were exposed to 1% ovalbumin or PBS 26 aerosol on two consecutive days (day 26 and day 27) for 20 min. In the protective models

1 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, and 1.0 mg/kg of TLR2-, TLR3-, TLR4-, TLR7- or TLR9 agonists 2 (InvivoGen, San Diego, USA) per mouse was solved in 50 µl PBS and administered 3 intratracheal (i.tr.) 1 h before each ovalbumin exposure (Fig. 1A) or 1 mg/kg 4 days prior to 4 allergen exposure (Fig. 1B). In the therapeutic model 1 mg/kg of respective TLR agonist was 5 administered intratracheal on day 29 followed by an additional ovalbumin exposure on day 33 6 (Fig. 1C). Mice were sacrificed 24 h after the last ovalbumin exposure. Vehicle controls were 7 sensitized intraperitoneal with PBS and Alum, and were exposed to PBS aerosol. The 8 ovalbumin and vehicle controls all received a sham intratracheal application of 50 µl PBS at 9 the same point of time the other groups received TLR agonists.

10

11 2.4 Treatment of Mice with anti–IL-10 receptor and anti-IFNy neutralizing antibodies

12 Anti–IL-10 receptor (α –IL-10R) monoclonal Antibodies (mAbs) (1B1.2) and anti-13 IFN γ (α -IFN γ) mAbs (XMG1) were generously provided by Prof. Dr. Edgar Schmitt (Mainz, 14 Germany). BALB/c mice were treated with anti–IL-10R or anti-IFN γ mAbs (250 µg/mouse 15 intraperitoneal). As controls, mice were treated with 250 µg/mouse of purified rat serum IgG 16 (control IgG) mAbs (Sigma, Steinheim, Germany). All antibodies were administered on day 17 25, 1 day prior to TLR application and ovalbumin exposure.

18

19 2.5 Whole lung lavage

Whole lung lavage was performed at the indicated time-points, 24 h after the last ovalbumin exposure. Lavage buffer consisted of 1 % bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany), 0,0012 % 0,5M EDTA (Promega, Madison, USA) and proteinase inhibitor (Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablets, Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) in Hank's salt solution (Biochrom AG, Berlin, Germany) After insertion of a cannula into the trachea lungs were flushed with 0.8 ml of buffer twice.

26

1 2.6 Detection of Cytokines by ELISA and multiplex technology

In the protective model (Fig. 1A) amount of cytokines and chemokines in the whole 2 lung lavage fluid were determined using the 96 well plate mouse cytokine/chemokine 3 4 premixed multiplex lincoplex KIT assay (LINCO, St. Charles, USA) according to the 5 manufactures instructions. In brief, fluorescently labeled microspheres coated with cytokine-6 specific capture mAbs were incubated overnight at 2-8° C with 25 µl of whole lung lavage. 7 After two washing steps, biotinylated detection mAbs were added and incubated for 60 min at 8 room temperature, followed by 30 min of incubation with streptavidin-phycoerythrin. After 9 three washing steps sheath fluid was added and the plates were analyzed using a Bio-Plex reader (Bio-Rad, München, Germany). Standard curves and concentrations were calculated 10 11 with BioPlex Manager 3.0 software. In preventive and therapeutic models amounts of IL-4 12 and IL-5 in the whole lung lavage were measured by standard ELISA, using BD Biosciences 13 mouse IL-4 and BD Biosciences mouse IL-5 ELISA sets (BD Biosciences, San Diego, USA) 14 according to the manufactures instructions for detection.

15

16 2.7 Histological analysis

Lungs were prepared 24 h after the last TLR ovalbumin exposure. Lungs were fixed in
4% phosphate-buffered formalin for 24 h and embedded in paraffin wax. Sections (2-3 μm)
were cut and stained using standard histological protocols with haematoxylin and eosin
(H&E) reagent (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The stained sections were visualized by light
microscopy.

22

23 2.8 Measurement of airway hyperreactivity

For invasive measurements of resistance mice were anesthetized with 1.8 % halothane/40 % oxygen by inhalation after pre-medication with 77 mg/kg propofol given intraperitoneal on day 28, 24±2 h after the final ovalbumin exposure. AHR was then assessed

1 after challenge with aerosolized methacholine chloride (MCh; Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany) in intubated, spontaneously breathing mice as described previously [32,33]. Briefly, mice 2 3 were placed in supine position in a body plethysmography (type 871, HSE-Harvard 4 Apparatus, March-Hugstetten, Germany) and pulmonary resistance (RL) was calculated from 5 the measured signals of transpulmonary pressure and tidal respiratory flow and continuously 6 recorded using commercial software (HEM 3.5, Notocord, Croissy, France). After recording 7 of baseline values, the mice were exposed to aerosolized MCh (from 5 % solution) in dose 8 steps of 0.063, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 and 4 µg under continuation of lung function registration. 9 Dried aerosols were generated by a computer-controlled, jet-driven aerosol generator system 10 (particle size 2.8 µm MMAD; Bronchy III, Fraunhofer ITEM, licensed by Buxco, Troy, NY, 11 USA). Aerosol concentrations were determined by a gravimetrically calibrated photometer. 12 The total dose inhaled via the orotracheal tube in µg was calculated and controlled by a 13 computerized dose-control system (Fraunhofer ITEM) based on the continuously measured respiratory minute volume and aerosol concentration [34]. From dose-effect plots (delta% RL 14 15 vs. MCh dose) the effective inhalation dose in µg MCh required to produce a 150% increase 16 in RL was evaluated for each animal (ED150 RL).

17

18 2.9 Detection of FoxP3⁺ cells by flow cytometry

Whole lung lavage cells were pooled. ACK Lysis Buffer (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) was used for red blood cell lysis and cells were washed and further processed in PBS supplemented with 0,5% BSA. The cell surface was stained with PerCP-Cy5.5 conjugated anti-mouse CD4 antibody (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany). For detection of intracellular FOXP3 antigen, the Mouse/Rat FOXP3 staining set (eBioscience, San Diego, USA) was used according to the manufactures instructions. Fluorescence was analyzed on a LSRII Cytometer (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and quantification was performed

by BD FACS DIVA Software 5.0. Gates were set on lymphocytes based on forward and side
 scatter properties, quadrants were defined using isotype controls.

3

4 2.10 Statistical analysis

5 Statistical differences between different groups were evaluated by One-way ANOVA 6 or unpaired t-test. One-way analysis of variance together with the Dunett's post test was used 7 for comparisons of all groups vs. the ovalbumin group. In airway hyperreactivity 8 measurement statistical differences of area under the curve (AUC) between vehicle control 9 group and ovalbumin treated animals were evaluated with unpaired t-test. The results are 10 expressed as mean±standard error of means (SEM). A *P*-value of < 0.05 was considered 11 significant.

- 12
- 13

14 **3. Results**

15 3.1 Effects of TLR agonists in a preventive setting on the development of allergic
16 inflammation in the lungs

17

18 The pro-inflammatory and anti-asthmatic effects of five different TLR agonists were 19 tested in a preventive model for allergic asthma in mice. For this purpose, doses of 0.001, 0.01, 0.1 and 1.0 mg/kg of TLR2-, TLR3-, TLR4-, TLR7- and TLR9 agonists were given 20 21 intratracheal one hour before each allergen exposure (Fig. 1A). We then analyzed which TLR agonists induced the strongest influx of macrophages and neutrophils and which had the 22 23 strongest inhibitory effect on the development of airway-eosinophilia. In our model a very 24 strong eosinophilia is induced. However, a weak neutrophilia is also present. How the 25 neutrophilia impacts on lung pathology is not known. Based on publications showing that the 26 presence of neutrophils correlates with impaired lung function, we suggest that the neutrophils

1 together with the eosinophils may contribute to the increased AHR after MCH challenge in the OVA treated and challenged mice. Figure 2 shows that all agonists, with the exception of 2 3 TLR7 agonist, dose dependently increased the amounts of total macrophages found in the 4 whole lung lavage. A similar effect was seen on the recruitment of neutrophils into the lung. 5 Interestingly, administration of TLR7 agonist also induced a very weak neutrophilia, at a dose 6 of 0.1mg/kg. Surprisingly, compared to other published reports [12;17;24;25], we found that 7 only TLR3-, TLR7-, and TLR9 agonists suppressed the development of allergen induced 8 airway eosinophilia. In contrast, we detected a significant increase in the recruitment of 9 eosinophils when lower doses of either TLR2- or TLR4 agonists were used. Histological 10 analysis confirmed the overall lower inflammation detected in the TLR3-, TLR7- and TLR9 11 agonist treated mice in comparison to the ovalbumin controls and TLR2- and TLR4 agonist 12 treated animals which showed the strongest inflammation (Fig. 3).

All tested agonists, again with the exception of TLR7, induced the production of the 13 pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines IL-6, IL-1 β , TNF- α and reduced the levels of 14 IL-4 and IL-5 in the whole lung lavage (Fig. 4). Most of the observed effects were dose 15 dependent. Interestingly, we found that TLR9 agonist was the only agonist inducing the 16 17 production of IL-10. IL-13 was also reduced in the mice treated with agonists TLR9, TLR7, TLR4 and TLR2 and no difference in the amount of IFN- γ was found in any of the ovalbumin 18 treated groups (data not shown). IL-12 and IFN- α and - β could not be detected in any of the 19 20 whole lung lavage samples. When the TLR agonists were administered alone and the animals 21 were not treated with ovalbumin enhanced IL-6, IL-1 β , and TNF- α levels were also detected 22 in the whole lung lavage (data not shown).

We also analyzed which of the TLR agonists had suppressive effects on the development of AHR. Figure 5 shows that only the TLR7 agonist significantly reduced AHR. Both TLR2- and TLR4 agonists have been shown to be able to reduce airway eosinophilia in mice [17;24;25]. We did not see this effect. However, this may be due to

10

1 different protocols used. For this reason we also administered the different TLR agonist intratracheal at the highest dose, once, 4 days before the allergen exposure (Fig. 1B). We 2 found that in this experimental setting all of the TLR agonists inhibited the development of 3 4 airway eosinophilia. No significant increase in neutrophil numbers was detected and TLR4 5 agonist was the only TLR agonist that induced a significant increase in macrophage numbers 6 at this time point. The lack of neutrophilia was somewhat surprising, since we observed a 7 strong neutrophilia in the airways, when the TLR agonists were administered directly before 8 the allergen challenge (Figure 2.). The most likely explanation for this finding is that in all 9 cases a neutrophilia is induced, however, at the later time-point no longer detectable. Supporting this view are kinetic studies showing that the maximum neutrophilia in the lung is 10 11 observed 24h after TLR application and no or few neutrophils are present 5 days after the 12 application (data not shown). TLR2-, TLR3-, and TLR4 agonists also reduced IL-4 and IL-5 13 levels whereas TLR9 agonists only reduced IL-4 levels in the whole lung lavage (Figure 6B). Interestingly, the TLR-4 and TLR9 agonist treated mice also showed reduced AHR (Fig. 6C). 14 15

3.2 Suppressive effects of TLR agonists in a preventive setting were not dependent on IL17 10, IFN-γor enhanced numbers of Tr cells in the airways

18

19 Previous studies suggest that the suppressive effects of different TLR agonists on the development of allergic responses may be associated with the production of IFN- γ , IL-10 or 20 activation of FoxP3+ Tr cells [6;11;13;14;21;34]. For this reason, we repeated the 21 experiments shown in Figure 1A in IL-10 deficient mice on a C57Bl/6 background using the 22 23 three agonists, which showed a significant reduction in Th2 responses in the lung. Figure 7A 24 shows that the suppressive effects of the three tested TLR agonists were similar in both the 25 control and IL-10 deficient mice. Furthermore, treating ovalbumin immunised/exposed and TLR agonist treated BALB/c mice with anti-IFN- γ or anti-IL-10 receptor neutralizing 26

1 antibodies showed that the suppressive effects appeared not to be dependent upon either IFN-2 γ or IL-10 (Fig. 7B). We also analyzed if increased numbers of Foxp3⁺ Tr cells could be found in the whole lung lavage of ovalbumin and TLR agonist treated mice. Figure 8 shows 3 4 that the suppressive effects of TLR3-, TLR7- and TLR9 agonist were not associated with 5 increased numbers of FoxP3⁺CD4⁺ T cells in the whole lung lavage. Interestingly, both TLR2- and TLR4 agonists treated mice showed increased numbers of Tr cells in the whole 6 7 lung lavage, albeit without reducing the overall numbers of eosinophils. Surprisingly we found strongly reduced numbers of FoxP3⁺CD4⁺ and CD4⁺T cells in the whole lung lavage of 8 9 TLR7 agonist treated mice.

10

11 3.3 TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergen-induced eosinophilia in a therapeutic
12 setting

The experiments above clearly show that some but not all of the tested TLR-agonists 13 reduced the development of allergen-induced Th2-responses in the lung when administered 14 15 before allergen exposure. In this experimental preventive setting no lung inflammation has 16 occurred prior to the treatment with the TLR agonists (data not shown). For this reason we 17 also analyzed the effects of the different TLR agonists in a therapeutic setting where the TLR agonists were administered intratracheal 2 days after the second ovalbumin exposure (day 30) 18 19 followed by a final allergen-exposure on day 33 (Fig. 1C). For these experiments we used the 20 dose which had the greatest suppressive effect in the protective model. Figure 9A shows that all the TLR agonists with the exception of the TLR2 agonist significantly reduced the 21 development of airway eosinophilia. No increase in neutrophil numbers was observed in any 22 23 of the treated mice. However, TLR4 agonist treated mice showed a significant increase in 24 total macrophage numbers. All TLR agonists reduced IL-4 levels in the whole lung lavage. 25 IL-5 levels were also significantly reduced (with the exception of the TLR4 agonist treated 26 mice).

KARAMAN the manual of the second 1

2 **4. Discussion**

3 The aim of this study was to determine the efficacy of different TLR agonists in 4 suppressing the development of Th2-mediated responses in the airways and to investigate if 5 the suppressive effects were associated with increased pro-inflammatory responses. We found 6 that when the different agonists were administered intratracheally 1h before allergen exposure 7 (preventive setting) TLR9-, TLR7-, and TLR3 agonists dose dependently suppressed the 8 development of allergen induced airway eosinophilia, and IL-4 and IL-5 levels detected in the 9 whole lung lavage. These findings are in unison with previously published reports 10 [10;13;14;22]. With the exception of TLR7 agonist all the agonists, dose dependently 11 increased the amounts of total macrophages, neutrophils and pro-inflammatory cytokines 12 found in the whole lung lavage. The stronger the inflammatory response induced by TLR9-13 and TLR3 agonist, the stronger the suppressive effect on the development of allergen-induced 14 Th2-mediated responses. TLR7 agonist showed only a weak induction of a pro-inflammatory 15 response but was the only agonist found to significantly reduce AHR. In contrast to other 16 published reports [12;17;24;25] we found that application of TLR4- or TLR2 agonist did not 17 suppress the development of allergen induced Th2-responses in the lung or AHR. However, 18 this may be due to different protocols used. Supporting this view was our finding that when 19 we administered the different TLR agonist intratracheal at the highest dose once 4 days before 20 the allergen exposure, all of the TLR agonists inhibited the development of airway 21 eosinophilia. This clearly suggests that the effects of TLR4- and TLR2 agonist depend on the 22 time point of application. Supporting this view was our finding that under these conditions the 23 TLR4- and now also the TLR9 agonists reduced AHR, an effect not seen when they were 24 administered directly before allergen challenge. Surprisingly, the TLR7 agonist which showed 25 a protective effect on AHR given directly before allergen challenge, no longer had an effect

under these conditions. This shows that the protective effects of different TLR agonists on
 AHR strongly depend on the time they were administered in respect to allergen challenge.

3 It appears that the kinetics of the induction of protective factors were different between 4 the TLR agonists. We detected increased amounts of FoxP3+ cells in TLR2- and TLR4 5 agonist treated lungs. Possibly these cells need to be activated longer before allergen 6 challenge to have a suppressive effect on the Th2 responses in the lung. A further surprising 7 result was, that TLR agonist induced eosinophil reduction did not always correlate with a 8 reduction in IL-4 and IL-5 (TLR7- and TLR9 agonist) levels in the lavage fluid. Why the 9 effects are so different between the TLR agonists used, although they signal through very 10 similar signal transduction pathways leading to similar proinflammatory responses [6:23], is 11 not clear. We hypothesize that the outcome in respect to the strength and quality of the innate response and the anti-asthmatic effects strongly depend on the expression pattern of the 12 13 different TLRs on a particular cell and the amount of this cell type present in the lung.

14 Which mechanisms were responsible for the TLR9-, TLR3- and TLR7 agonist induced 15 reduction of allergic responses in the lung? Based on previous publications the following mediators may play a role IL-10, IL-12, IFN- α and - β , or IFN- γ . Experiments performed in 16 IL-10 deficient mice or mice treated with anti-IL-10 receptor or IFN-γ neutralizing antibodies 17 18 suggest that IL-10 or IFN- γ were not responsible for the observed inhibitory effects. This was somewhat surprising for the TLR9 agonist, since we found a strong induction of IL-10 after 19 20 the intra tracheal application. It is also possible that TLR9- and TLR3 agonist exert their 21 suppressive effect through both IL-10 and IL-12, a mechanism recently published for these 22 two agonists [14]. Type 1 IFNs are strong inhibitors of allergic responses [6;23;34;35], 23 however, we could not detect IFN- α or - β in the whole lung lavage suggesting that these may 24 not be responsible for the suppression of the allergic response. We cannot rule out the possibility that they were induced in too small an amount to be detected by ELISA. The 25

induction of regulatory T cells (Tr) has also been hypothesized in TLR agonist mediated suppression of allergic disorders [23;34;35]. However, in our experiments CD4⁺FoxP3⁺ T cell numbers in the whole lung lavage did not increase in the groups which showed reduced allergic responses. Interestingly, Tr numbers were increased in the TLR4- and TLR2 agonist treated mice, which showed no reduced allergic response when administered directly before the allergen-exposure.

7 Currently, we cannot answer the question by which mechanism the different TLR 8 agonists mediate their suppressive effects, however TLR7 agonists may have a different mode 9 of action than the TLR9- and TLR3 agonists. We found, that the TLR7 agonist treated mice 10 had a strong reduction in total CD4⁺ T cell numbers in the whole lung lavage. This suggests 11 that TLR7 agonist treatment may lead to a loss or lack of recruitment of CD4⁺ cells into the 12 lung, thus explaining the reduced Th2-responses detected in the airways. Supporting this view is the previously published report that the TLR7 agonist R-848, which we also used for our 13 14 study, leads to a lymphopenia [36]. This would also possibly explain why the weak 15 proinflammation detected in the TLR7-agonist treated mice was associated with suppressed Th2-responses in our model. If this is a general effect of a TLR7 agonist or an off-target effect 16 17 of R-848 needs to be determined.

When treating patients with atopic asthma, with the exception of seasonal asthma, all patients will have ongoing Th2 type inflammation in the airways [1]. In respect to possible future use in humans, we also analyzed the effects of the different TLR agonists in a therapeutic setting. In this setting the TLR agonists were administered after the allergic inflammation in the lung has already occurred. We found that all TLR agonists with the exception of TLR2 significantly reduced the development of airway eosinophilia and IL-4 levels in the whole lung lavage. No increase in numbers of neutrophils was observed. This

suggests that TLR agonists may also be used to treat patients with established allergic
 inflammation in the airways.

3 Previous reports suggest that infections and the application of TLR agonists can also 4 exacerbate allergic responses, in particular TLR4- and TLR2 agonist LPS and LTA-SA [26-5 29]. We also found some evidence that TLR agonists increased the allergic Th2 response. At 6 low doses both TLR4- and TLR2 agonist significantly increased allergen-induced recruitment 7 of eosinophils into the airways when administered directly into the lung before allergen 8 exposure. This supports the hypothesis, that some TLR agonist can also increase allergic 9 responses, in particular, when low doses are administered. This also needs to be taken into 10 account when patients are to be treated.

11 In conclusion, we found that the TLR2-, TLR3-, TLR4-, TLR7-, and TLR9 agonists have different suppressive effects on the development of Th2-mediated responses in the 12 airways. These depend on the dose and at which point in time, in respect to allergen exposure, 13 14 they were given e.g. one day or 4 days before allergen challenge or in a therapeutic setting 15 after allergen challenge. All the tested agonists induced airway neutrophilia and with the exception of TLR7 agonists increased the amounts of pro-inflammatory cytokines in the 16 17 airways, albeit to different degrees, when directly administered into the lung. This raises the question if any of the tested TLR agonists are safe for human use when repeatedly 18 19 administered directly into the lung. In particular TLR2- and TLR4 agonists which showed a severe inflammation in the lungs as detected by histology and the presence of large numbers 20 21 of neutrophils in the lung lavage. However, the TLR7 agonists showed only a weak pro 22 inflammatory potential and a high anti-allergic effect, suggesting that a TLR7 agonist may be 23 used in humans to treat asthma. Nevertheless, it is possible, that small pro-inflammatory 24 responses may be tolerable in patients suffering from allergic asthma, thereby possibly also 25 enabling the use of other TLR agonists. However, there may be special safety issues regarding

- 1 the use of novel therapeutic agents that might cause an increase in neutrophils in a population
- 2 of patients with already altered airway function.

		ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
1 2 3 4	5. R	EFERENCES
5	1.	Immunol 2008; 8:183-92.
6 7 8	2.	Fabbri L, Peters SP, Pavord I, Wenzel SE, Lazarus SC, MacNee W, Lemaire F, Abraham E . Allergic Rhinitis, Asthma, Airway Biology, and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in AJRCCM in 2004. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i> 2005; 171:686-98.
9 10	3.	Cookson WO, Moffatt MF . Asthma: an epidemic in the absence of infection? <i>Science</i> 1997; 275:41-2.
11 12	4.	Erb KJ . Atopic disorders: a default pathway in the absence of infection? <i>Immunol Today</i> 1999; 20:317-22.
13 14	5.	Kamradt T, Goggel R, Erb KJ . Induction, exacerbation and inhibition of allergic and autoimmune diseases by infection. <i>Trends Immunol</i> 2005; 26:260-7.
15 16	6.	Kaisho T, Akira S . Toll-like receptor function and signaling. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2006; 117:979-87.
17 18 19	7.	Camateros P, Tamaoka M, Hassan M, Marino R, Moisan J, Marion D, Guiot MC, Martin JG, Radzioch D . Chronic asthma-induced airway remodeling is prevented by toll-like receptor-7/8 ligand S28463. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i> 2007; 175:1241-9.
20 21 22	8.	Ashino S, Wakita D, Zhang Y, Chamoto K, Kitamura H, Nishimura T. CpG-ODN inhibits airway inflammation at effector phase through down-regulation of antigen-specific Th2-cell migration into lung. <i>Int Immunol</i> 2008; 20:259-66.
23 24 25	9.	Kline JN, Krieg AM, Waldschmidt TJ, Ballas ZK, Jain V, Businga TR. CpG oligodeoxynucleotides do not require TH1 cytokines to prevent eosinophilic airway inflammation in a murine model of asthma. <i>J Allergy Clin Immunol</i> 1999; 104:1258-64.
26 27 28	10.	Kline JN, Waldschmidt TJ, Businga TR, Lemish JE, Weinstock JV, Thorne PS, Krieg AM. Cutting Edge: Modulation of Airway Inflammation by CpG Oligodeoxynucleotides in a Murine Model of Asthma. <i>J Immunol</i> 1998; 160:2555-9.
29 30	11.	Horner AA, Raz E . Do microbes influence the pathogenesis of allergic diseases? Building the case for Toll-like receptor ligands. <i>Curr Opin Immunol</i> 2003; 15:614-9.
31 32 33 34	12.	Gerhold K, Blümchen K, Bock A, Seib C, Stock P, Kallinich T, Löhning M, Wahn U, Hamelmann E. Endotoxins prevent murine IgE production, TH2 immune responses, and development of airway eosinophilia but not airway hyperreactivity. <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> 2002; 110:110-6.
35 36	13.	Wohlleben G, Erb KJ . Immune stimulatory strategies for the prevention and treatment of asthma. <i>Curr Pharm Des</i> 2006; 12:3281-92.
37 38 39	14.	Sel S, Wegmann M, Sel S, Bauer S, Garn H, Alber G, Renz H . Immunomodulatory effects of viral TLR ligands on experimental asthma depend on the additive effects of IL-12 and IL-10. <i>J Immunol</i> 2007; 178:7805-13.

1 2 3 4	15.	Fanucchi MV, Schelegle ES, Baker GL, Evans MJ, McDonald RJ, Gershwin LJ, Raz E, Hyde DM, Plopper CG, Miller LA . Immunostimulatory oligonucleotides attenuate airways remodeling in allergic monkeys. <i>Am J Respir Crit Care Med</i> 2004; 170:1153-7.
5 6 7	16.	Hessel EM, Chu M, Lizcano JO, Chang B, Herman N, Kell SA, Wills-Karp M, Coffman RL. Immunostimulatory oligonucleotides block allergic airway inflammation by inhibiting Th2 cell activation and IgE-mediated cytokine induction. <i>J Exp Med</i> 2005;
8		202:1563-73.
9 10 11 12	17.	Akdis CA, Kussebi F, Pulendran B, Akdis M, Lauener RP, Schmidt-Weber CB, Klunker S, Isitmangil G, Hansjee N, Wynn TA, Dillon S, Erb P, Baschang G, Blaser K, Alkan SS. Inhibition of T helper 2-type responses, IgE production and eosinophilia by synthetic lipopeptides. <i>Eur J Immunol</i> 2003; 33:2717-26.
13 14 15	18.	Banerjee B, Kelly KJ, Fink JN, Henderson JD, Jr., Bansal NK, Kurup VP . Modulation of airway inflammation by immunostimulatory CpG oligodeoxynucleotides in a murine model of allergic aspergillosis. <i>Infect Immun</i> 2004; 72:6087-94.
16 17 18	19.	Piggott DA, Eisenbarth SC, Xu L, Constant SL, Huleatt JW, Herrick CA, Bottomly K . MyD88-dependent induction of allergic Th2 responses to intranasal antigen. <i>J Clin Invest</i> 2005; 115:459-67.
19 20	20.	Kitagaki K, Businga TR, Kline JN . Oral administration of CpG -ODNs suppresses antigen-induced asthma in mice. <i>Clin Exp Immunol</i> 2006; 143:249-59.
21 22	21.	Racila DM, Kline JN . Perspectives in asthma: molecular use of microbial products in asthma prevention and treatment. <i>J Allergy Clin Immunol</i> 2005; 116:1202-5.
23 24 25 26	22.	Quarcoo D, Weixler S, Joachim RA, Stock P, Kallinich T, Ahrens B, Hamelmann E. Resiquimod, a new immune response modifier from the family of imidazoquinolinamines, inhibits allergen-induced Th2 responses, airway inflammation and airway hyper-reactivity in mice. <i>Clin Exp Allergy</i> 2004; 34:1314-20.
27 28 29	23.	Yang IA, Fong KM, Holgate ST, Holloway JW. The role of Toll-like receptors and related receptors of the innate immune system in asthma. Curr Opin Allergy Clin <i>Immunol</i> 2006; 6:23-8.
30 31	24.	Taylor RC, Richmond P, Upham JW . Toll-like receptor 2 ligands inhibit TH2 responses to mite allergen. <i>J Allergy Clin Immunol</i> 2006; 117:1148-54.
32 33 34	25.	Velasco G, Campo M, Manrique OJ, Bellou A, He H, Arestides RS, Schaub B, Perkins DL, Finn PW. Toll-like receptor 4 or 2 agonists decrease allergic inflammation. <i>Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol</i> 2005; 32:218-24.
35 36 37	26.	Lam D, Ng N, Lee S, Batzer G, Horner AA. Airway house dust extract exposures modify allergen-induced airway hypersensitivity responses by TLR4-dependent and independent pathways. <i>J Immunol</i> 2008; 181:2925-32.
38 39 40	27.	Chisholm D, Libet L, Hayashi T, Horner AA . Airway peptidoglycan and immunostimulatory DNA exposures have divergent effects on the development of airway allergen hypersensitivities. <i>J Allergy Clin Immunol</i> 2004; 113:448-54.

- Redecke V, Hacker H, Datta SK, Fermin A, Pitha PM, Broide DH, Raz E. Cutting
 edge: activation of Toll-like receptor 2 induces a Th2 immune response and promotes
 experimental asthma. *J Immunol* 2004; 172:2739-43.
- 4 29. Dong L, Li H, Wang S, Li Y. Different doses of lipopolysaccharides regulate the lung
 5 inflammation of asthmatic mice via TLR4 pathway in alveolar macrophages. *J Asthma* 6 2009; 46:229-33.
- 30. Hammad H, Chieppa M, Perros F, Willart MA, Germain RN, Lambrecht BN.
 House dust mite allergen induces asthma via Toll-like receptor 4 triggering of airway
 structural cells. *Nat Med* 2009; 15:410-6.
- 31. Johnston SL, Martin RJ. Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae:
 A Role in Asthma Pathogenesis? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 172:1078-89.
- 32. Glaab, T., W. Mitzner, A. Braun, H. Ernst, R. Korolewitz, J. M. Hohlfeld, N. Krug,
 and H. G. Hoymann. Repetitive measurements of pulmonary mechanics to inhaled
 cholinergic challenge in spontaneously breathing mice. *J Appl Physiol* 2004; 97:1104 1111
- 16 33. Hoymann, H. G. New developments in lung function measurements in rodents.
 17 *Experimental and Toxicologic Pathology* 2006; 57:5-11.
- 18 34. Kline JN. Eat dirt: CpG DNA and immunomodulation of asthma. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2007; 4:283-8.
- 20 35. Liu G, Zhao Y. Toll-like receptors and immune regulation: their direct and indirect
 21 modulation on regulatory CD4+ CD25+ T cells. *Immunology* 2007; 122:149-56.
- 36. Gunzer M, Riemann H, Basoglu Y, Hillmer A, Weishaupt C, Balkow S,
 Benninghoff B, Ernst B, Steinert M, Scholzen T, Sunderkotter C, Grabbe S.
 Systemic administration of a TLR7 ligand leads to transient immune incompetence due
 to peripheral-blood leukocyte depletion. *Blood* 2005; 106:2424-32.

1

2 6. FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: Treatment protocols used for TLR agonist administration. (A) Protective
model with administration of TLR agonists on day 26 and 27, (B) protective administration of
TLR agonists on day 22, and (C) therapeutic model with administration of TLR agonists on
day 30.

- Fig. 2: TLR agonists suppress allergen-induced eosinophilia and induce airway
 neutrophilia. (A) Total number of cells in whole lung lavage was determined 24 h after the
 last ovalbumin exposure. Data are presented as mean±SEM of 8 mice/group. *p<0.05,
 p<0.01, *p<0.001, in comparison with the ovalbumin group.
- Fig. 3: Histological analysis of the lungs from ovalbumin and TLR agonist treated mice. (A) Lung tissues were obtained from naïve mice treated with the TLR agonists alone or (B) from ovalbumin sensitized and exposed mice treated with different TLR agonists as indicated in Figure 1 (A) (1.0 mg/kg of TLR2-, TLR3-, TLR4-, TLR7- and TLR9 agonist were used). Tissues were stained with haematoxylin and eosin, and examined by light microscopy. Scale bar = 100µm. Shown are representative examples of 8 mice/group.

17 Fig. 4: TLR agonist treated mice show a reduction of Th2 cytokines and increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in the airways. Mice were sensitized and 18 challenged with ovalbumin as indicated in material and methods (Fig. 1A). TLR agonists in 19 20 dose of 1.0, 0.1, 0.01 and 0.001 mg/kg were administered on day 26 and 27. The amount of 21 the different mediators in the whole lung lavage were determined 24 h after the last 22 ovalbumin exposure, as described in materials and methods (\blacksquare = TLR2 agonist, \blacksquare = TLR3 23 agonist, \square = TLR4 agonist, \blacksquare = TLR7 agonist, \blacksquare = TLR9 agonist). Data are presented as mean±SEM of 8 mice/group. *p<0.05, in comparison with the ovalbumin group. 24

Fig. 5: TLR7 agonist reduces airway resistance after methacholine exposure. For invasive measurement of resistance mice were first exposed to nebulised saline (PBS), 2 3 followed by increasing doses of methacholine. Columns represent the effective inhalational dose of MCh in ug required to increase pulmonary resistance (RL) by 150% above baseline 4 5 (ED150 RL). Significant, complete inhibition of airway hyperresponsiveness was observed in mice pre-treated with the TLR7 agonist. Data are presented as mean±SEM with 9-16 6 7 mice/group. ***p<0.001, in comparison to the ovalbumin group.

8

9 Fig. 6: Pre-treatment with TLR agonists inhibits influx of eosinophils, production of IL-4 and TLR4- and TLR9 agonist pre-treatment reduces airway resistance. 10 BALB/c mice were sensitized with ovalbumin, treated with different TLR agonists and 11 12 exposed to ovalbumin as indicated in material and methods (Fig. 1B). (A) Whole lung lavage 13 was collected 24 h after the last ovalbumin exposure and the number of inflammatory cells and (B) levels of IL-4 and IL-5 in the whole lung lavage were determined. (C) Invasive 14 15 measurement of airway resistance. Columns represent the effective inhalational dose of MCh 16 in µg required to increase pulmonary resistance (RL) by 150% above baseline (ED150 RL). 17 Significant inhibition of airway hyperresponsiveness was observed in mice pre-treated with 18 TLR4- and TLR9 agonist. Data are presented as mean±SEM with 6-8 mice/group. *p<0.05, 19 **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 in comparison to the ovalbumin group.

- 20
- 21

Fig. 7: IL-10 and IFN- γ mediated signaling is not necessary for TLR agonist 22 23 mediated suppression of airway eosinophilia. (A) C57Bl/6NCrl, C57Bl/6NCrl IL10-/-, and 24 (B) BALB/c mice were sensitized and exposed to ovalbumin and treated with different TLR agonists as indicated in material and methods (Fig. 1A). (B) On day 25 BALB/c mice 25 26 received intra peritoneal injection of either anti-IL-10R or anti-IFN- γ neutralizing antibodies.

Number of cells in the whole lung lavage was determined 24 h after the last exposure. Data
 are presented as mean±SEM of 8 mice/group. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, in comparison
 with the ovalbumin group.

- 4
- 5

6 Fig. 8: Detection of FOXP3+/CD4+ T-cells in the whole lung lavage from 7 ovalbumin and TLR agonist treated mice. Whole lung lavage cells were stained as 8 described in materials and methods. (A) Shown are representative examples of whole lung 9 lavage cells stained for CD4+ surface and FOXP3 intracellular expression. Total numbers of 10 CD4+ and CD4+/FOXP3+ cells in the whole lung lavage (% of ovalbumin control) are shown 11 in (B) and (C), respectively. Data are presented as mean±SEM of 4 samples/group (whole 12 lung lavage cells from 4 mice/group were pooled (n=2/group) from 2 separate experiments (total of n=4). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, in comparison with the ovalbumin group. 13

14

Fig. 9: TLR agonists suppress the development of allergen-induced eosinophilia and IL-4 production in the airways in a therapeutic setting. BALB/c mice were sensitised, exposed to ovalbumin and treated with different TLR agonists as indicated in material and methods (Fig. 1C). (A) Whole lung lavage was collected 24 h after the last exposure and the number of inflammatory cells and (B) levels of IL-4 and IL-5 in the whole lung lavage were determined. Data are presented as mean±SEM of 8 mice/group. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, in comparison with the ovalbumin group.

22

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT















Α



CD4





