

The non-Sinitic languages of Yunnan-Sichuan

Katia Chirkova

▶ To cite this version:

Katia Chirkova. The non-Sinitic languages of Yunnan-Sichuan. Encyclopedia of Chinese Languages and Linguistics, III, Brill, pp.215-218, 2017, 978 90 04 18643 9. hal-00724221

HAL Id: hal-00724221

https://hal.science/hal-00724221

Submitted on 20 Aug 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The non-Sinitic languages of Yúnnán-Sìchuān

This overview encompasses the provinces of Yúnnán and (parts of) Sìchuān. Situated at a meeting point between Tibet, China, and South-East Asia, this region is marked by diversity—geographic, biological, historical, cultural, ethnic and linguistic. A major dividing line between the three realms runs along the southeastern ridge of the Tibetan plateau, separating the Tibetan world to the north and northwest (see *the Non-Sinitic languages of China*), the Sinitic world to the east, and the areas of mixed Sinitic and Southeast Asian cultural influences to the south and southeast (see *the Non-Sinitic languages of South-East China*). This location of the region in the borderlands of multiple discrete realms gives it its distinctive complex and transitional characteristics.

Geography and climate

The region's terrain is largely mountainous. It boasts high peaks (reaching altitudes of 7,500m), deep gorges, and numerous rivers, including the Mekong (Láncāngjiāng 瀾沧江, rDza-chu), the Salween (Nùjiāng 怒江, rGyal-mo rNgul-chu), and the Yangtze (Jīnshājiāng 金沙江, 'Bri-chu). The elevation decreases from north to south, as the Qīnghǎi-Tibet plateau gives way to the Yúnnán-Guìzhōu plateau. Wide latitudinal and altitudinal ranges throughout the region lead to a remarkable diversity of climatic zones, ranging from alpine cold-temperate to subtropical and tropical, and of partially isolated habitats, harboring a great variety of endemic species (Wang *et al.* 1995, Myers *et al.* 2000).

History

Ethnicities

The region has the highest concentration of officially recognized ethnic groups in China. Nearly half (26 of 56) of all groups reside here. These include the Qiāng 羌, Yí 彝, Bái 白, Hāní 哈尼, Dǎi/Tai 傣, Zhuàng 壯, Miáo 苗, Lìsù 傈僳, Lāhù 拉祜, Wǎ/Va 佤, Nàxī 納西, Yáo 瑶, Jǐngpō/Jinghpaw/Kachin 景頗, Tibetan 藏, Bùláng/Palaung 布朗, Bùyī/Bouyei 布依, Pǔmǐ/Prinmi 普米, Āchāng 阿昌, Nù 怒, Jīnuò 基 諾, Dé'áng/Benglong 德 昂, Mongolian (Mosuo) 蒙 古(摩梭), Dúlóng/Taron/Trung 獨龍. Each of these groups speaks one or more distinct languages. In addition, the region is home to three Chinese-speaking (Southwest

Mandarin) groups, the Hàn, the Huí 回, and a smaller group of Manchus 滿族. Notably, the actual number of ethnic groups which consider themselves distinct is considerably higher than those officially recognized, an issue that awaits further exploration (e.g. Bradley 1998, 2005; Sūn 2001). This extreme ethnic diversity is due to the complex migration history of the area, which for centuries served as a migration corridor for groups of four ethnic stocks: Sino-Tibetan (Tibeto-Burman and Sinitic), Tai-Kadai, Austro-Asiatic (Mon-Khmer), and Hmong-Mien. The course of migration has been consistently southward from the putative homelands of these groups in West, Central and South China. Owing to its isolation and inaccessibility from the rest of the Chinese Mainland, the arrival of Hàn-Chinese migrants into the region has been relatively late. Only after 1850 did the Hàn-Chinese become the majority in the Southwest (Lee 1983).

Most ethnic groups favor segregated concentration of settlement. Homogeneous settlements (one ethnic group per village) occur beside each other, often at different altitudes, throughout the area. This ethnic patchwork landscape characterizes, for instance, the distribution of the Jǐngpō, Zǎiwǎ 载瓦, Maru, Lashi and Bōlā 波拉 groups of southwest Yúnnán.

While multi-ethnicity and intensive contact create favorable grounds for mutual adaptation, resistance to assimilation is notable. Close contact with other groups, led most groups to develop a distinct identity manifested in ritual activities, kinship systems (e.g. the matrilineal system of the Mosuo), clothing style, specific names for themselves and others, migration history and language (Giersch 2006:148, 199, 203). This tendency is clearly observable among the local Hàn-Chinese groups, many of whom have discernable local identity, life-style, and at times even a language

(e.g. Dǎohuà 倒話) that are highly distinct from those of their closest relatives outside of the area (Ācuò 2004, Guo 2008).

Languages

Most languages spoken in the area are Tibeto-Burman, including those of well-studied subgroups, such as Ngwi-Burmese, Himalayish, Kachinic; somewhat lesser explored Rawang/Nungish, and the controversial Qiangic. In addition, the region hosts a number of unclassified languages: heavily Sinicised Bai, Āchāng, and Nà (comprising Nàxī and Mosuo).

Mon-Khmer (Wă, Bùláng, Dé'áng), Tai-Kadai (Zhuàng, Dǎi) and Hmong-Mien (Miáo, Yáo) are represented less well and restricted in their distribution to the areas in the far southwest, south and southeast. All language families extend in distribution across country borders.

Overall, the region fits the profile of a residual zone (in the sense of Nichols 1991:21), as typified by: (1) high genetic diversity; (2) no clear center of innovation, (3) until recently, no lingua franca for the whole area; (4) some clear areal features (such as multiple existential verbs); and (5) some unique, cross-linguistically infrequent features (such as topography-based spatial deixis in the north and northwest). Owing to the complex migration history, the longstanding multi-ethnicity and multi-lingualism, and the intensive contact between different groups, the development of many a local language has been conditioned by language contact (e.g. LaPolla 2001, Nagano 2009). A distinctive characteristic of the local languages, as compared to their closest relatives outside of the area (if any), is increased diversity and complexity due to type transition. This tendency is best exemplified by the local

Tibeto-Burman languages, for instance, in relation to word order universals, morphological type, and case marking.

Tibeto-Burman languages are generally known for being transitional between typically OV Altaic languages to their north (SOV, postpositions; DemN, AdjN, GenN, NumN) and typically VO Hmong-Mien, Tai-Kadai and Mon-Khmer languages to their south (SVO, prepositions; NDem, NAdj, NGen, NNum) (Dryer 2008:73). Local Tibeto-Burman languages display particularly diverse and complex combinations of various implications universals, even within subgroups, for example, in the order of demonstrative and noun (Dryer 2008:74, Bradley 2010 for Ngwi-Burmese, Chirkova 2010 for southern Qiangic).

In terms of morphological type and case marking, the region is divided into: (1) synthetic languages with both inflectional and derivational morphology and paradigmatic (ergative) case marking to the north and northwest, such as Tibetan; and (2) isolating languages with mostly derivational morphology and overt (accusative) case marking only on a subset of objects, to the east and south, such as Chinese and Ngwi-Burmese. Unlike the former group, the latter group has numeral classifiers. Languages along the boundary between the two types running along the southeastern ridge of the Tibetan plateau (such as Qiangic, Ngwi, and the local Tibetan and Chinese dialects) have large feature inventories, combining characteristics of both types. This is demonstrably the case for some local Tibetan dialects developing numeral classifiers (e.g. Hé 1998:431 for Zhōngdiàn 中旬 rGyal-thang Tibetan) and some local Chinese dialects with SOV word order and related characteristics (Lǐ 2010).

Previous studies and work ahead

The remarkable linguistic diversity of the region is of particular interest for studies in language typology, language contact, and historical and comparative linguistics, but research progress is hampered by the lack of data. The regional isolation that contributed to the development and preservation of the local linguistic languages (in combination with the persisting political instability of the frontier regions) has thus far prevented the local languages and their history from being extensively explored. Few full scale descriptions of the local languages exist (e.g. LaPolla with Huang 2003, Jacques 2008, Lustig 2010) and most available information derives from grammatical sketches and word lists based on short periods of fieldwork, such as descriptions in the series Zhōngguó Shǎoshù Mínzú Yǔyán Jiǎnzhì Cóngshū《中国少数民族语言简 志丛书》 [Outlines of Minority Languages of China Series] and Zhōngguó Xīn Fāxiàn Yǔyán Yánjiū Cóngshū 《中国新发现语言研究丛书》 [New Found Minority] Languages in China Series]. Further advances appear contingent upon: (1) in-depth documentation and description of the local languages; (2) comparison using a bottomup approach, establishing one-to-one correspondences of very specific features between individual languages (cf. Stilo 2005); (3) identification of both areal features and unique regional features; and (4) greater attention to the local varieties of better studied languages with distribution also outside of the area, such as Chinese and Tibetan, for introspection into local mechanisms of contact-induced change.

Katia Chirkova

References

- Ācuò, Yeshes Vodgsal Atshogs, 意西微薩·阿錯, *Dǎohuà Yánjiū* 《倒话研究》 [A Study of the Dǎohuà Language], Běijīng 北京: Mínzú 民族出版社, 2004.
- Bradley, David, "Minority Language Policy and Endangered Languages in China and Southeast Asia", in: Kazuto Matsumura, ed., *Studies in Endangered Languages: Papers from the International Symposium on Endangered Languages, Tokyo, November 18-20, 1995*, Ichel Linguistic Studies Vol. 1 Studies in Endangered Languages, Tokyo: Hituzi Syobo, 1998, 49-83.
- ———, "Introduction: Language policy and language endangerment in China", in:

 David Bradley and Joshua A. Fishman, eds., *International Journal of the Sociology of Language No 173 Language Endangerment in the Sinosphere*, 2005, 1-21.
- , "The Characteristics of the Burmic family of Tibeto-Burman", *Language and Linguistics*, 171-192.
- Chirkova, Katia, "What defines Qiang-ness: A look from Southern Qiangic languages", *Language and Linguistics*, 133-170.
- Dryer, Matthew S., "Word order in Tibeto-Burman languages", *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 31.1, 2008, 1-83.
- Giersch, Patterson C., *Asian Borderlands: the Transformation of Qing China's Yunnan Frontier*, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and London, England: Harvard University Press, 2006.
- Guo, Xiaolin, State and Ethnicity in China's Southwest, Leiden, Boston: Brill, 2008.
- Hé Jírén 和即仁, Zàngyǔ Yǔyán Wénzì 《藏语语言文字》 [Tibetan Language and Script], in: Yúnnánshěng Dìfāngzhì Biānzuǎn Wěiyuánhuì 云南省地方志编纂委员会 [Yúnnán Gazetteers Editing and Compiling Committee], eds.,

- Yúnnánshěng Zhì 《云南省志》 [Gazetteers of Yúnnán], Kūnmíng 昆明: Yúnnán Rénmín 云南人民出版社, 1998, 421-441.
- Jacques, Guillaume. *Jiāróngyǔ Yánjiū* 《嘉戎语研究》 [A Study of the rGyalrong Language]. Běijīng 北京: Mínzú 民族出版社, 2008.
- LaPolla, Randy J., "The role of migration and language contact in the development of the Sino-Tibetan language family", in: R.M.W. Dixon and Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald, eds., *Areal Diffusion and Genetic Inheritance: Case Studies in Language Change*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001, 225-254.
- LaPolla, Randy J. with Huang Chenglong, *A Grammar of Qiang with Annotated Texts and Glossary*, Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 2003.
- Lee, James, "The Legacy of Immigration in Southwest China, 1250-1850", *Annales de démographie historique*, 1983, 279-304.
- Lǐ Lán 李蓝, "Sìchuān Mùlǐ xiàn fāngyán jìluè 四川木里县方言记略" [The Chinese dialect of Mùlǐ, Sìchuān: A survey], *Fāngyán* 《方言》 [Dialects] 2, 2010, 114-133.
- Lustig, Anton, *A Grammar and Dictionary of Zaiwa*, Brill Tibetan Studies Library 5/11, Leiden: Brill, 2010.
- Myers, N., R. A. Mittermeier, C.G. Mittermeier, G. A. B. da Fonseca, and J. Kent, "Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities", *Nature* 403, 2000, 853-858.
- Nagano Yushiko, ed., *Issues in Tibeto-Burman Historical Linguistics*, Osaka: National Museum of Ethnography, 2009, 319-328.
- Nichols, Johanna, *Linguistic Diversity in Space and Time*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992.

- Sūn Hóngkāi 孙宏开, "Guānyú bīnwēi yǔyán wèntí 关于濒危语言问题" [On the endangered languages in China], *Yǔyán Jiàoxué yǔ Yánjiū* 《语言教学与研究》 [Language Teaching and Linguistic Studies] 1, 2001, 3.
- Sūn Hóngkāi 孙宏开, ed., *Zhōngguó Xīn Fāxiàn Yǔyán Yánjiū Cóngshū* 《中国新发现语言研究丛书》 [New Found Minority Languages in China Series], Běijīng 北京: Chinese Academy of Social Sciences 中国社会科学院, 1997-present.
- Stilo, Donald L., "Iranian as buffer zone between the universal typologies of Turkic and Semitic", in: Éva Agnes Csató, Bo Isaksson and Carina Jahani, eds.,

 Linguistic Convergence and Areal Diffusion: Case Studies from Iranian,
 Semitic and Turkic, London and New York: RoutledgeCurzon, 2005, 35-63.
- Wang, X. P., Z. H. Yang, J. S. Hong, I. Kunio, Y. S. Kim, A. C. Hamilton, and S. D.
 Davis, "Regional overview: China and East Asia", in: S.D. Davis, V.H.
 Heywood, and A.C. Hamilton, eds., *Centers of Plant Diversity*, Oxford:
 Information Press, 1995, vol. 2, 145-197.
- Zhōngguó Shǎoshù Mínzú Yǔyán Jiǎnzhì Cóngshū《中国少数民族语言简志丛书》
 [Outlines of Minority Languages of China Series], Běijīng: Mínzú 民族出版社, 1950s-1980s.