



HAL
open science

Inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation with general error functions

Lingmin Liao, Michal Rams

► **To cite this version:**

Lingmin Liao, Michal Rams. Inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation with general error functions. 2012. hal-00723320v1

HAL Id: hal-00723320

<https://hal.science/hal-00723320v1>

Preprint submitted on 9 Aug 2012 (v1), last revised 13 Sep 2012 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

INHOMOGENEOUS DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION WITH GENERAL ERROR FUNCTIONS

LINGMIN LIAO AND MICHAL RAMS

ABSTRACT. Let α be an irrational and $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function decreasing to zero. For any α with a given Diophantine type, we show some sharp estimations for the Hausdorff dimension of the set

$E_\varphi(\alpha) := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \|n\alpha - y\| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n\}$,
where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance to the nearest integer.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let α be an irrational real number. Denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the distance to the nearest integer. A famous result of Minkowski ([Min57]) in 1907 showed that if $y \notin \mathbb{Z} + \alpha\mathbb{Z}$, then for infinitely many n , we have

$$\|n\alpha - y\| < \frac{1}{4n}.$$

Define the Diophantine type $\beta(\alpha)$ of α by

$$\beta(\alpha) := \sup\{\theta \geq 1 : \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^\theta \|n\alpha\| = 0\}.$$

In 1999, Bernik and Dodson [BD99] proved that the Hausdorff dimension, denoted by \dim_H , of the set

$$E_\gamma(\alpha) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : \|n\alpha - y\| < \frac{1}{n^\gamma} \text{ for infinitely many } n \right\} \quad (\gamma \geq 1),$$

of well-approximated numbers satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\alpha) \cdot \gamma} \leq \dim_H E_\gamma(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

In 2003, Bugeaud [Bug03], and independently Schmeling and Troubetzkoy [TS03] improved the above result. They showed that for any irrational α ,

$$\dim_H E_\gamma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

M.R. was partially supported by the MNiSW grant N201 607640 (Poland).

L.L. was partially supported by 12R03191A - MUTADIS (France). A part of this paper was written during the visit of L.L. to the NCTS in Taiwan.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 28A80 Secondary 37E05, 28A78

Now let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function decreasing to zero, and satisfying $n\varphi(n) \leq 1/2$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the set

$$E_\varphi(\alpha) := \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \|n\alpha - y\| < \varphi(n) \text{ for infinitely many } n\}.$$

This is the set of well-approximated numbers with a general error function φ . We can find a natural upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of $E_\varphi(\alpha)$:

$$\dim_H E_\varphi(\alpha) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)}.$$

It can also be proved that for almost all real numbers α , the above inequality becomes an equality. However, in [FW06], Fan and Wu constructed an example which shows that the equality is not always true. In fact, they found a Liouville number α and constructed an error function φ such that

$$\dim_H E_\varphi(\alpha) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)} < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)}.$$

So in general case, the dimension formula seems mystery.

Recently, Xu [Xu10] made a progress, he proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Xu). *For any α , we have the following estimation*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_n}{-\log \varphi(q_n)} \leq \dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)},$$

where q_n denotes the denominator of the n -th convergent of the continued fraction of α .

As a corollary, Xu proved that for any irrational number α with Diophantine type 1,

$$\dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)}.$$

For the simplicity, let us denote

$$u_\varphi := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)} \quad l_\varphi := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log \varphi(n)}.$$

In this paper, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.2. *For any α with Diophantine type β , we have*

$$\min \left\{ u_\varphi, \max \left\{ l_\varphi, \frac{1 + u_\varphi}{1 + \beta} \right\} \right\} \leq \dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) \leq u_\varphi.$$

Corollary 1.3. *If $\beta \leq 1/u_\varphi$, then*

$$\dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) = u_\varphi.$$

Example 1.4. Take $\beta = 2$, $u = 1/2$ and $l = 1/3$. We can construct an irrational α such that for all n , $q_n^2 \leq q_{n+1} \leq 2q_n^2$. Define

$$\varphi(n) = \max \{n^{-1/l}, q_k^{-1/l}\} \quad \text{if } q_{k-1}^{u/l} < n \leq q_k^{u/l}.$$

Then by Corollary 1.3, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_n}{-\log \varphi(q_n)} = l < u = \dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)).$$

Thus the lower bound of Xu (Theorem 1.1) is not optimal.

The next two theorems show that the estimations in Theorem 1.2 are sharp.

Theorem 1.5. *For any irrational α and for any $0 \leq l < u \leq 1$, with $u > 1/\beta$, there exists a decreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, with $l_\varphi = l$ and $u_\varphi = u$, such that*

$$\dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) = \max \left\{ l, \frac{1+u}{1+\beta} \right\} < u.$$

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $0 \leq l < u \leq 1$. There exists a decreasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, with $l_\varphi = l$ and $u_\varphi = u$, such that for any α with $\beta < \infty$,*

$$\dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) = u.$$

2. THREE STEPS DIMENSION

The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 2.2 which will be the base of our dimension estimation (compare [Xu10, Section 3]).

As a direct corollary of Proposition 2.2, we will also give a new proof of Xu's theorem (Theorem 1.1) at the end of this section.

Let us start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 > a > b$ and $1 > c > d$. Then for any $\delta \in [0, 1]$ we have*

$$\frac{\log(\delta a + (1-\delta)c)}{\log(\delta b + (1-\delta)d)} \geq \min \left(\frac{\log a}{\log b}, \frac{\log c}{\log d} \right).$$

Proof. Denote

$$s := \min \left(\frac{\log a}{\log b}, \frac{\log c}{\log d} \right).$$

Then

$$\frac{\log(\delta a + (1-\delta)c)}{\log(\delta b + (1-\delta)d)} \geq \frac{\log(\delta b^s + (1-\delta)d^s)}{\log(\delta b + (1-\delta)d)}.$$

By concavity of the function $x \rightarrow x^s$, we have

$$\delta b^s + (1-\delta)d^s \leq (\delta b + (1-\delta)d)^s$$

and the assertion follows. \square

Let α be an irrational number with Diophantine type $\beta(\alpha) > 1$. Recall that q_n is the denominator of the n -th convergent of the continued fraction of α . Let $B \geq 1$ and suppose there exists a sequence of natural numbers $\{n_i\}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\log q_{n_i+1}}{\log q_{n_i}} \rightarrow B.$$

Let $\{m_i\}$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that $q_{n_i} < m_i \leq q_{n_i+1}$. By passing to subsequences, we suppose the limit

$$N := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m_i}{\log q_{n_i}}$$

exists. Then obviously, $1 \leq N \leq B$.

Let $K > 1$. Denote

$$E_i := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R} : \|n\alpha - y\| < \frac{1}{2}q_{n_i}^{-K} \text{ for some } n \in (m_{i-1}, m_i] \right\}.$$

Let

$$E := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \quad \text{and} \quad F := \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=j}^{\infty} E_i.$$

Proposition 2.2. *If $\{n_i\}$ is increasing sufficiently fast then*

$$\dim_H E = \dim_H F = S,$$

where

$$S = S(N, B, K) := \min \left(\frac{N}{K}, \max \left(\frac{1}{K}, \frac{1}{1+B-N} \right) \right).$$

Proof. As $F \supset E$, we only need to get the lower bound for $\dim_H E$ and the upper bound for $\dim_H F$. For the former, we will use the Frostman Lemma, and for the latter, we will use a natural cover.

We will distinguish two cases: $B \geq K$ and $B < K$. Notice the following fact.

Fact: If $B \geq K$ then

$$\frac{N}{K} > \frac{1}{1+B-N}, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \max \left(\frac{1}{K}, \frac{1}{1+B-N} \right).$$

If $B < K$, then

$$\frac{1}{K} < \frac{1}{1+B-N}, \quad \text{and} \quad S = \min \left(\frac{N}{K}, \frac{1}{1+B-N} \right).$$

Indeed, the second statement follows by noting $1/K < 1/B$. For the first statement, if $N \geq K$ then it is obviously true because the right hand side is smaller than 1. Otherwise, we have

$$\frac{K-N}{N} < K-N,$$

hence

$$\frac{K}{N} < 1 + K - N.$$

Since $B \geq K$, we have

$$1 + B - N \geq 1 + K - N > K/N.$$

Distribution of the points.

Now, let us study the distribution of the points $\{n\alpha \pmod{1}\}$. Let $\{n_i\}$ be a fast increasing sequence satisfying (2.1). By passing to a subsequence, we can always assume that $\{n_i\}$ grows as fast as we wish; the exact conditions on the rate of growth will be clear later. Denote

$$N_i := m_i - m_{i-1}.$$

By passing to a subsequence, we can suppose that $N_i \geq q_{n_i}$.

The three steps theorem tells us how the points $\{n\alpha \pmod{1}\}_{n=m_{i-1}+1}^{m_i}$ are distributed on the unit circle: there are q_{n_i} groups of points, each consisting of $\lfloor N_i/q_{n_i} \rfloor$ ($\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integer part) points, the distances between points inside each group are equal to $\xi_i := \|q_{n_i}\alpha\|$ and the distances between groups are $\zeta_i := \|q_{n_{i-1}}\alpha\| - (\lfloor N_i/q_{n_i} \rfloor - 1)\|q_{n_i}\alpha\|$.

In the first case, i.e., $B \geq K$, we have $\xi_i \leq q_{n_i}^{-K}$ for all i big enough, hence the intervals $[n\alpha - q_{n_i}^{-K}/2, n\alpha + q_{n_i}^{-K}/2]$ intersect each other (inside each group). So E_i consists of $M_i := q_{n_i}$ intervals of length $y_i := (\lfloor N_i/q_{n_i} \rfloor - 1)\xi_i + q_{n_i}^{-K}$. By noting that $\|q_n\alpha\|$ is comparable with q_{n+1}^{-1} , we have

$$y_i = (\lfloor N_i/q_{n_i} \rfloor - 1)\xi_i + q_{n_i}^{-K} = q_{n_i}^{-\min(K, 1+B-N)+O(\varepsilon)}.$$

In the second case, i.e., $B < K$, for big i , E_i consists of N_i intervals of length $z_i := q_{n_i}^{-K}$.

As $q_{n_{i+1}} \gg q_{n_i}$, we can freely assume that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, each component of E_i contains at least $M_{i+1}^{1-\varepsilon}$ (in the first case) or $N_{i+1}^{1-\varepsilon}$ (in the second case) components of E_{i+1} .

Calculations.

We will distribute a probability measure μ in the most natural way: the measure attributed to each component of $F_i = E_1 \cap \dots \cap E_i$ is the same. Here we distribute the measure only on those components of F_i that are components of E_i , i.e., at all stages we count only components completely contained in previous generation sets.

Case 1: $B \geq K$. At level i we have at least $M_i^{1-\varepsilon}$ components of F_i , each of size y_i and inside each component of F_{i-1} , the components of F_i are in equal distance $c_i := \zeta_i - q_{n_i}^{-K}$.

Let $x \in E$. For $y_i \leq r < y_{i-1}$, consider

$$(2.2) \quad f(r) = \frac{\log \mu(B_r(x))}{\log r}.$$

Notice that the convex hull of components of F_i intersecting $B_r(x)$ has measure at most $3\mu(B_r(x))$ and length at most $6r$. For simplicity, we

can assume that the interval $B_r(x)$ is a convex hull of some components of F_i contained in one component of F_{i-1} . Hence,

$$(2.3) \quad f(ny_i + (n-1)c_i) \geq \frac{\log(nM_i^{-(1-\varepsilon)})}{\log(ny_i + (n-1)c_i)}.$$

As the right hand side of equation (2.3) is the ratio of logarithms of two functions, both linear in n and smaller than 1, by Lemma 2.1 the minimum of $f(r)$ in range (y_i, y_{i-1}) is achieved at one of endpoints. We have

$$(2.4) \quad f(y_i) \geq (1-\varepsilon) \frac{-\log M_i}{\log y_i} = \max\left(\frac{1}{K}, \frac{1}{1+B-N}\right) + O(\varepsilon)$$

and the same holds for $f(y_{i-1})$. Recalling the fact at the beginning of the proof, we get the lower bound by Frostman Lemma.

The upper bound is simpler: for any i , F is contained in $\bigcup_{n>i} E_n$. Hence, we can use the components of all $E_n, n > i$ as a cover for F . For any s the sum of s -th powers of diameters of components of E_n is bounded by $M_n y_n^s$, and for $s > \max(\frac{1}{K}, \frac{1}{1+B-N}) + O(\varepsilon)$ it is exponentially decreasing with n . The upper bound then follows by the definition of Hausdorff dimension.

Case 2: $B < K$. Once again to obtain the lower bound we will consider the function $f(r)$ given by (2.2). However, in this case the components of F_i are not uniformly distributed inside a component of F_{i-1} but they are in groups. There are at least s_i groups in distance c_i from each other, each group is of size y_i and contains at least $N_i^{1-\varepsilon}$ components. Inside each group the components of size z_i are in distance $d_i := \xi_i - q_{n_i}^{-K}$ from each other.

We need to consider $z_i \leq r < z_{i-1}$. This range can be divided into two subranges. The equation (2.3) works for $y_i \leq r < z_{i-1}$, while for $z_i \leq r < y_i$ the same reasoning gives

$$(2.5) \quad f(nz_i + (n-1)d_i) \geq \frac{\log(nN_i^{-(1-\varepsilon)})}{\log(nz_i + (n-1)d_i)}.$$

Like in the first case, Lemma 2.1 implies that the minimum of $f(r)$ in each subrange is achieved at one of endpoints. We have

$$f(z_i) \geq (1-\varepsilon) \frac{-\log N_i}{\log z_i} = \frac{N}{K} + O(\varepsilon)$$

and the same for $f(z_{i-1})$, while $f(y_i)$ is still given by (2.4). Together with the fact at the beginning of the proof, this gives the lower bound.

To get the upper bound for the dimension of F we can use two covers. One is given by using the convex hulls of groups of components of F_n with $n > i$. As in the first case (taking into account the fact that $1/K < 1/(1+B-N)$), this cover gives

$$\dim_H F \leq \frac{1}{1+B-N} + O(\varepsilon).$$

The other cover consists of components of E_n with $n > i$. For any s the sum of s -th powers of diameters of components of E_n is bounded by $N_n z_n^s$, and for $s > \frac{N}{K} + O(\varepsilon)$ it is exponentially decreasing with n . We will choose one of the two covers that gives us the smaller Hausdorff dimension. \square

The statement of Proposition 2.2 could be also written in the following way, fixing B and N and varying K :

$$S(N, B, K) = \begin{cases} 1/K & K < 1 + B - N \\ 1/(1 + B - N) & 1 + B - N \leq K \leq N(1 + B - N) \\ N/K & K > N(1 + B - N). \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 2.2, we can directly deduce Theorem 1.1.

A new proof of Theorem 1.1:

The upper bound is easy, and we only show the lower bound. We will apply Proposition 2.2. Let q_{n_i} be a sparse subsequence such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n_{i+1}}}{-\log \varphi(q_{n_{i+1}})} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_n}{-\log \varphi(q_n)} =: L.$$

By passing to a subsequence, suppose the limit

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n_{i+1}}}{\log q_{n_i}} =: B$$

exists. Take $m_i = q_{n_{i+1}}$. Then $1 \leq N = B$. Take $K = NL^{-1}$ and construct the sets E_i and E as in Proposition 2.2. We can easily check that E is a subset of $E_\varphi(\alpha)$. By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\dim_H E_\varphi(\alpha) \geq \min \{L, 1\}.$$

Then the result follows.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The upper bound of Theorem 1.2 is trivial by using the natural covering, hence we will only concern ourselves with the lower bound.

Note that the lower bound in Theorem 1.2 can be written as

$$\max \left\{ l_\varphi, \min \left\{ u_\varphi, \frac{1 + u_\varphi}{1 + \beta} \right\} \right\}.$$

By the result of Bugeaud [Bug03] and Schmeling and Troubetzkoy [TS03], the Hausdorff dimension of E_φ is at least l_φ . So we just need to show it is not smaller than $\min(u_\varphi, (1 + u_\varphi)/(1 + \beta))$.

We shall suppose that $l_\varphi > 0$, the case $l_\varphi = 0$ can be done by a limit argument. Since the result is known if $l_\varphi = u_\varphi$, we also suppose that $l_\varphi < u_\varphi$.

The Diophantine type of the irrational number α can be defined alternatively by

$$\beta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{\log q_n}.$$

Choose a sequence m_i of natural numbers such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m_i}{-\log \varphi(m_i)} = u_\varphi.$$

Let n_i be such that $q_{n_i} < m_i \leq q_{n_i+1}$. By passing to a subsequence we can assume that

- the sequence $\log q_{n_i+1}/\log q_{n_i}$ has some limit $B \in [1, \beta]$,
- the sequence $\log m_i/\log q_{n_i}$ has some limit $N \in [1, B]$,
- the sequence $\{n_i\}$ grows fast enough for Proposition 2.2.

Moreover, we can freely assume that $N > 1$: otherwise, by the monotonicity of φ , we would have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n_i}}{-\log \varphi(q_{n_i})} = u_\varphi$$

and the assertion would follow from Theorem 1.1.

Take $K = N/u_\varphi$. By the definition of m_i , for any small $\delta > 0$, we have for all large i

$$\varphi(m_i) \geq (m_i)^{-1/u_\varphi - \delta} \geq q_{n_i}^{-K}.$$

Thus by monotonicity of φ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi(n) \geq q_{n_i}^{-K} \quad \forall n \leq m_i.$$

The assumptions of Proposition 2.2 are satisfied, so we can calculate the Hausdorff dimension of the set E defined in the previous section. By (3.1), $E \subset E_\varphi$, so this gives the lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of E_φ :

$$\dim_H E_\varphi \geq M(N, B) := \min \left(u_\varphi, \max \left(\frac{u_\varphi}{N}, \frac{1}{1 + B - N} \right) \right)$$

and we want to estimate the minimal value of M for $B \in [1, \beta]$, $N \in [1, B]$.

First thing to note is that increasing B not only decreases $M(B, N)$ for a fixed N but also increases the range of possible N 's. Hence, the minimum of $M(N, B)$ is achieved for $B = \beta$. Denote $M(N) = M(N, \beta)$.

We are then left with a simple optimization problem of a function of one variable. We can write

$$M(N) = \min \left(u_\varphi, \max \left(\frac{u_\varphi}{N}, \frac{1}{1 + \beta - N} \right) \right).$$

If $\beta u_\varphi \leq 1$ then $u_\varphi \leq 1/(1 + \beta - N)$ for all N , hence

$$\min_N M(N) = u_\varphi \leq \frac{1 + u_\varphi}{1 + \beta}.$$

Otherwise, as u_φ/N is a decreasing and $1/(1 + \beta - N)$ an increasing function of N , the global minimum over N of the maximum of the two is achieved at the point N_0 where they are equal: $u_\varphi/N_0 = 1/(1 + \beta - N_0)$, that is for

$$N_0 = \frac{u_\varphi(1 + \beta)}{1 + u_\varphi}.$$

As $\beta u_\varphi > 1$ implies $1 < N_0 < \beta u_\varphi \leq \beta$, N_0 is inside the interval $[1, \beta]$, hence this global minimum is the local minimum we are looking for. Thus, in this case

$$\min_N M(N) = M(N_0) = \frac{1 + u_\varphi}{1 + \beta} < u_\varphi.$$

We are done.

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.5 AND 1.6

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Let α be of Diophantine type $\beta > 1/u$. Let q_{n_i} be a sparse subsequence of denominators of convergents such that

$$\beta = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log q_{n_i+1}}{\log q_{n_i}}.$$

For any $0 \leq l < u \leq 1$, define

$$z = \max\left(l, \frac{1 + u}{1 + \beta}\right).$$

Note that $z \leq u$.

Define also a function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$\varphi(n) := \max\{n^{-1/l}, k_{n_i}^{-1/u}\}, \quad \text{if } k_{n_{i-1}} < n \leq k_{n_i},$$

where

$$k_{n_i} = q_{n_i}^{u/z}.$$

Let D_1 be the set

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} : \text{for infinitely many } i, \|n\alpha - y\| < k_{n_i}^{-\frac{1}{u}} \text{ for some } n \in (k_{n_{i-1}}, k_{n_i}]\}$$

and D_2 be the set

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R} : \|n\alpha - y\| < n^{-\frac{1}{l}} \text{ for infinitely many } n\}.$$

Clearly, $E_\varphi(\alpha) = D_1 \cup D_2$. The Hausdorff dimension of D_1 is given by Proposition 2.2 (with $B = \beta, K = 1/z, N = u/z$):

$$\dim_H D_1 = \min\left(u, \max\left(z, \frac{z}{(1 + \beta)z - u}\right)\right) = z$$

(the equality is valid both when $z = l$ and $z = (1 + u)/(1 + \beta)$).

By [Bug03] and [TS03] we have

$$\dim_H(D_2) = l.$$

Then the proof is completed.

Proof of Theorem 1.6: Construct a sequence $\{n_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ by recurrence:

$$n_1 = 2, \quad n_{i+1} = 2^{n_i} \quad (i \geq 1).$$

Define a function $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\varphi(n) = n_i^{-1/l}$ for $n \in (n_i, n_i^{u/l})$ and $\varphi(n) = n^{-1/u}$ elsewhere.

Suppose that $\dim_H(E_\varphi(\alpha)) < u$. By Theorem 1.1, no q_m could be between n_i and $n_{i+1}^{l/u}$. Since n_i go to infinity very fast, α cannot be of finite type.

REFERENCES

- [BD99] V. I. Bernik and M. M. Dodson. *Metric Diophantine approximation on manifolds*, volume 137 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [Bug03] Yann Bugeaud. A note on inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 45(1):105–110, 2003.
- [FW06] Ai-Hua Fan and Jun Wu. A note on inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation with a general error function. *Glasg. Math. J.*, 48(2):187–191, 2006.
- [Min57] Hermann Minkowski. *Diophantische Approximationen. Eine Einführung in die Zahlentheorie*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1957.
- [TS03] S. Troubetzkoy and J. Schmeling. Inhomogeneous Diophantine approximations and angular recurrence for billiards in polygons. *Mat. Sb.*, 194(2):129–144, 2003.
- [Xu10] Jian Xu. Inhomogeneous Diophantine approximation and Hausdorff dimension. preprint, 2010.

LINGMIN LIAO, LAMA UMR 8050, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-EST CRÉTEIL,
61 AVENUE DU GÉNÉRAL DE GAULLE, 94010 CRÉTEIL CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: lingmin.liao@u-pec.fr

MICHAŁ RAMS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES,
UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-956 WARSZAWA, POLAND
E-mail address: M.Rams@impan.gov.pl