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Abstract<br>This paper is a summary of paper hal-02491694 focusing on the main theorem.

## 1 Claim

The paper hal-02491694 focuses on the problem of:
Finding $x$ such that $A x>\mathbf{0}$ for a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{M \times N}$ with the prior that one solution to this set of strict inequality exists.
which is linked with general linear programming (see the complete paper for details).
definition: Let introduce the self concordant function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(v)=\frac{v^{T} A A^{T} v}{2}-\mathbf{1}^{T} \log (v)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{M} v_{i} v_{j} \times A_{i} A_{j}^{T}-\sum_{m=1}^{M} \log \left(v_{m}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

theorem: $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{M \times N}$ a normalized linear feasibility instance with $A x \geq \mathbf{1}$

- $F\left(\frac{1}{M} \mathbf{1}\right) \leq 1+M \log (M)$
- $F$ has a minimum (let write it $F^{*}$ ) with $-F^{*} \leq M \log \left(x^{T} x\right)$
- for all $v, F(v)-F^{*} \leq \frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2} \Rightarrow A A^{T} v>0$
trivial corollary: As $F$ is self concordant [2], damped Newton descent, starting from any $v_{\text {start }}$, builds $v$ such that $F(v)-F^{*} \leq \frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2}$ in less than $\widetilde{O}\left(F\left(v_{\text {start }}\right)-\right.$ $\left.F^{*}+\log \log \left(2 M x^{T} x\right)\right)$ steps (if $F$ has a minimum).

Yet, the main theorem gives exactly the required information: $F$ has a minimum, and, thus, damped Newton descent, starting from $\frac{1}{M} 1$, builds a solution of the linear feasibility in less than $\widetilde{O}\left(M \log \left(x^{T} x\right)+\log \log \left(2 M x^{T} x\right)\right)=\widetilde{O}\left(M \log \left(x^{T} x\right)\right)$ steps.

Yet, $\log \left(x^{T} x\right)=\widetilde{O}(L)$ where $L$ is the binary size of the input matrix (see complete paper). This finally gives a $\widetilde{O}(M L)$ number of steps, with each step being the resolution of a $M \times M$ linear system (which can be done in $M^{\omega}$ arithmetic operation with $\omega=3$ with simple algorithm or with $\omega \approx 2.38$ with [1]).
comparison with state of the art: Arithmetic time complexity (operations on $\mathbb{Q}$ are counted as 1) of this algorithm is $\widetilde{O}\left(M^{\omega} M L\right)$ which is higher than $\widetilde{O}\left(M^{\omega} \sqrt{M} L\right)$ for central path log barrier [2] or path following [3].

Yet, this algorithm has some interesting binary complexity features detailed in hal02491694: [2] has the drawback that some variable becomes very high ( $\widetilde{O}\left(2^{\sqrt{M} L}\right)$ ) while both self concordant Perceptron and [3] avoid this issue. But, [3] requires a careful rounding process based on several implicit constant while self concordant Perceptron has a simple explicit one (but with the drawback of having an higher complexity).

## 2 Proof of the claim

### 2.1 Existence of a minimum

Let recall Cauchy inequality: $\forall u, v$ two vectors $u^{T} v \leq \sqrt{u^{T} u \times v^{T} v}$.
As $A$ is normalized, then for all $m, A_{m} A_{m}^{T}=1$. So from Cauchy, $\forall i, j, A_{i} A_{j}^{T} \leq 1$. So, $F\left(\frac{1}{M} \mathbf{1}\right)=\frac{1}{M^{2}} \sum_{i, j} A_{i} A_{j}^{T}+M \log (M) \leq 1+M \log (M)$.

Then, again from Cauchy $\left(A^{T} v\right)^{T} x \leq \sqrt{v^{T} A A^{T} v \times x^{T} x}$. But $\left(A^{T} v\right)^{T} x=$ $v^{T}(A x)$. And, by definition $A x \geq 1$. Injecting this last inequality is interesting when $v \geq \mathbf{0}: \forall v \geq \mathbf{0}, v^{T} \mathbf{1} \leq v^{T}(A x)=\left(A^{T} v\right)^{T} x \leq \sqrt{v^{T} A A^{T} v \times x^{T} x}$.

Also when $v \geq \mathbf{0}$, then, both $v^{T}(A x)=\left(A^{T} v\right)^{T} x$ and $\sqrt{v^{T} A A^{T} v \times x^{T} x}$ are positive. So, one can take the square: $\forall v \geq \mathbf{0}, \frac{\left(v^{T} 1\right)^{2}}{x^{T} x} \leq v^{T} A A^{T} v$. And, independently $\left(v^{T} \mathbf{1}\right)^{2}>v^{T} v$ because $v \geq \mathbf{0}$. So $\forall v \geq \mathbf{0}, \frac{v^{T} v}{x^{T} x} \leq v^{T} A A^{T} v$.

Let introduce $f(t)=\frac{t^{2}}{2 x^{T} x}-\log (t)$, from previous inequality it stands that $F(v) \geq$ $\sum_{m} f\left(v_{m}\right)$.

Now, $f$ is a single variable function which goes to infinity when $t$ goes to $0\left(t^{2} \rightarrow 0\right.$ but $-\log (t) \rightarrow \infty)$ or to infinity ( $t^{2}$ growths faster than $\log (t)$ ). So, $f$ has a minimum and so $F$ too. Let call them $f^{*}$ and $F^{*}$.

As $f$ and $F$ are smooth, the minimums are characterized by a null derivative or gradient. $f^{\prime}(t)=\frac{t}{x^{T} x}-\frac{1}{t}$, so, $f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{x^{T} x}\right)=0$, so $f^{*}=f\left(\sqrt{x^{T} x}\right)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(x^{T} x\right) \geq$ $-\log \left(x^{T} x\right)$. Thus, the minimum of $F$ verifies $F^{*} \geq M f^{*} \geq-M \log \left(x^{T} x\right)$.

So the two first assertions of the main theorem are proven.

### 2.2 Normalization, linearization and lemmas

Independently, let remark that $\theta(t)=F(t v)=\frac{v^{T} A A^{T} v}{2} t^{2}-\mathbf{1}^{T} \log (v)-M \log (t)$ is minimal when $v^{T} A A^{T} v=M$. So for any $w$, one could build a $v=\mu w$ such that $v^{T} A A^{T} v=M$ and $F(v) \leq F(w)$. In other words, it stands that $F\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{v^{T} A A^{T} v}} v\right) \leq$ $F(v)$.

So, let consider $v \geq \mathbf{0}$ such that $v^{T} A A^{T} v=M$. As, $v^{T} A A^{T} v \geq \frac{(\mathbf{1} v)^{2}}{x^{T} x}$, no $v_{m}$ could be higher than $\sqrt{M x^{T} x}$ i.e. $\mathbf{0} \leq v \leq \sqrt{M x^{T} x} \mathbf{1}$.

Let also remark that $F(v+w)=\frac{v^{T} A A^{T} v}{2}+\frac{w^{T} A A^{T} w}{2}+w^{T} A A^{T} v-\mathbf{1}^{T} \log (v)-$ $\mathbf{1}^{T} \log \left(1+\frac{w}{v}\right)=F(v)+\frac{w^{T} A A^{T} w}{2}+w^{T} A A^{T} v-\mathbf{1}^{T} \log \left(1+\frac{w}{v}\right)$

Finally, let consider the following lemmas from basic analysis:

1. $\phi(t)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha t^{2}-\log (1+t) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1) t^{2}-t=\psi(t)$ for $t \geq 0$
2. $\psi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right) \leq-\frac{1}{2 \alpha+2}$
3. $\phi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right) \leq-\frac{1}{2 \alpha+2}$ i.e. $\forall \alpha \geq 0, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^{2}}-\log \left(1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right) \leq-\frac{1}{2 \alpha+2}$

Lemma1: $\psi^{\prime}(t)-\phi^{\prime}(t)=(\alpha+1) t-1-\alpha t+\frac{1}{1+t}=t-1+\frac{1}{1+t}=\frac{t^{2}}{1+t}>$ 0 , so $\psi(t)-\phi(t)$ always increases. But, $\psi(0)=\phi(0)=0$ so $\psi(t) \geq \phi(t)$ for $t \geq 0$. Lemma2: $\psi\left(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1) \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\alpha+1}=-\frac{1}{2 \alpha+2}$. lemma3 is just lemma1+lemma2.

### 2.3 Convergence

Now, either $A A^{T} v>0$ (problem solved) or there exists $k$ such that $A_{k} A^{T} v \leq 0$.
Let consider this case $A_{k} A^{T} v \leq 0$ and $v^{T} A A^{T} v=M$, and, let introduce $w=$ $v+\frac{v k}{v_{k}^{2}+1} \mathbf{1}_{k}$.

Then $F(w)=F\left(v+\frac{v k}{v_{k}^{2}+1} \mathbf{1}_{k}\right)=F(v)+\frac{A_{k} A_{k}^{T}}{2}\left(\frac{v k}{v_{k}^{2}+1}\right)^{2}+A_{k} A^{T} v \times \frac{v k}{v_{k}^{2}+1}-$ $\log \left(1+\frac{1}{v_{k}^{2}+1}\right)$. But, $A_{k} A^{T} v \leq 0$ (by assumption) and $A_{k} A_{k}^{T}=1$, so $F(w) \leq$ $F(v)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v k}{v_{k}^{2}+1}\right)^{2}-\log \left(1+\frac{1}{v_{k}^{2}+1}\right)$.

And, from lemmas just above (consider $\alpha=v_{k}^{2}$ ), $F(w) \leq F(v)-\frac{1}{2 v_{k}^{2}+2}$.
But, $v_{k} \leq \sqrt{M x^{T} x}$, so, $F(w) \leq F(v)-\frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2}$ which is impossible if $F(v)-$ $F^{*}<\frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2}$. So, $\forall v>\mathbf{0}$ such that $v^{T} A A^{T} v=M, F(v)-F^{*} \leq \frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2} \Rightarrow$ $A A^{T} v>0$.

Finally, the requirement that $v^{T} A A^{T} v=M$ could be remove because normalizing decreases $F: \forall v>\mathbf{0}, F(v)-F^{*} \leq \frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2} \Rightarrow F\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{v^{T} A A^{T} v}} v\right)-F^{*} \leq \frac{1}{2 M x^{T} x+2}$ $\Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{M}{v^{T} A A^{T} v}} \times A A^{T} v>\mathbf{0} \Rightarrow A A^{T} v>\mathbf{0}$.

## References

[1] Andris Ambainis, Yuval Filmus, and François Le Gall. Fast matrix multiplication: limitations of the coppersmith-winograd method. In Proceedings of the fortyseventh annual ACM symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 585-593, 2015.
[2] Arkadi Nemirovski. Interior point polynomial time methods in convex programming. Lecture notes, 2004.
[3] James Renegar. A polynomial-time algorithm, based on newton's method, for linear programming. Mathematical programming, 40(1):59-93, 1988.

