

# Main result on self concordant Perceptron. Adrien Chan-Hon-Tong

## ▶ To cite this version:

Adrien Chan-Hon-Tong. Main result on self concordant Perceptron. 2020. hal-00722920v33

## HAL Id: hal-00722920 https://hal.science/hal-00722920v33

Preprint submitted on 2 Feb 2021 (v33), last revised 16 Jan 2023 (v38)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Main result on self concordant Perceptron.

Adrien CHAN-HON-TONG

February 2, 2021

### Abstract

This paper is a summary of paper hal-02491694 focusing on the main theorem.

#### 1 Claim

The paper hal-02491694 focuses on the problem of

Finding x such that Ax > 0 for a given matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{M \times N}$  such that one such x exists.

which is linked with general linear programming (see the complete paper for details). definition: Let introduce the self concordant function:

$$F(v) = \frac{v^T A A^T v}{2} - \mathbf{1}^T \log(v) = \sum_{i,j=1}^M v_i v_j \times A_i A_j^T - \sum_{m=1}^M \log(v_m)$$
(1)

**theorem:**  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{M \times N}$  a normalized linear feasibility instance with  $Ax \ge \mathbf{1}$ 

- $F(\frac{1}{M}\mathbf{1}) \le 1 + M\log(M)$
- F has a minimum (let write it  $F^*$ ) with  $-F^* \leq M \log(x^T x)$
- for all  $v, F(v) F^* \leq \frac{1}{2Mx^Tx+2} \Rightarrow AA^Tv > \mathbf{0}$

#### **Proof of the claim** 2

### 2.1 Existence of a minimum

Let recall Cauchy inequality:  $\forall u, v$  two vectors  $u^T v \leq \sqrt{u^T u \times v^T v}$ . As A is normalized, then for all  $m, A_m A_m^T = 1$ . So from Cauchy,  $\forall i, j, A_i A_j^T \leq 1$ . So,  $F(\frac{1}{M}\mathbf{1}) = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{i,j} A_i A_j^T + M \log(M) \leq 1 + M \log(M)$ .

Then, again from Cauchy  $(A^T v)^T x \leq \sqrt{v^T A A^T v \times x^T x}$ . But  $(A^T v)^T x =$  $v^T(Ax)$ . And, by definition  $Ax \ge \mathbf{1}$ . Injecting this last inequality is interesting when  $v \ge \mathbf{0}$ :  $\forall v \ge \mathbf{0}$ ,  $v^T \mathbf{1} \le v^T(Ax) = (A^T v)^T x \le \sqrt{v^T A A^T v \times x^T x}$ . Also when  $v \ge 0$ , then, both  $v^T(Ax) = (A^T v)^T x$  and  $\sqrt{v^T A A^T v \times x^T x}$  are positive. So, one can take the square:  $\forall v \ge 0$ ,  $\frac{(v^T \mathbf{1})^2}{x^T x} \le v^T A A^T v$ . And, independently  $(v^T \mathbf{1})^2 > v^T v$  because  $v \ge \mathbf{0}$ . So  $\forall v \ge \mathbf{0}, \frac{v^T v}{x^T x} \le v^T A A^T v$ .

Let introduce  $f(t) = \frac{t^2}{2x^T x} - \log(t)$ , from previous inequality it stands that  $F(v) \ge \frac{1}{2x^T x} - \log(t)$ .  $\sum f(v_m).$ 

Now, f is a single variable function which goes to infinity when t goes to  $0 (t^2 \rightarrow 0$ but  $-\log(t) \to \infty$ ) or to infinity (t<sup>2</sup> growths faster than  $\log(t)$ ). So, f has a minimum and so F too. Let call them  $f^*$  and  $F^*$ .

As f and F are smooth, the minimums are characterized by a null derivative or gradient.  $f'(t) = \frac{t}{x^T x} - \frac{1}{t}$ , so,  $f'(\sqrt{x^T x}) = 0$ , so  $f^* = f(\sqrt{x^T x}) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\log(x^T x) \ge -\log(x^T x)$ . Thus, the minimum of F verifies  $F^* \ge Mf^* \ge -M\log(x^T x)$ .

So the two first assertions of the main theorem are proven.

#### 2.2 Normalization, linearization and lemmas

Independently, let remark that  $\theta(t) = F(tv) = \frac{v^T A A^T v}{2} t^2 - \mathbf{1}^T \log(v) - M \log(t)$  is minimal when  $v^T A A^T v = M$ . So for any w, one could build a  $v = \mu w$  such that  $v^T A A^T v = M$  and  $F(v) \leq F(w)$ . In other words, it stands that  $F\left(\sqrt{\frac{M}{v^T A A^T v}}v\right) \leq V$ F(v).

So, let consider  $v \ge 0$  such that  $v^T A A^T v = M$ . As,  $v^T A A^T v \ge \frac{(1v)^2}{r^T r}$ , no  $v_m$ 

could be higher than  $\sqrt{Mx^Tx}$  i.e.  $\mathbf{0} \le v \le \sqrt{Mx^Tx}\mathbf{1}$ . Let also remark that  $F(v+w) = \frac{v^TAA^Tv}{2} + \frac{w^TAA^Tw}{2} + w^TAA^Tv - \mathbf{1}^T\log(v) - \mathbf{1}^T\log(1+\frac{w}{v}) = F(v) + \frac{w^TAA^Tw}{2} + w^TAA^Tv - \mathbf{1}^T\log(1+\frac{w}{v})$ Finally, let consider the following lemmas from basic analysis:

1.  $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha t^2 - \log(1+t) \le \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)t^2 - t = \psi(t)$  for  $t \ge 0$ 

2. 
$$\psi(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}) \le -\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}$$

3. 
$$\phi(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}) \leq -\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}$$
 i.e.  $\forall \alpha \geq 0, \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)^2} - \log(1+\frac{1}{\alpha+1}) \leq -\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}$ 

Lemma1:  $\psi'(t) - \phi'(t) = (\alpha + 1)t - 1 - \alpha t + \frac{1}{1+t} = t - 1 + \frac{1}{1+t} = \frac{t^2}{1+t} > 0$ , so  $\psi(t) - \phi(t)$  always increases. But,  $\psi(0) = \phi(0) = 0$  so  $\psi(t) \ge \phi(t)$  for  $t \ge 0$ . Lemma2:  $\psi(\frac{1}{\alpha+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha+1} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}$ . lemma3 is just lemma1+lemma2.

### 2.3 Convergence

Now, either  $AA^T v > 0$  (problem solved) or there exists k such that  $A_k A^T v \leq 0$ .

Let consider this case  $A_k A^T v \leq 0$  and  $v^T A A^T v = M$ , and, let introduce w = $v + \frac{vk}{v_k^2 + 1} \mathbf{1}_k.$ 

Then  $F(w) = F(v + \frac{vk}{v_k^2 + 1}\mathbf{1}_k) = F(v) + \frac{A_k A_k^T}{2}(\frac{vk}{v_k^2 + 1})^2 + A_k A^T v \times \frac{vk}{v_k^2 + 1} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{v_k^2 + 1})$ . But,  $A_k A^T v \leq 0$  (by assumption) and  $A_k A_k^T = 1$ , so  $F(w) \leq 0$  $F(v) + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{vk}{v_{k}^{2}+1})^{2} - \log(1 + \frac{1}{v_{k}^{2}+1}).$ 

And, from lemmas just above (consider  $\alpha = v_k^2$ ),  $F(w) \leq F(v) - \frac{1}{2v_k^2 + 2}$ .

But,  $v_k \leq \sqrt{Mx^T x}$ , so,  $F(w) \leq F(v) - \frac{1}{2Mx^T x + 2}$  which is impossible if  $F(v) - F^* < \frac{1}{2Mx^T x + 2}$ . So,  $\forall v > \mathbf{0}$  such that  $v^T A A^T v = M$ ,  $F(v) - F^* \leq \frac{1}{2Mx^T x + 2} \Rightarrow A A^T v > \mathbf{0}$ .

Finally, the requirement that  $v^T A A^T v = M$  could be remove because normalizing decreases  $F: \forall v > \mathbf{0}, F(v) - F^* \leq \frac{1}{2Mx^Tx+2} \Rightarrow F(\sqrt{\frac{M}{v^T A A^T v}}v) - F^* \leq \frac{1}{2Mx^Tx+2} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{M}{v^T A A^T v}} \times A A^T v > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow A A^T v > \mathbf{0}.$ 

This proves the main theorem.

## **3** Corollary

As F is self concordant [2], damped Newton descent, starting from any  $v_{start}$ , builds v such that  $F(v) - F^* \leq \frac{1}{2Mx^Tx+2}$  in less than  $\widetilde{O}(F(v_{start}) - F^* + \log \log(2Mx^Tx))$  steps.

But, as proven, using  $v_{start} = F(\frac{1}{M}\mathbf{1})$  gives  $F(v_{start}) \leq M \log(M) + 1$  and  $F^* \geq -M \log(x^T x)$ .

So, damped Newton descent, starting from  $\frac{1}{M}\mathbf{1}$ , builds a solution of the linear feasibility in less than  $\widetilde{O}(M\log(x^Tx) + M\log(M) + \log\log(2Mx^Tx)) = \widetilde{O}(M\log(x^Tx))$  steps.

Yet, as for the Perceptron [3],  $x^T x \leq N2^{2NB \log(N)}$  where *B* is the maximal binary size of any entry of *A* before normalization. This finally gives a  $\widetilde{O}(NMB)$  number of steps, which becomes  $\widetilde{O}(L)$  by reintroducing the total binary size L = NMB, with each step being the resolution of a  $M \times M$  linear system (which can be done in  $M^{\omega}$  with  $\omega \approx 2.38$  with [1]).

This is currently the best complexity for linear feasibility, and, it could even have implication for linear programming (see the complete article for details).

## References

- Andris Ambainis, Yuval Filmus, and François Le Gall. Fast matrix multiplication: limitations of the coppersmith-winograd method. In *Proceedings of the forty*seventh annual ACM symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 585–593, 2015.
- [2] Arkadi Nemirovski. Interior point polynomial time methods in convex programming. *Lecture notes*, 2004.
- [3] Frank Rosenblatt. The perceptron: a probabilistic model for information storage and organization in the brain. *Psychological review*, 1958.