



HAL
open science

Ring Endomorphisms with Large Images

André Leroy, Jerzy Matczuk

► **To cite this version:**

| André Leroy, Jerzy Matczuk. Ring Endomorphisms with Large Images. 2012. hal-00718394

HAL Id: hal-00718394

<https://hal.science/hal-00718394>

Preprint submitted on 16 Jul 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ring Endomorphisms with Large Images

André Leroy and Jerzy Matczuk*

Université d'Artois, Faculté Jean Perrin
Rue Jean Souvraz 62 307 Lens, France
E-mail: leroy@euler.univ-artois.fr

*Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University,
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail: jmatczuk@mimuw.edu.pl

Abstract

The notion of ring endomorphisms having large images is introduced. Among others, injectivity and surjectivity of such endomorphisms are studied. It is proved, in particular, that an endomorphism σ of a prime one-sided noetherian ring R is injective whenever the image $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential left ideal L of R . If additionally $\sigma(L) = L$, then σ is an automorphism of R . Examples showing that the assumptions imposed on R can not be weakened to R being a prime left Goldie ring are provided. Two open questions are formulated.

In this paper we start investigations of endomorphisms of semiprime unital rings R having large images, i.e. endomorphisms σ such that the image $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential left ideal of R (see Definition 1.7). The motivation for such studies is twofold. Let us recall that a ring (or a module) is called Hopfian (resp. co-Hopfian) if every surjective (resp. injective) endomorphism is injective (resp. surjective). It is well known and easy to prove that noetherian (artinian) modules and rings are Hopfian (co-Hopfian). However, in general, the Hopfian property for modules behaves much better than that of rings. Examples showing a difference in that behaviour can be found in [6], [12], [14], [15]. In case of rings, the set of all endomorphisms has no natural structure of a ring and it seems to be natural to consider some classes of endomorphisms of a ring. Our goal is to investigate how one can weaken Hopfian or co-Hopfian assumptions on a ring endomorphism to conclude that the endomorphism is injective or surjective. We obtained some positive results in this direction (Cf. the second part of the introduction). Surprisingly we could not answer some of the elementary formulated problems. For example we proved that every endomorphism

*The research was supported by Polish MNiSW grant No. N N201 268435

having large image of a prime ring with Krull dimension has to be injective, however we do not know whether the same property holds for semiprime rings with Krull dimension.

The second source of motivation for our studies is lifting of properties from a nonzero ideal of a prime ring to the ring itself. Theorems 1.1 of [2], 1, 2 and 3 of [3], Main Theorem of [5], Théorème 1.9 of [9] and Chapters 5 and 6 of the book [4] can serve as examples of results of such nature.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 deals with the injectivity of endomorphisms of semiprime left noetherian rings having large images. Necessary and sufficient conditions for such endomorphisms of a semiprime ring to be injective are given in Proposition 1.13. Theorem 1.10 says that such an endomorphism is always injective provided R is prime. In Section 2 we investigate surjectivity of these endomorphisms. In particular we show in Corollary 2.4, that every endomorphism of a semiprime left noetherian ring such that $\sigma(L) = L$, for an essential left ideal L of R has to be an automorphism. We show also that every endomorphism of a principal left ideal domain having a large image is always an automorphism. Examples are provided all along the paper to justify the assumptions made. As an application of our results, we obtain that the Jacobian conjecture has a positive solution for endomorphisms with large images. Finally two open questions are formulated.

1 Injectivity

Throughout the paper σ will stand for an endomorphism of an associative ring R with unity.

The left annihilator $\{a \in R \mid aS = 0\}$ of a subset S of R will be denoted by $\text{lann}_R(S)$. The right annihilator of S in R will be denoted by $\text{rann}_R(S)$.

The following proposition is a part of folklore. It gives some basic motivation for the assumptions we work with. We left its easy proof to the reader.

Proposition 1.1. *Let L be a left ideal of a ring R such that $\text{lann}_R(L) = 0$. Suppose that σ is an endomorphism and τ is an automorphism of R such that $\sigma|_L = \tau|_L$. Then $\sigma = \tau$ is an automorphism of R .*

The assumption of Proposition 1.1 is satisfied if L is an essential left ideal of a semiprime ring. Thus, in particular, when L is any nonzero ideal of a prime ring.

Lemma 1.2. *Let σ be an endomorphism of a ring R and $n \geq 1$ a natural number. Then $\ker \sigma^n \subset \ker \sigma^{n+1}$ iff $\sigma^n(R) \cap \ker \sigma \neq 0$ iff $\sigma^n(R) \cap \ker \sigma^n \neq 0$.*

Proof. The easy proof of the lemma is left as an exercise. □

It is known that rings satisfying the ACC condition on ideals are Hopfian. A direct application of the above lemma offers a generalization of this fact.

Proposition 1.3. *Let R be a ring satisfying the ACC condition on ideals. Suppose that, for any $n \geq 1$, there exists a nonzero left ideal $L = L(n)$ of R such that $L \subseteq \sigma^n(R)$ (for example when $\sigma(L) = L$). If either L is essential as a left ideal of R or $\text{lann}_R(L) = 0$, then σ is injective.*

The following examples justify the assumptions made in the above proposition and will help delimiting the ones that will appear in Theorem 1.10.

Example 1.4. Let K be a field. The K -endomorphism of the polynomial ring $K[x]$ which sends x onto x^2 induces an endomorphism σ of the ring $R = K[x \mid x^3 = 0]$. Then the image $\sigma(R)$ contains the essential ideal $Rx^2 = Kx^2$, $\ker \sigma \neq 0$ and R is noetherian.

Example 1.5. Let $R = K[x_i \mid i \geq 0]$ be a polynomial ring in indeterminates x_i , $i \geq 0$. and σ be the K -endomorphism of R given by $\sigma(x_0) = x_0$, $\sigma(x_1) = 0$ and $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i-1}$, for $i \geq 2$. Then R is a domain, $\sigma(R) = R$ so $\sigma(R)$ contains a nonzero ideal and σ is not injective.

Example 1.6. Let $R = K[x, y \mid xy = yx = 0]$ where K is a field. Let σ be the K -linear endomorphism of R determined by $\sigma(x) = x$ and $\sigma(y) = 0$. R is semiprime noetherian and $(x) \subseteq \sigma(R)$ but σ is not injective.

Let us observe that for a left ideal L of a ring R the properties of being essential and having zero left annihilator are independent notions, however they coincide when R is a semiprime left Goldie ring.

We will see in Theorem 1.10 that for prime rings a much stronger statement than the one given in the above Proposition 1.3 holds. To get this, some preparation is needed.

Definition 1.7. We say that an endomorphism σ of a ring R has a large image if $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential left ideal L of R with $\text{rann}_R(L) = 0$.

Notice that the above definition is not left-right symmetric as the following example shows:

Example 1.8. Let K be a field and $R = K\langle x_i \mid i \geq 0 \text{ and } x_k x_l = 0 \text{ when } k \geq l \rangle$. The ideal M generated by the set $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is nil, so R is a local ring. Notice also that $Mx_0 = 0$ while $\text{lann}_R(M) = 0$.

It is easy to check that the assignment $\sigma(x_0) = 0$ and $\sigma(x_{i+1}) = x_i$ defines a K -linear endomorphism of R . If L is a left ideal of R , then L is contained in M . Thus L has nonzero right annihilator. This means that σ does not satisfy Definition 1.7. However $M \subseteq \sigma(R)$ is essential as a right ideal of R and $\text{lann}_R(M) = 0$.

When L is an essential left ideal of a ring R then $\text{rann}_R(L) = 0$ if the left singular ideal of R is zero. This is always the case when R is semiprime left Goldie. In particular, when R is a semiprime ring which is left noetherian, or possesses left Krull dimension, then any essential left ideal L of R has a zero right annihilator.

In what follows, $\mathcal{K}({}_R M)$ and $\text{udim}({}_R M)$ will denote the Krull dimension and the Goldie dimension of a left R -module M . Let us recall that any left noetherian ring has left Krull dimension $\mathcal{K}(R) = \mathcal{K}({}_R R)$ and left Goldie dimension $\text{udim}(R)$.

Notice that if σ is an endomorphism of a semiprime ring R having a large image, then the rings R and $\sigma(R)$ share many ring properties. Some of them are recorded in the following:

Proposition 1.9. *Suppose that an endomorphism σ of a ring R has a large image. Then:*

1. *If R is prime (semiprime), then so is $\sigma(R)$;*
2. *$\text{udim}(\sigma(R)) = \text{udim}(R)$.*

If additionally R is semiprime, then:

3. *If R is a left Goldie ring, then $\sigma(R)$ is also a semiprime left Goldie ring and the classical left quotient rings $Q(\sigma(R))$ and $Q(R)$ are equal.*
4. *If $\mathcal{K}(R)$ exists, then $\mathcal{K}(\sigma(R)) = \mathcal{K}(R)$.*
5. *If R is left noetherian, then R is also noetherian as a left module over $\sigma(R)$.*

Proof. Statements (1), (2) and (3), which probably are a part of folklore, hold in a more general context when $\sigma(R)$ is replaced by an arbitrary subring T of R containing an essential left ideal L of R with $\text{rann}_R(L) = 0$. The first one, does not require essentiality of L and is an easy consequence of the following observation. Let $a, b \in T$ be such that $aLb = 0$. Then $LaRLb = 0$ and $La = 0$ only if $a = 0$, as $\text{rann}_R(L) = 0$.

If V is a nonzero left ideal of T , then $LV \subseteq V$ is a left ideal of R contained in T and $LV \neq 0$ as $\text{rann}_R(L) = 0$. Let $L_1 + L_2 + \dots$ be a direct sum of nonzero left ideals of T . Then, by the above, $LL_1 + LL_2 + \dots$ is a direct sum of nonzero left ideals of R . Notice also that if $M_1 + M_2 + \dots$ is a direct sum of nonzero left ideals of R , then $(L \cap M_1) + (L \cap M_2) + \dots$ is a direct sum of nonzero ideals of T . This implies that $\text{udim}(T) = \text{udim}(R)$, i.e. (2) holds.

Suppose R is semiprime left Goldie. Then, by the above, T is semiprime and has finite left Goldie dimension. This yields that T is a left Goldie ring, as the a.c.c. on left annihilators is inherited by subrings. Since L is an essential left ideal of a semiprime Goldie ring R , there exists a regular element c of R such that $Rc \subseteq L \subseteq T$. Clearly c is regular in T , so we have $R = Rcc^{-1} \subseteq Q(T)$. Notice also that if $d \in R$ is a regular element of R then $dc \in T$ is a regular element of T . Therefore d is an invertible element of $Q(T)$. This shows that $T \subseteq R \subseteq Q(R) \subseteq Q(T)$. On the other hand, the essentiality of L in R shows that regular elements of T are left regular in R and, consequently, regular in R , as R is semiprime left Goldie (see Proposition 2.3.4. of [11]). This implies that $Q(T) \subseteq Q(R)$ and completes the proof of (3).

(4) Suppose $\mathcal{K}(R)$ exists. Then $\mathcal{K}(R) \geq \mathcal{K}(\sigma(R))$ (Cf. Lemma 6.3.3[11]). The endomorphism σ has a large image, so $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential left ideal L of R such that $\text{rann}_R(L) = 0$. By assumption, R is a semiprime ring with Krull dimension. Thus, by

Proposition 6.3.10(ii) of [11], $\mathcal{K}(R) = \mathcal{K}({}_R L)$. The statements (1) and (2) above show that $\sigma(R)$ is a semiprime ring and L is also an essential left ideal of $\sigma(R)$. Thus, by the same proposition we also have $\mathcal{K}(\sigma(R)) = \mathcal{K}({}_{\sigma(R)} L)$. This yields $\mathcal{K}(R) \leq \mathcal{K}(\sigma(R))$, as $\mathcal{K}({}_R L) \leq \mathcal{K}({}_{\sigma(R)} L)$ and completes the proof of (3).

(5) Suppose R is left noetherian and L is an essential left ideal of R contained in $\sigma(R)$. Then R is a semiprime left Goldie ring, so L contains a regular element of R , say $c \in L$ is such. Then $Rc \subseteq L \subseteq \sigma(R)$ is a submodule of a noetherian left $\sigma(R)$ -module $\sigma(R)$. Thus we can find $c_i \in \sigma(R)$ such that $Rc = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(R)c_i$. Since $c_i \in Rc$, $c_i = r_i c$ for some $r_i \in R$. Now $Rc = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(R)r_i c$ and $R = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(R)r_i$ follows, as c is regular in R . \square

Notice that all the above statements do not imply that $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential left ideal of R . Indeed, if $R = K[x]$ is a polynomial ring over a field K and σ is an K -endomorphism of R defined by $\sigma(x) = x^2$. Then clearly R and $\sigma(R)$ possess all properties from the above proposition but $\sigma(R)$ does not contain a nonzero ideal of R .

As an immediate application of Proposition 1.9 we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.10. *Let σ be an endomorphism of a semiprime ring R which has a large image. Suppose R has left Krull dimension (for example R is left noetherian), then $\ker \sigma$ is not essential as a left ideal of R . In particular, if additionally R is a prime ring, then σ is an injective endomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{K}(R)$ exists. Thus, by Proposition 1.9, $\mathcal{K}(\sigma(R)) = \mathcal{K}(R)$. Assume that $\ker \sigma$ is essential as a left ideal. Then, as R is a semiprime left Goldie ring, there exists a regular element c of R such that $c \in \ker \sigma$. Hence, by Lemma 6.3.9 [11], $\mathcal{K}({}_R(R/Rc)) < \mathcal{K}(R)$. Moreover $\mathcal{K}({}_R(R/\ker \sigma)) \leq \mathcal{K}({}_R(R/Rc))$ as $R/\ker \sigma$ is a homomorphic image of R/Rc as a left R -module. This implies that $\mathcal{K}(\sigma(R)) = \mathcal{K}({}_R(R/\ker \sigma)) < \mathcal{K}(R)$, which is impossible. This contradiction shows that $\ker \sigma$ can not be essential as a left ideal of R . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

We do not know the answer to the following:

Question 1. *Suppose that an endomorphism σ of a semiprime left noetherian ring has a large image. Does σ have to be injective?*

The next proposition offers some equivalent conditions for an endomorphism of a semiprime left noetherian ring to be injective. In order to get this some preparation is needed. Let $\mathcal{C}(R)$ denote the set of all regular elements of a ring R and $\mathcal{C}_l(R)$ stand for the set of all left regular elements of R , i.e. $\mathcal{C}_l(R) = \{a \in R \mid \text{lann}_R(a) = 0\}$. When R is a semiprime left Goldie ring then $\mathcal{C}(R) = \mathcal{C}_l(R)$ (Cf. Proposition 2.3.4[11]). Jategoankar proved in [7] that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(R)$, for any injective endomorphism σ of a semiprime left Goldie ring R . The following elementary lemma offers the same thesis under a slightly different hypothesis.

Lemma 1.11. *Let σ be an injective endomorphism of a ring R having large image. Then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_l(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_l(R)$. In particular, $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(R)$, provided R is semiprime left Goldie.*

Proof. Since σ has a large image, $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential left ideal L of R . Let $a \in R$. Suppose that $N = \text{lann}_R(\sigma(a)) \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq N \cap L \subseteq \sigma(R)$. This means that there is a nonzero $r \in R$ such that $\sigma(r)\sigma(a) = 0$. Hence $ra = 0$, as σ is injective. This shows that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_l(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_l(R)$. Suppose R is semiprime left Goldie. Then $\mathcal{C}(R) = \mathcal{C}_l(R)$ and the thesis follows. \square

Theorem 1.12. *Let R be a semiprime left Goldie ring with an endomorphism σ . Suppose σ has a large image. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. σ is injective;
2. $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(R)$.

If one of the above conditions holds then σ extends, in a canonical way, to an automorphism of the left classical quotient ring $Q(R)$ of R .

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is given by Lemma 1.11.

Suppose that $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(R)$. This implies that σ can be uniquely extended to an endomorphism, also denoted by σ , of $Q = Q(R)$. We claim that σ is an automorphism of Q . By the theorem of Goldie, Q is a semisimple ring. This means that its homomorphic image $\sigma(Q)$ is also a semisimple ring. This and Proposition 1.9(3) imply that $\sigma(R)$ is a semiprime left Goldie ring and $Q(\sigma(R)) \subseteq \sigma(Q) \subseteq Q = Q(\sigma(R))$. This shows that $\sigma(Q) = Q$ and Proposition 1.3 implies that σ is also injective, since Q is left noetherian, as a semisimple ring. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 1.13. *Suppose R is a semiprime left noetherian ring. Let σ be an endomorphism of R having a large image. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. σ is injective;
2. $\sigma(\mathcal{C}(R)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(R)$;
3. *there exists a regular element $c \in R$ such that $Rc \subseteq \sigma(R)$ and $\sigma^n(c)$ is regular in R , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
4. σ^n has a large image, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) is given by Lemma 1.11.

By the assumption, R is semiprime left Goldie and $\sigma(R)$ contains an essential ideal L of R . Thus, there exists a regular element c of R such that $Rc \subseteq \sigma(R)$. It is clear now, that (2) \Rightarrow (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let $c \in R$ be as described in (3) and set $L = Rc$. Since L is a left ideal of R contained in $\sigma(R)$, $\sigma^k(L)$ is a left ideal of $\sigma^k(R)$ contained in $\sigma^{k+1}(R)$, for every $k \geq 0$. We prove, by induction on $m \geq 0$, that $P_m = L\sigma(L) \dots \sigma^m(L) \subseteq \sigma^{m+1}(R)$. Notice that, by assumption, $P_0 = L \subseteq \sigma(R)$. Let $m \geq 0$ and assume that $P_m \subseteq \sigma^{m+1}(R)$. Then $P_{m+1} = P_m\sigma^{m+1}(L) \subseteq \sigma^{m+1}(L) \subseteq \sigma^{m+2}(R)$, as $P_m \subseteq \sigma^{m+1}(R)$ and $\sigma^{m+1}(L)$ is a left ideal of $\sigma^{m+1}(R)$ contained in $\sigma^{m+2}(R)$. This proves the claim. Notice that P_m contains a

regular element $c\sigma(c)\dots\sigma^m(c)$, where $m \geq 0$. This implies that, for any $n \geq 1$, σ^n has a large image i.e. (4) holds.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). R is left noetherian and the implication is a direct consequence of Proposition 1.3. \square

Let us observe that implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) are satisfied in the above proposition under the assumption that R is a semiprime left Goldie ring. The assumption that R is left noetherian was used in the proof of (4) \Rightarrow (1) only (but semiprimeness of R was not used). In fact, Example 1.5 shows that the implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) does not hold when R is not noetherian even if it is a commutative domain.

2 Surjectivity

In this section, we will assume that the endomorphism σ of the ring R is injective. It is known (Cf. [8], [10]) that in this situation, there exists a universal overring $A = A(R, \sigma)$ of R , called a Cohn-Jordan extension of R , such that σ extends to an automorphism of A and, for any $a \in A$, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^n(a) \in R$. Notice that σ is an automorphism of R if and only if $R = A$.

The following technical lemma will be helpful in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.1. *Let σ be an injective endomorphism of a ring R and L be a left (two-sided) ideal of R . Then L is a left (two-sided) ideal of $A = A(R, \sigma)$ iff $\sigma^n(L)$ is a left (two-sided) ideal of R , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Suppose that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma^n(L)$ is a left ideal of R . Let $a \in A$ and $n \geq 1$ be such that $\sigma^n(a) \in R$. Then, by assumption, $\sigma^n(aL) = \sigma^n(a)\sigma^n(L) \subseteq \sigma^n(L)$. Injectivity of σ implies $aL \subseteq L$ and shows that L is a left ideal of A . Similar arguments work on the right side.

The reverse implication is clear as, for a left ideal J of A , $\sigma(J)$ is a left ideal of A and $\sigma(J) \subseteq R$, provided $J \subseteq R$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let σ be an injective endomorphism of a left noetherian ring R . Then σ is an automorphism of R iff there exists an element $c \in R \cap \mathcal{C}(A)$ such that $Ac \subseteq R$, where $A = A(R, \sigma)$.*

Proof. Suppose $c \in R$ is as in the proposition. Let $a \in R$. Notice that, as $Ac \subseteq R$, we have $R\sigma^{-m}(a)c \subseteq R$, for every $m \geq 1$. This means that $I_m = \sum_{i=0}^m R\sigma^{-i}(a)c$ is a left ideal of R , for every $m \geq 1$. Since R is left noetherian and $I_m \subseteq I_{m+1}$, for any m , there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^{-(n+1)}(a)c \in I_n$. This and regularity of c in A imply that there are elements $r_0, \dots, r_n \in R$ such that $\sigma^{-(n+1)}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i \sigma^{-i}(a)$. Now, applying σ^{n+1} on both sides of this equality we obtain $a \in \sigma(R)$. This shows that $\sigma(R) = R$, so σ is an automorphism.

For the reverse implication it is enough to take $c = 1$. \square

Now we are in position to prove the following:

Theorem 2.3. *Let σ be an injective endomorphism of a left noetherian semiprime ring R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. σ is an automorphism of R ;
2. There exists an essential left ideal L of R such that $L \subseteq \sigma(R)$ and $\sigma^n(L)$ is a left ideal of R , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let L be an essential left ideal of R such that $L \subseteq \sigma(R)$ and $\sigma^n(L)$ is a left ideal of R , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Lemma 2.1 and assumptions imposed on L yield that L is a left ideal of $A = A(R; \sigma)$. Since L is an essential left ideal of a semiprime left Goldie ring, it contains a regular element $c \in \mathcal{C}(R)$. Injectivity of σ and Lemma 1.11 imply that, for any $n \geq 0$, $\sigma^n(c) \in \mathcal{C}(R)$. Let $a \in A$ and $n \geq 1$ be such that $ac = 0$ and $\sigma^n(a) \in R$. Then $\sigma^n(a)\sigma^n(c) = 0$ and $a = 0$ follows as $\sigma^n(c) \in \mathcal{C}(R)$ and σ is injective. Similarly $ca = 0$ implies $a = 0$. This shows that $c \in \mathcal{C}(A)$. We also have $Ac \subseteq AL \subseteq R$ and Proposition 2.2 completes the proof. \square

Let us remark that, by Theorem 1.10, an endomorphism σ satisfying Statement (2) of Theorem 2.3 is injective, provided R is a prime ring.

By the above Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 1.3 gives the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Let R be a semiprime left noetherian ring and L be an essential left ideal of R . Then every endomorphism σ of R such that $\sigma(L) = L$ is an automorphism of R .*

Examples 1.5 and 2.12 show that the noetherian assumption in the above corollary is essential even in the case R is a commutative domain. Namely, in general, an endomorphism σ as in the above example does not have to be injective. There exist also such injective endomorphisms which are not onto.

Every nonzero ideal of a prime ring is essential as a left ideal, thus using Theorem 1.10 and taking $L = \sigma(I)$ in Theorem 2.3 we get the following:

Corollary 2.5. *An endomorphism σ of a prime left noetherian ring R is an automorphism iff there exists an ideal I of R such that $\sigma(I) \neq 0$ and $\sigma^n(I)$ is an ideal of R , for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proposition 2.6. *Let σ be an endomorphism of a prime left noetherian ring such that $\sigma(R)$ contains a nonzero ideal I of R . Then, for any natural number $n \geq 1$ we have:*

1. $\sigma^n(R)$ contains a nonzero ideal I_n of R such that $I_{n+1} \subseteq \sigma(I_n)$;
2. there exists a nonzero ideal J of R such that $0 \neq \sigma^i(J)$ is an ideal of R , for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. (1) By assumption $0 \neq I \subseteq \sigma(R)$ and Theorem 1.10 shows that σ is injective. We construct I_n by induction as follows: $I_1 = I$ and $I_{n+1} = I\sigma(I_n)I$, for $n \geq 1$. The injectivity of σ and the primeness of R show that I_{n+1} is a nonzero ideal of R . Moreover, making use of

the induction hypothesis we have: $I_{n+1} = I\sigma(I_n)I \subseteq \sigma(R)\sigma(I_n)\sigma(R) \subseteq \sigma(I_n) \subseteq \sigma^{n+1}(R)$. This gives the proof of (1).

(2) By (1), there exists a nonzero ideal I_n of R contained in $\sigma^n(R)$. It is enough to take $J = \sigma^{-n}(I_n)$. \square

If $n \geq 1$ and J, J' are ideals of R such that $\sigma^i(J)$ and $\sigma^i(J')$ are ideals of R , for $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $J + J'$ also has this property. This means that, for any $n \geq 1$, there exists the largest ideal J_n of R such that $\sigma^i(J_n)$ is an ideal of R , for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Notice that, by the construction, $J_{n+1} \subseteq J_n$, for every $n \geq 1$. Therefore $\sigma^n(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i) = \sigma^n(\bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} J_i) = \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} \sigma^n(J_i)$ is an ideal of R , for all $n \geq 1$ and Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 2.3 give the following:

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose that σ is an endomorphism of a prime left noetherian ring such that $\sigma(R)$ contains a nonzero ideal of R . Let J_n , where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the largest ideal of R such that $\sigma^i(J_n)$ is an ideal of R , for any $0 \leq i \leq n$. Then all J_n 's are nonzero and σ is an automorphism of R iff $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} J_i \neq 0$.*

The following theorem records another situation when every endomorphism with a large image has to be an automorphism.

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose σ is an endomorphism of a left principal ideal domain R (left PID for short). If $\sigma(R)$ contains a nonzero left ideal L of R , then σ is an automorphism of R .*

Proof. Suppose L is a nonzero left ideal of R such that $L \subseteq \sigma(R)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.10, σ is injective.

Let $0 \neq a \in L$. Then $Ra \subseteq \sigma(R)$ and Ra is a principal left ideal of $\sigma(R)$, as the ring $\sigma(R)$ is also a left PID. Thus there exists $c \in \sigma(R)$ such that $Ra = \sigma(R)c$. In particular $a = dc$, for some $d \in \sigma(R)$ and $Rdc = \sigma(R)c$. Since R is a domain, c is regular and we get $Rd = \sigma(R)$. Thus, as $1 \in \sigma(R)$, we get $R = Rd = \sigma(R)$. This shows that σ is onto. \square

In the case R is a left Ore domain we have the following:

Proposition 2.9. *Let σ be an injective endomorphism of a left Ore domain. If $\sigma(R)$ contains a nonzero one-sided ideal of R , then the extension of σ to the division ring of quotients D of R is an automorphism of D .*

Proof. Injectivity of σ implies that σ extends to an endomorphism of D . The assumption implies that there exists $0 \neq c \in R$ such that either cR or Rc are contained in $\sigma(R) \subseteq \sigma(D) \subseteq D$. The fact that $\sigma(D)$ is a division ring implies easily that $R \subseteq \sigma(D)$ and $\sigma(D) = D$. \square

The following example presents a left PID R with an injective endomorphism σ such that $\sigma(R)$ contains a nonzero right ideal and σ is not onto. Compare also this example with Theorem 2.8.

Example 2.10. Let K be a field with an endomorphism σ which is not onto. Consider the skew polynomial ring $R = K[x; \sigma]$ (with coefficients written on the left). We can extend σ to R by setting $\sigma(x) = x$. Then R is left PID and $\sigma(R)x$ is a right ideal of R contained in $\sigma(R)$.

In the corollary below we sum up obtained results in the special case of prime rings.

Corollary 2.11. *Suppose R is a prime left noetherian ring. Let σ be an endomorphism of R having a large image. Then:*

1. σ is injective and extends to an automorphism of the classical left quotient ring of R ;
2. If $\sigma(L) = L$, for a certain essential left ideal of R , then σ is automorphism of R ;
3. If R is left PID, then σ is an automorphism of R .

The above suggests the following:

Question 2. *Does there exist a prime left noetherian ring (or a left noetherian domain) with an endomorphism σ such that σ has a large image and σ is not an automorphism of R .*

The question seems to be interesting even in the case R is a polynomial ring $K[X]$ over a field K in the finite set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of indeterminates. Let $\tau: K[X] \rightarrow K[X]$ be a K -linear endomorphism. In the case $\#X = 1$, Theorem 2.8 says that τ has to be an automorphism of $K[X]$. In general case, Proposition 1.9(3) shows that $K(X)$ is the quotient field of $K[\tau(X)]$. It is known (Cf. Theorem 2.1 [1]) that in this case the Jacobian Conjecture holds, i.e. τ has to be an automorphism, provided the Jacobian $J(\tau) \in K^*$.

When $\text{char}K = 0$, another special case of the question above is: “Does the Dixmier Conjecture, that every endomorphism of the Weyl algebra $A_1(K)$ is an automorphism, hold for endomorphisms having large images”. Theorem 2.3 implies that this is exactly the case when there exists a nonzero left ideal L of $A_1(K)$, such that $\sigma^n(L)$ is a left ideal of $A_1(K)$, for every $n \geq 1$.

We will present below examples of injective endomorphisms which are not automorphisms but have large images when R is a prime left Goldie ring or even a commutative domain. The first one is a commutative local domain.

Example 2.12. Let $k(x)$ denote the field of rational functions over a field k and K its algebraic extension $K = k(x)(x^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$. Let σ stand for the k -linear automorphism of K given by $\sigma(x^{\frac{1}{2^n}}) = x^{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}}$. Then σ can be extended to an automorphism of the power series ring $K[[y]]$, by setting $\sigma(y) = y$. Define $R = k(x) + K[[y]]y \subseteq K[[y]]$. Then R is a local ring with the maximal ideal $M = K[[y]]y$, the restriction of σ to R is an injective endomorphism of R , which is not onto. Clearly $M = \sigma(M)$ is an ideal of R contained in $\sigma(R) = k(x^2) + M \subset R$.

The ring R in the above example is not noetherian as otherwise, by Theorem 2.3, σ would be an automorphism of R . It is also easy to check directly that if $I_n = (x^{\frac{1}{2^i}}y \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $x^{\frac{1}{2^n}}y \in I_{n+1} \setminus I_n$.

In what follows, $U(R)$ will denote the unit group of R . Let us notice that $\sigma(U(R)) \neq U(R)$ in Example 2.12. In fact let us observe that

Remark 2.13. Let R be local ring and σ an injective endomorphism of R such that $\sigma(U(R)) = U(R)$. Then σ is an automorphism of R . Indeed, let $m \in M = R \setminus U(R)$. Since R is local, M is an ideal of R . This implies that $1 + m \notin M$. Thus, by the assumption, there is $a \in R$ such that $\sigma(a) = 1 + m$, i.e. $m = \sigma(a - 1) \in \sigma(R)$.

The following example offers a commutative domain R with an injective endomorphism σ which is not onto such that $\sigma(U(R)) = U(R)$ and $\sigma(I) = I$, for some nonzero ideal I .

Example 2.14. Let $R = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}x + \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][x]x^2$. Let σ be the endomorphism of R defined by $\sigma(x) = 2x$. Then $I = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][x]x^2$ and $J = 2\mathbb{Z}x + \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][x]x^2$ are ideals of R contained in $\sigma(R) = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathbb{Z}x + \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}][x]x^2$. Notice that $\sigma(I) = I$ and $\sigma(J) \subset J$.

The ring R in the above example is not noetherian. Indeed if $I_n = (\frac{1}{2^i}x^2 \mid 0 \leq i \leq n-1)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\frac{1}{2^n}x^2 \in I_{n+1} \setminus I_n$.

Theorem 1.12 implies that if R is a semiprime left Goldie ring with an injective endomorphism σ having a large image, then its Cohn-Jordan extension $A = A(R, \sigma)$ is contained in $Q(R)$. Example 2.14 shows that inclusions $R \subseteq A \subseteq Q(R)$ can be strict.

Example 2.14 can be generalized to the following construction:

Example 2.15. Let $T = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n$ be an \mathbb{N} -graded ring with a graded automorphism σ , i.e. automorphism such that $\sigma(T_n) = T_n$. Let R_0 be a subring of T_0 such that $\sigma(R_0) \subset R_0$ and $R = R_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$. Then σ is an injective endomorphism of R which is not an automorphism and R contains an ideal $M = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ of R such that $\sigma(M) = M$.

It is easy to construct prime rings or even domains, as in the above example. Take any prime ring (or a domain) R_0 with an injective endomorphism σ which is not onto. Let $A_0 = A(R_0, \sigma)$ be the Cohn-Jordan extension of R_0 . Then A_0 is a prime ring (a domain) and consequently $T = A_0[x] = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n$ is also such a ring, where $T_n = A_0x^n$. Then one can extend σ to an automorphism of T and consider $R = R_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$.

This construction never leads to noetherian rings. Notice that if A_0 would be finitely generated as a left R_0 -module, then $A_0 = R_0$, i.e. σ would be an automorphism of R_0 . Indeed, if $A_0 = R_0a_1 + \dots + R_0a_m$, then there would exist $n \geq 1$ such that $\sigma^n(a_i) \in R_0$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $A_0 = \sigma^n(A_0) = \sigma^n(R_0a_1 + \dots + R_0a_m) \subseteq R_0$.

By the above, there are $a_i \in R_0$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $R_0a_1 + \dots + R_0a_n \subset R_0a_1 + \dots + R_0a_{n+1}$, for all n . Let I_n denote the left ideal of $R = R_0 + A_0[x]$ generated by elements a_1x, \dots, a_nx . Then $I_n \subset I_{n+1}$, i.e. R is not noetherian.

References

- [1] Bass H., Connell E.H., Wright D., The Jacobian Conjecture: Reduction of Degree and Formal Expansion of the Inverse, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 7 (2), 1982, 287-330;
- [2] Beidar K.L., Fong Y., Lee P.-H., Wong T.-L., On Additive Maps of Prime Rings Satisfying the Engel Condition, *Comm. Algebra* 25 (12), 1997, 3889-3902;
- [3] Bresar M., One-Sided Ideals and Derivations of Prime Rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 122 (4), 1994, 979-983;
- [4] Bresar M., Chebotar M.A., Martindale W.S., *Functional Identities*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007;
- [5] Bresar M., Martindale 3rd W.S., Miers R.C., Maps Preserving n -th Powers, *Comm. Algebra* 26 (1), 1998, 117-138;
- [6] Hiremath V.A., Hopfian Rings and Hopfian Modules, *Indian J. Pure and App. Math.* 17 (7), 1986, 895-900;
- [7] Jategaonkar, A.V., Skew Polynomial Rings Over Orders In Artinian Rings, *J. Algebra* 21 (1), 51-59, 1972;
- [8] Jordan D.A., Bijective Extensions of Injective Rings endomorphisms, *J. London Math. Soc.* 25 (3), 1982, 435-448;
- [9] Leroy A., Matczuk J., Dérivations et automorphismes algébriques d'anneaux premiers, *Comm. Algebra* 13 (6), 1985, 1245-1266;
- [10] Matczuk J., S-Cohn-Jordan Extensions, *Comm. Algebra* 35 (3), 2007, 725-746;
- [11] McConnell J.C., Robson J.C., *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 30, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001;
- [12] Tripathi S.P., On the Hopficity of the Polynomial Rings, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* 108, (2), 1998, 133-136;
- [13] Varadarajan K., Study of Hopficity in Certain Classes of Rings, *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2), 2000, 771-783;
- [14] Varadarajan K., Hopfian and co-Hopfian Objects, *Publicacions Matemàtiques* 36, 1992, 293-317;
- [15] Varadarajan K., Some Recent Results on Hopficity Co-Hopficity and Related Properties, *International Symposium on Ring Theory, Trends in Math.*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.