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## Challenges

## The issue with integrative systems biology

- Unlimited quantity of data ( $n \ll p$ problem)

- Data from multiple sources

$\rightarrow$ Efficient and biologically relevant statistical methodologies are needed to combine the information in these heterogeneous data sets.

Single Omics analysis

- Do we observe a 'natural' separation between the different groups of patients?
- Can we identify potential biomarker candidates predicting the status of the patients?

Integrative Omics analysis

- Can we identify a subset of correlated genes and proteins from matching data sets?
- Can we predict the abundance of a protein given the expression of a small subset of genes?
■ Do two matching omics data set contain the same information?
- How to take into account a repeated measurement design?


## Data setting

$n=$ number of patients
$p, q=$ number of biological features (genes, proteins ..)
Single Omics analysis

- one omic data set $X(n \times p)$
- for a supervised analysis, $Y$ vector indicating the class of the patients

Integrative Omics analysis

- two matching omics data sets (measured on the same patients)
$■ X(n \times p)$ and $Y(n \times q)$ (unsupervised analysis)

Linear multivariate approaches enable:
■ Dimension reduction
$\rightarrow$ project the data in a smaller subspace

- To handle multicollinear, irrelevant, missing variables

■ To capture experimental and biological variation

In particular, in mixOmics, focus is on:

- Data integration
- Variable selection

■ Computationally efficient methodologies for large biological data sets

■ Interpretable graphical outputs
mix mics is an R package dedicated to the exploration and the integrative analyses of high dimensional biological data sets.


- Website
- R tutorials
- Newsletter, User Forum

mixOmics wizard
Project Name:
Please choose your methodology
(s) PCA
$O$ (s)IPCA 6
O (r)CCA
$O$ (s)PLS
$O$ (s)PLS-DA
© I don't know yet, guide me through my options
(Bach) Next
- Web Interface
- User friendly interface
- Comprehensive results page
-Lê Cao et al. (2009) integRomics/mixOmics: an R package to unravel relationships between two omics data sets, Bioinformatics


Sample plots


## The PROOF Center

## PROOF

Centre of | Centre d
EXCELLENCE Biomarker
solutions for
Biomarqueurs health care.

- Solutions en soins
de sante.

Prevention of Organ Failure Centre of Excellence is a not-for-profit organization that develops and implements blood-based biomarker tests to better manage patients with heart, lung and kidney failure and prevent disease progression.

Kidney transplant study: patients with kidney deficiency received a kidney transplant. Each Acute Rejection patient (AR) is matched with a Non Rejection patient (NR).

- The data: $\mathrm{n}=40$

1 Genomics (Affymetrix, $p=27,306$ )
2 Proteomics (iTRAQ, $q=140$ )


벼NB

Single Omics analysis
■ Do we observe a 'natural' separation between the different groups of patients?

- Can we identify potential biomarker candidates predicting the status of the patients?


## Principal Component Analysis: PCA

Seek the best directions in the data that account for most of the variability
$\rightarrow$ principal components: artificial variables that are linear combinations of the original variables:

$$
\begin{array}{ccl}
\boldsymbol{c}= & \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{v} \\
(n) & (n \times p) & (p)
\end{array}
$$


$\square \boldsymbol{c}$ is a linear function of the elements of $\boldsymbol{X}$ having maximal variance

■ $v$ is called the associated loading vector

## Principal components cont.

The new PCs form a vectorial subspace of dimension $<p$

Project the data on these new axes.

$\rightarrow$ approximate representation of the data points in a lower dimensional space

Problem:
Interpretation difficult with very large number of (possibly) irrelevant variables

## PCA

Objective function:

$$
\max _{\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\right\|=1} \operatorname{var}\left(X_{h} \boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{h}}\right), \quad h=1 \ldots H
$$

Several ways of solving PCA:
■ Eigenvalue problem: $\boldsymbol{S v}=\lambda \boldsymbol{v} ; \boldsymbol{c}=X \boldsymbol{v}$
$S=$ variance covariance matrix or correlation matrix if $X$ is scaled
■ Singular Value Decomposition (SVD): $\mathrm{X}=$ UDV'; $C=U D$ $D=$ diagonal matrix with $\sqrt{\lambda_{j}}$; $\boldsymbol{u}_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right)$ are eigenvectors of $\frac{1}{n} X X^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{n} X^{\prime} X\right)$
■ NIPALS algorithm

Independent Component Analysis (ICA):

- assumes non Gaussian data distribution ( $\neq \mathrm{PCA}$ ).
- 'blind source' signal separation.

■ seeks for a set of independent components ( $\neq \mathrm{PCA}$ ).
IPCA is based on Independent Component Analysis (ICA):

- Combines the advantages of both PCA and ICA.
- The PCA loadings are transformed via ICA to obtain independent loading vectors and independent principal components.

Yao, F., Coquery, J. and Lê Cao, K-A. 2012 Independent Principal Component Analysis for biologically meaningful dimension reduction of large biological data sets, BMC Bioinformatics.

Illustration on the genomics data





## sparse Principal Component Analysis: sPCA

## Principal components


loading vectors (PCA)


sparse loading vectors (sPCA)



The principal components are linear combinations of the original variables, variables weights are defined in the associated loading vectors.
sparse PCA computes the sparse loading vectors to remove irrelevant variables using lasso penalizations (Shen \& Huang 2008, J. Multivariate Analysis).

## sparse Principal Component Analysis: sPCA

sparse PCA: sparse loading vectors to remove noisy or irrelevant variables which determine the principal components
$\rightarrow$ Solving PCA through least squares problem (SVD) allows to include regularization parameters

$$
\min _{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{h}}}\left\|X_{h}-\mathbf{u}_{h} \mathbf{v}_{h}^{T}\right\|_{F}^{2}+P_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}\right)
$$

$P_{\lambda}$ is a penalty function with tuning regularization parameter $\lambda$
$\rightarrow$ use Lasso penalization
$\rightarrow$ obtain sparse loading vectors, with very few non-zero elements
Shen, H., Huang, J.Z. 2008. Sparse principal component analysis via regularized low rank matrix approximation, J. Multivariate Analysis.

## Illustration: PCA and sPCA <br> (a)



Figure: PCA

## Discriminant Analysis
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## PLS - Discriminant Analysis

- Similarly to Linear Discriminant Analysis, classical PLS-DA looks for the best components to separate the sample groups.
- As opposed to PCA/ICA methods, it is a supervised approach.

Objective function:

$$
\max _{\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{h}\right\|=1,\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{h}\right\|=1} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{h} \boldsymbol{u}_{h}, Y \mathbf{v}_{h}\right) \quad h=1 \ldots H
$$

Y is the qualitative response matrix (dummy block matrix)

Lê Cao K-A., Boitard S. and Besse P. (2011) Sparse PLS Discriminant Analysis: biologically relevant feature selection and graphical displays for multiclass problems, BMC Bioinformatics, 12:253.

## sparse PLS - Discriminant Analysis

Include variable selection in PLS-DA via $L_{1}$ penalization on the loading vectors.

$$
\text { Let } M_{h}=X_{h}^{T} Y_{h} \text {, }
$$

$$
\min _{\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{h}}}\left\|M_{h}-\mathbf{u}_{h} \mathbf{v}_{h}^{T}\right\|_{F}^{2}+P_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}\right), \quad h=1 \ldots H
$$

- use Lasso penalization ( $P_{\lambda}$ is a penalty function with regularization parameter $\lambda$ ),
- sparse loading vector $u_{h}$ enables variable selection,
- sPLS-DA searches for discriminative variables that can help separating the sample groups,
- evaluate the discriminative power of the variable selection using cross-validation.


## Illustration of sPLS-DA



Figure: Tuning the number of var. to select with cross-validation

Genomics


Figure: Predicting the class of the test set samples

## Parameters to tune

- Number of components: -PCA, IPCA: explained variance -IPCA: kurtosis value -PLS-DA: K - 1
- Lasso penalization $\lambda^{h},(h=1, \ldots, H)$ : -sPCA, sIPCA: sparsity degree, stability analysis, permutations, cluster analysis
-sPLS-DA: classification error rate with cross-validation
$\rightarrow$ the biologist will also help choosing these parameters!


Integrative Omics analysis
■ Can we identify a subset of correlated genes and proteins from matching data sets?

- Can we predict the abundance of a protein given the expression of a small subset of genes?
- Do two matching omics data set contain the same information?

■ Partial Least Squares regression maximises the covariance between each linear combination (components) associated to each data set

- sparse PLS has been developed to include variable selection from both data sets
- Two modes are proposed to model the relationship between the two data sets (mode='regression' or mode = 'canonical')

Lê Cao K-A., Rossouw D., Robert-Granié C. and Besse P. 2008. A Sparse PLS for Variable Selection when Integrating Omics data. SAGMB 7(1).
Lê Cao K.-A., Martin P.G.P, Robert-Granié C. and Besse, P. 2009. Sparse
Canonical Methods for Biological Data Integration: application to a cross-platform study. BMC Bioinformatics, 10:34.

Integration of two data sets
Aims:

- unravel the correlation stucture between two data sets
- select co-regulated biological entities across samples
$\rightarrow$ select and integrate in a one step procedure the different types of data

PLS objective function:

$$
\max _{\left\|u_{h}\right\|=1,\left\|v_{h}\right\|=1} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{h} \mathbf{u}_{h}, Y_{h} \mathbf{v}_{h}\right), \quad h=1 \ldots H
$$

where $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{p})$ is the transcriptomics data set and $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{q})$ is the proteomics data set

## Partial Least Squares



For each iteration $h, h=1 . . H$, decompose $X$ and $Y$ into:
1 Loadings vectors $\mathbf{u}_{h}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{h}, p$ - and $q$ - dimensional vectors
2 Latent variables $\xi_{h}$ and $\omega_{h}, n$-dimensional vectors
3 Regression of $X_{h-1}$ and $Y_{h-1}$ on $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{h}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{h}$, reg. coeff. $\mathbf{c}_{h}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{h}$
4 Residual matrices: deflation step of $X_{h-1}$ and $Y_{h-1}$

## sparse PLS-SVD

Use the PLS-SVD variant that directly gives the latent variables and loading vectors and low rank rank approximation.

Let $M_{h}=X_{h}^{T} Y_{h}$, sparse PLS solves the optimization problem:

$$
\min _{\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{h}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{h}}}\left\|M_{h}-\mathbf{u}_{h} \mathbf{v}_{h}^{\prime}\right\|_{F}^{2}+P_{\lambda_{1}}\left(\mathbf{u}_{h}\right)+P_{\lambda_{2}}\left(\mathbf{v}_{h}\right)
$$

where $P_{\lambda}$ is a penalty function
$\rightarrow$ obtain simultaneously sparse loadings $\mathbf{u}_{h}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{h}$
$\rightarrow$ simultaneous variable selection in both data sets

## Illustration of sparse PLS: sample plot

sPLS aims at selecting correlated variables (genes, proteins) across the same samples by performing a multivariate regression.
Regression: explain the protein abundance w.r.t the gene expression " $\Rightarrow$ relationship".

- The latent variables (PLS components) are determined based on the selected genes and proteins $\rightarrow$ give more insight into the samples similarities.
■ Unsupervised approach



## Illustration of sparsePLS: variable plot

Relevance networks are bipartite graphs directly inferred from the (s)PLS components.



González I., Lê Cao K.-A., Davis, M.D. and Déjean S. Visualising association between paired 'omics' data sets. In revision.

## Illustration of sparsePLS: variable plot

Some other insightful graphical outputs to highlight relationships between 2 data sets:


Figure: Correlation circle plots


Figure: Clustered Image Maps

## sPLS canonical mode: sample plots

Selects correlated variables across the same samples and highlights the correlation structure between the two data sets.
Canonical mode: " $\Leftrightarrow$ relationship"


- NCl60 cross-platform study

■ $\mathrm{X}=$ affymetrix, $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{cDNA}$ microarray platforms

- Which are the variable from both sets that contain similar information on the samples?

Figure: Arrow plot to highlight the similarities between 2 data sets

## Parameters to tune

- Number of PLS components:
- $Q_{h}^{2}$ index
- Lasso penalizations $\lambda_{1}^{h}, \lambda_{2}^{h}(h=1, \ldots, H)$ :
-regression mode: error prediction with cross-validation -canonical mode: maximisation of the covariance, stability analysis, permutations
$\rightarrow$ the biologist will also help choosing these parameters!


## Cross-over design: VAC 18 study

- Peripheral blood mononuclear cells obtained before and after vaccination and simulated with 4 different conditions: HIV-LIPO5, GAG+, GAG- and NS.
- Transcripts $(p=44,000)$, Cytokines $(q=10), n=12$ unique patients

| Subject | Stimulation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After vaccination | LIPO5 | GAG + | GAG- | NS |
| 1 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 2 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| 12 | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |

$\rightarrow$ Take into account the correlation across conditions
from B. Liquet

## Split up variation with a mixed model framework

As suggested by Westerhuis et al., 2010:


For the expression of variable $k$, subject $s$ and treatment $j$,

$$
x_{s j}^{k}=\underbrace{x_{.}^{k}}_{\text {offset }}+\underbrace{\left(x_{s .}^{k}-x_{. .}^{k}\right)}_{\text {between-sample variation }}+\underbrace{\left(x_{s j}^{k}-x_{s .}^{k}\right)}_{\text {within-sample variation }}
$$

where

$$
\underbrace{\left(x_{s j}^{k}-x_{s .}^{k}\right)}_{\text {within-sample variation }}=\underbrace{\left(x_{. j}^{k}-x_{. .}^{k}\right)}_{\text {Treatment effect }}+\underbrace{\left(x_{s j}^{k}-x_{s .}^{k}-x_{. j}^{k}+x_{. .}^{k}\right)}_{\text {Error }}
$$

from B. Liquet

## Multilevel approach

- Multilevel approach: explains the different parts of variation. The within-sample variation is explained by the Treatment factor.
- Objective: select the genes which can discriminate the 4 stimulations (stimulation effect)
$\rightarrow$ apply sPLS-DA on the within matrix $\boldsymbol{X}_{w}$ rather than the original data set $\boldsymbol{X}$ to take into account the repeated measures design.
from B. Liquet

Liquet, B. Lê Cao, K-A., Hocini, H., Thiébaut, R. A novel approach for biomarker selection and the integration of repeated measures experiments from two platforms, submitted.

## Cross-over design



## Illustration: multilevel analysis



Figure: one level analysis


Figure: two level analysis

## Regularized CCA

Classical Canonical Correlation Analysis solves the problem

$$
\max \operatorname{cor}_{a_{h}, b_{h}}\left(X a_{h}, Y b_{h}\right) \text { s.t. } \quad \operatorname{var}\left(X a_{h}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(Y b_{h}\right)=1
$$

For $n \ll p+q$, the empirical covariance matrices are ill-conditionned $\rightarrow$ canonical correlations close to 1 .

In regularized CCA the covariance matrices are replaced by:

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X)+\lambda_{1} / d \text { and } \operatorname{Cov}(Y)+\lambda_{2} / d
$$

González I., Déjean S., Martin P.G.P., Goncalves O., Besse P. and Baccini A. 2009 Highlighting Relationships Between Heteregeneous Biological Data Through Graphical Displays Based On Regularized Canonical Correlation Analysis, Journal of Biological Systems, 17 (2).

## Multi-block analysis: Regularized Generalised CCA

■ RGCCA generalizes rCCA to more than 2 data sets

- Constitutes a general framework for many multi-block data analysis methods
- Objective: seeks linear combinations of block variables: (i) block components explain their own block well and/or (ii) block components that are assumed to be connected are highly correlated.

Tenenhaus, A., Tenenhaus, M (2011) Regularized Generalised Canonical Correlation Analysis, Psychometrika, 76 (2).

## RGCCA

For $J$ blocks of variables $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$, the design matrix $\boldsymbol{C}=\left\{c_{j, k}\right\}$, the function $g$ and the shrinkage constants $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{J}$, RGCCA optimizes the problem:

$$
\max _{\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}_{j}} \sum_{j, k=1, j \neq k}^{J} c_{k j} g\left(\operatorname{Cov}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{j} \boldsymbol{a}_{j}, \boldsymbol{X}_{k} \boldsymbol{a}_{k}\right)\right)
$$

subject to the constraints $\tau_{j}\left\|a_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\tau_{j}\right) \operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{j} a_{j}\right) \quad j=1, \ldots, J$, where the $\mathbf{a}_{j}$ are the loading vectors associated to each block $j$.

Similar to the sPLS, $L_{1}$ penalizations can be applied to the loading vectors to obtain a sparse version of RGCCA (sRGCCA, in preparation).

Illustration: design and sample plot
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Figure: PROOF data

## Integration of multiple data sets

## Illustration: variable profile plot

component 1


Figure: Loading vectors: comp. 1
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Figure: Loading vectors: comp $\equiv 2$ BQFAB <

These multivariate integrative approaches are:

- flexible and can answer various types of questions.
- can highlight the potential of the data.

■ enable to generate new biological hypotheses to be further investigated.

- currently implements 6 different methodologies plus their sparse variants
- proposes comprehensive graphical outputs
- includes a web-associated interface

Future work includes:
■ Cross-platform comparison and time-course experiments integration
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## Questions?

Watch out the new look of our web interface! (in progress)

http://mixomics.qfab.org mixomics@math.univ-toulouse.fr

