

Comparative study of low versus high resolution liquid chromatography - mass spectrometric strategies for measuring perfluorinated contaminants in fish

Hanane Kadar, Bruno Veyrand, Jean-Philippe Antignac, Sophie Durand, Fabrice Monteau, Bruno Le Bizec

▶ To cite this version:

Hanane Kadar, Bruno Veyrand, Jean-Philippe Antignac, Sophie Durand, Fabrice Monteau, et al.. Comparative study of low versus high resolution liquid chromatography - mass spectrometric strategies for measuring perfluorinated contaminants in fish. Food Additives and Contaminants, 2011, 28 (9), pp.1. 10.1080/19440049.2011.583283 . hal-00712359

HAL Id: hal-00712359 https://hal.science/hal-00712359v1

Submitted on 27 Jun2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Food Additives and Contaminants



Comparative study of low versus high resolution liquid chromatography - mass spectrometric strategies for measuring perfluorinated contaminants in fish

Journal:	Food Additives and Contaminants			
Manuscript ID:	TFAC-2011-073.R1			
Manuscript Type:	Original Research Paper			
Methods/Techniques:	LC/MS			
Additives/Contaminants:	Environmental contaminants			
Food Types:	Fish			
Abstract:	Perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) are usually monitored by high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on triple quadrupole instruments. Although not yet widely implemented in the field, high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) today appears as a valuable alternative for these halogenated chemicals due to their significant mass defect. Indeed, this second approach offers a way to cope with particular matrix effects caused by co-eluting and isobaric interferences affecting the measurement of some PFCs in fish. The present study has compared three different LC-MS related instruments and various signal acquisition modes, from low resolution full scan and selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode on triple quadrupole (QqQ) instrument to high resolution full scan or product ion scan mode on orbital trap (LTQ-Orbitrap) or quadrupole-time-of-flight (Q-TOF) devices. Performances have been compared for 7 model compounds belonging to 7 PFCs sub-classes (perfluoralkylsulfonate, perfluoroalkylcarboxylate, perfluoralkylsulfinate, perfluoroalkyl-sulfonamide, fluorotelomer saturated acid, fluorotelomer unsaturated acid and perfluoroalkylphosphonic acid). Low resolution MS/MS was found to be unsurprisingly reliable for extended multiresidues monitoring. However, the high stability of PFCs leads to a relatively poor and non-specific fragmentation pathway in MS/MS. In addition, biliary acid interfering compounds (e.g. taurochenodeoxycholic acid), that			

	where encountered in the present case in fish samples but that may be present in other biological samples, were found particularly disturbing in low resolution MS/MS. Indeed, these interferences presented the same retention time and diagnostic signals as PFOS, leading to a possible overestimation of the PFOS quantification in LC-MS/MS. On the other hand, high resolution MS and MS/MS (LTQ-Orbitrap and Q-TOF) provided better results in terms of signal specificity and sensitivity. For instance, the estimated limits of detection (LOD) reached for PFOS on QqQ, Q-TOF and LTQ-Orbitrap instruments were 3.8, 0.7 and 0.5 pg injected, respectively.
O,	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts

Comparative study of low versus high resolution liquid chromatography -

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
0	
1	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
1/	
14	
10	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
20	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
25	
30	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
10	
77 15	
40	
40	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
55	
54	
22	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

2	mass spectrometric strategies for measuring perfluorinated contaminants in
3	fish
4	
5	
6	Hanane KADAR ^{1,3} , Bruno VEYRAND ^{1,3*} , Jean-Philippe ANTIGNAC ^{1,2} , Sophie DURAND ^{1,3} ,
7	Fabrice MONTEAU ^{1,3} , Bruno LE BIZEC ^{1,3} .
8	
9	¹ ONIRIS, USC 2013 LABERCA, Atlanpole - La Chantrerie, BP 50707, Nantes, F-44307
10	² INRA, Nantes, F-44307
11	³ Université Nantes Angers Le Mans
12	
13	
14	
15	Abbreviations: Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM), Quadrupole Time-of-flight (Q-TOF),
16	High Resolution Mass spectrometry (HRMS), Low Resolution Mass Spectrometry (LRMS)
17	
18	
19	
20	* Corresponding Author:
21	LABERCA, ONIRIS, Site de la Chantrerie
22	Route de Gachet, BP 50707, 44307-NANTES Cedex 3, FRANCE
23	Tel: 00 33 2 40 68 78 80
24	Fax: 00 33 2 40 68 78 78
25	E-Mail: laberca@oniris-nantes.fr
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

Abstract

Perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) are usually monitored by high performance liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) on triple quadrupole instruments. Although not yet widely implemented in the field, high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) today appears as a valuable alternative for these halogenated chemicals due to their significant mass defect. Indeed, this second approach offers a way to cope with particular matrix effects caused by co-eluting and isobaric interferences affecting the measurement of some PFCs in fish. The present study has compared three different LC-MS related instruments and various signal acquisition modes, from low resolution full scan and selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode on triple quadrupole (QqQ) instrument to high resolution full scan or product ion scan mode on orbital trap (LTQ-Orbitrap) or quadrupole-time-of-flight (O-TOF) devices. Performances have been compared for 7 model compounds belonging to 7 PFCs sub-classes (perfluoralkylsulfonate, perfluoroalkylcarboxylate, perfluoroalkylsulfinate, perfluoroalkyl-sulfonamide, fluorotelomer saturated acid, fluorotelomer unsaturated acid and perfluoroalkylphosphonic acid). Low resolution MS/MS was found to be unsurprisingly reliable for extended multi-residue monitoring. However, the high stability of PFCs leads to a relatively poor and non-specific fragmentation pathway in MS/MS. In addition, biliary acid interfering compounds (e.g. taurochenodeoxycholic acid), that where encountered in the present case in fish samples but that may be present in other biological samples, were found particularly disturbing in low resolution MS/MS. Indeed, these interferences presented the same retention time and diagnostic signals as PFOS, leading to a possible overestimation of the PFOS quantification in LC-MS/MS. On the other hand, high resolution MS and MS/MS (LTQ-Orbitrap and Q-TOF) provided better results in terms of signal specificity and sensitivity. For instance, the estimated limits of detection (LOD) reached for PFOS on QqQ, Q-TOF and LTQ-Orbitrap instruments were 3.8, 0.7 and 0.5 pg injected, respectively.

Keywords: chemical food safety, mass spectrometry, perfluorinated contaminants, PFOS, PFOA.

63 INTRODUCTION

Perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) are synthetic chemical substances produced and used for their hydrophobic and lipophobic properties, as anti-sticking material or surfactant related products (Kissa, 2001). PFCs are used in many applications, including oil- and water-repellent coatings for carpets, textiles, leather, paper, cardboard and food packing materials, electronic and photographic devices, and surfactants in some cleaning agents, cosmetics and fire-fighting foams. They are also used as an essential processing aid in the manufacture of some fluoropolymers such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and to a lesser extent as antistatic additives in industrial applications and in the electronics industry (Hansen et al. 2002). Consequently, consumers from industrialised countries are daily in contact with these chemicals, through a high number of manufactured products. Furthermore, as many other chemicals of anthropogenic origin, PFCs may be released into the environment at each step of their life cycle and found in various food chain components. Food, especially through particular vectors of chemical exposure such as fish, represents a main source of consumers' exposure to PFCs (Fromme et al. 2007; Simcik and Dorweiler 2005; Taniyasu et al. 2003; Giesy and Kannan 2001; Rylander et al. 2009; Hölzer et al. 2009).

Perfluorinated compounds include a large group of chemicals which are characterized by a fully fluorinated hydrophobic linear or branched carbon chain attached to various hydrophilic moieties. This chemical structure explains their physico-chemical characteristics such as chemical and thermal stability, low surface free energy and surface active properties. The C-F bond is particularly strong, and is resistant to various degradation modes, including reaction with acids and bases, oxidation, and reduction. While some PFCs undergo chemical transformations, these reactions occur mainly on the hydrophilic group of the molecule rather than on the perfluorinated alkyl chains moiety (3M 1999). The most commonly studied PFC substances are perfluoalkylsulfonates and carboxylates. Among these, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) are two main representative of the PFCs family (Figure 1).

90 PFOS is classified as a persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic chemical substance, thus fulfilling
91 the criteria for being considered as a persistent organic pollutant (POP) under the Stockholm
92 Convention (Wang et al. 2009). Moreover, recommendation 2010/161/EU was adopted to
93 encourage member states to develop methods for the monitoring of perfluorinated compounds in

Food Additives and Contaminants

food. The toxicity of PFOS and PFOA has been studied mainly in rodents. On the whole, hepatotoxicity, developmental toxicity, immunotoxicity, hormonal and neuroendocrine effects, as well as carcinogenic potency are the observed effects of main concern (Fei et al. 2009, Olsen et al. 2009, Wolf et al. 2007; Austin et al. 2003). More recently, some *in vitro* genotoxicity studies indicated that PFOS and/or PFOA may induce oxidative stress, apoptosis or increase the potential genotoxicity of other chemicals in a multi-exposure context (Liu et al. 2007a, 2007b, Yao and Zong 2005, Jernbro et al. 2007). Moreover, PFOS and PFOA have been suspected to have an effect on human reproduction and development during pregnancy (Trudel et al. 2008, Fromme et al. 2009). Recent studies on fluorotelomer acids – another class of fluorinated compounds – have revealed that their toxicity was higher than that of carboxylic acids on crustacean (Philipps et al. 2007).

Numerous PFCs have been detected in nearly all environmental media and biota, reflecting the widespread global pollution in all parts of the ecosystem (Pistocchi et al. 2009). Two recent surveys of PFCs in food samples, carried out in the UK and Sweden (EFSA 2008), provided some European country-related data. According to the EFSA (European Food Safety Agency) expert panel, "Data on PFAS in food from monitoring activities in the EU countries are on the whole insufficient and the contamination of most foodstuffs cannot be characterised at present" (EFSA 2008). However, fish and fisheries products have been identified as particularly contaminated products. Several studies report PFCs' presence in fish at concentrations varying from some ppb to hundreds of ppb (Taniyasu et al. 2005, Furdui et al. 2007, Nania et al. 2009). In this matrix, PFOS concentrations are almost invariably higher than PFOA concentrations and the PFOS concentrations in fish liver are consistently higher than those in fillet. PFOS has been shown to bioaccumulate in fish, with an estimated time clearance of 50% in fish around 100 days (EFSA 2008). Thus, fish seems to be an important source of human exposure to PFOS, as confirmed by EFSA in 2008: "Based on the limited information available, fish and fishery products seem to be one important source of human exposure to PFOS and PFOA". A specific population characterised by high fish consumption such as the Inuit community was also confirmed as particularly exposed to PFOS (Dallaire et al. 2009).

The main measurement technique for perfluorinated compounds remains liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry on triple quadrupole instruments after negative electrospray ionization. However, the need for an unambiguous quantification of an extended range of monitored compounds justified the evaluation of alternative approaches, especially those based on high resolution instruments. Indeed, Guo et al. 2008 reported the benefits of using other instruments such as a Quadrupole -time of flight (Q-TOF). Besides, Llorca et al. 2009 suggested a method based on an hybrid mass analyzer QqLIT (Quadrupole-Linear Ion trap) system to achieve unequivocal identification and quantification of PFCs compounds. So far, no comparison of the performances for different systems has yet been performed. For that purpose, the present study has compared three different LC-MS related instruments and various signal acquisition modes, from low resolution full scan and selected ion monitoring acquisition on triple quadrupole (QqQ) to high resolution full scan or product ion scan mode on an orbital trap (LTQ-Orbitrap) or a quadrupole-time-of-flight (Q-TOF). After having characterized the global spectrometric behavior of 7 PFCs in MS and MS/MS modes, the different tested technologies were discussed in terms of performances (specificity, sensitivity, dynamic range, linearity...), advantages and limitations, and possible drawbacks in the scope of a multi-residue monitoring.

141 Materials and methods

Reagents and Chemicals

All the following reference substances were purchased from BCP Instruments (Wellington Laboratories, Guelph, Ontario, Canada): PFOA (perfluoro-n-octanoic acid); PFDA (perfluoro-n-decanoic acid); PFOS (potassium perfluorooctanesulfonate); FOEA (2-perfluorooctylethanoic acid) ; FOUEA (2H-perfluoro-2-decenoic acid); PFOSi (sodium perfluoro-1-octanesulfinate acid); PFDPA (perfluorodecylphosphonic Acid); FOSA (perfluoro-1-octanesulfonamide) and mass labeled standards PFOA ${}^{13}C_4$ (perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4- ${}^{13}C_4$]octanoic acid); PFOS ${}^{13}C_4$ (sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4- $^{13}C_4$]octanesulfonate); FOUEA $^{13}C_2$ 2H-perfluoro-[1,2- $^{13}C_4$]-2-decenoic acid; PFOSi ¹³C₄ sodium perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4-¹³C₄]-octanesulfinate; FOSA ¹³C₈ perfluoro-1- $[^{13}C_8]$ octane sulfonamide. Taurochenodeoxycholic acid, tauroursodeoxycholic acid. taurodeoxycholic acid, taurohyodeoxycholate acids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). Methanol (picograde quality) was provided by UGC Promochem

(Wesel, Germany). Ammonium acetate and glacial acetic acid were from Merck (Darmstadt,
Germany). Deionised water (<18 MΩ.cm) was obtained from nanopure system (Barnstead,
Germany). Supelclean envicarb® was acquired from Supelco (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin
Fallavier, France).

11 159

160 Sampling and sample preparation

As a prerequisite, all materials used for sampling and sample preparation were washed with acetone in order to avoid cross-contamination between samples. Fish samples were freeze-dried to obtain a minimum of 2 g for each sample, further ground and homogenized. Then, 1 g of dried sample was transferred in a 50 mL polypropylene tube, in which 2 ng of each internal standard were added before vortexing. A liquid solid extraction (LSE) using 15 mL of MeOH was performed. The sample was then mechanically agitated for 15 minutes. After centrifugation, 3 mL of supernatant were purified using dispersive solid phase extraction with Envicarb stationary phase, according to a method described by Powley et al. (2005). An adaptation of this procedure included the addition of 200 µL of glacial acetic acid to 150 mg of the graphitized carbon stationary phase (Envicarb[®]). Finally, 2 mL of the resulting extracts were evaporated to dryness under nitrogen, and reconstituted in 200 µL MeOH/H₂O 0.02M ammonium acetate, (50/50, v/v). A final centrifugation step was performed to discard most of the lipid fraction present in fish, and the supernatant was transferred into a polypropylene vial vessel.

37 174

175 HPLC separation

An identical liquid chromatographic system was used for the three different tested MS systems, based on a 1200 series binary pump from Agilent (Palo Alto, CA, USA). The separation was performed on a Gemini C₁₈ reverse phase column (3 µm, 50 x 2.0 mm) fitted with a guard column (3 µm, 10 x 2.0 mm) (Phenomenex, Torrance CA, USA). The mobile phase consisted of MeOH (Solvent A) and ammonium acetate 20 mM (Solvent B). The elution gradient started with 30% A for 2 min, followed by a 7 min linear gradient to 100%, then 5 min hold at 100%, and returned back to 30% in 3 min. The column, kept at 40°C was equilibrated during 3 min prior to the next injection. Injection volume was set at 20 μ L for both LTQ-Orbitrap and Q-TOF systems and 50 μ L on the triple quadrupole system.

186 Low resolution MS and MS/MS on triple quadrupole

A triple quadrupole instrument (Agilent 6410, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used in the negative electrospray ionization mode. Mass spectra were acquired in SIM or MRM mode. In the latter case, fragmentor voltage and collision energy were optimized for each compound and two diagnostic signals were monitored for each target PFC (Table 1). Whatever the acquisition mode, the common optimized source parameters were as follows: 3 kV for capillary voltage, 10 L/min for desolvation gas flow rate, 45 psi for nebulisation gas pressure, and respectively 250°C and 300°C for source and desolvation gas temperatures.

195 High resolution MS and MS/MS on LTQ-Orbitrap

An LTQ-OrbitrapTM Discovery (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany) system was used in the negative electrospray ionization mode. Full scan or product ion scan experiments were performed at a 30,000 resolution (FWHM) in the range m/z [200-900]. General source parameters were optimized for 5 model compounds (2 carboxylic acids, 2 sulfonic acids and 1 fluorotelomer acid). The drying gas (N_2) temperature was set to 280°C. The sheath and auxiliary gas flow values were respectively optimized at 40 and 10 (arbitrary units). The electrospray voltage was 4 kV. Capillary and tube lens voltages were respectively set to -14 V and -85 V. Quantitative analysis was performed using extracted mass chromatograms recorded in the full scan mode, applying the mass-to charge values given in table 1.

206 High resolution MS and MS/MS on Q-TOF

A Q-TOF (Agilent 6530, Palo Alto, CA, USA) instrument was used in the negative electrospray ionization mode. The source parameters used were the same as those optimised on the previous triple quadrupole, the source configuration being the same on both instruments. Product ion scan experiments were performed in m/z range from 50 to 1100 at a resolution of 8,000 (FWHM). Quantitative analysis was performed using extracted mass chromatograms recorded in product ion mode, applying the mass-to-charge values given in Table 1.

51 213

214 Limit of detection and linearity

The estimated instrumental limits of detection, based on the typical 3:1 signal-to-noise ratio principle, as well as a linear dynamic range from 0.1 to 1000 pg injected were assessed on

standard solutions on the three instruments. All these assays were carried out in triplicates foreach tested concentration level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

222 Mass spectrometric behavior of PFCs in MS mode

Seven target compounds belonging to different PFC sub-families were investigated (Figure 1). The pseudo-molecular ions $[M-H]^{-}$ were observed as the main generated ionic species on the 3 different MS systems tested. On the whole, the full scan experiments confirmed the high physico-chemical stability of these compounds, with a poor in-source fragmentation phenomenon for the tested fragmentor values, ranging from 20 up to 160 V. Mass spectra were acquired for PFOS, PFOA, PFOSi, PFDPA, FOUEA, FOEA, and PFOSA. For PFOS, PFOSi, PFDPA and PFOSA, the spectra revealed that [M-H] was the main ion produced. For PFOA, the in-source fragmentation appeared slightly higher with [M-H]⁻ and [M-CO₂-H]⁻ ions formed. Finally, for FOUEA, [M-CO₂-HF-H]⁻ ion was observed and, for FOEA, other minor ionic species were formed. The chemical formulae corresponding to these different ions are reported in table 2. As a conclusion, the observed high stability of PFCs (that will be further confirmed in MS/MS too, see below) was a first indication that it would probably be difficult to achieve a good signal specificity in low resolution MS.

37 236

237 Mass spectrometric behavior of PFCs in MS/MS mode

The MS/MS fragmentation pathways observed for the previous 7 model PFCs belonging to different sub-families are presented in Figure 2 and Table 2. For PFOS (Figure 2a), a loss of the hydrophilic sulfonate group was observed, leading to $[SO_3]^{-}$ (m/z 80) and/or $[FSO_3]^{-}$ (m/z 99) ions. However, these fragment ions remained of poor intensity and limited specificity. For PFOA (Figure 2b), the observed fragmentation appeared slightly more effective, with the loss of CO₂ and subsequent fragmentation on the alkyl chain leading to $[CF_3-(CF_2)_n]$ ions with n equal to 2, 3 or 5. In particular, the comparison of the PFOA and PFOS MS² mass spectra confirmed the higher stability of the latter, even at a higher collision energy (15 versus 40 eV), which could be explained by the high electronegativity of the sulfonate group. PFOSi (Figure 2c) seemed to be less stable than sulfonate, with a highest fragmentation observed for lower collision energy as compared to PFOS. Regarding FOEA and FOUEA (Figures 2d and 2e), a significant difference of behavior was observed between saturated and unsaturated forms, which can be attributed to the stabilisation of the FOUEA structure due to its double bond. The PFDPA (Figure 2f) showed the highest stability, with only one observed fragment ion corresponding to the loss of the hydrophilic group $[PO_3]$. Finally, PFOSA (Figure 2g) also presented a main loss of the hydrophilic group [SO₂N], as well as some additional fragment ions following the fragmentation of its alkyl chain. To conclude, the LC-MS/MS detection strategy on low resolution triple quadrupole instruments can be based on a limited number of diagnostic signals combined with weak specificity. Although LC-MS/MS provides good quantification performances and high efficiency for multiresidue analyses, this strategy may then encounter some limitations in terms of trace analysis in complex biological matrices. Furthermore, in some cases the low resolution LC-MS/MS approach appeared not fully compliant with strict regulatory purposes due to insufficient identification criteria, since a "pseudo" MRM transition $([M-H]^{2} > [M-H]^{2})$ has to be used as diagnostic signal. For instance, for phosphonic acid family, which are the most stable class of PFCs, the use of pseudo-MRM transition is required since only one transition is available. Moreover also for other class of compounds (sulfonic acid), the aim of reaching the highest sensitivity leads to the choice of the pseudo-MRM transition instead of $[M-H]^{-} > [FSO_3]^{-}$.

³⁵ 266 Limits of LC-MS/MS analysis of PFCs in fish samples ³⁶

The low resolution MS/MS approach in MRM mode was confirmed to be suitable for the quantitative determination of PFCs in biological samples. However, as previously mentioned, the lack of specificity of the main diagnostic signal in MRM mode, the poor sensitivity of potential additional signals when they exist, sound as potential limitations of this strategy. Therefore, HRMS was envisaged as a potentially valuable alternative. Indeed, the mass defect typically associated to these compounds due to the presence of fluorinated atoms was expected to provide a way to enhance the signal specificity in high resolution.

275 Comparison of low *versus* high resolution MS and MS/MS measurement in fish

High resolution MS on an orbital trap system was expected to combine a good signal specificity
due to the mass defect typically observed for these halogenated substances and a good sensitivity
in full scan mode. Indeed, the exact mass of fluorine atom (m=18.9984 uma) is leading to a slight

Food Additives and Contaminants

mass defect compared to the expected nominal mass (m=19 uma), whereas the exact mass of hydrogen atom (m=1.00794 uma) is leading to a slight mass excess. Finally, this mass defect induced for the target PFCs permits to discriminate them from isobaric matrix components characterised by a CxHyOz formula using HRMS and accurate mass analysis. Figure 3 presents the typical extracted ion chromatograms obtained for PFDA (a perfluoroalkylcarboxylic acid) with a mass accuracy of 0.500 Da in LRMS and 0.003 Da in HRMS. These results indicated that most interfering signal contributions can be discarded when the mass accuracy was reduced to 0.003 Da, confirming the valuable interest of high resolution MS for monitoring these fluorinated compounds. However, this approach is still facing some difficulties to fulfil strict regulatory criteria in terms of unambiguous identification of the target analytes, as only one ion being available with sufficient intensity to be used as diagnostic signal.

291 Comparison of product ion scans on QTOF and LTQ-Orbitrap in a scope of a multiresidue 292 analysis

In a perspective of a multi-residue analysis based on high resolution MS/MS, the product ion scan mode was tested on the two mass filters (QTOF and LTQ-Orbitrap). This acquisition mode was expected to obtain two diagnostic signals for each target compound in high resolution. As shown in figure 4, the obtained chromatograms presented a sufficient number of points per peaks for QTOF whereas it lacked the apex of the peaks for the chromatograms acquired with the LTQ-Orbitrap. Results indicated that due to a faster scan rate (0.05s/scan vs 0.5 s/scan), Q-TOF appeared more efficient in this mode compared to the tested first generation of LTQ-Orbitrap for a comparable resolution. This observation was also expected considering the respective characteristics of beam- versus trap-based instruments. In conclusion, LC-HRMS² on O-TOF could appear as a good alternative to LC-LRMS² on triple quadrupole in terms of sensitivity / specificity balance and for regulatory purpose.

04

305 Distinction between PFOS and a bile acid interfering compound in fish: the added value of 306 high resolution

A particular signal specificity issue was identified and encountered during the analysis of several fish samples. Basically, the two diagnostic signals monitored for PFOS in LC-LRMS² on triple quadrupole in MRM mode correspond to $[M-H]^- > [M-H]^-$ and $[M-H]^- > [SO_3]^-$, i.e. 499>499 and

499>80, respectively. The 499>499 has been preferred to the 499>99 transition since it provided better sensitivity with a signal to noise ratio fifteen times higher for the fish sample presented in Figure 6. Thus, an interfering compound further identified as a bile acid was found to (1) coelute with PFOS in the used LC separation conditions and (2) share the same diagnostic signals. This interference was first described by Benskin et al. (2007) and identified as one of the 4 cholic acids isomers presented in Figure 5. We identified taurochenodeoxycholic acid as the isomer disturbing the PFOS signal. As a consequence, the fish sample preparation procedure was particularly pointed out as the probable origin of this compound in the analyzed samples, the gall-bladder containing all these bile acids being possibly mixed to some extent with fish muscle. As shown in Figure 6a, the presence of this interfering compound could lead to a significant overestimation of the determined PFOS concentration level when LC-LRMS² is used as the measurement technique. One way to circumvent this overestimation is first to include in the acquisition method an additional diagnostic signal specific to taurochenodeoxycholic acid (e.g. 499>124 corresponding to the loss of the taurine group) in order to reveal its presence in the considered sample (Figure 6c). Then, if this presence is confirmed, unbiased quantification of PFOS can be performed on the basis of the 499>99 diagnostic signal which remains specific to this compound and unaffected by the interference (Figure 6b). However, this strategy is clearly penalizing performances in terms of sensitivity due to the poor intensity of the 499>99 diagnostic signal (around 15 fold lower compared to the pseudo-MRM transition). Finally, this particular real case illustrated a limit of the LC-LRMS² approach for perfluorinated compounds analysis. Although high resolution MS appears to be suitable to prevent quantification error coming from unexpected matrix interference, others possible ways to cope with this issue does exist. For instance, the improvement of the sample preparation procedure could be one way to get rid of interferences. However the associated effort, time and cost will have to be renewed for each particular matrix. Another level of action is to modify the chromatographic conditions (elution gradient and/or stationary phase). Unfortunately, the separation of co-eluting compounds always remains challenging, and even if a given particular situation could be solved by this way it will not ensure the absence of troubles with other sample or matrix.

Conversely, the LC-HRMS approach offered a valuable and more sustainable alternative way to avoid such quantification error, since the target signal of interest can be distinguished from the

Food Additives and Contaminants

signal of the interference on the basis of the accurate mass measurement. As illustrated in Figure 7, no significant quantitative difference is observed between LRMS (0.500 Da mass accuracy) and HRMS (0.001 Da mass accuracy) measurement for a fish sample without the interference (Figures 7c and d). Whereas in presence of taurochenodeoxycholate, an overestimation of the PFOS signal may occurs with LRMS, which is not observed with HRMS (Figures 7a and b), and that could reach twice the amount of PFOS contained in the fish.. Therefore, high resolution MS was chosen as a method of choice for measuring PFCs with high specificity, this statement being found valid either for the LTQ-Orbitrap (30,000 resolution) or Q-TOF (8,000 resolution) instruments.

⁹ 350

351 Synthesis of instrumental performances

A summary of the instrumental performances obtained on the different tested systems is reported in Table 3. On the whole, the highest sensitivity was obtained on the Orbitrap system, followed by Q-TOF and triple quadrupole systems. If we compare the results for PFOS, the limits of detection (LOD) are 0.5, 0.7 and 3.8 pg injected respectively for Orbitrap, Q-TOF and QqQ. If we compare with the results obtained by Berger et al. (2004), the LODs are 2, 10 and 25 pg injected respectively for TOF, QqQ and ion trap systems. We notice the same order in sensitivity for Q-TOF and QqQ, but with lower values for our study, which could be due to the novelty of the instruments used and the different construction of the ion source.

The dynamic linear ranges are shown in Table 3. The lower limit was usually the limit of quantification set to a signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1. Ranges were found higher for both triple quadrupole and Orbitrap systems compared to the Q-TOF. For instance, for PFOA, the linear range covers more than 3 orders of magnitudes for both MRM (QqQ) and full scan mode (Orbitrap), whereas it covers only 2 orders of magnitude for product ion mode on Q-TOF.

367 CONCLUSION

1 368

Low and high resolution MS or MS/MS measurement strategies on three different instruments (triple quadrupole, Q-TOF and LTQ-Orbitrap) were compared for the analysis of perfluorinated compounds in fish. Ions generated in the source were first characterized by a high stability which

penalizes the performances of the LRMS² approach on triple quadrupole in MRM mode due to the very limited fragmentation. This approach was not fully satisfying with regard to the unambiguous identification criteria defined by the regulation, only one diagnostic ion being monitored with sufficient intensity for each target compound. Moreover, a risk of quantitative overestimation was observed with this approach for PFOS in some fish samples due to the presence of a co-eluting and isobaric bile acid interfering compound. Alternatively, HRMS on LTQ-Orbitrap system in full scan mode appeared as the most powerful approach in terms of sensitivity and specificity due to the typical mass defect characterizing these halogenated compounds. Its higher specificity also allowed circumventing the risk of overestimation. However, this system provided only one diagnostic ion and consequently may not fit with common regulatory requirements in terms of unambiguous identification (at least two diagnostic signal needed). Therefore, HRMS² on Q-TOF system in product ion scan mode offered a good compromise in terms of sensitivity / specificity balance, with two possible diagnostic ions monitored for each target analyte, medium sensitivity compared to that achieved on QqQ and Orbitrap devices, and sufficient resolution to circumvent the specific interference issue revealed for some fish samples. However, the linear range is more limited on QTOF compared to the other systems.

References

1

2	
3	390
4	201
5 6	391
7	392
8	393
9 10	575
11	394
12	395
13 14	306
15	590
16 17	397
18	398
19	300
20	599
21 22	400
23	401
24 25	402
25 26	402
27	403
28 20	404
29 30	405
31	403
32	406
33 34	407
35	107
36	408
37 38	409
39	410
40 41	411
41	411
43	412
44 45	413
46	414
47	414
48 ⊿o	415
4 5 50	<i>A</i> 16
51	410
52 53	417
54	418
55	410
56 57	717
58	420
59	
60	

391 3M company, 1999. The science of organic fluorochemistry

- Austin ME, Kasturi BS, Barber M, Kannan K, MohanKumar PS, MohanKumar SMJ. 2003.
 Neuroendocrine effects of perfluorooctane sulfonate in rats. Environ Health Perspect. 111:1485-1489
- 394 Benskin JP, Bataineh M, Martin JW. 2007. Simultaneous Characterization of Perfluoroalkyl Carboxylate,
 395 Sulfonate, and Sulfonamide Isomers by Liquid Chromatography -Tandem Mass Spectrometry
 396 Analytical Chemistry 79: 6455-6464
- Berger U, Langlois I, Oehme M, KAllenborn R. 2004. Comparison of three types of mass spectrometerfor
 high-performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry analysis of perfluoroalkylated substances
 and fluorotelomer alcohols Europ J Mass Spectrom. 10:579-588
- 400 Dallaire R, Ayotte P, Pereg D, Dery S, Dumas P, Langlois E, Dewailly E. 2009. Determinants of plasma
 401 concentrations of perfluorooctanesulfonate and brominated organic compounds in Nunavik Inuit adults
 402 (Canada). Environ Sci & Technol. 43:5130-5136
- 403 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). 2008. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in the
 404 Food chain on Perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and their salts. J. eur
 405 Food Saf Auth. 653: 1-131.
- 406 Fei C, McLaughlin JK, Lipworth L, Olsen J. 2009. Maternal levels of perfluorinated chemicals and 407 subfecundity. Human Reprod Hum Reprod. 24:1200-1205.
- 408
 408
 408
 409
 409
 409
 409
 409
 409
 409
 409
 409
 410
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
 411
- 412 Fromme H, Tittlemier SA, Völkel W, Wilhelm M, Twardella D. 2009. Perfluorinated compounds –
 413 Exposure assessment for the general population in western countries. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health. 212:
 414 239-270.
- Furdui V, Stock NL , Ellis D, Butt C, Whittle DM , Crozier P W, Reiner E J, Muir DCG, and Mabury
 SA. 2007. Spatial Distribution of Perfluoroalkyl Contaminants in Lake Trout from the Great Lakes.
 Environ. Sci. & Technol. 41:1554-1559
- Giesy JP, and Kannan K. 2001. Global Distribution of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate in Wildlife. Environ.
 Sci. & Technol. 35: 1339-1342.
- 420 Guo R, Zhou Q, Cai Y, Jiang G. 2008. Determination of perfluorooctanesulfonate and perfluorooctanoic

421 acid in sewage sludge samples using liquid chromatography/quadrupole time-of-flight mass
422 spectrometry. Talanta. 75: 1394-1399.

1 2 3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10

35

46

58 59

- Hansen KJ, Johnson HO, Eldridge JS, Butenhoff JL, Dick LA. 2002 Quantitative Characterization of
 Trace Levels of PFOS and PFOA in the Tennessee River, Environ. Sci. & Technol. 36:1681-1685.
- 425 Hölzer J, Göen T, Rauchfuss K, Kraft M, Angerer J, Kleeschulte P, and Wilhelm M. 2009. One-year
 426 follow-up of perfluorinated compounds in plasma of German residents from Arnsberg formerly
 427 exposed to PFOA-contaminated drinking water. Inter J Hyg Environ Health. 212:499-504.
- 428 Jernbro S, Suares Rocha P, Keiter S, Skutlarek D, Färber H, Jones PD, Giesy JP, Hollert H and Engwall
 429 M. 2007. Perfluorooctane Sulfonate Increases the Genotoxicity of Cyclophosphamide in the
 430 Micronucleus Assay with V79 Cells. Environ Sci Pollut Res. 14:85-87
- 431 Kissa E, Structure of fluorinated surfactants. 2001. Fluorinated surfactants and repellents. Surfactant science. second ed. pp 1-21
- 433 Liu C, Yu K, Shi X, Wang J, Lam PKS, Wu RSS, Zhou B. 2007a. Induction of oxidative stress and apoptosis by PFOS and PFOA in primary cultured hepatocytes of freshwater tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Aquat Toxicol. 82:135-143.
- 436
 436
 436
 437
 437
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
- 439 Llorca M, Farré M, Picó Y, Barceló D, 2009. Development and validation of a pressurized liquid
 440 extraction liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for perfluorinated compounds
 441 determination in fish, J Chrom A, 1216: 7195–7204
- 41
 42 Vania V, Pellegrini GE, Fabrizi L, Sesta G, De Sanctis P, Lucchetti D, Di Pasquale M, Coni E, 2009.
 43
 443 Monitoring of perfluorinated compounds in edible fish from the Mediterranean Sea, Food Chemistry 115:951-957.
- 47 445 Olsen GW, Butenhoff JL, Zobel LR. 2009. Perfluoroalkyl chemicals and human fetal development: an
 48 446 epidemiologic review with clinical and toxicological perspectives. Reprod Toxicol. 27:212-230.
- 447 Phillips MM, Dinglasan-Panlilio MJ, Mabury SA, Solomon KR, Sibley PK. 2007. Sibley, Fluorotelomer
 448 Acids are More Toxic than Perfluorinated Acids. Environ. Sci. & Technol. 41:7159-7163.
- 449 Pistocchi A, Loos R. 2009. A Map of European Emissions and Concentrations of PFOS and PFOA.
 450 Environ Sci & Technol. 43: 9237-9244.

Food Additives and Contaminants

451 Powley CR, George SW, Ryan TW, Buck RC. 2005. Matrix Effect-Free Analytical Methods for
452 Determination of Perfluorinated Carboxylic Acids in Environmental Matrixes. Analytical Chemistry.
453 77:6353-6358.

454 Rylander C, Brustad M, Falk H, and Sandanger MT. 2009. Dietary Predictors and Plasma Concentrations
455 of Perfluorinated Compounds in a Coastal Population from Northern Norway. J Environ Public Health.
2009:1-10

4 457 Simcik MF, and Dorweiler KJ. 2005. Ratio of Perfluorochemical Concentrations as a Tracer of 458 Atmospheric Deposition to Surface Waters. Environ Sci & Technol. 39:8678-8683.

459 Taniyasu S, Kannan K, Horii Y, Hanari N, Yamashita N. 2003. A Survey of Perfluorooctane Sulfonate
460 and Related Perfluorinated Organic Compounds in Water, Fish, Birds, and Humans from Japan.
461 Environ. Sci. Technol. 37:2634-2639.

462 Taniyasu S, Kannan K, So MK, Gulkowska A, Sinclair E, Okazawa T, Yamashita N. 2005. Analysis of
463 fluorotelomer alcohols, fluorotelomer acids, and short- and long-chain perfluorinated acids in water
464 and biota. J Chrom A. 1093:89-97.

- 465 Trudel D, Horowitz L, Wormuth M, Scheringer M, Cousins IT, Hungerbühler K. 2008 Estimating 466 Consumer Exposure to PFOS and PFOA. Risk Anal. 28:251-269.
- 467 Wang T, Wang Y, Liao C, Cai Y, Jiang G. 2009. Perspectives on the Inclusion of Perfluorooctane
 468 Sulfonate into the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants. Environ. Sci & Technol.
 469 43:5171-5175.
- Wolf CJ, Fenton SE, Schmid JE, Calafat AM, Kuklenyik Z, Bryant XA, Thibodeaux J, Das KP, White SS,
 Lau CS, Abbott BD. 2007. Developmental toxicity of perfluorooctanoic acid in the CD-1 mouse after
 cross-foster and restricted gestational exposures. Toxicol Sci. 95:462-473
 - 473 Yao X, Zhong L. 2005. Genotoxic risk and oxidative DNA damage in HepG2 cells exposed to
 474 perfluooctanoic acid. Mutat Res / Genet Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen. 587:38-44.

2 3 4	480	Figure captions
5	481	
6 7	482	Figure 1 : Chemical structure of 7 fluorinated compounds belonging to different sub-families
8 9	483	
10 11	484	Figure 2: Typical LC-(ESI-)-MS ² product ion scan mass spectra obtained on the QqQ instrument
12 13	485	for a) PFOS (Ec=40eV) b) PFOA (Ec=10eV), c) PFOSi (Ec=15eV) and d) FOUEA (Ec=40eV),
14 15	486	e) FOEA (Ec=15 eV), f) PFDPA (Ec=40 eV), g) PFOSA (Ec=35 eV).
16 17	487 488	Figure 3 : LTQ-Orbitrap diagnotic chromatograms extracted from a full scan analysis with a mass
19	489	accuracy of (up) 0.500 Da (LRMS) or (down) 0.003 Da (HRMS) for PerFluoro-n-decanoic Acid
20 21	490	in fish sample
22 23	491	
24	492	Figure 4 : Typical LC-(ESI-)-HRMS ² diagnostic ion chromatograms of 7 perfluorinated compounds obtained for a
25 26	493	standard solution (0.5 ng injected on-column) on the LTQ-Orbitrap ($R = 7500$), or Q-TOF ($R = 8000$) systems in
27 28	494 495	daugner scan mode.
29	496	Figure 5: Chemical structures of taurochenodeoxycholic acid (TCDCA) (a) taurodeoxycholic
30 31	497	acid (TDCA) (b) Tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA) (c) and taurohyodeoxycholic acid
32 33	498	(THDCA) (d)
34 35	499	
36 37	500	Figure 6: Examples of diagnostic chromatograms obtained for a fish sample contaminated with
38	501	taurochenodeoxycholate which disturb PFOS signal leading to an overestimation of quantitation
39 40	502	a) Common transition of PFOS and taurochenodeoxycholate b) PFOS specific transition c) bile
41 42	503	acid specific transition.
43 44	504	Einer 7. LOMS discussion have the property of DEOS altering time to be the state of the
45 46	505	Figure 7: LC-MS diagnostic chromatograms of PFOS obtained in a macketer sample without (a β b) or with (a β d) bila acid interferent extracted with 0.500 Da (a β c) or 0.001 Da (b β d)
47	507	α b) of with (c α d) bite acid interferent extracted with 0.500 Da (a α c) of 0.001 Da (b α d)
48 49	307	mass accuracy, respectively.
50 51		
52 53		
53 54		
55 56		
57 58		
59 60		17



Food Additives and Contaminants



Figure 2



Figure 3



218x143mm (150 x 150 DPI)

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tfac Email: fac@tandf.co.uk



Figure 5



Figure 6



Table	1: Opti	mised parame	ters for the thre	ee instuments a	nd for one an	alyte per family of	perfluorinated	compound.											
Triple quadrupole MS		Orbitrap	QTOF	Orbitrap and QTOF	Orbitrap		QTOF		Orbitrap and QTOF										
	SIM	М	IRM		Full scan														
fragmentor (V)	m/z	m/z > m/z	Collision energy (eV)	capillary voltage (V)	fragmentor (V)	m/z (± 10 ppm)	capillary voltage (V)	Collision energy (eV)	fragmentor (V)	Collision energy (eV)	m/z > m/z (± 10 ppm)								
80	413	413>368,9	5	14	00	412.000	1.4	10	00	10	413,0>368.977								
80	369	413>169,1	15	-14	80	412.900	-14	10	80	10	413,0>168.989								
60	400	499>499	15	14	60	408 020	14	20	60	20	498,9>498.930								
00	499	499>80	45	-14	00	498.950	-14	60	00	60	498,9>79.957								
100	192	483>419	15	14	100	182 025	14	15	100	15	483,0>82.961								
100	405	483>219	5	-14	100	482.935	-14	15	100	15	483,0>218.986								
100	457	457>393	15	14	-14 100	456.973	-14	15	100	15 -	456,9>456.973								
100	393	393>343	35	-14							457>392.977								
80	477	476,9>392,9	15	1.4	14 90	80	176.070	14	15	80	15	476,9>476.979							
80	393	476,9>63	30	-14	80	470.979	-14	15	80	15	476,9>392.977								
160	599	599>599	40	1.4	160	160 598.933	-14	15	160	15	598,9>598.933								
100	79	599>79	5	-14	100						598,9>78.959								
150	498	498>219	35	14	1.4	1.4	14	14	1.4	14	14	1.4	150	407.046	14	15	150	15	498,0>497.946
150	219	498>78	25	-14	150	497.940	-14	15	150	15	498,0>218.986								

Table 2: Structure of ions obtained after fragmentation obtained in MS and MS/MS mode

		<i>(</i>	Ion structure	
		<i>m/z</i> ratio	elucidation	
		885	[2M+CH ₃ COO-H] ⁻	
		413	[M-H] ⁻	
	PFOA	369	[M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	
		219	[M-CO ₂ -(CF ₂) ₃ -H] ⁻	
		169	[M-CO ₂ -(CF ₂) ₄ -H] ⁻	
		499	[M-H] ⁻	
	PFOS	99	[FSO ₃] ⁻	
		80	[M-C ₈ F ₁₆] ⁻	
		483	[M-H] ⁻	
		419	[M-SO ₂ -H] ⁻	
	PEOS	269	[M-SO ₂ -(CF ₂) ₃ -H] ⁻	
		219	[M-SO ₂ -(CF ₂) ₄ -H] ⁻	
		169	$[M-SO_2-(CF_2)_5-H]^{-1}$	
		83	[FSO ₂] ⁻	
		915	[2M-H] ⁻	
		457	[M-H] ⁻	
	TOOLA	393	[M-CO ₂ -HF-H] ⁻	
		343	[M-CO ₂ -CF ₂ -HF-H] ⁻	
	PEDPA	599	[M-H] ⁻	
	11 BI A	79	[PO ₃] ⁻	
		477	[M-H] ⁻	
	FOFA	413	[M-CF ₂ =CH ₂ -H]	
	1 OLA	393	[M-CF ₂ =CH ₂ -HF-H] ⁻	
		63	[CF ₂ =CH] ⁻	
		498	[M-H] ⁻	
		478	[M-HF-H] ⁻	
	PFOSA	219	$[M-SO_2-(CF_2)_4-H]^{-1}$	
		169	[M-SO ₂ -(CF ₂) ₅ -H] ⁻	
		119	[M-SO ₂ -(CF ₂) ₆ -H] ⁻	
		78	[SO ₂ N]	

Table 3 : Summary of the main instrumental performances obtained on the three tested systems for	•
six compounds	

	QQQ		ORE	BITRAP	Q-TOF		
Compound	Calc.LOD	Linearity	Calc.LOD	Calc.LOD Linearity		Linearity	
	[pg inj]	range [pg inj]	[pg inj]	range [pg inj]	[pg inj]	range [pg inj]	
PFOA	0,1	0.3-1000	0,5	1.65-1000	0,1	0.330-500	
PFOS	3,8	12.4-1000	0,5	1.65-1000	0,7	1.650-500	
PFOSi	1,0	3.3-1000	0,5	1.65-1000	3,0	19.800-500	
FOUEA	0,8	2.5-1000	2,0	6.60-500	3,0	9.900-500	
FDEA	50,0	165.0-500	50,0	165-500	30,0	99-500	
PFOSA	1,5	5.0-500	0,5	1.65-1000	1,0	3.300-500	