

# On the Commuting variety of a reductive Lie algebra.

Jean-Yves Charbonnel

## ▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Yves Charbonnel. On the Commuting variety of a reductive Lie algebra.. 2012. hal-00711467v3

# HAL Id: hal-00711467 https://hal.science/hal-00711467v3

Preprint submitted on 29 Dec 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

#### ON THE COMMUTING VARIETY OF A REDUCTIVE LIE ALGEBRA

#### JEAN-YVES CHARBONNEL

Abstract. The commuting variety of a reductive Lie algebra g is the underlying variety of a well defined subscheme of  $g \times g$ . In this note, it is proved that this scheme is normal. In particular, its ideal of definition is a prime ideal.

## **CONTENTS**

1.	Introduction		1
2.	Characteristic module		4
3.	Torsion and projective dimension		6
4.	. Main results		14
Ap	pendix A.	Projective dimension and cohomology	15
References			18

## 1. Introduction

In this note, the base field k is algebraically closed of characteristic 0, g is a reductive Lie algebra of finite dimension,  $\ell$  is its rank, and G is its adjoint group.

**1.1.** The dual of g identifies to g by a non degenerate symmetric bilinear form on g extending the Killing form of the derived algebra of g. Denote by  $(v, w) \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle$  this bilinear form and denote by  $I_g$  the ideal of  $\mathbb{K}[g \times g]$  generated by the functions  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle v, [x, y] \rangle$ 's with v in g. The commuting variety  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  of g is the subvariety of elements (x, y) of  $g \times g$  such that [x, y] = 0. It is the underlying variety to the subscheme S(g) of  $g \times g$  defined by  $I_g$ . It is a well known and long standing open question whether or not this scheme is reduced, that is  $\mathcal{C}(g) = S(g)$ . According to Richardson [Ri79],  $\mathcal{C}(g)$  is irreducible and according to Popov [Po08, Theorem 1], the singular locus of S(g) has codimension at least 2 in C(g). Then, according to Serre's normality criterion, arises the question to know whether or not C(g) is normal. There are many results about the commuting variety. A result of Dixmier [Di79] proves that  $I_g$  contains all the elements of the radical of  $I_g$  which have degree 1 in the second variable. In [Ga-Gi06], Gan and Ginzburg prove that for g simple of type A, the invariant elements under G of  $I_g$  is a radical ideal of the algebra  $\mathbb{K}[g \times g]^G$  of invariant elements of  $\mathbb{K}[g \times g]$  under G. In [Gi12], Ginzburg proves that the normalisation of  $\mathbb{C}(g)$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

Date: December 29, 2014.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14A10, 14L17, 22E20, 22E46.

Key words and phrases. polynomial algebra, complex, commuting variety, Cohen-Macaulay, homology, projective dimension, depth.

**1.2.** Main results and sketch of proofs. According to the identification of g and its dual,  $k[g \times g]$  equals the symmetric algebra  $S(g \times g)$  of  $g \times g$ . The main result of this note is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** The subscheme of  $g \times g$  defined by  $I_g$  is Cohen-Macaulay and normal. Furthermore,  $I_g$  is a prime ideal of  $S(g \times g)$ .

According to Richardson's result and Popov's result, it suffices to prove that the scheme S(g) is Cohen-Macaulay. The main idea of the proof in the theorem uses the main argument of the Dixmier's proof: for a finitely generated module M over  $S(g \times g)$ , M = 0 if the codimension of its support is at least l + 2 with l the projective dimension of M (see Appendix A).

Introduce the characteristic submodule of g, denoted by  $B_g$ . By definition,  $B_g$  is a submodule of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$  and an element  $\varphi$  of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$  is in  $B_g$  if and only if for all (x,y) in  $g \times g$ ,  $\varphi(x,y)$  is in the sum of subspaces  $g^{ax+by}$  with (a,b) in  $\mathbb{k}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and  $g^{ax+by}$  the centralizer of ax+by in g. According to a Bolsinov's result,  $B_g$  is a free  $S(g \times g)$ -module of rank  $b_g$ , the dimension of the Borel subalgebras of g. Moreover, the orthogonal complement of  $B_g$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$  is a free  $S(g \times g)$ -module of rank  $b_g - \ell$ . These two results are fundamental in the proof of the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.2.** For i positive integer, the submodule  $\bigwedge^i(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge^{i+b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  has projective dimension at most i.

Denoting by E the quotient of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} g$  by  $B_g$ , let  $E_i$  be the quotient of  $\bigwedge^i(E)$  by its torsion module. The  $S(g \times g)$ -modules  $\bigwedge^i(g) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$  and  $E_i$  are isomorphic. Furthermore, for  $i \geq 2$ ,  $E_i$  is isomorphic to a direct factor of the quotient of  $E \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_{i-1}$  by its torsion module. Denoting by  $\overline{E_{i-1}}$  this quotient, the projective dimension of  $\overline{E_{i-1}}$  is at most  $d_{i-1} + 1$  if  $d_{i-1}$  is the projective dimension of  $E_{i-1}$ , whence a proof of the proposition by induction on i.

Let d be the  $S(g \times g)$ -derivation of the algebra  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge(g)$  such that for all v in g, dv is the function on  $g \times g$ ,  $(x,y) \mapsto \langle v, [x,y] \rangle$ . Then the ideal of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge(g)$  generated by  $\bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$  is a graded subcomplex of the graded complex  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge(g)$ . The support of the homology of this complex is contained in  $\mathcal{C}(g)$ . Then we deduce from Proposition 1.2 that this complex has no homology in degree different from  $b_g$  and  $\Bbbk[\mathcal{C}(g)]$  is Cohen-Macaulay by Auslander-Buchsbaum's theorem.

- **1.3. Notations.** For V a module over a k-algebra, its symmetric and exterior algebras are denoted by S(V) and  $\bigwedge(V)$  respectively. If E is a subset of V, the submodule of V generated by E is denoted by  $\operatorname{span}(E)$ . When V is a vector space over k, the grassmannian of all d-dimensional subspaces of V is denoted by  $\operatorname{Gr}_d(V)$ .
- All topological terms refer to the Zariski topology. If Y is a subset of a topological space X, denote by  $\overline{Y}$  the closure of Y in X. For Y an open subset of the algebraic variety X, Y is called a big open subset if the codimension of  $X \setminus Y$  in X is at least 2. For Y a closed subset of an algebraic variety X, its dimension is the biggest dimension of its irreducible components and its codimension in X is the smallest codimension in X of its irreducible components. For X an algebraic variety, k[X] is the algebra of regular functions on X.
- All the complexes considered in this note are graded complexes over  $\mathbb{Z}$  of vector spaces and their differentials are homogeneous of degree -1 and they are denoted by d. As usual, the gradation of the complex is denoted by  $C_{\bullet}$ .

- 3
- The dimension of the Borel subalgebras of g is denoted by  $b_g$ . Set  $n := b_g \ell$  so that dim  $g = 2b_g \ell_g = 2n + \ell$ .
- The dual  $g^*$  of g identifies with g by a given non degenerate, invariant, symmetric bilinear form  $\langle .,. \rangle$  on  $g \times g$  extending the Killing form of [g,g].
  - For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{g}^x$  the centralizer of x in g. The set of regular elements of g is

$$g_{\text{reg}} := \{ x \in g \mid \dim g^x = \ell \}.$$

The subset  $g_{reg}$  of g is a G-invariant open subset of g. According to [V72],  $g \setminus g_{reg}$  is equidimensional of codimension 3.

• Denote by  $S(g)^g$  the algebra of g-invariant elements of S(g). Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell$  be homogeneous generators of  $S(g)^g$  of degree  $d_1, \ldots, d_\ell$  respectively. Choose the polynomials  $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell$  so that  $d_1 \le \cdots \le d_\ell$ . For  $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$  and  $(x, y) \in g \times g$ , consider a shift of  $p_i$  in direction y:  $p_i(x + ty)$  with  $t \in k$ . Expanding  $p_i(x + ty)$  as a polynomial in t, one obtains

(1) 
$$p_i(x+ty) = \sum_{m=0}^{d_i} p_i^{(m)}(x,y)t^m; \quad \forall (t,x,y) \in \mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$$

where  $y \mapsto (m!)p_i^{(m)}(x,y)$  is the derivative at x of  $p_i$  at the order m in the direction y. The elements  $p_i^{(m)}$  defined by (1) are invariant elements of  $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} S(\mathfrak{g})$  under the diagonal action of G in  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ . Remark that  $p_i^{(0)}(x,y) = p_i(x)$  while  $p_i^{(d_i)}(x,y) = p_i(y)$  for all  $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ .

Remark 1.3. The family  $\mathcal{P}_x := \{p_i^{(m)}(x,.); \ 1 \le i \le \ell, 1 \le m \le d_i\}$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , is a Poisson-commutative family of S( $\mathfrak{g}$ ) by Mishchenko-Fomenko [MF78]. One says that the family  $\mathcal{P}_x$  is constructed by the argument shift method.

• Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . For x in g, denote by  $\varepsilon_i(x)$  the element of g given by

$$\langle \varepsilon_i(x), y \rangle = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} p_i(x + ty)|_{t=0}$$

for all y in g. Thereby,  $\varepsilon_i$  is an invariant element of  $S(g) \otimes_k g$  under the canonical action of G. According to [Ko63, Theorem 9], for x in g, x is in  $g_{reg}$  if and only if  $\varepsilon_1(x), \ldots, \varepsilon_\ell(x)$  are linearly independent. In this case,  $\varepsilon_1(x), \ldots, \varepsilon_\ell(x)$  is a basis of  $g^x$ .

Denote by  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$ , for  $0 \le m \le d_i - 1$ , the elements of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g}$  defined by the equality:

(2) 
$$\varepsilon_i(x+ty) = \sum_{m=0}^{d_i-1} \varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y) t^m, \quad \forall (t,x,y) \in \mathbb{k} \times \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$$

and set:

$$V_{x,y} := \text{span}(\{\varepsilon_i(x,y)^{(0)}, \dots, \varepsilon_i(x,y)^{(d_i-1)}, i = 1, \dots, \ell\})$$

for (x, y) in  $g \times g$ .

#### 4

## 2. Characteristic module

For (x, y) in  $g \times g$ , set:

$$V'_{x,y} = \sum_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{k}^2\setminus\{0\}} g^{ax+by},$$

and denote by  $P_{x,y}$  the span of x and y. By definition, the characteristic module  $B_g$  of g is the submodule of elements  $\varphi$  of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_k g$  such that  $\varphi(x,y)$  is in  $V'_{x,y}$  for all (x,y) in  $g \times g$ . In this section, some properties of  $B_g$  are given.

**2.1.** Denote by  $\Omega_g$  the subset of elements (x, y) of  $g \times g$  such that  $P_{x,y}$  has dimension 2 and such that  $P_{x,y} \setminus \{0\}$  is contained in  $g_{reg}$ . According to [CMo08, Corollary 10],  $\Omega_g$  is a big open subset of  $g \times g$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let (x, y) be in  $g \times g$  such that  $P_{x,y} \cap g_{reg}$  is not empty.

- (i) Let O be an open subset of  $\mathbb{k}^2$  such that ax + by is in  $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}$  for all (a,b) in O. Then  $V_{x,y}$  is the sum of the  $\mathfrak{g}^{ax+by}$ 's,  $(a,b) \in O$ .
  - (ii) The spaces  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  and  $[y, V_{x,y}]$  are equal.
  - (iii) The space  $V_{x,y}$  has dimension at most  $b_g$  and the equality holds if and only if (x,y) is in  $\Omega_g$ .
- (iv) The space  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  is orthogonal to  $V_{x,y}$ . Furthermore, (x, y) is in  $\Omega_g$  if and only if  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  is the orthogonal complement of  $V_{x,y}$  in g.
  - (v) The space  $V_{x,y}$  is contained in  $V'_{x,y}$ . Moreover,  $V_{x,y} = V'_{x,y}$  if (x,y) is in  $\Omega_g$ .
  - (vi) For  $i = 1, ..., \ell$  and for  $m = 0, ..., d_i 1$ ,  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$  is a G-equivariant map.
- *Proof.* (i) For pairwise different elements  $t_{i,1}, \ldots, t_{i,d_i-1}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,\ell$  of  $\mathbb{k}\setminus\{0\}$ , the  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y)$ 's,  $m=0,\ldots,d_i-1$  are linear combinations of the  $\varepsilon_i(x+t_{i,j}y)$ 's,  $j=1,\ldots,d_i-1$  for  $i=1,\ldots,\ell$ . Furthermore, for all z in  $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}, \varepsilon_1(z), \ldots, \varepsilon_\ell(z)$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^z$  by [Ko63, Theorem 9], whence the assertion since the maps  $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_\ell$  are homogeneous.
- (ii) Let O be an open subset of  $(\mathbb{k} \setminus \{0\})^2$  such that ax + by is in  $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}$  for all (a, b) in O. For all (a, b) in O,  $[x, \mathfrak{g}^{ax+by}] = [y, \mathfrak{g}^{ax+by}]$  since  $[ax + by, \mathfrak{g}^{ax+by}] = 0$  and since  $ab \neq 0$ , whence the assertion by (i).
  - (iii) According to [Bou02, Ch. V, §5, Proposition 3],

$$d_1 + \cdots + d_\ell = \mathbf{b}_{\mathfrak{a}}$$
.

So  $V_{x,y}$  has dimension at most  $b_g$ . By [Bol91, Theorem 2.1],  $V_{x,y}$  has dimension  $b_g$  if and only if (x, y) is in  $\Omega_g$ .

(iv) According to [Bol91, Theorem 2.1],  $V_{x,y}$  is a totally isotropic subspace with respect to the skew bilinear form on g

$$(v, w) \longmapsto \langle ax + by, [v, w] \rangle$$

for all (a, b) in  $\mathbb{k}^2$ . As a result, by invariance of  $\langle ., . \rangle$ ,  $V_{x,y}$  is orthogonal to  $[x, V_{x,y}]$ . If (x, y) is in  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}^x$  has dimension  $\ell$  and it is contained in  $V_{x,y}$ . Hence, by (iii),

$$\dim[x, V_{x,y}] = b_{\mathfrak{q}} - \ell = \dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim V_{x,y}$$

so that  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  is the orthogonal complement of  $V_{x,y}$  in g. Conversely, if  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  is the orthogonal complement of  $V_{x,y}$  in g, then

$$\dim V_{x,y} + \dim [x, V_{x,y}] = \dim \mathfrak{g}.$$

Since  $P_{x,y} \cap g_{reg}$  is not empty,  $g^{ax+by} \cap V_{x,y}$  has dimension  $\ell$  for all (a,b) in a dense open subset of  $k^2$ . By continuity,  $g^x \cap V_{x,y}$  has dimension at least  $\ell$  so that

$$2\dim V_{x,y} - \ell \ge \dim \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence, by (iii), (x, y) is in  $\Omega_{\alpha}$ .

(v) According to [Ko63, Theorem 9], for all z in g and for  $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ ,  $\varepsilon_i(z)$  is in  $g^z$ . Hence for all t in k,  $\varepsilon_i(x + ty)$  is in  $V'_{x,y}$ . So  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y)$  is in  $V'_{x,y}$  for all m, whence  $V_{x,y} \subset V'_{x,y}$ .

Suppose that (x, y) is in  $\Omega_g$ . According to [Ko63, Theorem 9], for all (a, b) in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_1(ax + by)$ , ...,  $\varepsilon_\ell(ax + by)$  is a basis of  $g^{ax+by}$ . Hence  $g^{ax+by}$  is contained in  $V_{x,y}$ , whence the assertion.

(vi) Let i be in  $\{1,\ldots,\ell\}$ . Since  $p_i$  is G-invariant,  $\varepsilon_i$  is a G-equivariant map. As a result, its 2-polarizations  $\varepsilon_i^{(0)},\ldots,\varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}$  are G-equivariant under the diagonal action of G in  $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** (i) The module  $B_g$  is a free module of rank  $b_g$  whose a basis is the sequence  $\varepsilon_i^{(0)}, \ldots, \varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}, i=1,\ldots,\ell$ .

- $\text{(ii) For $\varphi$ in $S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})\otimes_{\Bbbk}\mathfrak{g}$, $\varphi$ is in $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ if and only if $p\varphi\in B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for some $p$ in $S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})\setminus\{0\}$.}$
- (iii) For all  $\varphi$  in  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and for all (x,y) in  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\varphi(x,y)$  is orthogonal to [x,y].

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) According to Proposition 2.1,(v),  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$  is in  $B_g$  for all (i,m). Moreover, according to Proposition 2.1,(iii), these elements are linearly independent over  $S(g \times g)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an element of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} g$  such that  $p\varphi$  is in  $B_g$  for some p in  $S(g \times g) \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $\Omega_g$  is a big open subset of  $g \times g$ , for all (x,y) in a dense open subset of  $\Omega_g$ ,  $\varphi(x,y)$  is in  $V_{x,y}$  by Proposition 2.1,(v). According to Proposition 2.1,(iii), the map

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}), \qquad (x,y) \longmapsto V_{x,y}$$

is regular. So,  $\varphi(x,y)$  is in  $V_{x,y}$  for all (x,y) in  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and for some regular functions  $a_{i,m}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,\ell$ ,  $m=0,\ldots,d_i-1$  on  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,

$$\varphi(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{m=0}^{d_i-1} a_{i,m}(x,y) \varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y)$$

for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$ . Since  $\Omega_g$  is a big open subset of  $g \times g$  and since  $g \times g$  is normal, the  $a_{i,m}$ 's have a regular extension to  $g \times g$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is a linear combination of the  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$ 's with coefficients in  $S(g \times g)$ . As a result, the sequence  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ ,  $m = 0, \ldots, d_i - 1$  is a basis of the module  $B_g$  and  $B_g$  is the subset of elements  $\varphi$  of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_k g$  such that  $p\varphi \in B_g$  for some p in  $S(g \times g) \setminus \{0\}$ .

- (iii) Let  $\varphi$  be in B<sub>g</sub>. According to (i) and Proposition 2.1,(iv), for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$ ,  $[x, \varphi(x, y)]$  is orthogonal to  $V_{x,y}$ . Then, since y is in  $V_{x,y}$ ,  $[x, \varphi(x, y)]$  is orthogonal to y and  $\langle \varphi(x, y), [x, y] \rangle = 0$ , whence the assertion.
- **2.2.** Also denote by  $\langle .,. \rangle$  the natural extension of  $\langle .,. \rangle$  to the module  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathfrak{g}$ .

- (i) For  $\varphi$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_k g$ ,  $\varphi$  is in  $C_g$  if and only if  $\varphi(x, y)$  is in  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  for all (x, y) in a nonempty open subset of  $g \times g$ .
  - (ii) The module  $C_q$  is free of rank  $b_q \ell$ . Furthermore, the sequence of maps

$$(x,y) \mapsto [x,\varepsilon_i^{(1)}(x,y)],\ldots,(x,y) \mapsto [x,\varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}(x,y)], \ i=1,\ldots,\ell$$

is a basis of  $C_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

(iii) The orthogonal complement of  $C_{\mathfrak{q}}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g}$  equals  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\varphi$  be in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_k g$ . If  $\varphi$  is in  $C_g$ , then  $\varphi(x,y)$  is orthogonal to  $V_{x,y}$  for all (x,y) in  $\Omega_g$ . Then, according to Proposition 2.1,(iv),  $\varphi(x,y)$  is in  $[x,V_{x,y}]$  for all (x,y) in  $\Omega_g$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\varphi(x,y)$  is in  $[x,V_{x,y}]$  for all (x,y) in a nonempty open subset V of  $g \times g$ . By Proposition 2.1,(iv) again, for all (x,y) in  $V \cap \Omega_g$ ,  $\varphi(x,y)$  is orthogonal to the  $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y)$ 's,  $i=1,\ldots,\ell, m=0,\ldots,d_i-1$ , whence the assertion by Theorem 2.1.

(ii) Let C be the submodule of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_k g$  generated by the maps

$$(x,y) \mapsto [x,\varepsilon_i^{(1)}(x,y)],\ldots,(x,y) \mapsto [x,\varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}(x,y)], \ i=1,\ldots,\ell$$

According to (i), C is a submodule of  $C_g$ . This module is free of rank  $b_g - \ell$  since  $[x, V_{x,y}]$  has dimension  $b_g - \ell$  for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$  by Proposition 2.1, (iii) and (iv). According to (i), for  $\varphi$  in  $C_g$ , for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$ ,

$$\varphi(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{m=1}^{d_i - 1} a_{i,m}(x,y) [x, \varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y)]$$

with the  $a_{i,m}$ 's regular on  $\Omega_g$  and uniquely defined by this equality. Since  $\Omega_g$  is a big open subset of  $g \times g$  and since  $g \times g$  is normal, the  $a_{i,m}$ 's have a regular extension to  $g \times g$ . As a result,  $\varphi$  is in C, whence the assertion.

(iii) Let  $\varphi$  be in the orthogonal complement of  $C_g$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_k g$ . According to (ii), for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$ ,  $\varphi(x, y)$  is orthogonal to  $[x, V_{x,y}]$ . Hence by Proposition 2.1,(iv),  $\varphi(x, y)$  is in  $V_{x,y}$  for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$ . So, by Theorem 2.1,  $\varphi$  is in  $B_g$ , whence the assertion.

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  the localizations of  $B_g$  and  $C_g$  on  $g \times g$  respectively. For (x, y) in  $g \times g$ , let  $C_{x,y}$  be the image of  $C_g$  by the evaluation map at (x, y).

**Lemma 2.4.** There exists an affine open cover  $\mathfrak{O}$  of  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$  verifying the following condition: for all O in  $\mathfrak{O}$ , there exist some subspaces E and F of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , depending on O, such that

$$g = E \oplus V_{x,y} = F \oplus C_{x,y}$$

for all (x, y) in O. Moreover, for all (x, y) in O, the orthogonal complement of  $V_{x,y}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  equals  $C_{x,y}$ .

*Proof.* According to Proposition 2.1,(iii) and (iv), for all (x, y) in  $\Omega_g$ ,  $V_{x,y}$  and  $C_{x,y}$  have dimension  $b_g$  and  $b_g - \ell$  respectively so that the maps

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{g}), \qquad (x,y) \longmapsto V_{x,y}, \qquad \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{b_{\mathfrak{g}}-\ell}(\mathfrak{g}), \qquad (x,y) \longmapsto C_{x,y}$$

are regular, whence the assertion.

## **3.** Torsion and projective dimension

Let E and  $E^{\#}$  be the quotients of  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} g$  by  $B_g$  and  $C_g$  respectively. For i positive integer, denote by  $E_i$  the quotient of  $\bigwedge^i(E)$  by its torsion module.

**3.1.** Let  $B_g^*$  and  $C_g^*$  be the duals of  $B_g$  and  $C_g$  respectively.

**Lemma 3.1.** (i) The  $S(g \times g)$ -modules E and  $E^{\#}$  have projective dimension at most 1.

- (ii) The  $S(g \times g)$ -modules E and  $E^{\#}$  are torsion free.
- (iii) The modules  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  are the duals of E and E<sup>#</sup> respectively.
- (iv) The canonical morphism from E to  $C_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$  is an embedding.

*Proof.* (i) By definition, the short sequences of  $S(g \times g)$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow E^{\#} \longrightarrow 0$$

are exact. Hence E and  $E^{\#}$  have projective dimension at most 1 since  $B_g$  and  $C_g$  are free modules by Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3,(ii).

- (ii) The module E is torsion free by Theorem 2.2,(ii). By definition, for  $\varphi$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\varphi$  is in  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$  if  $p\varphi$  is in  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$  for some p in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \setminus \{0\}$ , whence  $E^{\#}$  is torsion free.
- (iii) According to the exact sequences of (i), the dual of E is the orthogonal complement of  $B_g$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$  and the dual of  $E^{\#}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $C_g$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$ , whence the assertion since  $C_g$  is the orthogonal complement of  $B_g$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$  by definition and since  $B_g$  is the orthogonal complement of  $C_g$  in  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g$  by Proposition 2.3,(iii).
- (iv) Let  $\overline{\omega}$  be in the kernel of the canonical morphism from E to  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ . Let  $\omega$  be a representative of  $\overline{\omega}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathfrak{g}$ . According to Proposition 2.3,(iii),  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the orthogonal complement of  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathfrak{g}$  so that  $\omega$  is in  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , whence the assertion.

Set:

$$\varepsilon = \wedge_{i=1}^{\ell} \varepsilon_i^{(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}$$

and for i positive integer, denote by  $\theta_i$  the morphism

$$S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^{i}(g) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{i}(g) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g), \qquad \varphi \longmapsto \varphi \wedge \varepsilon.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let i be a positive integer.* 

- (i) The morphism  $\theta_i$  defines through the quotient an isomorphism from  $E_i$  onto  $\bigwedge^i(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .
- (ii) The short sequence of  $S(g \times g)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_i \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} E_i \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_i \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

*Proof.* (i) For j positive integer, denote by  $\pi_j$  the canonical map from  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^j(\mathfrak{g})$  to  $\bigwedge^j(E)$ . Let  $\omega$  be in the kernel of  $\pi_i$ . Let O be an element of the affine open cover of  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of Lemma 2.4 and let W be a subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$g = W \oplus V_{x,y}$$

for all (x, y) in O so that  $\pi_1$  induces an isomorphism

$$\Bbbk[O] \otimes_{\Bbbk} W \longrightarrow \Bbbk[O] \otimes_{\mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q})} E$$

Moreover,  $B_g$  is the kernel of  $\pi_1$ . Then, from the equality

$$\Bbbk[O] \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge^{i}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} \bigwedge^{j}(W) \wedge \Bbbk[O] \otimes_{\mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bigwedge^{i-j}(\mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{g}})$$

it results that the restriction of  $\omega$  to O is in  $\mathbb{k}[O] \otimes_{S(g \times g)} \bigwedge^{i-1}(g) \wedge B_g$ . Hence the restriction of  $\omega \wedge \varepsilon$  to O equals 0 and  $\omega$  is in the kernel of  $\theta_i$  since  $\bigwedge^i(g) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$  has no torsion as a submodule of a free module. As a result,  $\theta_i$  defines through the quotient a morphism from  $\bigwedge^i(E)$  to  $\bigwedge^i(g) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$ . Denote it by  $\vartheta_i'$ . Since  $\bigwedge^i(g) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$  is torsion free, the torsion submodule of  $\bigwedge^i(E)$  is contained in the kernel of  $\vartheta_i'$ . Hence  $\vartheta_i'$  defines through the quotient a morphism from  $E_i$  to  $\bigwedge^i(g) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$ . Denoting it by  $\vartheta_i$ ,  $\vartheta_i'$  and  $\vartheta_i$  are surjective since too is  $\theta_i$ .

Let  $\overline{\omega}$  be in the kernel of  $\vartheta_i'$  and let  $\omega$  be a representative of  $\overline{\omega}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^i(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then  $\omega \wedge \varepsilon = 0$  so that the restriction of  $\omega$  to the above open subset O is in  $\mathbb{k}[O] \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bigwedge^{i-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge B_\mathfrak{g}$ . As a result, the restriction of  $\overline{\omega}$  to O equals O. So,  $\overline{\omega}$  is in the torsion submodule of  $\bigwedge^i(E)$ , whence the assertion.

(ii) By definition, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Then the sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(E,E_{i})\longrightarrow\operatorname{B}_{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}E_{i}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{g}\otimes_{\Bbbk}E_{i}\longrightarrow E\otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}E_{i}\longrightarrow0$$

is exact. By definition,  $E_i$  is torsion free. As a result,  $B_g \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$  is torsion free since  $B_g$  is a free module. Then, since  $\operatorname{Tor}_1^{S(g \times g)}(E, E_i)$  is a torsion module, its image in  $B_g \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$  equals 0, whence the assertion.

**3.2.** For *i* positive integer,  $\langle .,. \rangle$  has a canonical extension to  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^{i}(\mathfrak{g})$  denoted again by  $\langle .,. \rangle$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let i be a positive integer. Let  $T_i$  be the torsion module of  $E \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$  and let  $T'_i$  be its inverse image by the canonical morphism  $g \otimes_{\Bbbk} E_i \to E \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$ .

- (i) The canonical morphism from  $\bigwedge^i(E)$  to  $\bigwedge^i(C_g^*)$  defines through the quotient an embedding of  $E_i$  into  $\bigwedge^i(C_g^*)$ .
  - (ii) The module of  $T'_i$  is the intersection of  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} E_i$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bigwedge^i (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ .
  - (iii) The module  $T'_i$  is isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{q}\times\mathfrak{q})}(E^{\#}, E_i)$ .
- *Proof.* (i) According to Lemma 3.1,(iii), there is a canonical morphism from  $\bigwedge^i(E)$  to  $\bigwedge^i(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ . Let  $\overline{\omega}$  be in its kernel and let  $\omega$  be a representative of  $\overline{\omega}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^i(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then  $\omega$  is orthogonal to  $\bigwedge^i(C_{\mathfrak{g}})$  with respect to  $\langle ., . \rangle$ . So for O as in Lemma 2.4, the restriction of  $\omega$  to O is in  $\mathbb{k}[O] \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bigwedge^{i-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Hence the restriction of  $\overline{\omega}$  to O equals O. In other words,  $\overline{\omega}$  is in the torsion module of  $\bigwedge^i(E)$ , whence the assertion since  $\bigwedge^i(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$  is a free module.
  - (ii) Since  $\bigwedge^i(C^*_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is a free module, by Proposition 3.2,(ii), there is a morphism of short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_{i} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} E_{i} \longrightarrow E \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_{i} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bigwedge^{i}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^{i}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}) \longrightarrow E \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bigwedge^{i}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Moreover, the two first vertical arrows are embeddings. Hence  $T_i'$  is the intersection of  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} E_i$  and  $B_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{q})} \bigwedge^i (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$  in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge^i (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)$ .

(iii) According to the identification of g with its dual,  $g \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} E_i = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(g, E_i)$ . Moreover, according to the short exact sequence of  $S(g \times g)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow E^{\#} \longrightarrow 0$$

the sequence of  $S(g \times g)$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathfrak{g}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, E_{i})$$

is exact. For  $\varphi$  in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(g\times g)}(S(g\times g)\otimes_{\Bbbk} g, E_i)$ ,  $\varphi$  is in the kernel of the third arrow if and only if  $C_g$  is contained in the kernel of  $\varphi$ . On the other hand, according to the identification of g and its dual,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(g, \bigwedge^i(C_g^*))$  identifies with  $g\otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge^i(C_g^*)$ . By Proposition 2.3,  $B_g$  is the orthogonal complement of  $C_g$  in  $S(g\times g)\otimes_{\Bbbk} g$  and  $C_g^*$  is a free  $S(g\times g)$ -module. So, for  $\psi$  in  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(g\times g)}(S(g\times g)\otimes_{\Bbbk} g, \bigwedge^i(C_g^*))$ ,  $\psi$  equals 0 on  $C_g$  if and only if it is in  $B_g\otimes_{S(g\times g)} \bigwedge^i(C_g^*)$ , whence the assertion by (ii).

The following corollary results from Lemma 3.3.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let i be a positive integer and let  $\overline{E_i}$  be the quotient of  $E \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_i$  by its torsion module. Then the short sequence of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q})}(E^{\#}, E_i) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q} \otimes_{\Bbbk} E_i \longrightarrow \overline{E_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**3.3.** Denote by  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}$  the category of finite  $S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Let  $\iota$  be the morphism

$$S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} g \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*, \qquad v \longmapsto (\mu \mapsto \langle v, \mu \rangle)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $A_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the quotient of  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  by  $\iota(S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g})$ . Then the two functors  $A_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bullet$  and  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, \bullet)$  of the category  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}$  are isomorphic.

*Proof.* For d nonnegative integer, denote by  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(g\times g)}(d)$  the full subcategory of  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(g\times g)}$  whose objects are the modules of projective dimension at most d. Prove by induction on d that the restrictions to  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(g\times g)}(d)$  of the functors  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(g\times g)}(E^\#, \bullet)$  and  $\operatorname{A}_g\otimes_{S(g\times g)} \bullet$  are isomorphic. Let M be a finite  $\operatorname{S}(g\times g)$ -module. Denoting by d its projective dimension, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with Z a module of projective dimension d-1 if d>0 and Z=0 otherwise.

Suppose d = 0. Then, from the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow E^{\#} \longrightarrow 0$$

one deduces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $C_g$  is a free module,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(g \times g)}(C_g, M)$  is functorially isomorphic to  $C_g^* \otimes_{S(g \times g)} M$ . Then by the right exactness of the functor  $A_g \otimes_{S(g \times g)} \bullet$ , there is an isomorphism of exact sequences

Since the two sequences depends functorially on M, from the isomorphisms of functors

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bullet \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathfrak{g}, \bullet), \quad C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} \bullet \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}, \bullet),$$

we deduce that the restrictions to  $Mod_{S(g\times g)}(0)$  of the functors  $Ext^1_{S(g\times g)}(E^\#, \bullet)$  and  $A_g\otimes_{S(g\times g)} \bullet$  are isomorphic.

Suppose the statement true for d-1. Setting  $Q:=\iota(S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})\otimes_{\mathbb{k}}\mathfrak{g})$ , one has two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0, \qquad 0 \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \longrightarrow \mathsf{A}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E^{\#}$  has projective dimension at most 1 by Lemma 3.1,  $\operatorname{Ext}^2_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#},Z)=0$ . Then, by induction hypothesis, one has a commutative diagram

with exact lines and columns since  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  is a free module. Let a and a' be in  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} P$  such that da = da'. Then  $a - a' = da_1$  with  $a_1$  in  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} Z$  so that

$$d \cdot \delta a - d \cdot \delta a' = d \cdot \delta \cdot da_1 = 0$$

whence a morphism

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} M \xrightarrow{\delta_M} \operatorname{Ext}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}^1(E^{\#}, M)$$

uniquely defined by the equality  $\delta_{M} \circ d = d \circ \delta$ .

Let a be in  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a})}(E^\#,M)$ . Then

$$a = d \circ \delta a_1 = \delta_M \circ d a_1$$
 with  $a_1 \in C_a^* \otimes_{S(a \times a)} P$ .

Hence  $\delta_M$  is surjective. Let b be in the kernel of  $\delta_M$ . Then

$$b = \mathrm{d}b_1$$
,  $\mathrm{d} \circ \delta b_1 = 0$ ,  $\delta b_1 = \mathrm{d} \circ \delta b_2$  with  $b_1 \in \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q})} P$ ,  $b_2 \in \mathrm{C}^*_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_{\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q})} Z$ .

so that  $b_1 - db_2 = \delta b_3$  with  $b_3$  in  $Q \otimes_{S(g \times g)} P$ , whence  $b = \delta \circ db_3$ . As a result, the above diagram is canonically completed by an exact third column and one has an isomorphism of short exact sequences

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^\#, Z) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^\#, P) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^\#, M) \longrightarrow 0 \ .$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

Since the two sequences depends functorially on the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

and since the restrictions to  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(d-1)$  of the two functors  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(E^\#,\bullet)$  and  $\operatorname{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}\bullet$  are isomorphic, too is their restrictions to  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(d)$ , whence the lemma since all object of  $\operatorname{Mod}_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}$  has a finite projective dimension.

From the exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \longrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we deduce the graded homology complex,

$$0 \longrightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_i \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\Bbbk} E_i \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_i \longrightarrow A_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_i \longrightarrow 0$$

denoted by  $C_{\bullet}$ . For *i* positive integer, let  $d_i$  and  $d'_i$  be the projective dimensions of  $E_i$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#},E_i)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** Let Q be the space of cycles of degree 2 of the complex  $C_{\bullet}$ .

- (i) Denoting by  $d_i''$  the projective dimension of  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{q}\times\mathfrak{q})}(E^\#, E_i)$ ,  $d_i'$  is at most  $\sup\{d_i''-2, d_i\}$ .
- (ii) The complex  $C_{\bullet}$  has no homology in degree 0, 1 and 3. Moreover, Q identifies with  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a})}(E^{\#},E_{i})$ .
- (iii) The module  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(q \times q)}(E^{\#}, E_i)$  has projective dimension at most  $d_i$ .

Proof. (i) From the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{C}_{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow \mathsf{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow E^{\#} \longrightarrow 0$$

one deduces the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathfrak{g}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow 0$$

whence the two short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q})}(E^{\#}, E_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Bbbk}(\mathfrak{g}, E_i) \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}, E_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, E_i) \longrightarrow 0$$

with Z the image of the arrow

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathfrak{g}, E_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}, E_i)$$

Denoting by d the projective dimension of Z, one deduces the inequalities

$$d'_i \le \sup\{d-1, d_i\}, \qquad d \le \sup\{d''_i - 1, d_i\}$$

since  $C_g$  is a free module, whence the assertion.

- (ii) By right exactness of the functor  $\bullet \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$ ,  $C_{\bullet}$  has no homology in degree 0 and 1. Moreover, its space of cycles of degree 3 is a torsion submodule of  $C_3$ . Since  $E_i$  is torsion free and since  $B_g$  is free,  $C_3$  has no torsion. Hence  $C_{\bullet}$  has no homology in degree 3. According to Lemma 3.3,(ii) and (iii),  $\operatorname{Hom}_{S(g \times g)}(E^{\#}, E_i)$  identifies with a submodule of  $g \otimes_{\Bbbk} E_i$ . According to these identifications, Q is the space of morphisms from  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\Bbbk} g$  to  $E_i$ , equal to 0 on  $C_g$ , that is  $Q = \operatorname{Hom}_{S(g \times g)}(E^{\#}, E_i)$ .
  - (iii) By (ii), one has a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})}(E^{\#}, E_{i}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{C}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*} \otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_{i} \longrightarrow \operatorname{A}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} E_{i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

So,  $A_g \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$  has projective dimension at most  $\sup\{d_i' + 1, d_i\}$ . According to Lemma 3.5,  $A_g \otimes_{S(g \times g)} E_i$  and  $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{S(g \times g)}(E^\#, E_i)$  are isomorphic. So by (i),

$$d_i' \le \sup\{d_i' - 1, d_i\},\$$

whence  $d_i' \leq d_i$ .

The following corollary results from Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.6,(iii), since B<sub>q</sub> is free.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let i be a positive integer. Then  $\overline{E_i}$  has projective dimension at most  $d_i + 1$ .

**3.4.** For i a positive integer and for M a  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})$ -module, let consider on  $M^{\otimes i}$  the canonical action of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_i$ . For  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_i$ , denote by  $\epsilon(\sigma)$  its signature. Let  $M^{\otimes i}_{\text{sign}}$  be the submodule of elements a of  $M^{\otimes i}$  such that  $\sigma.a = \epsilon(\sigma)a$  for all  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_i$  and let  $\delta_i$  be the endomorphism of  $M^{\otimes i}$ ,

$$a \longmapsto \delta_i(a) = \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} \epsilon(\sigma) \sigma.a.$$

Then  $\delta_i$  is a projection of  $M^{\otimes i}$  onto  $M_{\text{sign}}^{\otimes i}$ .

For L submodule of  $C_g^*$ , denote by  $L_i$  the image of  $L^{\otimes i}$  by the canonical map from  $L^{\otimes i}$  to  $(C_g^*)^{\otimes i}$  and set  $L_{i,\text{sign}} := L_i \cap (C_g^*)^{\otimes i}$ . Let  $\Lambda^i(L)$  be the quotient of  $\Lambda^i(L)$  by its torsion module. For  $i \geq 2$ , identify  $\mathfrak{S}_{i-1}$  with the stabilizer of i in  $\mathfrak{S}_i$  and denote by  $L_{i-1,\text{sign},1}$  the submodule of elements a of  $L_i$  such that  $\sigma.a = \epsilon(\sigma)a$  for all  $\sigma$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{i-1}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** Let i be a positive integer and let L be a submodule of  $C_0^*$ .

- (i) The module  $L_i$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $L^{\otimes i}$  by its torsion module.
- (ii) The module  $L_{i,\text{sign}}$  is isomorphic to  $\bigwedge^{i}(L)$ .
- (iii) For  $i \ge 2$ , the module  $L_{i,sign}$  is a direct factor of  $L_{i-1,sign,1}$ .
- (iv) For  $i \geq 2$ , the module  $L_{i-1, sign, 1}$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\bigwedge^{i-1}(L) \otimes_{S(g \times g)} L$  by its torsion module.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be submodules of a free module F over  $S(g \times g)$ . From the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F/L_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

one deduces the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Tor}^1_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(L_1,L_2)\longrightarrow L_1\otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}L_2\longrightarrow L_1\otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}F\longrightarrow L_1\otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g})}(F/L_2)\longrightarrow 0.$$

Since F is free,  $L_1 \otimes_{S(g \times g)} F$  is torsion free. Hence the kernel of the second arrow is the torsion module of  $L_1 \otimes_{S(g \times g)} L_2$  since  $\operatorname{Tor}^1_{S(\alpha \times g)}(L_1, L_2)$  is a torsion module, whence the assertion by induction on i.

(ii) There is a commutative diagram

$$L^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{\otimes i}$$

$$\downarrow^{\delta_i} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{\delta_i}$$

$$L^{\otimes i}_{\text{sion}} \longrightarrow (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{\otimes i}_{\text{sjor}}$$

so that  $L_{i,\text{sign}}$  is the image of  $L_{\text{sign}}^{\otimes i}$  by the canonical morphism  $L^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{\otimes i}$ , whence a commutative diagram

$$L_{\text{sign}}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{i}(L)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*})_{\text{sign}}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{i}(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^{*})$$

According to (i), the kernel of the left down arrow is the torsion module of  $L_{\text{sign}}^{\otimes i}$  so that the kernel of the right down arrow is the torsion module of  $\bigwedge^i(L)$  since the horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. Moreover, the image of  $L_{i,\text{sign}}$  in  $\bigwedge^i(C_{\mathfrak{q}}^*)$  is the image of  $\bigwedge^i(L)$ . Hence  $\overline{\bigwedge^i(L)}$  is isomorphic to  $L_{i,\text{sign}}$ .

(iii) Denote by  $Q_i$  the kernel of the endomorphism  $\delta_i$  of  $(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{\otimes i}$ . Since  $\delta_i$  is a projection onto  $(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{\otimes i}_{\text{sign}}$  such that  $\delta_i(L_i)$  is contained in  $L_{i,\text{sign}}$ ,

$$(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)^{\otimes i} = (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)_{\mathrm{sign}}^{\otimes i} \oplus Q_i, \qquad L_i = L_{i,\mathrm{sign}} \oplus Q_i \cap L_i$$

whence

$$L_{i-1,\operatorname{sign},1} = L_{i,\operatorname{sgn}} \oplus Q_i \cap L_{i-1,\operatorname{sign},1}$$

since  $L_{i,\text{sign}}$  is a submodule of  $L_{i-1,\text{sign},1}$ .

(iv) Let  $L_i'$  be the image of  $L_{i-1, \mathrm{sign}, 1}$  by the canonical morphism  $(C_\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes i} \to \bigwedge^{i-1}(C_\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} C_\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then  $L_i'$  is contained in  $\bigwedge^{i-1}(C_\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} L$  since  $\bigwedge^{i-1}(C_\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} L$  is a submodule of  $\bigwedge^{i-1}(C_\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} C_\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Moreover, the morphism  $L_{i-1, \mathrm{sign}, 1} \to L_i'$  is an isomorphism since too is the morphism

$$(C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*)_{sign}^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} C_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{i-1} (C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*.$$

From (ii), it results the commutative diagram

$$L_{\operatorname{sign}}^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} L \longrightarrow \overline{\bigwedge^{i-1}(L)} \otimes_{\operatorname{S}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} L$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$L_{i-1,\operatorname{sign},1} \longrightarrow L'_{i}$$

with the right down arrow surjective. According to (i), the kernel of the left down arrow is the torsion module of  $L_{\text{sign}}^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} L$ . Hence the kernel of the right down arrow is the torsion module of  $\Lambda^{i-1}(L) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})} L$ , whence the assertion.

**Proposition 3.9.** Let i be a positive integer. Then  $E_i$  and  $\bigwedge^i(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})$  have projective dimension at most i.

*Proof.* According to Proposition 3.2,(i), the modules  $E_i$  and  $\bigwedge^i(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})$  are isomorphic. Prove by induction on i that  $E_i$  has projective dimension at most i. By Lemma 3.1,(i), it is true for i=1. Suppose that it is true for i-1. According to Corollary 3.7,  $\overline{E_{i-1}}$  has projective dimension at most i. By Lemma 3.8, for L=E,  $E_i$  is a direct factor of  $\overline{E_{i-1}}$  since E is a submodule of  $C_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  by Lemma 3.1,(iv) and since  $E_i=\overline{\bigwedge^i(E)}$ . Hence  $E_i$  has projective dimension at most i.

### 4. Main results

Let  $I_g$  be the ideal of  $S(g \times g)$  generated by the functions  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle v, [x, y] \rangle$  with v in g. The nullvariety of  $I_g$  in  $g \times g$  is C(g). Let d be the  $S(g \times g)$ -derivation of the algebra  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \bigwedge(g)$  such that dv is the function  $(x, y) \mapsto \langle v, [x, y] \rangle$  on  $g \times g$  for all v in g. The gradation on  $\bigwedge(g)$  induces a gradation on  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \bigwedge(g)$  so that  $S(g \times g) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \bigwedge(g)$  is a graded homology complex.

**Lemma 4.1.** Denote by  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  the graded submodule  $\bigwedge(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{q}})$  of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g})$ .

- (i) The graded module  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a graded subcomplex of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge (\mathfrak{g})$ .
- (ii) The support of the homology of  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  is contained in  $C(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Proof. (i) Set:

14

$$\varepsilon := \wedge_{i=1}^{\ell} \varepsilon_i^{(0)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}.$$

Then  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the ideal of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by  $\varepsilon$  since  $\varepsilon_i^{(0)}, \dots, \varepsilon_i^{(d_i-1)}, i=1,\dots,\ell$  is a basis of  $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$  by Theorem 2.2,(i). According to Theorem 2.2,(iii), for  $i=1,\dots,\ell$  and for  $m=0,\dots,d_i-1,\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$  is a cycle of the complex  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g})$ . Hence too is  $\varepsilon$  and  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a subcomplex of  $S(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\Bbbk} \bigwedge(\mathfrak{g})$  as an ideal generated by a cycle.

(ii) Let  $(x_0, y_0)$  be in  $g \times g \setminus C(g)$  and let v be in g such that  $\langle v, [x_0, y_0] \rangle \neq 0$ . For some affine open subset O of  $g \times g$ , containing  $(x_0, y_0)$ ,  $\langle v, [x, y] \rangle \neq 0$  for all (x, y) in O. Then dv is an invertible element of k[O]. For c a cycle of  $k[O] \otimes_{S(g \times g)} C_{\bullet}(g)$ ,

$$d(v \wedge c) = (dv)c$$

so that *c* is a boundary of  $\Bbbk[O] \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{q} \times \mathfrak{q})} C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** (i) The complex  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  has no homology in degree bigger than  $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

- (ii) The ideal  $I_g$  has projective dimension 2n 1.
- (iii) The algebra  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (iv) The projective dimension of the module  $\bigwedge^n(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{b_\mathfrak{g}}(B_\mathfrak{g})$  equals n.

*Proof.* (i) Let Z be the space of cycles of degree  $b_a + 1$  of  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then we deduce from  $C_{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{2n+\ell}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_{n+\ell+2}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

According to Lemma 4.1,(ii), the support of its homology is contained in  $\mathcal{C}_g$ . In particular, its codimension in  $g \times g$  is

$$4n + 2\ell - (2n + 2\ell) = 2n = n + n - 1 + 1$$

According to Proposition 3.9, for  $i = n + \ell + 2, ..., 2n + \ell$ ,  $C_i(\mathfrak{g})$  has projective dimension at most n. Hence, by Corollary A.3, this complex is acyclic and Z has projective dimension at most 2n - 2, whence the assertion

(ii) and (iii) Since  $B_g$  is a free module of rank  $b_g$ ,  $\bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$  is a free module of rank 1. By definition, the short sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \wedge \wedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{g}}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{g}}) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, whence the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \wedge \bigwedge^{b_{\mathfrak{g}}}(B_{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathfrak{q}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, by Proposition 3.9,  $g \wedge \bigwedge^{b_g}(B_g)$  has projective dimension at most 1. Then, by (i),  $I_g$  has projective dimension at most 2n-1. As a result the  $S(g \times g)$ -module  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  has projective dimension at most 2n. Then by Auslander-Buchsbaum's theorem [Bou98, §3, n°3, Théorème 1], the depth of the graded  $S(g \times g)$ -module  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  is at least

$$4b_{\mathfrak{q}} - 2\ell - 2n = 2b_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

so that, according to [Bou98, §1, n°3, Proposition 4], the depth of the graded algebra  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  is at least  $2b_g$ . In other words,  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  is Cohen-Macaulay since it has dimension  $2b_g$ . Moreover, since the graded algebra  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  has depth  $2b_g$ , the graded  $S(g \times g)$ -module  $S(g \times g)/I_g$  has projective dimension 2n. Hence  $I_g$  has projective dimension 2n - 1.

(iv) By (i),  $I_g$  has projective dimension 2n-1. Hence, according to Proposition 3.9 and according to (ii) and Corollary A.3,  $\bigwedge^n(\mathfrak{g}) \wedge \bigwedge^{\mathfrak{b}_g}(B_{\mathfrak{g}})$  has projective dimension n.

**Theorem 4.3.** The subscheme of  $g \times g$  defined by  $I_g$  is Cohen-Macaulay and normal. Furthermore,  $I_g$  is a prime ideal.

*Proof.* According to Theorem 4.2,(iii), the subscheme of  $g \times g$  defined by  $I_g$  is Cohen-Macaulay. According to [Po08, Theorem 1], it is smooth in codimension 1. So by Serre's normality criterion [Bou98, §1,  $n^\circ 10$ , Théorème 4], it is normal. In particular, it is reduced and  $I_g$  is radical. According to [Ri79], C(g) is irreducible. Hence  $I_g$  is a prime ideal.

## APPENDIX A. PROJECTIVE DIMENSION AND COHOMOLOGY

Recall in this section classical results. Let X be a Cohen-Macaulay irreducible affine algebraic variety and let S be a closed subset of codimension p of X. Let  $P_{\bullet}$  be a complex of finite projective  $\mathbb{k}[X]$ -modules whose length l is finite and let  $\varepsilon$  be an augmentation morphism of  $P_{\bullet}$  whose image is R, whence an augmented complex of  $\mathbb{k}[X]$ -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow P_l \longrightarrow P_{l-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P_0 \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\longrightarrow} R \longrightarrow 0.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{\bullet}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_0$  the localizations on X of  $P_{\bullet}$ , R, the kernel of  $\varepsilon$  respectively and denote by  $\mathcal{K}_i$  the kernel of the morphism  $\mathcal{P}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i-1}$  for i positive integer.

**Lemma A.1.** Suppose that S contains the support of the homology of the augmented complex  $P_{\bullet}$ . (i) For all positive integer i < p-1 and for all projective  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P})$  equals zero.

(ii) For all nonnegative integer  $j \leq l$  and for all positive integer  $i , the cohomology group <math>H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{l-i})$  equals zero.

*Proof.* (i) Let i < p-1 be a positive integer. Since the functor  $H^i(X \setminus S, \bullet)$  commutes with the direct sum, it suffices to prove  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . Since S is a closed subset of X, one has the relative cohomology long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{i}_{S}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{i}(X \setminus S, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{H}^{i+1}_{S}(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since X is affine,  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  equals zero and  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is isomorphic to  $H^{i+1}_S(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since X is Cohen-Macaulay, the codimension p of S in X equals the depth of its ideal of definition in  $\mathbb{k}[X]$  [MA86, Ch. 6, Theorem 17.4]. Hence, according to [Gro67, Theorem 3.8],  $H^{i+1}_S(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{O}_X)$  equal zero since i+1 < p.

(ii) Let j be a nonnegative integer. Since S contains the support of the homology of the complex  $P_{\bullet}$ , for all nonnegative integer j, one has the short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_{X \setminus S}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{i+1}|_{X \setminus S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{i+1}|_{X \setminus S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{i}|_{X \setminus S} \longrightarrow 0$$

whence the long exact sequence of cohomology

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i}(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow H^{i}(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{i}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Then, by (i), for 0 < i < p-2, the cohomology groups  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_j)$  and  $H^{i+1}(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{j+1})$  are isomorphic since  $P_{j+1}$  is a projective module. Since  $\mathcal{P}_i = 0$  for i > l,  $\mathcal{K}_{l-1}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_l$  have isomorphic restrictions to  $X \setminus S$ . In particular, by (i), for 0 < i < p-1,  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{l-1})$  equal zero. Then, by induction on j, for 0 < i < p-j,  $H^i(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{l-j})$  equals zero.

**Proposition A.2.** Let R' be a k[X]-module containing R. Suppose that the following conditions are verified:

- (1) p is at least l+2,
- (2) X is normal,
- (3) S contains the support of the homology of the augmented complex  $P_{\bullet}$ .
- (i) The complex  $P_{\bullet}$  is a projective resolution of R of length l.
- (ii) Suppose that R' is torsion free and that S contains the support in X of R'/R. Then R' = R.

*Proof.* (i) Let j be a positive integer. One has to prove that  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_j)$  is the image of  $P_{j+1}$ . By Condition (3), the short sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_{X\backslash S}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{j+1}|_{X \setminus S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{j+1}|_{X \setminus S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{j}|_{X \setminus S} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, whence the cohomology long exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

By Lemma A.1,(ii),  $H^1(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{i+1})$  equals 0 since 1 , whence the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P}_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_j) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the codimension of S in X is at least 2 and since X is irreducible and normal, the restriction morphism from  $P_{j+1}$  to  $H^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P}_{j+1})$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\varphi$  be in  $H^0(X, \mathcal{K}_j)$ . Then there exists an element

 $\psi$  of  $P_{j+1}$  whose image  $\psi'$  in  $\mathrm{H}^0(X,\mathcal{K}_j)$  has the same restriction to  $X\setminus S$  as  $\varphi$ . Since  $P_j$  is a projective module and since X is irreducible,  $P_j$  is torsion free. Then  $\varphi=\psi'$  since  $\varphi-\psi'$  is a torsion element of  $P_j$ , whence the assertion.

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{R}'$  be the localization of R' on X. Arguing as in (i), since S contains the support of R'/R and since 1 , the short sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{K}_0) \longrightarrow H^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{P}_0) \longrightarrow H^0(X \setminus S, \mathcal{R}') \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover, the restriction morphism from  $P_0$  to  $H^0(X/S, \mathcal{P}_0)$  is an isomorphism since the codimension of S in X is at least 2 and since X is irreductible and normal. Let  $\varphi$  be in R'. Then for some  $\psi$  in  $P_0$ ,  $\varphi - \varepsilon(\psi)$  is a torsion element of R'. So  $\varphi = \varepsilon(\psi)$  since R' is torsion free, whence the assertion.

**Corollary A.3.** Let  $C_{\bullet}$  be a homology complex of finite  $\mathbb{k}[X]$ -modules whose length l is finite and positive. For j = 0, ..., l, denote by  $Z_j$  the space of cycles of degree j of  $C_{\bullet}$ . Suppose that the following conditions are verified:

- (1) S contains the support of the homology of the complex  $C_{\bullet}$ ,
- (2) for all i,  $C_i$  is a submodule of a free module,
- (3) for i = 1, ..., l,  $C_i$  has projective dimension at most d,
- (4) X is normal and  $l + d \le p 1$ .

Then  $C_{\bullet}$  is acyclic and for  $j=0,\ldots,l,$   $Z_{j}$  has projective dimension at most l+d-j-1.

*Proof.* Prove by induction on l - j that the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C_l \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_{j+1} \longrightarrow Z_j \longrightarrow 0$$

is acyclic and that  $Z_j$  has projective dimension at most l+d-j-1. For j=l,  $Z_j$  equals zero since  $C_l$  is torsion free by Condition (2) and since  $Z_l$  a submodule of  $C_l$ , supported by S by Condition (1). Suppose  $j \le l-1$  and suppose the statement true for j+1. By Condition (3),  $C_{j+1}$  has a projective resolution  $P_{\bullet}$  whose length is at most d and whose terms are finitely generated. By induction hypothesis,  $Z_{j+1}$  has a projective resolution  $Q_{\bullet}$  whose length is at most l+d-j-2 and whose terms are finitely generated, whence an augmented complex  $R_{\bullet}$  of projective modules whose length is l+d-j-1,

$$0 \longrightarrow Q_{l+d-j-2} \oplus P_{l+d-j-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Q_0 \oplus P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow Z_j \longrightarrow 0.$$

Denoting by d the differentials of  $Q_{\bullet}$  and  $P_{\bullet}$ , the restriction to  $Q_i \oplus P_{i+1}$  of the differential of  $R_{\bullet}$  is the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (\mathrm{d}x, \mathrm{d}y + (-1)^i \delta(x)),$$

with  $\delta$  the map which results from the injection of  $Z_{j+1}$  into  $C_{j+1}$ . Since  $P_{\bullet}$  and  $Q_{\bullet}$  are projective resolutions, the complex  $R_{\bullet}$  is a complex of projective modules having no homology in positive degree. Hence the support of the homology of the augmented complex  $R_{\bullet}$  is contained in S by Condition (1). Then, by Proposition A.2 and Condition (4),  $R_{\bullet}$  is a projective resolution of  $Z_j$  of length l+d-j-1 since  $Z_j$  is a submodule of a free module by Condition (2), whence the corollary since  $Z_0 = C_0$  by definition.

Remark A.4. Let D(X) be the bounded derived category of finite k[X]-modules. For E an object of D(X), denote by Supp(E) the union of the supports in X of the homology modules  $H_i(E)$  of E. By definition, the homological dimension of E, written hd(E), is the smallest integer s such that E is quasi-isomorphic

to a complex of projective k[X]-modules of length s. If no such integer exists,  $hd(E) = \infty$ . Since X is Cohen-Macaulay, according to [MA86, Ch. 6, Theorem 17.4], we have the following proposition:

**Proposition A.5.** [BM02, Corollary 5.5] *Let* E *be a non trivial object of* D(X). *Then for all irreducible component*  $\Gamma$  *of* Supp(E),

 $\dim X - \dim \Gamma \leq \operatorname{hd}(E)$ .

Corollary A.3 is a little bit smilar to Proposition A.5. But it is not a consequence of Proposition A.5 since its proof does not use the normality of X.

### REFERENCES

- [Au61] M. Auslander, Modules over unramified regular local rings, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, 5 (1961), p. 631-647.
- [BM02] T. Bridgeland and A. Maciocia, Fourier-Mukai transforms for K3 and elliptic fibrations, 11 (2002), p. 629-657.
- [Bol91] A.V. Bolsinov, Commutative families of functions related to consistent Poisson brackets, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, 24 (1991), n°1, p. 253–274.
- [Bou02] N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4-6. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002).
- [Bou98] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre commutative, Chapitre 10, Éléments de mathématiques, Masson (1998), Paris.
- [Bru] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **n**° **39**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1996).
- [CM008] J.-Y. Charbonnel and A. Moreau, Nilpotent bicone and characteristic submodule of a reductive Lie algebra, Tranformation Groups, 14, (2008).
- [Di74] J. Dixmier, Algèbres enveloppantes, Gauthier-Villars (1974).
- [Di79] J. Dixmier, Champs de vecteurs adjoints sur les groupes et algèbres de Lie semi-simples, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Band. 309 (1979), 183–190.
- [Ga-Gi06] W. L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, Almost-commuting variety, D-modules, and Cherednik algebras., International Mathematics Research Papers, 2, (2006), p. 1–54.
- [Gi12] V. Ginzburg, Isospectral commuting variety, the Harish-Chandra D-module, and principal nilpotent pairs, Duke Mathematical Journal, 161, (2012), p. 2023–2111.
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck, Local cohomology, Lecture Notes in Mathematics n°41 (1967), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- [H77] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics n°52 (1977), Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [HuWi97] G. Huneke and R. Wiegand, *Tensor products of modules, Rigidity and Local cohomology*, Mathematica Scandinavica, **81**, (1997), p. 161–183.
- [Ko63] B. Kostant, Lie group representations on polynomial rings, American Journal of Mathematics 85 (1963), p. 327-404.
- [MA86] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory* Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics **n**°**8** (1986), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, New York, New Rochelle, Melbourne, Sydney.
- [MF78] A.S. Mishchenko and A.T. Fomenko, Euler equations on Lie groups, Math. USSR-Izv. 12 (1978), p. 371-389.
- [Mu88] D. Mumford, The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes, Lecture Notes in Mathematics n°1358 (1988), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo.
- [Po08] V.L. Popov, Irregular and singular loci of commuting varieties, Transformation Groups 13 (2008), p. 819–837.
- [Po08] V.L. Popov and E. B. Vinberg, Invariant Theory, in: Algebraic Geometry IV, Encyclopaedia of MathematicalSciences n°55 (1994), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p.123–284.
- [Ri79] R. W. Richardson, Commuting varieties of semisimple Lie algebras and algebraic groups, Compositio Mathematica 38 (1979), p. 311–322.
- [V72] F.D. Veldkamp, *The center of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra in characteristic p*, Annales Scientifiques de L'École Normale Supérieure **5** (1972), p. 217–240.

JEAN-YVES CHARBONNEL, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT - CNRS, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU - PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, UMR 7586, GROUPES, REPRÉSENTATIONS ET GÉOMÉTRIE, BÂTIMENT SOPHIE GERMAIN, CASE 7012, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

E-mail address: jean-yves.charbonnel@imj-prg.fr