

The effect of glycerol inclusion on broiler performance and nutrient digestibility

Linda Ann Mclea, Elizabeth Ball (nee Mccann), David Kilpatrick, Chris

Elliott

► To cite this version:

Linda Ann Mclea, Elizabeth Ball (nee Mccann), David Kilpatrick, Chris Elliott. The effect of glycerol inclusion on broiler performance and nutrient digestibility. British Poultry Science, 2011, 52 (03), pp.368-375. 10.1080/00071668.2011.584520. hal-00711300

HAL Id: hal-00711300 https://hal.science/hal-00711300

Submitted on 23 Jun2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

British Poultry Science



The effect of glycerol inclusion on broiler performance and nutrient digestibility

Journal:	British Poultry Science
Manuscript ID:	CBPS-2009-398.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	09-Nov-2010
Complete List of Authors:	McLea, Linda; Queens University, School of Biological sciences Ball (nee McCann), Elizabeth; Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Agriculture Food and Environmental Sciences Division Kilpatrick, David; Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Agriculture Food and Environmental Sciences Division Elliott, Chris; Queens University, School of Biological sciences
Keywords:	Glycerol, Broilers, Metabolisable energy, Performance, Feed intake, digestibility
	·



The effect of glycerol inclusion on broiler performance and nutrient digestibility

L. $MCLEA^1$, M.E.E. $BALL^{1,2}$, D. $KILPATRICK^1$ and C. $ELLIOTT^1$

¹The Queen's University of Belfast, School of Biological Sciences, Newforge Lane, Belfast BT9 5PX and ²Agriculture, Food and Environmental Science Division, Agri-

Food and Biosciences Institute, Newforge Lane, Belfast BT9 5PX, Northern Ireland

RUNNING TITLE: DIETARY GLYCEROL FOR BROILERS

Corresponding author:

L. Mclea, The Queen's University of Belfast, School of Biological Sciences, Newforge Lane, Belfast BT9 5PX, Northern Ireland.

Telephone:	+44 028 9025 5560
Fax:	+44 028 9025 5006
E-mail:	lmclea01@qub.ac.uk

Accepted for publication 24th November 2010

Abstract 1. Crude glycerol from biodiesel production was offered *ad libitum* to broiler chickens in a 21-d feeding and digestibility trial. The study was designed as a 3*2+1 factorial design with 3 concentrations (33, 67, 100 g/kg) of glycerol from 2 sources, A and B (PRS Environmental Ltd and John Thompson and Sons Ltd) and a control diet. The diets were formulated to contain apparent metabolisable energy (AME) of 12.95 MJ/kg (assuming 14.6 MJ/kg for glycerol).

2. No significant interactions occurred, so only the main effects were discussed. At 7-14 d, feed conversion ratio (FCR) showed a significant linear response with increased glycerol inclusion. However quadratic responses on FCR were observed for the 21-28 d period and 7-28 d.

3. Glycerol digestibility was significantly greater with birds offered the 67 g/kg and 100 g/kg glycerol-based diets in contrast to the digestibility of 33 g/kg glycerol-based diets.

4. Glycerol inclusion level also had an effect on AME, which increased linearly with increasing glycerol inclusion. Birds offered the diets containing glycerol also required less energy per unit gain in contrast to birds offered the control diet.

5. When examining the effect of source of glycerol, source A glycerol resulted in the highest AME (15.20 *vs.* 14.72 MJ/kg). There was no significant effect of glycerol source on the other performance parameters.

6. Glycerol digestibility was significantly greater with glycerol from source B (John Thompson and Sons, Ltd) with a mean value of 0.848 in contrast to source A (PRS Environmental), which had a somewhat lower mean glycerol digestibility of 0.757.

7. In conclusion, glycerol source did not affect performance and increasing level of glycerol improved FCR, with 67 g/kg inclusion resulting in the most efficient conversion of feed to gain without any negative effects upon nutrient digestibility.

INTRODUCTION

Glycerol is a by-product of biodiesel production and a need to find a viable use for crude glycerol is required. One suggestion has been to use it as an energy source within animal diets (Thompson and He, 2006). This theory does have its merits, because, as with glucose, glycerol can be converted by the glycerol kinase enzyme into an energy yielding molecule for cellular metabolism. It is the intermediate in both the glycolytic and gluconeogenic pathway depending on physiological conditions (Alberts *et al.*, 2002; Berg *et al.*, 2002; Rosebrough *et al.*, 1980).

However, there is little research on the actual energy value that can be placed upon glycerol as a nutrient within non-ruminant diets. Dozier et al. (2008) carried out one of the first studies to determine the apparent metabolisable energy corrected for nitrogen loss (AME_N) value on broiler chickens. According to Adeola, (2001), Dozier *et al.* (2008), determined the AME_N of glycerol by regressing the AME_N intake against feed intake (FI) and glycerol was added into the basal diets at varying inclusion levels. They noted that when glycerol was integrated into broiler diets at different ages that the AME_N value obtained across experiments of 0 g/kg and 60 g/kg glycerol inclusion and 0 g/kg, 30 g/kg, 60 g/kg and 90 g/kg glycerol inclusion resulted in a mean AME_N value of 14.37 MJ/kg for glycerol. This value was 95% of the gross energy (GE) content of the glycerol which was 15.05 MJ/kg. These findings have provided initial evidence to suggest that glycerol can be used as an energy source within broiler diets up to a point, after which a decline in AME occurs posing an energy loss when the birds may not be able to utilise the glycerol further. It needs to be evaluated if glycerol is utilised efficiently by broilers in terms of feed conversion ratio (FCR) by examining the optimum inclusion of glycerol when integrated into broiler rations. Cerrate et al. (2006) investigated the effect of

including glycerol with an assumed AME value of 14.76 MJ/kg in the diets of broilers as a partial replacement for maize. For birds aged 0-14 d, starter diets were formulated to contain 12.91 MJ/kg AME with glycerol inclusions of 0, 50 and 100 g/kg glycerol inclusion. Performance results indicated that at inclusion concentrations of 50 and 100 g/kg glycerol, birds at 0-14 d had increased feed intake in contrast to the other concentrations. There was no significant difference in regard to weight gain and FCR. However the opposite resulted when 35-42 d birds were offered the 100 g/kg glycerol inclusion, resulting in feed conversion becoming less efficient. Cerrate et al. (2006) reported that this effect may have been attributable to pelleting instability and feeding equipment faults rather than the effect of glycerol per se. Doppenberg and Van der Aar (2007), base their conclusions on performance data and suggested another reason for the reduction in FCR at an inclusion concentration of 100 g/kg glycerol, that the glycerol kinase enzyme may become saturated above 50 g/kg inclusion (Doppenberg and Van der Aar, 2007). Thus, further glycerol may not be utilised by the animal and must be excreted via the urine which represents an energy cost. During a pig feeding trial, Bartelt and Schneider, (2002) found that ileal absorption of glycerol to be >97% even at the inclusion concentration of 150 g/kg and they also found very low urinary excretion of glycerol at a low inclusion of 50 g/kg; however, this increased at higher inclusion concentrations.

While there is evidence to suggest that crude glycerol can be utilised as an acceptable energy source for broilers, there is no information on the optimum inclusion within a wheat-based broiler diet upon broiler performance. There is also a lack of information regarding and the effect of glycerol inclusion on nutrient digestibility such as total starch digestibility, dry matter digestibility and glycerol

British Poultry Science

digestibility. Therefore the aims of this study were to define the optimum inclusion of glycerol in a wheat-based broiler diet and to establish the effect of glycerol inclusion on broiler performance and nutrient digestibility.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experiment compared 7 diets comprising 3 concentrations (33, 67, 100 g/kg) of glycerol from each of 2 sources (PRS Environmental Ltd, Craigavan, Northern Ireland (NI) and John Thompson and Sons Ltd, Belfast, NI), and a control diet. Glycerol compositions from PRS Environmental and John Thompson and Sons Ltd were analysed by ASG Analytik-Service Gesellschaft mbH, Augsberg, Germany after the end of the experiment. Glycerol content was also determined using a commercial enzyme assay kit from Megazyme International Ireland Ltd according to Wieland (1988) Gross energy was also determined for both of the glycerol sources using an isoperibol automated bomb calorimeter (PARR, Model 1271).

Experimental diets

Diets were formulated by Devenish Nutrition Ltd, Belfast, NI and produced at Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute (AFBI), Newforge. The diets were formulated to contain 12.95 MJ/kg AME and 13.9 g/kg lysine. During the transesterification process where biodiesel is produced, sodium hydroxide is added as the catalyst and trace amounts are found in the crude glycerol. The sodium content of the glycerol was assigned the assumed value of 20g/kg during the diet formulation and the sodium bicarbonate (g/kg) content was reduced accordingly. However the sodium chloride (g/kg) content formulated into the diets remained unchanged in order to maintain the balance of minerals (Table 1). Crude glycerol does not contain any vitamins and trace minerals. Crude glycerol contains the end products of the reactants that were entered into the process which include methanol and sodium

British Poultry Science

hydroxide (Table 2). As such the vitamin and mineral (g/kg) contents within the diet formulation was included at the expected levels for starter, grower diets for broilers. In order to maintain a balance of minerals and nutrients for birds at 7-28 d the concentration of calcium was a compromised concentration within the formulation matrix for starter/grower diets. The AME content of the crude glycerol was assumed to be 14.6 MJ/kg and the dietary ingredients are presented in (Table 1). Tables 1 and 2 near here

The wheat was firstly milled using a 5 mm sieve through a hammer mill. All of the dietary ingredients were then weighed and mixed in a Bental mixer and pelleted to 3 mm through a Lister cold pelleter. A small proportion of each diet was sampled, milled to 0.75 mm using a Glen Cross beater mill and various analyses were carried out including, dry matter (DM) content by oven drying at $100^{\circ}C \pm 2^{\circ}C$ for 24 h and ash was determined in a muffle furnace at 450°C for 16 h (AOAC, 1990).

Total starch and glycerol content were determined using a commercial enzyme assay kit from Megazyme International Ireland Ltd (McCleary *et al.*, 1997; Wieland, 1988). Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) was used as an indigestible marker and determined according to Peddie *et al.* (1982). Gross energy of the diets was measured using an isoperibol automated bomb calorimeter (PARR, Model 1271) and the results are presented in Table 3.

Animal subjects

The study was conducted under the confines of the Animal Scientific Procedures Act 1986. A total of 100 Ross broiler birds were obtained from Moy Park Northern Ireland on day of hatch and housed in a brooder at 33°C and fed *ad libitum* crumbled diet and water until 7 d of age. At 7 d the birds were weighed and the 18 heaviest and 19 lightest birds were discarded from further analysis. The remaining 63 birds

British Poultry Science

were arranged into 9 weight blocks and randomly allocated over 7 treatments and placed into individual metabolism cages. The birds were kept under the appropriate temperature, humidity and lighting regime. The birds were then offered experimental feed and water *ad libitum* up to 28 d of age. At 14, 21 and 28 d the birds were weighed to determine growth performance and feed remaining at the end of each week was weighed to determine DM intake and FCR.

Excreta

Between 14-21 d of age a total excreta collection was made from under each cage and placed into foil trays and stored daily at 4°C. At the end of the collection period, it was oven dried for 48 h at 80°C, milled to 0.75 mm using a Glen Cross beater mill and analysed for DM to determine GE content. Apparent metabolisable energy (AME) not corrected for nitrogen loss and AME: gain was then calculated.

At 28 d of age, the birds were humanely killed by dislocation of the spinal cord. The contents of the ileum were removed and placed into ice and subsequently stored at -80°C. The duodenum contents were removed for measurement of *in vivo* supernatant viscosity of the diet through the digestive tract according to Bedford and Classen (1993). The ileum contents were freeze dried for 3 d and milled to 0.80 mm using a Polymix hammer mill (Kinematic Inc, Bohemia, New York, USA). The ileum contents were then analysed for DM, total starch content and glycerol content according to (McCleary *et al.*, 1997; Wieland, 1988) and titanium dioxide (as for diets). Total starch digestibility, glycerol digestibility and DM digestibility were determined.

Statistical analysis

Data for this study were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Genstat version 9.0 at AFBI, Newforge. The 7 diets comprised a 3 inclusion concentration

(33, 67, 100 g/kg) * 2 sources (PRS, Thompsons) factorial plus a control. Hence contrasts were calculated to test for (a) linear and quadratic effects of glycerol inclusion averaged over the 2 sources; (b) differences between the two sources averaged over the inclusion concentrations; (c) interaction between inclusion concentration and source; and (e) differences between the control diet and the other 6 diets.

One bird had to be humanely killed on d 21 because it had become lame. Two more birds died on d 25 and d 27, another bird developed ascities; all of these birds were excluded from analysis. With regard to the factorial design, there were no interactions between the glycerol concentration and source and therefore only the main effects are discussed below.

RESULTS

Glycerol sources

Diet 1 (control) containing no added experimental glycerol was analysed for glycerol content as a baseline and contained 10.8 g/kg glycerol DM. Analytical results and characterisation of both sources of glycerol A and B are presented in Table 2.

The effect of glycerol inclusion concentration

FCR, AME, AME:gain and liveweight gain (LWG) were found to be significantly affected by the addition of glycerol. At 7-14 d FCR was seen to show a significant linear response, becoming more efficient as the inclusion level of glycerol increased. At 21-28 d and for the entire experimental period a quadratic response existed for FCR (Table 4).

Dietary AME content increased linearly with glycerol inclusion (P<0.05), (Table 4). No significant differences between the birds offered the glycerol diets and those offered the control diet where found for metabolisable energy intake (MEI)

British Poultry Science

and ME:GE (Table 4). However it was observed that birds offered the diets containing glycerol required less energy per unit gain in contrast to the birds offered the control diet, which was reflected in a decline in AME: gain (Table 4).

Although no linear or quadratic responses were observed, birds offered diets containing glycerol gained significantly more weight which was reflected in 14-21 d liveweight gain and total liveweight gain values (P<0.05) (Table 4).

Glycerol inclusion level had no significant effects on either DM digestibility or total starch digestibility at the ileal level. However glycerol digestibility was significantly greater for birds offered the 67 g/kg and 100 g/kg glycerol-based diets (P<0.001) in contrast to the glycerol digestibility of the diets containing 33 g/kg Table 4 near here glycerol-based diets (Table 4).

The effect of source of glycerol

The source of glycerol inclusion did not significantly affect FCR, liveweight gain or DM intake over the entire experimental period. There was a significant difference between the two sources in regards to dietary AME content with PRS glycerol Table 5 near here resulting in the highest (P<0.05) AME (Table 5).

There was also a significant effect of glycerol source on DM digestibility, which was higher when birds were offered glycerol diets from source B (John Thompson and Sons Ltd) (P<0.05). Total starch digestibility and glycerol digestibility coefficients were also significantly greatest for birds offered glycerol diets from source B from (John Thompson and Sons Ltd) (P<0.05; Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The effect of glycerol inclusion level

The effect on FCR over the entire experimental period suggested that 67 g/kg glycerol resulted in the best utilisation of the diets in this study. This result conflicts

with previous findings of Cerrate *et al.* (2006) who found that birds at 0-14 d showed no significant difference in FCR when offered diets containing 0-100 g/kg glycerol. It also conflicts with birds at 35-42 d of age offered the 100 g/kg glycerol diets showing significantly higher FCR reflecting a reduction in converting feed to increased growth in contrast to the birds offered the control and 50 g/kg diets. This study showed that birds at 7-14 d were able to utilise the glycerol containing diets most efficiently at the 100 g/kg inclusion level. These findings are also in contrast to the suggestions of Doppenberg and Van der Aar (2007), from various performance data, that glycerol kinase enzyme may become saturated above 50 g/kg inclusion in a diet. In this study it is likely that saturation of glycerol kinase may have occurred between 67 and 100 g/kg inclusion of glycerol kinase may have occurred efficient for birds offered glycerol than those offered the control diet and this is reflected in LWG.

The linear response of FCR at 7-14 d indicated that the birds being offered 100 g/kg could efficiently utilise the glycerol. However, as the birds grew older, a significant decline in FCR was reported at glycerol concentrations above 67 g/kg. This decrease in efficiency was specifically detected at 21-28 d, which was consistent with findings of Simon, (1996) and Cerrate *et al.* (2006) who found that older birds were less efficient at utilising greater levels of glycerol than younger birds. However in regards to this current trial, more investigation would be required in order to determine if there was an age effect on glycerol utilisation. The rationale behind this was that, even at the 100 g/kg glycerol inclusion level, FCR values indicated that birds offered this cncentration could still convert feed into increased growth more efficiently than birds offered diets containing no glycerol at 21-28 d of

British Poultry Science

age and total FCR, thus suggesting no age effect. Glycerol digestibility remained high at high glycerol inclusion levels, which indicated that it was absorbed from the gastro-intestinal tract but not necessarily utilised.

No feed mechanical problems arose when feeding the birds the 100 g/kg glycerol which may have occurred in the study by Cerrate et al. (2006). In fact glycerol inclusion at the level of 100 g/kg seemed to promote pellet stability, which is in line with findings of Swiatkiewicz and Koreleski (2009) who found that glycerol inclusion presented a much more stable pellet than the control diet pellets. Glycerol inclusion also had no effect on viscosity of the diet indicating that, even though glycerol is a viscous liquid, it did not affect normal transit time through the digestive tract of the bird. AME increased linearly as glycerol inclusion increased although at 67 g/kg and 100 g/kg glycerol inclusion the AME values were not significantly different from each other. This was not reflected in the declining FCR values with birds at 21-28 d of age with the 100 g/kg glycerol inclusion level. This was neither reflected in an increase in DM digestibility. However there was also no increase in the AME: GE ratio, therefore this may have been a result of a slightly increased lipid content from the full fat soya content within the diets containing glycerol. Birds required less energy per unit gain when offered the diets containing glycerol in contrast to the birds offered the control diet, suggesting that glycerol can be efficiently utilised as an energy source for growth. This study also noted that although there was a decline at the 100 g/kg glycerol inclusion level, birds offered this concentration were still able to convert feed into increased growth more efficiently than birds offered the control diet containing no glycerol and the 33 g/kg glycerol inclusion. However, it is in agreement with Dozier et al. (2008) who reported an estimated AME_N value of 14.01 MJ/kg with glycerol inclusion level of 0

and 30, 60 and 90 g/kg glycerol inclusion for 42-45 d-old broilers. Birds offered diets containing 90 g/kg glycerol inclusion had a higher AME_N value than the control however the AME_N value was no different from the AME_N values estimated for the 30 and 60 g/kg glycerol inclusion. This again suggests that an age effect may have arisen in which birds at a certain age cannot utilise glycerol above a certain inclusion, in this instance the 90 g/kg glycerol concentration. However this was not investigated during this study and requires further research.

The effect of glycerol inclusion level on nutrient digestibility

As glycerol inclusion level increased, so did glycerol digestibility; however it did not seem to improve FCR at 100 g/kg inclusion level, thus the glycerol may have been absorbed but not put to use as a nutrient and energy source within the bird. This may be because once all of the substrate (glycerol) has saturated the glycerol kinase enzyme binding sites, further addition of glycerol will not be utilised and as such excess glycerol must be excreted out from the bird. However the fact that there was no difference in the AME: GE ratio at any level of glycerol inclusion is difficult to explain and warrants further investigation. At the 33 g/kg glycerol inclusion, glycerol digestibility values were significantly lower than the values obtained from the 67 and 100 g/kg glycerol concentrations but with no change in AME:GE values for all levels. This may have resulted because there was so little of the glycerol added to the diet on a g/kg basis and thus the most of the energy was generated from the wheat within the diet. This result is also in line with FCR values with birds at 7-21 d of age showing decreased efficiency to utilise the diets at the 33 g/kg inclusion level in contrast to the other levels.

The effect of source of glycerol

British Poultry Science

 Although the two sources of glycerol differed greatly in their respective glycerol content, there was no effect on FCR or growth performance. Evidence from this study showed that the cruder of the two glycerol sources, source A (PRS Environmental Ltd) resulted in the highest AME value. Source A PRS Environmental Ltd glycerol contained many un-reacted tri, di and mono glycerides in the form of Matter Organic Non-Glycerol (MONG) and as a result this could have increased the AME. The fact that glycerol digestibility was lower in diets containing source A (PRS Environmental Ltd) reflects the lower glycerol in the product.

According to this study, it was evident that total starch is digested more efficiently than glycerol and total starch digestibility was highest when glycerol was included in the diets. Total starch digestibility was highest within birds offered glycerol-based diets from source B (John Thompson and Sons Ltd). Therefore this may provide evidence that glycerol may actually promote total starch digestibility within a wheat-based broiler diet, however this requires further investigation. This may involve integrating glycerol into diets with a constant wheat g/kg and determining total starch and glycerol digestibility values. Glycerol was being efficiently digested at 67 and 100 g/kg and AME values were highest at these inclusion levels. However according to FCR results this energy may not have been available to the birds. A possible reason for this, as suggested by Doppenberg Van der Aar (2007), would be that the glycerol kinase enzyme does become saturated above levels of 67 g/kg glycerol inclusion; thus further utilisation is inhibited. This theory seems consistent with FCR results regarding source B (John Thompson and Sons Ltd) product which contained 850 g/kg glycerol. However it seems conflicting with FCR results from source A glycerol sourced from (PRS Environmental Ltd) which had such a low content of glycerol (490 g/kg) where one would have expected

to observe no decline in FCR at 100 g/kg. It was observed in this study that both sources of glycerol showed a decline in FCR at glycerol inclusion levels above 67 g/kg and as such this warrants further investigation to determine if the glycerol kinase enzyme is the limiting factor preventing further utilisation.

Conclusions

Glycerol can be integrated into a broiler diet at least up to a concentration of 67 g/kg without any negative effects on growth performance, FCR, AME, AME:gain, DM digestibility, glycerol digestibility or total starch digestibility. As a result of this research, it can be determined that glycerol can be included in broiler chicken diets as a partial energy replacement for wheat. Glycerol utilisation declined as the birds grew older but even at the highest glycerol inclusion (100 g/kg) FCR was improved in comparison to the control diet.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge contributions of crude glycerol from PRS Environmental Ltd, Craigavon, Northern Ireland and John Thompson and Sons Ltd, Belfast, Northern Ireland. They would also like to acknowledge the help from the non-ruminant staff at AFBI Newforge and especially Dr Julie George. The work was funded by Home Grown Cereals Authority and the Department of Agriculture and Rural Development.

REFERENCES

ADEOLA, O. (2001) Digestion and balance techniques in pigs. *Swine Nutrition*, *Second edition*: New York

ALBERTS, B., JOHNSON, A., LEWIS, J., RAFF, M., ROBERTS, K. & WALLERS, P. (2002) *Molecular biology of the cell, Fourth Edition:* New York London.

ASSOCIATION OF OFFICIAL ANALYTICAL CHEMISTS (AOAC). (1990) Official Methods of Analysis (fifteenth edition). Washington, DC, USA.

BARTELT, J. & SCHNEIDER, D. (2002) Investigation on the energy value of glycerol in the feeding of poultry and pig. *Union for the Promotion of Oilseeds-Schriften Heft 17*. Union Zur Förderung Von Oel-Und Proteinplafalzen E.V., Berlin, Germany.

BEDFORD, M.R. & CLASSEN, H.L. (1993) An *in vitro* assay for prediction of broiler intestinal viscosity and growth when fed rye-based diets in the presence of exogenous enzymes. *Poultry Science*, **72**: 137-143.

BERG, J.M., TYMOCZKO, J.L. & STRYER, L. (2002) *Biochemistry*. New York: W.H. Freeman and Co.

BS EN ISO660 (2009) Animal and vegetable fats and oils. Determination of acid value and acidity. ISBN: 0580 26317 7.

BS5711-3 (1979) BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTE. Method of sampling and test for glycerol. Determination of glycerol content. ISBN: 0580 10984 4.

BS5711-6 (1979) BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTE. Method of sampling and test for glycerol. Determination of ash gravimetric method. ISBN: 0580 10987 9.

BS5711-8 (1979) BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTE. Method of sampling and test for glycerol. Determination of water content: Karl Fischer method. ISBN: 0580 10989 5.

BS5711-9 (1979) BRITISH STANDARDS INSTITUTE. Method of sampling and test for glycerol. Calculation of Matter organic non-glycerol. (MONG). ISBN: 0580 10990 9.

CERRATE, S., YAN, F., WANG, Z., COTO, C., SACAKLI, P. & WALDROUP, P.W. (2006) Evaluation of glycerine from biodiesel production as a feed ingredient for broilers. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, **11**: 1001-1007.

DIN EN 14110 Gas Chromatography –Fame Ionization Detection (GC-FID). (2007) Fatty Acid Methyl Ester (FAME) Determination of Methanol.

DOPPENBERG, J. & VAN DER AAR, P. (2007) *Biofuels : Implications for the feed industry*. Wageningen Academic Publishers, ISBN: 978-9086860432.

DOZIER, W.A., KERR, B.J., CORZO, A., KIDD, M.T., WEBER, T.E. & BREGENDAHL, K. (2008) Apparent metabolizable energy of glycerine for broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, **87:** 317-322.

McCLEARY, B.V., GIBSON, T.S. & MUGFORD, C.D. (1997) Measurement of total starch in cereal products by amyloglucosidase-α-amylase method : Collaborative study. *Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists International*, **80** 3: 571–579.

PEDDIE, J., DEWAR, W.A., GILBERT, A.B. & WADDINGTON, D. (1982) The use of titanium dioxide for determining apparent digestibility in mature domestic fowls (*Gallus domesticus*). *Journal of Agricultural Science*, **99:** 233-236.

ROSEBROUGH, R.W., GEISE, J.P., OTA, H. & WHITEHEAD, J. (1980) Effects of dietary energy substitutions on reproductive performance, feed efficiency and lipogenic enzyme activity on large white turkey hens. *Poultry Science*, **59**: 1485-1492.

SIMON, A., (1996) Administration of glycerol to broilers in the drinking water. *Landbauforschung Volkenrode*, **169:** 168-170.

SWIATKIEWICZ, S. & KORELESKI, J. (2009) Effect of crude glycerine level in the diet of laying hens on egg performance and nutrient utilization. *Poultry Science*, **88:** 615-619.

THOMPSON, J.C. & He, B. (2006) Characterization of crude glycerol from biodiesel production from multiple feedstocks. *Applied Engineering and Agriculture*, **22** (2): 261-265.

WIELAND, O.H. (1988) Glycerol. In : *Methods of Enzymatic Analysis*.
(Bergmeyer, H.U. ed.), 3rd ed., ,VCH Publishers (UK) Ltd., Cambridge, UK, 6: 504-51.

YONG, K. C, OOI, T. L, DZULKEFLY, K, WAN YUNUS, W. M. Z. & HAZIMAH, A. H. (2001) Refining of crude glycerine recovered from glycerol residue by simple vacuum distillation. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, **13** (2): 39-44

	Glycerol inclusion (g/kg)					
Ingredients	0	33	67	100		
Wheat	575	537	496	449		
Soyabean meal	275	275	275	275		
Full fat soya	72	84	96	112		
Soya oil	40	36	32	29		
Glycerol*	0	33	67	100		
Roxazyme G [†]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
Finase ^{®‡}	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
Sodium bicarbonate	3.1	0.6	0.0	0.0		
Limestone	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.0		
Sodium chloride	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3		
Mono dicalcium phosphate	9.2	9.3	9.5	9.7		
L-lysine mono HCl	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.0		
DL-methionine	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0		
DL-threonine	0.47	0.40	0.40	0.30		
Premix [§]	5	5	5	5		
Maxiban premix [#]	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5		
Titanium dioxide	3	3	3	3		
Formulated analysis (g/kg)						
AME (MJ/kg)	12.95	12.95	12.95	12.95		
Crude protein	220	220	220	220		
Lysine	13.9	13.9	13.9	13.9		
Threonine	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7		
Calcium	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5		
Phosphorus	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Methionine + cystine	9.9	9.9	9.9	9.9		

 Table 1. Composition of experimental diets

* Glycerol from two sources was included into the diet formulation matrix with an assumed AME value of 14.60MJ/kg and glycerol was added at the above inclusion levels resulting in seven experimental diets.

Roxazyme G: Recommended usage level: 100g/tonne: Endo-1,4-β-xylanase EC/IUB No. 3.2.1.8-minimum activity 26,000 units/g and endo-1,3 (4)- β -D-Glucanase (EC/IUB No. 3.2.1.6- minimum activity 18,000 units/g and endo-1,4- β -glucanase (EC/IUB No. 3.2.1.4-minimum activity 8,000 units/g. Finase[®]: Is an enzyme preparation of 3- phytase (E.C.3.1.3.8) minimum phytase activity of 5,000 PPU/g.

§ Premix: This supplement was a standard proprietary product and the major nutrients are as follows 5kg/t. Declared vitamins/trace elements: Finished feed levels, Vit A 0.6 g/kg as acetate, Vit D₃ 0.25/kg, Vit E 0.05 g/kg as alphatocopherol acetate, 0.0175 g/kg sodium selenite, copper sulphate pentahydrate 1 g/kg.

Maxiban premix: narasin 50mg/kg and nicarbazin 50mg/kg, excipients 822g/kg, anti-dusting oil 20g/kg, micro-tracerF-Red 10-20g/kg.

	Source A (PRS Environmental) (g/kg)	Source B (John Thompson and Sons Ltd) (g/kg)	Method
Glycerol	523	810	BS 5711-3
Glycerol	490	854	Megazyme assay kit (Wieland, 1988)
Methanol	16	0.3	Gas chromatography –flame ionisation detection (GC-FID) DIN EN 14110
Water	52	128	BS 5711-8
Ash	37	58	BS 5711-6
Non glycerol organic matter*	388	4.0	BS 5711-9
Free fatty acids	7.4	6.2	BS EN ISO 660
Gross Energy (MJ/kg)	20.70	14.41	1271 Isoperibol bomb calorimeter

Table 2. Characterisation of the crude glycerol source A and B

* Non-glycerol organic matter: polyglycerol, sugar components, free fatty acids and partial glycerides in the crude glycerine (Yong *et al.*, 2001).

Glycerol inclusion level from both sources combined (g/kg DM)							
0 33 67 100							
Gross energy (GE) (MJ/kg)	19.31	19.59	19.90	20.28			
Total starch (g/kg)	343	323	293	273			
Glycerol content (g/kg)	10.8	31	57	75			

Table 3. The gross energy, total starch and glycerol content of the combined glycerol diets

	Glycerol inc	lusion level fro (g/l	om both sourc	es combined				
N	9	18	16	17				
	0	33	67	100	SEM	P Value	P=L	P=Q
7-14 d Liveweight gain (g)	249	250	242	261	13.26	NS	NS	NS
14-21 d Liveweight gain (g)	460 ^a	513 ^b	504 ^b	497 ^b	17.01	< 0.05	NS	NS
21-28 d Liveweight gain (g)	622.1	646.8	670.4	627.8	20.01	NS	NS	NS
Total liveweight gain (g)	1332 ^a	1395 ^b	1423 ^b	1390 ^b	31.88	< 0.05	NS	NS
7-14 d DM intake (g)	296	285	263	283	12.85	NS	NS	NS
14-21 d DM intake (g)	564	552	555	560	15.60	NS	NS	NS
21-28 d DM intake (g)	862	832	828	819	21.45	NS	NS	NS
Total DM intake (g)	1723	1668	1642	1658	38.81	NS	NS	NS
7-14 d FCR [*]	1.20^{c}	1.14 ^b	1.10 ^a	1.09 ^a	0.024	< 0.01	< 0.05	NS
14-21 d FCR	1.23 ^b	1.14 ^a	1.08^{a}	1.11 ^a	0.026	< 0.01	NS	NS
21-28 d FCR	1.39 ^c	1.30 ^b	1.24^{a}	1.31 ^b	0.031	< 0.01	NS	< 0.05
Total FCR	1.30 ^c	1.20^{b}	1.16^{a}	1.20 ^b	0.016	< 0.01	NS	< 0.05
Viscosity (cpu)	2.73	3.26	2.59	2.67	0.298	NS	NS	NS
$AMEI^\dagger$	8.0	8.1	8.3	8.5	0.24	NS	NS	NS
AME:GE [‡]	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.009	NS	NS	NS
AME [§]	14.2^{a}	14.6 ^b	15.0 ^c	15.1 ^c	0.171	<0.001	< 0.05	NS
AME:gain ^ζ	17.44 ^b	16.56 ^a	16.18 ^a	16.87 ^a	0.410	< 0.05	NS	NS
Total DM digestibility	0.72	0.71	0.67	0.68	0.016	NS	NS	NS
Total starch digestibility	0.95	0.96	0.94	0.95	0.0132	NS	NS	NS
Glycerol digestibility	0.17^{a}	0.69^{b}	0.88°	0.87^{c}	0.0263	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table 4. The effect of glycerol inclusion level on broiler performance and nutrient digestibility parameters

^{a,b,c} Superscripts indicate significance difference (*P*<0.05).

* FCR: Feed conversion ratio.

 [†]AMEI: Apparent metabolisable energy intake (MJ/kg).

^{*}AME:GE: Apparent metabolisable energy:gross energy.

[§] AME: Apparent metabolisable energy (MJ/kg DM).

 ζ AME:gain: Apparent metabolisable energy.

Table 5. <i>The effect</i>	of the source of glyce.	rol on broiler perfort parameters	mance and nutrie	ent digestibility
<u>6</u> 7 8	Glycer	ol source		
9 10 11 12 13	Source A (PRS Environmental)	Source B (John Thompson and Sons Ltd)		
15 15 16	26	25	SEM	P value
17 18-14 d Liveweight gain (g)	254	249	13.26	NS
194-21 d Liveweight gain (g)	499	508	17.01	NS
221-28 d Liveweight gain (g)	633	664	20.01	NS
22 23 otal liveweight gain (g)	1388	1418	31.88	NS
2 4 -14 d DM intake (g)	277	278	12.85	NS
264-21 d DM intake (g)	548	563	15.60	NS
27 281-28 d DM intake (g)	804	849	21.45	NS
²⁹ Total DM intake (g)	1625	1686	38.81	NS
$37-14 \text{ d FCR}^*$	1.09	1.12	0.024	NS
32 3 3 4-21 d FCR	1.10	1.12	0.026	NS
³⁴ / ₃₅ 1-28 d FCR	1.28	1.29	0.031	NS
³⁴ Total FCR	1.18	1.19	0.016	NS
37 38 Viscosity (cpu)	2.86	2.82	0.298	NS
³⁹ 40 ¹ MEI [†]	8.33	8.29	0.24	NS
⁴ AME:GE [‡]	0.75	0.75	0.009	NS
+∠ 43AME [§]	15.20 ^b	14.72^{a}	0.171	<0.01
44 4 T otal DM digestibility	0.67 ^a	0.71 ^b	0.016	<0.05
⁴ Total starch digestibility	0.94	0.96	0.0132	NS
46Glycerol digestibility	0.77 ^a	0.85 ^b	0.0263	<0.01

 ^{a,b,c} Superscripts indicate significance difference (P < 0.05).

* FCR = Feed conversion ratio.

AMEI = Apparent metabolisable energy intake (MJ/kg).
 AMEI:GE = Apparent metabolisable energy intake:gross energy (MJ/kg).
 AMEI:GE = Apparent metabolisable energy intake:gross energy (MJ/kg).

 $^{\$}$ AME = Apparent metabolisable energy (MJ/kg DM).