HAL
open science

On the Wulff construction as a problem of equivalence of statistical ensembles

Salvador Miracle-Sole, Jean Ruiz

To cite this version:

Salvador Miracle-Sole, Jean Ruiz. On the Wulff construction as a problem of equivalence of statistical ensembles. M. Fannes and A. Verbeure. On Three Levels: Micro, Meso and Macroscopic Approaches in Physics, Plenum Press, New York, pp.295-302, 1994. hal-00707438

HAL Id: hal-00707438

https://hal.science/hal-00707438v1

Submitted on 12 Jun 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Centre de Physique Théorique[1]**, CNRS Luminy, Case 907**
F-13288 Marseille – Cedex 9

ON THE WULFF CONSTRUCTION AS A PROBLEM OF EQUIVALENCE OF STATISTICAL ENSEMBLES

Salvador MIRACLE-SOLE[2] and **Jean RUIZ**[3]

Abstract

In this note, the statistical mechanics of SOS (solid-on-solid) 1-dimensional models under the global constraint of having a specified area between the interface and the horizontal axis, is studied. We prove the existence of the thermodynamic limits and the equivalence of the corresponding statistical mechanics. This gives a simple alternative microscopic proof of the validity of the Wulff construction for such models, first established in Ref. 5.

Published in *On Three Levels: Micro, Meso and Macroscopic Approaches in Physics,* M. Fannes and A. Verbeure (Eds.), Plenum Press, New York, 1994, pp. 295–302.

KEYWORDS: SOS model; surface tension; Wulff construction.

Number of figures: 1

CPT-93/P. 2952

anonymous ftp : ftp.cpt.univ-mrs.fr
web : www.cpt.univ-mrs.fr

[1]Unité Propre de Recherche 7061
[2]Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, Marseille
[3]Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, Marseille

We consider the SOS model defined as follows: To each site i of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}$ an integer variable h_i is assigned which represents the height of the interface at this site. The energy $H_N(\{h\})$ of a configuration $\{h\} = \{h_0, h_1, ..., h_N\}$, in the box $0 \leq i \leq N$, of length N, is equal to the length of the corresponding interface

$$H_N(\{h\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1 + |h_i - h_{i-1}|) \tag{1}$$

Its weight, at the inverse temperature β, is proportional to the Boltzmann factor $\exp[-\beta H(\{h\})]$.

We introduce the Gibbs ensemble which consits of all configurations, in the box of length N, with specified boundary conditions $h_0 = 0$ and $h_N = Y$. The associated partition function is given by

$$Z_1(N, Y) = \sum_{\{h\}} e^{-\beta H(\{h\})} \delta(h_0) \delta(h_N - Y) \tag{2}$$

where the sum runs over all configurations in the box and $\delta(t)$ is the dicrete Dirac delta ($\delta(t) = 1$ if $t = 0$ and $\delta(t) = 0$ otherwise). We define the corresponding free energy per site as the limit

$$\tau_p(y) = \lim_{N \to \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_1(N, yN) \tag{3}$$

where $y = -\tan\theta$, the slope of the interface, is a real number. This free energy is called the projected surface tension. The surface tension, which represents the interfacial free energy per unit length of the mean interface, is

$$\tau(\theta) = \cos\theta \; \tau_p(-\tan\theta) \tag{4}$$

We introduce also a second Gibbs ensemble, which is conjugate to the previous ensemble, and whose partition function, in the box of length N, is given by

$$Z_2(N, x) = \sum_{\{h\}} e^{-\beta H(\{h\})} e^{\beta x h_N} \delta(h_0) \tag{5}$$

where $x \in I\!R$ replaces as a thermodynamic parameter the slope y and $h_0 = 0$. We define the associated free energy as

$$\varphi(x) = \lim_{N\to\infty} -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_2(N, x) \tag{6}$$

Theorem 1. *Limits (3) and (6), which define the above free energies, exist. The first, τ_p, is a positive bounded even convex function of y. The second, φ, is a bounded above even concave function of x. Moreover, τ_p and $-\varphi$ are conjugate convex functions, i.e., they are related by the Legendre transformations*

$$\begin{aligned} -\varphi(x) &= \sup_y \, [xy - \tau_p(y)] \\ \tau_p(y) &= \sup_x \, [xy + \varphi(x)] \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Proof: The validity of the above statements is well known. See for instance Refs. 2, 3 for a proof of these results in a more general setting.

The convexity of τ_p is equivalent to the fact that the surface tension τ satisfies a stability condition called the triangular inequality (see Refs. 2, 3). Relations (7) between the free energies express the thermodynamic equivalence of the two ensembles (2) and (4). These relations imply that the curve $z = \varphi(x)$ gives, according to the Wulff construction, or its modern equivalent the Andreev construction, the equilibrium shape of the crystal associated to our system.

The function $\varphi(x)$ defined by (6) is easily computed by summing a geometrical series. One introduces the difference variables

$$n_i = h_{i-1} - h_i \tag{8}$$

for $i = 1, ..., N$, so that the partition function factorizes and one obtains

$$\varphi(x) = 1 - \beta^{-1} \ln \sum_{n\in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\beta|n| + \beta x n} \tag{9}$$

The explicit form of this function is

$$\varphi(x) = 1 - \beta^{-1} \ln \frac{\sinh \beta}{\cosh \beta - \cosh \beta x} \tag{10}$$

if $-1 < x < 1$ and $\varphi(x) = -\infty$ otherwise.

We next define two new Gibbs ensembles for the system under consideration. In the first of these ensembles we consider the configurations such that $h_N = 0$, which have a specified height at the origin $h_0 = M$ and which have a specified volume V between the interface and the horizontal axis, this volume being counted negatively for negative heights:

$$V = V(\{h\}) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} h_i \tag{11}$$

The corresponding partition function is

$$Z_3(N, V, M) = \sum_{\{h\}} e^{-\beta H(\{h\})} \delta(h_N) \delta(V(\{h\}) - V) \delta(h_0 - M) \tag{12}$$

The second of these ensembles is the conjugate ensemble of the first one. Its partition function is given by

$$Z_4(N, u, \mu) = \sum_{\{h\}} e^{-\beta H(\{h\})} e^{\beta u (V(\{h\})/N) + \beta \mu h_0} \delta(h_N) \tag{13}$$

where $u \in I\!R$ and $\mu \in I\!R$ are the conjugate variables. Our next step will be to prove the existence of the thermodynamic limit for these ensembles and their equivalence in this limit.

Theorem 2. *The following limits exist*

$$\psi_3(v, m) = \lim_{N \to \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_3(N, vN^2, mN) \tag{14}$$

$$\psi_4(u, \mu) = \lim_{N \to \infty} -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_4(N, u, \mu) \tag{15}$$

They define the free energies per site associated to the considered ensembles as, respectively, convex and concave functions of the v and u variables. Moreover, ψ_3 and $-\psi_4$ are conjugate convex functions:

$$\begin{aligned} -\psi_4(u, \mu) &= \sup_{v,m} \left[uv + \mu m - \psi_3(v, m) \right] \\ \psi_3(v, m) &= \sup_{u,\mu} \left[uv + \mu m + \psi_4(u, \mu) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Proof: The crucial observation is the subadditivity property given in Lemma 1 below. Then we addapt known arguments in the theory of the

thermodynamic limit (see Refs. 4, 5). A more detailed discussion is given in the Appendix.

Lemma 1. *The partition function Z_3 satisfy the subadditivity property*

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_3(2N, 2(V'+V''), M'+M'') \\ &\geq Z_3(N, V', M')\ Z_3(N, V'', M'')\ e^{-2\beta|M''|/(2N-1)} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Proof: In order to prove this property we associate a configuration $\{h\}$ of the first system in the box of length $2N$, to a pair of configurations $\{h\}'$ and $\{h\}''$ of the system in a box of length N, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2i} &= h'_i + h''_i\ ,\ i = 0, \ldots, N \\ h_{2i-1} &= h'_{i-1} + h''_i\ ,\ i = 1, \ldots, N \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then $h_{2N} = h'_N + h''_N = 0$, $h_0 = h'_0 + h''_0 = M' + M''$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V(\{h\}) &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{N} h'_i + \sum_{i=0}^{N} h''_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} h''_i \\ &= 2\ [V(\{h\}') + V(\{h\}'')] - M''. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the configuration $\{h\}$ belongs to $Z_3(2N, 2(V'+V'') + M'', M'+M'')$. Since $H_{2N}(\{h\}) = H_N(\{h\}') + H_N(\{h\}'')$, because $n_{2i} = n'_i$ and $n_{2i-1} = n''_i$ for $i = 1, ..., N-1$, as follows from (18), we get

$$Z_3(N, V', M')\ Z_3(N, V'', M'') \leq Z_3(2N, 2(V'+V'') + M'', M'+M'').$$

Then we use the change of variables $\tilde{h}_i = h_i - [M''/(2N-1)]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, 2N-1$, $\tilde{h}_0 = h_0$, $\tilde{h}_{2N} = h_{2N} = 0$ which gives

$$Z_3(2N, V + M'', M) \leq e^{2\beta|M''|/(2N-1)}\ Z_3(2N, V, M)$$

to conclude the proof.

Theorem 3. *The functions ψ_3 and ψ_4 can be expressed in terms of the functions φ and τ_p as follows*

$$\psi_4(u, \mu) = \frac{1}{u}\int_0^u \varphi(x+\mu)dx \tag{19}$$

$$\psi_3(v, m) = \frac{1}{u_0}\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu_0+u_0} \tau_p(\varphi'(x))dx \tag{20}$$

where u_0 and μ_0 satisfy

$$\frac{1}{u_0^2}\int_0^{u_0}\varphi(x+\mu_0)dx-\frac{1}{u_0}\varphi(\mu_0+u_0)=v \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{1}{u_0}[\varphi(\mu_0)-\varphi(\mu_0+u_0)]=m \tag{22}$$

Proof: We consider again the difference variables (8) and observe that

$$V(\{h\})=\sum_{i=0}^{N}h_i=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\ in_i$$

and therefore

$$Z_4(N,u,\mu)=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\Big(\sum_{n_i\in\ \mathbb{Z}}e^{-\beta|n_i|+\beta(u/N)in_i+\beta\mu n_i}\Big)$$

Taking expression (9) into account it follows

$$Z_4(N,u,\mu)=\exp\Big(-\beta\sum_{i=1}^{N}\varphi(\frac{u}{N}i+\mu)\Big)$$

and

$$\psi_4(u,\mu)=\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\varphi(\frac{u}{N}i+\mu)=\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{u}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\frac{u}{N}\varphi(\frac{u}{N}i+\mu)$$

which implies (19) in the Theorem.

The function ψ_3 is determined by the Legendre transform (16). The supremum over u,μ is obtained for the value u_0,μ_0 for which the partial derivatives of the right hand side are zero: $v+(\partial/\partial u)\psi_4(u_0,\mu_0)=0,\ v+(\partial/\partial\mu)\psi_4(u_0,\mu_0)=0$. That is, for u_0,μ_0 which satisfy (21).

Then, from (16), (19) and (21), we get

$$\psi_3(v,m)=2\psi_4(u_0,\mu_0)-\frac{1}{u_0}[(\mu_0+u_0)\varphi(\mu_0+u_0)-\mu_0\varphi(\mu_0)] \tag{23}$$



Figure 1. Graphical interpretation of Theorem 3.

The right hand side of (23) represents twice the area of the sector OBC in Fig. 1 divided by u_0. But, it is a known property in the Wulff construction, that twice this area is equal to the integral in (20). Indeed, by using the relation (7) in the form

$$\varphi(x) = x\varphi'(x) + \tau_p(\varphi'(x))$$

in (20) and integrating by parts $x\varphi'(x)$, we get

$$2\psi_4(u_0, \mu_0) = \frac{1}{u_0}\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu_0+u_0} \tau_p(\varphi'(x))dx + \frac{1}{u_0}[(\mu_0 + u_0)\varphi(\mu_0 + u_0) - \mu_0\varphi(\mu_0)]$$

which together with (23) implies the expression (19) in the Theorem.

To interpret these relations, let us observe that the right hand side of (21) represents the area ABC, in Fig. 1, divided by $\overline{AC}^2$. Therefore, the values u_0 and μ_0, which solve (21) and (22), are obtained hen this area is equal to v, with the condition, coming from (22), that the slope $\overline{AB}/\overline{AC}$ is equal to m. Then, according to (19), the free energy $\psi_3(v, m)$ is equal to the integral of the surface tension along the arc BC, of the curve $z = \phi(x)$, divided by the same scaling factor $\overline{AC} = u_0$.

We conclude that, for large N, the configurations of the SOS model, with a prescribed area vN^2, follow a well defined mean profile, the macroscopic

profile given by the Wulff construction, with very small fluctuations. This follows from the fact that the probability of the configurations which deviate macroscopically from the mean profile is zero in the thermodynamic limit. The free energy associated to the configurations which satisfy the above conditions, and moreover, are constrained to pass through a given point not belonging to the mean profile, can be computed with the help of Theorem 3. The corresponding probabilities decay exponentially as $N \to \infty$, as a consequence of the usual large deviations theory in statistical mechanics (see Ref. 6).

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Mons University, where part of this work was done, for warm hospitality and acknowledge the NATO and the ERASMUS project for financial support.

References

1. J. De Coninck, F. Dunlop, and V. Rivasseau, *On the microscopic validity of the Wulff construction and of the generalied Young equation,* Commun. Math. Phys, **121**, 401–419 (1989)

2. R. L. Dobrushin, R. Kotecký and S. B. Shlosman, *Wulff Construction: A Global Shape from Local Interactions,* Am. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1992.

3. A. Messager, S. Miracle-Solé and J. Ruiz, *Convexity properties of the surface tension and equilibrium crystals,* J. Stat. Phys. **67**, 449–470 (1992)

4. D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics, Rigorous Results,* Benjamin, New York, 1969.

5. L. Galgani, L. Manzoni, and A. Scotti, *Asymptotic equivalence of equilibrium ensembles of classical statistical mechanics,* J. Math. Phys, **12**, 933–935, (1971)

6. D. Ruelle, *Hazard et Chaos* (chap. 19), Odile Jacob, Paris, 1991. O. Lanford, Entropy and equilibrium states in classical statistical mechanics, in: *Statistical Mechanics and Mathematical Problems,* A. Lenard, ed., Springer, Berlin, 1973.

Appendix

We give here a more detailed discussion of the proof of Theorem 2. We define:

$$f_n(v,m) = -\frac{1}{\beta 2^n} \ln Z_3(2^n, 2^{2n}v, 2^n m).$$

For v and m of the form $2^{-q}p$, the subadditivity property with $N = 2^n$, $V' = V'' = vN^2$ and $M' = M'' = mN$ implies that f_n is a decreasing sequence: $f_{n+1}(v,m) \leq f_n(v,m)$. Since this sequence is bounded from below its limit exits when n tends to infinity. Indeed

$$Z_3(N,V,M) \leq \sum_{\{h\}} e^{-\beta H(\{h\})} \delta(h_N)$$

The R.H.S. of the above expression is easily computed by inroducing the differnce variables (8) and we get that f_n is bounded from below by $(1/\beta)\ln(1-e^{-\beta})$. Let us notice that one can obtain a lower bound to $Z_3(N, vN^2, mN)$ by restricting the summation over the configuration such that $(N-1)h_i = vN^2 - (1/2)mN$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$. This gives that f_n is bounded from above by $|v-m| + |v|$.

The existence of the limit for general N follows from standard argument in the theory of the thermodynamic limit (cf. 4) and we have:

$$\psi_3(v,m) = \inf_N \left[-\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln Z_3(N, vN^2, mN) \right] \tag{A.1}$$

To prove that ψ_3 is convex, we notice that the subadditivity inequality (17) with $N = 2^n$, $V' = v_1 N^2$, $V'' = v_2 N^2$ and $M' = M'' = mN^2$ gives:

$$\psi_3\Big(\frac{1}{2}(v_1+v_2, m)\Big) \leq \frac{1}{2}\psi_3(v_1,m) + \frac{1}{2}\psi_3(v_2,m)$$

which applied iteratively implies:

$$\psi_3\Big(\alpha v_1 + (1-\alpha)v_2, m\Big) \leq \alpha\psi_3(v_1,m) + (1-\alpha)\psi_3(v_2,m)$$

for α of the form $2^{-q}p$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. For such α we obtain analogously

$$\psi_3\Big(v, \alpha m_1 + (1-\alpha)m_2\Big) \leq \alpha\psi_3(v,m_1) + (1-\alpha)\psi_3(v,m_2)$$

by applying the subadditivity inequality (17) with $N = 2^n$, $M' = m_1 N^2$, $M'' = m_2 N^2$ and $V' = V'' = vN^2$

Since ψ_3 is bounded, it follows that for all m, ψ_3 can be extended to a convex Lipshitz continuous function of the real variable v.

To prove the the existence of the limit (15) and relations (16), we introduce

$$Z_4^+(N,u,\mu) = \sup_{V,M\in\ \mathbb{Z}} \left[e^{\beta u(V/N)+\beta\mu M}\ Z_3(N,V,M) \right]$$

and proceed, as in the Appendix of Ref. 5, to study the thermodynamic limit for this quantity. Let

$$\psi_4^*(u,\mu) = \sup_{v,m} \left[uv + \mu m - \psi_3(v,m) \right].$$

According to (A.1), we have

$$e^{\beta u(V/N)+\beta\mu M}\ Z_3(N,V,M) \leq e^{\beta N[uv+\mu m-\psi_3(v,m)]}$$

for all $V \in\ \mathbb{Z}$, so that

$$Z_4^+(N,u,\mu)\ \leq\ e^{\beta N\psi_4^*(u)}.a \tag{A.2}$$

On the other hand for any $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large N one can find, $V = vN^2$ and $M = mN$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\beta u(V/N)+\beta\mu M}\ Z_3(N,V,M) &= e^{\beta N[uv+\mu m-\psi_3(v,m)]}\ e^{\beta N\psi_3(v,m)}\ Z_3(N,V,M) \\ &\geq e^{\beta N[\psi_4^*(u,\mu)-\delta]} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$Z_4^+(N,u,\mu)\ \geq\ e^{\beta N[\psi_4^*(u,\mu)-\delta]}. \tag{A.3}$$

Inequalities (A.2) and (A.3) imply that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\ -\frac{1}{\beta N}\ \ln Z_4^+(N,u,\mu)\ =\ -\psi_4^*(u,\mu)\ . \tag{A.4}$$

We shall now prove that the thermodynamic limit (15) exits and gives the same quantity following the argument of Theorem 2 in Ref. 5. First, we notice that

$$Z_4(N,u,\mu) = \sum_{V,M\in\ \mathbb{Z}} e^{\beta u(V/N)+\beta\mu M}\ Z_3(N,V,M)$$

which implies

$$Z_4^+(N,u,\mu) \leq Z_4(N,u,\mu) \tag{A.5}$$

Moreover, the inequality

$$Z_3(N,V,M) \leq e^{-\beta\bar{u}(V/N)-\beta\bar{\mu}M}\ Z_4^+(N,\bar{u},\bar{\mu})$$

used with $\bar{u}=u'$ and $\bar{u}=u''$, $\bar{\mu}=\mu'$ and $\bar{\mu}=\mu''$ gives for any $u''<u<u'$ and any $\mu''<\mu<\mu'$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_4(N,u,\mu) \leq\ & Z^* \textstyle\sum_{V\geq 0} e^{\beta(u-u')(V/N)} \sum_{M\geq 0} e^{\beta(\mu-\mu')M} \\ &+ Z^* \textstyle\sum_{V\leq 0} e^{\beta(u''-u)(V/N)} \sum_{M\geq 0} e^{\beta(\mu-\mu')M} \\ &+ Z^* \textstyle\sum_{V\geq 0} e^{\beta(u-u')(V/N)} \sum_{M\leq 0} e^{\beta(\mu''-\mu)M} \\ &+ Z^* \textstyle\sum_{V\leq 0} e^{\beta(u''-u)(V/N)} \sum_{M\leq 0} e^{\beta(\mu''-\mu)M} \\ &\leq \tfrac{4Z^*}{(1-e^{-\beta(\Delta u/N)})(1-e^{-\beta(\Delta\mu)})} \end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z^* &= \sup\left[Z_4^+(N,u',\mu'), Z_4^+(N,u',\mu''), Z_4^+(N,u'',\mu'), Z_4^+(N,u'',\mu'')\right] \\ 0<\Delta u &= \min[u'-u, u-u''] \\ 0<\Delta u &= \min[\mu'-\mu, \mu-\mu''] \end{aligned}$$

By referring to (A.4), and to the continuity of ψ_4^*, the inequalities (A.5) and (A.6) imply (15) and (16).