

Genetic regulation of the locus and its expression in clinical isolates of

R. Rosenblum, E. Khan, G. Gonzalez, R. Hasan, T. Schneiders

▶ To cite this version:

R. Rosenblum, E. Khan, G. Gonzalez, R. Hasan, T. Schneiders. Genetic regulation of the locus and its expression in clinical isolates of. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 2011, 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.012 . hal-00703151

HAL Id: hal-00703151 https://hal.science/hal-00703151

Submitted on 1 Jun2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Genetic regulation of the *ramA* locus and its expression in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

Authors: R. Rosenblum, E. Khan, G. Gonzalez, R. Hasan, T. Schneiders



PII:	S0924-8579(11)00110-5			
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.ij	doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.012			
Reference:	ANTAGE 3573				
To appear in:	International	Journal	of	Antimicrobial	Agents
Received date:	6-10-2010				
Revised date:	14-2-2011				
Accepted date:	15-2-2011				

Please cite this article as: Rosenblum R, Khan E, Gonzalez G, Hasan R, Schneiders T, Genetic regulation of the *ramA* locus and its expression in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.012

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Genetic Regulation of the <u>ramA</u> Locus and its' Expression in Clinical Isolates
2	<u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>
3	Running Title: Genetic Regulation of <i>ramA</i> expression in <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>
4	
5	R. Rosenblum ¹ , E. Khan ² , G. Gonzalez ³ , R. Hasan ² , T. Schneiders ^{1*}
6	
7	
8	Affiliations:
9	¹ : Centre for Infection and Immunity,
10	4 th Floor, Medical Biology Centre,
11	97 Lisburn Road,
12	Belfast BT9 7BL
13	United Kingdom
14	² : Department of Pathology and Microbiology
15	Aga Khan University
16	Stadium Road P.O. Box 3500
17	Karachi-74800
18	Pakistan

*Centre for Infection and Immunity, 4th Floor, Medical Biology Centre, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast
BT9 7BL, United Kingdom, Telephone: +44 28 9097 5831, Fax: +44 28 9097 2695, E-mail:
t.schneiders@qub.ac.uk.

- 19 ³: Laboratorio de Investigación en Antibióticos
- 20 Departamento de Microbiología
- 21 Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas
- 22 Universidad de Concepción
- 23 Barrio Universitario s/n. Concepción
- 24 Chile
- 25
- 26

27 Abstract

28 Tigecycline resistance has been attributed to ramA overexpression and subsequently acrA 29 upregulation. The *ramA* locus, originally identified in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* has homologs in 30 Enterobacter and Salmonella spp. In this study we identify in-silico that the ramR binding site is 31 also present in *Citrobacter* spp and that *Enterobacter*, *Citrobacter* and *Klebsiella* spp. share key 32 regulatory elements in the control of the romA-ramA locus. RACE mapping indicates that there 33 are two promoters from which romA-ramA expression can be regulated in K. pneumoniae. 34 Correspondingly electrophoretic binding studies clearly show that purified RamA and RamR 35 proteins bind to both these promoters. Hence there appear to be two RamR binding sites within 36 the Klebsiella romA-ramA locus. Like MarA, RamA binds the promoter region implying that it 37 might be subject to autoregulation. In geographically distinct clinical isolates of K. pneumoniae, 38 we have identified changes within *ramR*. Intriguingly the levels of *romA* and *ramA* expression 39 were not uniformly affected by changes within the <u>ramR</u> gene thereby supporting our dual 40 promoter finding. Furthermore, a subset of strains sustained no changes within the ramR gene but 41 which still overexpressed the *romA-ramA* genes strongly suggesting that a secondary regulator 42 may control ramA expression.

43

44 Keywords: <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>, <u>romA</u>, <u>ramA</u>, <u>ramR</u>, AcrA, tigecycline

46 **1 Introduction**

47	<u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> is a major nosocomial pathogen that causes both community and hospital
48	acquired infections. Strains with chromosomal and/or plasmidic resistance mechanisms coupled
49	with efflux / influx related mutations are increasingly identified [1-3]. The continued
50	antimicrobial challenge of <u>K. pneumoniae</u> has precipitated the emergence of clones harbouring a
51	plethora of resistance mechanisms to clinically relevant antibiotics (e.g. fluoroquinolones, third
52	generation cephalosporins and carbapenems) where emerging pan-drug resistant clones have left
53	few therapeutic strategies available to combat this pathogen [4].
54	
55	Tigecycline is a new glycylcycline with substantial anti Gram-negative activity that has been
56	introduced for the treatment of community acquired Gram-negative infections caused by ESBL
57	producing <u>K. pneumoniae</u> and <u>Escherichia coli</u> [5] where a recent study has shown that
58	tigecycline is effective against pan-drug resistant K. pneumoniae and Enterobacter spp.
59	Tigecycline is able to evade the classical mechanisms that confer resistance to tetracycline and
60	minocycline such as efflux Tet(A-E) and ribosomal protection conferred by Tet(M) [5]. However
61	tigecycline appears vulnerable to efflux by chromosomally encoded efflux pumps [6-10].
62	
63	As such, studies into tigecycline resistance in members of <i>Enterobacteriaceae</i> have shown that it
64	is mediated via the upregulation of efflux pumps which are controlled by certain regulatory loci
65	[6-8, 10]. For instance, several studies demonstrate that tigecycline resistance results from the
66	upregulation of AraC-family transcriptional regulators such as MarA or RamA which in turn are
67	linked to increased expression of the <i>acrAB</i> efflux pump [6-7, 10-11]. This pump not only
68	functions as a clinically relevant drug exporter but also has been demonstrated to significantly

69	affect the virulence potential of the bacterium [12-13]. The AcrAB efflux pump is locally
70	controlled by the transcriptional repressor AcrR [14]. However, transcription factors such as
71	RamA and MarA are able to override AcrR-mediated repression and upregulate the expression of
72	the <u>acrA</u> B efflux pump [6-7, 10-11]. Accordingly strains that have wildtype AcrR and increased
73	RamA or MarA expression are linked to increased <i>acrAB</i> levels [11]. Whether levels of AcrA
74	upregulation in the absence of <u>acrR</u> mutations are as significant as those seen when <u>acrR</u> is
75	mutated is not clear.
76	
77	RamA is a member of the AraC/XylS family and is closely related to the MarA and SoxS
78	proteins [15]. The <u>ramA</u> locus is only found in <u>Klebsiella</u> , <u>Salmonella</u> , <u>Enterobacter</u> and
79	<u>Citrobacter</u> spp where the genetic organization in <u>Salmonella</u> differs from the others due to the
80	lack of the <i>romA</i> gene. Like MarA, increased <i>ramA</i> expression is linked to the upregulation of the
81	<u>acrAB</u> efflux pump which confers a multidrug resistant phenotype (MDR) to a variety of
82	different antibiotic classes [7, 10-11, 16]. This phenotype is mediated exclusively through the
83	\underline{acrAB} gene as \underline{ramA} overexpression in an \underline{acrAB} -deleted strain does not produce a similar
84	phenotype [11].

85

The expression of the <u>ramA</u> gene is controlled at a transcriptional level and as such studies in both <u>S. typhimurium</u> [17] and <u>K. pneumoniae</u> [16] have identified a <u>tetR</u>-like gene, called <u>ramR</u>, that lies upstream of the <u>ramA</u> gene and acts as its repressor (See Fig. 1A & 1B). Of note, the stop codon of the <u>ramR</u> gene overlaps the start codon of the gene <u>ybdf</u> implying that the regulation of these genes is very likely linked. Studies into both, <u>S. typhimurium</u> [17] and <u>K.</u> <u>pneumoniae</u> [16], have reported that <u>ramR</u> mutations are directly linked to <u>ramA</u> overexpression.

92 In both Salmonella and Klebsiella, bioinformatic analyses suggest that the RamR protein binds a 93 palindromic sequence that is either overlapping or downstream of the -10 sequence of the ramR 94 gene [16-17]. In K. pneumoniae and Enterobacter spp. the genomic organization of ramR, its 95 corresponding palindromic binding sites and *ramA* is conserved when compared to *Salmonella*, 96 although these bacteria also harbour the romA gene (See Figure 1A & 1B). Mutations within the 97 ramR gene have been shown to result in ramA upregulation [16-17] however the effect of this 98 derepression on the surrounding genes within the locus, i.e. romA, is not known. 99 100 In studies involving clinical strains, *ramA* upregulation is not normally the sole mechanism of 101 resistance but appears to act in combination with other mutations, for example, cefuroxime 102 resistant isolates also showed a decrease in the levels of the outer membrane protein, OmpK35 [18] and in fluoroquinolone resistant isolates the upregulation of the *ramA* gene was in 103 104 association with target-specific mutations in the topoisomerase genes (e.g. gyrA and parC) and 105 mutations within *acrR*, the repressor of the *acrAB* efflux pump [11]. Hence the aims of this study 106 were, firstly to elucidate the genetic regulation of the ramA locus and secondly whether its 107 overexpression is always linked to changes within the *ramR* gene.

108

Ś

109 **2** Materials and Methods

110 2.1 Clinical Isolates

- 111 All isolates were identified as <u>K. pneumoniae</u> and have been isolated from intensive care wards in
- 112 hospitals within Chile, Turkey and Pakistan from 2006-2008. Three strains from Singapore
- 113 characterised in a previous study [11] and the original strains (Ecl8 and Ecl8Mdr1) described by
- 114 George et al [15] were also included. Other strains used as controls in this study were: for the
- validation of the AcrA western blot analyses, AG100A (deleted for *acrA*) and AG100B (deleted
- 116 for *acrR*, AcrA overexpresser); for the validation of the *ramA* rt-PCR TS67 (deleted for *ramA*)
- and TS68 (deleted for *ramR*) [19]. The mutants TS67 and TS68 were generated from <u>K</u>.
- 118 *pneumoniae* Ecl8 using a modified protocol as described by Merlin et al [20].

119

120 2.2 MIC testing

121 The minimum inhibitory concentrations to tigecycline (gift from Wyeth Pharmaceuticals) for the 122 clinical isolates were determined and interpreted according to the BSAC protocols [21]. Strains 123 exhibiting ≤ 1 mg/l and > 2mg/l to tigecycline were classed as sensitive and resistant respectively. 124

- 125 2.3 Mapping the transcriptional start sites of the <u>ramA</u> locus
- 126 The transcriptional start site of the <u>ramA</u> locus was mapped according to the manufacturer's
- 127 instructions using the 5' RACE system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California). Briefly, 0.5µg of RNA
- 128 extracted at mid-log phase was reverse transcribed into first strand cDNA which was then TdT-
- tailed. Subsequently the TdT-tailed cDNA was amplified by PCR and assessed for positive PCR
- 130 products. A second round of amplification using nested gene specific primers (Table 1) and

- 131 Abridged Universal Amplification Primer (AUAP) was performed prior to the resulting products
- 132 being sub-cloned into pGEMT-easy (Promega, UK) for sequencing.
- 133
- 134 2.4 Gene Expression Analyses using RT-PCR

135 In order to assess the ramA and romA levels of gene expression, RT-PCR was performed. RNA 136 samples were extracted from the different clinical isolates at mid-log phase (OD_{600} 0.5-0.8) using 137 Trizol. Total RNA was DNaseI digested to ensure the removal of contaminating genomic DNA 138 prior to cDNA synthesis. For cDNA synthesis, the Superscript Vilo cDNA synthesis kit 139 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA) was used. Briefly, 300ng of DNase I treated total RNA 140 was converted to cDNA and used in PCRs with gene specific primers shown in Table 1. A 1 in 141 10 cDNA dilution was used for the amplification of 16S gene. The resulting PCR products were 142 subjected to electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel. Densitometric analyses using the Multigauge

143 FujiFilm software of the gel bands were performed and normalised to the 16S expression. The

- 144 fold increase of <u>ramA</u> expression relative to the sensitive strain Ecl8 (non <u>ramA</u> expresser) is
- shown in Table 3A.
- 146
- 147 2.5 Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays (EMSA)

148 The <u>*romA*</u> - <u>*ramA*</u> promoter regions were amplified and subjected to EMSA with both purified 149 RamA and RamR proteins. Purified RamA and RamR proteins were extracted from recombinant 150 pET constructs containing the <u>*ramA*</u> and <u>*ramR*</u> genes using the metal chelation chromatography 151 on superflow nickel / nitrilotriacetate agarose (Qiagen). Briefly, end labelled (using [γ -³²P] ATP, 152 Perkin Elmer, Boston, USA) PCR products were incubated with increasing concentrations (200 153 nM, 400 nM) of RamA or RamR in binding buffer (125mM Tris-Cl, 250mM KCl, 5mM DTT,

154 160ng salmon sperm DNA and 25% glycerol). The complexes were run on 5% native PAGE gels

155 for 2.5 hours. The gel was then dried and exposed to the phosphor screen for image analysis. To

156 confirm that the interactions between RamA or RamR and the promoter regions were specific,

157 competition experiments with BSA as a negative control and cold promoter were also performed.

158

159 2.6 Mutations within the Promoter Regions, <u>ramR</u> and <u>acrR</u> Genes

160 Only for those strains where <u>ramA</u> expression was elevated, the <u>ramR</u>, <u>acrR</u> genes and pI /pII 161 promoter regions were amplified using primers shown in Table 1. The two promoter regions pI 162 and pII were also amplified using the relevant promoter primers also shown in Table 1. The BIG 163 Dye reaction (Applied Biosystems) was set up prior to the products being sequenced at the

164 Genomics Core Facility in Belfast City Hospital.

165

166 2.7 Western Blot Analyses

167 The levels of AcrA were determined by Western blot analyses as described previously in 168 Schneiders *et al* [11]. Briefly, cultures were grown to mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀~0.6-0.7) prior to 169 protein extraction using sonication. Fifteen micrograms of total protein was loaded onto a 10% 170 NuPage gel (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California) prior to transfer to a nitrocellulose membrane as 171 per the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). The blots were hybridised with the primary antibody anti-AcrA (Kind gift from H. Zgurskaya, University of Oklahoma, Norman, USA, 1: 172 173 40,000) over night at 4°C. The secondary antibody IRDye-800 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Licor 174 BioSciences, Nebraska, USA) was incubated with the blots for 1 hour at room temperature and 175 the membranes were scanned using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging system which is based on near 176 infrared fluorescence detection. The membrane is scanned in two channels at 700 and 800nM that

177 detects the green and red channels respectively. All images were quantified using the reading

- 178 from the 800nM channel. Analysis of the band intensities to assess AcrA levels was performed
- 179 using the Odyssey software v3 (Licor BioSciences, Nebraska, USA). The levels of the AcrA
- 180 protein of all test strains were compared against the sensitive <u>*K. pneumoniae*</u> strain Ecl8 to report
- a relative fold increase in AcrA levels. Both AG100A and AG100B were also used as controls in
- 182 the AcrA western blots.
- 183

184	3 Results
185	3.1 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
186	The MIC ₅₀ and MIC ₉₀ values of tigecycline, minocycline, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin and
187	ceftazidime against the strains are shown in Table 2. Of the 157 strains tested, 24 were resistant
188	to tigecycline based on the BSAC breakpoint criteria [21]. The MICs to tigecycline generally
189	ranged from 0.25 - 4 μ g/ml. The minocycline and tetracycline MIC ₉₀ s were 128 μ g/ml and
190	>128µg/ml respectively, however cross-resistance to tigecycline was not observed, consistent
191	with previous survey data [22]. Tigecycline resistant isolates exhibited cross-resistance to
192	ceftazidime and ciprofloxacin.
193	
194	3.2 Bioinformatic Analyses for RamR binding sites in <u>K. pneumoniae (Accession No:</u>
195	NC_009648), <u>Citrobacter</u> koseri (Accession No: NC_009648) and <u>Enterobacter</u> sp. 638
196	(Accession No: NC_009648)
197	In S. typhimurium, <u>ramR</u> , has been shown to function as its cognate negative regulator [17].
198	Given the similarities in the genomic organization of the <u>ramR</u> and <u>romA-ramA</u> loci between
199	<u>Klebsiella</u> , <u>Enterobacter</u> , <u>Citrobacter</u> and <u>Salmonella</u> , we sought to confirm whether <u>ramR</u>
200	controlled the expression of the <u>romA</u> - <u>ramA</u> locus directly in <u>Klebsiella</u> and whether other
201	accessory binding sites would exist for RamR within the <i>romA</i> - <i>ramA</i> locus. Bioinformatic
202	analyses (using ClustalW: http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/clustalw2/index.html) shows that the
203	palindromic binding sites identified for RamR in Salmonella spp. is conserved within the
204	intergenic region between the <u>ramR</u> and <u>romA</u> coding sequences of <u>K. pneumoniae</u> , <u>Enterobacter</u>
205	and <i>Citrobacter</i> spp. (Fig. 1A). There appeared to be no perfect palindromic site for RamR

206	upstream of the <u>ramA</u> gene. Thus we surmised that the regulation of the <u>romA-ramA</u> locus
207	mediated by RamR must occur via the palindromic sequence that lies upstream of the <i>romA</i> gene.
208	
209	3.4 RACE Mapping
210	The transcriptional start site of <u>ramA</u> as determined by RACE mapping is shown in Figure 1B.
211	The transcriptional start site (hereby called pII, Fig. 1B) for <i>ramA</i> was found upstream of the
212	<u>romA</u> stop codon which implies that <u>romA</u> and <u>ramA</u> are part of an operon and are likely co-
213	transcribed in K. pneumoniae. The transcriptional start site mapped corresponds with our
214	previous observation that two transcripts sized at ~0.6kb and ~0.9kb were obtained with northern
215	blotting. The larger transcript (~0.9kb) must correspond to the promoter that lies upstream of the
216	<u>romA</u> gene. The presence of the pII promoter also suggests that <u>ramA</u> expression can be
217	modulated independently of <u>romA</u> . Correspondingly, this differential regulation is evident in the
218	differing levels of transcription of the <u>romA</u> and <u>ramA</u> genes as shown in Fig. 3A.
219	

220 3.5 Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

221 Bioinformatic analyses indicates the presence of a putative but conserved palindromic binding 222 site for RamR within the pI region, however no such site could be observed for the pII promoter. 223 We also wondered whether RamA, like other similar regulators i.e. MarA, autoregulates itself by 224 binding to its own promoter region. The intergenic regions, designated pI and pII, bound both 225 purified RamR and RamA proteins (Fig. 2A). The interaction of the pI and pII promoter regions 226 with the RamA and RamR proteins were found to be specific, as no shifts were observed with the 227 BSA alone (Fig. 2A) and addition of cold promoter was able to reduce binding of the proteins to 228 the labelled probe (data not shown). Of note, the fragment of DNA (pII*) that contained no

229	transcriptional signals was found not to bind either RamA or RamR (Fig. 2B). As indicated
230	previously the pI promoter has a conserved RamR binding site which is required for RamR-
231	mediated control. However the lack of a similar or identical RamR binding site (by bioinformatic
232	analyses) indicates that the RamR-mediated regulation of the pII promoter may be mediated via a
233	more degenerate palindromic binding site. Accordingly bioinformatic analyses (using the
234	EMBOSS Pairwise Alignment Tool: http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/emboss/align/) of the pII
235	promoter region implies that there is a putative but degenerate palindromic site upstream of the
236	ramA gene (Fig. 1A).
237	
238	3.6 DNA Mutations in the promoter regions pI and pII, <u>ramR</u> and <u>acrR</u> .
239	3.6.1 Mutations within the <u>ramR</u> gene and the levels of <u>romA</u> , <u>ramA</u> expression
240	Of the 24 strains that were resistant or intermediately susceptible to tigecycline, only 10
241	harboured mutations within the <u>ramR</u> gene. Changes within the <u>ramR</u> gene were found in both
242	the DNA and ligand binding domains of the protein (Prediction based on PSIPREDv3 [23])
243	suggesting that there are no mutational hotspots within <u>ramR</u> although the majority of changes
244	were clustered within the ligand- binding domain. As previously suspected not all the changes
245	observed within RamR resulted in <i>ramA</i> expression, for example changes H186N, A187E
246	observed in TS202 and E41K in TS215 did not result in <i>ramA</i> overexpression. The change,
247	I141T, was observed in four of the isolates tested (Ecl8, Ecl8Mdr1, TS262 and TS293) (Table
248	3A). Since this change is also found in the sensitive <u><i>Klebsiella</i></u> strain Ecl8 it is likely that it is not
249	associated with <i>ramA</i> overexpression. Additionally strains (TS173, TS221, TS257 and TS259)
250	that did not harbour changes within the <u>ramR</u> gene (Table 3A) and/or the intergenic regions but
251	still overexpressed <u>ramA</u> (Fig. 3A). Several strains that exhibited MICs of ≥ 4 mg/l were found to

252 overexpress ramA but not harbour any changes within the ramR gene. Interestingly, the levels of 253 ramA expression between those strains that harboured changes within ramR were not higher than 254 those strains that sustained no changes within the gene. Additionally transcriptional levels of the 255 romA gene did not appear linked to ramA expression (Fig. 3A). Although increased expression of 256 romA was generally associated with strains that harboured changes within the ramR gene (Fig. 257 3A). Ideally, complementation studies with wildtype ramR would have been performed to 258 confirm whether the *ramR* changes were directly associated with *ramA* overexpression. However 259 this was not possible due to the multidrug resistance profiles of the different strains.

260

261 *3.6.2 Promoter (pI and pII) Mutations*

262 Unlike previous reports, the majority of the clinical isolates did not harbour any changes within 263 the pI promoter. However the lack of promoter associated changes linked to increased ramA 264 expression is not unique to these cluster of isolates as the original <u>ramA</u> overexpressing strain 265 Ecl8MdrI (as reported by George et al.), also does not harbour any changes within the ramR 266 gene, the palindrome or the -10 and -35 hexamers at the pI promoter. Only one strain TS170 which sustained a change within the palindromic sequence ($C \rightarrow T$, recognized by RamR) but 267 268 harboured no changes within the ramR gene was found. Correspondingly TS170 exhibited a 269 small increase in ramA expression (Fig. 3A). Several changes associated with the pII promoter 270 region were located downstream of the second putative palindrome but upstream of the RACE 271 mapped transcriptional start site of ramA (Fig. 1B). Three changes (206: $T \rightarrow C$, 229: $G \rightarrow A$, 272 235: A \rightarrow T) were consistently identified in four strains (S7, TS152, TS165 and TS261) (Table 273 3B), all of which overexpressed *ramA*. Of these strains, only S7 and TS261 harboured no changes 274 within the *ramR* gene (Table 3A).

275 *3.6.3 Mutations within the acrR gene and levels of the AcrA protein*

Nine out of the 27 strains tested sustained changes within the <u>acrR</u> gene. Of these changes only two (T5N, L214F) have been previously documented [11]. The other nucleotide substitution but not amino acid change, E147E, was found in a region previously shown to be implicated in AcrA overexpression. One new silent change (P155P CCC \rightarrow CCT) was observed. Given that most of the changes observed were silent it is unlikely that they would have contributed significantly to the AcrA levels. Strains (TS165, TS173, TS257 and TS293) which significantly overexpress <u>ramA</u> appear not to produce greater levels of the AcrA protein (Fig. 3B).

283

284 **4. Discussion**

Recent studies have linked antibiotic resistance, particularly tigecycline resistance, to the
increased expression of the *ramA* gene. In this study we identify in silico that key regulatory
features of the *ramA* locus are conserved amongst *Klebsiella*, *Enterobacter*, *Citrobacter* and *Salmonella* spp.

289

290 Our work also shows that both the RamR and RamA proteins bind the pI and pII promoters 291 specifically implying that the presence of recognition sites for both proteins within these 292 promoter regions. Like other orthologous systems such as MarRAB, SoxRS [24], the binding of 293 purified RamA to the pI and pII promoters indicates that ramA autoregulates its own expression. 294 Additionally RamR is appears to be able to bind regions that either contain a perfect palindrome 295 or more degenerate palindromic sites (Fig. 1A & 2A). Other TetR-like regulators have been 296 shown to regulate via palindromic binding sites that are identified as lower affinity binding sites 297 due to key differences in the palindromic binding sequence.

298

299 Our results show that the regulation of the *romA-ramA* locus is not entirely identical to that 300 observed in Salmonella as there are two promoters within the romA-ramA locus. The presence of 301 these two promoters supports the possibility that the *romA-ramA* genes can be regulated 302 independently of each other or as part of an operon (Fig. 3A). Consistent with this genetic 303 arrangement, changes were identified within the pI and putative pII promoter regions in the *ramA* 304 overexpressing strains (Table 3B). Interestingly the levels of *romA* and *ramA* expression are not 305 linked supporting our finding that two different promoters control the regulation of the romA-306 ramA locus (Fig. 3A).

307 We chose to extend our molecular findings by determining the regulation of ramA expression in 308 clinical isolates that were resistant or intermediately susceptible to tigecycline. Our results 309 demonstrate that in geographically diverse isolates of K. pneumoniae, 17 isolates exhibited 310 tigecycline MICs of \geq 4mg/l (Table 3A). A notable example is the strain, TS 257, which exhibits a 311 tigecycline MIC of 16mg/l, where no mutations were observed within the ramR-romAramA locus 312 but still overexpressed the ramA gene. Two key observations arise from our data, the first where 313 ramA is not always associated with ramR mediated derepression and secondly decreased 314 tigecycline susceptibility is not always associated with *ramA* expression. We also did not observe 315 any significant mutations within the promoter regions as has been observed previously [16-17]. 316 An interesting point is that the isolates in this study pre date the use of tigecycline in the relevant 317 hospitals, which underscores the likelihood that most antibiotics have the propensity to select for 318 changes that result in ramA overexpression, a similar situation that is noted for the marRAB and 319 soxRS systems [24]. The lack of a direct association between <u>ramA</u> and <u>acrA</u> expression has been 320 noted previously [25] and supports our data.

321

The overexpression of transcriptional regulators such as RamA triggers the expression of a multitude of genes that confer pleiotrophic phenotypes ([26], Schneiders T. unpublished data). Given the varied bacterial response that is mounted with <u>ramA</u> overexpression, we should consider the broader implications of these intrinsic resistance mechanisms in the development and persistence of antimicrobial resistance.

327

328 Acknowledgements

- 329 We thank S. McAteer and Professor D. Gally for the construction of TS67 and TS68 and Prof
- 330 S.B. Levy for strains AG100A and AG100B.
- 331
- 332
- 333

334 **Declarations**

- **Funding:** This work was funded by the MRC Grant G0601199 and support for RR by
- 336 Department for Employment and Learning (Northern Ireland) through its "Strengthening the all-
- 337 island Research Base" initiative.
- 338 **Competing Interests:** No conflict of interests

- 339 Ethical Approval: Not applicable
- 340

341 **References**

- 342 [1] Hernandez-Alles S, Alberti S, Alvarez D, Domenech-Sanchez A, Martinez-Martinez L, Gil J,
- 343 et al. Porin expression in clinical isolates of *<u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>*. Microbiology.
- 344 1999;145:673-9.
- 345 [2] Chevalier J, Pages JM, Eyraud A, Mallea M. Membrane permeability modifications are
- 346 involved in antibiotic resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.

347 2000;274:496-9.

- 348 [3] Ardanuy C, Linares J, Dominguez MA, Hernandez-Alles S, Benedi VJ, Martinez-Martinez L.
- 349 Outer membrane profiles of clonally related <u>*Klebsiella pneumoniae*</u> isolates from clinical samples
- and activities of cephalosporins and carbapenems. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1998;42:1636-

351 40.

352 [4] Rice LB. The clinical consequences of antimicrobial resistance. Curr Opin Microbiol.

353 2009;12:476-81.

- [5] Livermore DM. Tigecycline: what is it, and where should it be used? J Antimicrob
- 355 Chemother. 2005;56:611-4.
- 356 [6] Keeney D, Ruzin A, McAleese F, Murphy E, Bradford PA. MarA-mediated overexpression of
- 357 the AcrAB efflux pump results in decreased susceptibility to tigecycline in *Escherichia coli*. J
- 358 Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;61:46-53.
- 359 [7] Keeney D, Ruzin A, Bradford PA. RamA, a transcriptional regulator, and AcrAB, an RND-
- 360 type efflux pump, are associated with decreased susceptibility to tigecycline in *Enterobacter*
- 361 *cloacae*. Microb Drug Resist. 2007;13:1-6.

- 362 [8] Ruzin A, Keeney D, Bradford PA. AdeABC multidrug efflux pump is associated with
- 363 decreased susceptibility to tigecycline in <u>Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-Acinetobacter baumannii</u>
- 364 complex. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007;59:1001-4.
- 365 [9] Ruzin A, Keeney D, Bradford PA. AcrAB efflux pump plays a role in decreased susceptibility
- to tigecycline in *Morganella morganii*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:791-3.
- 367 [10] Ruzin A, Visalli MA, Keeney D, Bradford PA. Influence of transcriptional activator RamA
- 368 on expression of multidrug efflux pump AcrAB and tigecycline susceptibility in <u>Klebsiella</u>
- 369 *pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49:1017-22.
- 370 [11] Schneiders T, Amyes SG, Levy SB. Role of AcrR and ramA in fluoroquinolone resistance in
- 371 clinical <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> isolates from Singapore. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
- 372 2003;47:2831-7.
- [12] Piddock LJ. Multidrug-resistance efflux pumps not just for resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol.
 2006;4:629-36.
- [13] Padilla E, Llobet E, Domenech-Sanchez A, Martinez-Martinez L, Bengoechea JA, Alberti S.
- 376 <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> AcrAB efflux pump contributes to antimicrobial resistance and virulence.
- Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54:177-83.
- 378 [14] Ma D, Alberti M, Lynch C, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. The local repressor AcrR plays a
- 379 modulating role in the regulation of *acrAB* genes of *Escherichia coli* by global stress signals. Mol
- 380 Microbiol. 1996;19:101-12.
- 381 [15] George AM, Hall RM, Stokes HW. Multidrug resistance in <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u>: a novel
- 382 gene, *ramA*, confers a multidrug resistance phenotype in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology.
- 383 1995;141:1909-20.

- [16] Hentschke M, Wolters M, Sobottka I, Rohde H, Aepfelbacher M. *ramR* mutations in clinical
- 385 isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with reduced susceptibility to Tigecycline. Antimicrob Agents
- 386 Chemother. 2010.
- 387 [17] Abouzeed YM, Baucheron S, Cloeckaert A. *ramR* mutations involved in efflux-mediated
- 388 multidrug resistance in *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium*. Antimicrob Agents
- 389 Chemother. 2008;52:2428-34.
- 390 [18] Kallman O, Motakefi A, Wretlind B, Kalin M, Olsson-Liljequist B, Giske CG. Cefuroxime
- 391 non-susceptibility in multidrug-resistant <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> overexpressing <u>ramA</u> and <u>acrA</u>
- and expressing ompK35 at reduced levels. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;62:986-90.
- 393 [19] Okusu H, Ma D, Nikaido H. AcrAB efflux pump plays a major role in the antibiotic
- 394 resistance phenotype of *Escherichia coli* multiple-antibiotic-resistance (Mar) mutants. J
- 395 Bacteriol. 1996;178:306-8.
- 396 [20] Merlin C, McAteer S, Masters M. Tools for characterization of *Escherichia coli* genes of
- 397 unknown function. J Bacteriol. 2002;184:4573-81.
- 398 [21] Andrews JM. BSAC standardized disc susceptibility testing method (version 8). J
- 399 Antimicrob Chemother. 2009;64:454-89.
- 400 [22] Hawser SP. Global monitoring of cross-resistance between tigecycline and minocycline,
- 401 2004-2009. J Infect. 2010;60:401-2.
- 402 [23] Bryson K, McGuffin LJ, Marsden RL, Ward JJ, Sodhi JS, Jones DT. Protein structure
- 403 prediction servers at University College London. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33:W36-8.
- 404 [24] Alekshun MN, Levy SB. The *mar* regulon: multiple resistance to antibiotics and other toxic
- 405 chemicals. Trends Microbiol. 1999;7:410-3.

- 406 [25] Ruzin A, Immermann FW, Bradford PA. Real-time PCR and statistical analyses of *acrAB*
- 407 and *ramA* expression in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents
- 408 Chemother. 2008;52:3430-2.
- 409 [26] Bailey AM, Ivens A, Kingsley R, Cottell JL, Wain J, Piddock LJ. RamA, a member of the
- 410 AraC/XylS family, influences both virulence and efflux in <u>Salmonella enterica</u> serovar
- 411 *<u>Typhimurium</u>*. J Bacteriol. 2010;192:1607-16.
- 412
- 413

415	Figure and Table Captions:
416	Table 1: showing primer sequences used in this study
417	Table 2 : MIC ₅₀ and MIC ₉₀ (μ g/ml) values for 157 clinical isolates.
418	Legend : CIP = ciprofloxacin, CAZ = ceftazidime, TET = tetracycline, MIN = minocycline, TGC
419	= tigecycline
420	
421	Figure 1A: Alignment of the RamR palindromic binding sequence at the pI and pII promoter in
422	<u>Salmonella</u> , <u>Klebsiella</u> , <u>Enterobacter</u> and <u>Citrobacter</u> spp.
423	
424	Figure 1B: Regulatory Elements within the <u>romA-ramA</u> locus
425	The inverted palindromic sequences are in bold and indicated by arrows. The two putative
426	promoters are depicted as pI and pII. The transcription start of <i>ramA</i> as mapped by 5' RACE is
427	indicated by +1. The translation start site of both \underline{romA} and \underline{ramA} is indicated in bold and
428	superscript. All promoter changes are in grey with those hypothesized to be associated with
429	<u>ramA</u> overexpression in grey and with an asterisk.
430	
431 432	Table 3A: Association between RamR and AcrR changes, <i>ramA</i> and Tigecycline MICs
433	Table 3B: Changes observed within the pI and pII promoter regions
434	
435	Figure 2: EMSA of the promoter regions with purified RamA or RamR proteins.
436	A. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay showing the binding of purified RamR and RamA to the
437	pI and pII promoter regions. B . pII* represents the region underlined (dashed lines) in Figure 1B.
438	
	23

- 439 **Figure 3A:** Barchart showing levels of <u>*romA*</u> and <u>*ramA*</u> expression in clinical strains.
- 440 The levels of <u>romA</u> and <u>ramA</u> were normalised to <u>K. pneumoniae</u> Ecl8 (non-<u>ramA</u> expresser).
- 441
- 442 **Figure 3B**: Barchart showing levels of AcrA expression.

۲ ر د

- 443 Relative fold increases in the AcrA levels were quantified after comparisons with a wild type
- 444 sensitive <u>*K. pneumoniae*</u> isolate Ecl8.
- 445

446 Table 1

Primers	Sequence	
ramAF	5'-AGCCTGGGGGCGCTATATT 3'	
ramAR	5'-GTGGTTCTCTTTGCGGTAGG 3'	
romAF	5'-GAAGCGTAACCAGACGCTGT 3'	
romAR	5'-CTGGTCATACTGCCCGTTCT 3'	
ramRF	5' AACTGCAGTCGTCAAGACGATTTTCAATTTT 3'	
ramRR	5' AAAAGTACTAGTGTTTCCGGCGTCATTAG 3'	
acrRF	5' TTAAGCTGACAAGCTCTCCG 3'	
acrRR	5' ACGTAACCTCTGTAAAGTCAT 3'	
pIF	5' GGGCCAGTTTTCTGTT 3'	
pIR	5' ATAGTATCAATCACCTGAGC 3'	
pIIF	5' CTACTTTTTTCCTCACGCAG 3'	
pIIR	5' CCCTGCGGCGCCTTACCA 3'	
16SF	5' GTTACCCGCAGAAGAAGCAC 3'	
16SR	5' CTACGCATTTCACCGCTACA 3'	
ramAR1	5' TTGCAGATGCCATTTCGA 3'	
ramAR2	5' TATCATCAATACGCAGCG 3'	
ramAR3	5' GGGGTACCATAGTATCAATCACCTGAGC 3'	

447

449 Table 2

	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀
CIP	128	>128
CAZ	>128	>128
TET	>128	>128
MIN	32	128
TGC	1	2

451 Table 3A

Strain	RamR	AcrR	TGC MIC	Origin
			(µg/ml)	_
S7	No change	[11]	8	Singapore
S28	15nt Δ	[11]	2	Singapore
	(558-573bp)			
S29	7nt insertion at nt	[11]	16	Singapore
	position 561			
TS 152	T119P	No change	4	Turkey
TS 165	T119P	GAG440GAA (E147E)	4	Turkey
TS 170	No change	No change	2	Turkey
TS 173	No change	No change	2	Turkey
TS 184	No change	No change	4	Turkey
TS 202	H186N, A187E	No change	2	Chile
TS 215	E41K	CCC465CCT (P155P)	4	Chile
TS 221	No change	GAG440GAA (E147E)	2	Chile
TS 238	A19V	GAG440GAA (E147E)	4	Pakistan
TS 240	No change	CCC465CCT (P155P)	4	Pakistan
TS 245	No change	TTG641TTT (L214F)	4	Pakistan
TS 248	No change	ACC14AAC	4	Pakistan
		(T5N)		
TS 250	No change	GAG440GAA (E147E)	4	Pakistan
TS 251	No change	No change	8	Pakistan
TS 257	No change	No change	16	Pakistan
TS 259	No change	No change	2	Pakistan
TS 261	No change	GAG440GAA (E147E)	4	Pakistan
TS 262	I141T	No change	4	Pakistan
TS 267	No change	No change	4	Pakistan
TS 293	I141T	CCC465CCU (P155P)	4	Pakistan
TS 308	W94Stop	No change	4	Pakistan
Table 3B				

452

Table 3B 453

Strain	pI	pII
S7	t141c	t206c
S28		
S29		
TS 152		t206c, g229a, a235t
TS 165		
TS 170	t141c. c56t*	
TS 243	t141c	
TS 245	t141c	
TS 248	t141c	
TS 250	t141c	
TS 251	t141c	
TS 257	t141c	
TS 261	t141c	t206c, g229a, a235t

454

455 Figure 1

456 A)



458 B)



459

The inverted palindromic sequences are in bold and indicated by arrows. The two putative promoters are depicted as pI and pII. The transcription start of <u>ramA</u> as mapped by 5' RACE is indicated by +1. The translation start site of both <u>romA</u> and <u>ramA</u> is indicated in bold and superscript. All promoter changes are in grey with those hypothesized to be associated with <u>ramA</u> overexpression in grey and with an asterisk.

- 465 Figure 2
- 466 A) pI and pII promoter regions



476 A. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay showing the binding of purified RamR and477 RamA to the pI and pII promoter regions.

478 **B**. pII* represents the region underlined (dashed lines) in Figure 1B.

480 Figure

481 3A)



484 3B)



486





Service

Figure 1B

Figure 2

A) pI and pII promoter regions



A. Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay showing the binding of purified RamR and RamA to the pI and pII promoter regions.

B. pII* represents the region underlined (dashed lines) in Figure 1B.

- 1 Figure 3
- 2 A)







7