

Interplay of seismic and aseismic deformations during earthquake swarms: An experimental approach

Olivier Lengliné, J.E. Elkhoury, Guillaume Daniel, Jean Schmittbuhl, Renaud Toussaint, J.-P. Ampuero, Michel Bouchon

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Lengliné, J.E. Elkhoury, Guillaume Daniel, Jean Schmittbuhl, Renaud Toussaint, et al.. Interplay of seismic and aseismic deformations during earthquake swarms: An experimental approach. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 2012, 331-332, pp.215-223. 10.1016/j.epsl.2012.03.022 . hal-00701955

HAL Id: hal-00701955 https://hal.science/hal-00701955v1

Submitted on 28 May 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Interplay of seismic and aseismic deformations during earthquake swarms: an experimental approach

O. Lengliné^{a,*}, J. E. Elkhoury^b, G. Daniel^c, J. Schmittbuhl^a, R. Toussaint^a, J.-P. Ampuero^b, M. Bouchon^d

^aInstitut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg, CNRS et Université de Strasbourg (EOST), France ^bSeismological Laboratory, Caltech, Pasadena, USA ^cMagnitude, Research and Development, Centre Regain, Sainte-Tulle, France ^dISTERRE, CNRS et Université Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France

Abstract

Observations of earthquake swarms and slow propagating ruptures on related faults suggest a close relation between the two phenomena. Earthquakes are the signature of fast unstable ruptures initiated on localized asperities while slow aseismic deformations are experienced on large stable segments of the fault plane. The spatial proximity and the temporal coincidence of both fault mechanical responses highlight the variability of fault rheology. However, the mechanism relating earthquakes and aseismic processes is still elusive due to the difficulty of imaging these phenomena of large spatiotemporal variability at depth. Here we present laboratory experiments that explore, in great detail, the deformation processes of heterogeneous interfaces in the brittle-creep regime. We track the evolution of an interfacial crack over 7 orders of magnitude in time and 5 orders of magnitude in space using optical and acoustic sensors. We explore the response of the system to slow transient loads and show that slow deformation episodes are systematically accompanied by acoustic emissions due to local fracture energy disorder. Features of acoustic emission activities and deformation rates distributions of our experimental system are similar to those in natural faults. On the basis of an activation energy model, we link our results to the Rate and State friction model and suggest an active role of local creep deformation in driving the

Preprint submitted to Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: lenglineunistra.fr (O. Lengliné)

seismic activity of earthquake swarms.

Keywords:

1 1. Introduction

Numerous observations of a correlation between seismic activity enhance-2 ments and slow slip transients in the Earth crust have been reported in var-3 ious tectonic locations (Linde et al., 1996; Crescentini et al., 1999; Lohman 4 and McGuire, 2007; Segall et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007) and in geothermal areas (Bourouis and Bernard, 2007; Takada and Furuya, 2010). Also, obser-6 vations of postseismic slip and aftershocks following large earthquakes are manifestations of transient deformation coupled with abundant earthquake 8 activity (Perfettini and Avouac, 2004). A central question is whether or not 9 these two different mechanical responses of faults, *i.e.* seismic and aseis-10 mic slip, occur on closely located zones. If they are, one expects a strong 11 interaction between the two processes. 12

In some cases, the seismic signal concurrent with slow slip events is char-13 acterized as tectonic tremors or low frequency earthquakes, as observed in 14 subduction zones (Rogers and Dragert, 2003; Obara et al., 2004; Ito et al., 15 2007) or in transform tectonic settings like the San Andreas Fault (SAF) 16 (Vidale and Shearer, 2006; Nadeau and Dolenc, 2005; Shelly, 2010). The 17 aseismic nucleation phase of mainshocks has also been related to foreshocks. 18 as in the case of the 1999 Izmit earthquake (Bouchon et al., 2011) or the 19 2011 Tohoku-Oki earthquake (Miyazaki et al., 2011; Ando and Imanishi, 20 2011; Kato et al., 2012). Seismic events associated with aseismic slip are 21 generally located on fault planes suggesting that they represent a dynamic 22 shear instability on the sliding interface (La Rocca et al., 2009; Shelly et al., 23 2009). Geodetic inversions suggest that the aseismic motion occurs on the 24 same fault plane as the seismic events (Lohman and McGuire, 2007). How-25 ever the deformation signal recorded at the surface by GPS and/or InSAR 26 instruments only provides a macroscopic view of the deformation process 27 at depth. Details of the aseismic slip distribution are often lacking due to 28 the limited resolution, unless the aseismic slip occurs at the Earth surface 29 (Doubre and Peltzer, 2007). 30

The close spatial and temporal occurrence of both seismic and aseismic slip suggests a causal relation between the two phenomena. Nonetheless, the causal mechanism is not straightforward as earthquakes can both trigger

and be triggered by slow slip events (Du et al., 2003; Perfettini and Avouac, 34 2004). It might also be that both aseismic and seismic slip are manifestations 35 of a common deformation process. In this case, the seismic signal can be 36 seen as the signature of patches on the fault plane deforming dynamically 37 whereas the geodetic observation represents an integrated signal over all the 38 deforming sites. Therefore, the deformation on a fault plane takes place over 39 a wide range of speeds. This is supported by observations of heterogeneous 40 postseismic slip on the SAF inferred from the analysis of repeating earthquake 41 sequences (Lengliné and Marsan, 2009). The dynamic events represent the 42 high velocity tail of the slip speed distribution while its average produces the 43 observed geodetic signal. 44

The contribution of earthquakes to the total amount of slip released dur-45 ing transient episodes is generally small (Lohman and McGuire, 2007). De-46 spite being located on a common interface, accurate spatial location of the 47 seismic activity relative to the aseismic slip is difficult to obtain. The hetero-48 geneity of mechanical and physical properties of the interface might control 49 the partition between seismic and aseismic slip, the interface being envisioned 50 as a collection of brittle patches (asperities) embedded in an otherwise creep-51 ing region which accommodates slow slip (Linde et al., 1996; Lohman and 52 McGuire, 2007; Wech et al., 2009; Perfettini et al., 2010). The concentration 53 of asperities, or brittle patches, governs the relative importance of dynamic 54 failures in the deformation process. It is also readily possible that tempera-55 ture produces a broad scale effect on the slip partition over the interface and 56 thus constrains the transition between brittle and ductile rheology. 57

The physics of both seismic and aseismic processes is not straightfor-58 ward as it involves a complex problem on a spatially heterogeneous medium 59 with a large number of degrees of freedom and short and long range inter-60 actions. Several numerical models have tried to reproduce the evolution of 61 such systems but computations are extremely demanding and time consum-62 ing and limited to only large scale heterogeneities (Kaneko et al., 2010), or to 63 the quasi-dynamic approximation (Hillers et al., 2007; Arivoshi et al., 2011). 64 Analog laboratory experiments overcome these difficulties as space-time inte-65 grations are performed by the deforming system without any model require-66 ment. Here, we investigate the slow (creep) and fast (acoustic) deformations 67 produced by the propagation of an interfacial brittle-creep crack. Our exper-68 imental setup is a much simpler configuration than that of a complex fault 69 zone system but the fundamental processes of interest, the interplay of slow 70 deformation and brittle fracture on the same heterogeneous interface, remain 71

⁷² similar. Our setup allows the simultaneous monitoring of both acoustic ac-⁷³ tivity and the detailed geometrical evolution of the fracture. We show that ⁷⁴ seismic and aseismic events co-exist in the system and their activity rates are ⁷⁵ highly correlated. This suggests that seismic activity during swarm episodes ⁷⁶ is driven by the elastic loading of asperities by local aseismic deformations.

77 2. Experimental Setup

78 2.1. Sample Preparation

To prepare each sample, we use two transparent PMMA (poly methyl 79 methacrylate) plates of dimensions $20 \times 10 \times 1.0 \, cm$ and $23 \times 2.8 \times 0.5 \, cm$ 80 (Figure 1). First, we sand-blast one surface of the narrow plate with glass 81 beads of diameter $\phi \in [180 - 300] \, \mu m$. We clean the blasted plate to remove 82 any electrically attached glass beads. Then we assemble the two plates in 83 a stiff metallic loading cell with the blasted surface facing one of the sur-84 faces of the thick plate. Finally, we impose a homogeneous normal load on 85 the assembled plates and heat the loaded sample to $190^{\circ}C$ for 45 minutes 86 to anneal the plates. The thermal annealing produces a cohesive interface, 87 weaker than the bulk, along which the sample will break under load. The 88 sand-blasting introduces random roughness to the plate surface that controls 89 the heterogeneity of local strength along the interface. It also induces mi-90 crostructures on the plate surface that make the surface opaque. The newly 91 formed block, after annealing, recovers its transparency since the contrast of 92 the refraction index along the interface disappears (see Grob et al. (2009); 93 Lengliné et al. (2011) for details). Interestingly PMMA exhibits a brittle be-94 havior at short time scales and is semi-brittle or even plastic at longer times. 95 Macroscopically this long time scale regime is described by a ductile rheol-96 ogy. PMMA shows a time-temperature equivalence desirable for addressing 97 either high temperature processes or very long term evolution (Ward and 98 Hadley, 1993). This richness of the PMMA rheology enables the observation 90 of a brittle-creep rupture regime. It provides an attractive analogy for the 100 study of numerous time-dependent mechanisms in the Earth crust as those 101 originating at the brittle-ductile transition. 102

103 2.2. Mechanical loading

Once the sample is ready, we clamp the widest PMMA plate to a stiff aluminum frame. A stepping motor applies the loading over the top side of the narrow plate in a direction normal to the plate interface (Figure 1).

We measure the vertical displacement of the loading point with a linear 107 variable differential transformer (LVDT) with a resolution of $1.3 \,\mu m$. The 108 vertical displacement imposed on the narrower plate induces stable mode I 109 propagation of a planar fracture along the prescribed weak interface. We 110 impose a variation of the loading speed, $\dot{u}(t)$, to simulate a variation of 111 the external driving force. We performed 14 experiments where we applied 112 various forms of temporal transients, namely a step increase, a bump increase 113 and a sinusoidal of the loading speed imposed by the stepping motor. All 114 these transient loads produced broad scale variation of the front speed (e.g. 115 Figure 2, see also Supplementary Material) and they could be interpreted as 116 an analog for far field stress perturbations caused, for example, by pore fluid 117 pressure, magmatic intrusion or mantle flow. 118

119 2.3. Optical events

We monitor the fracture front propagation using a fast camera (Cam-120 Record 600) with up to 1000 fps or a slow speed camera (Nikon D700) with 121 up to 5 fps to follow the progression of the front position over longer time 122 scales (Figure 1). Optical images of the interfacial rupture show dark and 123 bright regions corresponding to open crack and unbroken parts of the sample 124 respectively. Image processing determines the transition between dark and 125 bright areas that defines the fracture front. We first compute the difference 126 between each image and the first image of the experiment. The image differ-127 ence highlights the fracture front while removing permanent artifacts. Then, 128 grayscale images are transformed into black and white images according to a 129 grav level threshold separating bright and dark regions. Then, we calculate 130 the gradient in the direction of front propagation to highlight the transi-131 tion zone. We finally extract connected pixels from the gradient images that 132 correspond to the front position, a(x,t). The front propagates along the y 133 axis with the origin defined at the loading point and is positive in the direc-134 tion of crack propagation. The x axis is perpendicular to y and defines the 135 coordinate of a point along the front and $\bar{a}(t)$ is the mean position of the 136 front at time t (see Måløy et al. (2006) and Grob et al. (2009) for details). 137 We compute the local speed of the crack as the pixel size divided by the 138 time spent by the front in each pixel (Figure 3). We extract the pixels with 139 the highest speeds from the local movements of the front. The broad scale 140 variation of the front velocity could be compared to slow events recorded by 141 geodetic instruments in a tectonic context. The patches of higher than av-142 erage deformation speed could be considered as analogous to local creeping 143

episodes or slow slip events. They represent slow deformation episodes that 144 locally exceed the macroscopic deformation rate. We follow the procedure 145 detailed by Grob et al. (2009) to define such events. We define an "optical 146 event" (OE) as a as a cluster of connected pixels which velocity exceeds a 147 prescribed speed threshold, v_{th} . Here we adopt $v_{th} = 10 \times \langle v \rangle$, where $\langle v \rangle$ is 148 the mean velocity of the crack during an experiment. Although these optical 149 events have higher velocities than most of the deforming sites, they remain 150 slow deformation episodes compared to dynamic events (the average speed 151 $\langle v \rangle \simeq 500 \mu m s^{-1}$, far from the Rayleigh wave speed, $V_r \simeq 1.7 \, 10^9 \mu m s^{-1}$). 152 These optical events are characterized by a Gutenberg-Richter power law 153 with a slope similar to that of tectonic earthquakes (Grob et al., 2009). This 154 Gutenberg-Richter relation might also be related to the magnitude-frequency 155 scaling inferred for slow-slip events (Wech et al., 2010). 156

157 2.4. Acoustic events

The crack propagation produces acoustic activity that we monitor with 158 a 32 elements linear array of piezo-electric sensors. Sensors are located on 159 a line parallel to the plate axis and sensors separation is 3 mm (see Fig. 1). 160 The typical distance between the closest acoustic sensor and the border of 161 the plate is 1 cm. The sensors peak frequency response is ~ 500 kHz and 162 all channels are continuously recorded at 5 MHz. For each experiment, we 163 extract the acoustic signal recorded in the two closest acoustic sensors to the 164 fracture front line. We manually trigger the acquisition of the acoustic data, 165 which is synchronized with the camera time sequence. The recordings of the 166 acoustic signals last, in our experiments, for a maximum of 50 s. 167

We use a short-term-average to long-term-average ratio (STA/LTA) to detect 168 acoustic events (AE) in the recorded acoustic signal (Earle and Shearer, 169 1994). This procedure is similar to that applied to earthquake data. In our 170 case, we use shorter time windows tailored to our short signal durations and 171 high frequency acquisition rate. The STA window length is $20\mu s$, the LTA 172 window length is $100\mu s$ and the threshold for setting an STA/LTA detection 173 is 2.0. An event must be detected simultaneously on the two closest channels 174 to be considered in our analysis. We show an example of the acoustic signal 175 recorded during one experiment in Figure 4. The typical duration of the 176 recorded events is on the order of $100\mu s$. The performance of the detection 177 algorithm is illustrated in Figure 5. As expected, the detection of events is 178 associated with high amplitudes of the recorded signal on the two closest 179 channels. AEs result from the fracturing of geometrical asperities over the 180

interface. We estimate the typical spatial scale of these failures to be less 181 than several microns (The largest AE is generated during an optical event 182 that has maximum dimensions of ~ 600 μ m by 30 μ m). We observe non-183 uniformly distributed clustering of acoustic and optical activity (Figure 5). 184 The temporal coincidence of AEs and OEs is important but not systematic 185 (Figure 5). It is attributed to AEs that are too small to be optically detected, 186 OEs that are genuinely aseismic, and clusters of AEs that are lumped into a 187 single large OE. 188

189 3. Results

¹⁹⁰ 3.1. Distribution of inter-event time

Our results suggest the presence of clustering of the acoustic activity 191 (Figure 5). We quantify this clustering by calculating the distribution of 192 inter-event times of successive AEs for all 14 experiments. We only consid-193 ered AE when the loading rate was nearly constant in order to avoid mixing 194 populations recorded during different loading rates. Inter-event times are 195 normalized by the average AE rate of each experiment (the average AE rate 196 for the different experiments, is on the order of 10^2 events/sec). The probabil-197 ity density functions (pdf) for all the experiments are well approximated by 198 a gamma function (Figure 6). The displayed gamma distribution is obtained 199 from averaging the parameters of each individual fit. Figure 6 also includes 200 the inter-event time distribution for the ISC worldwide catalog for earth-201 quakes with magnitude M>5.5 in the period 1975-2004. Temporal clustering 202 has been well documented for earthquakes and is suggested to be a result of 203 interactions among earthquakes (Corral, 2004; Molchan, 2005; Hainzl et al., 204 2006; Saichev and Sornette, 2007). The normalization of the interevent time 205 is obtained similarly by the average seismic event rate (on the order of 1 206 event/day). The good agreement between the gamma distribution and the 207 pdf obtained from our experimental data is similar to that for earthquake 208 data (Corral, 2004) or AE recorded during rock fracture experiments (David-209 sen et al., 2007). It suggests that the mechanism responsible for the seismic 210 activity in fault systems or rock fractures shares strong similarities with our 211 experiment, which can be seen as an analogous system for seismicity inter-212 actions. We interpret the decay at short time scale as the emergence of an 213 Omori-Utsu law describing interactions among events while the distribution 214 evolves to an exponential distribution representative of a Poisson process at 215 longer time scales (Saichev and Sornette, 2007). 216

217 3.2. Power-law distribution of local slip-rate

We calculate the pdf of the local velocities following Måløv et al. (2006) for 218 six of the experiments where we used the fast video camera at high sampling 219 rate. We only analyze, for these experiments, time windows with an almost 220 constant large scale velocity. The local velocity is normalized by the average 221 velocity of the crack, $\langle v \rangle$. Front velocities exhibit significant fluctuations at 222 small scales (Figure 3). For $v > \langle v \rangle$ the pdf shows a power law decay with 223 exponent 2.55 consistent with previous works (Måløy et al., 2006; Lengliné 224 et al., 2011). This power law behavior of the deformation rate at small scale, 225 in our experiment, has to be compared to the observed behavior in natural 226 fault systems. For instance, in southern California, fault slip rates are found 227 to obey such a power law scaling where the fault system is characterized 228 by slow slip rates (Meade, 2007). This comparison suggests that a second 229 relation between our experiments and natural fault behavior can be proposed 230 and that the scaling behavior is a general feature of slowly deforming media 231 in the presence of heterogeneities. 232

²³³ 3.3. Lateral migration of the deformation velocity

Migration of the fracture velocity along the crack front direction is anal-234 ogous to the dip-parallel slip propagation revealed by tremors in subduction 235 zone (Shelly et al., 2007; Ghosh et al., 2010). In most experiments, high 236 loading rates or low recording acquisition rates do not allow us to distinguish 237 migration patterns confidently as interactions among sites are numerous and 238 occur over short time intervals. We find indications of along-front migration 239 with tractable velocities for long enough times during experiments where 240 loading rates are the lowest (Figure 8). High velocity clusters appear in 241 streaks that suggest the progression of the rupture in the direction perpen-242 dicular to the crack front propagation. The migration velocity of these high 243 velocity streaks is on the order of 2 cm/s (Figure 8). During this time inter-244 val, the crack front propagated at a typical velocity of 400 $\mu m/s$. We note 245 that this migration speed is higher than the crack front speed. Taking 10-100 246 km/h as a typical range of dip-parallel tremor migration (Shelly et al., 2007; 247 Ghosh et al., 2010), and 10 km/day as an estimate of along-strike speed of 248 the slow slip event (e.g. Bartlow et al., 2011), the ratio of these two velocities 240 is in the range 25-250. The velocity ratio obtained in our experiment is on 250 the order of 50, well within the range obtained in subduction zones. 251

252 3.4. An interplay of seismic and aseismic local deformations

The evolution of the acoustic emission (AE) rate, the spatial average ve-253 locity of the crack front and the optical event (OE) rate are similar (Figure 7). 254 AE, OE rates and the average front velocities are computed for intervals of 255 0.2 s. A clear temporal relation between these three quantities at this large 256 scale can be inferred: the AE and OE rates closely follow the macroscopic 257 variation of crack front speed. The brittle fracture propagation generating 258 acoustic emission and creep deformation co-exist in the same zone and are 259 active at the same time. 260

We calculate the affine relation connecting the average front speed and 261 the AE rate for all experiments. The residuals of the linear relation are small 262 and symmetrically distributed suggesting a linear relation between AE rate 263 and crack velocity. This is attested by the correlation coefficient, ρ , computed 264 for each experiment between these two variables. The correlation coefficient 265 is defined as $\rho = \sigma_{xy}/\sigma_x \sigma_y$, with σ_{xy} the covariance of the AE rate with the 266 front speed and σ_x and σ_y the standard deviations of the AE rate and the 267 front speed. The correlation coefficient, ρ for a 0.2 s window and for our 14 268 experiments ranges from $\rho = 0.75$ to 0.97 and with a mean value of 0.87. 269

We now focus on smaller time scales relevant to acoustic activity and slow 270 movement of the crack front. The crack front velocity shows important fluc-271 tuations at small scales, although being smooth and rather continuous when 272 observed at large scale. These fluctuations result from the heterogeneous 273 nature of the interface and the elastic interactions along the crack front line. 274 We investigate the temporal relation between OE and AE recorded during 275 the 6 experiments which show the highest acoustic activity and the best re-276 solved crack advance. The cross-correlation function, $C(\Delta t)$, between the 277 rate of AE, $r_{AE}(t)$, and the rate of optical events, $r_{OE}(t)$ is 278

$$C(\Delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{AE}C_{OE}}} \sum_{t_0}^{t_f} r_{OE}(t + \Delta t) \times r_{AE}(t), \qquad (1)$$

where C_{AE} and C_{OE} are the auto-correlations values at zero lag time of the AE and the OE rates, respectively. For both AE and OE, rates are computed as the number of events detected in time intervals of 5 ms from time t_0 to time t_f (the mean is removed from the time-series). The maximum correlation between the two types of signals is at zero lag time (Figure 9). It shows that the acoustic activity occurs mostly in a short time span around the aseismic deformation. The quasi-symmetric shape of the correlation function also ²⁸⁶ suggests that optical events both precede and follow acoustic activity during ²⁸⁷ the course of an experiment highlighting the close interplay between these two ²⁸⁸ modes of deformation. The slight asymmetrical shape of $C(\Delta t)$ (Figure 9) ²⁸⁹ also suggests that a higher rate of OE occurs following AE than preceding it, ²⁹⁰ similar to postseismic slip observed after large earthquakes (e.g. Hsu et al., ²⁹¹ 2006).

292 4. Discussion

In our experiments, the average fracture speed obeys the Arrhenius law at the macroscopic or global scale (Lengliné et al., 2011). Such an evolution has been inferred in numerous experimental systems reproducing slow deformations of rocks and other materials (Atkinson, 1984). In our experiment, this expression relates the crack front speed propagation, V, to an activation energy mechanism and can be expressed as

$$V = V_0 \exp\left(\frac{-Q}{k_B T}\right).$$
⁽²⁾

 V_0 is the product of a frequency of attempt to break molecular bonds and the jump distance, k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature. The activation energy of the process is Q

$$Q = Q_0 - G\alpha^2, \tag{3}$$

where Q_0 is the activation energy in the absence of applied stress, G is the 302 energy release rate and α is the typical length scale of the atomistic fracturing 303 process. The stress dependence in Eq. (3) is similarly often written in terms 304 of the stress intensity factor, K, at the crack tip. It follows that by controlling 305 the imposed loading rate on the system we modify the value of the energy 306 release rate (equivalently stress intensity factor) at the crack tip. In this 307 sense, simulated transient deformation processes mimic the increase of the 308 deformation speed recorded during swarm episodes (Lohman and McGuire, 309 2007) or slow slip events in subduction zones (Rogers and Dragert, 2003). 310 The formulation of the crack speed in Eq. (2) has been proposed to be the 311 physical basis of the rate and state friction model widely considered in fault 312 mechanics (e.g. Nakatani, 2001; Rice et al., 2001). If we consider a volumetric 313 process, the corresponding expression to Eq. (3) is 314

$$Q = Q_0 - \tau_c \alpha^3 \tag{4}$$

where the stress dependence is written in terms of the average shear stress, τ_c along asperity contacts. α^3 is the activation volume of the process. Following Rice et al. (2001), we call σ_c the average normal stress on contact zones, defined as: $\tau_c/\sigma_c = \tau/\sigma$, with τ and σ being the large scale stresses. It follows from Eq. (2)

$$V = V_0 \exp\left(\frac{-Q_0 + \tau_c \alpha^3}{k_B T}\right) \tag{5}$$

320 leading to:

$$\tau = \sigma \left[\frac{Q_0}{\alpha^3 \sigma_c} + \frac{k_B T}{\sigma_c \alpha^3} \ln \left(\frac{V}{V_0} \right) \right]$$
(6)

where V is interpreted in terms of slip rate on the fault plane. Eq. 6 has 321 a similar form to the empirical relation of the rate and state friction model 322 with $a = k_B T / \sigma_c \alpha^3$ and the state dependent variable represented by $Q_0 / \alpha^3 \sigma_c$ 323 (Rice et al., 2001). It follows that the progressive evolution of the front 324 position in our system can be viewed as a similar mechanical problem to the 325 evolution of slip on a fault plane with the same constitutive equation. The 326 quasi-static evolution of an anti-plane shear rupture is governed by similar 327 formulations to mode I fractures (Gao and Rice, 1986; Schmittbuhl et al., 328 2003). Thus, we consider our mode I fracture problem analogous to shear 329 ruptures. Therefore our experimental setup is relevant for addressing the 330 mechanics of simplified fault models as studied numerically by Gao et al. 331 (1991); Perfettini et al. (2003). Provided this analogy, the crack front advance 332 a(x,t) is analogous to the slip along fault $\delta(x,t)$. However each point of the 333 interface that breaks is completely unloaded due to the geometry of our 334 experimental setting. Therefore, we have no repeating failure of the same 335 patch contrary to natural faults and AE stress drops are total. However, 336 earthquake stress drops are usually a small fraction of the total stress (e.g. 337 Kanamori, 1994). Nevertheless, in both systems, AE and earthquake still 338 represent a dynamic failure mode, which makes them comparable. Following 339 the approach presented by Dieterich (1994), we propose to relate the AE rate 340 to the stress history of our system. We assume an interface composed of a 341 population of sources that generate AE. We hypothesize that the duration 342 of nucleation of these sources is longer than the duration of stress variations 343 imposed to the system. Hence, the seismicity rate, R, varies exponentially 344 with the shear stress change $\Delta \tau$ imposed on the system (Dieterich, 1994; 345

Beeler and Lockner, 2003; Cochran et al., 2004; Helmstetter and Shaw, 2009),

$$R = r \exp\left(\frac{\Delta\tau}{a\sigma}\right) \tag{7}$$

where r is a reference seismicity rate. The analogy mentioned above between shear stress τ and the energy release rate G, suggests that in our experiment:

$$R = r \exp\left(\frac{\alpha^2 \Delta G}{k_B T}\right),\tag{8}$$

Notice that Eq. (8) is the same expression of that for the speed of the 349 crack as a function of G obtained by combining Eq. (2) and (3): V =350 $V_0^* \exp\left(\alpha^2 G/k_B T\right)$ with $V_0^* = V_0 \exp\left(-Q_0/k_B T\right)$. Therefore we have a di-351 rect linear relation between AE rate R and speed of the crack V: $R \propto V$, 352 (Figure 7). At the system size, this relation provides a link between acoustic 353 activity and periods of high deformation. At smaller scales, the same mech-354 anism is applicable as the acoustic activity is influenced by local important 355 deformations episodes (OE) (Figure 9). Such local creeping episodes are sim-356 ilar to the small localized creep events observed on the San-Andreas fault by 357 Scholz et al. (1969). 358

We emphasize that the deformation recorded at the surface of the Earth 350 during transient episodes should be viewed as the macroscopic integration of 360 sequences of creep events. On large spatial scales, the creep events formed the 361 observed large scale behavior and correspond to the macroscopic observation 362 of the transient slip speed variation. Such a view is similar to the concept 363 developed by Scholz et al. (1969) where the deformation is modeled as the 364 integration of discrete sites subject to time-dependent strength. The acous-365 tic deformation being recorded only represents the part of the deformation 366 corresponding to zones that fail dynamically. This model is fully compatible 367 with our observations. We also remark that sites do not fail independently 368 as attested by the local close temporal activity between creep events and 369 acoustic events. As proposed by Scholz et al. (1969), it is readily possible 370 that creep events recorded at our experimental scale are also formed of small 371 acoustic events such that down-scaling might exist up to the microscopical 372 level associated with the discrete breakage of single molecular bonds. 373

374 5. Conclusion

We analyze the coupled evolution of acoustic activity and slow deformation during the propagation of brittle-creep fractures in a heterogeneous

medium. Our unique experimental setup addresses the relation between seis-377 mic and aseismic slip along natural faults. It provides an original character-378 ization of slow deformation processes which are difficult to capture on faults 379 at depth. Numerous statistical features of the deformation observed in nat-380 ural systems are reproduced by our experiments like the gamma distribution 381 of interevent times and the power law distribution of slip rates. We show that 382 the acoustic activity (dynamic events) is part of the deformation process and 383 it occurs over a widely distributed range of speeds including slow slip. Also, 384 small scale observations of the deformation reveal coexistence between creep 385 and acoustic events in the same mechanical system. The small scale complex 386 dynamics lead to a macroscopic integrated signal of the deformation that 387 shows a smooth and continuous deformation speed that correlates with the 388 rate of acoustic events. 389

390 Acknowledgments

We thank K. J. Måløy, K. T. Tallakstad, S. Santucci, M. Grob, F. H. Cornet, J.P. Avouac, D. R. Shelly, M. Aktar and H. Karabulut for fruitful discussions. We also thank the editor and two anonymous reviewers for their suggestions and A. Steyer for technical support. We acknowledge the support of ANR grant SUPNAF and of NSF grant EAR-1015698.

396 **References**

Ando, R., Imanishi, K., 2011. Possibility of Mw 9.0 mainshock triggered by
 diffusional propagation of after-slip from mw 7.3 foreshock. Earth, Planets
 and Space 63, 767–771.

Ariyoshi, K., Matsuzawa, T., Ampuero, J.-P., Nakata, R., Hori, T., Kaneda,
Y., Hino, R., Hasegawa, A., 2011. Migration process of very low-frequency
events based on a chain-reaction model and its application to the detection
of preseismic slip for megathrust earthquakes. Earth, Planets and Space,
in press.

Atkinson, B. K., Jun. 1984. Subcritical crack growth in geological materials.
J. Geophys. Res. 89, 4077–4114.

Bartlow, N. M., Miyazaki, S., Bradley, A. M., Segall, P., 2011. Space-time
correlation of slip and tremor during the 2009 Cascadia slow slip event.
Geophys. Res. Lett. 38, 18309.

- Beeler, N. M., Lockner, D. A., 2003. Why earthquakes correlate weakly with
 the solid Earth tides: Effects of periodic stress on the rate and probability
 of earthquake occurrence. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 2391.
- ⁴¹³ Bouchon, M., Karabulut, H., Aktar, M., Ozalaybey, S., Schmittbuhl, J., ⁴¹⁴ Bouin, M.-P., 2011. Extended Nucleation of the 1999 M_w 7.6 Izmit Earth-⁴¹⁵ quake. Science 331, 877.
- ⁴¹⁶ Bourouis, S., Bernard, P., 2007. Evidence for coupled seismic and aseismic
 ⁴¹⁷ fault slip during water injection in the geothermal site of Soultz (France),
 ⁴¹⁸ and implications for seismogenic transients. Geophys. J. Int. 169, 723–732.
- ⁴¹⁹ Cochran, E. S., Vidale, J. E., Tanaka, S., 2004. Earth Tides Can Trigger
 ⁴²⁰ Shallow Thrust Fault Earthquakes. Science 306, 1164–1166.
- 421 Corral, A., 2004. Long-term clustering, scaling, and universality in the tem422 poral occurrence of earthquakes. Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 108501.
- 423 Crescentini, L., Amoruso, A., Scarpa, R., 1999. Constraints on slow earth424 quake dynamics from a swarm in central Italy. Science 286 (5447), 2132–
 425 2134.
- ⁴²⁶ Davidsen, J., Stanchits, S., Dresen, G., 2007. Scaling and universality in rock
 ⁴²⁷ fracture. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 125502.
- Dieterich, J., 1994. A constitutive law for rate of earthquake production and
 its application to earthquake clustering. J. Geophys. Res. 99, 2601–2618.
- ⁴³⁰ Doubre, C., Peltzer, G., 2007. Fluid-controlled faulting process in the asal
 ⁴³¹ rift, djibouti, from 8 yr of radar interferometry observations. Geology
 ⁴³² 35 (1), 69–72.
- ⁴³³ Du, W.-x., Sykes, L. R., Shaw, B. E., Scholz, C. H., 2003. Triggered aseismic
 ⁴³⁴ fault slip from nearby earthquakes, static or dynamic effect? J. Geophys.
 ⁴³⁵ Res. 108, 2131.
- Earle, P. S., Shearer, P. M., 1994. Characterization of global seismograms
 using an automatic-picking algorithm. Bull. Seis. Soc. Am. 84 (2), 366–
 376.
- Gao, H., Rice, J. R., 1986. Shear Stress Intensity Factors for a Planar Crack
 With Slightly Curved Front. J. Appl. Mech. 53, 774.

- Gao, H., Rice, J. R., Lee, J., 1991. Penetration of a quasi-statistically slipping crack into a seismogenic zone of heterogeneous fracture resistance. J.
 Geophys. Res. 96, 21535–21548.
- Ghosh, A., Vidale, J. E., Sweet, J. R., Creager, K. C., Wech, A. G., Houston, H., Brodsky, E. E., 2010. Rapid, continuous streaking of tremor in Cascadia. Geochem., Geophy., Geosy. 11, 12010.
- Grob, M., Schmittbuhl, J., Toussaint, R., Rivera, L., Santucci, S., Måløy,
 K. J., 2009. Quake catalogs from an optical monitoring of an interfacial
 crack propagation. Pure App. Geophys. 166, 777–799.
- Hainzl, S., Scherbaum, F., Beauval, C., 2006. Estimating Background Activity Based on Interevent-Time Distribution. Bull. Seism. Soc. Am. 96,
 313–320.
- Helmstetter, A., Shaw, B. E., 2009. Afterslip and aftershocks in the rateand-state friction law. J. Geophys. Res. 114, B01308.
- Hillers, G., Mai, P. M., Ben-Zion, Y., Ampuero, J.-P., 2007. Statistical properties of seismicity of fault zones at different evolutionary stages. Geophys.
 J. Int. 169, 515–533.
- Hsu, Y.-J., Simons, M., Avouac, J.-P., Galetzka, J., Sieh, K., Chlieh, M.,
 Natawidjaja, D., Prawirodirdjo, L., Bock, Y., 2006. Frictional Afterslip Following the 2005 Nias-Simeulue Earthquake, Sumatra. Science 312,
 1921–1926.
- Ito, Y., Obara, K., Shiomi, K., Sekine, S., Hirose, H., 2007. Slow Earthquakes
 Coincident with Episodic Tremors and Slow Slip Events. Science 315, 503–.
- Kanamori, H., 1994. Mechanics of earthquakes. Annual Review of Earth and
 Planetary Sciences 22, 207–237.
- Kaneko, Y., Avouac, J.-P., Lapusta, N., 2010. Towards inferring earthquake
 patterns from geodetic observations of interseismic coupling. Nature Geoscience 3, 363–369.
- Kato, A., Obara, K., Igarashi, T., Tsuruoka, H., Nakagawa, S., Hirata, N.,
 2012. Propagation of slow slip leading up to the 2011 mw 9.0 Tohoku-Oki
 earthquake. Science 335 (6069), 705–708.

La Rocca, M., Creager, K. C., Galluzzo, D., Malone, S., Vidale, J. E., Sweet,
J. R., Wech, A. G., 2009. Cascadia Tremor Located Near Plate Interface
Constrained by S Minus P Wave Times. Science 323, 620–.

Lengliné, O., Marsan, D., 2009. Inferring the coseismic and postseismic stress changes caused by the 2004 $M_w = 6$ Parkfield earthquake from variations of recurrence times of microearthquakes. J. Geophys. Res. 114, B10303.

⁴⁷⁸ Lengliné, O., Schmittbuhl, J., Elkhoury, J., Ampuero, J. P., Toussaint, R.,
⁴⁷⁹ Måløy, K. J., 2011. Down-scaling of fracture energy during brittle creep
⁴⁸⁰ experiments. J. Geophys. Res. 116.

Lengliné, O., Toussaint, R., Schmittbuhl, J., Elkhoury, J. E., Ampuero, J.P., Tallakstad, K. T., Santucci, S., Måløy, K. J., 2011. Average crack
front velocity during subcritical fracture propagation in a heterogeneous
medium. Phys. Rev. E. 84.

Linde, A. T., Gladwin, M. T., Johnston, M. J. S., Gwyther, R. L., Bilham,
R. G., Sep. 1996. A slow earthquake sequence on the San Andreas fault.
Nature 383, 65–68.

Liu, Y., Rice, J. R., Larson, K. M., 2007. Seismicity variations associated
with aseismic transients in Guerrero, Mexico, 1995 2006. Earth Planet.
Sci. Lett. 262, 493–504.

Lohman, R. B., McGuire, J. J., 2007. Earthquake swarms driven by aseismic
creep in the Salton Trough, California. J. Geophys. Res. 112, B04405.

Måløy, K. J., Santucci, S., Schmittbuhl, J., Toussaint, R., 2006. Local waiting
time fluctuations along a randomly pinned crack front. Phys. Rev. Lett.
96, 045501.

Meade, B. J., 2007. Power-law distribution of fault slip-rates in southern
California. Geophys. Res. Lett. 342, L23307.

Miyazaki, S., McGuire, J. J., Segall, P., 2011. Seismic and aseismic fault slip
before and during the 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku earthquake.
Earth, Planets and Space 63, 637–642.

Molchan, G., 2005. Interevent Time Distribution in Seismicity: A Theoretical
 Approach. Pure Appl. Geophys. 162, 1135–1150.

- Nadeau, R. M., Dolenc, D., 2005. Nonvolcanic tremors deep beneath the San
 Andreas fault. Science 307 (5708), 389.
- Nakatani, M., 2001. Conceptual and physical clarification of rate and state
 friction: Frictional sliding as a thermally activated rheology. J. Geophys.
 Res. 106, 13347–13380.
- Obara, K., Hirose, H., Yamamizu, F., Kasahara, K., 2004. Episodic slow slip
 events accompanied by non-volcanic tremors in southwest Japan subduction zone. Geophys. Res. Lett. 31, L23602.
- Perfettini, H., Avouac, J.-P., 2004. Postseismic relaxation driven by brittle
 creep: A possible mechanism to reconcile geodetic measurements and the
 decay rate of aftershocks, application to the Chi-Chi earthquake, Taiwan.
 J. Geophys. Res. 109, B02304.
- Perfettini, H., Avouac, J.-P., Tavera, H., Kositsky, A., Nocquet, J.-M., Bondoux, F., Chlieh, M., Sladen, A., Audin, L., Farber, D. L., Soler, P., 2010.
 Seismic and aseismic slip on the Central Peru megathrust. Nature 465, 78–81.
- Perfettini, H., Schmittbuhl, J., Cochard, A., 2003. Shear and normal load
 perturbations on a two-dimensional continuous fault: 1. Static triggering.
 J. Geophys. Res. 108, 2408.
- Rice, J., Lapusta, N., Ranjith, K., 2001. Rate and state dependent friction
 and the stability of sliding between elastically deformable solids. Journal
 of Mechanics Physics of Solids 49, 1865–1898.
- Rogers, G., Dragert, H., 2003. Episodic Tremor and Slip on the Cascadia
 Subduction Zone: The Chatter of Silent Slip. Science 300, 1942–1943.
- Saichev, A., Sornette, D., 2007. Theory of earthquake recurrence times. J.
 Geophys. Res. 112, B04313.
- Schmittbuhl, J., Delaplace, A., Måløy, K. J., Perfettini, H., Vilotte, J. P.,
 2003. Slow Crack Propagation and Slip Correlations. Pure and Applied
 Geophysics 160, 961–976.
- Scholz, C. H., Wyss, M., Smith, S. W., 1969. Seismic and Aseismic Slip on
 the San Andreas Fault. J. Geophys. Res. 74, 2049.

- Segall, P., Desmarais, E. K., Shelly, D., Miklius, A., Cervelli, P., 2006. Earthquakes triggered by silent slip events on Kilauea volcano, Hawaii. Nature
 442, 71–74.
- Shelly, D. R., 2010. Migrating tremors illuminate complex deformation beneath the seismogenic San Andreas fault. Nature 463, 648–652.
- Shelly, D. R., Beroza, G. C., Ide, S., 2007. Complex evolution of transient slip derived from precise tremor locations in western Shikoku, Japan.
 Geochem., Geophy. Geosy. 8, 10014.
- Shelly, D. R., Ellsworth, W. L., Ryberg, T., Haberland, C., Fuis, G. S.,
 Murphy, J., Nadeau, R. M., Bürgmann, R., 2009. Precise location of San
 Andreas Fault tremors near Cholame, California using seismometer clusters: Slip on the deep extension of the fault? Geophys. Res. Lett. 36,
 L01303.
- Takada, Y., Furuya, M., 2010. Aseismic slip during the 1996 earthquake
 swarm in and around the Onikobe geothermal area, NE Japan. Earth
 Planet. Sci. Lett. 290, 302–310.
- Vidale, J. E., Shearer, P. M., May 2006. A survey of 71 earthquake bursts
 across southern California: Exploring the role of pore fluid pressure fluctuations and aseismic slip as drivers. J. Geophys. Res. 111, B05312.
- Ward, I. M., Hadley, D. W., 1993. An introduction to the mechanical prop erties of solid polymers. Wiley.
- Wech, A. G., Creager, K. C., Houston, H., Vidale, J. E., Nov. 2010. An
 earthquake-like magnitude-frequency distribution of slow slip in northern
 Cascadia. Geophys. Res. Lett. 372, L22310.
- ⁵⁵⁸ Wech, A. G., Creager, K. C., Melbourne, T. I., 2009. Seismic and geodetic ⁵⁵⁹ constraints on Cascadia slow slip. J. Geophys. Res. 114, B10316.

Figure 1: Left: Side view of the experimental setup. The bottom plate is separated from the upper one using a loading force applied by a rod connected to a stepping motor. The upper PMMA plate is attached to a stiff aluminum frame (short dashed lines). The load causes a deflection u of the bottom plate and the propagation of an interfacial crack. The crack front is located at distance \bar{a} from the loading point. The front advance is monitored by a high or slow speed camera set in vertical position, perpendicular to the crack plane. Right: detailed bottom view of the sample and the loading axis. The dark gray zone corresponds to the cracked zone. The multiple black areas show the acoustic sensors of the linear array.

Figure 2: Evolution of the loading force (red), and the loading displacement (blue) during an experiment. The crack is supposed to start moving at t = 45s as evidenced by the force peak. The black box on the top figure represents a zoom displayed on the bottom figure. A transient variation of speed is superimposed to a constant loading displacement rate between t = 65 s to 85 s (the black line corresponds to the average front position). Camera and acoustic time windows are displayed respectively as dark gray and light gray shaded areas.

Figure 3: A: Map of the local speeds of the front. Image scale is given by the length of the vector showing the front propagation direction which is 1.3 mm long. The front propagates from bottom to top. We observe small scale fluctuations of the crack front speed. Black dots represent hypocenters of optical events obtained after thresholding the velocity map and are displayed at the centroid of the corresponding high velocity cluster. B: Zoom on a subzone of the interface located in the black rectangle in A. C: Probability density functions (pdfs) of the local velocities computed for 6 experiments. We observe a power law decay of the pdfs for $v > \langle v \rangle$ with an exponent $\nu = -2.55$ compatible with Måløy et al. (2006).

Figure 4: Example of recorded signal of an acoustic event (AE). We observed a modification of the frequency content associated with the arrival of the AE wave train. The duration of the AE on the displayed channel is around $100\mu s$. Sampling rate is 5 MHz

Figure 5: Acoustic records of two channels during 100 ms. We observe on these two channels an abundant activity as attested by the numerous peaks in the acoustic signals. Stars mark the identification of events after automatic processing of the two signals by a STA/LTA procedure and matching common detection. Rate of optical events recorded during the same time period is plotted in red.

Figure 6: Distribution of normalized inter-event time for all experiments. Each experiment is represented by a different colour. The best gamma distribution fitting all the experiments, is represented by a black curve: $p(\tau) = C\tau^{\gamma-1}e^{-\tau/\beta}$, where C = 0.44, $\beta = 1.8$ and $\gamma = 0.54$. Black filled diamonds show the inter-event time distribution computed from the ISC worldwide catalog for earthquakes with magnitude M > 5.5.

Figure 7: Evolution of the rate of AE (purple diamonds), of the average crack front speed (blue squares) and of the optical event (OE) rate (red circles) as a function of time. Rate are computed for interval of 0.2 s for a time period encompassing the loading transient shown in Figure 2. We observe that the rates of AE and OE are well correlated with the variation of the crack front speed at this broad time scale.

Figure 8: Top: Crack front speed as a function of time and position along front during one experiment. High velocities appear as streaks that extend along the crack front direction. Bottom left: zoom that corresponds to the space time domain delimited by the black rectangle in the upper figure. White dashed lines show the migration during two high velocity episodes. Slope of these lines gives an estimated migration speed of the order of 2 cm/s.

Figure 9: Cross-correlation function $C(\Delta t)$ between the rate of acoustic events (AE) and the rate of optical events (OE). AE rate and OE rate are computed as the number of events during intervals of 5 ms and mean is remove from the time-series. The crosscorrelation function corresponds to an averaged function computed over 6 experiments. We observe that the maximum of the correlation function is found at zero time lag. We also notice the increase of the correlation function around the peak, suggesting that OE are clustered in time for some duration before and after an AE. The inset figure shows the normalized autocorrelation functions for the AE (black curve) and the OE (gray curve). Both functions show some increase around zero time lag supporting the interplay between these two modes of deformation. > We build an original experiment designated to study the relation between slow and dynamic deformations in the brittle creep regime.

> We observe numerous acoustic events in relations with local creeping episodes.

> In relation with faulting processes, we propose that earthquake swarms are driven by local slow slips.





Figure 2 Click here to download Figure: fig2.eps





Figure 4 Click here to download Figure: fig4.eps



Time in µs





Figure 7 Click here to download Figure: fig7.eps







Supplementary material (Table I) Click here to download Supplementary material for on-line publication only: table_SM.pdf