

# **A Wave to Wire model of the SEAREV Wave Energy Converter**

Christophe Josset, A. Babarit, A.H. Clement

## **To cite this version:**

Christophe Josset, A. Babarit, A.H. Clement. A Wave to Wire model of the SEAREV Wave Energy Converter. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part M: Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment, 2007, 221 (2), pp.81-93.  $10.1243/14750902JEME48$ . hal-00699486

# **HAL Id: hal-00699486 <https://hal.science/hal-00699486v1>**

Submitted on 20 Mar 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## **A wave-to-wire model of the SEAREV wave energy converter**

**C Josset**1**, A Babarit**2**,** and **A H Cle´ment**2\*

<sup>1</sup> Laboratoire de Thermocinétique de Nantes, Nantes Cedex, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Mécanique des Fluides, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, Nantes, France

**Abstract:** This paper describes a numerical wave-to-wire model of the second-generation wave energy converter called SEAREV. Governing equations are given in the time domain for the motion of the masses involved in the device and for the hydraulic power take-off (PTO) used to convert the motion into electricity. The hydrodynamic forces are derived using the standard linear potential theory. The memory term in the radiation force is replaced by additional states using the Prony method in order to change the equation of motion into the ordinary differential equation form. The PTO is composed of hydraulic rams, an accumulator, and a hydraulic generator, which delivers electricity when there is enough energy stored in the accumulator.

Using the MATLAB Simulink tool, the equation of motion is solved to simulate the full device (including the power take-off) from the incident wave to the electricity delivered to the grid. Simulation results are presented in the paper and comparisons are made with a simpler PTO: a linear damper. They show that the torque applied to the hydraulic PTO must exceed a threshold to start absorbing energy, unlike the linear damping model. They also show that the power production can be very discontinuous, depending on the level of the incident wave power. This is due to the fact that the generator considered can transform the energy stored in the accumulator faster than the energy transmitted by the rams into the accumulator. It could therefore be interesting to use several generators to adapt the electrical energy production to the level of incident wave power, or a generator that could work efficiently at part load in order to achieve continuous energy production.

**Keywords:** wave energy converter, wave-to-wire, numerical model, hydraulic power take-off

wave energy converters (WECs). The first tool is tank investigate their behaviour further. testing of small-scale models. It is a powerful tool, Frequency domain models of wave energy consince results on models can be reliably related to the verters allow fast computation of the response of the new model each time the shape is changed. By com- the behaviour of a system containing non-linear easy to make simulations of many different shapes of example, to assess the benefit brought by a non-(CPU) time can quickly become huge. Designers buoy [**2**].

**1 INTRODUCTION** usually start their investigation into a new wave energy concept by developing a fast and simple Two complementary tools exist for designing efficient numerical model and then tank-testing models to

verters allow fast computation of the response of the motion and the efficiency of the full-scale device. device, and so extensive optimization [**1**], but they However, it is expensive and the designer needs a use a linearized equation of motion. To investigate parison, numerical modelling is much cheaper and is elements, a time-domain model is needed. For the same device. However, if a high level of accuracy linear control method such as latching control, Hals of the response is needed, the central processing unit *et al.* built such a time-domain model of a heaving

In wave energy converters, the power take-off *\* Corresponding author: Laboratoire de Me´canique des Fluides,* (PTO) is a component that can be strongly non-*CNRS UMR6598, Ecole Centrale de Nantes, 1, Rue de la Noe¨,* linear. In reference [**3**], Sa` da Costa *et al.* give the *Nantes 44300, France. email: Alain.Clement@ec-nantes.fr* equation of motion for an electric PTO associated

with the Archimedes wave-swing device, and solve it motion. The relative motion between the floating using the MATLAB/simulink tool in the time domain. body and the moving mass is used to drive a generator

In this paper, a hydraulic PTO, composed of a via a hydraulic device, the PTO. hydraulic ram, an accumulator, and a hydraulic This device has many advantages.

generator, is considered. Fallow is considered in gives equations for a hydraulic PTO associated with several assumptions,<br>the moving parts are located inside the hull of<br>but solves the problem with several assumptions, an

- 
- 
- 

## **2.1 Assumptions and notation 2 THE SEAREV DEVICE**

The floating body (Fig. 1) is assumed to have two The SEAREV device is a floating device, completely vertical planes of symmetry. It is also assumed that enclosed, with an internal moving mass. Under the the axis of the internal cylinder is perpendicular to action of the waves, the floating hull and the internal the main symmetry plane of the floating body and moving mass start to move, each one with its own that the direction of propagation of the waves is



**Fig. 1** SEAREV: notation

parallel to this plane. Under these assumptions, in a two-dimensional incident wave train, the floating body moves only in the surge, heave, and pitch modes. For the device, the motion takes place in the (*xOz*) plane.

Let  $x_G$  be the surge motion and  $z_G$  the heave motion of the centre of gravity G of the floating body,  $\theta$  the pitch motion along the *y* axis and  $\alpha$  the relative motion between the floating body and the inner pendulum (the PTO system functions due to  $\alpha$ ). Let  $m_{\text{b}}$  be the floating body mass,  $I_{\text{b}}$  its inertia,  $m_{\text{p}}$  **F** the inner pendulum mass, and  $I_y$  its inertia. Let  $l = AP$ , the distance between the centre of rotation A of the inner pendulum and its own centre of gravity P, and  $d = \overline{GA}$ , the distance between the centre of gravity of the floating body and the centre of rotation of the pendulum.

It is assumed that the amplitude of the waves and<br>the using the classical potential approach with the<br>the resulting motion of the body are sufficiently small<br>numerical code AOUAPUUS [9]. Computation of the to validate the classical linear theory approach.

$$
(\mathbf{M}(X, \dot{X}) + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty})\ddot{X} = F_{\text{ex}}(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{H}(t - \tau)\dot{X}(\tau) d\tau
$$

$$
-\mathbf{K}_{\text{H}}\mathbf{Z} - F_{\text{g}}(X, \dot{X}) - F_{\text{c}}(X, \dot{X})
$$

$$
-F_{\text{PTO}}(t, X, \dot{X}) \tag{1}
$$

 $F_{\text{ex}}$  represents the hydrodynamic excitation force due to the incoming and diffracted waves. For random sea simulations, the excitation force is derived by discretizing the standard ITTC energy Let spectrum [**6**] and using a random phase generator (see reference [7] for more details).

 $\mu_{\infty}$  is the hydrodynamic added mass.

volution product according to the well-known Cummins' decomposition [**8**], **H**(*t*) being the memory function of the radiation force.

**M** is the proper mass matrix of the device. This matrix contains coupling terms between the pendulum and the floating body, given by

$$
F_{\rm g}
$$
 is the action of the gravity

$$
F_{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ m_{p}g[d\sin\theta - l\sin(\theta + \alpha)] \\ -m_{p}gl\sin(\theta + \alpha) \end{pmatrix}
$$
(3)

*F* c

$$
F_{\rm c} = \begin{pmatrix} -m_{\rm p}[l(\dot{\theta} + \dot{\alpha})^2 \sin(\theta + \alpha) - d\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \theta] \\ -m_{\rm p}[l(\dot{\theta} + \dot{\alpha})^2 \cos(\theta + \alpha) - d\dot{\theta}^2 \cos \theta] \\ -m_{\rm p}dl[(\dot{\theta} + \dot{\alpha})^2 - \dot{\theta}^2] \sin \alpha \\ m_{\rm p}dl\dot{\theta}^2 \sin \alpha \end{pmatrix}
$$
(4)

 $F_{\text{PTO}}$  represents the action of the PTO.

pendulum.<br>It is assumed that the emplitude of the waves and<br> $\blacksquare$ numerical code AQUAPLUS [9]. Computation of the  $\infty$  and the memory function **H**(*t*) is performed using the time-domain seakeeping code **2.2 Equations** ACHIL3D [10]. In the time domain, direct integration Let  $X = (x_G \quad z_G \quad \theta \quad \alpha)^T$  be the position vector. In the of the equation of motion (1) can be done by using time domain, the equations of motion are a fourth-order Runge–Kutta algorithm or it can first be transformed in order to remove the convolution  $\mathbf{p}_0$  product. Let

$$
-\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{H}}\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathbf{X}, \dot{\mathbf{X}}) - \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathbf{X}, \dot{\mathbf{X}}) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{S}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{H}(t-\tau)\dot{\mathbf{X}}(\tau) d\tau \tag{5}
$$

Using the Prony method [**11**], some complex where  $\overrightarrow{c}$  coefficients  $(\gamma, \beta)$  can be computed, such as

$$
\mathbf{H}_{ij}(t) \simeq \sum_{r=1}^{N_{ij}} \gamma_{ijr} e^{i\beta_{ijr}t}
$$
 (6)

$$
S_{ijr}(t) = \int_0^t \gamma_{ijr} e_j^{i\beta_{ijr}(t-\tau)} \dot{X}(\tau) d\tau
$$
 (7)

The radiation force has been written as a con- The vector *S* can be rewritten in the form

*S*(*t*)= A W3 j=1 WN 1j r=1 *S* 1jr(*t*) W3 j=1 WN 2j r=1 *S* 2jr(*t*) W3 j=1 WN 3j r=1 *S* 3jr(*t*) <sup>0</sup> B **K** (8) H is the hydrostatic stiffness matrix of the device.

$$
\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix}\nm_b + m_p & 0 & m_p[d\cos\theta - l\cos(\theta + \alpha)] & -m_pl\cos(\theta + \alpha) \\
0 & m_b + m_p & -m_p[d\sin - l\sin(\theta + \alpha)] & m_pl\sin(\theta + \alpha) \\
m_p[d\cos\theta - l\cos(\theta + \alpha)] & -m_p[d\sin\theta - l\sin(\theta + \alpha)] & I_b + I_y + m_p(d^2 + l^2) - 2m_pdl\cos\alpha & I_y + m_pl^2 - m_pdl\cos\alpha \\
-m_pl\cos(\theta + \alpha) & m_pl\sin(\theta + \alpha) & I_y + m_pl^2 - m_pdl\cos\alpha & I_y + m_bl^2\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

$$
\left( 2\right)
$$

$$
\dot{S}_{ijr} = \beta_{ijr} S_{ijr} = \gamma_{ijr} \dot{X}_j \tag{9}
$$

equation of motion can be written as a simple elements (Fig. 2). First there is a reducing gear used to increase the rotational speed while decreasing the differential equation

$$
(\mathbf{M} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty})\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}} = \boldsymbol{F}_{\text{ex}} - \boldsymbol{S} - \mathbf{K}_{\text{H}}\mathbf{Z} - \boldsymbol{F}_{\text{g}} - \boldsymbol{F}_{\text{c}} - \boldsymbol{F}_{\text{PTO}}
$$
  

$$
\dot{S}_{ijr} = \beta_{ijr}S_{ijr} + \gamma_{ijr}\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}_{j}
$$
(10)

This last equation can easily be solved numerically, accumulator. The energy is stored in this accumulator provided the term  $F_{\text{PTO}}$  associated with the PTO is defined. If the PTO is a damper,  $F_{\text{PTO}}$  is given by

$$
F_{\rm PTO} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -B_{\rm PTO} \dot{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (11)

where  $B_{\text{PTO}}$  is the damping coefficient.

here  $B_{\text{PTO}}$  is the damping coefficient.<br>
A damper is the classical approach for modelling<br>  $B_{\text{PTO}}$  and  $B_{\text{TPO}}$  and  $B_{\text{TPO}}$  and  $B_{\text{TPO}}$ A damper is the classical approach for modelling With the present PTO configuration, the action of the the PTO in wave energy, but in reality the hydraulic PTO can be reduced to a torque *C*<sub>PTO</sub> applied on the process con PTO system contains other components apart from  $P_{\text{TO}}$  pendulum pure damping. In the next section, the equation  $F_{\text{PTO}}$  pendulum is considered and derived for such a hydraulic PTO.

### (12) **3 THE HYDRAULIC POWER TAKE-OFF**

### **3.1 Introduction**

The main objective of the PTO is to convert the mechanical energy (torque) induced on the pendulum cylinder by the waves into a more useful form. This and the generator. is generally electricity, but other alternative storing systems could be considered (hydrogen for example). One can transform this mechanical energy into *3.2.1 The reducing wheel* electricity in many ways. For example, the electric generator can be direct drive, as in the Archimedes As mentioned above, the purpose of the gear is to Wave Swing (AWS) [3] or a gearbox can be used in increase the rotational speed available for the Wave Swing (AWS) [3], or a gearbox can be used, in order to increase the motion. In the specific case of  $\frac{hydraultC}{P}$  order to increase the motion. In the specific case of  $\frac{hydraultC}{P}$  cylinder. Indeed, the mean power  $W_{ram}$  wave energy converters hydraulic conversion sys wave energy converters, hydraulic conversion systems are often used because they are suited to wave energy applications having the following properties.

- 
- 2. Incident power fluctuates in time and amplitude.

By differentiating equation (7), it can be shown 3. The location is offshore. Hydraulic devices are well [**12**] that the coefficients  $S_{ijr}$  are the solution of a first-<br> *ifferential* equation which is an advantage if the WEC is far from the which is an advantage if the WEC is far from the coast.

with the initial conditions  $S_{ijr}(0) = 0$ . Finally, the The SEAREV PTO is composed of five main input torque. A double-effect hydraulic linear ram connecting the gear to the floating hull transforms the rotation into a high-pressure form. It pumps fluid from the low-pressure tank to the high-pressure by compression of a gas. When there is enough stored energy, the accumulator supplies pressurized fluid at nominal flow to a hydraulic motor coupled to an electric generator. It was assumed that the hydraulic motor is not efficient at part load. Electricity is then (11) generated and the fluid is driven back to the tank at low pressure.

$$
F_{\rm PTO} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ C_{\rm PTO} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (12)

The expression for  $C_{\text{PTO}}$  is found by considering the state equations for the reducing gear, the accumulator,

hydraulic cylinder. Indeed, the mean power  $W_{\text{ram}}$ 

$$
W_{\text{ram}} \simeq Qv(P_{\text{accu}} - P_{\text{tank}}) \approx 2V_{\text{ram}} \Omega_{\text{ram}} \Delta P \tag{13}
$$

1. Low speeds and high forces are induced by the where  $Qv$  denotes the flow of fluid and  $\Delta P$  the waves. In industry, hydraulic systems are com- difference of pressure between the tank and the monly used when high forces and small motions accumulatoir. Obviously, the instantaneous power are required. varies in time, but the mean flow *Qv* is directly proportional to the rotational speed  $\Omega_{\text{ram}}$  and two Coupled with a pneumatic storage device, the PTO times the swept volume  $V_{\text{ram}}$  of the double-effect can smooth incident power fluctuations. ram. If this hydraulic cylinder is fixed directly on to



**Fig. 2** SEAREV: floating hull and hydraulic PTO

the pendulum (i.e.  $\Omega_{\text{ram}} = \dot{\alpha}$ ), a bigger ram is required with to recover the same energy. This gear enables the use of a standard hydraulic ram. The kinematical analysis of this gear leads to the following relationships where

$$
\begin{aligned}\n aR_{\rm p} &= -\beta R_{\rm rw} & F_{\rm pr} &= (P_1 S_1 - P_2 S_2) \mathbf{\dot{x}} \text{ is the pressure force;} \\
 aR_{\rm p} &= -\dot{\beta} R_{\rm rw} & F_{\rm nr} & F_{\rm in} &= m_{\rm piston} f(\beta, \dot{\beta}, \ddot{\beta}) \text{ is the inertia force;} \\
 aR_{\rm p} &= -\ddot{\beta} R_{\rm rw} & F_{\rm in} & \text{and } F_{\rm vc} & \text{are given by the motion (i.e. d;} \\
 b, \dot{\beta}, \ddot{\beta}, \ddot{\beta}, \text{the piston mass } m_{\rm piston}, \text{ the viscosity of the hydraulic cylinder (revolution and thethe hydraulic cylinder (revolution and thethe probability of the motion of the motion? \end{aligned}
$$

where  $R_{\text{p and}} R_{\text{rw}}$  denote the pendulum and reducing Let *S* wheel radii,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta$  its angular position, speed, cross-section, pressure, volume, flow from the tank, and acceleration, C<sub>PTO</sub> the torque applied on the pendulum, and C<sub>hc/rw</sub> the torque applied by the pendulum, and  $C_{hc/rw}$  the torque applied by the  $(i=1, 2)$  (Fig. 3). The main problem in calculating hydraulic ram on the reducing gear.

$$
C_{\text{hc/rw}} = O_1 M_1 \wedge F_{\text{hc/rw}} \tag{15}
$$
 pressure evolution in each chamber  $\dot{P}_i$  of the cylinder

$$
F_{\text{hc/rw}} = F_{\text{pr}} + F_{\text{in}} + F_{\text{vc}} \tag{16}
$$

 $\vec{m} = m_{\text{piston}} f(\beta, \dot{\beta}, \ddot{\beta})$  is the inertia force;  $F_{\rm vc}$  is the viscous and coulomb friction.

 $V_{\text{in}}$  and  $F_{\text{vc}}$  are given by the motion (i.e. depend on  $\beta$ ,  $\dot{\beta}$ ,  $\ddot{\beta}$ , the piston mass  $m_{\text{piston}}$ , the viscosity, etc.) of the hydraulic cylinder (revolution and translation). Then the details need to be added to the model of the most important force: *F* pr.

*i*,  $P_i$ ,  $v_{c_i}$ ,  $q_{t_i}$ , and  $q_{a_i}$  be respectively the *i i i* and flow to the accumulator of the ram chamber *i* the pressure effort is to take account of the compressibility of the fluid. Usually, the fluid is assumed to be incompressible, but it is useful to use the *3.2.2 The hydraulic ram* bulk modulus <sup>e</sup> of the fluid (i.e. an apparent com-Applying the momentum equation gives the torque pressibility) to avoid numerical instability due to the  $C_{\text{hc/rw}}$  stiffness of the resulting numerical model. Doing this, it is possible to find a relationship between the



**Fig. 3** Scheme of the hydraulic PTO

$$
\dot{P}_1 = \frac{\varepsilon (q_{t_1} + q_{a_1} - S_1 \dot{x})}{v_{c_1}} \tag{17}
$$

$$
q_{t_1} = S_1 \dot{x} \text{ if } \dot{x} > 0 \text{ and } P_1 < P_{\text{tank}}, \text{ else } q_{t_1} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
q_{a_1} = S_1 \dot{x} \text{ if } \dot{x} < 0 \text{ and } P_1 > P_{\text{accu}}, \text{ else } q_{a_1} = 0
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{v}_{c_1} = \dot{x}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{P_0(V_m - V_0)}{\gamma - 1} \left[ \left( \frac{P_0}{P_{\text{accu}}} \right)^{(1 - \gamma)} \right]
$$

$$
\dot{P}_2 = \frac{\varepsilon (q_{t_2} + q_{a_2} + S_2 \dot{x})}{v_{c_2}} \tag{19}
$$

$$
q_{t_2} = -S_2 \dot{x}
$$
 if  $\dot{x} < 0$  and  $P_2 < P_{\text{tank}}$ , else  $q_{t_2} = 0$   
\n $q_{a_2} = -S_2 \dot{x}$  if  $\dot{x} > 0$  and  $P_2 > P_{\text{accu}}$ , else  $q_{a_2} = 0$   
\n $\dot{v}_{c_2} = -\dot{x}$ 

### *3.2.3 The high-pressure accumulator*

This high-pressure tank is characterized by three *q* parameters:

- (a) the initial inflated pressure  $P$ ;
- (b) the initial inner fluid volume  $V_0$ ;
- 

the evolution of the pressure *P* accu, volume *V* energy stored  $E_{\text{accu}}$  can be linked to the flow from the

and the displacement of the piston  $\dot{x}$  hydraulic cylinder using the following relations

$$
\dot{P}_{1} = \frac{\varepsilon (q_{t_{1}} + q_{a_{1}} - S_{1} \dot{x})}{v_{c_{1}}}
$$
\nwhere  
\n
$$
\dot{V}_{\text{accu}} = q_{a_{1}} + q_{a_{2}} + q_{\text{motor}}
$$
\n
$$
q_{t_{1}} = S_{1} \dot{x} \quad \text{if } \dot{x} > 0 \text{ and } P_{1} < P_{\text{tank, else}} q_{t_{1}} = 0
$$
\n
$$
q_{a_{1}} = S_{1} \dot{x} \quad \text{if } \dot{x} < 0 \text{ and } P_{1} > P_{\text{accu, else}} q_{a_{1}} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\dot{V}_{\text{accu}} = q_{a_{1}} + q_{a_{2}} + q_{\text{motor}}
$$
\n
$$
E_{\text{accu}} = -\int_{V_{\text{mc}} - V_{0}}^{V_{\text{accu}}} P_{\text{accu}}(\tau) \dot{V}_{\text{accu}}(\tau)
$$
\n
$$
q_{a_{1}} = S_{1} \dot{x} \quad \text{if } \dot{x} < 0 \text{ and } P_{1} > P_{\text{accu, else}} q_{a_{1}} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\dot{v}_{c_{1}} = \dot{x}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{P_{0}(V_{\text{m}} - V_{0})}{\gamma - 1} \left[ \left( \frac{P_{0}}{P_{\text{accu}}} \right)^{(1-\gamma)/\gamma} - 1 \right]
$$
\n(18)

### and *3.2.4 The hydraulic motor and electric generator*

The hydraulic motor and electric generator form a unit that converts the energy stored in the accumulator into electricity. It is described by its nominal power with *W*<sub>nominal</sub>, its supply pressure  $P_{\text{nominal}}$ , the initial inflated pressure of the accumulator  $P_0$  (with  $P_0 = P_{nominal}$ ),  $q_{t_2} = -S_2 x$  if  $x < 0$  and  $P_2 < P_{\text{tank}}$ , else  $q_{t_2} = 0$  pressure of the transformation efficiency  $\eta_{\text{motor}}$ , which includes mechanical and electrical losses. Electricity production starts when the stored energy in the accumulator *E*<sub>accu</sub> exceeds a given level *E*<sub>start</sub> and (20) stops when the energy drops below a given level  $(E_{\text{accu}} < E_{\text{stop}})$ 

$$
q_{\text{motor}} = \begin{cases} \frac{W_{\text{nominal}}}{\eta_{\text{motor}}(P_{\text{nominal}} - P_{\text{tank}})} & \text{if } E_{\text{accu}} > E_{\text{start}}\\ 0 & \text{if } E_{\text{accu}} \leq E_{\text{stop}} \end{cases}
$$
(22)

(c) the volume  $V_m$  of the accumulator. Equations (13) to (22) define the behaviour of the (c) the volume  $V_m$  of the accumulator. PTO. Solving them numerically together with the Under the assumption of isentropic compression, governing equations of the pendulum and floating hull gives the position vector of the system  $X$  and all the inner PTO data (pressure, produced power, stored energy, etc.) that are useful for monitoring the This provides a versatile toolbox of components for wave energy converter. The same energy convertered and the setting different configurations.

### **4 THE SIMULINK MODEL** time-step  $dt = 0.1$  s.

All the governing equations were implemented using the MATLAB/Simulink tool. This method of simu-<br>
lation enables an intuitive 'block' approach: each<br> **5 TIME-DOMAIN SIMULATIONS** component (floating hull, hydraulic cylinder, etc.) is **5.1 The PTO configuration** defined by its own model. Figure 4 represents the model of the accumulator. The input of this block is Dimensions of the floating hull and the pendulum the total flow ( $\dot{V}_{\text{accu}}$  in equations (21)). The output are given in Table 1 and some characteristics of the is the pressure in the accumulator  $P_{\text{accu}}$  and two command signals. The first one controls the relief valve the maximum pressure acceptable in the accumulator that limits the pressure inside the accumulator and is included in order to ensure the safety of the highthe second one switches on the motor if  $E_{\text{accu}} > E_{\text{start}}$  pressure system. When the pressure reaches this the second one switches of the motor if  $E_{\text{accu}} < E_{\text{stop}}$ . All the blocks are linked together like the real physical components, thus bringing together the full set of In order to assess the influence of this highly governing equations from the simplest element realistic PTO system, it was compared with the per whole SEAREV double pendulum model (Fig. 5). its damping coefficient *B*<sub>PTO</sub>. The optimal value of

The ODE solver used is a third-order Bogacki– Sharpine scheme, provided by MATLAB, with a

accu and two com-<br>
PTO in Table 2. A new parameter  $P_{\text{max}}$  that defines value, there is a relief valve that limits the pressure inside.

(the pressurized fluid accumulator in Fig. 4) to the formance of a simple PTO model, defined only by



**Fig. 4** Global view of the SEAREV MATLAB/Simulink model

**Table 1** SEAREV parameters

	$m_{\rm b}$ (t) $I_{\rm b}$ (t m <sup>2</sup> )		$m_{\rm p}$ (t) $I_{\rm v}$ (t m <sup>2</sup> )	l(m)	d(m)	$R_{\rm n}$ (m)
551	$4.31 \times 10^{7}$	393	$4.62 \times 10^6$	0.9213	$-9.213$	4.85



$R_{\rm rw}$ (m)	$x_{m2}$ (m)	$x_{m1}$ (m)	$= S2$ $\mathcal{O}_1$ $\rm (cm^2)$	(Pa)	$\varphi$	$\mathbf{v}_m$ $\rm (m^3)$	$V_0$ $(m^3)$	$F$ tank (bar)	max (bar)	$\eta_{\text{motor}}$	$E_{\text{start}}$ (kW h)	$E_{\text{stop}}$ (kW h)	$B_{\rm PTO}$ $(kN \text{ m/rad s})$
1.225			100	10 <sup>9</sup>	0.99	10	0.01		250			0.1	504

**Table 2** PTO parameters



**Fig. 5** The accumulator model

 $B_{\text{PTO}}$  was provided by a previous study [1]. For the hydraulic cylinder, the effect of the friction and inertia forcs were assumed to be negligible. The (a) the supply pressure of the hydraulic motor ratio of maximum swept volume to maximum (20 bar $\le P_{\text{nominal}} = P_0 \le 200$  bar);<br>chamber volume is defined by the coefficient  $\phi$  $\frac{1}{2}$  chamber volume is defined by the coefficient  $\phi$ . This (b) the power of the coupled electric generator coefficient avoids numerical instability in the pressure coefficient avoids numerical instability in the pressure (20 kW  $\leq W_{\text{nominal}} \leq 400 \text{ kW}$ ). equations (18) and (20) by limiting the minimum value of the chamber volume  $(v_{c_1}$  and  $v_{c_2} > 0$  if  $\phi < 1$ ,<br>
whereas  $v_{c_1} \ge 0$  and  $v_{c_2} \ge 0$  if  $\phi = 1$ . Pressure drops<br>
(drains values at ), into the fluid gire it are also but different enough beights from 1 (drains, valves, etc.) into the fluid circuit are also but different specific heights from 1 to 5 m are used.

presented hereafter represent a SEAREV equipped (Table 3) in order to point out the inner parameters with existing components. The final purpose of these acting on the energy production.

tests is to assess the influence of two parameters on the SEAREV performances:

- $_{\text{nominal}}$  =  $P_{\text{0}}$
- 

The same time domain wave, computed using the neglected. The behaviour of the components of the PTO are All component values are realistic, so the results more precisely detailed for different tests cases

$H_s$ (m)					1				
$W$ (kW)		50			100			200	
$P_0$ (bar) Test number	50 al	100 a2	150 a3	50 a4	100 a5	150 a6	50 a7	100 a8	150 a9
$H_s$ (m)					3				
$W$ (kW)		50			100			200	
$P_0$ (bar) Test number	50 b1	100 b2	150 b3	50 b4	100 b5	150 b6	50 b7	100 b <sub>8</sub>	150 b9

**Table 3** Test parameters

### **6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### The threshold torque C<sub>limit</sub>

SEAREV during half an hour, that is to say, the sum of the energy stored in the accumulator plus the expected energy generated by the electric generator during this period. The best performances ( $E$ <sub>extracted</sub> > 7 kW/h) are achieved with the lowest pressure ( $P_0 \le 50$  bar). Looking closer at tests a1 and a3, it appears that the EUSKING COSET at tests at and as, it appears that the  $pr_{a1/a3} = \frac{1}{P_0(a1)}$  (24)

<sub>a1</sub> and *L*<sub>a3</sub> in Fig 7). Consequently, the ram pumps less fluid into the accumulator and **6.1 The threshold torque**  $C_{\text{limit}}$  less energy is generated [see  $q_a$ (a1) and  $q_a$ (a3) in Fig. 8]. However, the flow ratio  $f_{\text{r}_{a1/a3}}$  is bigger than The first test deals with a low energetic sea state. The pressure ratio  $pr_{a1/a3}$ . Recalling the estimation Figure 6 represents the energy recovered by the of the power recovered by the ram [equation (13)] of the power recovered by the ram [equation  $(13)$ ] a better energy recovery with a low pressure is

$$
fr_{a1/a3} = \frac{q_a(a1)}{q_a(a3)}\tag{23}
$$

$$
pr_{a1/a3} = \frac{P_0(a3)}{P_0(a1)}\tag{24}
$$



**Fig. 6** Recovered energy for the sea state:  $(H_s, T_p) = (1 \text{ m}, 8 \text{ s})$ 



**Fig. 7** Hydraulic cylinder lengthening for tests a1 and a3



**Fig. 8** Fluid flow from chamber 1 into the accumulator for tests a1 and a3

It can be seen that sometimes (see, for example, *L* for  $1550 \text{ s} < t < 1600 \text{ s}$  in Fig. 7) the hydraulic cylinder does not move. To pump fluid from the tank to the In this case, the power of the electric generator accumulator, the pendulum has to generate a torque does not influence the behaviour of the WEC. *C* pendulum greater than the threshold torque *C* limit. This threshold torque is proportional to the pressure *P* accu, the sections  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , and the radius  $R_{\text{rw}}$ . If the **6.2** The underpowered/overpowered configurations the sections  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , and the radius  $R_{rw}$ . If the **configurations** torque applied by the pendulum to the ram is lower **configurations** than the threshold torque, then the hydraulic will not The next test configuration deals with a medium begin moving and there will be no energy absorption. energy sea state (Fig. 9). In order to maximize the As increasing the pressure  $P_0$ the threshold torque  $C_{\text{limit}}$  of the PTO, this leads to a such as 70 bar  $\langle P_0 \rangle$  170 bar and 80 kW  $\langle W_{\text{nominal}} \rangle$ the threshold torque  $C_{\text{limit}}$  of the PTO, this leads to a

decrease in the performance of the SEAREV for low<br>energetic sea states.

energy production, it can be seen that parameters



**Fig. 9** Recovered energy for the sea state:  $(H_s, T_p) = (3 \text{ m}, 8 \text{ s})$ 

should be chosen. Indeed, for higher pressure con- electricity: the pressurized fluid accumulator inflates figurations  $(170 \text{ bar} *P*<sub>0</sub>)$ limited by the high level of the threshold torque. relief valve limits the pressure (see cases b1, b2, b3 For lower pressures  $(P_0 < 70$  bar), performances in Fig. 10). are limited by the motion of the pendulum: the Looking at Fig. 11, it can be seen that there are rotational speed of the pendulum cannot increase three behaviours affecting electricity production. sufficiently to compensate for the low-pressure level with a high fluid flow. Therefore, the absorbed power 1. The first one (b3) is the result of underpowered is lower. PTO: the production of electricity is continuous

much more important for medium energy sea con-<br>is an advantage for the connection to the grid, but ditions and above. If an underpowered electric the PTO cannot transform all the mechanical generator is installed ( $W_{\text{nominal}} < 80 \text{ kW}$  in this case), power into electricity. The result is a loss in this cannot convert all the incident energy into performance. this cannot convert all the incident energy into

until the limit pressure is reached, after which the

The influence of the generator's power rate is (after the first stage of accumulator inflation). This



**Fig. 10** Accumulator pressure evolution



Fig. 11 Evolution of the generated electric power

- mum level  $E_{\text{stop}}$ , and the generator is switched off. The resulting electricity generation is then
- the well-sized PTO allows continuous electricity coefficient was modelled as constant. production without the efficiency leakage due to accumulator saturation (see case b5 in Fig. 10).

**7 CONCLUSION** The last set of tests were conducted on a highenergy sea state (Fig. 12). The high incident power In this paper the main governing equations of the moved the parameters to higher values 180 bar  $\lt P_0$  new WEC SEAREV were presented and the resulting and 300 kW  $\lt W_{\text{nominal}}$ . All the other configurations numerical simulator detailed with its first results.<br>are inadequate: in some cases (120 bar $\lt P_0$ ) the This allowed the behaviour of not only the floating are inadequate: in some cases (120 bar  $\langle P_0 \rangle$  the underpowered generator leads to accumulator are inadequate: in some cases (120 bar  $\langle P_0 \rangle$  the underpowered generator leads to accumulator saturation and in others cases the underpowered<br>hydraulic components (ram and accumulator) limit the studied.<br>the capacity o

coefficient  $B_{\text{PTO}}$  is the result of an optimization

2. The second one (b9) is the result of an over- study [**1**]. It is of great interest to compare the perpowered PTO: when the electricity generator is formances of this simplified PTO model to a more switched on, the accumulator is emptied quicker realistic one. The results (Table 4) show that the than it is filled, the energy stored reaches the mini- realistic model can achieve, and sometimes exceed, the performance of the linear damper for all the sea<br>states with a hydraulic PTO. However, it is necessary discontinuous.<br>
to adapt the configuration  $(P_0, W_{nominal})$  to each sea 3. The last one (b5) represents a good compromise: state, whereas with the damper, the damping

depends on the pressure level and geometric para- **6.3 Hydraulic PTO versus damper** meters such as the ram section and the reducing As mentioned above, the value of the damping wheel radius. At the other extreme, when the sea state grows, electricity production is limited by the capacity



**Fig. 12** Recovered energy for the sea state:  $(H_s, T_p) = (5 \text{ m}, 8 \text{ s})$ 

**Table 4** Best hydraulic PTO versus damping viscous PTO

		Hydraulic PTO						
$H_s(m)$	Viscous damper $E_{\text{extracted}}$ (kW h)	$E_{\text{extracted}}$ (kW h)	$P_0$ (bar), $W_{nominal}$ (kW)					
		8	(30, 300)					
3	61.9	82	(150, 300)					
-5	132.2	154.9	(190, 340)					

Conference, Glasgow, UK, September 2005. to electricity without leakage. In order to enlarge **5 Bracewell, R. H.** *Frog and PS frog: a study of two*<br>efficiency, the next step of development will deal with<br>Thesis, Lancaster University, 1990. efficiency, the next step of development will deal with<br>the control of the PTO and the way needed to adapt the control of the PTO and the way needed to adapt **6 Rawson, K. J.** and **Tupper, F. C.** *Basic ship theory*, it to different sea conditions. vol. 1, 3rd edition, 1983 (Longman, London).

- **1 Babarit, A.** and **Clément, A. H.** Shape optimisation<br>
of the SEAREV wave energy converter. In Proceed-<br>
ings of the World Renewable Energy Congress IX,<br>
Florence, August 2006.<br> **2 Hals, J., Bjarte-Larsson, T.**, and **Fal**
- wave-absoroing semisubmerged neaving sphere. In<br>Proceedings of the OMAE'02, 21st International<br>Conference on Offshore mechanics and arctic<br>**11 Baron Riche de Propy** Essai expérimental et Conference on *Offshore mechanics and arctic* **11 Baron Riche de Prony.** Essai expérimental et *engineering*, Oslo, June 2002, pp. 1–9.
- 6th European Wave and Tidal Energy Conference, Cahier 2, pp. 24–76.<br>Glasgow, UK, September 2005. 12 Babarit, A, and Cle
- power take-off and gas accumulator. In Proceedings Conference, Glasgow, UK, September 2005.

of the PTO to transform all the incident power of the 6th European Wave and Tidal Energy<br>to electricity without lookage. In order to enlarge Conference, Glasgow, UK, September 2005.

- 
- vol. 1, 3rd edition, 1983 (Longman, London).
- **7 Cle´ment, A. H., Babarit, A., Gilloteaux, J. C., Josset, C.** and **Duclos, G.** The SEAREV wave energy converter. In Proceedings of the 6th European Wave and Tidal Energy Conference, Glasgow, UK, **REFERENCES** September 2005.
	- **8 Cummins, W. E.** The impulse response function and
	-
	-
- *engineering*, Oslo, June 2002, pp. 1–9.<br>**3 Sà da Costa, J., Sarmento, A. J. N. A., Gardner, F.,** analytique sur celles de la force expansive de la **3 Sa da Costa, J., Sarmento, A. J. N. A., Gardner, F.,** e´lastiques et sur celles de la force expansive de la<br>**Beirão, P.,** and **Brito Melo, A.** Time domain model est proportiva de l'equi et de la vapeur de l'alcool à dif **Beirão, P.,** and **Brito Melo, A.** Time domain model vapeur de l'eau et de la vapeur de l'alcool à différ-<br>of the AWS wave energy device. In Proceedings of the entes températures. *Ecole Polytechnique 1.* 1795. entes températures. *Ecole Polytechnique 1*, 1795,
- Glasgow, UK, September 2005.<br> **12 Babarit, A.** and **Clément, A. H.** Optimal latching<br> **4 de O. Falcao, A. F.** Modelling and control of oscil-<br> **12 Babarit, A.** and **Clément, A. H.** Optimal latching<br>
control of a wave energ **4 de O. Falcao, A. F.** Modelling and control of oscil-<br> **4 de O. Falcao, A. F.** Modelling and control of oscil-<br> **4** of the 6th European Wave and Tidal Energy of the 6th European Wave and Tidal Energy