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#### Abstract

If $H$ is (or is isomorphic to) a subgraph of $G$, we say that $H$ divides $G$ if there is an edge-decomposition of $G$ by copies of $H$. Many authors ([1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [8]) have studied this notion for various subgraphs of hypercubes. We continue such a study in this paper.


## 1 Introduction and Preliminary Results

Definition 1 If $H$ is (or is isomorphic to) a subgraph of $G$, we say that $H$ divides $G$ if there is an edge-decomposition of $G$ by copies of $E(H)$.

Ramras [5] has defined a more restrictive concept.

[^0]Definition 2 A fundamental set of edges of a graph $G$ is a subset of $E(G)$ whose translates under some subgroup of the automorphism group of $G$ partition $E(G)$.

In [5] we have shown that if $\mathcal{G}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ and for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$, with $g \neq i d$ (where $i d$ denotes the identity element), $g(E(H)) \cap E(H)=\emptyset$, then there is a packing of these translates of $E(H))$ in $Q_{n}$, i.e. they are pairwise disjoint. If, in addition, $|E(H)| \cdot|\mathcal{G}|=n \cdot 2^{n-1}=\left|E\left(Q_{n}\right)\right|$, then the translates of $E(G)$ by the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ yield an edge decomposition of $Q_{n}$. In [5] it is shown that every tree on $n$ edges can be embedded in $Q_{n}$ as a fundamental set. In [6] this is extended to certain trees and certain cycles on $2 n$ edges. Decompositions of $Q_{n}$ by $k$-stars are proved for all $k \leq n$ in [2]. Recently, Wagner and Wild [8] have constructed, for each value of $n$, a tree on $2^{n-1}$ edges that is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$.

The structure of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ is discussed in [5]. For each subset $A$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, the complementing automorphism $\sigma_{A}$ is defined by $\sigma_{A}(\vec{x})=$ $\vec{x}+\sum_{i \in A} e_{i}$, where $e_{i}$ is the $n$-tuple with a 1 in the $i$ th coordinate and 0 elsewhere. Another type of automorphism arises from the group of permutations $\mathcal{S}_{n}$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For $\theta \in \mathcal{S}_{n}$, we define $\rho_{\theta}$ by $\rho_{\theta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $\left(x_{\theta(1)}, x_{\theta(2)}, \ldots, x_{\left.\theta_{n}\right)}\right)$. Every automorphism in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $\sigma_{A} \circ \rho_{\theta}$.

To avoid ambiguity in what follows we make this definition:
Definition 3 By $P_{k}$, the " $k$-path", we mean the path with $k$ edges.

## Questions

(1) For which $k$ dividing $n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ does $P_{k}$ divide $Q_{n}$ ?
(2) For which $k$ dividing $n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ does $C_{k}$, the cycle on $k$ edges, divide $Q_{n}$ ?
(3) For those $k$ for which the answer to either (1) or (2) is "yes", is the edge set a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$ ?

We begin this introductory section with some examples. In later sections we prove a variety of results relating to these questions, and in the final section we summarize our findings.

## Example 1

Let $T$ be the 2-star ( $=$ the 2-path) contained in $Q_{3}$ with center 000 , and leaves 100,010. Then $\mathcal{G}=\left\{i d, \sigma_{123}, \sigma_{1} \rho_{(123)}, \sigma_{12} \rho_{(132)}, \sigma_{3} \rho_{(132)}, \sigma_{23} \rho_{(123)}\right\}$ is a (cyclic) subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{3}\right)$ of order 6 , and the 6 translates of $T$ under $\mathcal{G}$ yield an edge decomposition of $Q_{3}$.

Note, however, that $\mathcal{G}$ does not work for the 2 -star $T^{\prime}$, whose center is 000 and whose leaves are 100 and 001. The subgroup which works for this 2 -star is $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}=\left\{i d, \sigma_{123}, \sigma_{1} \rho_{(132)}, \sigma_{13} \rho_{(123)}, \sigma_{2} \rho_{(123)}, \sigma_{23} \rho_{(132)}\right\}$.

## Example 2

$P_{6}$ does not divide $Q_{3}$. For since $Q_{3}$ has 12 edges, if $P_{6}$ did divide $Q_{3}$ then $Q_{3}$ would have an edge-decomposition consisting of 2 copies of $P_{6}$. The degree sequence of $P_{6}$ is $2,2,2,2,2,1,1$, whereas $Q_{3}$, of course, is 3-regular. So 5 vertices meet 2 edges of one copy of $P_{6}$, and another 5 vertices meet the other copy of $P_{6}$. Since $Q_{3}$ has only 8 vertices, the 25 -sets must have at least 2 vertices in common. But that would force these 2 vertices to have degree 4 , a contradiction.

## Example 3

$P_{4}$ does not divide $Q_{3}$. Since $P_{4}$ has 4 edges, we would need 3 copies of $P_{4}$ for an edge-decomposition of $Q_{3}$. The degree sequence of $P_{4}$ is $2,2,2,1,1,0,0,0$. Call the three copies of $P_{4} P^{(1)}, P^{(2)}$, and $P^{(3)}$. At each vertex $v$ of $Q_{3}$, $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \operatorname{deg}_{P^{(i)}}(v)=3$. For each $v$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{P^{(1)}}(v)=0$ or 2 , exactly one of $\operatorname{deg}_{P^{(2)}}(v)$ or $\operatorname{deg}_{P^{(3)}}(v)$ is 1 . Thus a total of $6+2=81$ 's are required. However, each of the 3 copies of $P_{4}$ has exactly 2 vertices of degree 1 , and so the total number of 1 's in the $3 \times 8$ array ( $a_{i v}$ ) formed by the 3 degree sequences is 6 . Hence $P_{4}$ does not divide $Q_{3}$.

## Example 4

The other tree on 4 edges does divide $Q_{3}$. Let $T=$ the 3 -star centered at 000 union the edge $<001,101\rangle$. Let $\left.\mathcal{G}=<\sigma_{23} \rho_{(123)}\right\rangle$, which is a cyclic subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{3}\right)$ of order 3. A straight-forward calculation shows that the translates of $T$ under $\mathcal{G}$ form an edge decomposition of $Q_{3}$.

Proposition 1 For $k \geq 3, P_{2^{k}}$ does not divide $Q_{2 k+1}$.
Proof. Suppose that $k \geq 3$, and suppose that $P_{2^{k}}$ divides $Q_{2 k+1}$. The matrix $\left(a_{i v}\right)$ has $2^{2 k+1}$ columns, and

$$
(2 k+1) \cdot 2^{2 k} / 2^{k}=(2 k+1) 2^{k}
$$

rows. Then since each row has exactly two 1's, the entire matrix has $(2 k+1) 2^{k+1} 1$ 's. But since each vertex of $Q_{2 k+1}$ has degree $2 k+1$, each column sum is $2 k+1$, and thus each column has at least one 1 . Thus there must be at least $2^{2 k+1} 1$ 's in the matrix. Therefore, $(2 k+1) 2^{k+1} \geq 2^{2 k+1}$. This is equivalent to $2 k+1 \geq 2^{k}$. But for $k \geq 3$ this is clearly false. Thus for $k \geq 2, P_{2^{k}}$ does not divide $Q_{2 k+1}$.

We can generalize this proposition.
Lemma 1 Given any positive integer $j$ there exists an integer $k_{0}>0$ such that for all $k$ with $k \geq k_{0}, 2^{k-j-1}>k$.

Corollary 1 For any positive integer $j$ there exists an integer $k_{0}$ such that for all integers $k \geq k_{0}, P_{2^{k-j}}$ does not divide $Q_{2 k+1}$.

Proof. Choose $k_{0}$ by the lemma. We argue as in the proof of Proposition 1. Suppose that $P_{2^{k-j}}$ divides $Q_{2 k+1}$. Counting the number of 1's appearing in the matrix $\left(a_{i v}\right)$ we get the inequality $(2 k+1) 2^{k-j+1} \geq 2^{2 k+1}$. This is equivalent to $2 k+1 \geq 2^{k-j}$, i.e. $2 k \geq 2^{k-j}$. This in turn is equivalent to $k \geq 2^{k-j-1}$. But by the lemma, this is false for $k \geq k_{0}$. So for $k \geq k_{0}, P_{2^{k-j}}$ does not divide $Q_{2 k+1}$.

The next result is Proposition 8 of [6].
Proposition 2 Let $n$ be odd, and suppose that $P_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$. Then $k \leq n$.
Lemma 2 "Divisibility" is transitive, i.e. if $G_{1}$ divides $G_{2}$ and $G_{2}$ divides $G_{3}$, then $G_{1}$ divides $G_{3}$.

Proof. This follow immediately from the definition of "divides".
Corollary 2 If $k$ divides $n$ then $P_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$.
Proof. By [5], Theorem 2.3, $T$ divides $Q_{n}$ for every tree $T$ on $n$ edges. In particular, then, $P_{n}$ divides $Q_{n}$. Clearly, if $k$ divides $n$ then $P_{k}$ divides $P_{n}$. Hence, by Lemma 2, $P_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$.

We have the following partial converse.
Proposition 3 If $P_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$ and $k$ is odd, then $k$ divides $n$.

Proof. Since $P_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}, k$ divides $n \cdot 2^{n-1}$. But since $k$ is odd, this means that $k$ divides $n$.

Proposition 4 If $k$ divides $n$ then $E\left(Q_{k}\right)$ is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$. In particular, $Q_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$.

Proof. Let $n=k \cdot m$. Define the permutation

$$
\theta:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

by
$\theta=(1, k+1, \ldots,(m-1) k+1)(2, k+2, \ldots,(m-1) k+2) \cdots(k, 2 k, \ldots, m k)$.
Let $\rho_{\theta}$ be the automorphism of $Q_{n}$ defined by

$$
\rho_{\theta}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, x_{\theta(n)}
$$

The order of $\theta$ is $m$ and so the order of the group $\left\langle\rho_{\theta}\right\rangle$ is also $m$. Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\{\sigma_{A}|A \subset\{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\},|A|\right.$ is even $\} . \mathcal{H}$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group of $Q_{n-k}$. Finally, let $\mathcal{G}$ be the group generated by $\mathcal{H}$ and $\rho_{\theta}$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left\{\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j}}|A \subset\{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\},|A| \text { is even, } 1 \leq j \leq m,\}\right.
$$

$\mathcal{G}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ of order $m \cdot 2^{n-k-1}$. Hence

$$
|\mathcal{G}| \cdot\left|E\left(Q_{k}\right)\right|=m \cdot 2^{n-k-1}\left(k \cdot 2^{k}\right)=n \cdot 2^{n-1}=|E(n)| .
$$

To show that the translates of $E\left(Q_{k}\right)$ under $\mathcal{G}$ yield an edge decomposition of $Q_{n}$ it remains to show that for all $1 \leq i \leq k, A \subset\{1,2, \ldots, k\},|A|$ even and for all $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$
\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j}}\left(E\left(Q_{k}\right)\right) \cap E\left(Q_{k}\right)=\emptyset
$$

Now if $e \in E\left(Q_{k}\right)$, its direction (the coordinate in which its endpoints differ) is some $i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. The direction of $\rho_{\theta^{j}}(e)$ is $\theta^{j}(i)$. Automorphisms of the type $\sigma_{A}$ leave edge directions fixed, so the direction of $\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j}}(e)=\theta^{j}(i)$. From the definition of $\theta, \theta(i)=k+i$. One can then show by induction on $j$ that $\theta^{j}(i)=j k+i$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Hence $\theta^{j}(i)>k$. Since all edges of $Q_{k}$ have direction $\leq k, \sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j}}(e) \neq i d$. Now if $j=m, \theta^{m}$ equals $i d$, so
$\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{m}}=\sigma_{A}$. But if $\sigma_{A}(e)=e$, and $\sigma_{A}$ is not the $i d$, then $A \neq \emptyset$, and so $\sigma_{A}$ interchanges the ends of edge $e$. This is impossible since $|A|$ is even, by hypothesis.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 and Proposition 4 we have
Corollary 3 If $k$ divides $n$ and if $P_{j}$ divides $Q_{k}$ then $P_{j}$ divides $Q_{n}$.
We have a more general consequence.
Corollary 4 If $k$ divides $n$ and $T$ is any tree on $k$ edges, then $T$ divides $Q_{n}$. In fact, $T$ is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$.
Proof. By [5], Theorem 2.3, $T$ divides $Q_{k}$. Hence by Lemma 2 and Proposition 4, $T$ divides $Q_{n}$.

For the second assertion, let $\mathcal{G}_{1}=\left\{\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j}}|A \subseteq\{k+1, k+2, \ldots, n\},|A|\right.$ even $\}$, where $\theta$ is defined as in Proposition 4. Note that the order of $\theta$ is $m$, where $m k=n$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{2}=\left\{\sigma_{B}|B \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, k\},|B|\right.$ even $\}$. Finally, let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq$ Aut $Q_{n}$ be the subgroup generated by $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$. If $\sigma_{B} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$ then $\rho_{\theta} \sigma_{B}=\sigma_{\theta(B)} \rho_{\theta}$. Note that $\theta(B) \subseteq\{k+1, k+2, \cdots ; n\}$ and thus $\sigma_{\theta(B)} \rho_{\theta} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. So for all $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$
\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j}} \sigma_{B}=\sigma_{A} \rho_{\theta^{j-1}} \sigma_{\theta(B)} \rho_{\theta}=\cdots=\sigma_{A \Delta \theta^{j}(B) \Delta \theta^{j-1}(B) \cdots \Delta \theta(B)} \rho_{\theta^{j}}
$$

$\left|A \Delta \theta^{j}(B) \Delta \cdots \Delta \theta(B)\right|$ is even, and furthermore,

$$
A \Delta \theta^{j}(B) \Delta \cdots \Delta \theta(B)=A \cup\left[\theta^{j}(B) \Delta \theta^{j-1}(B) \Delta \cdots \Delta \theta(B)\right]
$$

Hence $\sigma_{A \Delta \theta^{j}(B) \Delta \cdots \Delta \theta(B)} \rho_{\theta} \in\left(\Sigma_{n}, \rho_{\theta}\right)$, where $\Sigma_{n}=\left\{\sigma_{C} \mid C \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, n\}\right.$. First we shall show that the translates of $E=E(T)$ are edge-disjoint. So suppose that $\sigma_{C} \rho_{\theta j}(e)=e^{\prime}$, where $e, e^{\prime} \in E(T)$ and $|C|$ is even. Let the direction of $e$ be $i$. Then the direction of $\theta(e)$ is $\theta(i)$. Since translations $\sigma_{A}$ preserve the directions of edges, the direction of $e^{\prime}$ is also $i$. Thus $\theta^{j}(i)=i$. Since $\theta$ is a product of disjoint $m$-cycles, this implies that $j=m$, and hence $\theta^{j}=\theta^{m}=i d$. Thus $\sigma_{C}=i d$. Hence $\sigma_{C} \rho_{\theta^{j}}=i d$, and so the translates of $E(T)$ are disjoint.

Finally, we must show that every edge $e \in E\left(Q_{n}\right)$ belongs to some translate of $E(T)$. Let $e \in E\left(Q_{n}\right)$. Then since $E(T)$ is fundamental for $E\left(Q_{k}\right)$, $e=g^{\prime}$ for some $e^{\prime} \in E(T)$, and for some $g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$. Since $E\left(Q_{k}\right)$ is fundamental for $Q_{n}$ relative to $\mathcal{G}_{1}, e^{\prime}=g^{\prime \prime}\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $e^{\prime \prime} \in T$ and $g^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Thus $e=g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}\left(e^{\prime \prime}\right.$ for some $e \in T$. Since $g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{G}$, the translates of $E(T)$ by elements of $\mathcal{G}$ do cover $E\left(Q_{n}\right)$. So $E(T)$ is fundamental for $E\left(Q_{n}\right)$.

Proposition 5 If $n$ is even, and $j<n$ then $P_{2^{j}}$ divides $Q_{n}$.
Proof. It is proved in [1] that the cycle $C_{2^{n}}$ divides $Q_{n}$. The Hamiltonian cycle $C_{2^{n}}$ is divisible by any path $P_{q}$, as long as $q$ divides $2^{n}$ and $q<2^{n}$. Thus $C_{2^{n}}$ is divisible by $P_{2^{j}}$ provided $j<n$. The result now follows from Lemma 2.

Proposition 6 If $n$ is even, and $C$ is the $2 n$-cycle with initial vertex $\emptyset$, and edge direction sequence $(1,2, \ldots, n)^{2}$, then $Q_{n}$ is edge-decomposed by the copies of $C$ under the action of $\mathcal{G}=\left\{\sigma_{A}|A \subset[n-1],|A|\right.$ even $\}$. So $E(C)$ is fundamental for $Q_{n}$.

Proof. $C$ consists of the path $P$, followed by $\sigma_{\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}(P)$, where $P$ is the path with initial vertex $\emptyset$ and edge direction sequence $1,2, \ldots, n$. Note that for any $B \subseteq\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, for any edge $e, \sigma_{B}(e)=e \Longrightarrow B=\emptyset$ or $|B|=1$. Now we shall show that for every subset $A \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ with $|A|$ even, $\sigma_{A}(C) \cap C=\emptyset$. It should be noted that these $A$ 's form a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{n}\right)$ of order $2^{n-2}$. So suppose that $e=\langle x, y\rangle \in C \cap \sigma_{A}(C)$. Let the direction of $e$ be $i$. Then the direction of $\sigma_{A}(e)=i$. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then since $|A|$ is even, $\sigma_{A}(e) \neq e$. The only other edge in $C$ with direction $i$ is $\sigma_{\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}(e)$. So if $\sigma_{A}(e) \in C$, then $\sigma_{A}(e)=\sigma_{\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}(e)$. Therefore $\sigma_{A}$. $\sigma_{\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}(e)=e$, i.e. $\sigma_{A \Delta\{1,2, \ldots, n\}}(e)=e$. Since $A$ and $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ are even, so is $A \Delta\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \stackrel{\bar{A}}{=}$. Hence $A \Delta\{1,2, \ldots, n\}=\emptyset$, i.e. $A=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. But $n \notin A$, so we have a contradiction.

Thus we have a group $\mathcal{G}$ of automorphisms of $C$ of order $2^{n-2}$, such that for $g \in \mathcal{G}, g \neq i d, g(E(C)) \cap E(C)=\emptyset$. Furthermore, since $|E(C)|=2 n$, it follows that $|\mathcal{G}||E(C)|=\left|E\left(Q_{n}\right)\right|$. Hence by [5], Lemma 1.1, the translates of $E(C)$ via the elements of $\mathcal{G}$ form an edge decomposition of $Q_{n}$.

Corollary 5 If $k<n$ and $k$ divides $n$ then $P_{2 k}$ divides $Q_{n}$.
Proof. Since $k$ divides $n, 2 k$ divides $2 n$, and thus since $2 k<2 n, P_{2 k}$ divides the $2 n$-cycle $C$ of Proposition 6. Hence by Proposition 6, $P_{2 k}$ divides $Q_{n}$.

Corollary 6 If $k$ is even and $k$ divides $n$, and $C$ is the $2 k$-cycle with initial vertex $\emptyset$, and edge direction sequence $(1,2, \ldots, k)^{2}$, then $C$ divides $Q_{n}$.

Proof. By the proposition, $C$ divides $Q_{k}$, and by Proposition 4, $Q_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$. The result now follows from Lemma 2.

## $2 \quad P_{4}$ divides $Q_{5}$

If $k$ is odd then by Proposition 3 and Lemma $2 P_{k}$ divides $Q_{n}$ and only if $k$ divides $n$. Thus the smallest value of $k$ for which Question (1) remains open is $k=4$. Corollary 5 settles the matter in the affirmative when $n$ is even and thus we now only need to consider the case of $n$ odd. Example 3 shows that $P_{4}$ does not divide $Q_{3}$.

In the next two sections we show that for all odd $n$ with $n \geq 5, P_{4}$ divides $Q_{n}$. We first, in this section, prove the result for $n=5$. The strategy is to find a subgraph $G$ of $Q_{5}$, show that $G$ divides $Q_{5}$, and then show that $P_{4}$ divides $G$. In the next section we deduce the general case.


Figure 1: $Q_{5}$ and the graph $G$

We define $G$ as follows (see figure 1). First, some notation. For $b, c \in$ $\{0,1\}, Q_{5}^{(* * * b c)}$ denotes the 3-cube induced by the vertices $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}$ with $x_{4}=b$ and $x_{5}=c$. If $a \in\{0,1\} Q_{5}^{(* * a b c)}$ is the 2 -cube induced by the vertices with $x_{3}=a, x_{4}=b$, and $x_{5}=c$. We take $G$ to be the union of (1): $Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$, with the edges of $Q_{5}^{(* 0 * 00)}$ deleted; (2): $Q_{5}^{(* * * 10)}$ with all edges deleted except for $\langle 01010,01110\rangle$ and $\langle 11010,11110\rangle ;(3): Q_{5}^{(* * * 01)}$ with all edges deleted except for $\langle 01101,11101\rangle$ and $\langle 01001,11001\rangle$; (4): the 4 matching
edges between $Q_{5}^{(* 1 * 00)}$ and $Q_{5}^{(* 1 * 10)}$; and (5) the 4 matching edges between $Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$ and $Q_{5}^{(* 1 * 01)}$. Thus $|E(G)|=20$. Since $\left|E\left(Q_{5}\right)\right|=5 \cdot 2^{4}=80$, we must exhibit $80 / 20=4$ copies of $E(G)$ that partition $E\left(Q_{5}\right)$.

Lemma $3 G$ divides $Q_{5}$. In fact, $E(G)$ is a fundamental set for $Q_{5}$.
Proof. The group of translations $\mathcal{G}=\left\{i d, \sigma_{24}, \sigma_{25}, \sigma_{45}\right\}$, applied to $E(G)$, partitions $E(G)$. It is enough to check that for $A=\{24\},\{25\}$, and $\{45\}$, $\sigma_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})) \cap \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{G})=\emptyset$. We will do this for the case $A=\{24\}$. The others are similar. Suppose $e, e^{\prime} \in E(G)$ and $\sigma_{24}(e)=e^{\prime}$. If $e$ lies in $Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$ then $\sigma_{24}(e)$ is in $Q_{5}^{(* * * 10)}$, which is (vertex) disjoint from $Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$. Similarly, if $e \in Q_{5}^{(* * * 10)}, \sigma_{24}(e) \in Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$. If $e \in Q_{5}^{(* * * 01)}$ then $\sigma_{24}(e) \in Q_{5}^{(* * * 11)}$. If $e$ is a matching edge $\langle x, y\rangle$ between $Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{5}^{(* * * 10)}$ then $x_{2}=y_{2}=1$. Hence $\sigma_{24}(e)=\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ where $x_{2}^{\prime}=y_{2}^{\prime}=0$. Thus $\sigma_{24}(e) \neq e^{\prime}$. Finally, if $e$ is a matching edge $\langle x, y\rangle$ between $Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}$ and $\mathrm{Q}_{5}^{(* * * 01)}$ then $x_{2}=y_{2}=1$, while if $\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle=\sigma_{24}(e)$, then $x_{2}^{\prime}=x_{2}^{\prime}=0$ and so $\sigma_{24}(e) \neq e^{\prime}$.


Figure 2: $P_{4}$ divides $G$

Lemma $4 P_{4}$ divides $G$.
Proof. It is easiest to describe the paths by their starting points and direction sequences (see figure 2).

| Path | Starting Point | Direction Sequ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 00000 | $2,5,1,5$ |
| $B$ | 10100 | $2,5,1,5$ |
| $C$ | 10000 | $2,3,1,3$ |
| $D$ | 01000 | $1,4,3,4$ |
| $E$ | 00100 | $2,4,3,4$ |

Corollary $7 P_{4}$ divides $Q_{5}$.
Proof. This follows immediately from the previous two lemmas.

## $3 \quad P_{4}$ divides $Q_{n}$, for $n$ odd, $n \geq 5$

Let us write $Q_{5}$ as $Q_{5}=Q_{3} \square Q_{2}=Q_{3} \square C_{4}$. Let $G_{0}=Q_{5}^{(* * * 00)}, G_{1}=$ $Q_{5}^{(* * * 10)}, G_{2}=Q_{5}^{(* * * 11)}, G_{3}=Q_{5}^{(* * * 01)}$. For $i \in\{0,1,2,3\}$ let $\pi_{i}$ be the canonical mapping from $G_{i}$ to $Q_{3}$.

* From the decomposition of $Q_{5}$ by $P_{4}$ we have a coloring $c: Q_{5} \longrightarrow$ $\{1,2, \ldots, 20\}$ of the edges of $Q_{5}$ such that for any $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 20\}$ the set of edges of $Q_{5}$ colored $i$ induces a $P_{4}$.
$*$ Consider now $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. Let $G_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, G_{4 k-1}^{\prime} \simeq Q_{3}$. Let $\pi_{i}^{\prime}$, be the canonical mapping from $G_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime} \longrightarrow Q_{3}$ for $i^{\prime} \in\{0,1, \ldots, 4 k-1\}$.
The edges of $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k}$ are
Case A: the edges of $G_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, for any $i^{\prime} \in\{0,1, \ldots, 4 k-1\}$.
Case B: for any $i^{\prime} \in\{0,1, \ldots, 4 k-1\}$ the edges $\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ for $x^{\prime} \in G_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, $y^{\prime} \in G_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, where $\left|j^{\prime}-i^{\prime}\right| \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4 k)$ and $\pi_{i^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{j^{\prime}}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$.
* Let $\theta$ be the mapping from $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k} \longrightarrow Q_{5}$ defined by: for any $x^{\prime} \in$ $G_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x$ where $x$ is the element of $G_{i}$, with $i \equiv i^{\prime}(\bmod 4)$ such that $\pi_{i}(x)=\pi_{i^{\prime}}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. (Note that $\theta$ is not a one-to-one mapping.)

Proposition 7 If $\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is an edge of $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k}$ then $\left\langle\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right), \theta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle$ is an edge of $Q_{5}$.

Proof.
Case A $\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle \in G_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}$, for some $i^{\prime}$. Then let $i \equiv i^{\prime}(\bmod 4)$. By the
definition of $\theta, \theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in G_{i}, \theta\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in G_{i}$. This implies that $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ are adjacent.

## Case B

Assume $x^{\prime} \in G_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in G_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ with $\left|j^{\prime}-i^{\prime}\right| \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4 k)$. We have $\pi_{i^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in G_{i}$ and $\theta\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in G_{j}$ where $|j-i| \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$ since $\left|j^{\prime}-i^{\prime}\right| \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4)$ implies that $|j-i| \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4)$. Furthermore

$$
\pi_{i}\left(\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \stackrel{\text { def of } \theta}{=} \pi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\text { edge }}{=} \pi\left(y^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\text { def of } \theta}{=} \pi_{j}\left(\theta\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Thus there exists an edge between $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta\left(y^{\prime}\right)$


Figure 3: Decomposition of $Q_{2 m+1}$

Definition 4 Consider the coloring $E\left(Q_{3} \square C_{4 m}\right) \xrightarrow{c^{\prime}}\{1,2, \ldots, 20\}$ of the edges of $Q_{3} \square C_{4 m}$ defined by $c^{\prime}\left(\left\langle x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)=c(\langle\theta(x), \theta(y))$.

Lemma 5 For any $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, 20\}$ the set of edges of $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k}$ such that $c^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=i$ is a set of disjoint paths of length 4. Therefore $P_{4}$ divides $Q_{3} \square C_{4 m}$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. By definition of $c^{\prime}$, for any vertex $x^{\prime}$ of $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k}$ the number of edges incident to $x^{\prime}$ colored $i$ by $c^{\prime}$ is the number of edges incident to $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ colored $i$ by $c$. Therefore this number is $\leq 2$. Furthermore, there is no cycle colored $i$ in $Q_{3} \square C_{4 k}$ because the image by $\theta$ of this cycle would be a cycle of $Q_{5}$ colored $i$ with $c$. Therefore the set of edges colored $i$ by $c^{\prime}$ is a forest and more precisely, because of the degree, a set of disjoint paths.
Notice that the image by $\theta$ of a path colored $i$ is a path of $Q_{5}$ of the same length (because of the degree of the endpoints of the paths). Therefore all the paths are of length 4 .


Figure 4: Decomposition of $Q_{2 m+3}$

Theorem 1 For $n \geq 4, P_{4}$ divides $Q_{n}$.
Proof. If $n$ is even, the result is true by Corollary 5. If $n=5$ then we are done by Corollary 7. Consider $Q_{2 m+3}$, for $m \geq 2$. $Q_{2 m+3}=Q_{2 m+1} \square Q_{2}$. $E\left(Q_{2 m}\right)$ can be decomposed into $m$ cycles of length $2^{2 m}$ (Hamiltonian cycles) by Aubert and Schneider [1]. Let $D$ be one of these cycles. The edges of $Q_{2 m+1}$ are the edges of the two copies of $Q_{2 m}$ and a matching. But every vertex of $Q_{2 m}$ appears exactly once in $D$ so $E\left(Q_{2 m+1}\right)$ can be decomposed into $2(m-1)$ cycles of length $2^{2 m}$ and $D \square Q_{1} \simeq C_{2^{2 m}} \square Q_{1}$ (see figure 3).

Every vertex of $Q_{2 m+1}$ appears once in $D \square Q_{1}$, thus, for the same reason, $E\left(Q_{2 m+3}\right)$ can be decomposed into $8(m-1)$ cycles of length $2^{2 k}$ and
$D \square Q_{1} \square Q_{2} \simeq C_{2^{2 k}} \square Q_{1} \square Q_{2} \simeq C_{2^{2 m}} \square Q_{3}$ (see figure 4).
Since $k \geq 2, \frac{2^{2 m}}{4}$ is an integer stictly greater than 1 so the cycles of length $2^{2 m}$ are divisible by $P_{4}$. By Lemma 5, $P_{4}$ divides $C_{2^{2 m}}^{\square} Q_{3}$, and $P_{4}$ divides $E\left(Q_{n}\right)$ for any odd $n \geq 5$.

## 4 Products of fundamental sets.

We begin with an easy result about divisibility of the Cartesian product of two graphs. Its proof is straightforward and so we omit it.

Proposition 8 For $i=1,2$, let $G_{i}$ be a graph that is divisible by the subgraph $H_{i}$. Then $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ is divisible by the subgraph $H_{1} \square H_{2}$.

Lemma 6 Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be any two graphs, and for $i=1,2$ let $\phi_{i} \in$ Aut $\left(G_{i}\right)$. Define $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right): G_{1} \square G_{2} \longrightarrow G_{1} \square G_{2}$ by $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)((x, y))=\left(\phi_{1}(x), \phi_{2}(y)\right)$. Then $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ be adjacent in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. Then either (1) $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ are adjacent in $G_{1}$ and $x_{2}=y_{2}$ or (2) $x_{1}=y_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ and $y_{2}$ are adjacent in $G_{2}$. We must show that $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ are adjacent in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove this for case (1). But then since $\phi_{1} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{1}\right), \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $\phi_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)$ are adjacent in $G_{1}$, and since $x_{2}=y_{2}, \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=\phi_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)$. Therefore $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ are adjacent in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. Thus $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 8 Suppose that for $i=1,2, H_{i} \subseteq E\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $H_{i}$ is a fundamental set for the graph $G_{i}$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{i}\right)$. Then $H_{1} \square H_{2}$ is a fundamental set for $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)$.

Proof. By assumption, for $i=1,2$ the translates of $H_{i}$ by $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ are edgedisjoint and their union is $E\left(G_{i}\right)$. Suppose that $e=\left\langle\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\rangle \in$ $E\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)$. Then either Case (1): $\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $x_{2}=y_{2}$ or Case (2): $x_{1}=y_{1}$ and $\left\langle x_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$. By symmetry, we need only prove our assertions for Case (1). Since $\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$, for some $\phi_{1} \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$, there exists an edge $\left\langle u_{1}, v_{1}\right\rangle \in H_{1}$ such that $\left\langle x_{1}, y_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi_{1}\left(u_{1}\right), \phi_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)\right\rangle$. Let $i d_{i} \in \mathcal{H}_{i}$ denote the identity automorphism of $H_{i}$. Then $\left(\phi_{1}, i d_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2}$ and
$\left\langle\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\left(\phi_{1}, i d_{2}\right)\left(\left\langle\left(u_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right)$. So the translates of $H_{1} \square H_{2}$ cover $G_{1} \square G_{2}$.

Next, we must show that these translates form a packing, i.e. that either $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\left(H_{1} \square H_{2}\right)=\emptyset$ or that $\phi_{1}=i d_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}=i d_{2}$. Let $\left(\left\langle\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right),\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right) \in$ $H_{1} \square H_{2}$. We shall show that $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2}$ is the identity automorphism of $H_{1} \square H_{2}$. For from this hypothesis, we have $\phi_{1}\left(\left(w_{1}, z_{1}\right)\right) \in H_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}\left(\left(w_{2}, z_{2}\right)\right) \in H_{2}$. Since $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are fundamental sets for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively, $\phi_{1}=i d_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}=i d_{2}$. Thus $H_{1} \square H_{2}$ is a fundamental set for $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H}_{1} \times \mathcal{H}_{2}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)$, as claimed.

Corollary 9 If $H$ is a fundamental set of edges for the graph $G$, with subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ then $H \square H$ is a fundamental set for $G \square G$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(G \square G)$.

## $5 \quad Q_{2^{k}}$ has a fundamental Hamiltonian cycle.

Remark. We say that the direction of an edge of $Q_{n}$ is $i$ if the coordinate in which its two endpoints differ is the $i^{\text {th }}$. We shall describe walks in the hypercube by specifying the starting vertex (generally $\overrightarrow{0}$ ) and the sequence of edge directions.

It is well-known that the $n$-dimensional hypercube $Q_{n}$ is Hamiltonian, and in fact has many Hamiltonian cycles. Aubert and Schneider [1] proved that for $n$ even, $Q_{n}$ has an edge decomposition into Hamiltonian cycles. However, their construction is technical. In contrast, in this last section we shall show that for $n=2^{k}$, there is a single Hamiltonian cycle $C$ such that $E(C)$ is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$.

More generally, we shall show that if $G$ is a graph with a Hamiltonian cycle whose edges form a fundamental set, relative to a subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of the automorphism group of $G$, then $G^{2}=G \square G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle whose edges form a fundamental set, relative to a subgroup of the automorphism group of $G^{2}$.

The next lemma is due to G. Ringel [7]. (The second statement is not stated explicitly there, but is a consequence of his proof.)

Lemma 7 From a Hamiltonian cycle $C$ of a graph $G$, there are two edgedisjoint Hamiltonian cycles of $G^{2}=G \square G$. Each of them is isomorphic to $C \square C$, via an automorphism ( $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ ), where for $i=1,2, \phi_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

Corollary 10 If $G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle $C$ and $C$ is a fundamental set for $G$ under the subgroup $\mathcal{H}$ of Aut $G$, then $C \square C$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $G \square G$ under the subgroup $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(G \square G)$.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 9 and Lemma 7.
Proposition $9 Q_{4}$ has a Hamiltonian cycle that is a fundamental set.
Proof. The edge direction sequence $(12132124)^{2}$, together with any starting vertex, yields a Hamiltonian cycle $C$. Let $\theta$ be the permutation (13)(24). Then the mapping $\rho_{\theta}: V\left(Q_{n}\right) \longrightarrow V\left(Q_{n}\right)$, defined by $\rho_{\theta}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=$ $x_{\theta(1)}, \ldots, x_{\theta(n)}$ is an automorphism, which in this case generates a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Q_{4}\right)$ of order 2 . It is easy to check that $\rho_{\theta(C)}$ is edge-disjoint from $C$, and so we have the desired edge decomposition.

Theorem 2 For any $k \geq 1, Q_{2^{k}}$ has a Hamiltonian cycle that is a fundamental set.

Proof. This is trivial for $k=1$ since $Q_{2}=C_{2}$ and holds for $k=2$ by Proposition 9. The desired result now follows by induction, from Lemma 7 and Corollary 9.

Corollary 11 For $n$ and $m$ each a power of 2 , with $m \leq n$, there is an $m$-cycle that divides $Q_{n}$.

Proof. Let $m=2^{p}$. By Theorem $2 Q_{m}$ has a fundamental $2^{p}$-cycle, which therefore divides $Q_{m}=Q_{2^{p}}$. Since $m$ and $n$ are each powers of two, $m$ divides $n$. Hence by Proposition 4 and Lemma 2, this cycle divides $Q_{n}$.

## 6 Summary of Results

1. For $k$ odd, if $P_{k}$ is a divides $Q_{n}$, then $k$ divides $n$. (Proposition 3)
2. If $k$ divides $n$, any tree on $k$ edges is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$. (Corollary 4)
3. If $k$ divides $n$ and $k<n$ then $P_{2 k}$ divides $Q_{n}$. (Corollary 5)
4. If $n$ is even and $j<n$ then $P_{2^{j}}$ divides $Q_{n}$. (Proposition 5)
5. For $k=2 n$ there is a $k$-cycle which is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$ when $n$ is even. (Proposition 6)
6. For $n=$ a power of 2 , there is a Hamiltonian cycle which is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$. (Theorem 2)
7. For $n=$ a power of 2 and $m=$ a power of 2 , with $m \leq n$, there is an $m$-cycle that divides $Q_{n}$. (Corollary 11)
8. For $n \geq 4, P_{4}$ divides $Q_{n}$. (Theorem 1)
9. If $k$ divides $n$ then $Q_{k}$ is a fundamental set for $Q_{n}$. (Proposition 4)

10 . For $k \geq 3, P_{2^{j}}$ does not divide $Q_{2 k+1}$. (Proposition 1)
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