



Edge Decompositions of Hypercubes by Paths and by Cycles

Michel Mollard, Mark Ramras

► To cite this version:

Michel Mollard, Mark Ramras. Edge Decompositions of Hypercubes by Paths and by Cycles. 2012.
hal-00698983v1

HAL Id: hal-00698983

<https://hal.science/hal-00698983v1>

Preprint submitted on 18 May 2012 (v1), last revised 5 Sep 2013 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Edge Decompositions of Hypercubes by Paths and by Cycles

Michel Mollard*

Institut Fourier
100, rue des Maths
38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex FRANCE
`michel.mollard@ujf-grenoble.fr`

and

Mark Ramras

Department of Mathematics
Northeastern University
Boston, MA 02115, USA
`m.ramras@neu.edu`

May 18, 2012

Abstract

If H is (or is isomorphic to) a subgraph of G , we say that H *divides* G if there is an edge-decomposition of G by copies of H . Many authors ([1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [8]) have studied this notion for various subgraphs of hypercubes. We continue such a study in this paper.

1 Introduction and Preliminary Results

Definition 1 *If H is (or is isomorphic to) a subgraph of G , we say that H divides G if there is an edge-decomposition of G by copies of $E(H)$.*

Ramras [5] has defined a more restrictive concept.

*CNRS Université Joseph Fourier

Definition 2 *A fundamental set of edges of a graph G is a subset of $E(G)$ whose translates under some subgroup of the automorphism group of G partition $E(G)$.*

In [5] we have shown that if \mathcal{G} is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$ and for all $g \in \mathcal{G}$, with $g \neq id$ (where id denotes the identity element), $g(E(H)) \cap E(H) = \emptyset$, then there is a packing of these translates of $E(H)$ in Q_n , i.e. they are pairwise disjoint. If, in addition, $|E(H)| \cdot |\mathcal{G}| = n \cdot 2^{n-1} = |E(Q_n)|$, then the translates of $E(H)$ by the elements of \mathcal{G} yield an edge decomposition of Q_n . In [5] it is shown that every tree on n edges can be embedded in Q_n as a fundamental set. In [6] this is extended to certain trees and certain cycles on $2n$ edges. Decompositions of Q_n by k -stars are proved for all $k \leq n$ in [2]. Recently, Wagner and Wild [8] have constructed, for each value of n , a tree on 2^{n-1} edges that is a fundamental set for Q_n .

The structure of $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$ is discussed in [5]. For each subset A of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the complementing automorphism σ_A is defined by $\sigma_A(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} + \sum_{i \in A} e_i$, where e_i is the n -tuple with a 1 in the i th coordinate and 0 elsewhere. Another type of automorphism arises from the group of permutations \mathcal{S}_n of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For $\theta \in \mathcal{S}_n$, we define ρ_θ by $\rho_\theta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\theta(1)}, x_{\theta(2)}, \dots, x_{\theta(n)})$. Every automorphism in $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$ can be expressed uniquely in the form $\sigma_A \circ \rho_\theta$.

To avoid ambiguity in what follows we make this definition:

Definition 3 *By P_k , the “ k -path”, we mean the path with k edges.*

Questions

- (1) For which k dividing $n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ does P_k divide Q_n ?
- (2) For which k dividing $n \cdot 2^{n-1}$ does C_k , the cycle on k edges, divide Q_n ?
- (3) For those k for which the answer to either (1) or (2) is “yes”, is the edge set a fundamental set for Q_n ?

We begin this introductory section with some examples. In later sections we prove a variety of results relating to these questions, and in the final section we summarize our findings.

Example 1

Let T be the 2-star (= the 2-path) contained in Q_3 with center 000, and leaves 100, 010. Then $\mathcal{G} = \{id, \sigma_{123}, \sigma_{1\rho(123)}, \sigma_{12\rho(132)}, \sigma_{3\rho(132)}, \sigma_{23\rho(123)}\}$ is a (cyclic) subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_3)$ of order 6, and the 6 translates of T under \mathcal{G} yield an edge decomposition of Q_3 . \square

Note, however, that \mathcal{G} does not work for the 2-star T' , whose center is 000 and whose leaves are 100 and 001. The subgroup which works for this 2-star is $\mathcal{G}' = \{id, \sigma_{123}, \sigma_1\rho_{(132)}, \sigma_{13}\rho_{(123)}, \sigma_2\rho_{(123)}, \sigma_{23}\rho_{(132)}\}$.

Example 2

P_6 does not divide Q_3 . For since Q_3 has 12 edges, if P_6 *did* divide Q_3 then Q_3 would have an edge-decomposition consisting of 2 copies of P_6 . The degree sequence of P_6 is 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, whereas Q_3 , of course, is 3-regular. So 5 vertices meet 2 edges of one copy of P_6 , and another 5 vertices meet the other copy of P_6 . Since Q_3 has only 8 vertices, the 2 5-sets must have at least 2 vertices in common. But that would force these 2 vertices to have degree 4, a contradiction. \square

Example 3

P_4 does not divide Q_3 . Since P_4 has 4 edges, we would need 3 copies of P_4 for an edge-decomposition of Q_3 . The degree sequence of P_4 is 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0. Call the three copies of P_4 $P^{(1)}$, $P^{(2)}$, and $P^{(3)}$. At each vertex v of Q_3 , $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \deg_{P^{(i)}}(v) = 3$. For each v with $\deg_{P^{(1)}}(v) = 0$ or 2, exactly one of $\deg_{P^{(2)}}(v)$ or $\deg_{P^{(3)}}(v)$ is 1. Thus a total of $6 + 2 = 8$ 1's are required. However, each of the 3 copies of P_4 has exactly 2 vertices of degree 1, and so the total number of 1's in the 3×8 array (a_{iv}) formed by the 3 degree sequences is 6. Hence P_4 does not divide Q_3 . \square

Example 4

The *other* tree on 4 edges *does* divide Q_3 . Let T = the 3-star centered at 000 union the edge $< 001, 101 >$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \langle \sigma_{23}\rho_{(123)} \rangle$, which is a cyclic subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_3)$ of order 3. A straight-forward calculation shows that the translates of T under \mathcal{G} form an edge decomposition of Q_3 . \square

Proposition 1 For $k \geq 3$, P_{2^k} does not divide $Q_{2^{k+1}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $k \geq 3$, and suppose that P_{2^k} divides $Q_{2^{k+1}}$. The matrix (a_{iv}) has 2^{2k+1} columns, and

$$(2k+1) \cdot 2^{2k}/2^k = (2k+1)2^k$$

rows. Then since each row has exactly two 1's, the entire matrix has $(2k+1)2^{k+1}$ 1's. But since each vertex of Q_{2k+1} has degree $2k+1$, each column sum is $2k+1$, and thus each column has at least one 1. Thus there must be at least 2^{2k+1} 1's in the matrix. Therefore, $(2k+1)2^{k+1} \geq 2^{2k+1}$. This is equivalent to $2k+1 \geq 2^k$. But for $k \geq 3$ this is clearly false. Thus for $k \geq 2$, P_{2^k} does not divide Q_{2k+1} . \square

We can generalize this proposition.

Lemma 1 *Given any positive integer j there exists an integer $k_0 > 0$ such that for all k with $k \geq k_0$, $2^{k-j-1} > k$.*

Corollary 1 *For any positive integer j there exists an integer k_0 such that for all integers $k \geq k_0$, $P_{2^{k-j}}$ does not divide Q_{2k+1} .*

Proof. Choose k_0 by the lemma. We argue as in the proof of Proposition 1. Suppose that $P_{2^{k-j}}$ divides Q_{2k+1} . Counting the number of 1's appearing in the matrix (a_{iv}) we get the inequality $(2k+1)2^{k-j+1} \geq 2^{2k+1}$. This is equivalent to $2k+1 \geq 2^{k-j}$, i.e. $2k \geq 2^{k-j}$. This in turn is equivalent to $k \geq 2^{k-j-1}$. But by the lemma, this is false for $k \geq k_0$. So for $k \geq k_0$, $P_{2^{k-j}}$ does not divide Q_{2k+1} . \square

The next result is Proposition 8 of [6].

Proposition 2 *Let n be odd, and suppose that P_k divides Q_n . Then $k \leq n$.*

Lemma 2 *“Divisibility” is transitive, i.e. if G_1 divides G_2 and G_2 divides G_3 , then G_1 divides G_3 .*

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of “divides”. \square

Corollary 2 *If k divides n then P_k divides Q_n .*

Proof. By [5], Theorem 2.3, T divides Q_n for every tree T on n edges. In particular, then, P_n divides Q_n . Clearly, if k divides n then P_k divides P_n . Hence, by Lemma 2, P_k divides Q_n . \square

We have the following partial converse.

Proposition 3 *If P_k divides Q_n and k is odd, then k divides n .*

Proof. Since P_k divides Q_n , k divides $n \cdot 2^{n-1}$. But since k is odd, this means that k divides n . \square

Proposition 4 *If k divides n then $E(Q_k)$ is a fundamental set for Q_n . In particular, Q_k divides Q_n .*

Proof. Let $n = k \cdot m$. Define the permutation

$$\theta : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

by

$$\theta = (1, k+1, \dots, (m-1)k+1)(2, k+2, \dots, (m-1)k+2) \cdots (k, 2k, \dots, mk).$$

Let ρ_θ be the automorphism of Q_n defined by

$$\rho_\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_{\theta(1)}, \dots, x_{\theta(n)}.$$

The order of θ is m and so the order of the group $\langle \rho_\theta \rangle$ is also m . Let $\mathcal{H} = \{\sigma_A \mid A \subset \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}, |A| \text{ is even}\}$. \mathcal{H} is a subgroup of the automorphism group of Q_{n-k} . Finally, let \mathcal{G} be the group generated by \mathcal{H} and ρ_θ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^j} \mid A \subset \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}, |A| \text{ is even}, 1 \leq j \leq m, \}.$$

\mathcal{G} is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$ of order $m \cdot 2^{n-k-1}$. Hence

$$|\mathcal{G}| \cdot |E(Q_k)| = m \cdot 2^{n-k-1} (k \cdot 2^k) = n \cdot 2^{n-1} = |E(n)|.$$

To show that the translates of $E(Q_k)$ under \mathcal{G} yield an edge decomposition of Q_n it remains to show that for all $1 \leq i \leq k, A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, |A|$ even and for all $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^j}(E(Q_k)) \cap E(Q_k) = \emptyset.$$

Now if $e \in E(Q_k)$, its direction (the coordinate in which its endpoints differ) is some i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. The direction of $\rho_{\theta^j}(e)$ is $\theta^j(i)$. Automorphisms of the type σ_A leave edge directions fixed, so the direction of $\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^j}(e) = \theta^j(i)$. From the definition of θ , $\theta(i) = k+i$. One can then show by induction on j that $\theta^j(i) = jk+i$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Hence $\theta^j(i) > k$. Since all edges of Q_k have direction $\leq k$, $\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^j}(e) \neq id$. Now if $j = m$, θ^m equals id , so

$\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^m} = \sigma_A$. But if $\sigma_A(e) = e$, and σ_A is not the id , then $A \neq \emptyset$, and so σ_A interchanges the ends of edge e . This is impossible since $|A|$ is even, by hypothesis. \square

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 and Proposition 4 we have

Corollary 3 *If k divides n and if P_j divides Q_k then P_j divides Q_n .*

We have a more general consequence.

Corollary 4 *If k divides n and T is any tree on k edges, then T divides Q_n . In fact, T is a fundamental set for Q_n .*

Proof. By [5], Theorem 2.3, T divides Q_k . Hence by Lemma 2 and Proposition 4, T divides Q_n .

For the second assertion, let $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^j} \mid A \subseteq \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}, |A| \text{ even}\}$, where θ is defined as in Proposition 4. Note that the order of θ is m , where $mk = n$. Let $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{\sigma_B \mid B \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, |B| \text{ even}\}$. Finally, let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{Aut } Q_n$ be the subgroup generated by \mathcal{G}_1 and \mathcal{G}_2 . If $\sigma_B \in \mathcal{G}_2$ then $\rho_\theta \sigma_B = \sigma_{\theta(B)} \rho_\theta$. Note that $\theta(B) \subseteq \{k+1, k+2, \dots, n\}$ and thus $\sigma_{\theta(B)} \rho_\theta \in \mathcal{G}_1$. So for all j with $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$\sigma_A \rho_{\theta^j} \sigma_B = \sigma_A \rho_{\theta^{j-1}} \sigma_{\theta(B)} \rho_\theta = \dots = \sigma_{A \Delta \theta^j(B) \Delta \theta^{j-1}(B) \dots \Delta \theta(B)} \rho_{\theta^j}.$$

$|A \Delta \theta^j(B) \Delta \dots \Delta \theta(B)|$ is even, and furthermore,

$$A \Delta \theta^j(B) \Delta \dots \Delta \theta(B) = A \cup [\theta^j(B) \Delta \theta^{j-1}(B) \Delta \dots \Delta \theta(B)].$$

Hence $\sigma_{A \Delta \theta^j(B) \Delta \dots \Delta \theta(B)} \rho_\theta \in (\Sigma_n, \rho_\theta)$, where $\Sigma_n = \{\sigma_C \mid C \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$. First we shall show that the translates of $E = E(T)$ are edge-disjoint. So suppose that $\sigma_C \rho_{\theta^j}(e) = e'$, where $e, e' \in E(T)$ and $|C|$ is even. Let the direction of e be i . Then the direction of $\theta(e)$ is $\theta(i)$. Since translations σ_A preserve the directions of edges, the direction of e' is also i . Thus $\theta^j(i) = i$. Since θ is a product of disjoint m -cycles, this implies that $j = m$, and hence $\theta^j = \theta^m = id$. Thus $\sigma_C = id$. Hence $\sigma_C \rho_{\theta^j} = id$, and so the translates of $E(T)$ are disjoint.

Finally, we must show that every edge $e \in E(Q_n)$ belongs to some translate of $E(T)$. Let $e \in E(Q_n)$. Then since $E(T)$ is fundamental for $E(Q_k)$, $e = g'$ for some $e' \in E(T)$, and for some $g' \in \mathcal{G}_2$. Since $E(Q_k)$ is fundamental for Q_n relative to \mathcal{G}_1 , $e' = g''(e'')$, where $e'' \in T$ and $g'' \in \mathcal{G}_1$. Thus $e = g'g''(e'')$ for some $e'' \in T$. Since $g'g'' \in \mathcal{G}$, the translates of $E(T)$ by elements of \mathcal{G} do cover $E(Q_n)$. So $E(T)$ is fundamental for $E(Q_n)$. \square

Proposition 5 *If n is even, and $j < n$ then P_{2^j} divides Q_n .*

Proof. It is proved in [1] that the cycle C_{2^n} divides Q_n . The Hamiltonian cycle C_{2^n} is divisible by any path P_q , as long as q divides 2^n and $q < 2^n$. Thus C_{2^n} is divisible by P_{2^j} provided $j < n$. The result now follows from Lemma 2. \square

Proposition 6 *If n is even, and C is the $2n$ -cycle with initial vertex \emptyset , and edge direction sequence $(1, 2, \dots, n)^2$, then Q_n is edge-decomposed by the copies of C under the action of $\mathcal{G} = \{\sigma_A \mid A \subset [n-1], |A| \text{ even}\}$. So $E(C)$ is fundamental for Q_n .*

Proof. C consists of the path P , followed by $\sigma_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}(P)$, where P is the path with initial vertex \emptyset and edge direction sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$. Note that for any $B \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, for any edge e , $\sigma_B(e) = e \implies B = \emptyset$ or $|B| = 1$. Now we shall show that for every subset $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ with $|A|$ even, $\sigma_A(C) \cap C = \emptyset$. It should be noted that these A 's form a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$ of order 2^{n-2} . So suppose that $e = \langle x, y \rangle \in C \cap \sigma_A(C)$. Let the direction of e be i . Then the direction of $\sigma_A(e) = i$. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then since $|A|$ is even, $\sigma_A(e) \neq e$. The only other edge in C with direction i is $\sigma_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}(e)$. So if $\sigma_A(e) \in C$, then $\sigma_A(e) = \sigma_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}(e)$. Therefore $\sigma_A \cdot \sigma_{\{1,2,\dots,n\}}(e) = e$, i.e. $\sigma_{A \Delta \{1,2,\dots,n\}}(e) = e$. Since A and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are even, so is $A \Delta \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \overline{A}$. Hence $A \Delta \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$, i.e. $A = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. But $n \notin A$, so we have a contradiction.

Thus we have a group \mathcal{G} of automorphisms of C of order 2^{n-2} , such that for $g \in \mathcal{G}, g \neq id$, $g(E(C)) \cap E(C) = \emptyset$. Furthermore, since $|E(C)| = 2n$, it follows that $|\mathcal{G}| |E(C)| = |E(Q_n)|$. Hence by [5], Lemma 1.1, the translates of $E(C)$ via the elements of \mathcal{G} form an edge decomposition of Q_n . \square

Corollary 5 *If $k < n$ and k divides n then P_{2k} divides Q_n .*

Proof. Since k divides n , $2k$ divides $2n$, and thus since $2k < 2n$, P_{2k} divides the $2n$ -cycle C of Proposition 6. Hence by Proposition 6, P_{2k} divides Q_n . \square

Corollary 6 *If k is even and k divides n , and C is the $2k$ -cycle with initial vertex \emptyset , and edge direction sequence $(1, 2, \dots, k)^2$, then C divides Q_n .*

Proof. By the proposition, C divides Q_k , and by Proposition 4, Q_k divides Q_n . The result now follows from Lemma 2. \square

2 P_4 divides Q_5

If k is odd then by Proposition 3 and Lemma 2 P_k divides Q_n and only if k divides n . Thus the smallest value of k for which Question (1) remains open is $k = 4$. Corollary 5 settles the matter in the affirmative when n is even and thus we now only need to consider the case of n odd. Example 3 shows that P_4 does *not* divide Q_3 .

In the next two sections we show that for all odd n with $n \geq 5$, P_4 divides Q_n . We first, in this section, prove the result for $n = 5$. The strategy is to find a subgraph G of Q_5 , show that G divides Q_5 , and then show that P_4 divides G . In the next section we deduce the general case.




Figure 1: Q_5 and the graph G

We define G as follows (see figure 1). First, some notation. For $b, c \in \{0, 1\}$, $Q_5^{(**bc)}$ denotes the 3-cube induced by the vertices $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$ with $x_4 = b$ and $x_5 = c$. If $a \in \{0, 1\}$ $Q_5^{(**abc)}$ is the 2-cube induced by the vertices with $x_3 = a, x_4 = b$, and $x_5 = c$. We take G to be the union of (1) : $Q_5^{(**00)}$, with the edges of $Q_5^{(*0*00)}$ deleted; (2): $Q_5^{(**10)}$ with all edges deleted except for $\langle 01010, 01110 \rangle$ and $\langle 11010, 11110 \rangle$; (3) : $Q_5^{(**01)}$ with all edges deleted except for $\langle 01101, 11101 \rangle$ and $\langle 01001, 11001 \rangle$; (4): the 4 matching

edges between $Q_5^{(*1*00)}$ and $Q_5^{(*1*10)}$; and (5) the 4 matching edges between $Q_5^{(*1*00)}$ and $Q_5^{(*1*01)}$. Thus $|E(G)| = 20$. Since $|E(Q_5)| = 5 \cdot 2^4 = 80$, we must exhibit $80/20 = 4$ copies of $E(G)$ that partition $E(Q_5)$.

Lemma 3 G divides Q_5 . In fact, $E(G)$ is a fundamental set for Q_5 .

Proof. The group of translations $\mathcal{G} = \{id, \sigma_{24}, \sigma_{25}, \sigma_{45}\}$, applied to $E(G)$, partitions $E(G)$. It is enough to check that for $A = \{24\}, \{25\}$, and $\{45\}$, $\sigma_A(E(G)) \cap E(G) = \emptyset$. We will do this for the case $A = \{24\}$. The others are similar. Suppose $e, e' \in E(G)$ and $\sigma_{24}(e) = e'$. If e lies in $Q_5^{(***00)}$ then $\sigma_{24}(e)$ is in $Q_5^{(***10)}$, which is (vertex) disjoint from $Q_5^{(***00)}$. Similarly, if $e \in Q_5^{(***10)}$, $\sigma_{24}(e) \in Q_5^{(***00)}$. If $e \in Q_5^{(***01)}$ then $\sigma_{24}(e) \in Q_5^{(***11)}$. If e is a matching edge $\langle x, y \rangle$ between $Q_5^{(***00)}$ and $Q_5^{(***10)}$ then $x_2 = y_2 = 1$. Hence $\sigma_{24}(e) = \langle x', y' \rangle$ where $x'_2 = y'_2 = 0$. Thus $\sigma_{24}(e) \neq e'$. Finally, if e is a matching edge $\langle x, y \rangle$ between $Q_5^{(***00)}$ and $Q_5^{(***01)}$ then $x_2 = y_2 = 1$, while if $\langle x', y' \rangle = \sigma_{24}(e)$, then $x'_2 = y'_2 = 0$ and so $\sigma_{24}(e) \neq e'$. \square




Figure 2: P_4 divides G

Lemma 4 P_4 divides G .

Proof. It is easiest to describe the paths by their starting points and direction sequences (see figure 2).

| Path | Starting Point | Direction Sequence |
|------|----------------|--------------------|
| A | 00000 | 2, 5, 1, 5 |
| B | 10100 | 2, 5, 1, 5 |
| C | 10000 | 2, 3, 1, 3 |
| D | 01000 | 1, 4, 3, 4 |
| E | 00100 | 2, 4, 3, 4 |

□

Corollary 7 P_4 divides Q_5 .

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous two lemmas. □

3 P_4 divides Q_n , for n odd, $n \geq 5$

Let us write Q_5 as $Q_5 = Q_3 \square Q_2 = Q_3 \square C_4$. Let $G_0 = Q_5^{(***00)}$, $G_1 = Q_5^{(***10)}$, $G_2 = Q_5^{(***11)}$, $G_3 = Q_5^{(***01)}$. For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ let π_i be the canonical mapping from G_i to Q_3 .

* From the decomposition of Q_5 by P_4 we have a coloring $c : Q_5 \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$ of the edges of Q_5 such that for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$ the set of edges of Q_5 colored i induces a P_4 .

* Consider now $Q_3 \square C_{4k}$ for some $k \geq 1$. Let $G'_0, \dots, G'_{4k-1} \simeq Q_3$. Let $\pi'_{i'}$ be the canonical mapping from $G'_{i'}$ to Q_3 for $i' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4k-1\}$.

The edges of $Q_3 \square C_{4k}$ are

Case A: the edges of $G'_{i'}$, for any $i' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4k-1\}$.

Case B: for any $i' \in \{0, 1, \dots, 4k-1\}$ the edges $\langle x', y' \rangle$ for $x' \in G'_{i'}$, $y' \in G'_{j'}$, where $|j' - i'| \equiv 1 \pmod{4k}$ and $\pi_{i'}(x') = \pi_{j'}(y')$.

* Let θ be the mapping from $Q_3 \square C_{4k} \rightarrow Q_5$ defined by: for any $x' \in G'_{i'}$, $\theta(x') = x$ where x is the element of G_i , with $i \equiv i' \pmod{4}$ such that $\pi_i(x) = \pi_{i'}(x')$. (Note that θ is not a one-to-one mapping.)

Proposition 7 If $\langle x', y' \rangle$ is an edge of $Q_3 \square C_{4k}$ then $\langle \theta(x'), \theta(y') \rangle$ is an edge of Q_5 .

Proof.

Case A

$\langle x', y' \rangle \in G'_{i'}$, for some i' . Then let $i \equiv i' \pmod{4}$. By the

definition of θ , $\theta(x') \in G_i, \theta(y') \in G_i$. This implies that $\theta(x')$ and $\theta(y')$ are adjacent.

Case B

Assume $x' \in G_{i'}, y' \in G_{j'}$, with $|j' - i'| \equiv 1 \pmod{4k}$. We have $\pi_{i'}'(x') = \pi_{j'}'(y')$. Then $\theta(x') \in G_i$ and $\theta(y') \in G_j$ where $|j - i| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ since $|j' - i'| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ implies that $|j - i| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Furthermore

$$\pi_i(\theta(x')) \stackrel{\text{def of } \theta}{=} \pi(x') \stackrel{\text{edge}}{=} \pi(y') \stackrel{\text{def of } \theta}{=} \pi_j(\theta(y')).$$

Thus there exists an edge between $\theta(x')$ and $\theta(y')$ □




Figure 3: Decomposition of Q_{2m+1}

Definition 4 Consider the coloring $E(Q_3 \square C_{4m}) \xrightarrow{c'} \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$ of the edges of $Q_3 \square C_{4m}$ defined by $c'(\langle x', y' \rangle) = c(\langle \theta(x), \theta(y) \rangle)$.

Lemma 5 For any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$ the set of edges of $Q_3 \square C_{4k}$ such that $c'(x', y') = i$ is a set of disjoint paths of length 4. Therefore P_4 divides $Q_3 \square C_{4m}$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. By definition of c' , for any vertex x' of $Q_3 \square C_{4k}$ the number of edges incident to x' colored i by c' is the number of edges incident to $\theta(x')$ colored i by c . Therefore this number is ≤ 2 . Furthermore, there is no cycle colored i in $Q_3 \square C_{4k}$ because the image by θ of this cycle would be a cycle of Q_5 colored i with c . Therefore the set of edges colored i by c' is a forest and more precisely, because of the degree, a set of disjoint paths. Notice that the image by θ of a path colored i is a path of Q_5 of the same length (because of the degree of the endpoints of the paths). Therefore all the paths are of length 4. \square




Figure 4: Decomposition of Q_{2m+3}

Theorem 1 For $n \geq 4$, P_4 divides Q_n .

Proof. If n is even, the result is true by Corollary 5. If $n = 5$ then we are done by Corollary 7. Consider Q_{2m+3} , for $m \geq 2$. $Q_{2m+3} = Q_{2m+1} \square Q_2$. $E(Q_{2m})$ can be decomposed into m cycles of length 2^{2m} (Hamiltonian cycles) by Aubert and Schneider [1]. Let D be one of these cycles. The edges of Q_{2m+1} are the edges of the two copies of Q_{2m} and a matching. But every vertex of Q_{2m} appears exactly once in D so $E(Q_{2m+1})$ can be decomposed into $2(m-1)$ cycles of length 2^{2m} and $D \square Q_1 \simeq C_{2^{2m}} \square Q_1$ (see figure 3).

Every vertex of Q_{2m+1} appears once in $D \square Q_1$, thus, for the same reason, $E(Q_{2m+3})$ can be decomposed into $8(m-1)$ cycles of length 2^{2k} and

$D \square Q_1 \square Q_2 \simeq C_{2^{2k}} \square Q_1 \square Q_2 \simeq C_{2^{2m}} \square Q_3$ (see figure 4).

Since $k \geq 2$, $\frac{2^{2m}}{4}$ is an integer strictly greater than 1 so the cycles of length 2^{2m} are divisible by P_4 . By Lemma 5, P_4 divides $C_{2^{2m}} \square Q_3$, and P_4 divides $E(Q_n)$ for any odd $n \geq 5$. \square

4 Products of fundamental sets.

We begin with an easy result about divisibility of the Cartesian product of two graphs. Its proof is straightforward and so we omit it.

Proposition 8 *For $i = 1, 2$, let G_i be a graph that is divisible by the subgraph H_i . Then $G_1 \square G_2$ is divisible by the subgraph $H_1 \square H_2$.*

Lemma 6 *Let G_1 and G_2 be any two graphs, and for $i = 1, 2$ let $\phi_i \in \text{Aut}(G_i)$. Define $(\phi_1, \phi_2) : G_1 \square G_2 \rightarrow G_1 \square G_2$ by $(\phi_1, \phi_2)((x, y)) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(y))$. Then $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \text{Aut}(G_1 \square G_2)$.*

Proof. Let (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) be adjacent in $G_1 \square G_2$. Then either (1) x_1 and y_1 are adjacent in G_1 and $x_2 = y_2$ or (2) $x_1 = y_1$ and x_2 and y_2 are adjacent in G_2 . We must show that $(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_1, x_2)$ and $(\phi_1, \phi_2)(y_1, y_2)$ are adjacent in $G_1 \square G_2$. By symmetry, it is sufficient to prove this for case (1). But then since $\phi_1 \in \text{Aut}(G_1)$, $\phi_1(x_1)$ and $\phi_1(y_1)$ are adjacent in G_1 , and since $x_2 = y_2$, $\phi_2(x_2) = \phi_2(y_2)$. Therefore $(\phi_1, \phi_2)(x_1, x_2)$ and $(\phi_1, \phi_2)(y_1, y_2)$ are adjacent in $G_1 \square G_2$. Thus $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \text{Aut}(G_1 \square G_2)$. \square

Corollary 8 *Suppose that for $i = 1, 2$, $H_i \subseteq E(G_i)$ and H_i is a fundamental set for the graph G_i with subgroup \mathcal{H}_i of $\text{Aut}(G_i)$. Then $H_1 \square H_2$ is a fundamental set for $G_1 \square G_2$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ of $\text{Aut}(G_1 \square G_2)$.*

Proof. By assumption, for $i = 1, 2$ the translates of H_i by \mathcal{H}_i are edge-disjoint and their union is $E(G_i)$. Suppose that $e = \langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle \in E(G_1 \square G_2)$. Then either Case (1): $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \in E(G_1)$ and $x_2 = y_2$ or Case (2): $x_1 = y_1$ and $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle \in E(G_2)$. By symmetry, we need only prove our assertions for Case (1). Since $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle \in E(G_1)$, for some $\phi_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$, there exists an edge $\langle u_1, v_1 \rangle \in H_1$ such that $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = \langle \phi_1(u_1), \phi_1(v_1) \rangle$. Let $id_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ denote the identity automorphism of H_i . Then $(\phi_1, id_2) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ and

$\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle = (\phi_1, id_2)(\langle (u_1, x_2), (v_1, y_2) \rangle)$. So the translates of $H_1 \square H_2$ cover $G_1 \square G_2$.

Next, we must show that these translates form a packing, *i.e.* that either $(\phi_1, \phi_2)(H_1 \square H_2) = \emptyset$ or that $\phi_1 = id_1$ and $\phi_2 = id_2$. Let $(\langle (w_1, w_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle) \in H_1 \square H_2$. We shall show that $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ is the identity automorphism of $H_1 \square H_2$. For from this hypothesis, we have $\phi_1((w_1, z_1)) \in H_1$ and $\phi_2((w_2, z_2)) \in H_2$. Since H_1 and H_2 are fundamental sets for G_1 and G_2 , respectively, $\phi_1 = id_1$ and $\phi_2 = id_2$. Thus $H_1 \square H_2$ is a fundamental set for $G_1 \square G_2$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ of $\text{Aut}(G_1 \square G_2)$, as claimed. \square

Corollary 9 *If H is a fundamental set of edges for the graph G , with subgroup \mathcal{H} of $\text{Aut}(G)$ then $H \square H$ is a fundamental set for $G \square G$ with subgroup $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ of $\text{Aut}(G \square G)$.*

5 Q_{2^k} has a fundamental Hamiltonian cycle.

Remark. We say that the direction of an edge of Q_n is i if the coordinate in which its two endpoints differ is the i^{th} . We shall describe walks in the hypercube by specifying the starting vertex (generally $\vec{0}$) and the sequence of edge directions.

It is well-known that the n -dimensional hypercube Q_n is Hamiltonian, and in fact has many Hamiltonian cycles. Aubert and Schneider [1] proved that for n even, Q_n has an edge decomposition into Hamiltonian cycles. However, their construction is technical. In contrast, in this last section we shall show that for $n = 2^k$, there is a single Hamiltonian cycle C such that $E(C)$ is a fundamental set for Q_n .

More generally, we shall show that if G is a graph with a Hamiltonian cycle whose edges form a fundamental set, relative to a subgroup \mathcal{G} of the automorphism group of G , then $G^2 = G \square G$ has a Hamiltonian cycle whose edges form a fundamental set, relative to a subgroup of the automorphism group of G^2 .

The next lemma is due to G. Ringel [7]. (The second statement is not stated explicitly there, but is a consequence of his proof.)

Lemma 7 *From a Hamiltonian cycle C of a graph G , there are two edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles of $G^2 = G \square G$. Each of them is isomorphic to $C \square C$, via an automorphism (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) , where for $i = 1, 2, \phi_i \in \text{Aut}(G)$.*

Corollary 10 *If G has a Hamiltonian cycle C and C is a fundamental set for G under the subgroup \mathcal{H} of $\text{Aut } G$, then $C \square C$ is a Hamiltonian cycle of $G \square G$ under the subgroup $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ of $\text{Aut } (G \square G)$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 9 and Lemma 7. \square

Proposition 9 *Q_4 has a Hamiltonian cycle that is a fundamental set.*

Proof. The edge direction sequence $(12132124)^2$, together with any starting vertex, yields a Hamiltonian cycle C . Let θ be the permutation $(13)(24)$. Then the mapping $\rho_\theta : V(Q_n) \rightarrow V(Q_n)$, defined by $\rho_\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_{\theta(1)}, \dots, x_{\theta(n)}$ is an automorphism, which in this case generates a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Q_4)$ of order 2. It is easy to check that $\rho_{\theta(C)}$ is edge-disjoint from C , and so we have the desired edge decomposition. \square

Theorem 2 *For any $k \geq 1$, Q_{2^k} has a Hamiltonian cycle that is a fundamental set.*

Proof. This is trivial for $k = 1$ since $Q_2 = C_2$ and holds for $k = 2$ by Proposition 9. The desired result now follows by induction, from Lemma 7 and Corollary 9. \square

Corollary 11 *For n and m each a power of 2, with $m \leq n$, there is an m -cycle that divides Q_n .*

Proof. Let $m = 2^p$. By Theorem 2 Q_m has a fundamental 2^p -cycle, which therefore divides $Q_m = Q_{2^p}$. Since m and n are each powers of two, m divides n . Hence by Proposition 4 and Lemma 2, this cycle divides Q_n . \square

6 Summary of Results

1. For k odd, if P_k divides Q_n , then k divides n . (Proposition 3)
2. If k divides n , any tree on k edges is a fundamental set for Q_n . (Corollary 4)
3. If k divides n and $k < n$ then P_{2k} divides Q_n . (Corollary 5)
4. If n is even and $j < n$ then P_{2j} divides Q_n . (Proposition 5)
5. For $k = 2n$ there is a k -cycle which is a fundamental set for Q_n when n is even. (Proposition 6)

6. For $n =$ a power of 2, there is a Hamiltonian cycle which is a fundamental set for Q_n . (Theorem 2)
7. For $n =$ a power of 2 and $m =$ a power of 2, with $m \leq n$, there is an m -cycle that divides Q_n . (Corollary 11)
8. For $n \geq 4$, P_4 divides Q_n . (Theorem 1)
9. If k divides n then Q_k is a fundamental set for Q_n . (Proposition 4)
10. For $k \geq 3$, P_{2^j} does *not* divide Q_{2k+1} . (Proposition 1)

References

- [1] Aubert and Schneider, *Décomposition de la somme Cartésienne d'un cycle et de l'union de deux cycles Hamiltoniens en cycles Hamiltoniens*, Disc. Math. **38**, (1982), 7 – 16, .
- [2] Darryn E. Bryant, Saad El-Zanati, Charles Vanden Eynden, and Dean G. Hoffman, *Star decompositions of cubes*, Graphs and Comb. **17**, (2001), no.1, 55–59.
- [3] J.F. Fink, *On the decomposition of n -cubes into isomorphic trees*, J. Graph Theory **14**, (1990), 405–411.
- [4] E. Gilbert, *Gray codes and paths on the n -cube*, Bell System Tech. J. **37**, (1958) 815–826.
- [5] M. Ramras, *Symmetric edge-decompositions of hypercubes*, Graphs and Comb. **7**, (1991), 65–87.
- [6] M. Ramras, *Fundamental Subsets of Edges of Hypercubes*, Ars Combinatoria **46** (1997), 3–24.
- [7] G. Ringel, *Über drei kombinatorische Probleme am n -dimensionalen Würfel und Würfelgitter*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **20** (1955), 10 – 15.
- [8] S. Wagner; M. Wild, *Decomposing the hypercube Q_n into n isomorphic edge-disjoint trees*, Discrete Mathematics (2012), doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2012.01.033