

Molecular identification of bacteria associated with canine periodontal disease

Marcello P. Riggio, Alan Lennon, David J. Taylor, David Bennett

► To cite this version:

Marcello P. Riggio, Alan Lennon, David J. Taylor, David Bennett. Molecular identification of bacteria associated with canine periodontal disease. Veterinary Microbiology, 2011, 150 (3-4), pp.394. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.03.001 . hal-00696631

HAL Id: hal-00696631 https://hal.science/hal-00696631

Submitted on 13 May 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Molecular identification of bacteria associated with canine periodontal disease

Authors: Marcello P. Riggio, Alan Lennon, David J. Taylor, David Bennett

PII:	S0378-1135(11)00139-8
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.03.001
Reference:	VETMIC 5224
To appear in:	VETMIC
Received date:	3-11-2010
Revised date:	28-2-2011
Accepted date:	2-3-2011



Please cite this article as: Riggio, M.P., Lennon, A., Taylor, D.J., Bennett, D., Molecular identification of bacteria associated with canine periodontal disease, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.03.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Molecular identification of bacteria associated with canine periodontal disease
2	
3	Marcello P. Riggio ^{1*} , Alan Lennon ¹ , David J. Taylor ¹ , David Bennett ¹
4	
5	¹ Infection & Immunity Research Group, Dental School, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
6	² School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK
7	
8	* Corresponding author: Marcello P. Riggio, Infection & Immunity Research Group, Level 9,
9	Glasgow Dental Hospital & School, 378 Sauchiehall Street, Glasgow G2 3JZ, UK. Phone: +44
10	141 2119742; Fax: +44 141 3531593; E-mail: Marcello.Riggio@glasgow.ac.uk
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25 Abstract

26 Periodontal disease is one of the most common diseases of adult dogs, with up to 80% of 27 animals affected. The aetiology of the disease is poorly studied, although bacteria are known to play a major role. The purpose of this study was to identify the bacteria associated with canine 28 29 gingivitis and periodontitis and to compare this with the normal oral flora. Swabs were obtained 30 from the gingival margin of three dogs with gingivitis and three orally healthy controls, and 31 subgingival plaque was collected from three dogs with periodontitis. Samples were subjected to 32 routine bacterial culture. The prevalent species identified in the normal, gingivitis and 33 periodontitis groups were uncultured bacterium (12.5% of isolates), Bacteroides heparinolyticus / Pasteurella dagmatis (10.0%) and Actinomyces canis (19.4%), respectively. Bacteria were also 34 35 identified using culture-independent methods (16S rRNA gene sequencing) and the predominant 36 species identified were Pseudomonas sp. (30.9% of clones analysed), Porphyromonas cangingivalis (16.1%) and Desulfomicrobium orale (12.0%) in the normal, gingivitis and 37 38 periodontitis groups, respectively. Uncultured species accounted for 13.2%, 2.0% and 10.5%, and potentially novel species for 38.2%, 38.3% and 35.3%, of clones in the normal, gingivitis and 39 40 periodontitis groups, respectively. This is the first study to use utilise culture-independent 41 methods for the identification of bacteria associated with this disease. It is concluded that the canine oral flora in health and disease is highly diverse and also contains a high proportion of 42 43 uncultured and, in particular, potentially novel species.

44

Keywords: canine periodontal disease / bacteria / microbiological culture / 16S rRNA /
polymerase chain reaction

- 47
- 48

49 **1. Introduction**

50

51 Periodontal disease (gingivitis and periodontitis) is one of the most common infectious diseases affecting adult dogs, with up to 80% of animals of all breeds affected (Golden et al., 52 53 1982; Harvey & Emily, 1993; Harvey, 1998). The incidence of the disease increases markedly 54 with advancing years and causes significant oral pain and suffering. Periodontal disease has been 55 described as a multi-factorial infection (Lindhe et al., 1973), and plaque bacteria are known to be 56 an important causative factor. Gingivitis is completely reversible and is recognised by the classic 57 signs of halitosis, bleeding, inflammation, redness and swelling of the gingivae. Periodontitis is irreversible and attacks the deeper structures that support the teeth, permanently damaging the 58 59 surrounding bone and periodontal ligament and resulting in increased periodontal pocket depth and tooth loss. The aetiology of canine periodontal disease remains unknown, although gram-60 61 negative anaerobic bacteria have been implicated in the disease (Hennet & Harvey, 1991a, b; 62 Boyce et al., 1995).

In recent years, the use of culture-independent (bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequencing) methods has supplemented traditional culture-dependent methods to detect bacteria in clinical specimens. 16S rRNA gene sequencing has permitted the identification of bacteria which are uncultivable, fastidious in their growth requirements and even novel, in addition to detecting known cultivable species (Clarridge, 2004; Spratt, 2004). In the current study, the bacteria associated with canine periodontal disease, and with the normal canine oral cavity, were identified using both culture-dependent and culture-independent methods.

- 70
- 71
- 72

73 2. Materials and methods

74

- 75 2.1. Sample collection and processing
- 76

77 Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Local Research Ethics Committee. 78 Samples were classified into normal and diseased groups as follows: no gingival inflammation, 79 no periodontal pockets (normal); gingival inflammation and/or periodontal pockets less than 3 80 mm in depth (gingivitis); periodontal pockets at least 4 mm in depth (periodontitis). Dental 81 plaque was collected using sterile swabs from the gingivae of periodontally healthy dogs (three samples) and animals with gingivitis (three samples). For the periodontitis cases (three samples), 82 83 subgingival plaque was collected using a sterile curette from the periodontal pocket. Swabs were 84 placed into sterile reduced transport medium and subgingival plaque was immersed into 1 mL of 85 fastidious anaerobe broth (FAB) and immediately sent for laboratory analysis. Each swab was 86 then immersed into 1 mL of FAB. All samples were mixed for 30 s to remove bacteria.

87

88 2.2. Bacterial culture

89

Ten-fold serial dilutions (to 10^{-6}) were prepared for each sample and spiral plated onto both Columbia agar containing 7.5% (v/v) defibrinated horse blood (for aerobic culture) and fastidious anaerobe agar (FAA) (BioConnections, Wetherby, UK) containing 7.5% (v/v) defibrinated horse blood (for anaerobic culture). Columbia blood agar plates were incubated in 5% CO₂ at 37°C, and FAA plates were incubated at 37°C in an anaerobic chamber with an atmosphere of 85% N₂ / 10% CO₂ / 5% H₂ at 37°C. Plates were incubated for up to seven days, and up to eight morphologically distinct colonies (visually representing the most abundant colony types) were

97	then subcultured in order to obtain pure cultures. Bacterial isolates were identified by 16S rRNA
98	gene sequencing as described below.

99

100 2.3. Extraction of DNA from samples

101

A bacterial DNA extract was prepared from each sample by digestion with 1% SDS and proteinase K (100 ug/mL) at 60°C for 1 h, followed by boiling for 10 min. Extraction of DNA from bacterial isolates was carried out using the same method.

105

106 2.4. PCR amplification of bacterial 16S rRNA genes

107

108 Bacterial 16S rRNA genes were amplified by PCR using the universal primers 5'-CAGGCCTAACACATGCAAGTC-3' (63f) and 5'-GGGCGGWGTGTACAAGGC-3' (1387r) 109 (Marchesi et al., 1998). PCR reactions were carried out in a total volume of 50 µL containing 5 110 µL of the extracted DNA and 45 µL of reaction mixture comprising 1 x GoTaq[®] PCR buffer 111 (Promega, Southampton, UK) 1.25 units of GoTag[®] polymerase (Promega), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 112 113 mM dNTPs (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK), and each primer at a concentration of 0.2 µM. 114 The PCR cycling conditions comprised an initial denaturation phase of 5 min at 95°C, followed 115 by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 58°C for 1 min and primer extension 116 at 72°C for 1.5 min, and finally a primer extension step at 72°C for 10 min.

- 118
- 119
- 120

121 2.5. PCR quality control

122

123 Stringent procedures were adhered to in order to prevent contamination during the PCR 124 process (Riggio et al., 2000). Negative and positive control reactions were included with every 125 batch of samples being analysed. The positive control comprised a standard PCR reaction 126 mixture containing 10 ng of *Escherichia coli* genomic DNA instead of sample, whereas the 127 negative control contained sterile water instead of sample. PCR products (10 μ L) were 128 electrophoresed on 2% (w/v) agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/mL) and 129 visualised under ultraviolet light. 130 2.6. Cloning of 16S rRNA PCR products 131 132 PCR products were cloned into the pSC-A-amp/kan plasmid vector using the StrataClone[™] 133 134 PCR Cloning Kit (Stratagene) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. 135 136 2.7. PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene inserts 137 138 Following cloning of the 16S rRNA gene products amplified by PCR for each sample, 139 approximately 50 clones from each library were selected at random. The 16S rRNA gene insert 140 each 5'from clone was amplified PCR with the primer pair by 141 CCCTCGAGGTCGACGGTATC-3' (M13SIF) and 5'-CTCTAGAACTAGTGGATCCC- 3' 142 (M13SIR). The M13SIF binding site is located 61 base pairs downstream of the M13 reverse

143 primer-binding site, and the M13SIR binding site is located 57 base pairs upstream of the M13

144 –20 primer-binding site, in the pSC-A-amp/kan plasmid vector.

145 2.8. Restriction enzyme analysis

146

147 16S rRNA gene inserts amplified by PCR were subjected to restriction enzyme analysis. Approximately 0.5 µg of each PCR product was digested in a total volume of 20 µL with 2.0 148 149 units of each of the restriction enzymes RsaI and MnlI (Fermentas Life Sciences, York, UK) at 150 37°C for 2 h and the generated restriction fragments visualised by agarose gel electrophoresis. 151 For each library, clones were initially sorted into groups based upon the RsaI restriction digestion profiles and further discrimination was achieved by digestion of clones with MnlI. Clones with 152 153 identical 16S rRNA gene restriction profiles for both enzymes were assigned to distinct 154 restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) groups.

155

156 2.9. Sequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA genes

157

158 The 16S rRNA gene insert of a single representative clone from each RFLP group was sequenced. Sequencing was performed with the SequiTherm EXCEL[™] II DNA Sequencing Kit 159 160 (Cambio, Cambridge, and **IRD800-labelled** 357f sequencing (5'-UK) primer 161 CTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3') using the following cycling conditions: (i) initial 162 denaturation at 95°C for 30 s; (ii) 10 s at 95°C, 30 s at 57°C and 30 s at 70°C, for 20 cycles and 163 (iii) 10 s at 95°C and 30 s at 70°C for 15 cycles. Formamide loading dye (6 µL) was added to 164 each reaction mixture after thermal cycling and $1.5 \,\mu$ L of each reaction mixture was run on a LI-165 COR Gene ReadIR 4200S automated DNA sequencing system

166

167

169 2.10. Sequence analysis

170	
171	Sequence data were compiled using LI-COR Base ImagIR 4.0 software, converted to FASTA
172	format and compared with bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences from the EMBL and GenBank
173	sequence databases using the advanced gapped BLAST program, version 2.1 (Altschul et al.,
174	1997). The program was run through the National Centre for Biotechnology Information website
175	(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gow/BLAST). Clone sequences with at least 98% identity with a
176	known sequence from the database were designated the same species as the matching sequence
177	with the highest score. Clone sequences with less than 98% identity were tentatively classified as
178	putative novel phylotypes.
179	
180	2.11. Statistical analysis
181	To determine whether the observed differences in the microflora between each of the three
182	groups were of statistical significance, a cross-tabulation using Fisher's exact test was performed.
183	A level of statistical significance was indicated by p<0.0167 (Bonferroni correction).
184	
185	3. Results
186	
187	3.1. Culture-dependent identification of bacteria
188	
189	Bacterial isolates obtained following microbiological culture of samples were identified by
190	16S rRNA gene sequencing, and the results are shown in Table 1. All isolates had identities of at
191	least 98% with a known database sequence. Of the 32 isolates obtained from the normal samples,

193	weaveri (three isolates, 9.4%). Thirty isolates were obtained from the gingivitis samples, of
194	which three (10%) were identified as Bacteroides heparinolyticus and three (10%) as Pasteurella
195	dagmatis. For the periodontitis samples, 36 isolates were identified and the predominant species
196	was Actinomyces canis (seven isolates, 19.4%).
197	
198	3.2. Culture-independent identification of bacteria
199	
200	Following 16S rRNA PCR analysis, all nine samples were shown to be positive for the
201	presence of bacteria. For the three normal samples, 152 clones were analysed and 83 clones were
202	sequenced. Bacteria with identities of at least 98% with a known database sequence are grouped
203	according to species in Table 2, with a total of 19 phylotypes being identified. The predominant
204	species was <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. (30.9% of clones analysed). Uncultured species (three phylotypes)
205	accounted for 20 (13.2%) of clones analysed. Fifty-eight (38.2%) of clones analysed (17
206	phylotypes) represented potentially novel species (Table 3).
207	In total, 149 clones were analysed and 96 clones were sequenced across the three gingivitis
208	samples. The bacteria identified (24 phylotypes) are grouped according to species in Table 2. The
209	predominant species was Porphyromonas cangingivalis (16.1% of clones analysed). Uncultured
210	species (two phylotypes) accounted for 3 (2.0%) of clones analysed. Fifty-seven (38.3%) of
211	clones analysed (15 phylotypes) represented potentially novel species (Table 3).
212	Analysis of the three periodontitis samples resulted in 133 clones being analysed and 109
213	clones being sequenced. The bacteria identified (20 phylotypes) are grouped according to species

in Table 2. The predominant species was Desulfomicrobium orale (12.0% of clones analysed).

Uncultured species (four phylotypes) accounted for 14 (10.5%) of clones analysed. Forty-seven

(35.3%) of clones analysed (17 phylotypes) represented potentially novel species (Table 3).

214

215

216

9 Page 9 of 22

217 *3.3. Statistical analysis*

In order to determine if the differences in the microflora observed in each of the three groups was statistically significant, a three-way comparison between groups using cross-tabulation with a Fisher's exact test was performed for the data presented in Tables 1-3.

For bacteria identified by culture-dependent methods (Table 1) statistically significant differences were observed between the gingivitis and periodontitis groups (p=0.0090) and the normal and periodontitis groups (p=0.0043). However, no statistical difference was observed between the normal and gingivitis groups (p=0.181).

For known bacteria identified by culture-independent methods (Table 2) statistically significant differences were observed between all three groups (p<0.000001).

For potentially novel bacteria identified by culture-independent methods (Table 3),
statistically significant differences were observed between all three groups (p<0.00020).

229

230 **4. Discussion**

231

232 Canine periodontal disease is one of the most common infectious diseases of companion 233 animals and is characterised by gingival inflammation and tooth loss (Hennet & Harvey, 1992; 234 Harvey, 1998). Black pigmenting anaerobic bacteria, in particular Porphyromonas and Prevotella 235 species, have been isolated from the periodontal pockets of dogs with periodontal disease 236 (Watson, 1994; Gorrel and Rawlings, 1996). Isogai et al. (1999) isolated several pigmented 237 Porphyromonas species from cases of canine periodontal disease. Several new Porphyromonas 238 species (Porphyromonas cangingivalis, Porphyromonas cansulci, Porphyromonas gulae, 239 Porphyromonas creviocanis, Porphyromonas gingivacanis, *Porphyromonas* canoris,

240 Porphyromonas denticanis) associated with the disease have also been described (Collins et al., 241 1994; Hirasawa and Takada, 1994; Love et al., 1994; Fournier et al., 2001; Hardham et al., 2005). 242 Our current study is the first to use molecular cloning and sequencing of bacterial 16S rRNA genes, in addition to conventional microbiological culture methods, to identify the bacteria 243 244 associated with canine gingivitis, periodontitis and oral health. Given the relatively small number 245 of samples analysed, it was not possible to age- and sex- match the animals used in the study, 246 although this would be desirable in future large-scale studies. Despite the relatively small number 247 of samples analysed in each group in our current study, clear correlations were seen to emerge 248 between disease status and the prevalence of specific bacterial species, and differences in the 249 microflora between the three groups was statistically significant. The most prevalent species 250 found in the normal, gingivitis and periodontitis groups were *Pseudomonas* sp. (30.9%), 251 Porphyromonas cangingivalis (16.1%) and Desulfomicrobium orale (12.0%), respectively. 252 Porphyromonas cangingivalis was first isolated from cases of canine periodontitis (Collins et al., 253 1994) and Desulfomicrobium orale has been isolated from cases of human periodontitis 254 (Langendijk et al., 2001). Other prevalent species identified included *Porphyromonas canoris*, 255 Tannerella forsythensis and Capnocytophaga cynodegmi (gingivitis), and Actinomyces sp. and 256 Capnocytophaga cynodegmi (periodontitis). The association of Tannerella forsythensis with 257 human periodontal disease is well documented, Capnocytophaga cynodegmi is found in the oral 258 cavity of the vast majority of dogs (van Dam et al., 2009) and Porphyromonas canoris has been 259 isolated from canine dental plaque samples (Allaker et al., 1997). Culture-independent methods 260 showed that microbial diversity was similar in all three groups (19 to 24 phylotypes). Uncultured 261 bacteria were found at a higher proportion in the normal samples (13.2%) compared to the 262 gingivitis (2.0%) and periodontitis (10.5%) samples. Potentially novel species were found at high 263 proportions in all three groups (35.3% to 38.3%), a finding that is unsurprising when one

considers that this is the first study to use culture-independent methods to identify bacteria in the canine oral cavity. However, sequencing of the entire 16S rRNA gene would be required to confirm these species as being novel.

The finding that *Pseudomonas* sp., *Porphyromonas* cangingivalis and *Desulfomicrobium* 267 268 orale were the predominant species identified by culture-independent methods in the normal, 269 gingivitis and periodontitis samples, respectively, is not corroborated by the culture data 270 obtained. However, some concordance does exist between the data since some species were 271 detected by both identification methods (three, nine and five species in the normal, gingivitis and 272 periodontitis samples, respectively). In addition, previously uncultured bacteria were identified 273 by both methods in all three groups. However, many bacteria were identified by culture-274 independent methods but not by culture methods. A possible explanation for this anomaly is the 275 use of standard culture media and incubation conditions, which were adopted to ensure that as 276 many different types of bacteria as possible were cultured. However, this approach may not have 277 been suitable for the culture of many species, particularly those with fastidious growth 278 requirements. Consequently, we advocate that culture-independent methods should be used in 279 conjunction with conventional culture methods in order to identify the total microflora in clinical 280 samples. Conversely, some bacteria isolated by culture methods were not identified by culture-281 independent methods. The most likely explanation for this additional anomaly is PCR primer 282 bias, which is caused by self-annealing of the most abundant templates in the late stages of 283 amplification (Suzuki and Giovannoni, 1996) or as a result of differences in the amplification 284 efficiency of different templates (Polz and Cavanaugh, 1998). This results in the differential 285 amplification of PCR products, leading to an inaccurate reflection of the true numbers of species 286 present within the sample.

287	In conclusion, a wide range of bacteria is present in the oral cavity of healthy dogs and those
288	with gingivitis and periodontitis and a distinct microbial flora appears to be associated with each
289	of the three groups. Potentially novel bacterial species may play a significant role in gingivitis
290	and periodontitis.
291	
292	Conflict of interest statement
293	
294	The authors have no conflicts of interest.
295	
296	Acknowledgements
297	
298	We thank the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons Trust for their financial support and Dr
299	David Lappin for carrying out the statistical analysis.
300	
301	References
302	
303	Allaker, R.P., de Rosayro, R., Young, K.A., Hardie, J.M., 1997. Prevalence of Porphyromonas
304	and Prevotella species in the dental plaque of dogs. Veterinary Record 140, 147–148.
305	Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., Lipman, D.J.,
306	1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
307	programs. Nucleic Acids Research 25, 3389-3402.
308	Boyce, E.N., Ching, R.J.W., Logan, E.I., Hunt, J.H., Maseman, D.C., Gaeddert, K.L., King, C.T.,
309	Reid, E.E., Hefferren, J.J., 1995. Occurrence of gram-negative black-pigmented anaerobes in

- 310 subgingival plaque during the development of canine periodontal disease. Clinical Infectious
 311 Diseases 20 (Suppl 2), S317–S319.
- Clarridge, J.E. III, 2004. Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of
 bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 17,
- 314 840–862.
- 315 Collins, M.D., Love, D.N., Karjalainen, J., Kanervo, A., Forsblom, B., Willems, A., Stubbs, S.,
- 316 Sarkiala, E., Bailey, G.D., Wigney, D.I., Jousimies-Somer, H., 1994. Phylogenetic analysis of
- 317 members of the genus *Porphyromonas* and description of *Porphyromonas cangingivalis* sp.
- nov. and *Porphyromonas cansulci* sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology
 44, 674–679.
- Fournier, D., Mouton, C., Lapierre, P., Kato, T., Okuda, K., Ménard, C., 2001. *Porphyromonas gulae* sp. nov., an anaerobic, Gram-negative coccobacillus from the gingival sulcus of various animal hosts. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 51, 1179–1189.
- Golden, A.L., Stoller, N., Harvey, C.E., 1982. A survey of oral and dental diseases in dogs
 anesthesized at a veterinary hospital. Journal of the American Animal Hospital Association
 18, 891–899.
- Gorrel, C., Rawlings, J.M., 1996. The role of a 'dental hygiene chew' in maintaining periodontal
 health in dogs. Journal of Veterinary Dentistry 13(1), 31–34.
- Hardham, J., Dreier, K., Wong, J., Sfintescu, C., Evans, R.T., 2005. Pigmented-anaerobic
 bacteria associated with canine periodontitis. Veterinary Microbiology 106, 119–128.
- Harvey, C. E., Emily, P.P., 1993. Periodontal disease. In: Harvey, C.E., Emily, P.P. (Eds.), Small
- Animal Dentistry. Mosby, St. Louis, USA, pp. 89–144.

- Harvey, C.E., 1998. Periodontal disease in dogs. Etiopathogenesis, prevalence, and significance.
- Veterinary Clinics of North America: Small Animal Practice 28, 1111–1128.
- Hennet, P.R., Harvey, C.E., 1991a. Anaerobes in periodontal disease in the dog: a review.
 Journal of Veterinary Dentistry 8(2), 18–21.
- Hennet, P.R., Harvey, C.E., 1991b. Spirochetes in periodontal disease in the dog: a review.
 Journal of Veterinary Dentistry 8(3), 16–17.
- 339 Hennet, P.R., Harvey, C.E., 1992. Natural development of periodontal disease in the dog: a
- review of clinical, anatomical and histological features. Journal of Veterinary Dentistry 9(3),
 13–19.
- Hirasawa, M., Takada, K., 1994. *Porphyromonas gingivicanis* sp. nov. and *Porphyromonas crevioricanis* sp. nov., isolated from beagles. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology
 44, 637–640.
- Isogai, H., Kosako, Y., Benno, Y., Isogai, E., 1999. Ecology of genus *Porphyromonas* in canine
 periodontal disease. Journal of Veterinary Medicine. Series B 46, 467–473.
- 347 Langendijk, P.S., Kulik, E.M., Sandmeier, H., Meyer, J., van der Hoeven, J.S., 2001. Isolation of
- 348 Desulfomicrobium orale sp. nov. and Desulfovibrio strain NY682, oral sulfate-reducing
- bacteria involved in human periodontal disease. International Journal of Systematic and
 Evolutionary Microbiology 51, 1035–1044.
- Lindhe, J., Hamp, S.-E., Löe, H., 1973. Experimental periodontitis in the Beagle dog. Journal of
 Periodontal Research 8, 1–10.
- 353 Love, D.N., Karjalainen, J., Kanervo, A., Forsblom, B., Sarkiala, E., Bailey, G.D., Wigney, D.I.,
- Jousimies-Somer, H., 1994. Porphyromonas canoris sp. nov., an asaccharolytic, black-
- 355 pigmented species from the gingival sulcus of dogs. International Journal of Systematic
- 356 Bacteriology 44, 204–208.

- 357 Marchesi, J.R., Sato, T., Weightman, A.J., Martin, T.A., Fry, J.C., Hiom, S.J., Wade, W.G., 1998.
- 358 Design and evaluation of useful bacterium-specific PCR primers that amplify genes coding
- for bacterial 16S rRNA. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64, 795–799.
- 360 Polz, M.F., Cavanaugh, C.M., 1998. Bias in template-to-product ratios in multitemplate PCR.
- 361 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64, 3724–3730.
- Riggio, M.P., Lennon, A., Wray, D., 2000. Detection of *Helicobacter pylori* DNA in recurrent
 aphthous stomatitis tissue by PCR. Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine 29, 507–513.
- 364 Spratt, D.A., 2004. Significance of bacterial identification by molecular biology methods.
 365 Endodontic Topics 9, 5–14.
- Suzuki, M.T., Giovannoni S.J., 1996. Bias caused by template annealing in the amplification of
 mixtures of 16S rRNA genes by PCR. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62,
 625–630.
- van Dam, A.P., van Weert, A., Harmanus, C., Hovius, K.E, Claas, E.C.J., Reubsaet, F.A.G.,
 2009. Molecular characterization of *Capnocytophaga canimorsus* and other canine *Capnocytophaga* spp. and assessment by PCR of their frequencies in dogs. Journal of Clinical
 Microbiology 47, 3218–3225.
- Watson, A.D.J., 1994. Diet and periodontal disease in dogs and cats. Australian Veterinary
 Journal 71, 313–318.
- 375
- 376
- 377
- 378
- 379

- 380 **Table 1** Bacterial species identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing of isolates obtained from
- three normal, three gingivitis and three periodontitis samples (all at least 98% identity).
- 382

	Normal	Gingivitis	Periodontitis
Species	No. of isolates (% of total) n=32	No. of isolates (% of total) n=30	No. of isolates (% of total) n=36
Actinomyces bowdenii	1 (3.1)		
Actinomyces canis	1 (3.1)		7 (19.4)
Actinomyces coleocanis		1 (3.3)	
Actinomyces hordeovulneris	1 (3.1)		2 (5.6)
Bacteroides heparinolyticus		3 (10.0)	
<i>Bergeyella</i> sp.		1 (3.3)	
Brachybacterium zhongshanense		1 (3.3)	
Brevundimonas sp.	1 (3.1)		
Buttiaxella agrestis	2 (6.3)		
Capnocytophaga canimorsus		1 (3.3)	
Capnocytophaga cynodegmi			3 (8.3)
Capnocytophaga cynodegmi / canimorsus*			2 (5.6)
Corynebacterium lipophiloflavum		1 (3.3)	
Corynebacterium sp.		1 (3.3)	2 (5.6)
Cytophaga sp.			2 (5.6)
Filifactor vilosus		1 (3.3)	1 (2.8)
Fusobacterium alosis			1 (2.8)
Fusobacterium canifelinum	1 (3.1)		
Fusobacterium russii		1 (3.3)	
Gemella palaticanis	1 (3.1)		
Lactobacillus casei / lactis*	1 (3.1)		
Leucobacter chromireducens / solipictus*	1 (3.1)		
Moraxella bovoculi			2 (5.6)
Moraxella canis		1 (3.3)	
<i>Moraxella</i> sp.	1 (3.1)		
Neisseria canis		2 (6.7)	2 (5.6)
Neisseria weaveri	3 (9.4)	1 (3.3)	1 (2.8)
Neisseria zoodegmatis	1 (3.1)		
Pasteurella canis		1 (3.3)	1 (2.8)
Pasteurella dagmatis	1 (3.1)	3 (10.0)	1 (2.8)
Pasteurella multocida subsp. septica	1 (3.1)		
Pasteurella multocida subsp. septica / multocida*	2 (6.3)		

Pasteurella stomatis	1 (3.1)		
Pasteurella trehalosi	1 (3.1)		
Porphyromonas canoris	1 (3.1)	2 (6.7)	
Propionibacteriaceae bacterium ¹		1 (3.3)	
Pseudoclavibacter sp.		2 (6.7)	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1 (3.1)		
Pseudomonas brenneri			2 (5.6)
Pseudomonas sp.			3 (8.3)
Pseudomonas stutzeri	1 (3.1)		
Serratia grimesii	1 (3.1)		
Serratia sp.	1 (3.1)		
Streptococcus minor	1 (3.1)		
Uncultured bacterium	4 (12.5)	2 (6.7)	3 (8.3)
Uncultured Bacteroidetes bacterium ²			1 (2.8)
Virgibacillus halophilus	1 (3.1)		
Xanthomonadaceae bacterium ¹		2 (6.7)	
Xanthomonas sp.		1 (3.3)	
Xenophilus sp.		1 (3.3)	

³⁸⁴ *Unable to distinguish between species: ¹Family; ²Phylum.

505	
386	
387	
388	
389	
390	
391	

- 397 **Table 2** Bacterial species identified by 16S rRNA sequencing of clones from three normal, three
- 398 gingivitis and three periodontitis samples: at least 98% identity.

	Normal	Gingivitis	Periodontitis
Species	No. of clones	No. of clones	No. of clones
-	analysed	analysed	analysed
	(% of total)	(% of total)	(% of total)
	n=152	n=149	n=133
Acinetobacter junii			1 (0.8)
Acinetobacter sp.	1 (0.7)		
Actinomyces hordeovulneris		1 (0.7)	
Actinomyces sp.	1 (0.7)	1 (0.7)	11 (8.3)
<i>Bergeyella</i> sp.	5 (3.3)	6 (4.0)	
Capnocytophaga canimorsus		1 (0.7)	2 (1.5)
Capnocytophaga cynodegmi		6 (4.0)	10 (7.5)
CDC Group NO-1		1 (0.7)	
Clostridiales bacterium (oral) ³			6 (4.5)
Clostridium sporogenes / botulinum*	1 (0.7)		
Desulfomicrobium orale			16 (12.0)
Filifactor villosus		4 (2.7)	
Fusobacterium russii		2 (1.3)	
Gemella palaticanis	1 (0.7)		
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1 (0.7)		
Methylobacterium radiotolerans	1 (0.7)		
Moraxella bovoculi		3 (2.0)	3 (2.3)
Moraxella canis			1 (0.8)
Moraxella nonliquefaciens	1 (0.7)		
Neisseria canis		1 (0.7)	
Orodibacter denticanis		2 (1.3)	
Pasteurella canis		5 (3.4)	4 (3.0)
Pasteurella dagmatis			5 (3.8)
Peptococcus sp. (oral)		3 (2.0)	
Peptoniphilus sp. 'Oral Taxon 386'			2 (1.5)
Peptostreptococcus sp. (oral)			5 (3.8)
Porphyromonas cangingivalis		24 (16.1)	2 (1.5)
Porphyromonas canis		1 (0.7)	
Porphyromonas canoris		8 (5.4)	1 (0.8)
Pseudomonas sp.	47 (30.9)		
Psychrobacter pulmonis	2 (1.3)		
Salibacillus sp.	1 (0.7)		
Serratia grimesii	3 (2.0)		
Serratia proteomaculans	2 (1.3)		
Serratia proteomaculans quinovora	1 (0.7)		
Simonsiella steedae	2 (1.3)		

Tannerella forsythensis		7 (4.7)	
Treponema genomosp.		2 (1.3)	
<i>Treponema</i> sp.		4 (2.7)	1 (0.8)
Uncultured Acinetobacter sp.	5 (3.3)		
Uncultured bacterium	12 (7.9)	1 (0.7)	7 (5.3)
Uncultured Capnocytophaga sp.			1 (0.8)
Uncultured Peptostreptococcaceae			4 (3.0)
bacterium ¹			
Uncultured Prevotellaceae bacterium ¹		2 (1.3)	
Uncultured Pseudomonas sp.	3 (2.0)		
Uncultured rumen bacterium			2 (1.5)
Virgibacillus halophilus	4 (2.6)		
Wernerella denticanis		1 (0.7)	
Xanthomonadaceae bacterium ¹		3 (2.0)	2 (1.5)
Xenophilus sp.		3 (2.0)	

Xenophilus sp. 3 (2.0) *Unable to distinguish between species; ¹ Family; ³ Order.	Xanthomonadaceae bacterium ¹	3 (2.0)
*Unable to distinguish between species; ¹ Family; ³ Order.	Xenophilus sp.	3 (2.0)
	*Unable to distinguish between species; ¹ Family	/; ³ Order.

- 417 **Table 3** Potentially novel bacterial species identified by 16S rRNA sequencing of clones from
- 418 three normal, three gingivitis and three periodontitis samples: less than 98% identity.

	Normal	Gingivitis	Periodontitis
Species [% identity range]	No. of clones	No. of clones	No. of clones
	analysed	analysed	analysed
	(% of total)	(% of total)	(% of total)
	n=152	n=149	n=133
Actinomyces sp. [95.5-97.4]	1 (0.7)		2 (1.5)
Actinomyces hordeovulneris [96.9]	1 (0.7)		
Arthrobacter sp. [93.8]	2 (1.3)		
Bacteroides sp. [89.9]			2 (1.5)
Brachymonas sp. [96.9]		2 (1.3)	
Capnocytophaga canimorsus [96.7-97.0]		3 (2.0)	
Capnocytophaga cynodegmi [97.1]			1 (0.8)
Clostridiales bacterium (oral) ³ [85.4-97.2]		13 (8.7)	6 (4.5)
Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis [94.6]		1 (0.7)	
Desulfomicrobium orale [96.2-97.2]			3 (2.3)
Desulfovibrio sp. [89.9]			1 (0.8)
Flexistipe-like sp. (oral) [95.7]		1 (0.7)	
Haemophilus haemoglobinophilus [96.2]	1 (0.7)		
<i>Klebsiella</i> sp. [97.1]	1 (0.7)		
Marine bacterium [96.6]	1 (0.7)		
Moraxella bovoculi [96.2]			1 (0.8)
Moraxella canis [97.1]			1 (0.8)
Mycobacterium sp. [95.7]	1 (0.7)		
Mycoplasma canis [91.5]		1 (0.7)	
Pasteurella sp. [97.2]			1 (0.8)
Porphyromonas cangingivalis [85.5-97.4]		4 (2.7)	1 (0.8)
Porphyromonas canoris [95.6-97.1]		2 (1.3)	2 (1.5)
Prevotella genomosp. P9 (oral) [90.2]			2 (1.5)
Pseudomonas fluorescens [93.2]	1 (0.7)		
Pseudomonas sp. [96.4-97.3]	20 (13.2)		
Psychrobacter pulmonis [94.7]	1 (0.7)		
Salibacillus sp. [96.2-96.9]	2 (1.3)		
Serratia proteomaculans quinovora [97.2]	1 (0.7)		
Simonsiella steedae [92.3-97.1]	2 (1.3)		
Tannerella forsythensis [97.3]		1 (0.7)	
Uncultured bacterium [87.7-96.8]	5 (3.3)	16 (10.7)	18 (13.5)
Uncultured beta-proteobacterium [94.0]	2 (1.3)		
Uncultured Lachnospiraceae (oral) ¹ [94.6]		1 (0.7)	
Uncultured Lautropia sp. (oral) [97.2-97.4]		5 (3.4)	
Uncultured Moraxellaceae bacterium			1 (0.8)
$(oral)^{1}$ [96.4]			

Uncultured Peptococcus sp. (oral) [96.9]		1 (0.7)	
Uncultured Porphyromonas sp. (oral)			3 (2.3)
[93.2-93.6]			
Uncultured Prevotella sp. (oral) [80.6]			1 (0.8)
Uncultured rumen bacterium [88.5]			1 (0.8)
Uncultured γ-proteobacterium [94.1]		1 (0.7)	
Virgibacillus marismortui [95.7-97.0]	13 (8.6)		
Virgibacillus sp. [96.0]	3 (2.0)		
Xanthomonadaceae bacterium1 [96.0-96.8]		5 (3.4)	

419

420 ¹Family; ³Order.

421

422 The % identity range indicates the % identities of analysed clones from each phylotype with best

- 423 matching sequences in the database.
- 424