



**HAL**  
open science

## Convergence in law in the second Wiener/Wigner chaos

Ivan Nourdin, Guillaume Poly

► **To cite this version:**

Ivan Nourdin, Guillaume Poly. Convergence in law in the second Wiener/Wigner chaos. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2012, 17 (36), pp.1-12. 10.1214/ECP.v17-2023 . hal-00696501v3

**HAL Id: hal-00696501**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00696501v3>**

Submitted on 1 Nov 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Convergence in law in the second Wiener/Wigner chaos

by Ivan Nourdin\* and Guillaume Poly†  
*Université de Lorraine and Université Paris Est*

**Abstract:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the class of limiting laws associated with sequences in the second Wiener chaos. We exhibit a large subset  $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  satisfying that, for any  $F_\infty \in \mathcal{L}_0$ , the convergence of only a *finite* number of cumulants suffices to imply the convergence in law of any sequence in the second Wiener chaos to  $F_\infty$ . This result is in the spirit of the seminal paper [12], in which Nualart and Peccati discovered the surprising fact that convergence in law for sequences of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals to the Gaussian is equivalent to convergence of just the fourth cumulant. Also, we offer analogues of this result in the case of free Brownian motion and double Wigner integrals, in the context of free probability.

**Keywords:** Convergence in law; second Wiener chaos; second Wigner chaos; quadratic form; free probability.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 46L54; 60F05, 60G15, 60H05.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a centered Gaussian process defined on, say, the time interval  $[0, 1]$ . Consider the sequence of its quadratic variations

$$V_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (X_{(k+1)/n} - X_{k/n})^2, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Very often (e.g. when one seeks to build a stochastic calculus with respect to  $X$ , or when one wants to estimate an unknown parameter characterizing  $X$ ) one is interested in determining, provided it exists, the limit in law of  $V_n$  properly normalized, namely,

$$F_n = \frac{V_n - E[V_n]}{\sigma_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{with } \sigma_n = \sqrt{\text{Var}(V_n)}. \quad (1.1)$$

For instance, when  $X$  is a fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter  $H \in (0, 1)$ , it is known that  $F_n$  is asymptotically normal when  $H \in (0, 3/4]$ , whereas it converges to the Rosenblatt random variable when  $H \in (3/4, 1)$ . Applying the Gram-Schmidt process leads to the existence of a family  $\{e_{k,n}\}_{0 \leq k \leq n}$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty e_{k,n}(x)e_{l,n}(x)dx = E[(X_{(k+1)/n} - X_{k/n})(X_{(l+1)/n} - X_{l/n})], \quad 0 \leq k, l \leq n-1,$$

---

\*Email: [inourdin@gmail.com](mailto:inourdin@gmail.com); IN was partially supported by the ANR grants ANR-09-BLAN-0114 and ANR-10-BLAN-0121.

†Email: [guillaume.poly@crans.org](mailto:guillaume.poly@crans.org)

implying in turn that, for any  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$\{X_{(k+1)/n} - X_{k/n}\}_{0 \leq k \leq n} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e_{k,n}(x) dW_x \right\}_{0 \leq k \leq n},$$

with  $W$  an ordinary Brownian motion. Hence, since we are concerned with a convergence in law for  $F_n$ , one can safely replace its expression (1.1) by

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \left( \int_0^\infty e_{k,n}(x) dW_x \right)^2 - \int_0^\infty e_{k,n}(x)^2 dx \right].$$

Next, a straightforward application of the Itô's formula yields

$$F_n = \frac{2}{\sigma_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_0^\infty e_{k,n}(x) dW_x \int_0^x e_{k,n}(y) dW_y.$$

That is,  $F_n$  has the form of a *double integral* with respect to  $W$  or, equivalently,  $F_n$  belongs to the *second Wiener chaos* associated with  $W$ . This simple, illustrating example shows how elements of the second Wiener chaos associated with an ordinary Brownian motion may be sometimes 'hidden' in quantities of interest.

Fix an integer  $q \geq 1$ . In the late 60's, Schreiber [13] proved that the  $q$ th Wiener chaos associated with  $W$  is closed under convergence in probability. In the present paper, we are rather interested in convergence *in law*. More precisely, we aim to answer the following question:

“Can we describe all the limits in law associated with sequences in the  $q$ th Wiener chaos?” (1.2)

The answer to (1.2) turns out to be trivial when  $q = 1$ : it is indeed well-known (and easy to prove) that, if a sequence of centered Gaussian random variables converge in law, its limit is centered and Gaussian as well. In contrast, to provide an answer to (1.2) when  $q \geq 3$  is a difficult problem which is not yet solved. When  $q = 2$ , the answer to (1.2) is almost contained in reference [14] by Sevastyanov. Therein, the author considers quadratic forms of the form

$$F_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} (N_i N_j - E[N_i N_j]), \tag{1.3}$$

where  $N_1, N_2, \dots \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  are independent, and characterizes all the possible shapes of any limit in law of a sequence of the form (1.3). On the other hand, it is a classical result that any element  $F$  in the second Wiener chaos can be suitably decomposed as

$$F \stackrel{\text{(law)}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (N_k^2 - 1), \tag{1.4}$$

with  $N_1, N_2, \dots \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  independent and  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $\sum_k \lambda_k^2 < \infty$ . Thus, sequences in the second Wiener chaos are almost all of the form (1.3) and, as a result, the work [14] is not far away to give a complete answer to our question (1.2) in the case  $q = 2$ . In the present paper,

we solve (1.2) in full generality for  $q = 2$ , by using another route compared to [14]. Our approach consists to observe that the identity in law (1.4) leads to an expression for the characteristic function  $\phi$  of  $F$ , from which it follows that  $1/\phi^2$  lies in the Laguerre-Pólya class consisting of all real entire functions which are locally uniform limits of real polynomials with real zeros. Then, relying to a lemma from [3], we deduce that the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of all limiting laws associated to sequences in the second Wiener chaos is composed of those random variables  $F_\infty$  of the form

$$F_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} N + G, \tag{1.5}$$

where  $G$  lies in the second Wiener chaos and  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_0^2)$  is independent of  $W$ . Thus, for any centered Gaussian process  $X$ , the limit in law of  $F_n$  given by (1.1) (provided it exists) necessarily has the form (1.5). Another direct consequence of (1.5) is that each random variable in  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{0\}$  has a law which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Let us now describe the main result of the present paper. Relying to (1.5), we exhibit a large subset  $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  satisfying that, for any  $F_\infty \in \mathcal{L}_0$ , the convergence of only a *finite* number of cumulants suffices to imply the convergence in law of any sequence in the second Wiener chaos to  $F_\infty$ . Theorem 3.4, which contains the precise statement, is in the same spirit than the seminal paper [12], in which Nualart and Peccati discovered the surprising fact that convergence in law for sequences of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals to the Gaussian is equivalent to convergence of just the fourth cumulant. For an overview of the existing literature around this theme, we refer the reader to the book [9], to the survey [6], and to the constantly updated webpage [www.iecn.u-nancy.fr/nourdin/steinmalliavin.htm](http://www.iecn.u-nancy.fr/nourdin/steinmalliavin.htm).

Finally, the last part of our paper investigates analogues of all the previous results in the free probability setting. We are motivated by the fact that there is often a close correspondence between classical probability and free probability. As one will see, there is no exception to the rule here. Indeed, let  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a free Brownian motion (defined on a free tracial probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ ) and let  $\mathcal{H}_2^S$  denote the second (symmetric) Wigner chaos associated with  $S$ , that is,  $\mathcal{H}_2^S$  is the closed linear subspace of  $L^2(\varphi)$  generated by the family

$$\left\{ \left( \int_0^\infty h(t) dS_t \right)^2 - \int_0^\infty h^2(t) dt : h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \right\},$$

where  $\int_0^\infty h(t) dS_t$  stands for the Wigner integral of  $h$ , in the sense of Biane and Speicher [1]. Then, if  $F_\infty$  is the limit in law of a given sequence  $\{F_n\}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{H}_2^S$ , we prove that there exists a random variable  $A$ , distributed according to the semicircular law, as well as another random variable  $G \in \mathcal{H}_2^S$ , freely independent of  $S$ , such that

$$F_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} A + G. \tag{1.6}$$

Then, using (1.6) (which is the exact analogue of (1.5)), we can prove Theorem 4.3, which is the free counterpart of Theorem 3.4.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notation and we give several preliminary lemmas which will be used to state and prove our main results in the following sections. Section 3 is devoted to the proofs of the results described in the introduction in the case of the standard Brownian motion. Finally, Section 4 deals with the free probability context.

## 2 Preliminaries

This section gathers the material we shall need to state and prove the results of this paper.

### 2.1 Four objects associated to square-integrable symmetric functions of two variables

In the whole paper, we shall deal with symmetric functions of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  (that is, functions  $f$  which are square-integrable and that satisfy  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$  a.e.). We denote by  $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  the set of such functions.

With every function  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , we associate the selfadjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operator

$$T_f : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad g \mapsto \int_0^\infty f(\cdot, y)g(y)dy,$$

as well as the following four objects which are related to it:

- We write  $\{\lambda_k(f)\}_{k \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}$  to indicate the eigenvalues of  $T_f$  and we assume that  $|\lambda_1(f)| \geq |\lambda_2(f)| \geq \dots$ ;
- We set  $E(f) = \{\lambda_k(f)\}_{k \geq 1} \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- We denote by  $\text{rank}(f)$  the rank of  $T_f$ , that is,  $r = \text{rank}(f)$  if and only if  $\lambda_r(f) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_{r+1}(f) = 0$ ;
- We denote by  $a(f)$  the cardinality of  $E(f)$ , that is,  $a(f)$  is the number of distinct non-zero eigenvalues of  $T_f$ .

### 2.2 Second Wiener chaos

Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an ordinary Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is generated by  $W$ . In this subsection, we focus on elements in the second Wiener chaos, that is, we focus on random variables of the type  $F = I_2^W(f)$ , with  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ . For more details on Wiener chaos, we refer to [9]. The following proposition unveils a link between the elements of the second Wiener chaos and some of the objects introduced in the previous subsection.

**Proposition 2.1** *For any element  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , the following equality holds:*

$$I_2^W(f) \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f) (N_k^2 - 1), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\{N_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a sequence of independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  random variables, and the series converges in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and almost surely.

*Proof.* The proof is standard and omitted. See, e.g., [9, Proposition 2.7.13]. ■

By using (2.7), it is easily seen that the cumulants of  $F$  are given by

$$\kappa_1(I_2^W(f)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_r(I_2^W(f)) = 2^{r-1}(r-1)! \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f)^r, \quad r \geq 2.$$

See, e.g., [9, Identities (2.7.17)].

We shall also need the following hypercontractivity property.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $F$  be a double Wiener-Itô integral. Then, for all  $r \geq 1$ , we have*

$$E[|F|^r] \leq (r-1)^r E[F^2]^{r/2}. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* See, e.g., [9, Corollary 2.8.14]. ■

## 2.3 Second Wigner chaos

Let  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a free Brownian motion, defined on a non-commutative probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ . That is,  $S$  is a stochastic process starting from 0, with freely independent increments, and such that  $S(t) - S(s) \sim \mathcal{S}(0, t-s)$  is a centered semicircular random variable with variance  $t-s$  for all  $t \geq s$ . (We may think of free Brownian motion as ‘infinite-dimensional matrix-valued Brownian motion’.) For more details about the construction and features of  $S$ , see [1, Section 1.1] and the references therein.

In this subsection, we focus on elements in the (symmetric) second Wigner chaos, that is, we focus on random variables of the type  $F = I_2^S(f)$ , with  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ . The following result is nothing but the free counterpart of Proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.2** *For any element  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , the following equality in law holds:*

$$I_2^S(f) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f) (S_k^2 - 1), \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\{S_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a sequence of freely independent centered semicircular random variables with unit variance, and the series converges in  $L^2(\varphi)$ .

*Proof.* It is an immediate extension of [9, Proposition 2.7.13] to the free case. ■

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.2 is that the free cumulants (see [5]) of  $F$  are given by

$$\widehat{\kappa}_1(I_2^S(f)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\kappa}_r(I_2^S(f)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f)^r, \quad r \geq 2.$$

## 3 Our results in the classical Brownian motion case

Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an ordinary Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . The next theorem describes all the limits in law associated with sequences in the second Wiener chaos.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of double Wiener integrals that converges in law to  $F_\infty$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  such that*

$$F_\infty \stackrel{(\text{law})}{=} N + I_2^W(f),$$

where  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda_0^2)$  is independent of the underlying Brownian motion  $W$ .

During the proof of Theorem 3.1, we shall need the following result taken from [3] (more precisely, it is a suitable combination of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in [3]).

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\{G_n\}$  be a sequence of entire functions of the form*

$$G_n(z) = e^{\alpha_n z + \beta_n} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/z_{k,n}) e^{z/z_{k,n}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

with  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$ , and where the zeros  $\{z_{k,n}\}_{k \geq 1}$  of  $G_n$  are included in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_{k,n}|^{-2} \leq M, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

for some constant  $M$  independent of  $n$ . Assume that  $G_n$  converges uniformly on a disc about the origin, to a limit function  $\neq 0$ . Then  $G_n$  converge uniformly on every bounded set, to an entire function  $G_\infty$  of the form

$$G_\infty(z) = e^{az^2 + bz + c} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/z_k) e^{z/z_k}, \quad (3.10)$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and where the  $z_k$  are real and such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |z_k|^{-2} < \infty$ .

We shall also need the following result, which is a straightforward consequence of the Paley inequality (3.11) as well as the hypercontractivity property (2.8).

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a tight sequence of double Wiener-Itô integrals. Then*

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} E[|F_n|^p] < \infty \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $Z$  be a positive random variable such that  $E[Z] = 1$  and let  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Consider the decomposition  $Z = Z \mathbf{1}_{\{Z > \theta\}} + Z \mathbf{1}_{\{Z \leq \theta\}}$  and take the expectation. One deduces, using Cauchy-Schwarz, that  $\sqrt{E[Z^2]} \sqrt{P(Z > \theta)} + \theta \geq 1$ , that is (Paley inequality),

$$E[Z^2] P(Z > \theta) \geq (1 - \theta)^2. \quad (3.11)$$

On the other hand, we have by hypercontractivity (2.8) that  $E[F_n^4] \leq 81 E[F_n^2]^2$ . Combining this latter fact with (3.11) yields that, for all  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and with  $Z = F_n^2/E[F_n^2]$ ,

$$P(F_n^2 > \theta E[F_n^2]) \geq \frac{1}{81} (1 - \theta)^2. \quad (3.12)$$

The sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  being tight, one can choose  $M > 0$  large enough so that  $P(F_n^2 > M) \leq \frac{1}{324}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By applying (3.12) with  $\theta = 1/2$ , one gets that

$$P(F_n^2 > M) \leq \frac{1}{324} \leq P(F_n^2 > \frac{1}{2} E[F_n^2]),$$

from which one deduce that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} E[F_n^2] \leq 2M < \infty$ . The desired conclusion follows (once again!) from the hypercontractivity property (2.8).  $\blacksquare$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.1.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* We first observe that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} E[|F_n|^p] < \infty$  for all  $p \geq 1$  by Lemma 3.3. Hence, without loss of generality, we may and do assume that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} E[F_n^2] \leq 1$ .

The rest of the proof is divided into two steps.

*Step 1.* We claim that  $\phi_n$ , defined on  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re} z| < e^{-1}\}$  as

$$\phi_n(z) = E[e^{zF_n}],$$

converges uniformly on compact sets of  $\Omega$ , towards an holomorphic function noted  $\phi_\infty$ . First, observe that the function  $\phi_n$  is well-defined and uniformly bounded on compact sets of  $\Omega$ . Indeed, by hypercontractivity (2.8) and for every  $q > 2$ ,

$$E[|F_n|^q]^{1/q} \leq q - 1.$$

Thus,  $P[|F_n| > u] \leq u^{-q}(q-1)^q$  for every  $u > 0$ . Choosing  $q = q(u) = 1 + u/e$ , the previous relation shows that  $P[|F_n| > u] \leq e^{-u/e}$  for every  $u > e$ . By a Fubini argument,

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} E[|e^{zF_n}|] \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} E[e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| |F_n|}] = \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 + |\operatorname{Re} z| \int_0^\infty e^{|\operatorname{Re} z| u} P[|F_n| > u] du \right) < \infty \quad (3.13)$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|\operatorname{Re} z| < e^{-1}$ . Moreover, since

$$\int_{\partial T} \phi_n(z) dz = \int_{\partial T} E[e^{zF_n}] dz = E \left[ \int_{\partial T} e^{zF_n} dz \right] = 0$$

for all triangle  $\partial T \subset \Omega$ , one deduces from the Goursat theorem that each  $\phi_n$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega$ .

Since the sequence  $\{\phi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded on compact sets of  $\Omega$ , one can assume by Montel's theorem that it converges uniformly on compact sets of  $\Omega$  (towards, say,  $h$ ) and one is thus left to show that the limit is unique. Since  $F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} F_\infty$ , we have that  $\phi_n(it)$  converges pointwise to  $\phi_\infty(it)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  (with obvious notation). We deduce that  $h(it) = \phi_\infty(it)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . But, as an immediate consequence of (3.13) and of the continuous mapping theorem, we have that the function  $\phi_\infty(z) = E[e^{zF_\infty}]$  is well-defined and holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . Hence,  $h = \phi$  on  $\Omega$  and the desired claim follows.

*Step 2.* Let  $\{N_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  denote a sequence of independent  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  random variables. Since  $F_n = I_2(f_n)$  is a double Wiener-Itô integral, its law can be expressed (see Proposition 2.1) as

$$F_n \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,n} (N_k^2 - 1)$$

where the  $\lambda_{k,n}$  are the eigenvalues of the Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $T_n : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  defined as  $T_n(g) = \langle f_n, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ . In particular,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k,n}^2 = \frac{1}{2} E[F_n^2] < \infty$ . It is straightforward to check that

$$G_n(z) := \frac{1}{\phi_n(z)^2} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2\lambda_{k,n} z) e^{2\lambda_{k,n} z}, \quad z \in \Omega.$$



Since  $\phi_\infty$  is not identically zero and  $\phi_n(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ , the Hurwitz principle applies and yields that  $\phi_\infty(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Therefore,  $G_n$  converges uniformly on compact sets of  $\Omega$  to  $G_\infty = \phi_\infty^{-2}$ . Thanks to Lemma 3.2, we deduce that  $G_\infty$  has the form

$$G_\infty(z) = e^{az^2+bz+c} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2\lambda_k z) e^{2\lambda_k z}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (3.14)$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$  and where the  $\lambda_k$  are real and such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 < \infty$ . In (3.14), we necessarily have  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $b = 0$  and  $e^c = 1$ . Indeed,  $e^c = G_\infty(0) = 1$ . Moreover,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 2\lambda_k z) e^{2\lambda_k z} = e^{-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2z)^j}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^j}, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

so that

$$b = G'_\infty(0) = -2E[F_\infty] = 0$$

and

$$2a - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 = G''_\infty(0) = -2E[F_\infty^2],$$

implying that  $a = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 - E[F_\infty^2] \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, using Fatou's lemma we deduce that

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^2 \leq 2 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{m_n^k, n}^2 \leq 2 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k, n}^2 = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[F_n^2] = E[F_\infty^2].$$

That is,  $a \leq 0$ . Consequently, (3.14) implies that

$$F_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} N + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (N_k^2 - 1), \quad (3.15)$$

where  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, -a)$  is independent from  $N_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . To conclude the proof, it suffices to observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (N_k^2 - 1) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} I_2^W(f),$$

where  $f$  is given by  $f(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k(x)e_k(y)$ , with  $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  any orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . ■

In the next theorem, we exhibit a large subset  $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  such that, for any  $F_\infty \in \mathcal{L}_0$ , the convergence of only a *finite* number of cumulants suffices to imply the convergence in law of any sequence in the second Wiener chaos to  $F_\infty$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  with  $0 \leq \text{rank}(f) < \infty$ , let  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mu_0^2)$  be independent of the underlying Brownian motion  $W$ . Assume that  $|\mu_0| + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} > 0$  and set*

$$Q(x) = x^{2(1+\mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}})} \prod_{i=1}^{a(f)} (x - \lambda_i(f))^2.$$

*Let  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of double Wiener-Itô integrals. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$(i) F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N + I_2^W(f)$$

if and only if all the following are satisfied:

$$(ii-a) \kappa_2(F_n) \rightarrow \kappa_2(N + I_2^W(f)) = \mu_0^2 + 2\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2;$$

$$(ii-b) \sum_{r=3}^{\deg Q} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \frac{\kappa_r(F_n)}{(r-1)!2^{r-1}} \rightarrow \sum_{r=3}^{\deg Q} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \frac{\kappa_r(I_2^W(f))}{(r-1)!2^{r-1}};$$

$$(ii-c) \kappa_r(F_n) \rightarrow \kappa_r(I_2^W(f)) \text{ for } a(f) \text{ consecutive values of } r, \text{ with } r \geq 2(1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}}).$$

Before doing the proof of Theorem 3.4, let us detail two explicit examples.

1. Consider first the situation where  $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} = 0$  (that is,  $\text{rank}(f) = a(f) = 0$ ) and  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ . In this case,  $Q(x) = x^4$  and condition (ii - c) is immaterial. Therefore,  $F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{N}(0, \mu_0^2)$  if and only if  $\kappa_2(F_n) \rightarrow \mu_0^2$  (condition (ii - a)) and  $\kappa_4(F_n) \rightarrow 0$  (conditions (ii - b)). As such, one recovers the celebrated Nualart-Peccati criterion (in the case of double integrals), see [12].
2. Consider now the situation where, in Theorem 3.4, one has  $r = \text{rank}(f) < \infty$ ,  $a(f) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1(f) = 1$  and  $\mu_0 = 0$ . This corresponds to the case where the limit  $I_2^W(f)$  is a centered chi-square random variable with  $r$  degrees of freedom. In this case,  $Q(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2$ . Therefore,  $F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} I_2^W(f)$  if and only if  $\kappa_2(F_n) \rightarrow 2r$  (conditions (ii - a) and (ii - c)) and  $\kappa_4(F_n) - 12\kappa_3(F_n) \rightarrow -48r$  (condition (ii - b)). As such, one recovers a theorem of Nourdin and Peccati in the special case of double integrals, see [7].

For the proof of Theorem 3.4, we shall need the following auxiliary lemma.

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_a \neq 0$  be pairwise distinct real numbers, and let  $m_1, \dots, m_a \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Set*

$$Q(x) = x^{2(1+\mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}})} \prod_{i=1}^a (x - \mu_i)^2$$

Assume that  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \geq 0}$  is a square-integrable sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$\lambda_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^2 = \mu_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^a m_i \mu_i^2 \tag{3.16}$$

$$\sum_{r=3}^{2(1+\mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}})+a} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^r = \sum_{r=3}^{2(1+\mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}})+a} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \sum_{i=1}^a m_i \mu_i^r \tag{3.17}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^r = \sum_{i=1}^a m_i \mu_i^r \text{ for 'a' consecutive values of } r \geq 2(1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}}). \tag{3.18}$$

Then:

(i)  $|\lambda_0| = |\mu_0|$ .

(ii) The cardinality of the set  $S = \{j \geq 1 : \lambda_j \neq 0\}$  is finite.

(iii)  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in S} = \{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq a}$ .

(iv) for any  $i = 1, \dots, a$ , one has  $m_i = \#\{j \in S : \lambda_j = \mu_i\}$ .

*Proof.* We divide the proof according to the nullity of  $\mu_0$ .

*First case:*  $\mu_0 = 0$ . We have  $Q(x) = x^2 \prod_{i=1}^a (x - \mu_i)^2$ . Since the polynomial  $Q$  can be rewritten as

$$Q(x) = \sum_{r=2}^{2(1+a)} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} x^r,$$

assumptions (3.16) and (3.18) together ensure that

$$\lambda_0^2 \prod_{i=1}^a \mu_i^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Q(\lambda_j) = \sum_{i=1}^a m_i Q(\mu_i) = 0.$$

Because  $Q$  is positive and  $\prod_{i=1}^a \mu_i^2 \neq 0$ , we deduce that  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $Q(\lambda_j) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , that is,  $\lambda_j \in \{0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_a\}$  for all  $j \geq 1$ . This shows claims (i) as well as:

$$\{\lambda_j\}_{j \in S} \subset \{\mu_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq a}. \quad (3.19)$$

Moreover, since the sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  is square-integrable, claim (ii) holds true as well. It remains to show (iii) and (iv). For any  $i = 1, \dots, a$ , let  $n_i = \#\{j \in S : \lambda_j = \mu_i\}$ . Also, let  $r \geq 2$  be such that  $r, r+1, \dots, r+a-1$  are ‘ $a$ ’ consecutive values satisfying (3.17). We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^r & \mu_2^r & \cdots & \mu_a^r \\ \mu_1^{r+1} & \mu_2^{r+1} & \cdots & \mu_a^{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_1^{r+a-1} & \mu_2^{r+a-1} & \cdots & \mu_a^{r+a-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 - m_1 \\ n_2 - m_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_a - m_a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_a \neq 0$  are pairwise distinct, one has (Vandermonde matrix)

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^r & \mu_2^r & \cdots & \mu_a^r \\ \mu_1^{r+1} & \mu_2^{r+1} & \cdots & \mu_a^{r+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_1^{r+a-1} & \mu_2^{r+a-1} & \cdots & \mu_a^{r+a-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^a \mu_i^r \times \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \cdots & \mu_a \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_1^{a-1} & \mu_2^{a-1} & \cdots & \mu_a^{a-1} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which (iv) follows. Finally, recalling the inclusion (3.19) we deduce (iii).

*Second case:*  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ . In this case, one has  $Q(x) = x^4 \prod_{i=1}^a (x - \mu_i)^2$  and claims (ii), (iii) and (iv) may be shown by following the same line of reasoning as above. We then deduce claim (i) by looking at (3.16).  $\blacksquare$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.4.

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* The implications (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii-a), (ii-b), (ii-c) are immediate consequences of the Continuous Mapping Theorem together with Lemma 3.3. Now, assume (ii-a), (ii-b), (ii-c) and let us show that (i) holds true. The sequence  $\{F_n\}$  being bounded in  $L^2$ , it is tight by Prokhorov's theorem. Hence, to prove claim (i) it is sufficient to show that any subsequence  $\{F_{n'}\}$  converging in law to some random variable  $F_\infty$  is necessarily such that  $F_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} N + I_2^W(f)$ . From now on, and only for notational convenience, we assume that  $\{F_n\}$  itself converges to  $F_\infty$ . By hypercontractivity (2.8), one has that  $\kappa_r(F_n) \rightarrow \kappa_r(F_\infty)$  for all  $r$ . Thanks to Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$F_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \lambda_0 N_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (N_j^2 - 1), \quad (3.20)$$

for some  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$  satisfying  $\sum_j \lambda_j^2 < \infty$  and where  $N_0, N_1, N_2, \dots \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  are independent. Combining our assumptions (ii-a), (ii-b), (ii-c) with (3.20), we deduce that (3.16)-(3.17)-(3.18) hold true. If  $a \neq 0$ , we deduce from Lemma 3.5 that  $G_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} F_\infty$ , thereby concluding the proof of Theorem 3.4. If  $a = 0$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ , then the desired conclusion follows from the Nualart-Peccati criterion of asymptotic normality. Finally, if  $a = 0$  and  $\mu = 0$ , then (3.16) implies that  $F_\infty = 0$  a.s., and the proof of Theorem 3.4 is also concluded in this case.  $\blacksquare$

## 4 Our results in the free Brownian motion case

Let  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a free Brownian motion, defined on a non-commutative probability space  $(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ . The following result fully describes all the possible limiting laws for sequences in the second (symmetric) Wigner chaos. It is the exact analogue of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in the second Wigner chaos that converges in law to  $F_\infty$ . Then, there exists  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  such that*

$$F_\infty \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} A + I_2^S(f),$$

where  $A \sim \mathcal{S}(0, \lambda_0^2)$  is freely independent of the underlying free Brownian motion  $S$ .

*Proof.* We have  $F_n = I_2^S(f_n)$  with  $f_n \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Set  $G_n = I_2^W(f_n)$  where  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  stands for an ordinary Brownian motion. We have

$$E[G_n^2] = 2 \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2 = 2\varphi(F_n^2) \rightarrow 2\varphi(F_\infty^2)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so  $\{G_n\}$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . By Prokhorov's theorem, it is tight and there exists a subsequence  $\{G_{n'}\}$  that converges in law to, say,  $G_\infty$ . By Theorem 3.1, the limit  $G_\infty$  has

necessarily the form  $G_\infty = N + I_2^W(f)$  with  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  and  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\lambda_0^2)$  independent of  $W$ . Using Lemma 3.3, we deduce that, as  $n' \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f_{n'})^2 = \kappa_2(G_{n'}) \rightarrow \kappa_2(G_\infty) = 2\lambda_0^2 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f)^2$$

and

$$2^{r-1}(r-1)! \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f_{n'})^r = \kappa_r(G_{n'}) \rightarrow \kappa_r(G_\infty) = 2^{r-1}(r-1)! \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f)^r, \quad r \geq 3.$$

Now, since  $F_n$  converges in law to  $F_\infty$ , we have, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f_n)^r = \widehat{\kappa}_r(F_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\kappa}_r(F_\infty) \quad \text{for any } r \geq 2.$$

We deduce that

$$\widehat{\kappa}_2(F_\infty) = \lambda_0^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\kappa}_r(F_\infty) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k(f)^r, \quad r \geq 3,$$

from which the announced claim follows. ■

Using cumulants (as in the previous proof, see also Proposition 3.3), it is straightforward to check the following Wiener-Wigner transfer principle between limits in the second Wiener chaos and limits in the second Wigner chaos.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ , let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be an ordinary Brownian motion and let  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a free Brownian motion. Let  $N \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2\lambda_0^2)$  (resp.  $A \sim \mathcal{S}(0, \lambda_0^2)$ ) be independent of  $W$  (resp.  $S$ ). Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $I_2^W(f_n) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} N + I_2^W(f)$  if and only if  $I_2^S(f_n) \xrightarrow{\text{law}} A + I_2^S(f)$ .*

Also, reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we may obtain the following characterization in terms of a finite number of cumulants for convergence in law within the second Wigner chaos.

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $f \in L_s^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$  with  $0 \leq \text{rank}(f) < \infty$ , let  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $A \sim \mathcal{S}(0, \mu_0^2)$  be independent of the underlying free Brownian motion  $S$ . Assume that  $|\mu_0| + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} > 0$  and set*

$$Q(x) = x^{2(1+\mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}})} \prod_{i=1}^{a(f)} (x - \lambda_i(f))^2.$$

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of double Wigner integrals. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(i) \quad F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} A + I_2^S(f)$$

if and only if all the following are satisfied:

$$(ii-a) \quad \widehat{\kappa}_2(F_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\kappa}_2(A + I_2^S(f)) = \mu_0^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}^2;$$

$$(ii-b) \quad \sum_{r=3}^{\deg Q} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \widehat{\kappa}_r(F_n) \rightarrow \sum_{r=3}^{\deg Q} \frac{Q^{(r)}(0)}{r!} \widehat{\kappa}_r(I_2^S(f));$$

$$(ii-c) \quad \widehat{\kappa}_r(F_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\kappa}_r(I_2^W(f)) \text{ for } a(f) \text{ consecutive values of } r, \text{ with } r \geq 2(1 + \mathbf{1}_{\{\mu_0 \neq 0\}}).$$

To conclude, we describe some easy consequences of Theorem 4.3:

1. Consider first the situation where  $\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} = 0$  (that is,  $\text{rank}(f) = a(f) = 0$ ) and  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ .  
In this case,  $Q(x) = x^4$  and condition (ii-c) is immaterial. Therefore,  $F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \mathcal{S}(0, \mu_0^2)$  if and only if  $\widehat{\kappa}_2(F_n) \rightarrow \mu_0^2$  (condition (ii-a)) and  $\widehat{\kappa}_4(F_n) \rightarrow 0$  (conditions (ii-b)). As such, one recovers a result by Kemp, Nourdin, Peccati and Speicher (in the case of double integrals), see [4].
2. Consider now the situation where, in Theorem 3.4, one has  $r = \text{rank}(f) < \infty$ ,  $a(f) = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1(f) = 1$  and  $\mu_0 = 0$ . This corresponds to the case where the limit  $I_2^S(f)$  is a centered free Poisson random variable with rate  $r$ . In this case,  $Q(x) = x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2$ . Therefore,  $F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} I_2^S(f)$  if and only if  $\widehat{\kappa}_2(F_n) \rightarrow r$  (conditions (ii-a) and (ii-c)) and  $\widehat{\kappa}_4(F_n) - 2\widehat{\kappa}_3(F_n) \rightarrow -r$  (condition (ii-b)). As such, one recovers a theorem of Nourdin and Peccati in the special case of double integrals, see [8].
3. Finally, consider the situation  $\mu_0 = 0$  and  $f = e_1 - e_2$ , where  $e_1, e_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  are orthogonal and have norm 1 (thus,  $r = \text{rank}(f) = a(f) = 2$ ). This corresponds to the case where the limit is  $S_1^2 - S_2^2$ , with  $S_1, S_2 \sim \mathcal{S}(0, 1)$  independent. That is, the limit is distributed according to the tetilla law. In this case,  $Q(x) = x^6 - 2x^4 + x^2$ . Therefore,  $F_n \xrightarrow{\text{law}} S_1^2 - S_2^2$  if and only if  $\kappa_2(F_n) \rightarrow 2$  (conditions (ii-a) and (ii-c)),  $\kappa_4(F_n) \rightarrow 2$  (condition (ii-c)) and  $\kappa_6(F_n) - 2\kappa_4(F_n) \rightarrow -2$  (condition (ii-b)). As such, one recovers a theorem of Deya and Nourdin in the special case of double integrals, see [2].

**Acknowledgments.** We are grateful to Giovanni Peccati for bringing to our attention reference [14] and for pointing out an error in a previous version (which is unfortunately the published version [10], see also [11]) of this work.

## References

- [1] Biane, P. and Speicher, R.: Stochastic calculus with respect to free Brownian motion and analysis on Wigner space. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **112**, (1998), 373-409.
- [2] Deya, A. and I. Nourdin, I.: Convergence of Wigner integrals to the tetilla law. *ALEA* **9**, (2012), 101-127.
- [3] Hellerstein, S. and Korevaar, J.: Limits of entire functions whose growth and zeros are restricted. *Duke Math. J.* **30**, (1963), no. 2, 221-227.
- [4] Kemp, T., Nourdin, I., Peccati, G. and Speicher, R.: Wigner chaos and the fourth moment. *Ann. Probab.* **40**, (2012), no. 4, 1577-1635.

- [5] Nica, A. and Speicher, R.: *Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability*. Cambridge University Press (2006).
- [6] Nourdin, I.: Lectures on Gaussian approximations with Malliavin calculus. *Séminaire de Probabilités*, to appear.
- [7] Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G.: Non-central convergence of multiple integrals. *Ann. Probab.* **37**, no. 4, (2009), 1412-1426.
- [8] Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G.: Poisson approximations on the free Wigner chaos. *Ann. Probab.*, to appear (2012).
- [9] Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G.: *Normal Approximations Using Malliavin Calculus: from Stein's Method to Universality*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, (2012).
- [10] Nourdin, I. and Poly, G.: Convergence in law in the second Wiener/Wigner chaos. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **17**, no. 36.
- [11] Nourdin, I. and Poly, G.: Correction to: "Convergence in law in the second Wiener/Wigner chaos". *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, to appear.
- [12] Nualart, D. and Peccati, G.: Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. *Ann. Probab.* **33**, no. 1, (2005), 177-193.
- [13] Schreiber, M.: Fermeture en probabilité de certains sous-espaces d'un espace  $L^2$ . *Zeitschrift Warsch. verw. Gebiete* **14**, (1969), 36-48.
- [14] Sevastyanov, B.A.: A class of limit distributions for quadratic forms of normal stochastic variables. *Theor. Probab. Appl.* **6**, (1961), 337-340.