

# On weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence in  $H^1 \_L(R^d)$ Luong Dang Ky

## **To cite this version:**

Luong Dang Ky. On weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence in  $H^1 \_L(R^d)$ . Potential Analysis, 2013, 14 p. hal-00696432

# **HAL Id: hal-00696432 <https://hal.science/hal-00696432v1>**

Submitted on 11 May 2012

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## ON WEAK\*-CONVERGENCE IN  $H^1_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$

#### LUONG DANG KY

ABSTRACT. Let  $L = -\Delta + V$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , where V is a nonnegative function,  $V \neq 0$ , and belongs to the reverse Hölder class  $RH_{d/2}$ . In this paper, we prove a version of the classical theorem of Jones and Journé on weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence in the Hardy space  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

A famous and classical result of Fefferman [7] states that the John-Nirenberg space  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the dual of the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . It is also well-known that  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is one of the few examples of separable, nonreflexive Banach space which is a dual space. In fact, let  $VMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$  denote the closure of the space  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the set of  $C^{\infty}$ -functions with compact support, Coifman and Weiss showed in [1] that  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the dual space of  $VMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which gives to  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  a richer structure than  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For example, the classical Riesz transforms  $\nabla(-\Delta)^{-1/2}$  are not bounded on  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , but are bounded on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In addition, the weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence is true in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which is useful in the application of Hardy spaces to compensated compactness (see  $[2]$ ). More precisely, in  $[9]$ , Jones and Journé proved the following.

**Theorem J-J.** Suppose that  $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and that  $f_j(x) \to f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then,  $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ weak<sup>\*</sup>-converges to f, that is, for every  $\varphi \in VMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x)\varphi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\varphi(x)dx.
$$

The aim of this paper is to prove an analogous version of the above theorem in the setting of function spaces associated with Schrödinger operators.

Let  $L = -\Delta + V$  be a Schrödinger differential operator on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , where V is a nonnegative potential,  $V \neq 0$ , and belongs to the reverse Hölder class  $RH_{d/2}$ . In the recent years, there is an increasing interest on the study of the problems of harmonic analysis associated with these operators, see for example  $[4, 5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 14]$ . In  $[6]$ , Dziubański and Zienkiewicz considered the Hardy space  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as the set of functions  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B35, 46E15.

Key words and phrases. weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence, Schrödinger operator, Hardy space, VMO.

 $||f||_{H^1_L} := ||\mathcal{M}_L f||_{L^1} < \infty$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_L f(x) := \sup_{t>0} |e^{-tL}f(x)|$ . There, they characterized  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in terms of atomic decomposition and in terms of the Riesz transforms associated with  $L$ . Later, in [5], Dziubański et al. introduced a BMO-type space  $BMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  associated with L, and established the duality between  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\widehat{BMO}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Recently, Deng et al. [4] introduced and developed new VMO-type function spaces  $VMO_A(\mathbb{R}^d)$  associated with some operators A which have a bounded holomorphic functional calculus on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . When  $A \equiv L$ , their space  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is just the set of all functions f in  $\hat{B}MO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\gamma_1(f) = \gamma_2(f) = \gamma_3(f) = 0$ , where

$$
\gamma_1(f) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \le r} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right),
$$
  

$$
\gamma_2(f) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge R} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right),
$$
  

$$
\gamma_3(f) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{B(x, t) \cap B(0, R) = \emptyset} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right).
$$

The authors in [4] further showed that  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is in fact the dual of  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which allows us to study the weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence in  $H<sub>L</sub><sup>1</sup>(\mathbb{R}<sup>d</sup>)$ . This is useful in the study of the Hardy estimates for commutators of singular integral operators related to  $L$ , see for example Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.3 of [10].

Our main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose that  $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence in  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and that  $f_j(x) \to f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then,  $f \in H^1_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ weak<sup>\*</sup>-converges to f, that is, for every  $\varphi \in VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x)\varphi(x)dx = \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\varphi(x)dx.
$$

Throughout the whole paper, C denotes a positive geometric constant which is independent of the main parameters, but may change from line to line. In  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote by  $B = B(x,r)$  an open ball with center x and radius  $r > 0$ . For any measurable set E, we denote by  $|E|$  its Lebesgue measure.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some notations and preliminary results. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In the last section, we prove that  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in the space  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Aline Bonami and Sandrine Grellier for many helpful suggestions and discussions.

#### 2. Some preliminaries and notations

In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger differential operator

$$
L=-\Delta+V
$$

on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ , where V is a nonnegative potential,  $V \neq 0$ . As in the works of Dziubański et al  $[5, 6]$ , we always assume that V belongs to the reverse Hölder class  $RH_{d/2}$ . Recall that a nonnegative locally integrable function V is said to belong to a reverse Hölder class  $RH_q$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ , if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int\limits_B (V(x))^q dx\right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|}\int\limits_B V(x)dx.
$$

Let  ${T_t}_{t>0}$  be the semigroup generated by L and  $T_t(x, y)$  be their kernels. Namely,

$$
T_t f(x) = e^{-tL} f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T_t(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad t > 0.
$$

Since  $V$  is nonnegative, the Feynman-Kac formula implies that

(2.1) 
$$
0 \leq T_t(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}.
$$

According to [6], the space  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined as the completion of

$$
\{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) : \mathcal{M}_L f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)\}
$$

in the norm

$$
||f||_{H^1_L} := ||\mathcal{M}_L f||_{L^1},
$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_L f(x) := \sup_{t>0} |T_t f(x)|$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

In [5] it was shown that the dual space of  $H<sub>L</sub><sup>1</sup>(\mathbb{R}<sup>d</sup>)$  can be identified with the space  $BMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which consists of all functions  $f \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with

$$
(2.2) \t||f||_{BMO_L} := ||f||_{BMO} + \sup_{\rho(x)\le r} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy < \infty,
$$

where  $\rho$  is the auxiliary function defined as in [13], that is,

(2.3) 
$$
\rho(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} V(y) dy \le 1 \right\},\,
$$

 $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Clearly,  $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and thus  $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_n$ , where the sets  $\mathcal{B}_n$  are defined by

(2.4) 
$$
\mathcal{B}_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^{-(n+1)/2} < \rho(x) \leq 2^{-n/2}\}.
$$

The following fundamental property of the function  $\rho$  is due to Shen [13].

**Proposition 2.1** (see [13], Lemma 1.4). There exist  $C_0 > 1$  and  $k_0 \ge 1$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
C_0^{-1}\rho(x)\Big(1+\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\Big)^{-k_0}\leq \rho(y)\leq C_0\rho(x)\Big(1+\frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\Big)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}}.
$$

Let  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the subspace of  $BMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consisting of those functions f satisfying  $\gamma_1(f) = \gamma_2(f) = \gamma_3(f) = 0$ , where

$$
\gamma_1(f) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \le r} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right),
$$
  

$$
\gamma_2(f) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge R} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right),
$$
  

$$
\gamma_3(f) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{B(x, t) \cap B(0, R) = \emptyset} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right).
$$

In [4] it was shown that  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the dual space of  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

In the sequel, we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_L$  the L-constant

$$
\mathcal{C}_L = 8.9^{k_0}C_0
$$

where  $k_0$  and  $C_0$  are defined as in Proposition 2.1.

Following Dziubański and Zienkiewicz [6], we define atoms as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Given  $1 < q \leq \infty$ . A function a is called a  $(H<sup>1</sup><sub>L</sub>, q)$ -atom related to the ball  $B(x_0, r)$  if  $r \leq C_L \rho(x_0)$  and

i) supp  $a \subset B(x_0, r)$ ,  $ii)$   $||a||_{L^q} \leq |B(x_0, r)|^{1/q-1},$ iii) if  $r \leq \frac{1}{C}$  $\frac{1}{c_L} \rho(x_0)$  then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(x) dx = 0$ .

Then, we have the following atomic characterization of  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Theorem A** (see [6], Theorem 1.5). Let  $1 < q \leq \infty$ . A function f is in  $H^1_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if it can be written as  $f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$ , where  $a_j$  are  $(H^1_L, q)$ atoms and  $\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$ . Moreover, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$
||f||_{H_L^1} \le \inf \left\{ \sum_j |\lambda_j| : f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j \right\} \le C ||f||_{H_L^1}.
$$

Let  $P(x) = (4\pi)^{-d/2}e^{-|x|^2/4}$  be the Gauss function. According to [6], the space  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denotes the space of all integrable functions f such that

$$
\mathcal{M}_n f(x) = \sup_{0 < t < 2^{-n/2}} |P_t * f(x)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d),
$$

where  $P_t(\cdot) := t^{-d} P(t^{-1} \cdot)$ . The norm on  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is then defined by

$$
||f||_{h_n^1} := ||\mathcal{M}_n f||_{L^1}.
$$

It was shown in [8] that the dual space of  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  can be identified with  $bmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the space of all locally integrable functions f such that

$$
||f||_{bmo_n} = ||f||_{BMO} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, 2^{-n/2} \le r} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy < \infty.
$$

Here and in what follows, for a ball  $B$  and a locally integrable function  $f$ , we denote by  $f_B$  the average of f on B. Following Dafni [3], we define  $vmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as the subspace of  $bmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  consisting of those f such that

$$
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, r < \sigma} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_{B(x,r)}| dy \right) = 0
$$

and

$$
\lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{B(x,r) \cap B(0,R) = \emptyset, r \ge 2^{-n/2}} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy \right) = 0.
$$

Recall that  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the space of all  $C^{\infty}$ -functions with compact support. Then, the following was established by Dafni [3].

### **Theorem B** (see [3], Theorem 6 and Theorem 9). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,

- i) The space  $vmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the closure of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $bmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- ii) The dual of  $vmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the space  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Furthermore, the weak<sup>\*</sup>-convergence is true in  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**Theorem C** (see [3], Theorem 11). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence in  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and that  $f_j(x) \to f(x)$  for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then,  $f \in h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$  weak<sup>\*</sup>-converges to f, that is, for every  $\varphi \in$  $vmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x)\varphi(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\varphi(x)dx.
$$

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We begin by recalling the following two lemmas due to [6]. These two lemmas together with Proposition 2.1 play an important role in our study.

**Lemma 3.1** (see [6], Lemma 2.3). There exists a constant  $C > 0$  and a collection of balls  $B_{n,k} = B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2}), n \in \mathbb{Z}, k = 1, 2, ...,$  such that  $x_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \bigcup_k B_{n,k}$ , and

$$
card\{(n',k'): B(x_{n,k}, R2^{-n/2}) \cap B(x_{n',k'}, R2^{-n/2}) \neq \emptyset\} \leq R^C
$$

for all  $n, k$  and  $R > 2$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (see [6], Lemma 2.5). There are nonnegative  $C^{\infty}$ -functions  $\psi_{n,k}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , supported in the balls  $B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})$  such that

$$
\sum_{n,k} \psi_{n,k} = 1 \quad and \quad ||\nabla \psi_{n,k}||_{L^{\infty}} \le C2^{n/2}.
$$

The following corollary is useful, its proof follows directly from Lemma 3.1. We omit the details here (see also Corollary 1 of [5]).

**Corollary 3.1.** i) Let  $K$  be a compact set. Then, there exists a finite set  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\mathbb{K} \cap B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2}) = \emptyset$  whenever  $(n, k) \notin \Gamma$ .

ii) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
card \{(n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2}) \cap B(x, 2\rho(x)) \neq \emptyset\} \leq C.
$$

iii) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every ball  $B(x, r)$  with  $\rho(x) \leq r$ , we have

$$
|B(x,r)| \leq \sum_{B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2}) \cap B(x,r) \neq \emptyset} |B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})| \leq C|B(x,r)|.
$$

The key point in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following result that we will prove in the last section.

**Theorem 3.1.** The space  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in the space  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need also the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3** (see [10], Lemma 6.5). Let  $1 < q \le \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . Suppose that  $f \in h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with supp  $f \subset B(x, 2^{1-n/2})$ . Then, there are  $(H_L^1, q)$ atoms  $a_j$  related to the balls  $B(x_j, r_j)$  such that  $B(x_j, r_j) \subset B(x, 2^{2-n/2})$  and

$$
f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \le C ||f||_{h_n^1}
$$

with a positive constant  $C$  independent of n and f.

**Lemma 3.4** (see (4.7) in [6]). For every  $f \in H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$
\sum_{n,k} \|\psi_{n,k}f\|_{h_n^1} \leq C \|f\|_{H_L^1}.
$$

Now, we are ready to give the proof of the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By assumption, there exists  $\mathcal{M} > 0$  such that

 $||f_j||_{H^1_L} \leq \mathscr{M}$ , for all  $j \geq 1$ .

Let  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then, for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\psi_{n,k}(x) f_j(x) \to$  $\psi_{n,k}(x) f(x)$  since  $f_j(x) \to f(x)$ . By Theorem C, this yields that  $\psi_{n,k} f$  belongs to  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\{\psi_{n,k}f_j\}_{j\geq 1}$  weak<sup>\*</sup>-converges to  $\psi_{n,k}f$  in  $h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , that is,

(3.1) 
$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{n,k}(x) f_j(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{n,k}(x) f(x) \phi(x) dx,
$$

for all  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Furthermore,

(3.2) 
$$
\|\psi_{n,k}f\|_{h_n^1} \leq \lim_{j\to\infty} \|\psi_{n,k}f_j\|_{h_n^1}.
$$

As  $x_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and supp  $\psi_{n,k} f \subset B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})$ , by Lemma 3.3, there are  $(H_L^1, 2)$ -atoms  $a_j^{n,k}$  $_{j}^{n,k}$  related to the balls  $B(x_{j}^{n,k})$  $j^{n,k},r_j^{n,k}$  $j^{(n,k)}(B(x_{n,k}, 2^{2-n/2})$  such that

$$
\psi_{n,k}f = \sum_j \lambda_j^{n,k} a_j^{n,k}, \quad \sum_j |\lambda_j^{n,k}| \le C ||\psi_{n,k}f||_{h_n^1}.
$$

Let  $N, K \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be arbitrary. Then, the above together with (3.2) and Lemma 3.4 imply that there exists  $m_{N,K} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$
\sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j} |\lambda_{j}^{n,k}| \leq \sum_{n=-N}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} C \Big( \frac{\mathcal{M}}{(1+n^{2})(1+k^{2})} + \|\psi_{n,k}f_{m_{N,K}}\|_{h_{n}^{1}} \Big) \leq C \sum_{n,k} \frac{\mathcal{M}}{(1+n^{2})(1+k^{2})} + C \|f_{m_{N,K}}\|_{H_{L}^{1}} \leq C \mathcal{M},
$$

where the constants C are independent of  $N, K$ . By Theorem A, this allows to conclude that

$$
f = \sum_{n,k} \psi_{n,k} f \in H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{and} \quad ||f||_{H_L^1} \le \sum_{n,k} \sum_j |\lambda_j^{n,k}| \le C \mathcal{M}.
$$

Finally, we need to show that for every  $\phi \in VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

(3.3) 
$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) \phi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \phi(x) dx.
$$

By Theorem 3.1, we only need to prove (3.3) for  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In fact, by (*i*) of Corollary 3.1, there exists a finite set  $\Gamma_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$
f\phi = \sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma_{\phi}} \psi_{n,k} f\phi
$$
 and  $f_j\phi = \sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma_{\phi}} \psi_{n,k} f_j\phi$ 

since supp  $\psi_{n,k} \subset B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})$ . This together with (3.1) give

$$
\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_j(x) \phi(x) dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{(n,k) \in \Gamma_{\phi}} \psi_{n,k}(x) f_j(x) \phi(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{(n,k) \in \Gamma_{\phi}} \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{n,k}(x) f_j(x) \phi(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{(n,k) \in \Gamma_{\phi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{n,k}(x) f(x) \phi(x) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \phi(x) dx,
$$

which ends the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

The main point in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is the theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $CMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the closure of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $BMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then,  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the dual space of  $CMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

To prove Theorem 4.1, we need the following three lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$
2^{-n/2} \leq Cr
$$

whenever  $B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2}) \cap B(x,r) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\rho(x) \leq r$ .

The proof of Lemma 4.1 follows directly from Proposition 2.1. We leave the details to the reader.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $\psi_{n,k}, (n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ , be as in Lemma 3.2. Then, there exists a constant C independent of n, k,  $\psi_{n,k}$ , such that

$$
(4.1) \t\t\t\t\t \|\psi_{n,k}f\|_{bmo_n} \le C \|f\|_{bmo_n}
$$

for all  $f \in bmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and

(4.2) kψn,kφkBMO<sup>L</sup> ≤ Ckφkbmo<sup>n</sup>

for all 
$$
\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)
$$
.

**Lemma 4.3.** For every  $f \in BMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$
||f||_{BMO_L} \approx \sup_{r \le \rho(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y) - f_{B(x,r)}| dy + \sup_{\rho(x) \le r \le 2\rho(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy.
$$

 $\Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Noting that  $\psi_{n,k}$  is a multiplier of  $bmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $||\psi_{n,k}||_{L^{\infty}} \leq$ 1, Theorem 2 of [12] allows us to reduce (4.1) to showing that

(4.3) 
$$
\frac{\log(e + \frac{2^{-n/2}}{r})}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} \left| \psi_{n,k}(y) - \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} \psi_{n,k}(z) dz \right| dy \leq C
$$

holds for every ball  $B(x,r)$  which satisfies  $r \leq 2^{-n/2}$ . In fact, from  $\|\nabla \psi_{n,k}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq$  $C2^{n/2}$  and the estimate  $\frac{r}{2^{-n/2}} \log \left(e + \frac{2^{-n/2}}{r}\right)$  $\left(\frac{n/2}{r}\right) \le \sup_{0 < t \le 1} t \log(e + 1/t) < \infty,$ 

$$
\frac{\log(e + \frac{2^{-n/2}}{r})}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\psi_{n,k}(y) - \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} \psi_{n,k}(z) dz| dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\log(e + \frac{2^{-n/2}}{r})}{|B(x,r)|^2} \int_{B(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} |\psi_{n,k}(y) - \psi_{n,k}(z)| dz dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq \log(e + \frac{2^{-n/2}}{r}) \|\nabla \psi_{n,k}\|_{L^{\infty}} 2r
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \frac{r}{2^{-n/2}} \log(e + \frac{2^{-n/2}}{r}) \leq C,
$$

which proves  $(4.3)$ , and thus  $(4.1)$  holds.

As (4.1) holds, we get

$$
\|\psi_{n,k}\phi\|_{BMO} \le \|\psi_{n,k}\phi\|_{bmo_n} \le C \|\phi\|_{bmo_n}.
$$

Therefore, to prove (4.2), we only need to show that

(4.4) 
$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\psi_{n,k}(y)\phi(y)| dy \le C ||\phi||_{bmo_n}
$$

holds for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r \ge \rho(x)$ . Since supp  $\psi_{n,k} \subset B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})$ , (4.4) is obvious if  $B(x,r) \cap B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2}) = \emptyset$ . Otherwise, as  $\rho(x) \leq r$ , Lemma 4.1 gives  $2^{-n/2} \leq Cr$ . As a consequence, we get

$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\psi_{n,k}(y)\phi(y)| dy \leq C \sup_{2^{-n/2} \leq r} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\phi(y)| dy
$$
  

$$
\leq C ||\phi||_{bmo_n},
$$

which proves  $(4.4)$ , and hence  $(4.2)$  holds.

 $\Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that

(4.5) 
$$
\sup_{\rho(x)\le r} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy \le C \sup_{\rho(x)\le r\le 2\rho(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy.
$$

In fact, for every ball  $B(x, r)$  which satisfies  $\rho(x) \leq r$ , setting

$$
G = \{(n,k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+ : B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2}) \cap B(x,r) \neq \emptyset\},\
$$

one has

$$
B(x,r) \subset \bigcup_{(n,k)\in G} B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})
$$
 and  $\sum_{(n,k)\in G} |B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})| \le C|B(x,r)|$ 

since  $\mathbb{R}^d = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_n \subset \bigcup_{n,k} B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})$  and *(iii)* of Corollary 3.1. Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy \le \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{\bigcup_{(n,k)\in G} B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})} |f(y)| dy
$$
\n
$$
\le \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \sum_{(n,k)\in G} |B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})| \sup_{\rho(z)\le s \le 2\rho(z)} \frac{1}{|B(z,s)|} \int_{B(z,s)} |f(y)| dy
$$
\n
$$
\le C \sup_{\rho(z)\le s \le 2\rho(z)} \frac{1}{|B(z,s)|} \int_{B(z,s)} |f(y)| dy,
$$

which implies that  $(4.5)$  holds.

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Since  $CMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a subspace of  $BMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which is the dual of  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , every function f in  $H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  determines a bounded linear functional on  $\widetilde{CMO}_{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$  of norm bounded by  $||f||_{H_{L}^{1}}$ .

Conversely, given a bounded linear functional  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $CMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, for every  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ , from (4.2) and density of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $vmo_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the linear functional  $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(g) \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\psi_{n,k}g)$  is continuous on  $\overline{vmo}_n(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Consequently, by Theorem B, there exists  $f_{n,k} \in h_n^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that for every  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

(4.6) 
$$
\mathcal{T}(\psi_{n,k}\phi)=\mathcal{T}_{n,k}(\phi)=\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d}f_{n,k}(y)\phi(y)dy,
$$

moreover,

(4.7) 
$$
||f_{n,k}||_{h_n^1} \leq C ||\mathcal{T}_{n,k}||,
$$

where C is a positive constant independent of  $n, k, \psi_{n,k}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Noting that supp  $\psi_{n,k} \subset B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2}),$  (4.6) implies that supp  $f_{n,k} \subset$  $B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})$ . Consequently, as  $x_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , Lemma 3.3 yields that there are

 $\Box$ 

 $(H_L^1, 2)$ -atoms  $a_j^{n,k}$  $j^{n,k}$  related to the balls  $B(x_j^{n,k})$  $j^{n,k},r_j^{n,k}$  $j^{n,\kappa}$ ) such that

(4.8) 
$$
f_{n,k} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{n,k} a_j^{n,k}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j^{n,k}| \le C \|f_{n,k}\|_{h_n^1}
$$

with a positive constant C independent of  $\psi_{n,k}$  and  $f_{n,k}$ .

Since supp  $f_{n,k} \subset B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})$ , by Lemma 3.1, the function

$$
x \mapsto f(x) = \sum_{n,k} f_{n,k}(x)
$$

is well defined, and belongs to  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Moreover, for every  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , by (*i*) of Corollary 3.1, there exists a finite set  $\Gamma_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+}$  such that

$$
\mathcal{T}(\phi) = \sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma_{\phi}} \mathcal{T}(\psi_{n,k}\phi) = \sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma_{\phi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_{n,k}(y)\phi(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y)\phi(y)dy.
$$

Next, we need to show that  $f \in H^1_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

We first claim that there exists  $C > 0$  such that

(4.9) 
$$
\sum_{n,k} ||f_{n,k}||_{h_n^1} \leq C ||\mathcal{T}||.
$$

Assume that (4.9) holds for a moment. Then, from (4.8), there are  $(H<sub>L</sub><sup>1</sup>, 2)$ atoms  $a_i^{n,k}$  $j^{n,k}$  and complex numbers  $\lambda_j^{n,k}$  $j^{n,\kappa}$  such that

$$
f = \sum_{n,k} \sum_j \lambda_j^{n,k} a_j^{n,k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n,k} \sum_j |\lambda_j^{n,k}| \le C \sum_{n,k} ||f_{n,k}||_{h_n^1} \le C ||\mathcal{T}||.
$$

By Theorem A, this proves that  $f \in H_L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , moreover,  $||f||_{H_L^1} \leq C||\mathcal{T}||$ . Now, we return to prove (4.9).

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\mathcal T$  is a real-valued functional. By (4.7), for each  $(n, k) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists  $\phi_{n,k} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

(4.10) 
$$
\|\phi_{n,k}\|_{vmo_n} \le 1 \text{ and } \|f_{n,k}\|_{h_n^1} \le C\mathcal{T}(\psi_{n,k}\phi_{n,k}).
$$

For any  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  a finite set, let  $\phi = \sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} \psi_{n,k} \phi_{n,k} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We prove that  $\|\phi\|_{BMO_L} \leq C$ . Indeed, let  $B(x, r)$  be an arbitrary ball satisfying  $r \leq 2\rho(x)$ . Then, by (*ii*) of Corollary 3.1, we get

$$
card\{(n,k)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}^+: B(x_{n,k}, 2^{1-n/2})\cap B(x,r)\neq\emptyset\}\leq C.
$$

This together with (4.1) and (4.10) give

$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\phi(y) - \phi_{B(x,r)}| dy \leq C \sup_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} ||\psi_{n,k}\phi_{n,k}||_{BMO}
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} ||\psi_{n,k}\phi_{n,k}||_{bmo_n}
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \sup_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} ||\phi_{n,k}||_{bmo_n} \leq C
$$

if  $r \leq \rho(x)$ , and as  $(4.4)$ ,

$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |\phi(y)| dy \leq C \sup\limits_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |\psi_{n,k}(y)\phi_{n,k}(y)| dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq C \sup\limits_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} ||\phi_{n,k}||_{bmo_n} \leq C
$$

if  $\rho(x) \le r \le 2\rho(x)$ . Therefore, Lemma 4.3 yields

$$
\|\phi\|_{BMO_L} \leq C \Big\{ \sup_{r \leq \rho(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\phi(y) - \phi_{B(x,r)}| dy + \sup_{\rho(x) \leq r \leq 2\rho(x)} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |\phi(y)| dy \Big\}\leq C
$$

since  $B(x, r)$  is an arbitrary ball satisfying  $r \leq 2\rho(x)$ . This implies that

$$
\sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} ||f_{n,k}||_{h_n^1} \leq C \sum_{(n,k)\in\Gamma} \mathcal{T}(\psi_{n,k}\phi_{n,k}) = C\mathcal{T}(\phi)
$$
  

$$
\leq C ||\mathcal{T}|| ||\phi||_{BMO_L} \leq C ||\mathcal{T}||.
$$

Consequently, (4.9) holds since  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  is an arbitrary finite set and the constants  $C$  are dependent of  $\Gamma$ . This ends the proof of Theorem 4.1.

 $\Box$ 

To prove Theorem 3.1, we need to recall the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4** (see [6], Lemma 3.0). There is a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every C' there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $t > 0$  and  $|x - y| \le C' \rho(x)$ ,

$$
\left| \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} - T_t(x,y) \right| \leq C \frac{1}{|x-y|^d} \left( \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\varepsilon}.
$$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** As  $H^1_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the dual space of  $VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see Theorem 4.1 of [4]), by Theorem 4.1 and the Hahn-Banach theorem, it suffices to show that  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset VMO_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In fact, for every  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with supp  $f \subset B(0, R_0)$ , one only needs to establish the following three steps:

**Step 1.** By (2.1), one has  $||e^{-tL}f||_{L^2} \le ||f||_{L^2}$  for all  $t > 0$ . Therefore,

$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \le \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} 4||f||_{L^2}^2
$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > 0$ . This implies that

$$
\gamma_2(f) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \ge R} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right) = 0.
$$

Step 2. For every  $R > 2R_0$  and  $B(x,t) \cap B(0,R) = \emptyset$ , by (2.1) again,

$$
\frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} \left( \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_{B(0,R_0)} e^{-\frac{(R-R_0)^2}{4t}} |f(z)| dz \right)^2 dy
$$
\n
$$
\leq (4\pi)^{-d} ||f||_{L^1}^2 \frac{1}{t^d} e^{-\frac{R^2}{8t}} \leq (4\pi)^{-d} ||f||_{L^1}^2 \left(\frac{8d}{R^2}\right)^d e^{-d}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\gamma_3(f) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \left( \sup_{B(x,t) \cap B(0,R) = \emptyset} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right) = 0.
$$

Step 3. Finally, we need to show that

$$
(4.11) \quad \gamma_1(f) = \lim_{r \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \le r} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} |f(y) - e^{-tL} f(y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right) = 0.
$$

For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$
\left\{ \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int\limits_{B(x,t)} \left| f(y) - \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|y-z|^2}{4t}} f(z) dz \right|^2 dy \right\}^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq \sup_{|y-z| < t^{1/4}} |f(y) - f(z)| + 2\|f\|_{L^\infty} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int\limits_{|z| \ge t^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4t}} dz.
$$

By the uniformly continuity of  $f$ , the above implies that

$$
\lim_{r \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \le r} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} \left| f(y) - \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{|y - z|^2}{4t}} f(z) dz \right|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right) = 0.
$$

Therefore, we can reduce (4.11) to showing that (4.12)

 $\overline{ }$ 

$$
\lim_{r \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \le r} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x, t)|} \int_{B(x, t)} \left[ \int_{B(x, t)} \left| \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|y - z|^2}{4t}} - T_t(y, z) \right| |f(z)| dz \right]^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right) = 0.
$$

From supp  $f \text{ }\subset B(0, R_0)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^d \equiv \cup_{n,k} B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2}),$  there exists a finite set  $\Gamma_f \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that supp  $f \subset \cup_{(n,k)\in \Gamma_f} B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})$ . As a consequence, (4.12) holds if we can prove that for each  $(n, k) \in \Gamma_f$ , (4.13)

$$
\lim_{r \to 0} \left( \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \le r} \left( \frac{1}{|B(x,t)|} \int_{B(x,t)} \left[ \int_{B(x,t)} \int_{B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})} \left| \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{|y-z|^2}{4t}} - T_t(y,z) \right| |f(z)| dz \right|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right) = 0.
$$

We now prove (4.13). Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $0 < t < 2^{-2n}$ . As  $x_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , by Proposition 2.1, there is a constant  $C > 1$  such that  $C^{-1}2^{-n/2} \le \rho(z) \le C2^{-n/2}$ for all  $z \in B(x_{n,k}, 2^{-n/2})$ . This together with  $(2.1)$  and Lemma 4.4, give

$$
\left\{\frac{1}{|B(x,t)|}\int_{B(x,t)}\left[\int_{B(x,t)}\left|\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}}e^{-\frac{|y-z|^2}{4t}}-T_t(y,z)\right||f(z)|dz\right]^2dy\right\}^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leq 2\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}}\int_{|z|\geq t^{1/4}}e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{4t}}dz+C2^{n\varepsilon/2}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}}\int_{|z|< t^{1/4}}\frac{1}{|z|^{d-\varepsilon}}dz,
$$

which implies that  $(4.13)$  holds. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is thus completed.  $\Box$ 

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), no. 4, 569–645.
- [2] R. Coifman, P.-L. Lions, Y. Meyer and S. Semmes, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 72 (1993), no. 3, 247–286.
- [3] G. Dafni, Local VMO and weak convergence in  $h^1$ . Canad. Math. Bull. 45 (2002), no. 1, 46–59.
- [4] D. Deng, X. T. Duong, L. Song, C. Tan and L. Yan, Functions of vanishing mean osillation associated with operators and applications. Michigan Math. J. 56 (2008), 529–550.
- [5] J. Dziubański, G. Garrigós, T. Martínez, J. Torrea and J. Zienkiewicz,  $BMO$ spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality. Math. Z. 249 (2005), 329–356.
- [6] J. Dziubański and J. Zienkiewicz, Hardy space  $H^1$  associated to Schrödinger operator with potential satisfying reverse Hölder inequality. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana. 15 (1999), 279–296.
- [7] C. Fefferman, Characterizations of bounded mean oscillation. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), no. 4, 587–588.
- [8] D. Goldberg, A local version of Hardy spaces, Duke J. Math. 46 (1979), 27-42.
- [9] P. W. Jones and J-L. Journé, On weak convergence in  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), no. 1, 137–138.
- [10] L. D. Ky, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular integrals related to Schrödinger operators, arXiv:1203.6335.
- [11] C-C. Lin and H. Liu,  $BMO_L(\mathbb{H}^n)$  spaces and Carleson measures for Schrödinger operators. Adv. Math. 228 (2011), no. 3, 1631–1688.
- [12] E. Nakai and K. Yabuta, Pointwise multipliers for functions of bounded mean oscillation. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 37 (1985), no. 2, 207–218.
- [13] Z. Shen,  $L^p$  estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials, Ann. Inst. Fourier. 45 (1995), no. 2, 513–546.
- [14] D. Yang and Y. Zhou, Localized Hardy spaces  $H^1$  related to admissible functions on RD-spaces and applications to Schrödinger operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 3, 1197–1239.

MAPMO-UMR 6628, Département de Mathématiques, Université d'Orleans, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, France Email: dangky@math.cnrs.fr