

## The impact of subsurface sources and sinks on the evolution of early atmospheric CO2, CH4, and D/H.

E. Chassefière, François Leblanc

## ▶ To cite this version:

E. Chassefière, François Leblanc. The impact of subsurface sources and sinks on the evolution of early atmospheric CO2, CH4, and D/H.. 3rd International Conference on Early Mars: Geologic and Hydrologic Evolution, Physical and Chemical Environments, and the Implications for Life, May 2012, Lake Tahoe, NV, United States. hal-00696245

## HAL Id: hal-00696245 https://hal.science/hal-00696245

Submitted on 12 Apr 2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. **THE IMPACT OF SUBSURFACE SOURCES AND SINKS ON THE EVOLUTION OF EARLY ATMOSPHERIC CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, AND D/H.** E. Chassefière<sup>1,2</sup> and F. Leblanc<sup>3</sup>, <sup>1</sup>Univ Paris-Sud, Laboratoire IDES, UMR8148, Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 504, Orsay, F-91405, France, e-mail address : eric.chassefiere@u-psud.fr , <sup>2</sup>CNRS, Orsay, F-91405, France, <sup>3</sup> Laboratoire ATmosphères Milieux Observations Spatiales/IPSL, CNRS-UVSQ, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Boite 102, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005, Paris.

Introduction: Like Venus and Earth, Mars has been endowed with large amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> during main accretion. Thermal escape of carbon is expected to have removed most of the primordial CO<sub>2</sub>, with a characteristic time to lose 1 bar of CO<sub>2</sub> in the range from 1 to 10 Myr : if most of Mars' CO2 was emplaced during the main accretion phase, the entire inventory could have been lost within 40 Myr [1]. Following this loss to space of CO<sub>2</sub>, a secondary atmosphere progressively formed through volcanic outgassing of CO<sub>2</sub> [2]. According to the morphological analysis, 0.3 bar of CO<sub>2</sub> would have been released during the last 4 Gyr [3]. Crust formation modelling suggests that a total  $\approx 1$  bar of CO<sub>2</sub> have been outgassed, mostly during the Noachian [2]. The present  $CO_2$  pressure is 7 mbar, showing that most of the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> present at the late Noachian has been removed during subsequent periods, either by escape to space, or by trapping in the subsurface, or both.

Mars has been similarly endowed with large amounts of water during accretion, equivalent to the content of several Earth oceans, corresponding to a several 10 km thick Global Equivalent Layer -GEL-[4]. The present total inventory of water on Mars has been estimated to correspond to a 35 m thick GEL [5]. The mega-regolith capacity is large, with up to  $\sim 500$  m potentially trapped in the cryosphere, and hypothetically several additional hundreds of meters (up to ~500 m) of ground water surviving at depth below the cryosphere [6]. A ~500 m thick GEL is generally assumed to be required to explain the formation of outflow channels [7]. The fate of this water is unknown. It may have escaped to space, and/or be trapped in the subsurface under the form of hydrated minerals, water ice or, at large depth, liquid water.

The fate of  $CO_2$  (several hundred mbar up to several bar) and  $H_2O$  (several 100 m thick GEL) present in the atmosphere and at the surface (or in the close subsurface) at the late Noachian is poorly constrained, and remains mysterious. Non-thermal escape of carbon and oxygen, driving the losses of, resp.,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$ , is not efficient enough to have removed hundred millibars of  $CO_2$  and a several hundred meters thick GEL of  $H_2O$  [8,9]. Trapping of these volatiles in the crust under the form, resp., of carbonates and hydrates could have been the major process at the origin of Mars desertification.

A long-term carbon cycle involving CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and carbonates: The recent discovery of methane in the Martian atmosphere at a typical 10 ppb level [10,11] suggests that CH<sub>4</sub>, rapidly oxidized to CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere (or the regolith), could have been an important contributor to the atmospheric carbon inventory, in addition to volcanic CO<sub>2</sub> [3]. If CH<sub>4</sub> is the result of serpentinization in crustal hydrothermal systems [12], the carbon in the released methane could originate from subsurface carbonates that were decomposed by hydrothermal fluids. Alternatively, CH<sub>4</sub> may originate in magmatic CO<sub>2</sub> converted to CH<sub>4</sub> through fluid-rock interaction in deep hydrothermal fluids [13]. Assuming that the hydrothermal activity has remained proportional to the extrusion rate of volcanic lava, estimated from existing geomorphological analysis of the Martian surface [3], an upper limit on the cumulated amount (from the late Noachian to the present time) of CO<sub>2</sub> resulting from CH<sub>4</sub> release in the range from 0.2-2 bar, of the same order as the volcanic CO<sub>2</sub>, has been proposed [8]. Because non-thermal escape cannot have removed more than  $\approx 10$  mbar of CO<sub>2</sub> since the late Noachian [8], it may be thought that atmospheric carbon has been significantly cycled to the crust at late Noachian, Hesperian and Early Amazonian through subsurface hydrological activity. In this way, the production of CO<sub>2</sub> through CH<sub>4</sub> release and further oxidation, and the removal of  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere, could have a common origin and be two facets of a currently, although progressively damping with time, active hydrological system.

Such a long term carbon cycle, with a progressive net removal of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere and subsequent carbonate deposition in the subsurface, would explain the present low value of the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> contained in the atmosphere (7 mbar) and polar reservoirs (4-5 mbar [14]), compared to the  $\approx 1$  bar CO<sub>2</sub> secondary atmosphere produced by volcanic outgassing. Because a C atom could have been cycled several times through the crust since its release from the mantle by volcanism, the cumulated  $CH_4$  released rate (up to 0.2-2 bar) must not be interpreted as the content of an isolated subsurface reservoir. It rather suggests that an efficient carbon cycle has been maintained by hydrothermal processes, with a substantial fraction of the volcanic outgassed carbon being cycled one or several times through crustal carbonates. Contrary to the steady state carbon cycle at work on Earth, a progressive damping of the carbon cycle has occurred on Mars due to the

absence of plate tectonics and the progressive cooling of the planet.

The scarcity of carbonates detected at the surface of Mars [15] doesn't contradict this view. At the end of the Noachian, the surface was probably the less favorable place where they could form because of acidic conditions [16]. Most of carbonate deposition may have occurred in the subsurface where conditions could have been more alkaline and neutral. An active subsurface liquid water hydrosphere, leading to substantial crust alteration, could have been present in the close subsurface at the time of the Noachian-Hesperian transition. If most of alteration processes at the origin of the dissipation of the atmosphere occurred in the subsurface, signatures found today at the surface don't necessarily constitute the most relevant record of climate evolution at this time.

**Removal of water by serpentinization and impact on the D/H ratio:** One of the most plausible mechanisms proposed so far to explain the presence of methane in Mars' atmosphere is serpentinization of ultramafic rocks from crustal carbon [12]. Such rocks, like pyroxenes and to a lesser extent olivines, have been detected in large amounts at the surface of Mars [16,17]. Serpentine has been recently observed in the Nili Fossae region [15] associated with alteration minerals.

On Mars, the generation of  $H_2$  by olivine, assuming a typical magnesium content of 75% (Fo<sub>75</sub>:  $(Mg_{0.75}Fe_{0.25})_2SiO_4$ ) during serpentinization can be expressed as [12]:

 $\begin{array}{ll} Mg_{1.5}Fe_{0.5}SiO_4+1.17H_2O{\rightarrow}0.5Mg_3Si_2O_5(OH)_4+0.17Fe_3O_4+0.17H_2\\ Olivine & Serpentine & Magnetite \end{array}$ 

For 1 water molecule lost through iron oxidation, 6 molecules are involved in the hydration of olivine. As a consequence, 1 H<sub>2</sub> molecule released to the atmosphere is the counterpart of at least 6 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules stored in the crust, this amount translating in 24 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules if the released molecule is CH<sub>4</sub> [9]. Assuming that, like in some Earth's hydrothermal systems, the molar fraction of methane in the vented gas is 10%, the rest consisting of H<sub>2</sub>, 78 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules are stored in serpentine for 1 released CH<sub>4</sub> molecule [9]. Typically  $\approx$ 100 H<sub>2</sub>O molecules are therefore expected to be stored for each released CH<sub>4</sub> molecule, suggesting that serpentinization could have resulted in the storage of large amounts of water in subsurface serpentine.

Once released,  $CH_4$  is converted to  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  through oxidation. The release of  $CH_4$  and  $H_2$  results in an increase of the atmospheric  $H_2$  buffer content, and therefore in an increase of the thermal escape flux of hydrogen, which is nearly proportional to the  $H_2$  mixing ratio. In this way, the hydrogen released by the

oxidation of the deep crust is lost to space, as imposed by the regulation of the redox state of the atmosphere by the balance between the O and H loss fluxes [18]. Crustal oxidation through serpentinization therefore results in the escape of the H atoms released by oxidation (under the form of  $H_2$  and  $CH_4$ , and possibly other hydrocarbons), with subsequent isotopic fractionation of H.

It is possible to calculate, through a simple model, the hydrogen isotopic fractionation induced by serpentinization occurring in the crust, and to estimate an upper limit of the cumulated serpentinization rate by using the present value of the D/H ratio ( $\approx 5$  SMOW) as a maximum value [9]. Assuming that most of the present hydrogen fractionation is due to serpentinization, it is found that a 330 m thick GEL of water may have been trapped in serpentine, whereas a 55 m thick GEL has been involved in Fe(II) oxidation during serpentinization, with the remaining hydrogen being further lost to space by thermal escape [9]. According to this scenario, the GEL of water present at the surface at the end of the heavy bombardment would have been  $\approx 425$ mthick, that is about of the right order to explain the formation of outflow channels [7]. This scenario, which doesn't require that large amounts of water have been lost to space (only a 10 m thick GEL according to this model), suggests that most of the water still present at the surface of the planet at the end of the heavy bombardment (330 m from 425 m, that is ~75%) could have been stored in serpentine at later stages.

**Acknowledgments :** This work has been supported by the interdisciplinary EPOV program of CNRS.

References: [1] Tian F. et al. (2009) Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L02205. [2] Grott M. et al. (2011) Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 308, 391-400. [3] Craddock R.A. and Greeley R. (2009) Icarus, 204, 512-526. [4] Raymond S. N. et al. (2006) Icarus, 183, 265-282. [5] Christensen P. (2006) Elements, 2, 151-155. [6] Clifford S.M. et al. (2010) J. Geophys. Res. 115, E07001. [7] Carr M.H. and Head J.W. (2003). J. Geophys. Res., 108. [8] Chassefière E. and Leblanc F. (2011) Planet. Space Sci. 59, 207-217. [9] Chassefière E. and Leblanc F. (2011) Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 310, 262-271. [10] Formisano V. et al. (2004) Science, 306, 1758-1761. [11] Mumma M. J. Et al. (2009) Science, 323, 1041-1045. [12] Oze C. and Sharma M. (2005) Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L10203. [13] Lyons J. et al. (2005) Geophys. Res Lett., 32, 13, L13201.1-L13201.4. [14] Phillips R. J., et al. (2010) AGU Fall Meeting 2010, abstract #P34A-01. [15] Ehlmann B. L. et al. (2008) Science, 322, Issue 5909, 1828-1832. [16] Bibring J.P. et al. (2006) Science, 312, 400-404. [17] Koeppen W. C. and Hamilton V.E. (2008) J. Geophys. Res., 113, E05001. [18] Liu S.C. and Donahue T.M. (1976) Icarus, 28, 231-246.