



HAL
open science

Clifford Fourier Transform and Spinor Representation of Images

Thomas Batard, Michel Berthier

► **To cite this version:**

Thomas Batard, Michel Berthier. Clifford Fourier Transform and Spinor Representation of Images. 2012. hal-00695850

HAL Id: hal-00695850

<https://hal.science/hal-00695850>

Preprint submitted on 10 May 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Clifford Fourier Transform and Spinor Representation of Images

Thomas Batard and Michel Berthier

Abstract. We propose in this paper to introduce a spinor representation for images based on the work of T. Friedrich. This spinor representation generalizes to arbitrary surfaces (immersed in \mathbb{R}^3) the usual Weierstrass representation of minimal surfaces (*i.e.* surfaces with constant mean curvature equal to zero). We investigate applications to image processing focusing on segmentation and Clifford Fourier analysis. All these applications involve sections of the spinor bundle of image graphs, that is spinor fields, satisfying the so-called Dirac equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 68U10, 53C27; Secondary 53A05, 43A32.

Keywords. Image Processing, Spin Geometry, Clifford Fourier Transform.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Spinor Representation of Images	4
2.1. Spinors and Graphs	4
2.2. Quaternionic Structure and Period Forms	6
2.3. Dirac Equation and Mean Curvature	7
3. Spinors and Segmentation	8
3.1. The Spinor Tensor	8
3.2. Experiments	9
4. Spinors and Clifford Fourier Transform	9
4.1. Clifford Fourier Transform with Spin Characters	9
4.2. Spinor Field Decomposition	12
4.3. Experiments	12
Conclusion.	13
Appendix A. Mathematical Background	13
A.1. Complex Representations of $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$	13

A.2. Spin Structures and Spinor Bundles	17
A.3. Spinor Connections and Dirac Operators	18
References	19

1. Introduction

The idea of this paper is to perform grey-level image processing using the geometric information given by the Gauss map variations of image graphs. If it is well known that one can parametrize the Gauss map of a minimal surface by a meromorphic function (see below), it is a much more recent result (see [6]) that such a parametrization can be extended to arbitrary surfaces of \mathbb{R}^3 when dealing with spin geometry.

Let us first recall that a minimal surface Σ immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 , that is a surface with constant mean curvature equal to zero, can be described with one holomorphic function φ and one meromorphic function ψ such that the product $\varphi\psi^2$ is holomorphic. This is the so-called Weierstrass representation of Σ (see [7] or [9] for details). The involved function ψ is nothing else but the composition of the Gauss map of Σ with the stereographic projection from the unit sphere to the complex plane.

The main result of T. Friedrich in [6] states that there is a one to one correspondance between spinor fields φ^* of constant length on a Riemannian surface (Σ, g) and satisfying

$$D\varphi^* = H\varphi^* \tag{1.1}$$

where D is a Dirac operator in one hand, and isometric immersions of Σ in \mathbb{R}^3 with mean curvature equal to H , on the other hand. The Weierstrass representation appears to be the particular case corresponding to $H \equiv 0$.

Let us describe now the method introduced in the following. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto (x, y, I(x, y)) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

be the immersion in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space of a grey-level image I defined on a domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 . The first step (see Sec. 2) consists in computing the spinor field φ^* that describes the image surface Σ . We follow here the paper of T. Friedrich ([6]): φ^* is obtained from the restriction to the surface Σ of a parallel spinor ϕ on \mathbb{R}^3 . The computation of φ^* necessitates to deal with irreducible representations of the complex Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and with the generalized Weierstrass representation of Σ based on period forms. In practice, φ^* is given by a field of elements of \mathbb{C}^2 .

As said before, the spinor field φ^* characterizes the geometry of the surface Σ immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 by the parametrization (1.2). In the same way that the normal of a minimal surface is parametrized by the meromorphic function ψ , the normal of the surface Σ is parametrized by the spinor field φ^* . This last one explains how the tangent plane to Σ varies in the ambient space.

There are many reasons to believe that such a generalized Weierstrass parametrization may reveal to be an efficient tool in the context of image processing.

1. The field φ^* of elements of \mathbb{C}^2 (see formula (2.26)) encodes the Riemannian structure of the surface Σ in a very tractable way (although the definition of φ^* may appear quite complicated).
2. The geometrical methods based on the study of the so-called structure tensor involve only the eigenvalues of this one, that means in some sense the values of the first fundamental form of the surface. The spinor field φ^* contains both intrinsic and extrinsic information. Studying the variations of φ^* allows to get not only information about the variations (derivative) of the first fundamental form, but also about the geometric embedding of the surface Σ and in particular about the mean curvature.
3. We are dealing here with first order instead of zero order geometric variations of Σ . As shown later, this appears to be more relevant by taking into account both edges and textures.
4. As it will be detailed in the sequel, the spinor field φ^* can be decomposed as a series of basic spinor fields using a suitable Clifford Fourier transform. This series corresponds to an harmonic decomposition of the surface Σ adapted to the Riemannian geometry. This is in fact the main novelty of this paper since usual Fourier analysis doesn't involve geometric data.
5. One can envisage to perform diffusion in this context. The usual Laplace Beltrami operator can be replaced by the squared Atiyah Singer Dirac operator (the Atiyah Singer Dirac operator acting as an elliptic operator of order one on spinor fields).

To illustrate some of these ideas, we investigate rapidly in Sec. 3 applications to segmentation and more precisely to edge and texture detection. As said before, the basic idea is to replace the order 1 usual structure tensor by an order 2 structure tensor called spinor tensor obtained from the derivative of the spinor field φ^* . This spinor tensor measures to the variations of the unit normal of the image surface. Experiments show that this approach is particularly well adapted to texture detection.

We define in Sec. 4 the Clifford Fourier transform of a spinor field. For this, we follow the approach of [3] that relies on a spin generalization of the usual notion of group character. We are led to compute the group morphisms from $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ to $Spin(3)$. Since this last group acts on the sections of the spinor bundle, a Clifford Fourier transform can be defined by averaging this action. One of the key ideas here is to split the spinor bundle of the surface according to the Clifford multiplication by the bivector coding the tangent plane to the surface. This has two advantages: the first one is to involve the geometry in the process, the second one is to reduce the computation of the Clifford Fourier transform to two usual complex Fourier transforms. It is important to notice that although the Fourier transform we propose is as usual a global transformation on the image, the way it is computed takes into account local geometric data. We finally introduce the harmonic

decomposition mentioned above and show results of filterings on standard images.

The reader will find in the appendix the mathematical definitions and results used throughout the text.

2. Spinor Representation of Images

This section is devoted to the explicit computation of the spinor field φ^* of a given surface immersed in the Euclidean space. It is obtained as the restriction of a constant spinor field of \mathbb{R}^3 the components of which are determined using period forms.

2.1. Spinors and Graphs

Let $I : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function defined on a domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 . We consider the surface Σ immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 by the parametrization:

$$\chi(x, y) = (x, y, I(x, y)) \quad (2.1)$$

Let also g be the metric on Σ induced by the Euclidean metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . The couple (Σ, g) is a Riemannian surface of global chart (Ω, χ) . We denote M the Riemannian manifold $(\mathbb{R}^3, \|\cdot\|_2)$ and (z_1, z_2, ν) an orthonormal frame field of M with (z_1, z_2) an orthonormal frame field on Σ and ν the global unit field normal to Σ . One can choose (z_1, z_2, ν) with the following matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{I_x}{\sqrt{(I_x^2 + I_y^2)(I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1)}} & \frac{-I_y}{\sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2}} & \frac{-I_x}{\sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1}} \\ \frac{I_y}{\sqrt{(I_x^2 + I_y^2)(I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1)}} & \frac{I_x}{\sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2}} & \frac{-I_y}{\sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1}} \\ \frac{I_x^2 + I_y^2}{\sqrt{(I_x^2 + I_y^2)(I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1)}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

Note that z_2 is not defined when $I_x = I_y = 0$. This has no consequence in the sequel since we deal only with the normal ν .

Following [6] the surface Σ can be represented by a spinor field φ^* with constant length satisfying the Dirac equation:

$$D\varphi^* = H\varphi^* \quad (2.3)$$

where H denotes the mean curvature of Σ . We recall here the basic idea (see Appendix A. for notations and definitions). Let ϕ be a parallel spinor field of M , i.e. satisfying

$$\nabla_X^M \phi = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all vector fields X on M . Let also φ be the restriction $\phi|_{\Sigma}$ of ϕ to Σ . The spinor field φ decomposes into

$$\varphi = \varphi^+ + \varphi^- \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$\varphi^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi + i\nu \cdot \varphi) \quad \varphi^- = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi - i\nu \cdot \varphi) \quad (2.6)$$

and satisfies

$$D\varphi = -H \cdot \nu \cdot \varphi \quad (2.7)$$

This last equation reads

$$D(\varphi^+ + \varphi^-) = -H \cdot \nu \cdot (\varphi^+ + \varphi^-) \quad (2.8)$$

and implies

$$D\varphi^+ = -iH\varphi^- \quad D\varphi^- = iH\varphi^+ \quad (2.9)$$

If we set $\varphi^* = \varphi^+ - i\varphi^-$ then $D\varphi^* = H\varphi^*$ and φ^* is of constant length.

Proposition 2.1. *The spinor fields φ^+ , φ^- and φ^* are given by*

$$\varphi^+ = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{I_y}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) u + \left(\frac{I_x - i}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) v \\ \left(1 + \frac{I_y}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) v + \left(\frac{I_x + i}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) u \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\varphi^- = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{I_y}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) u - \left(\frac{I_x - i}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) v \\ \left(1 - \frac{I_y}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) v - \left(\frac{I_x + i}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) u \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\varphi^* = \frac{1}{2}(1 - i) \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{iI_y}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) u + \left(\frac{1 + iI_x}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) v \\ \left(1 + \frac{iI_y}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) v + \left(\frac{iI_x - 1}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) u \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

where u and v are (constant) complex numbers.

Proof. Since ϕ is a parallel spinor field on M , $\phi = (u, v)$ where u and v are two (constant) complex numbers. Let ρ_2 be the irreducible complex representation of $\mathbb{C}l(3)$ described in Appendix A.1. Recall that

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} = \frac{1}{\Delta}(-I_x e_1 - I_y e_2 + e_3) \quad (2.13)$$

where $\Delta = \sqrt{I_x^2 + I_y^2 + 1}$, so that

$$\rho_2(\boldsymbol{\nu}) = -\frac{I_x}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{I_y}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

By definition:

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \varphi = \rho_2(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

Simple computations lead now to the result. \square

The next step consists in computing the components (u, v) of the constant field ϕ . This is done by considering a quaternionic structure on the spinor bundle $S(\Sigma)$ of the surface Σ and period forms.

2.2. Quaternionic Structure and Period Forms

Let I be the complex structure on $S(\Sigma)$ given by the multiplication by i . A quaternionic structure on $S(\Sigma)$ is a linear map J that satisfies $J^2 = -Id$ and $IJ = -JI$. In the sequel J is given by

$$J \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\overline{\varphi_2} \\ \overline{\varphi_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.16)$$

If we write $\varphi_1 = \alpha_1 + i\beta_1$ and $\varphi_2 = \alpha_2 + i\beta_2$, the corresponding quaternion is given by

$$\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 j = (\alpha_1 + i\beta_1) + (\alpha_2 + i\beta_2)j = \alpha_1 + i\beta_1 + \alpha_2 j + \beta_2 k \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$j(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 j) = -\overline{\varphi_2} + \overline{\varphi_1} j \quad (2.18)$$

i.e. J is the left multiplication by j . Since

$$S^+(\Sigma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_1 = \frac{I_x - i}{I_y + \Delta} \varphi_2 \right\} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$S^+(\Sigma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \varphi_1 = \frac{I_x - i}{I_y - \Delta} \varphi_2 \right\} \quad (2.20)$$

then $JS^+(\Sigma) \subset S^-(\Sigma)$ and $JS^-(\Sigma) \subset S^+(\Sigma)$. We denote also J the quaternionic structure (obtained in the same way) on $S(M)$.

Let us consider $\phi = (u, v)$ a constant spinor field on M and φ^* its restriction on Σ . Let also $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the functions defined by

$$f(m) = -\Im(m \cdot \phi, \phi) \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$g(m) = i(m \cdot \phi, J(\phi)) \quad (2.22)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the Hermitian product. Using the representation ρ_2 , one can check that

$$m \cdot \phi = \begin{pmatrix} -im_2u + (im_1 - m_3)v \\ (im_1 + m_3)u + im_2v \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.23)$$

for $m = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$. The equations $f(m) = m_1$ and $g(m) = m_2 + im_3$ are equivalent to:

$$|u|^2 = |v|^2 \quad u\bar{v} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$uv = -\frac{1}{2} \quad u^2 + v^2 = 1 \quad u^2 = v^2 \quad (2.25)$$

This implies $u = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $v = -u$.

Definition 2.2. The spinor representation of the image given by the parametrization (2.1) is defined by

$$\varphi^* = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(1 - i) \begin{pmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{1 + i(I_x + I_y)}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) \\ - \left(1 + \frac{1 + i(-I_x + I_y)}{\sqrt{1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2}} \right) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.26)$$

This means that $u = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $v = -1/\sqrt{2}$ in the expression (2.12).

The two 1-forms

$$\eta_f(X) = 2\Re(X \cdot (\varphi^*)^+, (\varphi^*)^-) = -\Im(X \cdot \varphi, \varphi) \quad (2.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_g(X) &= i(X \cdot (\varphi^*)^+, J((\varphi^*)^+)) + i(X \cdot (\varphi^*)^-, J((\varphi^*)^-)) \\ &= i(X \cdot \varphi, J(\varphi)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

are exact and verify $d(f|_\Sigma) = \eta_f$, $d(g|_\Sigma) = \eta_g$. The generalized Weierstrass parametrisation is actually given by the isometric immersion:

$$\int (\eta_f, \eta_g) : \Sigma \longrightarrow M \quad (2.29)$$

2.3. Dirac Equation and Mean Curvature

We only mention here some result that can be used when dealing with diffusion. We do not go into further details since we will not treat of this problem in the present paper. Let (Σ, g) be an oriented 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold and φ a spinor field without zeros solution of the Dirac equation $D\varphi = \lambda\varphi$. Then φ defines an isometric immersion

$$(\tilde{\Sigma}, |\varphi|^4 g) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (2.30)$$

with mean curvature $H = \lambda/|\varphi|^2$ (see [6]).

3. Spinors and Segmentation

The aim of this section is to introduce the spinor tensor corresponding to the variations of the unit normal and to show its capability to detect both edges and textures.

3.1. The Spinor Tensor

We propose here to deal with a second order version of the classical approach of edge detection based on the so-called structure tensor (see [5]). Instead of measuring edges from eigenvalues of the Riemannian metric, we focus here on the eigenvalues of the tensor obtained from the derivative of the spinor field φ^* . More precisely let

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

be a section of the spinor bundle $S(\Sigma)$ given in an orthonormal frame, i.e. $|\varphi|^2 = |\varphi_1|^2 + |\varphi_2|^2$ and let $X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a section of the tangent bundle $T(\Sigma)$. We consider the connexion ∇ on $S(\Sigma)$ given by the connexion 1-form $\omega = 0$. Thus

$$\nabla_X \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} + X_2 \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y} \\ X_1 \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} + X_2 \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_X \varphi|^2 &= X_1^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} \right|^2 + 2X_1 X_2 \Re \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} \overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y}} \right) + X_2^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y} \right|^2 \\ &+ X_1^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} \right|^2 + 2X_1 X_2 \Re \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} \overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y}} \right) + X_2^2 \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y} \right|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

If we denote

$$G_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} \right|^2 & \Re \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} \overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y}} + \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} \overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y}} \right) \\ \Re \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} \overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y}} + \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} \overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y}} \right) & \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y} \right|^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.4)$$

then

$$(X_1 \ X_2) G_\varphi (X_1 \ X_2)^T = |\nabla_X \varphi|^2 \quad (3.5)$$

G_φ is a field of real symmetric matrices.

As in the case of the usual structure tensor (*i.e.* Di Zenzo tensor, see [5]) the optima of $|\nabla_X \varphi|^2$ under the constraint $\|X\| = 1$ (for the Euclidean norm) are given by the field of eigenvalues of G_φ . Applying the above formula to the spinor φ^* of Definition 2.2 leads to

$$G_{\varphi^*} = \frac{1}{2(1 + I_x^2 + I_y^2)^2} \begin{pmatrix} G_{\varphi^*}^{11} & G_{\varphi^*}^{12} \\ G_{\varphi^*}^{21} & G_{\varphi^*}^{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\varphi^*}^{11} &= I_{xx}^2 + I_{xy}^2 + I_{xx}^2 I_y^2 + I_{xy}^2 I_x^2 - 2I_{xx} I_{xy} I_x I_y \\
G_{\varphi^*}^{22} &= I_{yy}^2 + I_{xy}^2 + I_{yy}^2 I_x^2 + I_{xy}^2 I_y^2 - 2I_{yy} I_{xy} I_x I_y \\
G_{\varphi^*}^{12} &= I_{xx} I_{xy} + I_{xy} I_{yy} + I_{xx} I_{xy} I_y^2 + I_{xy} I_{yy} I_x^2 - I_{xy}^2 I_x I_y - I_{xx} I_{yy} I_x I_y \\
G_{\varphi^*}^{21} &= G_{\varphi^*}^{12}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Definition 3.1. The tensor G_{φ^*} is called the spinor tensor of the surface Σ .

Note that as already mentioned this last tensor corresponds to the tensor involved in the measure of the variations of the unit normal ν introduced in Sec. 2.1. Indeed, we have

$$(X_1 \ X_2) G_{\varphi^*} (X_1 \ X_2)^T = \|d_X \nu\|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

3.2. Experiments

We compare on Fig. 1 the edge and texture detection methods based on the usual structure tensor (Fig. 1(b) and 1(d)) and on the spinor tensor (Fig. 1(e) and 1(f)).

The structure tensor only takes into account the first order derivatives of the function I . The subsequent segmentation method detects the strongest grey-level variations of the image. As a consequence, this method provides thick edges, as can be observed.

The spinor tensor takes into account the second order derivatives of the function I too. By definition, it measures the strongest variations of the unit normal to the surface parametrized by the graph of I . We observe that this new approach provides thinner edges than the first one. It appears also to be more relevant to detect textures.

4. Spinors and Clifford Fourier Transform

We first define a Clifford Fourier transform using spin characters that is group morphisms from \mathbb{R}^2 to $Spin(3)$. Then, we introduce the spinor field decomposition leading to the harmonic decomposition of the image. Finally we show results of filterings.

4.1. Clifford Fourier Transform with Spin Characters

Let us recall the idea of the construction of the Clifford Fourier transform for color image processing introduced in [3]. From the mathematical viewpoint, a Fourier transform is defined through group actions and more precisely through irreducible and unitary representations of the involved group. This is closely related to the well known shift theorem stating that:

$$\mathcal{F}f_\alpha(u) = e^{i\alpha u} \mathcal{F}f(u) \tag{4.1}$$



(a)



(b)



(c) Segmentation of (a) via structure tensor



(d) Segmentation of (b) via structure tensor



(e) Segmentation of (a) via spinor tensor



(f) Segmentation of (b) via spinor tensor

FIGURE 1. Segmentation: structure tensor vs spinor tensor

where $f_\alpha(u) = f(\alpha + u)$. The group morphism

$$\alpha \longmapsto e^{i\alpha u} \quad (4.2)$$

is a so-called character of the additive group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, that is an irreducible unitary representation of dimension 1.

The definition proposed in [3] relies on a Clifford generalization of this notion by introducing spin characters. It can be shown that the group morphisms from $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ to $Spin(3)$ are given by

$$\rho_{u,v,B} : (m, n) \longmapsto e^{2\pi(um/M+vn/N)B} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$e^{2\pi(um/M+vn/N)B} = \cos 2\pi(um/M+vn/N) + \sin 2\pi(um/M+vn/N)B \quad (4.4)$$

$(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, and

$$B = \gamma_1 e_1 e_2 + \gamma_2 e_1 e_3 + \gamma_3 e_2 e_3 \quad (4.5)$$

is unit, *i.e.* $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 + \gamma_3^2 = 1$. The map $\rho_{u,v,B}$ is called a spin character of the group $\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Recalling that $Spin(3)$ acts on the sections of the spinor bundle, we are led to propose the following definition.

Definition 4.1. The Clifford Fourier transform of a spinor φ of $S(\Sigma)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}}} \rho_{u,v,z_1 \wedge z_2}(m, n)(-m, -n) \cdot \varphi(m, n) \quad (4.6)$$

where (z_1, z_2) is an orthonormal frame of $T(\Sigma)$.

Since the spinor bundle of Σ splits into

$$S(\Sigma) = S_{z_1 \wedge z_2}^+(\Sigma) \oplus S_{z_1 \wedge z_2}^-(\Sigma) \quad (4.7)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_{u,v,z_1 \wedge z_2}(m, n)(-m, -n) \cdot \varphi(m, n) = \\ & e^{2\pi i(um/M+vn/N)} \varphi^+(m, n) v_{-i}(m, n) + e^{-2\pi i(um/M+vn/N)} \varphi^-(m, n) v_i(m, n) \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where v_{-i} , resp. v_i is the unit eigenspinor field of eigenvalue $-i$, resp i relatively to the operator $z_1 \wedge z_2 \cdot$ (here \cdot denotes the Clifford multiplication). Consequently

$$\mathcal{F}(\varphi)(u, v) = \left(\widehat{\varphi^+}^{-1}(u, v), \widehat{\varphi^-}(u, v) \right) \quad (4.9)$$

in the frame (v_{-i}, v_i) , where $\widehat{}$ and $\widehat{}^{-1}$ denote the Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, also called discrete Fourier transform, and its inverse.

4.2. Spinor Field Decomposition

The inverse Clifford Fourier transform of φ reads

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi)(u, v) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z}}} \rho_{u, v, z_1 \wedge z_2}(m, n) \cdot \varphi(m, n) \quad (4.10)$$

This means that every spinor field φ may be written as a superposition of basic spinor fields, *i.e.*

$$\varphi = \sum \varphi_{m, n} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\varphi_{m, n} : (u, v) \mapsto \rho_{u, v, z_1 \wedge z_2}(m, n) \cdot \mathcal{F}(\varphi)(m, n) \quad (4.12)$$

Following the splitting $S(\Sigma) = S_{z_1 \wedge z_2}^+(\Sigma) \oplus S_{z_1 \wedge z_2}^-(\Sigma)$, we have

$$\varphi_{m, n} = (\varphi_{m, n}^+, \varphi_{m, n}^-)$$

in the frame (v_{-i}, v_i) , with

$$\varphi_{m, n}^+ : (u, v) \mapsto e^{-2\pi i(um/M + vn/N)} \widehat{\varphi}^+{}^{-1}(m, n)$$

and

$$\varphi_{m, n}^- : (u, v) \mapsto e^{2\pi i(um/M + vn/N)} \widehat{\varphi}^-{}^{-1}(m, n)$$

Moreover,

$$|\varphi_{m, n}|^2 = |\varphi_{m, n}^+|^2 + |\varphi_{m, n}^-|^2$$

since $S_{z_1 \wedge z_2}^+(\Sigma)$ and $S_{z_1 \wedge z_2}^-(\Sigma)$ are orthogonal.

4.3. Experiments

Let us give now an example of applications of the Clifford Fourier transform on spinor fields to image processing. In order to perform filterings with the decomposition (4.11), we proceed as follows. Let I be a grey-level image, and φ^* be the corresponding spinor representation given in Def. 2.2. We apply a Gaussian mask T_σ of variance σ in the spectrum $\mathcal{F}\varphi^*$ of φ^* . Then, we consider the norm of its Fourier inverse transform, *i.e.* $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|$ and the function $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|I$.

Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show results of this process for different values of σ (left column $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|$ and right column $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|I$). It is clear that for σ sufficiently high, we have $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|I \simeq I$ and $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*| \simeq 1$ since $|\varphi^*| = 1$. This explains why the two left bottom images are almost white and the two right bottom images are almost the original ones.

We can see on the left columns of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 that the filtering acts through φ^* as a smoothing of the geometry of the image. More precisely, when σ is small, the modulus $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|$ is small on points corresponding to nearly all the geometric variations of the image. When σ increases the modulus is affected only on points corresponding to the strongest geometric variations, *i.e.* to both edges and textures (and also where the noise is high). The right columns of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 show that the filtering acts through $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|I$ as a diffusion that leaves the geometric data untouched (the higher is σ the more important is the diffusion). This appears clearly on

Fig. 4 (compare the plumes of Lena's hat) or on Fig. 5 (compare the hair of Tiffany).

These experiments show that our approach is pertinent to deal with harmonic analysis together with Riemannian geometry.

Conclusion.

Spin geometry is a powerful mathematical tool to deal with many theoretical and applied geometric problems. In this paper we have shown how to take advantage of the generalized Weierstrass representation to perform grey-level image processing, in particular edge and texture detection. Our main contribution is the definition of a Clifford Fourier transform for spinor fields that relies on a generalization of the usual notion of character (the spin character). One important fact is that this new transform takes into account the Riemannian geometry of the image surface by involving the spinor field that parametrizes the normal and the bivector field coding the tangent plane. We have also introduced what appears to be a harmonic decomposition of the parametrization and investigated applications to filtering.

Note that there are only two cases where the Grassmannian $G_{n,2}$ of 2-planes in \mathbb{R}^n admits a rational parametrization. In fact, one can show that $G_{3,2} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1$ and $G_{4,2} \simeq \mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ (see [10]). The case treated here corresponds to $G_{3,2}$. As a consequence the generalization to color images is not straightforward. Nevertheless, a quite different approach is possible to tackle this problem and will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.

Let us also mention that one may envisage to perform diffusion on grey-level images through the heat equation given by the Dirac operator. This last one is well known to be a square root of the Laplacian. Preliminary results are discussed in [2] that show that this diffusion better preserves edges and textures than the usual Riemannian approaches.

Appendix A. Mathematical Background

We recall here some definitions and results concerning spin geometry. The reader may refer to [8] for details and conventions. We focus on the particular case of an oriented surface immersed in \mathbb{R}^3 .

A.1. Complex Representations of $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$

Let (e_1, e_2, e_3) be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . The Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0}$ is the quotient of the tensor algebra of the vectorial space \mathbb{R}^3 by the ideal generated by the elements $u \otimes u + Q(u)$ where Q is the Euclidean quadratic form. It can be shown that $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0}$ is isomorphic to the product $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ of two copies of the quaternion algebra. The complex Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}(2) \oplus \mathbb{C}(2)$ where $\mathbb{C}(2)$ denotes the algebra of 2×2 -matrices with complex entries. This decomposition is given by

$$\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq (\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^+ \oplus (\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^- \quad (\text{A.1})$$



FIGURE 2. Left: $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(T_\sigma \mathcal{F}\varphi^*)|$ for $\sigma = 100, 1000, 10000, 100000$ (from top to bottom). Right: $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(T_\sigma \mathcal{F}\varphi^*)|I$



FIGURE 3. Left: $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(T_\sigma \mathcal{F}\varphi^*)|$ for $\sigma = 100, 1000, 10000, 100000$ (from top to bottom). Right: $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(T_\sigma \mathcal{F}\varphi^*)|I$



FIGURE 4. Left: original. Right: $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|I$ with $\sigma = 100$



FIGURE 5. Left: original. Right: $|\mathcal{F}^{-1}T_\sigma\mathcal{F}\varphi^*|I$ with $\sigma = 100$

where

$$(\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^\pm = (1 \pm \omega_3)\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and ω_3 is the pseudoscalar $e_1e_2e_3$. More precisely, the subalgebra $(\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^+$ is generated by the elements

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{1 + e_1e_2e_3}{2}, \alpha_2 = \frac{e_2e_3 - e_1}{2}, \alpha_3 = \frac{e_2 + e_1e_3}{2}, \alpha_4 = \frac{e_3 - e_1e_2}{2} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and an isomorphism with $\mathbb{C}(2)$ is given by sending these elements to the matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

In the same way, $(\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^-$ is generated by

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1 - e_1 e_2 e_3}{2}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{e_2 e_3 + e_1}{2}, \quad \beta_3 = \frac{e_1 e_3 - e_2}{2}, \quad \beta_4 = \frac{-e_3 - e_1 e_2}{2} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

and an isomorphism is given by sending these elements to the above matrices A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 .

Let us denote ρ the natural representation of $\mathbb{C}(2)$ on \mathbb{C}^2 . The two equivalent classes ρ_1 and ρ_2 of irreducible complex representations of $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are given by

$$\rho_1(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = \rho(\varphi_1) \quad \rho_2(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = \rho(\varphi_2) \quad (\text{A.6})$$

They are characterized by

$$\rho_1(\omega_3) = Id \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2(\omega_3) = -Id \quad (\text{A.7})$$

For the sake of completeness, let us explicit these representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(1) &= \rho(\alpha_1) = A_1, & \rho_1(e_1) &= \rho(-\alpha_2) = -A_2 \\ \rho_1(e_2) &= \rho(\alpha_3) = A_3, & \rho_1(e_3) &= \rho(\alpha_4) = A_4 \\ \rho_1(e_1 e_2) &= \rho(-\alpha_4) = -A_4, & \rho_1(e_1 e_3) &= \rho(\alpha_3) = A_3 \\ \rho_1(e_2 e_3) &= \rho(\alpha_2) = A_2, & \rho_1(\omega_3) &= \rho(\alpha_1) = A_1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(1) &= \rho(\beta_1) = A_1, & \rho_2(e_1) &= \rho(\beta_2) = A_2 \\ \rho_2(e_2) &= \rho(-\beta_3) = -A_3, & \rho_2(e_3) &= \rho(-\beta_4) = -A_4 \\ \rho_2(e_1 e_2) &= \rho(-\beta_4) = -A_4, & \rho_2(e_1 e_3) &= \rho(\beta_3) = A_3 \\ \rho_2(e_2 e_3) &= \rho(\beta_2) = A_2, & \rho_2(\omega_3) &= \rho(-\beta_1) = -A_1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The complex spin representation of $Spin(3)$ is the homomorphism

$$\Delta_3 : Spin(3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(2) \quad (\text{A.10})$$

given by restricting an irreducible complex representation of $\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ to the spinor group $Spin(3) \subset (\mathcal{Cl}_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^0$ (see for example [4] for the definition of the $Spin$ group). Note that Δ_3 is independant of the chosen representation.

A.2. Spin Structures and Spinor Bundles

Let us denote M the Riemannian manifold \mathbb{R}^3 and $P_{SO}(M)$ the principal $SO(3)$ -bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of M . A spin structure on M is a principal $Spin(3)$ -bundle $P_{Spin}(M)$ together with a 2-sheeted covering

$$P_{Spin}(M) \longrightarrow P_{SO}(M) \quad (\text{A.11})$$

that is compatible with $SO(3)$ and $Spin(3)$ actions. The Spinor bundle $S(M)$ is the bundle associated to the spin structure $P_{Spin}(M)$ and the complex spin representation Δ_3 . More precisely, it is the quotient of the product $P_{Spin}(M) \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by the action

$$Spin(3) \times P_{Spin}(M) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \longrightarrow P_{Spin}(M) \times \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{A.12})$$

that sends (τ, p, z) to $(p\tau^{-1}, \Delta_3(\tau)z)$. We will write

$$S(M) = P_{Spin}(M) \times_{\Delta_3} \mathbb{C}^2 \quad (\text{A.13})$$

It appears that the fiber bundle $S(M)$ is a bundle of complex left modules over the Clifford bundle $Cl(M) = P_{Spin}(M) \times_{Ad} Cl(3)$ of M . In the sequel

$$(u, \phi) \longmapsto u \cdot \phi \tag{A.14}$$

denotes the corresponding multiplication for $u \in T(M)$ and ϕ a section of $S(M)$.

We consider now an oriented surface Σ embedded in M . Let us denote (z_1, z_2) on orthonormal frame of $T(\Sigma)$ and ν the global unit field normal to Σ . Using the map

$$(z_1, z_2) \longmapsto (z_1, z_2, \nu) \tag{A.15}$$

it is possible to pull back the bundle $P_{Spin}(M)|_\Sigma$ to obtain a spin structure $P_{Spin}(\Sigma)$ on Σ . Since $Cl_{2,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is isomorphic to $(Cl_{3,0} \otimes \mathbb{C})^0$ under the map α defined by

$$\alpha(\eta^0 + \eta^1) = \eta^0 + \eta^1 \nu \tag{A.16}$$

the algebra $Cl_{2,0} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ acts on \mathbb{C}^2 via ρ_2 . This representation leads to the complex spinor representation Δ_2 of $Spin(2)$. It can be shown that the induced bundle

$$S(\Sigma) = P_{Spin}(\Sigma) \times_{\Delta_3 \circ \alpha} \mathbb{C}^2 \tag{A.17}$$

coincides with the spinor bundle of the induced spin structure on Σ . Once again $S(\Sigma)$ is a bundle of complex left modules over the Clifford bundle $Cl(\Sigma)$ of Σ : the Clifford multiplication is given by the map

$$(v, \varphi) \longmapsto v \cdot \nu \cdot \varphi \tag{A.18}$$

for $v \in T(\Sigma)$ and φ a section of $T(\Sigma)$.

The Spinor bundle $S(\Sigma)$ decomposes into

$$S(\Sigma) = S^+(\Sigma) \oplus S^-(\Sigma) \tag{A.19}$$

where

$$S^\pm(\Sigma) = \{\varphi \in S(\Sigma), i \cdot z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot \varphi = \pm \varphi\} \tag{A.20}$$

(cf [6]). Since $\rho_2(z_1 z_2 \nu)$ is minus the identity, this is equivalent to

$$S^\pm(\Sigma) = \{\varphi \in S(\Sigma), i \nu \cdot \varphi = \pm \varphi\} \tag{A.21}$$

A.3. Spinor Connections and Dirac Operators

Let ∇^M and ∇^Σ be the Levi Civita connections on the tangent bundles $T(M)$ and $T(\Sigma)$ respectively. The classical Gauss formula asserts that

$$\nabla_X^M Y = \nabla_X^\Sigma Y - \langle \nabla_X^M \nu, Y \rangle \nu \tag{A.22}$$

where X and Y are vector fields on Σ . A similar formula exists when dealing with spinor fields. Let us first recall that one may construct on $S(M)$ and $S(\Sigma)$ some spinor Levi Civita connections compatible with the Clifford multiplication, that is connections still denoted ∇^M and ∇^Σ verifying

$$\nabla_X^M (Y \cdot \varphi) = (\nabla_X^M Y) \cdot \varphi + Y \cdot \nabla_X^M \varphi \tag{A.23}$$

when X and Y are vector fields on M and φ is a section of $S(M)$ and a similar formula for ∇^Σ . The analog of Gauss formula reads

$$\nabla_X^M \varphi = \nabla_X^\Sigma \varphi - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_X^M \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \varphi \quad (\text{A.24})$$

for φ a section of $S(\Sigma)$ and X a vector field on Σ (see [1] for a proof). If (z_1, z_2) is an orthonormal frame of $T(\Sigma)$, following [6], the Dirac operator on $S(\Sigma)$ is defined by

$$D = z_1 \cdot \nabla_{z_1}^\Sigma + z_2 \cdot \nabla_{z_2}^\Sigma \quad (\text{A.25})$$

and it can be verified that $DS^\pm(\Sigma) \subset S^\mp(\Sigma)$.

Let now ϕ and φ be respectively a section of $S(M)$ and the section of $S(\Sigma)$ given by the restriction $\phi|_\Sigma$. We obtain from Gauss spinor formula

$$z_1 \cdot \nabla_{z_1}^M \phi + z_2 \cdot \nabla_{z_2}^M \phi = D\varphi - \frac{1}{2}(z_1 \cdot (\nabla_{z_1}^M \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \varphi + z_2 \cdot (\nabla_{z_2}^M \boldsymbol{\nu}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \varphi) \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Since

$$z_1 \cdot (\nabla_{z_1}^M \boldsymbol{\nu}) + z_2 \cdot (\nabla_{z_2}^M \boldsymbol{\nu}) = -2H \quad (\text{A.27})$$

where H is the mean curvature of Σ , it follows that

$$D\varphi = z_1 \cdot \nabla_{z_1}^M \phi + z_2 \cdot \nabla_{z_2}^M \phi - H \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \varphi \quad (\text{A.28})$$

References

- [1] C. Bar, *Metrics with harmonic spinors*, Geometric and Functional Analysis, **6**, 6, 899–942.
- [2] T. Batard, M. Berthier, *Spinor representation of images*, in 9th International Conference on Clifford Algebras and their Applications in Mathematical Physics, Weimar, Germany, 15-20 July 2011.
- [3] T. Batard, M. Berthier and C. Saint Jean, *Clifford Fourier Transform for Color Image Processing*, Geometric Algebra Computing for Engineering and Computer Science (E. Bayro Corrochano and G. Scheuermann Eds.), Springer Verlag London (2010), 135–161.
- [4] T. Batard, C. Saint Jean and M. Berthier, *A Metric Approach to nD Images Edge Detection with Clifford Algebras*, J. Math Imaging Vis, **33** (2009), 296–312.
- [5] S. Di Zenzo, *A Note on the Gradient of a Multi-Image*, Comput. Vis. Graph. Image Process., **33**, 1 (1986), 116–125.
- [6] T. Friedrich, *On the spinor representation of surfaces in Euclidean 3-space*. Journal of Geometry and Physics, **28** (1998), 143–157.
- [7] B. Lawson, *Lectures on minimal manifolds. Vol. 1*, Second Edition, Mathematics Lecture Series, vol. 9, Publish or Perish Inc., Wilmington, Del. (1980).
- [8] B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelson, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1989).
- [9] R. Osserman, *A survey of minimal surfaces*, Second Edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1986).
- [10] I. A. Taimanov, *Two-dimensional Dirac operator and surface theory*, Russian Mathematical Surveys, **61**, 1 (2006), 79–159.

Thomas Batard
Department of Applied Mathematics
Tel Aviv University
Israel
e-mail: thomas.batard@gmail.com

Michel Berthier
MIA Lab.
La Rochelle University
France
e-mail: michel.berthier@univ-lr.fr