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# HARNACK TYPE INEQUALITY ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSION 5. 
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#### Abstract

We give an estimate of type sup $\times$ inf on Riemannian manifold of dimension 5 for the Yamabe equation.


## Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C21, 35J60 35B45 35B50

## 1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we deal with the following Yamabe equation in dimension $n=5$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{g} u+\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g} u=n(n-2) u^{N-1}, u>0, \text { and } N=\frac{n+2}{n-2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $R_{g}$ is the scalar curvature.
The equation (1) was studied a lot, when $M=\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $M=\mathbb{S}_{n}$ see for example, [2-4], [11], [15]. In this case we have a sup $\times$ inf inequality. The corresponding equation in two dimensions on open set $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=V(x) e^{u}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2) was studied by many authors and we can find very important result about a priori estimates in [8], [9], [12], [16], and [19]. In particular in [9] we have the following interior estimate:

$$
\sup _{K} u \leq c=c\left(\inf _{\Omega} V,\|V\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \inf _{\Omega} u, K, \Omega\right) .
$$

And, precisely, in [8], [12], [16], and [20], we have:

$$
C \sup _{K} u+\inf _{\Omega} u \leq c=c\left(\inf _{\Omega} V,\|V\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, K, \Omega\right),
$$

and,

$$
\sup _{K} u+\inf _{\Omega} u \leq c=c\left(\inf _{\Omega} V,\|V\|_{C^{\alpha}(\Omega)}, K, \Omega\right) .
$$

where $K$ is a compact subset of $\Omega, C$ is a positive constant which depends on $\frac{\inf _{\Omega} V}{\sup _{\Omega} V}$, and, $\alpha \in(0,1]$. When $\frac{4(n-1) h}{n-2}=R_{g}$ the scalar curvature, and $M$ compact, the equation (1) is Yamabe equation. T. Aubin and R. Schoen have proved the existence of solution in this case, see
for example [1] and [14] for a complete and detailed summary. When $M$ is a compact Riemannian manifold, there exist some compactness result for equation (1) see [18]. Li and Zhu see [18], proved that the energy is bounded and if we suppose $M$ not diffeormorfic to the three sphere, the solutions are uniformly bounded. To have this result they use the positive mass theorem. Now, if we suppose $M$ Riemannian manifold (not necessarily compact) Li and Zhang [17] proved that the product sup $\times \inf$ is bounded. Here we extend the result of [5]. Our proof is an extension Li-Zhang result in dimension 3, see [3] and [17], and, the moving-plane method is used to have this estimate. We refer to Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg for the moving-plane method, see [13]. Also, we can see in [3, $6,11,16,17,10]$, some applications of this method, for example an uniqueness result. We refer to [7] for the uniqueness result on the sphere and in dimension 3. Here, we give an equality of type $\sup \times$ inf for the equation (1) in dimension 5. In dimension greater than 3 we have other type of estimates by using moving-plane method, see for example [3,5]. There are other estimates of type sup + inf on complex Monge-Ampere equation on compact manifolds, see [20-21] . They consider, on compact Kahler manifold ( $M, g$ ), the following equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\omega_{g}+\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi\right)^{n}=e^{f-t \varphi} \omega_{g}^{n}  \tag{3}\\
\omega_{g}+\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi>0 \text { on } M
\end{array}\right.
$$

And, they prove some estimates of type $\sup _{M}+m \inf _{M} \leq C$ or $\sup _{M}+m \inf _{M} \geq C$ under the positivity of the first Chern class of M. Here, we have,

Theorem 1.1. For all compact set $K$ of $M$, there is a positive constant $c$, which depends only on, $K, M, g$ such that:

$$
\left(\sup _{K} u\right)^{1 / 3} \times \inf _{M} u \leq c,
$$

for all $u$ solution of (1).

This theorem extend to the dimension 5 the result of Li and Zhang, see [17] . Here, we use the method of Li and Zhang in [17]. Also, we extend a result of [5].

Corollary 1.2. For all compact set $K$ of $M$ there is a positive constant $c$, such that:

$$
\sup _{K} u \leq c=c(g, m, K, M) \text { if } \inf _{M} u \geq m>0,
$$

for all $u$ solution of (1).

## 2. Proof of the theorems

Proof of theorem 1.1: We want to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon^{3}\left(\max _{B(0, \epsilon)} u\right)^{1 / 3} \times \min _{\substack{(0,4 \epsilon) \\ 2}} u \leq c=c(M, g) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We argue by contradiction and we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\max _{B\left(0, \epsilon_{k}\right)} u_{k}\right)^{1 / 3} \times \min _{B\left(0,4 \epsilon_{k}\right)} u_{k} \geq k \epsilon_{k}{ }^{-3} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1: The blow-up analysis The blow-up analysis gives us : For some $\bar{x}_{k} \in B\left(0, \epsilon_{k}\right), u_{k}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)=$ $\max _{B\left(0, \epsilon_{k}\right)} u_{k}$, and, from the hypothesis,

$$
u_{k}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)^{4 / 9} \epsilon_{k} \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

By a standard selection process, we can find $x_{k} \in B\left(\bar{x}_{k}, \epsilon_{k} / 2\right)$ and $\sigma_{k} \in\left(0, \epsilon_{k} / 4\right)$ satisfying,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)^{4 / 9} \sigma_{k} & \rightarrow+\infty  \tag{6}\\
u_{k}\left(x_{k}\right) & \geq u_{k}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right),  \tag{7}\\
\text { and, } u_{k}(x) & \leq C u_{k}\left(x_{k}\right), \text { in } B\left(x_{k}, \sigma_{k}\right), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is some universal constant. It follows from above (5), (7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{1 / 3} \times\left(\min _{\partial B\left(x_{k}, 2 \epsilon_{k}\right)} u_{k}\right) \sigma_{k}^{3} \geq\left(u_{k}\left(\bar{x}_{k}\right)\right)^{1 / 3} \times\left(\min _{B\left(0,4 \epsilon_{k}\right)} u_{k}\right) \epsilon_{k}^{3} \geq k \rightarrow+\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use $\left\{z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right\}$ to denote some geodesic normal coordinates centered at $x_{k}$ (we use the exponential map). In the geodesic normal coordinates, $g=g_{i j}(z) d z d z^{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j}(z)-\delta_{i j}=O\left(r^{2}\right), g:=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}(z)\right)=1+O\left(r^{2}\right), h(z)=O(1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=|z|$. Thus,

$$
\Delta_{g} u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{i}\left(\sqrt{g} g^{i j} \partial_{j} u\right)=\Delta u+b_{i} \partial_{i} u+d_{i j} \partial_{i j} u
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=O(r), d_{i j}=O\left(r^{2}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have a new function

$$
v_{k}(y)=M_{k}^{-1} u_{k}\left(M_{k}^{-2 /(n-2)} y\right) \text { for }|y| \leq 3 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 /(n-2)}
$$

where $M_{k}=u_{k}(0)$. From (6) and (9) we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta v_{k}+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} v_{k}+\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} v_{k}-\bar{c} v_{k}+v_{k}^{N-1} & =0 \text { for }|y| \leq 3 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 /(n-2)}  \tag{12}\\
v_{k}(0) & =1 \\
v_{k}(y) & \leq C_{1} \text { for }|y| \leq \sigma_{k} M_{k}^{2 /(n-2)} \\
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \min _{|y|=2 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9}}\left(v_{k}(y)|y|^{3}\right)=+\infty
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is a universal constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{b}_{i}(y)=M_{k}^{-2 /(n-2)} b_{i}\left(M_{k}^{-2 /(n-2)} y\right), \bar{d}_{i j}(y)=d_{i j}\left(M_{k}^{-2 /(n-2)} y\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}(y)=M_{k}^{-4 /(n-2)} h\left(M_{k}^{-2 /(n-2)} y\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can see that for $|y| \leq 3 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 /(n-2)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{b}_{i}(y)\right| \leq C M_{k}^{-4 /(n-2)}|y|,\left|\bar{d}_{i j}(y)\right| \leq C M_{k}^{-4 /(n-2)}|y|^{2},|\bar{c}(y)| \leq C M_{k}^{-4 /(n-2)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, M, g$.

It follows from (12), (13), (14), (15) and the elliptic estimates, that, along a subsequence, $v_{k}$ converges in $C^{2}$ norm on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to a positive function $U$ satisfying

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta U+U^{N-1}= & 0, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}, \text { with } N=\frac{n+2}{n-2}  \tag{16}\\
U(0)=1, & 0<U \leq C .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In the case where $C=1$, by a result of Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck, see [10], we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(y)=\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-(n-2) / 2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, here we do not need this result.
Now, we need a precision in the previous estimates, we take a conformal change of metric such that, the Ricci tensor vanish,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j p}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have by the expressions for $g$ and $g_{i j}$, as in the paper of Li-Zhang,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}=O\left(r^{2}\right), \quad R=O(r), d_{i j}=-\frac{1}{3} R_{i p q j} z^{p} z^{q}+O\left(r^{3}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\bar{c}| \leq C|y| M_{k}^{-2},\left|\bar{b}_{i}\right| \leq C|y|^{2} M_{k}^{-2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}_{i j}=-\frac{1}{3} M_{k}^{-4 / 3} R_{i p q j} y^{p} y^{q}+O(1) M_{k}^{-2}|y|^{3} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As, in the paper of Li-Zhang, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}(y) \geq C|y|^{-3}, 1 \leq|y| \leq 2 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 / 3} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C>0$.
For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\lambda>0$, let,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}^{\lambda, x}(y):=\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} v_{k}\left(x+\frac{\lambda^{2}(y-x)}{|y-x|^{2}}\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

denote the Kelvin transformation of $v_{k}$ with respect to the ball centered at x and of radius $\lambda$.

We want to compare for fixed $x, v_{k}$ and $v_{k}^{\lambda, x}$. For simplicity we assume $x=0$. We have:

$$
v_{k}^{\lambda}(y):=\frac{\lambda}{|y|} v_{k}\left(y^{\lambda}\right), \text { with } y^{\lambda}=\frac{\lambda^{2} y}{|y|^{2}} .
$$

For $\lambda>0$, we set,

$$
\Sigma_{\lambda}=B\left(0, \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2}\right)-\bar{B}(0, \lambda)
$$

The boundary condition, (12), become:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \min _{|y|=\epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9}}\left(v_{k}(y)|y|^{3}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \min _{|y|=2 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9}}\left(v_{k}(y)|y|^{3}\right)=+\infty . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the paper of Li-Zhang, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w_{\lambda}+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} w_{\lambda}+\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} w_{\lambda}-\bar{c} w_{\lambda}+\frac{(n+2)}{(n-2)} \xi^{4 /(n-2)} w_{\lambda}=E_{\lambda} \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ stay between $v_{k}$ and $v_{k}^{\lambda}$. Here,

$$
E_{\lambda}=-\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} v_{k}^{\lambda}-\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} v_{k}^{\lambda}+\bar{c} v_{k}^{\lambda}-E_{1},
$$

with,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}(y)=-\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n+2}\left(\bar{b}_{i}\left(y^{\lambda}\right) \partial_{i} v_{k}\left(y^{\lambda}\right)+\bar{d}_{i j}\left(y^{\lambda}\right) \partial_{i j} v_{k}\left(y^{\lambda}\right)-\bar{c}\left(y^{\lambda}\right) v_{k}\left(y^{\lambda}\right)\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{\lambda}\right| \leq C_{1} \lambda|y|^{-1} M_{k}^{-2}+C_{2} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: as in the paper of Li-Zhang, we have a nonlinear term $E_{\lambda}$ with the following property,

$$
\left|E_{\lambda}\right| \leq C_{1} \lambda^{3} M_{k}^{-2}|y|^{-2}+C_{2} \lambda^{5} M_{k}^{-4 / 3}|y|_{5}^{-4} \leq C_{1} \lambda|y|^{-1} M_{k}^{-2}+C_{2} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} M_{k}^{-4 / 3}
$$

Next, we need an auxiliary function which correct the nonlinear term. Here we take the following auxiliary function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\lambda}=-C_{1} \lambda M_{k}^{-2}(|y|-\lambda)-C_{2} \lambda^{2} M_{k}^{-4 / 3}\left(\left(1-\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{3}\right)-\left(1-\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)\right)\right), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\lambda} \leq 0, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h_{\lambda}=-C_{1} \lambda|y|^{-1} M_{k}^{-2}-C_{2} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, thus,

$$
\Delta h_{\lambda}+\left|E_{\lambda}\right| \leq 0 .
$$

As in the paper of Li-Zhang, we can prove the following lemma:
Lemma 2.2. We have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\lambda}+h_{\lambda}>0, \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda} \forall 0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{1} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before to prove the lemma, note that, here, we consider the fact that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \leq|y| \leq \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9} \leq \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 / 3} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, as in the paper of Li-Zhang, we need the estimate (22):

$$
v_{k}(y) \geq C|y|^{-3}, \quad 1 \leq|y| \leq 2 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 / 3} .
$$

with $C>0$.

## Proof :

Step 1: There exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ independent of $k$ such the assertion of the lemma holds for all $0<\lambda<\lambda_{0}$.

To see this, we write:

$$
w_{\lambda}=v_{k}(y)-v_{k}^{\lambda}(y)=|y|^{-3 / 2}\left(|y|^{3 / 2} v_{k}(y)-\left|y^{\lambda}\right|^{3 / 2} v_{k}\left(y^{\lambda}\right)\right) .
$$

Let, in polar coordinates,

$$
f(r, \theta)=r^{3 / 2} v_{k}(r, \theta) .
$$

By the properties of $v_{k}$, there exist $r>0$ and $C>0$ independant of $k$ such that:

$$
\partial_{r} f(r, \theta)>C r^{1 / 2}, \text { for } 0<r<r_{0}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{\lambda}(y) & =|y|^{-3 / 2}\left(f(|y|, y /|y|)-f\left(\left|y^{\lambda}\right|, y /|y|\right)\right)=|y|^{-3 / 2} \int_{\left|y^{\curlywedge}\right|}^{|y|} \partial_{r} f(r, y /|y|) d r> \\
& >C^{\prime}|y|^{-3 / 2}\left(|y|^{3 / 2}-\left|y^{\lambda}\right|^{3 / 2}\right)>C^{\prime \prime}(|y|-\lambda) \text { for } 0<\lambda<|y|<r_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

with, $C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}>0$.
It follows that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\lambda}+h_{\lambda} \geq\left(C^{\prime \prime}-o(1)\right)(|y|-\lambda), \text { for } 0<\lambda<|y|<r_{0} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for

$$
r_{0} \leq|y| \leq \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9} \leq \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 / 3}
$$

we have by the definition of $h_{\lambda}$, and, as in the paper of Li-Zhang, we need the estimate (22):

$$
v_{k}(y) \geq C|y|^{-3}, 1 \leq|y| \leq 2 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 / 3}
$$

to have,

$$
\left|h_{\lambda}\right|<\frac{1}{2} v_{k}(y) .
$$

Thus, as in the paper of Li-Zhang,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\lambda}+h_{\lambda}>0, \text { for } 0<r_{0}<|y|<2 \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2: Set,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\lambda}^{k}=\sup \left\{0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{1}, w_{\mu}+h_{\mu} \geq 0, \text { in } \Sigma_{\mu} \forall 0<\mu \leq \lambda\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that, $\bar{\lambda}^{k}=\lambda_{1}$.
In order to apply the maximum principle and the Hopf lemma, we need to prove that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i}+\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j}-\bar{c}\right)\left(w_{\lambda}+h_{\lambda}\right) \leq 0 \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we need to prove that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta h_{\lambda}+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} h_{\lambda}+\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} h_{\lambda}-\bar{c} h_{\lambda}+E_{\lambda} \leq 0 \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

First note that, $h_{\lambda}<0$. Here, we consider the fact that,

$$
\lambda \leq|y| \leq \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9} \leq \epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{2 / 3} .
$$

We have,

$$
|\bar{c}| \leq C|y| M_{k}^{-2},
$$

Thus,

$$
|y|\left|\bar{c} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq C_{1} M_{k}^{-4} \lambda|y|^{2}(|y|-\lambda)+C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3} \lambda|y|^{2} \leq o(1) M_{k}^{-2} \lambda,
$$

which we can write as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{c} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq C_{1} M_{k}^{-2} \lambda|y|^{-1} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have,

$$
\left|\bar{b}_{i}\right| \leq C|y|^{2} M_{k}^{-2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq C_{1} M_{k}^{-4} \lambda|y|^{2}+C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3}\left(\lambda^{5}|y|^{-2}+\lambda^{3}\right) \\
|y| C_{1} M_{k}^{-4} \lambda|y|^{2}=o(1) M_{k}^{-2} \lambda
\end{gathered}
$$

which we can write as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} M_{k}^{-4} \lambda|y|^{2}=o(1) M_{k}^{-2} \lambda|y|^{-1} . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
|y|^{3} C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3} \lambda^{5}|y|^{-2}=C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3} \lambda^{3}|y|=o(1) M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \lambda^{3},
$$

which we can write as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3} \lambda^{5}|y|^{-2}=o(1) M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
|y|^{3} C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3} \lambda^{3}=o(1) M_{k}^{-2} \lambda^{3},
$$

which we can write as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2} M_{k}^{-10 / 3} \lambda^{3}=o(1) M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq o(1) M_{k}^{-2} \lambda|y|^{-1}+o(1) M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have,

$$
\left|\bar{d}_{i j}\right| \leq|y|^{2} M_{k}^{-4 / 3}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq \lambda|y| M_{k}^{-10 / 3}+C_{2} M_{k}^{-8 / 3}\left(\lambda^{5}|y|^{-3}+\lambda^{3}|y|^{-1}\right),
$$

Thus,

$$
\left|\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq \lambda|y|^{-1} M_{k}^{-10 / 3}+o(1) M_{k}^{-8 / 3} \lambda^{5}|y|^{-3}+o(1) M_{k}^{-8 / 3} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-1}
$$

Finaly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq o(1) \lambda|y|^{-1} M_{k}^{-2}+o(1) M_{k}^{-4 / 3} \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3}+o(1) M_{k}^{-2} \lambda|y|^{-1} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finaly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} h_{\lambda}+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} h_{\lambda}+\bar{c} h_{\lambda}\right| \leq o(1) \lambda|y|^{-1} M_{k}^{-2}+o(1) \lambda^{3}|y|^{-3} M_{k}^{-4 / 3} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finaly, we have,

$$
\Delta h_{\lambda}+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i} h_{\lambda}+\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j} h_{\lambda}-\bar{c} h_{\lambda}+E_{\lambda} \leq 0 \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda}
$$

And, thus (36),

$$
\left(\Delta+\bar{b}_{i} \partial_{i}+\bar{d}_{i j} \partial_{i j}-\bar{c}\right)\left(w_{\lambda}+h_{\lambda}\right) \leq 0 \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda} .
$$

Also, we have from the boundary condition and the definition of $v_{k}^{\lambda}$ and $h_{\lambda}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{\lambda}(y)\right|+v_{k}^{\lambda}(y) \leq \frac{C\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}{|y|^{3}}, \quad \forall|y|=\epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}(y)+h_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}(y)>0 \quad \forall|y|=\epsilon_{k} M_{k}^{4 / 9}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can use the maximum principle and the Hopf lemma to have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}+h_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}>0, \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda}, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}\left(w_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}+h_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}\right)>0, \text { in } \Sigma_{\lambda} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the previous estimates we conclude that $\bar{\lambda}^{k}=\lambda_{1}$ and the lemma is proved.

Given any $\lambda>0$, since the sequence $v_{k}$ converges to $U$ and $h_{\bar{\lambda}^{k}}$ converges to 0 on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(y) \geq U^{\lambda}(y), \quad \forall|y| \geq \lambda, \quad \forall 0<\lambda<\lambda_{1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda_{1}>0$ is arbitrary, and since we can apply the same argument to compare $v_{k}$ and $v_{k}^{\lambda, x}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(y) \geq U^{\lambda, x}(y), \quad \forall|y-x| \geq \lambda>0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus implies that $U$ is a constant which is a contradiction.
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