



HAL
open science

Harnack type inequality on Riemannian manifolds of dimensions 5

Samy Skander Bahoura, Jyotshana V. Prajapat

► **To cite this version:**

Samy Skander Bahoura, Jyotshana V. Prajapat. Harnack type inequality on Riemannian manifolds of dimensions 5. 2012. hal-00695015v1

HAL Id: hal-00695015

<https://hal.science/hal-00695015v1>

Preprint submitted on 7 May 2012 (v1), last revised 1 Mar 2023 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HARNACK TYPE INEQUALITY ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSIONS 4, 5 AND 6.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA AND JYOTSHANA V. PRAJAPAT

ABSTRACT. We give some estimates of type $\sup \times \inf$ on Riemannian manifold of dimensions 4, 5 and 6 for the Yamabe type equation.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we deal with the following Yamabe type equation in dimensions $n = 4, 5, 6$

$$-\Delta_g u + \bar{h}(x)u = n(n-2)u^{N-1}, \quad u > 0, \quad \text{and } N = \frac{n+2}{n-2}. \quad (1)$$

where \bar{h} is a continuous function. In the case $\frac{4(n-1)\bar{h}}{n-2} = R_g$ the scalar curvature, (1) is the Yamabe equation. Here, we assume \bar{h} a bounded function and $h_0 = \|\bar{h}\|_{L^\infty(M)}$. The equation (1) has been well studied when $M = \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, or $M = \mathbb{S}_n$, see for example, [2]-[4], [12], [16] and references therein, where sup-inf inequality or Harnack type inequalities are derived.

The corresponding equation in two dimensions on open set Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 , is

$$-\Delta u = V(x)e^u, \quad (2)$$

The equation (2) has also been studied by many authors and we can find important results about a priori estimates in [9], [10], [13], [17], and [21]. In particular, in [10] we have the interior estimate

$$\sup_K u \leq c = c(\inf_\Omega V, \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, \inf_\Omega u, K, \Omega).$$

And, precisely, in [9], [13], [17], and [21], we have

$$C \sup_K u + \inf_\Omega u \leq c = c(\inf_\Omega V, \|V\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, K, \Omega),$$

and,

$$\sup_K u + \inf_\Omega u \leq c = c(\inf_\Omega V, \|V\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)}, K, \Omega).$$

where K is a compact subset of Ω , C is a positive constant which depends on $\frac{\inf_\Omega V}{\sup_\Omega V}$, and, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C21; Secondary 35J60, 35B45, 35B50.

Key words and phrases. Harnack-type inequality, Riemannian manifold .

When M is a compact Riemannian manifold, some compactness results have been proved for the equation (1), see [19]. In [19], Li and Zhu proved that the energy is bounded and if we assume that M not diffeomorphic to the three sphere, then the solutions are uniformly bounded. To prove this result, they use the positive mass theorem. For general Riemannian manifold M of dimensions 3 and 4, not necessarily compact Li and Zhang [18] proved that the product $\sup \times \inf$ is bounded. The first author obtained Harnack type inequality for the solutions of

$$-8\Delta u + R_g u = V(x)u^5, \quad u > 0 \quad (3)$$

under certain conditions on V in [5] and [3].

There are other estimates of type $\sup + \inf$ on complex Monge-Ampere equation on compact manifolds, see [21-22]. They consider, on compact Kahler manifold (M, g) , the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} (\omega_g + \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi)^n = e^{f-t\varphi}\omega_g^n, \\ \omega_g + \partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0 \text{ on } M \end{cases}$$

And, they prove some estimates of type $\sup_M + m \inf_M \leq C$ or $\sup_M + m \inf_M \geq C$ under the positivity of the first Chern class of M .

In this paper, we will prove a Harnack type inequality for equation (1). Precisely,

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n = 4, 5$ or 6 and let $K \subset M$ be a compact set. Then, there exists a positive constant c , which depending only on $h_0 = \|\bar{h}\|_{L^\infty}$, K , M , the metric g and dimension n such that*

$$\sup_K u \times \inf_M u \leq c, \quad (4)$$

for any solution u of the equation (1).

Our proof is an extension of Brezis-Li [8] and Li-Zhang result in dimension 3 and 4 [18]. We refer to [18] for exposition on importance of studying these inequalities on manifolds. The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on moving plane method together with some ideas in [18] and [19]. The equation (1) is first written in local coordinates ([18]) which gives an expression for the Laplace-Beltrami operator as a perturbation of the usual Laplace operator and do blow up analysis. Then constructing suitable auxiliary function, we apply Lemma 2.1 of [19]. Here, we wish to point out that the restriction on dimension n is due to difficulty in estimating the perturbation terms of the Laplace-Beltrami operator. With finer estimates, the result could possibly be extended to higher dimension.

Note that our result is true for more general equations where h may not be the scalar curvature. Also, we extend the result of [5], where in the case $h \equiv \epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and u_ϵ solution of

$$-\Delta u_\epsilon + \epsilon u_\epsilon = V_\epsilon u_\epsilon^{N-1}, \quad u_\epsilon > 0. \quad (E_\epsilon)$$

we have

Corollary 1.2. *For all compact set K of M there is a positive constant c , which depends only on, K, M, g, n such that:*

$$\sup_K u_\epsilon \times \inf_M u_\epsilon \leq c,$$

for all u solution of (E_ϵ) .

Now, if we assume M a compact Riemannian manifold and $0 < a \leq V_\epsilon \leq b < +\infty$ then

Theorem 1.3. (see [3]). *For all positive numbers a, b, m there is a positive constant c , which depends only on, a, b, m, M, g such that:*

$$\epsilon \sup_M u_\epsilon \times \inf_M u_\epsilon \geq c,$$

for all u_ϵ solution of (E_ϵ) with

$$\max_M u_\epsilon \geq m > 0.$$

As a consequence of the two previous theorems, we can argue as in [3] that

Theorem 1.4. *For $n=4, 5, 6$, we have*

$$\max_M u_\epsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

and (up to a subsequence),

$$u_\epsilon \equiv \epsilon^{(n-2)/4}.$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

We claim that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\epsilon^{n-2} \max_{B(0,\epsilon)} u \times \min_{B(0,4\epsilon)} u \leq c = c(a, b, A, M, g). \quad (5)$$

Arguing by contradiction, we assume that there exists a sequence $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ and solutions u_k of (1) such that

$$\max_{B(0,\epsilon_k)} u_k \times \min_{B(0,4\epsilon_k)} u_k \geq k \epsilon_k^{2-n}. \quad (6)$$

The proof of the theorem consists of two main steps. The first is blow up analysis where we analyze the consequence of assuming (6) and obtain equations in local coordinates. In the second part, we wish to apply Lemma 2.1 of [20] and the moving plane method. This involves constructing a suitable auxiliary function and obtaining correct estimates.

Step 1: Blow-up analysis

For some $\bar{x}_k \in B(0, \epsilon_k)$, $u_k(\bar{x}_k) = \max_{B(0,\epsilon_k)} u_k$, due to (6),

$$u_k(\bar{x}_k)^2 \epsilon_k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By a standard selection process, we can find $x_k \in B(\bar{x}_k, \epsilon_k/2)$ and $\sigma_k \in (0, \epsilon_k/4)$ satisfying,

$$u_k(x_k)^2 \sigma_k \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (7)$$

$$u_k(x_k) \geq u_k(\bar{x}_k), \quad (8)$$

$$\text{and, } u_k(x) \leq C_1 u_k(x_k), \text{ in } B(x_k, \sigma_k), \quad (9)$$

where C_1 is some universal constant. It follows from above (6), (8) that

$$u_k(x_k) \times \min_{\partial B(x_k, 2\epsilon_k)} u_k \epsilon_k \geq u_k(\bar{x}_k) \times \min_{B(0, 4\epsilon_k)} u_k \epsilon_k \geq k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (10)$$

Let $\{z^1, \dots, z^n\}$ denote some geodesic normal coordinates centered at x_k (we use the exponential map). In the geodesic normal coordinates, the metric g is given by $g = g_{ij}(z) dz^i dz^j$ where

$$g_{ij}(z) - \delta_{ij} = O(r^2), \quad g := \det(g_{ij}(z)) = 1 + O(r^2), \quad h(z) = O(1), \quad (11)$$

where $r = |z|$. Thus,

$$\Delta_g u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_j u) = \Delta u + b_i \partial_i u + d_{ij} \partial_{ij} u,$$

where

$$b_j = O(r), \quad d_{ij} = O(r^2) \quad (12)$$

We have a new function

$$v_k(y) = M_k^{-1} u_k(M_k^{-2/(n-2)} y) \text{ for } |y| \leq 3\epsilon_k M_k^{2/(n-2)}$$

where $M_k = u_k(0)$. From (9) and (10) we have

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta v_k + \bar{b}_i \partial_i v_k + \bar{d}_{ij} \partial_{ij} v_k - \bar{c} v_k + v_k^{N-1} &= 0 \text{ for } |y| \leq 3\epsilon_k M_k^{2/(n-2)} \\ v_k(0) &= 1 \\ v_k(y) &\leq C_1 \text{ for } |y| \leq \sigma_k M_k^{2/(n-2)} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (13)$$

where C_1 is a universal constant and

$$\bar{b}_i(y) = M_k^{-2/(n-2)} b_i(M_k^{-2/(n-2)} y), \quad \bar{d}_{ij}(y) = d_{ij}(M_k^{-2/(n-2)} y) \quad (14)$$

and,

$$\bar{c}(y) = M_k^{-4/(n-2)} \bar{h}(M_k^{-2/(n-2)} y). \quad (15)$$

We can see that for $|y| \leq 3\epsilon_k M_k^{2/(n-2)}$,

$$|\bar{b}_i(y)| \leq C M_k^{-4/(n-2)} |y|, \quad |\bar{d}_{ij}(y)| \leq C M_k^{-4/(n-2)} |y|^2, \quad |\bar{c}(y)| \leq C M_k^{-4/(n-2)} \quad (16)$$

where C depends on n, M, g .

It follows from (13), (14), (15), (16) and the elliptic estimates, that, along a subsequence, v_k converges in C^2 norm on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 to a positive function U satisfying

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Delta U + U^{N-1} &= 0, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ with } N = \frac{n+2}{n-2} \\ U(0) &= 1, \quad 0 < U \leq 1. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (17)$$

According to Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck, see [10] we have an explicit form of U

$$U(y) = (1 + |y|^2)^{-(n-2)/2}. \quad (18)$$

Step 2: The Kelvin transform and moving-plane method

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, let,

$$v_k^1(y) := \frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} v_k \left(e + \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \quad (19)$$

denote the Kelvin transformation of v_k with respect to the unit ball, defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{0\}$ and $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. If we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_0(y) &= \frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} U \left(e + \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{(1 + 2y_1 + 2|y|^2)} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

then

$$v_1^k \rightarrow \bar{U}_0 \text{ in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\} \setminus \{0\}). \quad (21)$$

Note that \bar{U}_0 is still a solution of (17) and $\bar{U}_0(-e/2) = 2^{(n-2)/2}$ is the maximum of $\bar{U}_0(y)$. Hence v_1^k has a non degenerate, local maximum near $-e/2$ for all large k . To arrive at a contradiction to our assumption (6), we use the same method as in [19] of moving-plane, precisely Lemma 2.1 in [19] to show that $\frac{\partial v_k^1}{\partial x_1} < 0$ near the point $e^* = (-1/2, 0, \dots, 0) = -e/2$.

Note, that, if we consider $\tilde{x}_k = \exp_{x_k}(M_k^{-2/(n-2)}e)$, we work in the conformal coordinates in the exponential map around this point, for the blow-up analysis. A computation gives,

$$\partial_i v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) = |y|^{n-2} ((n-2)y_i v_k^1(y) + (\delta_{im}|y|^2 - 2y_i y_m) \partial_m v_k^1(y)),$$

and,

$$\partial_{ij} v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) = \alpha_1 v_k^1(y) + \beta_{1m} \partial_m v_k^1(y) + \gamma_{ml} \partial_{ml} v_k^1(y),$$

with,

$$\alpha_1 = (n-2)(\delta_{ij}|y|^n - ny_i y_j |y|^{n-2}),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{1m} &= |y|^{n-2} \{ (n-2)(\delta_{im}|y|^2 - 2y_i y_m)(y_i - y_j) \\ &\quad - 2(\delta_{im} y_j |y|^2 + \delta_{ij} y_m |y|^2 + \delta_{jm} y_i |y|^2 - 2y_i y_j y_m) \}, \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\gamma_{ml} = |y|^{n-2} (\delta_{im}|y|^2 - 2y_i y_m)(\delta_{jl}|y|^2 - 2y_j y_l).$$

The function v_k^1 satisfies the equation:

$$\Delta v_k^1 + (v_k^1)^{N-1} = E_1(y)$$

where,

$$E_1(y) = -\frac{1}{|y|^{n+2}} \left\{ \bar{b}_i \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \partial_i v_k \left(e + \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) + \bar{d}_{ij} \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \partial_{ij} v_k \left(e + \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) - \bar{c} \left(e + \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) v_k \left(e + \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \right\}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, v_k^1 is a solution of an equation

$$\Delta v_k^1 + \tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} v_k^1 + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m v_k^1 + \tilde{c} v_k^1 + (v_k^1)^{N-1} = 0, \quad (23)$$

with,

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml}| \leq C \frac{M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^2}, \quad (24)$$

$$|\tilde{b}_m| \leq C \frac{M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^3}, \quad (25)$$

$$\text{and, } |\tilde{c}| \leq C \frac{M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^4}. \quad (26)$$

Thus, if we denote

$$L_k := \Delta + \tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m + \tilde{c}, \quad (27)$$

then for any C^2 function g ,

$$L_k g \rightarrow \Delta g \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (28)$$

if say, $\partial_{ml} g$, $\partial_m g$ and $\tilde{c}g$ are all uniformly bounded.

For $\lambda < 0$, set $T_\lambda = \{y, y_1 = \lambda\}$, $\Sigma_\lambda = \{y, y_1 > \lambda\}$ and $\Sigma'_\lambda = \Sigma_\lambda - \{y : |y| \leq r_k^{-1/2}\}$. Let

$$v_k^{1,\lambda} = v_k^1(2\lambda - y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \quad (29)$$

and,

$$w_\lambda := v_k^1 - v_k^{1,\lambda}.$$

Then,

$$L_k w_\lambda + \tilde{b} w_\lambda = V_\lambda,$$

where,

$$V_\lambda = (\tilde{d}_{ml} - \tilde{d}_{ml}^\lambda) \partial_{ml} v_k^{1,\lambda} + (\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}_m^\lambda) \partial_m v_k^{1,\lambda} + (\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}^\lambda) v_k^{1,\lambda}, \quad (30)$$

and $\tilde{b}(x)$ lies between $v_k^1(x)$ and $v_k^{1,\lambda}(x)$.

From the expression of v_k^1 and $v_k^{1,\lambda}$, we have

$$|\partial_m v_k^{1,\lambda}| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^n} \right), \quad (31)$$

$$|\partial_{ml} v_k^{1,\lambda}| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^n} + \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n+1}} \right). \quad (32)$$

Hence, for $y \in \Sigma'_\lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{d}_{ml}\partial_{ml}w_\lambda| &\leq C \frac{M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^2} \left(\frac{1}{|y|^n} + \frac{1}{|y|^{n+1}} \right) \\ |\tilde{b}_m\partial_m w_\lambda| &\leq C \frac{M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^3} \left(\frac{1}{|y|^{n-1}} + \frac{1}{|y|^n} \right) \\ |\tilde{c}w_\lambda| &\leq C \frac{M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\frac{1}{2}R_k M_k^{2/(n-2)} < r_k \leq \eta_0 R_k M_k^{2/(n-2)}$, $R_k \rightarrow 0$ and η_0 is a constant chosen later. Observe that for dimensions $3 \leq n \leq 6$, all of

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml}\partial_{ml}w_\lambda|, |\tilde{b}_m\partial_m w_\lambda|, |\tilde{c}w_\lambda| \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly as } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ independent of } \lambda < 0. \quad (33)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\tilde{d}_{ml} - \tilde{d}_{ml}^\lambda)\partial_{ml}v_k^{1,\lambda}| &\leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^4|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}, \\ |(\tilde{b}_m - \tilde{b}_m^\lambda)\partial_m v_k^{1,\lambda}| &\leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^4|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$|(\tilde{c} - \tilde{c}^\lambda)v_k^{1,\lambda}| \leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^4|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}$$

implies that

$$V_\lambda \leq |V_\lambda| \leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^4|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}. \quad (34)$$

First of all we have the following lemma as in [19]

Lemma 2.1. *There exists constants $\lambda_0 \leq -2$ and $c_0 = c_0(n, \mu) > 0$, both independent of k such that:*

$$v_k^1(y) - v_k^1(y^{\lambda_0}) \geq c_0(1 + |y|)^{-n}(y_1 - \lambda)$$

for $y_1 \geq \lambda_0$ and $|y| \geq r_k^{-1/2}$.

Proof. To prove our estimate, we consider two sets $|y| \geq \delta > 0$ and $\delta \geq |y| \geq r_k^{-1/2}$. For the first set we use the same technique as in Prajapat-Lin paper ([19]), we use the C^2 convergence of v_k^1 to \bar{U}_0 and choose $|\lambda|$ big enough to have our estimate. For two positive constants C_1 and C_2 we write the estimate as follows:

$$v_k^1(y) - v_k^1(y^\lambda) \geq |\lambda| \frac{C_1}{|y|^n}(y_1 - \lambda) - \frac{C_2}{|y|^n}(y_1 - \lambda).$$

For $|y| > \delta$, $\left| \frac{y}{|y|^2} \right| = \frac{1}{|y|} < 1/\delta$. Using convergence of v_k to U in C^2 norm in $B(0, 1/\delta)$ we have $C' > v_k > C > 0$ for $|y| > \delta$. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
& v_k^1(y) - v_k^1(y^\lambda) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} \right) v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} \frac{v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) - v_k \left(\frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2} \right)}{\frac{y}{|y|^2} - \frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2}} \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} - \frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2} \right) \\
&=: I_1 + I_2. \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) - v_k \left(\frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2} \right)}{\frac{y}{|y|^2} - \frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2}}$$

appearing in the second term in (35) can be estimated by ∇U in the compact set $|y| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$ as in [19] again using the C^2 convergence of v_k . While

$$\frac{y}{|y|^2} - \frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2} = \frac{y - y^\lambda}{|y|^2} + y^\lambda \left(\frac{1}{|y|^2} - \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^2} \right) = 2 \frac{y_1 - \lambda}{|y|^2} + \frac{4(y_1 - \lambda)y^\lambda}{|y|^2 |y^\lambda|^2}.$$

Since $y_1 > \lambda$ we have $|y^\lambda| > -\lambda$ thus,

$$\left| \frac{4(y_1 - \lambda)y^\lambda}{|y|^2 |y^\lambda|^2} \right| < \frac{4(y_1 - \lambda)}{-\lambda |y|^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{y}{|y|^2} - \frac{y^\lambda}{|y^\lambda|^2} \leq \frac{6(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^2}$$

and

$$I_2 < \frac{C(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^2 |y^\lambda|^{n-2}}. \tag{36}$$

To estimate the first term I_1 , since $v_k > c > 0$ and $y_1 > \lambda$, we have

$$I_1 = \left(\frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} \right) v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) > c \frac{|y^\lambda|^{n-2} - |y|^{n-2}}{|y|^{n-2} |y^\lambda|^{n-2}}$$

We now use the binomial formula:

$$|y^\lambda|^{n-2} - |y|^{n-2} = (|y^\lambda| - |y|)(|y|^{k-1} + \dots + |y^\lambda|^{k-1}), k = n - 3.$$

Observe that

$$|y^\lambda| - |y| = \frac{|y^\lambda|^2 - |y|^2}{|y| + |y^\lambda|} = \frac{-4\lambda(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y| + |y^\lambda|}.$$

Thus,

$$|y^\lambda|^{n-2} - |y|^{n-2} = \frac{-4\lambda(y_1 - \lambda)(|y|^k + \dots + |y^\lambda|^k)}{|y| + |y^\lambda|}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} \right) v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) > c \frac{-4\lambda(y_1 - \lambda)(|y|^k + \dots + |y^\lambda|^k)}{(|y| + |y^\lambda|)|y|^{n-2}|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}$$

Finally, because $|y^\lambda| > |y|$, we have

$$\frac{|y|^k + \dots + |y^\lambda|^k}{(|y| + |y^\lambda|)|y|^{n-2}|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} > \frac{|y|^{n-4}(|y| + |y^\lambda|)}{(|y| + |y^\lambda|)|y|^{n-2}|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} = \frac{1}{|y|^2|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}.$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|y|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} \right) v_k \left(\frac{y}{|y|^2} \right) > \frac{c}{|y|^2|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 + I_2 > c \frac{-4\lambda(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^2|y^\lambda|^{n-2}} - C \frac{-4(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^2|y^\lambda|^{n-2}}$$

with $c, C > 0$ and for $-\lambda$ big enough, we have the required inequality. On the annulus $A_k(\delta) := \{y : r_k^{-1/2} \leq |y| \leq \delta\}$, using the maximum principle for v_k^1 we have

$$\min_{A_k(\delta)} v_k^1 = \min_{\partial A_k(\delta)} v_k^1 \quad (37)$$

where the boundary $\partial A_k(\delta)$ is the union of two set $|y| = r_k^{-1/2}$ and $|y| = \delta$. From (20), $\bar{U}_0(0) = 1$. Hence for given $\varepsilon > 0$ small, there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $|y| < \delta_0$,

$$1 - \varepsilon = \bar{U}_0(0) - \varepsilon < \bar{U}_0(y) < 1 + \varepsilon = \bar{U}_0(0) + \varepsilon. \quad (38)$$

Choosing ε sufficiently small, we have

$$\bar{U}_0(y) > (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \text{ for all } |y| \leq \delta_0. \quad (39)$$

While, for $y \in B(0, \delta)$, $y^\lambda \in B(0_\lambda, \delta)$ where $0_\lambda := (2\lambda, 0, \dots, 0)$ is the reflection of origin. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{U}_0(y^\lambda) &= \frac{1}{(1 + 2(2\lambda - y_1) + 2|y^\lambda|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + 2(2\lambda - y_1) + (2\lambda - y_1)^2)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1 + 2(2\lambda - \delta) + (2\lambda - \delta)^2)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - 2(\delta - 2\lambda) + (\delta - 2\lambda)^2)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\delta - 2\lambda - 1)^{(n-2)}} \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for $\lambda \leq -2$ and $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$. From C^2 convergence of v_k^1 to \bar{U}_0 in $B(0_\lambda, \delta)$, we have

$$v_k^1(y^\lambda) < (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\bar{U}_0(y^\lambda) \text{ in } B(0, \delta). \quad (41)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\{|y|=r_k^{-1/2}\}} v_k^1 &= r_k^{(n-2)/2} \min_{\{|y|=r_k^{1/2}\}} v_k \\ &\geq (1 + \varepsilon)r_k^{(n-2)/2} \min_{\{|y|=r_k^{1/2}\}} U(e + y) \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon) \min_{\{|y|=r_k^{-1/2}\}} \bar{U}_0(y) \\ &\geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\bar{U}_0(0) = (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Using C^2 convergence of v_k^1 to \bar{U}_0 on the compact set $|y| = \delta$ we have,

$$\min_{\{|y|=\delta\}} v_k^1 \geq (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{10}) \min_{\{|y|=\delta\}} \bar{U}_0. \quad (43)$$

In either case, for $\delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{A_k(\delta)} v_k^1 &\geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\bar{U}_0(0) = (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \\ &\geq (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})\bar{U}_0(y^\lambda) \\ &> (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4})v_k^1(y^\lambda) + \varepsilon/10 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

□

Recall that w_λ satisfies

$$L_k w_\lambda + \tilde{b} w_\lambda = V_\lambda \text{ in } \Sigma'_\lambda \quad (45)$$

where

$$V_\lambda \leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^4 |y^\lambda|^{n-2}}. \quad (46)$$

Now, consider the "auxiliary function"

$$h_\lambda = Ar_k^{(2-n)/2} G^\lambda(y, 0) - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} G^\lambda(y, \eta) \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\eta) d\eta, \quad (47)$$

with

$$\tilde{Q}_\lambda := \frac{C_1 M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{(|y| + r_k^{-1/2})^4 (|y| - \lambda)^{(n-2)}} = C_1 M_k^{-4/(n-2)} Q_\lambda \quad (48)$$

where we define

$$Q_\lambda = \frac{1}{(|y| + r_k^{-1/2})^4 (|y| - \lambda)^{(n-2)}}$$

for simplicity of notations. Here we choose constant $C_1 > 0$ (big enough) later. Note that

$$\Delta h_\lambda = \tilde{Q}_\lambda = \frac{C_1 M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{(|y| + r_k^{-1/2})^4 (|y| - \lambda)^{(n-2)}}.$$

It can be verified that

$$V_\lambda \leq \frac{C M_k^{-4/(n-2)} (y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^4 |y^\lambda|^{n-2}} \leq \tilde{Q}_\lambda. \quad (49)$$

The function h_k^λ satisfies the following properties:

Lemma 2.2. *The functions \tilde{b} and h_λ satisfy the following properties*

(i) For all $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq -\frac{1}{4}$,

$$0 \leq \tilde{b}(y) \leq \frac{C}{|y|^4} \text{ in } \Sigma'_\lambda \quad (50)$$

(ii) The auxiliary function $h_k^\lambda(x) = 0$ on $x_1 = \lambda$, $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda \leq -\frac{1}{4}$ and $h_k^\lambda(x) = O(|x|^{-\tau})$ for a constant $\tau > 0$;

(iii) $h_k^\lambda(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Sigma}'_\lambda)$ and

$$0 < h_\lambda(y) \leq A r_k^{-(n-2)/2} G^\lambda(y, 0) + C'_1 M_k^{-2/(n-2)} \frac{(y_1 - \lambda)}{|y|^n} o(1) \quad (51)$$

$$L_k h_\lambda \geq C_1 \tilde{Q}_\lambda. \quad (52)$$

(iv) $h_k^{\lambda_0}(x) \leq w_{\lambda_0}$ and that both h_k^λ and $\nabla_x h_k^\lambda$ are continuous with respect to both the variables x and λ in Σ'_λ . Moreover,

$$L_k w_\lambda + \tilde{b} w_\lambda \leq C_1 \tilde{Q}_\lambda \leq L_k h_\lambda \quad (53)$$

and hence,

$$L_k(w_\lambda - h_\lambda) + \tilde{b}(w_\lambda - h_\lambda) \leq -\tilde{b} h_\lambda \leq 0. \quad (54)$$

Most of the estimates mentioned from (i)-(iv) above are similar, but much simpler than those in section 4 of [19], and we refer the reader to that paper for details.

However, observe that we cannot apply Lemma 4.1 of [19] directly, as our operator is L_k . Here the crucial step is to have correct estimates for the perturbation terms in $L_k h_k^\lambda$, which we obtain in the following lemmas.

3. ESTIMATES FOR $L_k h_k^\lambda$

Lemma 3.1. (Estimate of $G^\lambda(y, 0)$) *The function $G^\lambda(y, 0)$ satisfies*

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} G^\lambda(y, 0) + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m G^\lambda(y, 0) + \tilde{c} G^\lambda(y, 0)| \leq r_k^{(n-2)/2} \frac{C M_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^4 |y^\lambda|^{n-2}}, \quad (55)$$

and hence

$$L_k G^\lambda(y, 0) \leq C_2 r_k^{(n-2)/2} Q_\lambda. \quad (56)$$

Proof. From the fact that,

$$G^\lambda(y, 0) = c_n(|y|^{2-n} - |y^\lambda|^{2-n}),$$

we have, around 0 and $+\infty$,

$$|\tilde{c}G^\lambda(y, 0)| \leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^4|y|^{n-2}} + \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^4|y^\lambda|^{n-2}},$$

$$|\tilde{b}_m \partial_m G^\lambda(y, 0)| \leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^3|y|^{n-1}} + \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^3|y^\lambda|^{n-1}},$$

and,

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} G^\lambda(y, 0)| \leq \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^2|y|^n} + \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^2|y^\lambda|^n},$$

For the previous expression, we remark that, around 0

$$|y|^3|y|^{n-1} = |y|^2|y|^n = |y|^4|y|^{n-2} \geq |y|^4 r_k^{-(n-2)/2},$$

Thus,

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} G^\lambda(y, 0) + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m G^\lambda(y, 0) + \tilde{c}G^\lambda(y, 0)| \leq r_k^{(n-2)/2} \frac{CM_k^{-4/(n-2)}}{|y|^4|y^\lambda|^{n-2}},$$

and hence

$$L_k G^\lambda(y, 0) \leq C_2 r_k^{(n-2)/2} \tilde{Q}_\lambda$$

□

Now, we look at the second term in h_λ by setting,

$$u = - \int_{\Sigma'_\lambda} G^\lambda(y, \eta) Q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

We have that u is a solution of a Dirichlet problem on Σ'_λ .

Lemma 3.2. (Estimate for u) *For the function u , we have*

$$\Delta u = C_1 Q_\lambda \tag{57}$$

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} u + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m u + \tilde{c}u| = o(1) Q_\lambda. \tag{58}$$

Proof. We want to prove that,

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} u + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m u + \tilde{c}u| = o(1) Q_\lambda$$

Because of the expression of G^λ , we consider u as a difference of two convolution product on Σ_λ . Thus, to differentiate u is equivalent to differentiating Q_λ inside the integral plus the boundary term. Our aim is to estimate the auxiliary function and its derivatives of order less than two near infinity. Write,

$$-\tilde{u} = \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} G^\lambda(y, \eta) Q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

and,

$$u - \tilde{u} = - \int_{B(0, r_k^{-1/2})} G^\lambda(y, \eta) Q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta$$

We denote Σ_λ^s the reflection of Σ_λ , with respect to the hyperplane $T_\lambda = \{y_1 = \lambda\}$, i.e., $\Sigma_\lambda^s := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_1 < \lambda\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -\tilde{u} &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} c_n (|y - \eta|^{2-n} - |y - \eta^\lambda|^{2-n}) Q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda} |y - \eta|^{2-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s} |y - \eta|^{2-n} Q_\lambda(\eta^\lambda) d\eta, \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y - \eta|^{2-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s} |y - \eta|^{2-n} (Q_\lambda(\eta) + Q_\lambda(\eta^\lambda)) d\eta \\ &=: f + v \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where

$$f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \Delta f = Q_\lambda \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \tag{61}$$

$$\text{and } \Delta v = 0 \text{ in } \Sigma_\lambda. \tag{62}$$

Behavior of \tilde{u} and $u - \tilde{u}$ near infinity: Let φ a cutoff function in the unit ball, i.e.,

$$0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, \quad \varphi \equiv 1 \text{ on } B(0, 1/2) \text{ and, } \varphi \equiv 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, 1)$$

We write,

$$f = f_1 + f_2,$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y - \eta|^{2-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi d\eta \text{ and} \\ f_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y - \eta|^{2-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) (1 - \varphi) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\Delta f_1 = Q_\lambda \varphi \text{ and } \Delta f_2 = Q_\lambda (1 - \varphi),$$

We use the Fourier transform to prove that f_2 is in the Schwartz space and thus,

$$|f_2| \leq C|y|^{2-n}, \quad |\partial f_2| \leq C|y|^{1-n}, \quad |\partial^2 f_2| \leq C|y|^{-n}$$

For f_1 we use the fact that in the present case, $|y|$ is big enough, and we differentiate inside the integral, ($|\eta| < |y|/2 \Rightarrow |y - \eta| > |y|/2$) to conclude

$$|f_1| \leq C|y|^{2-n}, \quad |\partial f_1| \leq C|y|^{1-n}, \quad |\partial^2 f_1| \leq C|y|^{-n}$$

Thus, for $|y|$ large,

$$|f| \leq C|y|^{2-n}, \quad |\partial f| \leq C|y|^{1-n}, \quad |\partial^2 f| \leq C|y|^{-n}. \tag{63}$$

For v , we have $y \in \Sigma_\lambda$ and the integral is taken over the reflected set Σ_λ^s . We set,

$$R_\lambda(\eta) = Q_\lambda(\eta) + Q_\lambda(\eta^\lambda),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} v(y) &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta, \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Second integral: If $|\eta| \leq |y|/2$ then $|y - \eta| \geq |y|/2$ and thus,

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{2-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{2-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases} \quad (65)$$

First integral: First, we have,

$$|R_\lambda(\eta)| \leq C(1 + |\eta|)^{-2-n},$$

If $|y - \eta| \leq |y|/2$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &\leq |R_\lambda(|y|/2)| \int_{\{|\eta-y| \leq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} d\eta \leq C(1 + |y|)^{-n}, \end{aligned}$$

if $|y|/2 \leq |y - \eta| \leq 3|y|$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ &\leq |R_\lambda(|y|/2)| \int_{\{|y|/2 \leq |\eta-y| \leq 3|y|\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} d\eta \\ &\leq C(1 + |y|)^{-n}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $3|y| \leq |y - \eta|$, $|\eta| = |y - \eta - y| \geq 2|y|$, and thus, $|\eta - y| = |\eta|(|\theta_\eta - \frac{y}{|\eta|}| \geq |\eta|/2$. With $|\theta_\eta| = 1$ the angular part of η . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{2-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ & \leq C|y|^{1-n} \int_{\{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} |\eta|^{-1-n} d\eta \\ & = C|y|^{1-n} \int_{\{r \geq |y|/2\}} r^{-2} dr \\ & = C|y|^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case too, we have

$$|\partial_i v| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{2-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{2-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

Estimate of the first derivatives of v : We have,

$$\partial_i v = \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} R_\lambda(\eta)$$

Second integral: If $|\eta| \leq |y|/2$ then $|y - \eta| \geq |y|/2$ and thus,

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{1-n} & \text{if } n = 4, \\ C|y|^{1-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

First integral: First, we have,

$$|R_\lambda(\eta)| \leq C(1 + |\eta|)^{-2-n},$$

If $|y - \eta| \leq |y|/2$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ & \leq |R_\lambda(|y|/2)| \int_{\{|\eta - y| \leq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{1-n} d\eta \leq C(1 + |y|)^{-1-n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|y|/2 \leq |y - \eta| \leq 3|y|$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \\ & \leq |R_\lambda(|y|/2)| \int_{\{|y|/2 \leq |\eta - y| \leq 3|y|\}} |y - \eta|^{1-n} d\eta \leq C(1 + |y|)^{-1-n}. \end{aligned}$$

For $3|y| \leq |y - \eta|$, $|\eta| = |y - \eta - y| \geq 2|y|$, and thus, $|y - \eta| = |\eta|(|\theta_\eta - y/\eta|) \geq |\eta|/2$. With $|\theta_\eta| = 1$ the angular part of η . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| & \leq C|y|^{-n} \int_{\{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} |\eta|^{-1-n} d\eta \\ & = C|y|^{-n} \int_{\{r \geq |y|/2\}} r^{-2} dr \\ & = C|y|^{-1-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\partial_i v| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{1-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{1-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

Estimates for the second derivatives: We write,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ij} v & = \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} \partial_j((y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n}) R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \\ & \quad - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} \partial_j((y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n}) R_\lambda(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Second integral: If $|\eta| \leq |y|/2$ then $|y - \eta| \geq |y|/2$ and $|\partial_j((y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n})| \leq |y - \eta|^{-n}$, thus,

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} \partial_j((y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n}) R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

First integral: We use an integration by part,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} \partial_j \frac{(y_i - \eta_i)}{|y - \eta|^{-n}} R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta = \\ & - \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} \frac{(y_i - \eta_i)}{|y - \eta|^{-n}} \partial_j R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta + \int_{\partial(\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\sigma| \geq |y|/2\})} \frac{(y_i - \sigma_i)}{|y - \sigma|^{-n}} R_\lambda(\sigma) \nu_j(\sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

From, the computation for the first derivatives, we have,

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} \frac{(y_i - \eta_i)}{|y - \eta|^{-n}} \partial_j R_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \right| \leq C|y|^{-n},$$

The boundary term has the following decomposition, $\partial(\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}) = (\partial\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}) \cup (\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\eta| = |y|/2\})$. For the first boundary, $\nu_j(\sigma) = 0$ for $j \neq 1$, and thus,

$$\int_{\partial(\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\sigma| \geq |y|/2\})} \frac{(y_i - \sigma_i)}{|y - \sigma|^{-n}} R_\sigma(\sigma) \nu_j(\sigma) d\sigma = \int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\sigma| = |y|/2\}} \frac{(y_i - \sigma_i)}{|y - \sigma|^{-n}} R_\sigma(\sigma) \nu_j(\sigma) d\sigma$$

Clearly, we have,

$$\int_{\Sigma_\lambda^s \cap \{|\sigma| = |y|/2\}} \frac{|y_i - \sigma_i|}{|y - \sigma|^{-n}} |R_\sigma(\sigma)| |\nu_j(\sigma)| d\sigma \leq C|y|^{-n},$$

Thus, for $j \neq 1$, we have:

$$|\partial_{ij} v| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

But, $\Delta v = 0$, thus,

$$|\partial_{11} v| = \left| \sum_{i=2}^n \partial_{ii} v \right| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we have:

$$|\partial_i u| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{1-n} & \text{if } n = 4 \\ C|y|^{1-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

and,

$$|\partial_{ij} u| \leq \begin{cases} Cr_k |y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 4, \\ C|y|^{-n} & \text{if } n = 5, 6. \end{cases}$$

Estimate for $u - \tilde{u}$: Around infinity, we use the fact that, y is big enough ($|\eta| < |y|/2 \Rightarrow |y - \eta| > |y|/2$) and we differentiate inside the integral, to have:

$$|u - \tilde{u}| \leq C|y|^{2-n}, \quad |\partial(u - \tilde{u})| \leq C|y|^{1-n}, \quad |\partial^2(u - \tilde{u})| \leq C|y|^{-n}. \quad (68)$$

Behavior of u and $u - \tilde{u}$ near 0: The function f_2 is smooth and solution of an elliptic equation with $Q_\lambda(1 - \varphi) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, thus, by the elliptic estimates, we have,

$$\|f_2\|_{C^2(B(0,1))} \leq C,$$

We write the function f_1 as,

$$f_1(y) = \int_{B(0,1)} |y - \eta|^{2-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi d\eta$$

note that, $|Q_\lambda(\eta)| \leq Cr_k^2$ and thus,

$$|f_1(y)| \leq Cr_k^2$$

Moreover, we can write

$$\partial_i f_1 = \int_{B(0,1)} (y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi d\eta$$

Thus,

$$|\partial_i f_1| \leq \int_{B(0,1)} |y - \eta|^{1-n} Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi d\eta \leq Cr_k^2,$$

Also, we can write, (see, Gilbarg-Trudinger),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ij} f_1(y) &= \int_{B(0,1)} \partial_j ((y_i - \eta_i) |y - \eta|^{-n}) (Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta)) d\eta \\ &\quad + Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y) \int_{\partial B(0,1)} (y_i - \sigma_i) |y - \sigma|^{-n} d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|\partial_{ij} f_1(y)| \leq C \int_{B(0,1)} |y - \eta|^{-n} |Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y)| d\eta + Cr_k^2$$

We write,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B(0,1)} \frac{|Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y)|}{|y - \eta|^n} d\eta = \\ &\int_{B(0,1) \cap \{|\eta| \geq |y|/2\}} \frac{|Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y)|}{|y - \eta|^n} d\eta \\ &+ \int_{B(0,1) \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} \frac{|Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y)|}{|y - \eta|^n} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Second integral: We have $|\eta| \leq |y|/2$, thus $|y - \eta| \geq |y|/2$, and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B(0,1) \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{-n} |Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{Cr_k^2}{|y|} \int_{B(0,1) \cap \{|\eta| \leq |y|/2\}} |y - \eta|^{1-n} d\eta \\ &\leq \frac{Cr_k^2}{|y|}. \end{aligned}$$

First integral: We write,

$$Q_\lambda(\eta) \varphi(\eta) - Q_\lambda(y) \varphi(y) = (\eta - y) \nabla Q_\lambda(\xi), \quad \text{with, } \xi \text{ between } \eta \text{ and } y,$$

We remark that,

$$|\nabla Q_\lambda(\xi)| \leq C(|\xi| + r_k^{-1/2})^{-5},$$

If $|y| \leq |\xi| \leq |\eta|$, then,

$$|\nabla Q_\lambda(\xi)| \leq C(|y| + r_k^{-1/2})^{-5} \leq Cr_k^2/|y|$$

If $|y|/2 \leq |\eta| \leq |\xi| \leq |y|$,

$$|\nabla Q_\lambda(\xi)| \leq C(|\eta| + r_k^{-1/2})^{-5} \leq Cr_k^2/|y|$$

Finally, we have:

$$|\partial_{ij} f_1(y)| \leq Cr_k^2/|y|$$

Now, we estimate v near 0, as for f we decompose v in two functions v_1 and v_2 , and we see that $y \in \Sigma_\lambda$ small enough is far from the symmetral Σ_λ^s of Σ_λ . And we differentiate inside the integral to have:

$$|\partial_{ij} v_1(y)| \leq Cr_k^2 \text{ and } |\partial_{ij} v_2(y)| \leq C.$$

Now, for $u - \tilde{u}$, we use the fact that $|y| \geq \sigma r_k^{-1/2}$ with $\sigma > 1$ and the elliptic interior estimates to have (we differentiate inside the integral)

$$|u - \tilde{u}|_{C^0(B(0,1))} \leq Cr_k, \quad |u - \tilde{u}|_{C^1(B(0,1))} \leq Cr_k^{3/2}, \quad |u - \tilde{u}|_{C^2(B(0,1))} \leq Cr_k^2$$

It follows that

$$|\tilde{d}_{ml} \partial_{ml} u + \tilde{b}_m \partial_m u + \tilde{c} u| = o(1) Q_\lambda \quad (69)$$

and that

$$L_k u = (C_1 + o(1)) Q_\lambda. \quad (70)$$

□

As in [19], we have the following lemma (which we state without proof)

Lemma 3.3. . For Q_λ , we have in Σ'_λ for $\lambda \leq -1/4$ and for large k :

$$r_k^{(n-2)/2} \int_{\Sigma'_\lambda} G^\lambda(y, \eta) \tilde{Q}_\lambda(\eta) d\eta = o(1) G^\lambda(y, 0).$$

If , we choose $A > 0$ small enough in the definition of h_λ , we have,

$$h_\lambda > 0,$$

$$L_k h_\lambda \geq C_1 \tilde{Q}_\lambda.$$

We can now use Lemma 4.1 of [19] to obtain a contradiction, and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aubin T., "Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag 1998
- [2] Bahoura, S.S., Majorations du type $\sup u \times \inf u \leq c$ pour l'équation de la courbure scalaire sur un ouvert de \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. J. Math. Pures. Appl.(9) 83 2004 no, 9, 1109-1150.
- [3] Bahoura, S.S., Harnack inequalities for Yamabe type equations. Bull. Sci. Math. 133 (2009), no. 8, 875-892
- [4] Bahoura, S.S., Lower bounds for $\sup + \inf$ and $\sup \times \inf$ and an extension of Chen-Lin result in dimension 3. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 28 (2008), no. 4, 749-758
- [5] Bahoura, S.S., Estimations uniformes pour l'equation de Yamabe en dimensions 5 et 6. J. Funct. Anal. 242 (2007), no. 2, 550-562.
- [6] Bahoura, S.S., $\sup \times \inf$ inequality on manifold of dimension 3, to appear in MATH-EMATICA AETERNA
- [7] Brezis,H., Li Y., Some nonlinear elliptic equations have only constant solutions. J. Partial Differential Equations 19 (2006), no. 3, 208217.
- [8] Brezis,H., Li Y., Shafir I., A $\sup + \inf$ inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [9] Brezis H., Merle F., Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in two dimensions, Commun Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991), 1223-1253.
- [10] Caffarelli L., Gidas B., Spruck J., Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984) 369-402.
- [11] Chen C-C, Lin C.S., Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. L(1997) 0971-1017.
- [12] Chen C-C, Lin C.S., A sharp $\sup + \inf$ inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [13] B. Gidas, W-Y. Ni, L. Nirenberg. Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle. Comm. Math. Phys. 68 (1979), no. 3, 209-243.
- [14] J.M. Lee, T.H. Parker. The Yamabe problem. Bull.Amer.Math.Soc (N.S) 17 (1987), no.1, 37 -91.
- [15] YY. Li. Prescribing scalar curvature on \mathbb{S}_n and related Problems. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 317 (1993) 159-164. Part I: J. Differ. Equations 120 (1995) 319-410. Part II: Existence and compactness. Comm. Pure Appl.Math.49 (1996) 541-597.
- [16] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the Method of Moving Planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).
- [17] YY. Li, L. Zhang. A Harnack type inequality for the Yamabe equation in low dimensions. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004), no. 2, 133–151.
- [18] YY.Li, M. Zhu. Yamabe Type Equations On Three Dimensional Riemannian Manifolds. Commun.Contem.Mathematics, vol 1. No.1 (1999) 1-50.
- [19] Lin, C-S, Prajapat, J, V. Harnack type inequality and a priori estimates for solutions of a class of semilinear elliptic equations. J. Differential Equations 244 (2008), no. 3, 649-695.
- [20] I. Shafir. A $\sup + \inf$ inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [21] Y-T. Siu. The existence of Kahler-Einstein metrics on manifolds with positive anti-canonical line bundle and a suitable finite symmetry group. Ann. of Math. (2) 127 (1988), no. 3, 585627
- [22] G. Tian. A Harnack type inequality for certain complex Monge-Ampre equations. J. Differential Geom. 29 (1989), no. 3, 481488.

HARNACK TYPE INEQUALITY ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS OF DIMENSIONS 4, 5 AND n

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 2
PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE
E-mail address: samybahoura@yahoo.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PETROLEUM INSTITUTE, P.O. 2533, ABU
DHABI, U.A.E.
E-mail address: jprajapat@pi.ac.ae