

Convexity of the Set of k-Admissible Functions on a Compact Kähler Manifold.

Asma Jbilou

▶ To cite this version:

Asma J
bilou. Convexity of the Set of k-Admissible Functions on a Compact Kähler Manifold.
. 2012. hal-00694502

HAL Id: hal-00694502 https://hal.science/hal-00694502

Preprint submitted on 4 May 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CONVEXITY OF THE SET OF K-ADMISSIBLE FUNCTIONS ON A COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLD

Asma JBILOU *

May 4th, 2012

Abstract

We prove in this article using some convex analysis results of A. S. Lewis the log-concavity of spectral elementary symmetric functions on the space of Hermitian matrices, and the convexity of the set of k-admissible functions on compact Kähler manifolds.

Keywords - Spectral Functions, Log-concavity, Convexity, Admissible Functions, Hessian Equations, Kähler Manifolds.

1 INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

All manifolds considered in this article are **connected**. Let (M, J, g, ω) be a compact connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m \geq 1$. Fix an integer $1 \leq k \leq m$. Let $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and let us consider the (1, 1)-form $\tilde{\omega} = \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ and the associated 2-tensor \tilde{g} defined by $\tilde{g}(X, Y) = \tilde{\omega}(X, JY)$. Consider the sesquilinear forms h and \tilde{h} on $T^{1,0}$ defined by $h(U, V) = g(U, \overline{V})$ and $\tilde{h}(U, V) = \tilde{g}(U, \overline{V})$. We denote by $\lambda(g^{-1}\tilde{g})$ the eigenvalues of \tilde{h} with respect to the hermitian form h. By definition, these are the eigenvalues of the unique endomorphism A of $T^{1,0}$ satisfying :

$$\tilde{h}(U,V) = h(U,AV) \quad \forall U,V \in T^{1,0}$$
(1)

Calculations infer that the endomorphism A writes :

$$\begin{split} A: T^{1,0} &\to T^{1,0} \\ U^i \partial_i &\mapsto A^j_i U^i \partial_j = g^{j\bar{\ell}} \tilde{g}_{i\bar{\ell}} U^i \partial_j \end{split}$$

^{*}Rational Homotopy Theory Moroccan Research Group, Marrakech, MOROCCO. E-mail : asmajbilou@yahoo.fr .

A is a self-adjoint/hermitian endomorphism of the hermitian space $(T^{1,0}, h)$, therefore $\lambda(g^{-1}\tilde{g}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let us consider the following cone : $\Gamma_k = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m / \forall 1 \leq j \leq k, \sigma_j(\lambda) > 0\}$, where σ_j denotes the *j*-th elementary symmetric function.

Definition 1.1. φ is said to be k-admissible if and only if $\lambda(g^{-1}\tilde{g}) \in \Gamma_k$.

In a note in the Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris published online in December 2009 [7], we solve the equations $\tilde{\omega}^k \wedge \omega^{m-k} = \frac{e^f}{\binom{m}{k}} \omega^m(E_k)$, when the holomorphic bisectional curvature of M is non-negative. In this proof performed by the continuity method, two results following from convex analysis techniques were needed, namely the Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4.

Let us now introduce some convex analysis notations. Let $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the space of complex Hermitian matrices of order m. We recall that for any two matrices B and C of $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called a B-eigenvalue of C if there exists $x \neq 0$ in \mathbb{C}^m such that $Cx = \lambda Bx$, x is then called a B-eigenvector of C. Let $B \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be **a fixed** positive definite matrix. Let us recall the following basic result :

Lemma 1.2. Let $C \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$, then :

- 1. The spectrum of $B^{-1}C$ (i.e. the B-spectrum of C) is entirely real.
- 2. The greatest eigenvalue of $B^{-1}C$ (i.e. the greatest B-eigenvalue of C) equals $\sup_{u\neq 0} \frac{\langle Cu,u\rangle}{\langle Bu,u\rangle}$, where $\langle .,. \rangle$ denotes the standard Hermitian product of \mathbb{C}^m .
- 3. $B^{-1}C$ is diagonalizable.

Since the spectrum of $B^{-1}C$ is the spectrum of the Hermitian matrix $B^{-\frac{1}{2}}CB^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the proof is an easy adaptation of the standard one for symmetric matrices. For a given hermitian matrix C, we denote by $\lambda_B(C)$ the eigenvalues of Cwith respect to B. In this article, we prove the following four results using the Theorem 2.3 and the Corollary 2.4 of Lewis [9] (see Theorem 3 of this article) :

Theorem 1. For each $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$, the function :

$$F_k^B : \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \ C \mapsto F_k^B(C) = \begin{cases} -\ln \sigma_k \left(\lambda_B(C)\right) \text{ if } C \in \lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma_k) \\ +\infty \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

where $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma_k) := \{ C \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}) / \lambda_B(C) \in \Gamma_k \}, \text{ is convex.}$

Theorem 2. If Γ is a (non empty) symmetric convex closed set of \mathbb{R}^m , then $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma) := \{C \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}) / \lambda_B(C) \in \Gamma\}$ is a convex closed set of $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, $\lambda_B^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma_k})$ is a convex closed set of $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

By the Theorem 1, and since $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma_k)$ is convex (Theorem 2), we deduce that :

Corollary 1.3. The function :

$$-F_k^B : \lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma_k) \to \mathbb{R}, C \mapsto F_k^B(C) = \ln \sigma_k \left(\lambda_B(C)\right)$$
(3)

is concave.

The method used here to prove the Corollary 1.3, gives for B = I a different approach from the proof of [3] and the elementary proof of [6, p. 51] and [8]. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2, we get the following important result, that allows to notably simplify the proof of uniqueness of the solution of the equation (E_k) in comparison with [8] :

Corollary 1.4. For a compact connected Kähler manifold (M, J, g, ω) , the set of k-admissible functions $\mathcal{A}_k := \{\varphi \in C^2(M, \mathbb{R}) / \lambda_\omega(\omega + i\partial \overline{\partial} \varphi) \in \Gamma_k\}$ is convex.

2 Some convex analysis

The space $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$ has a structure of **Euclidean space** thanks to the following scalar product $\ll A, B \gg = tr({}^t\overline{A}B) = tr(AB)$, called **the Schur product**. Let us denote by $\Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the set of functions $u : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ that are convex, lower semi-continuous on \mathbb{R}^m , and finite in at least one point. Given $u \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ symmetric and $B \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$ **positive definite**, we define :

$$V_u^B : \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \text{ by } C \mapsto V_u^B(C) := u(\lambda_{B,1}(C), ..., \lambda_{B,m}(C))$$

where $\lambda_{B,1}(C) \geq \lambda_{B,2}(C) \geq ... \geq \lambda_{B,m}(C)$ denote the *B*-eigenvalues of *C* repeated with their multiplicity. Such functions V_u^B are called functions of *B*-eigenvalues or *B*-spectral functions. Our first aim is to determine the conjugation for such a function V_u^B using the conjugate function of *u*. Let us remind that the conjugation or the Legendre–Fenchel transform of *u* is the function $u^* : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined by :

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad u^*(s) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left\{ \prec s, x \succ -u(x) \right\}$$

where $\prec ... \succ$ denotes the standard scalar product on \mathbb{R}^m .

Theorem 3 (A. S. Lewis [9], Conjugation of spectral functions). Let $u \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be symmetric, then :

- 1. The conjugate $u^* \ (\in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m))$ is also symmetric.
- 2. The functions of eigenvalues V_u^I and $V_{u^*}^I$ (defined as above) belong to $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}))$ with $V_{u^*}^I = (V_u^I)^*$, so that in particular the function of eigenvalues V_u^I is convex and lower semi-continuous.

Proof. See the Theorem 2.3 and the Corollary 2.4 of Lewis [9]. \Box

A similar theorem is proved in the case of symmetric matrices in [10] and [5] (you can see also [2] and [1] for some details).

Corollary 2.1 (Conjugation of *B*-spectral functions). Let $u \in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be symmetric, then :

- 1. The conjugate $u^* \ (\in \Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m))$ is also symmetric.
- 2. The functions of B-eigenvalues V_u^B and $V_{u^*}^B$ (defined as above) belong to $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}))$ with $V_{u^*}^{B^{-1}} = (V_u^B)^*$, so that in particular the function of B-eigenvalues V_u^B is convex and lower semi-continuous.

3 Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is a direct application of the Corollary 2.1 to the function :

$$u: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \ x = (x_1, ..., x_m) \mapsto u(x) = \begin{cases} -\ln \sigma_k(x_1, ..., x_m) & \text{if } x \in \Gamma_k \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Our function u is symmetric and belongs to $\Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$, indeed :

- It is clearly symmetric. It is finite in a least one point of \mathbb{R}^m because Γ_k is non empty. And it is convex, because the function $(\sigma_k)^{\frac{1}{k}} : \Gamma_k \to \mathbb{R}$ is concave [3, p. 269].
- It is lower semi-continuous. Indeed, let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the set :

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / +\infty \ge u(x) > c\} = \{x \in \Gamma_k / u(x) > c\} \cup \{x \notin \Gamma_k / u(x) > c\}$$
$$= \{x \in \Gamma_k / -\ln \sigma_k(x) > c\} \cup \left(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \Gamma_k\right)$$
(5)

By continuity, $\{x \in \Gamma_k / -\ln \sigma_k(x) > c\}$ is an open set of Γ_k , it is then an open of \mathbb{R}^m since Γ_k is an open of \mathbb{R}^m . Furthermore, the cone Γ_k is also a closed set of \mathbb{R}^m (as a connected component), consequently $\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \Gamma_k$ is an open set of \mathbb{R}^m . Therefore, $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / +\infty \ge u(x) > c\}$ is an open set of \mathbb{R}^m too. This is valid for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$, so that u is lower semi-continuous.

Therefore, we deduce by the Corollary 2.1 that the *B*-spectral function $V_u^B = F_k^B$ is convex, which proves the theorem.

Let us remark that the same technique allows to prove for example that the functions

$$V(C) := \text{"the greatest } B\text{-eigenvalue" of } C \text{ and}$$
$$V_s(C) := \text{"the sum of the } s \text{ greatest } B\text{-eigenvalues" of } C$$
$$\text{with } s \in \{1, ..., m\}, \tag{6}$$

are convex on $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 goes by considering the indicatrix function $f_0 := I_{\Gamma}$ of the set Γ , namely :

$$f_0 := I_{\Gamma} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \ x = (x_1, ..., x_m) \mapsto I_{\Gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \Gamma \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(7)

From the assumptions made on Γ , f_0 lies in $\Gamma_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and is symmetric, indeed :

- This function is clearly finite in at least one point since Γ is non empty.
- The inequality $I_{\Gamma}(tx + (1 t)y) \leq tI_{\Gamma}(x) + (1 t)I_{\Gamma}(y)$ is valid for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$. Indeed, if $x, y \in \Gamma$ then $tx + (1 t)y \in \Gamma$ by convexity of Γ and the two sides of the convexity inequality equal 0 in this case. Furthermore, if x or y does not belong to Γ then the right side of the inequality equals $+\infty$ and the inequality is then satisfied in this case too, which proves that I_{Γ} is convex.
- I_{Γ} is lower semi-continuous. Indeed, let $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$: If $a \ge 0$ then $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / +\infty \ge I_{\Gamma}(x) > a\} = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \Gamma$ is an open set since Γ is closed. Besides, if a < 0, $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m / +\infty \ge I_{\Gamma}(x) > a\} = \mathbb{R}^m$ is an open set too.

So Corollary 2.1 implies that the function of *B*-eigenvalues $V_{I_{\Gamma}}^{B}$ lies in $\Gamma_{0}(\mathbb{R}^{m})$; in particular it is, convex lower semi-continuous. But this function is given

$$V_{I_{\Gamma}}^{B}: \mathcal{H}_{m}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, \ C \mapsto V_{I_{\Gamma}}^{B}(C) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \in \lambda_{B}^{-1}(\Gamma) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(8)

In other words, it coincides with $I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}$, the indicatrix function of $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$. So the latter must itself be convex lower semi-continuous. As a consequence, $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is a convex closed (non empty) set of $\mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C})$, indeed :

• $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is convex because if $C, D \in \lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we have by convexity of $I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}$,

$$I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}(tC + (1-t)D) \le t \underbrace{I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}(C)}_{=0} + (1-t) \underbrace{I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}(D)}_{=0}$$
(9)

then necessarily $I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}(tC+(1-t)D) = 0$ and $tC+(1-t)D \in \lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$.

• The set $\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is closed because $\{M \in \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}) / + \infty \ge I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}(M) > 0\} = \mathcal{H}_m(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is an open set since $I_{\lambda_B^{-1}(\Gamma)}$ is lower semicontinuous.

4 SIMPLIFICATION OF THE PROOF OF UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLU-TION OF (E_k)

The Corollary 1.4 allows to notably simplify the proof of uniqueness of the solution of the equation (E_k) in comparison with [8].

Let φ_0 and φ_1 be two smooth k-admissible solutions of the equation (E_k) such that $\int_M \varphi_0 \omega^m = \int_M \varphi_1 \omega^m = 0$. For all $t \in [0, 1]$, let us consider the function $\varphi_t = t \varphi_1 + (1-t) \varphi_0 = \varphi_0 + t \varphi$ with $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_0$. Let $P \in M$, and let us denote $h_k^P(t) = f_k([\delta_i^j + g^{j\bar{\ell}}(P)\partial_{i\bar{\ell}}\varphi_t(P)])$. We have $h_k^P(1) - h_k^P(0) = 0$ which is equivalent to $\int_0^1 h_k^{P'}(t) dt = 0$. But :

$$h_k^{P'}(t) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial B_i^\ell} ([\delta_i^j + g^{j\bar{\ell}}(P)\partial_{i\bar{\ell}}\varphi_t(P)]) g^{\ell\bar{j}}(P)\right)}_{=:\alpha_{ij}^t(P)} \partial_{i\bar{j}}\varphi(P)$$

Therefore we obtain :

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(P) := \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a_{ij}(P) \,\partial_{i\overline{j}}\varphi(P) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad a_{ij}(P) = \int_{0}^{1} \alpha_{ij}^{t}(P) \,dt$$

We show easily that the matrix $[a_{ij}(P)]_{1 \le i,j \le m}$ is hermitian [6, p. 53]. By the Corollary 1.4, we know that for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all points $m \in M$, $\lambda(g^{-1}\tilde{g}_{\varphi_t})(m) \in \Gamma_k$, namely that the functions $(\varphi_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ are k-admissible. We check then easily that the hermitian matrix $[a_{ij}(m)]_{1 \leq i,j \leq m}$ is positive definite for all $m \in M$ [6, p. 54]. Consequently, the operator \mathcal{L} is elliptic on M. But the map φ is C^{∞} and satisfies $\mathcal{L}\varphi = 0$, then by the Hopf maximum principle [4], we deduce that φ is constant on M. Besides $\int_M \varphi \omega^m = 0$, therefore we deduce that $\varphi \equiv 0$ on M namely that $\varphi_1 \equiv \varphi_0$ on M, which achieves the proof of uniqueness.

Acknowledgement - The present results are an auxiliary, but independent, part of my PhD dissertation [6].

References

- [1] J. M. Borwein, A. S. Lewis, Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization : Theory and Examples, Springer (2000).
- [2] S. Boyd, L. Vandenberghe, Convex Optimization, Cambridge University Press (2004).
- [3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, III : Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, Acta Math. 155 : 261-301 (1985).
- [4] E. Hebey, Introduction à l'analyse non linéaire sur les variétés, Diderot (1997).
- [5] J-B. Hiriart-Urruty, Optimisation et Analyse Convexe : Exercices corrigés, EDP Sciences (2009).
- [6] A. Jbilou, Equations hessiennes complexes sur des variétés kählériennes compactes, Thèse, Univ. Nice Sophia-Antipolis (February 19th, 2010) : Downloadable at http://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00463111
- [7] A. Jbilou, Equations hessiennes complexes sur des variétés kählériennes compactes, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 348 : 41-46 (2010).
- [8] A. Jbilou, Complex Hessian Equations on some Compact Kähler Manifolds, Preprint hal (2012) : Downloadable at http://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/hal-00693152
- [9] A. S. Lewis, Convex Analysis on the Hermitian Matrices, SIAM J. Optimization Vol. 6, No. 1 : 164-177 (1996).
- [10] A. Seeger, Convex Analysis of Spectrally Defined Matrix Functions, Technical Report 179, Department of Mathematical Sciences, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia (July 1995).