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#### Abstract

A graph $G=(V, E)$ is arbitrarily partitionable if for any sequence $\tau$ of positive integers adding up to $|V|$, there is a sequence of vertex-disjoint subsets of $V$ whose orders are given by $\tau$, and which induce connected subgraphs. Such graph models, e.g., a computer network which may be arbitrarily partitioned into connected subnetworks. In this paper we study the structure of such graphs and prove that unlike in some related problems, arbitrarily partitionable graphs may have arbitrarily many components after removing a cutset of a given size $\geq 2$. The sizes of these components grow exponentially, though.


## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Arbitrarily partitionable graphs

Consider a computer network which we want to partition into disjoint, but connected, subnetworks of given sizes. If it is always feasible regardless of the sizes of the subnetworks, then the underlying graph, where computers are represented by vertices and links between two computers by edges, is arbitrarily partitionable.

More formally, let $\mathrm{n}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{k}$ be positive integers such that $\tau_{1}+\ldots+\tau_{k}=n$. Then $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{k}\right)$ is called a decomposition of $n$.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph of order $n$ and $S$ a subset of $V$. By $G[S]$ we denote the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$. Let $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{k}\right)$ be a decomposition of $n$. The graph $G$ is called $\tau$-partitionable iff there exists a partition of $V: V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ such that for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$,

- $\left|V_{i}\right|=\tau_{i}$,
- $G\left[V_{i}\right]$ is connected.

In this case, $\tau$ is said to be realizable in $G$ and $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}\right)$ is a realization of $\tau$ in $G$.
A graph $G$ of order $n$ is arbitrarily partitionable (AP for short) iff for each decomposition $\tau$ of $n$, $G$ is $\tau$-partitionable.

[^0]
### 1.2 On-line and recursive partitions

The problem of arbitrary partitionability gave rise to a list of natural stronger properties. Suppose for instance that the whole list of sizes of subnetworks is initially not known, but its elements are requested on-line, i.e., one by one. Using the graph modeling, this means that upon (any) request we must be able to provide a connected subgraph of a given order such that the remaining part of the graph retains the same feature. Graphs which have this property for any sequence of requests are called on-line arbitrarily partitionable (or OLAP for short).

In other words, a connected graph $G=(V, E)$ of order $n$ is on-line arbitrarily partitionable iff for each integer $1 \leq \lambda \leq n-1$, there exists a subset $V_{\lambda}$ of $V$ such that

- $\left|V_{\lambda}\right|=\lambda$,
- $G\left[V_{\lambda}\right]$ is connected,
- $G\left[V \backslash V_{\lambda}\right]$ is OLAP,
see [HTW07] for details.
Another family of arbitrarily partitionable graphs has been considered in [BGW11]. These were the recursively arbitrarily partitionable graphs. In this case we want not only to provide connected subgraphs, but also require so that these subgraphs are themselves partitionable.

A graph $G=(V, E)$ of order $n$ is called recursively arbitrarily partitionable (RAP for short) iff

- $G=K_{1}$ or
- G is connected and for each decomposition $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{k}\right)$ of $n, k \geq 2$, there exists a partition of $V$ : $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{k}$ such that for all $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$,
$-\left|V_{i}\right|=\tau_{i}$,
$-G\left[V_{i}\right]$ is RAP.
In [BGW11], it has been shown that for every graph $G, G$ is RAP $\Rightarrow G$ is OLAP $\Rightarrow G$ is AP, and that there exist AP graphs that are not OLAP and OLAP graphs that are not RAP.


### 1.3 Previous results

Since every graph containing a spanning AP graph is itself AP, much work have been done to investigate the 'simplest' potential (connected) spanning subgraphs, i.e., trees, which are 1-connected. Below we recall a number of previous results, which, as we shall argue in the following section, provide much insight into the structure of 1 and 2-connected AP graphs, and in particular into the number of components left after removal of a (minimal) cutset, and the sizes of these components.

The following is the central result among these. It provides an upper bound on the degree in AP trees (and thus on the number of components left in AP 1-connected graph after removing a cut-vertex).
Theorem 1 [BF06] If a tree $T$ is AP, then its maximum degree is 4. Moreover, every vertex of degree 4 in $T$ is adjacent to a leaf.

In [HTW07] and [BGW11], OLAP and RAP-trees have been completely characterized. To recall these characterizations, we need the following notations:

- A $k$-pode $T_{k}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ is a tree of order $1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}$ composed of $k$ paths of respective orders $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$, connected to a unique node, called the root of the $k$-pode (cf. Figure 1a).
- Let $a$ and $b$ be two positive integers. A caterpillar $C a t(a, b)$ is a tree of order $a+b$ composed of three paths of order $a, b$ and 2 sharing exactly one node, called the root of the caterpillar. $C a t(a, b)$ is isomorphic to $T_{3}(a-1, b-1,1)$ (cf. Figure 1b).

(a) 3-pode $T_{3}(3,2,2)$

(b) $\operatorname{Cat}(3,5)$

(c) $B(3,2,2,1)$

Figure 1: Examples of special graphs
Theorem 2 [HTW07] A tree $T$ is OLAP if and only if either $T$ is a path or $T$ is a caterpillar Cat $(a, b)$ with $a$ and $b$ given in Table 1 or $T$ is the 3-pode $T_{3}(2,4,6)$.

| $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2, 4 | $\equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ | 7 | 8, 9, 11, 13, 15 |
| 3 | $\equiv 1,2(\bmod 3)$ | 8 | 11, 19 |
| 5 | $6,7,9,11,14,19$ | 9, 10 | 11 |
| 6 | $\equiv 1,5(\bmod 6)$ | 11 | 12 |

Table 1: Values of $a, b(b \geq a)$ for which $C a t(a, b)$ is OLAP

Theorem 3 [BGW11] A tree $T$ is RAP if and only if either $T$ is a path or $T$ is a caterpillar Cat $(a, b)$ with $a$ and $b$ given in Table 2 or $T$ is the 3-pode $T_{3}(2,4,6)$.

| $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2,4 | $\equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ |
| 3 | $\equiv 1,2(\bmod 3)$ |
| 5 | $6,7,9,11,14,19$ |
| 6 | 7 |
| 7 | $8,9,11,13,15$ |

Table 2: Values of $a, b(b \geq a)$ for which $C a t(a, b)$ is RAP

In terms of 2 -connected graphs, let us consider the 'simplest' of such graphs forming the family of so called balloons. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ be positive integers, $k \geq 2$. A $k$-balloon $B\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ is a graph of order $2+\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}$ composed of two vertices (called roots) linked by $k$ paths (called branches) of widths (the numbers of internal vertices) $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ (cf. Figure 1c).

Theorem 4 [BGW11] If a $k$-balloon is $R A P$, then $k \leq 5$. This bound is tight.
This result has been extended to OLAP $k$-balloons:
Theorem 5 [BBFP12] If a $k$-balloon is $O L A P$, then $k \leq 5$.
Upper bounds for the size of the smallest branch of a RAP or OLAP $k$-balloon have also been given:

Theorem 6 [BBFP12] Let $B\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ be a $k$-balloon with $k \geq 4$ and $b_{1} \leq \cdots \leq b_{k}$. If $B\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ is OLAP, then $b_{1} \leq 11$. If $B\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ is $R A P$, then $b_{1} \leq 7$.

## 2 Size and number of components after removing a cutset of size at most 2

Remark 7 If $G$ contains a spanning subgraph which is $A P$ (resp. OLAP, RAP), then $G$ is $A P$ (resp. OLAP, RAP). In particular, if $G$ is traceable (contains a hamiltonian path), then $G$ is RAP (and thus also OLAP and AP).

This simple remark suggests the following straightforward generalization. Suppose that $G$ is an AP (resp. OLAP, RAP) graph containing a 1- or 2 -element cutset $S$. Then we may construct of it another graph which is the more AP (resp. OLAP, RAP), simply by replacing each component of $G-S$ with a path of the corresponding order.

Remark 8 Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with a cutest $S$, and let $V_{1}, \cdots V_{k}$ be the components of $G[V \backslash S]$ :

- if $|S|=1$ and $G$ is $A P$ (resp. OLAP, RAP), then the $k$-pode $T_{k}\left(\left|V_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|V_{k}\right|\right)$ is AP (resp. OLAP, RAP) ;
- if $|S|=2$ and $G$ is $A P$ (resp. OLAP, RAP), then the $k$-balloon $B\left(\left|V_{1}\right|, \cdots,\left|V_{k}\right|\right)$ is AP (resp. OLAP, RAP) ;

Remark 8 and Theorems $1,2,3,4,5,6$ yield the following summary concerning all 1 and 2connected AP graphs.

Corollary 9 Let $G$ be a graph with a cutset $S$, and let $V_{1}, \cdots V_{k}$ the components of $G[V \backslash S]$ with $\left|V_{1}\right| \leq \cdots \leq\left|V_{k}\right|:$

- if $|S|=1$, then
- if $G$ is $A P$, then $k \leq 4$ and if $k=4$, then $\left|V_{1}\right|=1$;
- if $G$ is $O L A P$ (resp. RAP), then $k \leq 3$, and if $k=3$, then either $\left(\left|V_{1}\right|,\left|V_{2}\right|,\left|V_{3}\right|\right)=(1, a, b)$ with values $a$ and $b$ given in Table 1 (resp. 2), or $\left(\left|V_{1}\right|,\left|V_{2}\right|,\left|V_{3}\right|\right)=(2,4,6)$;
- if $|S|=2$, then
- if $G$ is $O L A P$, then $k \leq 5$;
- if $G$ is $O L A P$ (resp. RAP) and $k \in\{4,5\}$, then $\left|V_{1}\right| \leq 11$ (resp. $\leq 7$ ).


## 3 Number of components after removing a cutset of size $k \geq 2$ in AP graphs

In the previous section, we argued that if we remove a cutset of size 1 from a (1-connected) graph $G$, then the number of remaining components is at most 4 if $G$ is AP, and 3 if $G$ is OLAP or RAP. Similar result on the bounded number of components extends to the case of removal of a cutset of size 2 from a (1- or 2-connected) OLAP or RAP graph, when this number is at most 5 . Surprisingly, the same cannot be generalized for AP graphs.

In this section, we will prove that for any size $k \geq 2$ of a cutset, a similar statement does not hold for AP graphs.

Theorem 10 For any integers $k \geq 2$ and $c \geq 2$, there exists an AP graph $G=(V, E)$ of connectivity $k$ such that $G[V \backslash S]$ consists of exactly c components for every $k$-element cutset $S$ of $G$.

## Proof.

We shall present a construction of such graph $G$ for every pair of integers $k, c \geq 2$.
We first consider the case when $c \leq k$. Let $G=\left(S^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}, E\right)$ be the graph with $2 k$ vertices constructed as follows:

- $G\left[S^{\prime}\right]$ and $G\left[S^{\prime \prime}\right]$ are both stable sets, each containing $c-1$ vertices;
- $G\left[P^{\prime}\right]$ and $G\left[P^{\prime \prime}\right]$ are both paths with $k-c+1$ vertices each;
- every vertex of $S^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime}$ is adjacent to all the vertices of $S^{\prime \prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}$.

Clearly, $G$ is an AP graph, since it contains as a subgraph the complete bipartite graph $K_{k, k}$, which is hamiltonian. By the same reason, $G$ has connectivity $k$ and contains exactly two cutsets of size $k$, i.e., $S^{\prime} \cup P^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime \prime} \cup P^{\prime \prime}$. After removing any of these, we obtain exactly $c$ components, i.e., a path with $k-c+1 \geq 1$ vertices and $c-1$ isolated vertices.

Now, we assume that $c>k$.
We denote by $K_{k}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right)$ the graph formed of $c+1$ cliques, one of size $k$, the others of size $a_{1}, \ldots a_{c}$, by adding all the edges between the vertices of the clique of size $k$ and the vertices of all other cliques (see Figure 2). Clearly $G$ is $k$-connected and the vertices of the clique $K_{k}$ form a unique cutset of size $k$ in $K_{k}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right)$.


Figure 2: Graph $K_{2}(2,3,4)$

Let $G$ be any graph $K_{k}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right)$ with values $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}$ chosen (consecutively) as follows:

1. $1 \leq a_{1} \leq \ldots \leq a_{c}$;
2. $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq c$, we denote $n_{i}=1+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} a_{j}$;
3. $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq c-1$, choose $a_{i+1}$ such that
(a) $\forall j, 2 \leq j \leq n_{i}, a_{i+1} \equiv 0(\bmod j)$,
(b) $a_{i+1} \geq n_{i} a_{i}$.

For example, $a_{i} \cdot n_{i}$ ! is a possible value for $a_{i+1}$.
Let $\tau=\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{l}\right)$ be any decomposition of $n=k+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq c} a_{i}$, with $\tau_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \tau_{l}$. To show that $\tau$ is realizable in $G$ we consider two cases.

## First case: $\tau_{l} \geq a_{c-1}$.

In that case, $\tau_{l} \geq n_{c-2}=1+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq c-2} a_{i}$. Thus, the part (vertex subset) of size $\tau_{l}$ may be chosen so that it 'covers' all the cliques $K_{a_{i}}$ with $i \leq c-2$, plus one of the vertices of $K_{k}$ and possibly some vertices of the cliques $K_{a_{c-1}}$ and $K_{a_{c}}$. The remaining graph is induced by the rest of the vertices from $K_{k}, K_{a_{c-1}}$ and $K_{a_{c}}$, and is obviously traceable.

Second case: $\tau_{l}<a_{c-1}$.
For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq \tau_{l}$, we denote by $q_{i}$ the number of terms of $\tau$ having value $i$.
We thus have $n=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \tau_{l}} i \cdot q_{i}$.

Then there exists an integer $\alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \tau_{l}$, such that $\alpha \cdot q_{\alpha} \geq \frac{n}{\tau_{l}}$. Thus, $\alpha \cdot q_{\alpha}>\frac{n}{a_{c-1}}>\frac{a_{c}}{a_{c-1}} \geq$ $\frac{n_{c-1} a_{c-1}}{a_{c-1}}=n_{c-1}$.

We denote by $s$ the index such that for all $i \leq s, a_{i} \not \equiv 0(\bmod \alpha)$ and for all $i>s, a_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod \alpha)$. Its existence is guaranteed by property 3 a . Note that $s$ may in particular be equal to 0 .

Since $a_{s} \not \equiv 0(\bmod \alpha)$, we have $\alpha>n_{s-1}$ by property 3 . On the other hand, $\alpha \leq a_{c}$. It means that we may choose one part of size $\alpha$ so that it covers all the cliques $K_{a_{1}}, \ldots, K_{a_{s-1}}$ plus one vertex of $K_{k}$ and possibly some vertices of $K_{a_{c}}$.

Because $\forall i>s, a_{i} \equiv 0(\bmod \alpha)$, and $\alpha \cdot q_{\alpha}>n_{c-1}$, we may cover also the cliques $K_{a_{s+1}}, \ldots, K_{a_{c-1}}$ with parts of size $\alpha$.

Thus the remaining graph is formed by $K_{a_{s}}$, the remaining vertices of $K_{a_{c}}$ and $k-1$ vertices of $K_{k}$. Such graph is again traceable.

Balloons The previous result (Theorem 10) can be adapted to the special case of balloons. The benefit from such modification is that it gives (for the case $k=2$ ) examples of graphs with a linear number of edges (with respect to $n$ - the order of a graph), contrarily to the examples presented above, where the number of edges may be quadratic.

Theorem $11 \forall k \geq 1$, there exists an AP $k$-balloon.
Proof. We consider a $k$-balloon $B\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ where branches have the same size as the cliques of $K_{k}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right)$ given in the proof of Theorem 10, i.e.,
for $b_{1} \leq \ldots \leq b_{k}$ we denote $n_{i}=1+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} b_{j}$, and choose $b_{i}$ as follows:

- $b_{1} \geq 1$;
- $\forall i \leq k-1$, choose $b_{i+1}$ such that:

1. $\forall j, 2 \leq j \leq n_{i}, b_{i+1} \equiv 0(\bmod j)$,
2. $b_{i+1} \geq n_{i} b_{i}$.

Using the same argument as the one used for $K_{k}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{c}\right)$, it is easy to see that such balloon is AP.

## 4 Size of components

In this section, we will show that, though the number of components after removing a cutset of size at least 2 from an AP graph may be arbitrarily large, then the size of these components must grow exponentially with their number.

Theorem 12 Let $G=(V, E)$ be an AP graph with n vertices, $S$ a cutset of $G$ of size $k, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}$ the orders of the components of $G[V \backslash S]$, where $l>k$ and $1 \leq c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \ldots \leq c_{l}$. Then the values of the sequence $\left(c_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ grow exponentially with $i$.

To prove this theorem, we use Lemmas 13 to 15 :
Lemma 13 Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $S$ a cutset of $G$ of size $k, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}$ the orders of the components of $G[V \backslash S]$, where $l>k$ and $1 \leq c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \ldots \leq c_{l}$.

Let $a, q_{1}, \ldots, q_{l}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{l}$ be nonnegative integers such that:

- $2 \leq a \leq n-1$;
- $\forall i, 1 \leq i \leq l, c_{i}=q_{i} a+r_{i}$ with $r_{i}<a$.

If $G$ is $A P$, then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} r_{i} \leq(k+1) \cdot(a-1)
$$

## Proof.

Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{l}$ be the components of $G[V \backslash S]$ of size $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{l}$, respectively. Consider a decomposition $\tau=(a, \ldots, a, r)$ of $n$ with $r<a$, and any of its realizations in $G$. Now suppose we remove from $G$ the vertices of all parts (in the realization) of size $a$ each of which is contained entirely in one of the subgraphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{l}$. We thus must have at least $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} r_{i}+k$ vertices in the remaining graph. On the other hand, every part (in the realization) left in our graph must contain at least one of $k$ vertices of the cutset $S$ or has size different from $a$ (and there is only one such part in the graph). Therefore, the remaining graph is induced by at most $k \cdot a+r \leq k \cdot a+a-1$ vertices. Combining the two observations, we obtain that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} r_{i}+k \leq k \cdot a+a-1$, and the thesis follows.

Corollary 14 Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph with $n$ vertices, $S$ a cutset of $G$ of size $k, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{l}$ the orders of the components of $G[V \backslash S]$, where $l>k$ and $1 \leq c_{1} \leq c_{2} \leq \ldots \leq c_{l}$. If $G$ is $A P$, then $\forall i, 2 \leq i \leq l$,

$$
c_{i} \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq j<i} c_{j} .
$$

Proof. For any fixed $i \leq l$, let us apply Lemma 13 with $a=c_{i}+1$. Then for all $j \leq i$, we have $r_{j}=c_{j}$. Thus, by Lemma 13, $\sum_{1 \leq j<i} c_{j}+c_{i}+\sum_{i<j \leq l} r_{j} \leq(k+1) \cdot c_{i}$. Since $\sum_{i<j \leq l} r_{j} \geq 0$, we obtain the thesis.

The following lemma completes the proof of Theorem 12:
Lemma 15 If the assumptions of Theorem 12 hold, then:

$$
\forall i \geq 1, c_{i} \geq\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{i-2} \times \frac{c_{1}}{k}
$$

Proof. Consider the sequence $\left(v_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ defined by $v_{1}=c_{1}$ and $\forall i \geq 2, v_{i}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq j<i} v_{j}$. Corollary 14 implies that $\forall i, i \geq 1, c_{i} \geq v_{i}$.
We have $v_{2}=\frac{v_{1}}{k}=\frac{c_{1}}{k}$ and $v_{3}=\frac{1}{k}\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)=v_{2}+\frac{1}{k} v_{2}=\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right) v_{2}$.
For each integer $i \geq 3, v_{i+1}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} v_{j}=\frac{1}{k}\left(v_{i}+\sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-1} v_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{k} v_{i}+v_{i}=\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right) v_{i}$.
Thus, by induction, we have $v_{i+1}=\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{i-1} v_{2}=\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)^{i-1} \frac{c_{1}}{k}$.
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