



HAL
open science

Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G-protein and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor

Nadine Frölich, Christian Dees, Christian Paetz, Xuan Ren, Martin J. Lohse, Viacheslav O. Nikolaev, Meinhart H. Zenk

► To cite this version:

Nadine Frölich, Christian Dees, Christian Paetz, Xuan Ren, Martin J. Lohse, et al.. Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G-protein and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 2011, 10.1016/j.bcp.2011.03.001 . hal-00690183

HAL Id: hal-00690183

<https://hal.science/hal-00690183>

Submitted on 22 Apr 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G_i -protein and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor

Authors: Nadine Frölich, Christian Dees, Christian Paetz, Xuan Ren, Martin J. Lohse, Viacheslav O. Nikolaev, Meinhart H. Zenk



PII: S0006-2952(11)00145-6
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.03.001
Reference: BCP 10840

To appear in: *BCP*

Received date: 17-1-2011
Revised date: 27-2-2011
Accepted date: 2-3-2011

Please cite this article as: Frölich N, Dees C, Paetz C, Ren X, Lohse MJ, Nikolaev VO, Zenk MH, Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G_i -protein and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by the human μ -opioid receptor, *Biochemical Pharmacology* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2011.03.001

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Distinct pharmacological properties of morphine metabolites at G_i-protein
and β -arrestin signaling pathways activated by
the human μ -opioid receptor

Nadine Frölich¹, Christian Dees¹, Christian Paetz³, Xuan Ren⁴, Martin J. Lohse^{1,2},
Viacheslav O. Nikolaev^{1,2,*}, Meinhart H. Zenk⁴

¹Institute of Pharmacology, University of Würzburg, Versbacher Str. 9, D-97078, Germany

²Rudolf Virchow Center, DFG-Research Center for Experimental Biomedicine, University of
Würzburg, Versbacher Str. 9, D-97078, Germany

³Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-Knöll-Str. 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany

⁴Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, 975 North Warson Road, St. Louis, MO 63132, USA

*Present address, also for correspondence:

Viacheslav O. Nikolaev, Ph.D.
Emmy-Noether Group of the DFG,
Department of Cardiology and Pneumology,
Georg-August University Medical Center,
Robert-Koch-Str. 40,
D-37075 Göttingen, Germany
Phone: +49-551-39-10965; Fax: +49-551-39-6389
E-mail: viacheslav.nikolaev@med.uni-goettingen.de

Biochemical Pharmacology. Category: Pharmacokinetics and Drug Metabolism

Abstract

1
2
3
4
5 Morphine and several other opioids are important drugs for the treatment of acute
6
7 and chronic pain. Opioid-induced analgesia is predominantly mediated by the μ -
8
9 opioid receptor (MOR). When administered to humans, complex metabolic pathways
10
11 lead to generation of many metabolites, nine of which may be considered major
12
13 metabolites. While the properties of the two main compounds, morphine-6-
14
15 glucuronide and morphine-3-glucuronide, are well described, the activity of other
16
17 morphine metabolites is largely unknown. Here we performed an extensive
18
19 pharmacological characterization by comparing efficacies and potencies of morphine
20
21 and its nine major metabolites for the two main signaling pathways engaged by the
22
23 human MOR, which occur via G_i -protein activation and β -arrestins, respectively. We
24
25 used radioligand binding studies and FRET-based methods to monitor MOR-
26
27 mediated G_i -protein activation and β -arrestin recruitment in single intact 293T cells.
28
29 This approach identified two major groups of morphine metabolites, which we
30
31 classified into “strong” and “weak” receptor ligands. Strong partial agonists morphine,
32
33 morphine-6-glucuronide, normorphine, morphine-6-sulfate, 6-acetylmorphine and 3-
34
35 acetylmorphine showed efficacies in the nanomolar range, while the weak
36
37 metabolites morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-sulfate, morphine-3-glucuronide and
38
39 pseudomorphine activated MOR pathways only in the micromolar range.
40
41 Interestingly, three metabolites, normorphine, 6-acetylmorphine and morphine-6-
42
43 glucuronide, had lower potencies for G_i -protein activation but higher potencies and
44
45 efficacies for β -arrestin recruitment than morphine itself, suggesting that they are
46
47 biased towards β -arrestin pathways.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

59 *Key words:* morphine, metabolite, FRET, G-protein, β -arrestin

1. Introduction

1
2
3
4 Morphine is an important alkaloid from opium poppy and serves as the prototypical
5 analgesic drug. Morphine and some other opioids, for example 3,6-di-acetylmorphine
6
7 (heroin) are also relevant for drug abuse. Analgesic effects of opioids are achieved
8
9 largely via activation of μ -opioid receptors (MOR) [1, 2]. MOR is a G-protein-coupled
10
11 receptor (GPCR), which can activate several cellular signaling pathways. The first
12
13 pathway is mediated by the activation of inhibitory pertussis-toxin sensitive G-
14
15 proteins ($G_{i/o}$ -proteins). The second pathway is induced by the recruitment of β -
16
17 arrestins to the receptor, which leads to subsequent activation of other, so-called
18
19 "non-classical" signaling cascades such as the mitogen activated protein kinase
20
21 pathway [3, 4].
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 When administered to humans, morphine and other opiate alkaloids undergo
33
34 extensive biotransformation by various metabolic pathways. The predominant
35
36 metabolic pathway involves conjugation with glucuronic acid, which leads to the
37
38 major metabolites morphine-3-glucuronide and morphine-6-glucuronide [5]. Other
39
40 metabolic pathways include conjugation with sulfonic acid, leading to morphine-6-
41
42 sulfates and morphine-3-sulfates, acetylation to 3- and 6-acetylmorphines, oxidation
43
44 to morphine-N-oxide and demethylation to normorphine [6, 7] (Fig. 1). Among all
45
46 known morphine metabolites, the pharmacological properties have been best studied
47
48 for morphine-6-glucuronide and morphine-3-glucuronide, which are generally
49
50 considered as active and inactive metabolites, respectively [8]. Much less is known
51
52 about the activity of other metabolites, since no systematic pharmacological analysis
53
54 has so far been performed, and only rare single reports on these compounds are
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 available. Lack of information about opiate metabolites does not allow definitive
2 conclusions about their roles in analgesia and in adverse effects of morphine [6, 7].
3
4
5

6
7 To study the pharmacological properties of various ligands for G protein-coupled
8 receptors, including MOR, we have developed a new real-time approach to monitor
9 G_i -protein activation in intact cells by using biosensors which are based on Förster
10 resonance energy transfer (FRET) [9, 10, 11]. In addition, we have developed
11 another technique to monitor β -arrestin recruitment to various receptors by
12 measuring FRET between a receptor and β -arrestin [12, 13]. These approaches
13 allow us to study pharmacological properties of different receptors and their ligands
14 in single intact cells with high temporal and spatial resolution [14, 15].
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Here we used these FRET assays together with radioligand binding studies to
30 perform a systematic analysis of the pharmacological properties of all major
31 morphine metabolites at the human MOR. We found that morphine metabolites exert
32 either strong or weak signaling effects, often comparable to morphine itself, and that
33 some metabolites show a previously unrecognized β -arrestin-biased behavior and
34 are more efficacious in recruiting β -arrestin to the receptor than morphine itself.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2. Material and methods

2.1 Substances

Morphine, morphine-6-glucuronide, morphine-3-glucuronide and DAMGO ([D-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol]-enkephalin) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Deisenhofen, Germany). Normorphine, 3-acetylmorphine and 6-acetylmorphine were from Lipomed GmbH (Weil am Rhein, Germany).

Morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-sulfate, morphine-6-sulfate and pseudomorphine were synthesized as follows. Morphine-N-oxide and pseudomorphine were prepared as described by Garrido et al. [16]. Morphine-3-sulfate was prepared via 6-acetylmorphine as previously described [17] and further sulfonated and deprotected by a procedure described by Mori et al. [18]. Morphine-6-sulfate was synthesized from morphine hydrochloride according to Preechagoon et al. [19]. Except where noted, all other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2. Plasmids

Human MOR-1A cDNA was obtained from imaGenes GmbH (Berlin, Germany) and subcloned into the pcDNA3 expression vector (Invitrogen) using HindIII and XbaI restriction sites. All fluorescent G_i-protein subunits used to monitor G_i activation by FRET have previously been described [9, 10]. Briefly, the rat PTX-insensitive G_{ai1}-protein (C351I mutant) was labeled with enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) between positions 91 and 92. Human G_{γ2} was C-terminally tagged with enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (CFP), and the untagged human G_{β1} cDNA was co-

1 expressed. For the FRET-based measurements of β -arrestin-2 recruitment, the
2 plasmids encoding the human MOR-1A C-terminally tagged with CFP, bovine β -
3 arrestin-2 C-terminally tagged with YFP, and untagged human G protein-coupled
4 receptor kinase (GRK) 2 were used [12].
5
6
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 **2.3 Cell culture and transfections**

14
15
16 293T cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)
17 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin
18 and 0.1 mg/ml streptomycin (all from Pan Biotech GmbH, Aidenbach, Germany) at
19 37°C and 7% CO₂. Cells were seeded onto poly-D-lysine (Sigma) coated glass cover
20 slips for FRET experiments. Transient transfections were performed with Effectene
21 Transfection reagent (Quiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's
22 protocol, and FRET measurements were done 48 h after transfection.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34
35 To monitor G_i-protein activation, the following plasmids were transfected (μ g DNA per
36 6-well plate): MOR 0.7, G_{ai1}-YFP 0.8, G _{β 1} 0.5, G _{γ 2}-CFP 0.2. To measure β -arrestin
37 recruitment, cells were transfected with (μ g DNA per 6-well plate): MOR-CFP 0.3,
38 GRK2 0.2, β -arrestin-2-YFP 0.2). For receptor binding studies, 1.9 μ g MOR plasmid
39 were transfected per 90 mm cell-culture dish.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **2.4 Receptor binding assays**

52 MOR expressing 293T cells were homogenized in 5 mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA, pH 7.4.
53
54
55 The cell homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min at 1700xg, and the remaining
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 supernatant was centrifuged for 30 min at 50,000xg. The resulting pellet was
2 resuspended in 50 mM of potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and aliquots were
3
4 frozen in liquid nitrogen. 20 μ g of membrane protein were mixed with 0.1-1 nM of
5
6 3 [H]naloxone (American Radiolabeled Chemicals, Inc., St. Louis, USA), 100 μ M GTP
7
8 and various MOR ligand concentrations in 200 μ l of 50 mM potassium phosphate
9
10 buffer. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 3 h, then filtered through
11
12 GF/F glass fiber filters (Millipore, Schwalbach, Germany) before liquid scintillation
13
14 counting.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **2.5 FRET measurements**

24
25
26 FRET experiments were performed on a Zeiss Axiovert 200 inverted microscope with
27
28 a PLAN-Neofluar oil immersion 100x objective and a dual emission photometric
29
30 system as previously described [10]. Experiments were done at room temperature in
31
32 a buffer containing 144 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl_2 , 1 mM MgCl_2 , 10 mM
33
34 HEPES, pH 7.3. Cells were excited using the monochromatic light from a
35
36 Polychrome IV (Till Photonics, Gräfelfing, Germany) at 436 nm \pm 10 nm and a
37
38 frequency of 5 Hz. Emitted light was separated by the DCLP 505 beam splitter into
39
40 two emission channels recorded at 480 \pm 20 nm (CFP) and 535 \pm 10 nm (FRET
41
42 channel). The F_{535}/F_{480} emission ratio was offline corrected for bleedthrough, direct
43
44 YFP excitation and photobleaching using Origin software in order to obtain corrected
45
46 $F_{\text{YFP/CFP}}$ ratios, which were used throughout the manuscript. Ratiometric traces were
47
48
49
50
51 normalized to the initial ratio (set to 1).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3. Results

1
2 We analyzed the pharmacological properties of morphine and its nine major
3
4 metabolites in three types of assays, namely radioligand binding studies, G-protein
5
6 activation measurements and an assay to monitor β -arrestin recruitment to the opiate
7
8 receptor. These experiments were designed to compare the action of morphine
9
10 metabolites and their efficacies and potencies for two distinct signaling pathways
11
12 engaged by human MOR.
13
14
15

3.1 Radioligand binding studies

16
17
18
19
20
21
22 Initially, we determined the affinities of morphine and its major metabolites (plus
23
24 DAMGO as a reference agonist) for MOR in radioligand binding studies with cell
25
26 membranes prepared from 293T cells expressing the receptor, using the antagonist
27
28 [3 H]naloxone as the radioligand. Competition displacement curves for morphine and
29
30 DAMGO (Fig. 2) showed K_i -values, which were comparable to published values for
31
32 these ligands at the human MOR [20, 21]. Next, we measured the K_i -values of the
33
34 major morphine metabolites (see Fig. 1). The radioligand displacement curves (Fig.
35
36 2) revealed two groups of metabolites, which we classified into "strong" and "weak"
37
38 ligands. The "strong" metabolites, normorphine, 3- and 6-acetylmorphine, morphine-
39
40 6-glucuronide and -6-sulfate showed affinities in the nanomolar range, while the
41
42 "weak" ligands, pseudomorphine, morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-glucuronide and -3-
43
44 sulfate, had micromolar K_i -values (Fig. 2 and Table 1).
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

3.2 G_i -protein activation

53
54
55
56 Based on these affinity measurements we then set out to investigate the metabolites'
57
58 functional effects. To monitor ligand-induced activation of inhibitory G-proteins, cells
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 expressing human MOR and fluorescently labeled G_i-protein subunits were treated
2 with the different morphine metabolites (Fig. 3A). In this assay, receptor activation
3 leads to a rapid and reversible decrease of the FRET ratio, which reflects structural
4 rearrangements between the G-protein subunits, and [9]. In MOR expressing cells,
5 we observed robust decreases of the FRET ratio upon morphine treatment, which
6 were even further enhanced by the full receptor agonist DAMGO, suggesting that
7 morphine acts only as a strong partial agonist at MOR (Fig. 3B). Addition of naloxone
8 on top of these ligands led to a complete, relatively slow, deactivation of G-proteins,
9 confirming that our system can be used to monitor specific pharmacological effects of
10 receptor agonists, partial agonists and antagonists (Fig. 3B).

11 Next, we set out to compare FRET responses produced by morphine with those
12 observed upon receptor activation with its various metabolites. Some of the
13 metabolites, for example morphine-6-glucuronide, showed a strong G-protein
14 activation signal, which had a similar amplitude as the response to morphine itself
15 (Fig. 3C). Other metabolites, for example morphine-N-oxide, even at high
16 concentrations, produced only partial effects, ranging from 70 to 90% of the morphine
17 response (Fig. 3D).

18 To systematically analyze efficacies and potencies of all major morphine metabolites,
19 we recorded concentration-response dependencies for the nine different compounds
20 and compared them to morphine by normalizing their maximal FRET responses to
21 the change of ratio evoked by the saturating morphine concentration of 10 μM. Again,
22 these experiments identified the two groups of morphine metabolites that were seen
23 in the radioligand binding experiments, i.e. the “strong” and “weak” ligands (Fig. 3E).
24 The strong metabolites all showed not only potencies in the nanomolar range, but
25 also efficacies at the MOR, which were comparable to morphine itself. These strong

1 partial MOR agonists were morphine-6-glucuronide, normorphine, morphine-6-sulfate
2 and both 3- and 6-acetylmorphine. The second group of "weak" metabolites had
3
4 much lower potencies and slightly lower efficacies compared to morphine. These
5
6 metabolites, morphine-N-oxide, morphine-3-sulfate and 3-glucuronide, as well as
7
8 pseudomorphine, were able to activate G_i -proteins only in the micromolar
9
10 concentration range, and their maximal effects ranged from 75 to 100% of those of
11
12 morphine (Fig. 3E, Table 1).
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 *3.3 β -Arrestin recruitment*

20
21 To monitor the activity of the second important group of signaling pathways activated
22
23 by MOR, we studied the effects of morphine metabolites on the ligand-induced β -
24
25 arrestin translocation to this receptor. We chose the previously well established
26
27 FRET-based approach which relies on the use of C-terminally CFP-tagged MOR and
28
29 C-terminally YFP-tagged β -arrestin-2 [12], which were transfected into 293T cells
30
31 together with the receptor kinase GRK2 to assure full agonist-triggered MOR
32
33 phosphorylation. Ligand-dependent MOR activation leads to GRK-mediated receptor
34
35 phosphorylation. Ligand-dependent MOR activation leads to GRK-mediated receptor
36
37 phosphorylation and subsequent β -arrestin-YFP recruitment, which can be monitored
38
39 as an increase of FRET (Fig. 4A). Again, morphine showed the typical response of a
40
41 partial agonist, which was ~30% smaller than the change of FRET ratio evoked by
42
43 the full MOR agonist DAMGO (Fig. 4B). Compatible with the need of prior GRK-
44
45 mediated receptor phosphorylation [12], these responses were slower than those
46
47 observed for G_i (compare Figs. 3B and 4B; note the different time scales). Next, we
48
49 continued to compare the FRET responses produced by morphine metabolites with
50
51 their parent compound. Strikingly, we found that some of the "strong" metabolites,
52
53 such as normorphine (Fig. 4C), morphine-6-glucuronide and 6-acetylmorphine,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 induced a significantly stronger β -arrestin recruitment than morphine itself. Therefore,
2 we normalized all metabolite responses to the maximal FRET response produced by
3
4 10 μ M of DAMGO and measured concentration-response dependencies for all nine
5
6 morphine metabolites (Figs. 4D, E). As in the case of G_i -protein activation
7
8 experiments, there were again the two groups of "strong" and "weak" partial agonists,
9
10 which included the same members. Morphine-N-oxide and morphine-3-glucuronide
11
12 showed lower potencies and significantly lower efficacies compared to DAMGO and
13
14 morphine (Fig. 4D), while the other two weak metabolites were capable of
15
16 translocating β -arrestin to the same extent as morphine, but at much higher
17
18 concentrations (Fig. 4E and Table 1). Overall, the spread of efficacies was much
19
20 greater in this assay than in the G_i -activation assay (compare Figs. 3E and 4E).
21
22 Finally, we normalized the efficacies for all ligands measured in G_i - and β -arrestin
23
24 assays to the maximal DAMGO response to graphically compare the extent of
25
26 activation for each ligand and signaling pathway (Fig. 5)
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 4. Discussion

40
41
42
43
44 In this study, we performed a systematic analysis of the pharmacological properties
45
46 of morphine metabolites at the human MOR. We analyzed the ability of these
47
48 substances to bind to the receptor and to activate the two major independent
49
50 downstream signaling pathways, which are activation of G_i -proteins and β -arrestin
51
52 recruitment. The information obtained in these assays allows to predict, which of
53
54 these metabolites might play a role in MOR signaling *in vivo*.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

To analyze MOR activation, we used novel FRET-based approaches for real-time monitoring of G_i-protein activation and β-arrestin recruitment. These approaches provide pharmacological information at the single-cell level and directly at the initiating biochemical event. While the results of FRET measurements of G_i-protein activation generally match well with the data obtained in classical GTPγS binding studies [10], they provide fast kinetic readouts and can be done in intact cells, i.e. under much more physiological conditions. Likewise, measurements of β-arrestin recruitment by FRET allow visualization of receptor/β-arrestin interaction in real time. These novel assays thus reflect the pharmacodynamic properties of receptor ligands at their target intact cells, but without the pharmacokinetic constraints typical for *in vivo* experiments. For example, morphine-6-glucuronide, when directly injected into mice, has been shown to induce its behavioral effects much slower than morphine [22]. However, in our study, we observed similarly fast kinetics of G_i-protein activation as well as comparable efficacies and potencies for the two substances. The discrepancy between the results of the single-cell experiments and *in vivo* studies can be explained by differences in pharmacokinetics, which largely depend on the chemical structure and parameters such as hydrophobicity of the various metabolites. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that both morphine glucuronides are much slower at crossing the blood-brain barrier than morphine [23]. The single-cell pharmacological analyses presented in this study are capable of directly reporting dynamic properties of signaling at the level of target cells and therefore reflect the real pharmacodynamics of these compounds at their receptor.

There are two sources of morphine and its metabolites which are relevant for the human body. One is exogenous morphine and other analgesic opiates, which are

1 administered for pain therapy or during opiate abuse. In this case, the circulating
2 opiate concentrations can reach relatively high micromolar peak levels, which
3 gradually decrease through metabolic pathways [22, 24]. The second source of
4 opiates in the human body is the endogenous morphine biosynthesis, which is
5 capable of producing high nanomolar concentrations of morphine from endogenous
6 biosynthetic precursors [25, 26, 27]. Much is known about the metabolic pathways
7 which lead to morphine conjugation and biotransformation (see Fig. 1). 60-70% of
8 exogenously administered morphine is conjugated [5] to morphine-3-glucuronide
9 (~55%) and morphine-6-glucuronide (~10%). After oral or parenteral morphine
10 administration to patients, slightly higher plasma concentrations of morphine-6-
11 glucuronide and ~10-times higher concentration of morphine-3-glucuronide can be
12 measured for up to 12 hours [24]. Morphine-3-glucuronide is a very weak metabolite,
13 whereas morphine-6-glucuronide can activate MOR almost as strongly as morphine.
14 For morphine-3- and morphine-6-glucuronides we were able to confirm the previously
15 observed differences [8] in their pharmacological properties. The former metabolite
16 has been classified as a weak MOR ligand, while the latter glucuronide is a strong
17 activator of MOR-induced signaling with potencies comparable to morphine.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Much less has been known about the pharmacological properties of other
44 metabolites of morphine, which include normorphine, morphine-3- and morphine-6-
45 sulfates, as well as 3- and 6-acetylmorphines. Normorphine is the N-demethylated
46 metabolite of morphine which has been described as an active but slightly weaker
47 opioid receptor ligand compared to morphine or morphine-6-glucuronide [28, 29]. In
48 our study, we confirmed this observation and showed that normorphine activates G_i-
49 proteins at slightly higher concentrations than morphine. Morphine-6-sulfate, similarly
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

to morphine-6-glucuronide, is a potent ligand, which requires ~10-50-times lower parenteral dose to achieve an analgesic effect comparable to morphine [30]. In contrast, morphine-3-sulfate is a weaker metabolite, which has been described to have much lower binding affinity and lower analgesic potency [31, 32]. In this study, we found that morphine-6-sulfate has binding and signaling properties similar to morphine, so that the analgesic potency of this metabolite cannot be explained by distinct pharmacodynamic properties but must be pharmacokinetic in origin. Morphine-3-sulfate, however, was much less potent and fell into the group of weak metabolites, which is compatible with earlier observations. The 3- and 6-acetylmorphines, which represent important heroin metabolites, have been reported to have similar or slightly less potent pharmacological effects than morphine [31] which was also the case in our single-cell experiments. Likewise, the weak analgesic morphine-N-oxide, which has been found to be 10-90 times less potent than morphine [33], showed almost 1000 times lower affinity and potencies in FRET assays.

Interestingly, we found that three metabolites, normorphine, 6-acetylmorphine and morphine-6-glucuronide, showed lower potencies for G_i -protein activation but higher potencies and efficacies for β -arrestin recruitment than morphine (compare Fig. 2E and Fig. 3E, see also Table 1 and Fig. 5). This finding suggests that such ligands might have a bias for β -arrestin over G-protein signaling. Although they induce weaker responses at G-proteins, these metabolites can activate β -arrestin signaling pathways much stronger – pathways, which have been mostly associated with the pharmacological effects of opioid peptides.

1
2 Based on the results of this study, one can predict whether various opioid ligands,
3 especially morphine metabolites, can have pharmacological effects *in vivo*. In
4 physiological settings, weak metabolites would not activate MOR, because they do
5 not reach high micromolar concentrations, unless high opioid amounts have been
6 exogenously administered. Several strong metabolites, such as normorphine and
7 the main heroin metabolites 3- and 6-acetylmorphine, are much more relevant for
8 receptor activation. Endogenously synthesized morphine and its strong metabolites
9 might be pharmacologically relevant only if they are present at high nanomolar
10 concentrations. In addition, normorphine and 6-acetylmorphine, together with
11 morphine-6-glucuronide, can trigger previously unrecognized stronger activation of
12 the β -arrestin signalling.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 The most interesting aspect of this study is the observation that morphine
32 metabolites show differential preference for the two major signalling pathways
33 triggered by G_i-proteins and β -arrestins, respectively. A more detailed understanding
34 of these two signalling pathways in eliciting the various pharmacological effects of
35 morphine is certainly necessary before we might be able to exploit these differences
36 for drug therapy. However, our data clearly show that there is such a potential for
37 differential effects of morphine metabolites at the MOR.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB487), the European Research Council (TOPAS) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (5R21DA024418-02).

Abbreviations: DAMGO, [D-Ala², N-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol]-enkephalin; FRET, fluorescence (or: Förster) resonance energy transfer; GPCR, G-protein-coupled receptor; GRK, G-protein-coupled-receptor kinase.

References

- [1] Corbett AD, Henderson G, McKnight AT, Paterson SJ. 75 years of opioid research: the exciting but vain quest for the Holy Grail. *Br J Pharmacol* 2006; 147 Suppl 1: S153-62.
- [2] Jordan B, Devi LA. Molecular mechanisms of opioid receptor signal transduction. *Br J Anaesth* 1998; 81: 12-9.
- [3] Dang VC, Napier IA, Christie MJ. Two distinct mechanisms mediate acute mu-opioid receptor desensitization in native neurons. *J Neurosci* 2009; 29: 3322-7.
- [4] Lefkowitz RJ, Shenoy SK. Transduction of receptor signals by beta-arrestins. *Science* 2005; 308: 512-7.
- [5] Hasselstrom J, Sawe J. Morphine pharmacokinetics and metabolism in humans. Enterohepatic cycling and relative contribution of metabolites to active opioid concentrations. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1993; 24: 344-54.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [6] Smith HS. Opioid metabolism. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2009; 84: 613-24.
- [7] Skarke C, Lötsch J. Morphine metabolites: clinical implications. *Seminars in Anesthesia, Perioperative Medicine and Pain* 2002; 21: 258-64.
- [8] Wittwer E, Kern SE. Role of morphine's metabolites in analgesia: concepts and controversies. *AAPS J* 2006; 8: E348-52.
- [9] Bünemann M, Frank M, Lohse MJ. Gi protein activation in intact cells involves subunit rearrangement rather than dissociation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003; 100: 16077-82.
- [10] Nikolaev VO, Boettcher C, Dees C, Bünemann M, Lohse MJ, Zenk MH. Live cell monitoring of mu-opioid receptor-mediated G-protein activation reveals strong biological activity of close morphine biosynthetic precursors. *J Biol Chem* 2007; 282: 27126-32.
- [11] Lohse MJ, Nikolaev VO, Hein P, Hoffmann C, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M. Optical techniques to analyze real-time activation and signaling of G-protein-coupled receptors. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2008; 29: 159-65.
- [12] Krasel C, Bünemann M, Lorenz K, Lohse MJ. Beta-arrestin binding to the beta2-adrenergic receptor requires both receptor phosphorylation and receptor activation. *J Biol Chem* 2005; 280: 9528-35.
- [13] Klenk C, Vetter T, Zürn A, Vilardaga JP, Friedman PA, Wang B, et al. Formation of a ternary complex among NHERF1, beta-arrestin, and parathyroid hormone receptor. *J Biol Chem* 2010; 285: 30355-62.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [14] Lohse MJ, Hein P, Hoffmann C, Nikolaev VO, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M. Kinetics of G-protein-coupled receptor signals in intact cells. *Br J Pharmacol* 2008; 153 Suppl 1: S125-32.
- [15] Lohse MJ, Hoffmann C, Nikolaev VO, Vilardaga JP, Bünemann M. Kinetic analysis of G protein-coupled receptor signaling using fluorescence resonance energy transfer in living cells. *Adv Protein Chem* 2007; 74: 167-88.
- [16] Garrido JMPJ, Delerue-Matos C, Borges F, Macedo TRA, Oliveira-Brett AM. Voltammetric oxidation of drugs of abuse - I. Morphine and metabolites. *Electroanal* 2004; 16: 1419-26.
- [17] Wright CI. The enzymatic acetylation of heroin and related morphine derivatives by blood serum. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1941; 71: 164-77.
- [18] Mori M, Oguri K, Yoshimura H, Shimomura K, Kamata O. Chemical synthesis and analgesic effect of morphine ethereal sulfates. *Life Sci* 1972; 11: 525-33.
- [19] Preechagoon D, Brereton I, Staatz C, Prankerd R. Ester prodrugs of a potent analgesic, morphine-6-sulfate: syntheses, spectroscopic and physicochemical properties. *Int J Pharm* 1998; 163: 177-90.
- [20] Befort K, Filliol D, Decailot FM, Gaveriaux-Ruff C, Hoehe MR, Kieffer BL. A single nucleotide polymorphic mutation in the human mu-opioid receptor severely impairs receptor signaling. *J Biol Chem* 2001; 276: 3130-7.
- [21] Spivak CE, Beglan CL, Seidleck BK, Hirshbein LD, Blaschak CJ, Uhl GR, et al. Naloxone activation of mu-opioid receptors mutated at a histidine residue lining the opioid binding cavity. *Mol Pharmacol* 1997; 52: 983-92.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [22] Handal M, Grung M, Skurtveit S, Ripel A, Morland J. Pharmacokinetic differences of morphine and morphine-glucuronides are reflected in locomotor activity. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2002; 73: 883-92.
- [23] Bickel U, Schumacher OP, Kang YS, Voigt K. Poor permeability of morphine 3-glucuronide and morphine 6-glucuronide through the blood-brain barrier in the rat. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1996; 278: 107-13.
- [24] Sawe J, Kager L, Svensson Eng JO, Rane A. Oral morphine in cancer patients: in vivo kinetics and in vitro hepatic glucuronidation. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1985; 19: 495-501.
- [25] Boettcher C, Fellermeier M, Drager B, Zenk MH. How human neuroblastoma cells make morphine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; 102: 8495-500.
- [26] Poeaknapo C, Schmidt J, Brandsch M, Drager B, Zenk MH. Endogenous formation of morphine in human cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004; 101: 14091-6.
- [27] Zhu W, Cadet P, Baggerman G, Mantione KJ, Stefano GB. Human white blood cells synthesize morphine: CYP2D6 modulation. *J Immunol* 2005; 175: 7357-62.
- [28] Sullivan AF, McQuay HJ, Bailey D, Dickenson AH. The spinal antinociceptive actions of morphine metabolites morphine-6-glucuronide and normorphine in the rat. *Brain Res* 1989; 482: 219-24.
- [29] Glare PA, Walsh TD. Clinical pharmacokinetics of morphine. *Ther Drug Monit* 1991; 13: 1-23.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [30] Zuckerman A, Bolan E, de Paulis T, Schmidt D, Spector S, Pasternak GW. Pharmacological characterization of morphine-6-sulfate and codeine-6-sulfate. *Brain Res* 1999; 842: 1-5.
- [31] Brown CE, Roerig SC, Burger VT, Cody RB, Jr., Fujimoto JM. Analgesic potencies of morphine 3- and 6-sulfates after intracerebroventricular administration in mice: relationship to structural characteristics defined by mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance. *J Pharm Sci* 1985; 74: 821-4.
- [32] Chen ZR, Irvine RJ, Somogyi AA, Bochner F. Mu receptor binding of some commonly used opioids and their metabolites. *Life Sci* 1991; 48: 2165-71.
- [33] Fennessy MR. The analgesic action of morphine-n-oxide. *Br J Pharmacol* 1968; 34: 337-44.

Figure legends

1
2
3
4
5 **Figure 1.** Metabolic pathways by which morphine undergoes biotransformation in the
6 human body. The majority of the alkaloid is conjugated with glucuronic acid to form
7 morphine-3- and -6-glucuronides. The remainder can be modified to form other
8 metabolites such as sulfate or acetyl esters, normorphine, morphine-N-oxide and
9 pseudomorphine (adapted from [7]).
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Figure 2.** Competition radioligand displacement curves for [³H]-naloxone measured
21 with 293T cell membranes expressing human MOR and treated with various ligands.
22 Data are presented as means ± SE (n=4-8). Individual data points were fitted to a
23 single binding site model, and the obtained K_i -values are presented in the Table 1.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **Figure 3.** Real-time monitoring of the morphine metabolite-induced effects on MOR-
32 mediated G_{i1} -protein activation. (A) The FRET system for real-time monitoring of G_{i1} -
33 protein activation in cells expressing MOR and fluorescently labeled G-protein
34 subunits. FRET between CFP fused to the γ_2 -subunit of the $\beta\gamma$ -complex, and YFP on
35 the α_{i1} -subunit shows high basal levels, which decrease upon receptor-mediated
36 activation. (B) Effects of saturating morphine (10 μ M) and DAMGO (10 μ M)
37 concentrations on the FRET signal (presented as the normalized YFP/CFP ratio).
38 Morphine acts as a partial agonist at the receptor and G_i -protein when compared to
39 DAMGO. The MOR antagonist naloxone can fully block this FRET response. (C)
40 Morphine-6-glucuronide (10 μ M) produces a rapid FRET signal, which is comparable
41 in its magnitude to that of morphine. (D) A weak metabolite morphine-N-oxide, even
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 at high concentrations (300 μM), shows only a partial FRET signal. B-D are
2 representative experiments (n=3-7). (E) Concentration-response dependencies for
3 morphine and its nine major metabolites measured in the FRET assay reflecting
4 MOR-mediated G_i -protein activation. Changes in FRET are normalized to the
5 maximal response induced by 10 μM morphine. Data are presented as means \pm SE
6 (n=3-7).
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Figure 4.** Measurements of β -arrestin recruitment to the activated MOR by FRET. (A)
18 The FRET system for real-time monitoring of receptor/ β -arrestin interaction is based
19 on using MOR, which is tagged with CFP on its C-terminus, and the YFP-tagged β -
20 arrestin-2. Recruitment of β -arrestin-2-YFP to the activated receptor results in an
21 increase of FRET. (B) Effects of the partial agonist morphine (10 μM), full agonist
22 DAMGO (10 μM) and MOR antagonist naloxone (10 μM) on the FRET signal. (C)
23 Normorphine (10 μM) induces a stronger β -arrestin recruitment compared to
24 morphine (10 μM). (D) The weak metabolite morphine-N-oxide (300 μM) shows a
25 partial effect on β -arrestin recruitment. B-D are representative experiments (n=4-7).
26
27 (E) Concentration-response dependencies for DAMGO, morphine and its nine major
28 metabolites for the MOR-mediated β -arrestin recruitment. Changes in FRET are
29 normalized to the maximal response induced by 10 μM DAMGO. Data are presented
30 as means \pm SE (n=4-7).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **Figure 5.** Correlation of efficacies which various opioid ligands have at activating
53 MOR-dependent G-protein and β -arrestin signaling. Data are taken from Figures 3E
54 and 4E and normalized to the maximal effects induced by 10 μM DAMGO. Several
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 ligands elicit comparable effects on both pathways (e.g. DAMGO, morphine,
2 morphine-N-oxide), while others more prominently activate β -arrestin signaling (e.g.
3
4 normorphine, 6-acetylmorphine, morphine-6-glucuronide).
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1. Pharmacological properties of various morphine metabolites at the human μ -opioid receptor (MOR) measured by radioligand binding and FRET assays. EC_{50} values for G_i -protein activation and β -arrestin recruitment in the FRET-based assays show concentrations at which a half-maximal response for each individual substance was observed. Data from competitive displacement experiments using $^3[H]$ -naloxone and MOR-expressing cell membranes are presented as K_i values. All data are means \pm SE calculated from three to seven independent experiments.

Substance	Assay		
	G_i activation EC_{50} (μ M), means \pm SE	β -arrestin recruitment EC_{50} (μ M), means \pm SE	Binding K_i (μ M), means \pm SE
DAMGO	0.046 \pm 0.004	0.060 \pm 0.002	0.005 \pm 0.002
Morphine	0.015 \pm 0.002	0.457 \pm 0.157	0.022 \pm 0.004
Morphine-6-glucuronide	0.037 \pm 0.008	0.338 \pm 0.062	0.063 \pm 0.014
Normorphine	0.040 \pm 0.007	0.267 \pm 0.015	0.061 \pm 0.013
3-Acetylmorphine	0.057 \pm 0.010	0.594 \pm 0.019	0.098 \pm 0.029
Morphine-6-sulfate	0.053 \pm 0.005	0.196 \pm 0.036	0.047 \pm 0.004
6-Acetylmorphine	0.100 \pm 0.021	0.339 \pm 0.023	0.054 \pm 0.022

Morphine-N-oxide	2.2 ± 0.4	16.7 ± 1.3	3.4 ± 1.0
Morphine-3-sulfate	3.2 ± 0.5	20.1 ± 6.8	4.7 ± 1.1
Morphine-3-glucuronide	8.2 ± 1.4	23.7 ± 4.8	6.1 ± 0.4
Pseudomorphine	9.5 ± 0.6	53.6 ± 4.3	3.6 ± 1.8

Accepted Manuscript

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5

